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A well-balanced scheme for a transport equation with varying velocity and irregular opacities

Thomas Leroy *

Abstract

An equation for photons containing a frequency drift term modeling the Doppler effects and an emission absorption coefficient is considered. On the one hand, this frequency drift term involves a coefficient \( \kappa \) relative to the fluid velocity with which the photons interact. This coefficient may vanish, leading to numerical difficulties. On the second hand, the emission absorption \( \sigma \) is known to be very irregular with respect to the frequency, and thus the design of well-balanced scheme is a mathematical issue. In this work are presented new numerical results for the spectrally well-balanced scheme in the context of highly irregular opacities.

1 Introduction

The aim of this work is to extend the recent study [LBD14] to highly irregular emission absorption coefficients. The coupling between the transport equation for photons and an equation describing the evolution of a fluid moving at velocity \( \vec{u} \) involves several parameters. In particular, the emission absorption coefficient \( \sigma \) is known to be very irregular with respect to the frequency. It yields mathematical difficulties, in particular to prove theoretical results as existence of solutions (see for example [BGP87, BGPS88]). This kind of equations is complicated to solve, and thus reduced models is an issue. Recently ([LER14]), the nonequilibrium regime in the context of a relativistic gas of photons interacting with a fluid of velocity \( \vec{u} \) has been studied, and the convergence in this regime of the solution of the relativistic transfer equation to the solution of a diffusion equation involving a frequency drift term has been proved. The corresponding homogeneous (in space) equation is

\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \rho &= -\frac{\kappa}{3} \nu \partial_\nu \rho + \sigma(\nu)(B(\nu) - \rho) \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_\nu^+ , \\
\rho(0, \nu) &= \rho^{in}(\nu),
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \rho = \rho(t, \nu) \) is the density of photons at time \( t \) and at frequency \( \nu \), \( B(\nu) = \nu^3 (e^\nu - 1)^{-1} \) is the Planck function, \( \sigma \) is the emission absorption coefficient and \( \kappa \in [-\kappa^*, \kappa^*] \), \( \kappa^* > 0 \) is the divergence of the fluid velocity. The design of costless numerical scheme for this equation is an interesting issue. Since radiative phenomena are very fast compared to hydrodynamic ones, well-balanced schemes are a possible solution. As mention in [LBD14], classical “Greenberg-Leroux” type well-balanced schemes [GL96] (see also [GT02] for a recent review on the topic) constructed by discretizing the source terms at the interfaces and by using a Godunov scheme on the obtained equation are not consistent with equation (1.1) in the regime \( \kappa \to 0 \). This explains the introduction of the spectrally well-balanced scheme (SWB), for which a uniform (with respect to the waves velocity \( \kappa \)) convergence result has been provided. On the other hand and as mentioned before, the emission absorption coefficient is highly irregular, and plays an important role from the physical point of view. It is thus necessary to report faithfully this behavior. In a first approach a very fine mesh could be used, but it is not possible in general in photons’ transport. Indeed the distribution function of the photons is often rather peaked in frequency. In this context and due
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to the frequency shift, the classical upwind scheme is not accurate enough.

In this paper the behavior of the spectrally well-balanced scheme is studied for several irregular opacities, and its numerical solution is compared with the Greenberg Leroux and upwind schemes. In particular numerical tests are performed with a coefficient \( \sigma \) constructed as the sum of a regular part and dirac masses, which seems to be realistic, and with the so called Cramer’s opacity. The well-balanced property and the consistency as \( \kappa \to 0^\pm \) of the spectrally well-balanced scheme are shown to be preserved for such opacities.

This paper is organized as follow. In a first part the different schemes of interest and their dependence (via the CFL) with respect to the emission absorption coefficient \( \sigma \) are pointed out. In a second part numerical results for different configurations of the parameters \( \kappa \) and \( \sigma \), involving in particular irregular opacities, are presented. The consistency of the SWB scheme as \( \kappa \to 0 \) even for irregular \( \sigma \) is shown numerically. Finally, the interest of the SWB scheme in relation to the upwind scheme will be illustrated with test cases in which the relative \( L^1 \) error of the upwind scheme can reach 35%.

2 A review of well-balanced schemes

Let us consider a frequency domain \( \mathbb{D} = [\varepsilon, \tilde{\nu}] \), for given \( 0 < \varepsilon < \tilde{\nu} < +\infty \) and, for \( 1 \leq j \leq N \), an irregular mesh defined by \( (N + 1) \) points \( 0 = \nu_j < \cdots < \nu_{N+\frac{1}{2}} = \nu^* \). The middle of the \( j \)-th frequency band is denoted as \( \nu_j \), i.e. \( \nu_j = (\nu_{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \nu_{j+\frac{1}{2}})/2 \) and its length is denoted as \( \Delta \nu_j \). We also define the dual \( (j + \frac{1}{2}) \)-th frequency band as the cell \( [\nu_j, \nu_{j+1}] \), which length is denoted \( \Delta \nu_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \) and \( h = \max \Delta \nu_j \). The existence of a constant \( C \) such that \( \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, \ 0 < Ch \leq \Delta \nu_j \) is assumed. Since the aim of this paper is the design of well-balanced schemes, it is necessary to study the stationary equation associated to (1.1)

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{\kappa}{3} \nu \partial_\nu \rho + \sigma(\nu)(B(\nu) - \rho) = 0 & \text{in } [0, \tilde{\nu}], \\
\rho(\nu^*) = \rho^*,
\end{cases}
\] (2.1)

where \( \nu^* = \tilde{\nu} \) if \( \kappa > 0 \), which yields a transport of the photons toward the frequency 0, and \( \nu^* = 0 \) if \( \kappa < 0 \), which yields a transport of the photons toward the frequency \( \tilde{\nu} \). Obviously this equation can be solved and one has

\[
\rho(\nu; \rho^*, \nu^*) = \rho^* e^{-\frac{3}{2} \int_{\nu^*}^{\nu} \frac{\sigma(\nu)}{s} d\nu} + \frac{3}{\kappa} \int_{\nu^*}^{\nu} \frac{\sigma(s)B(s)}{s} e^{-\frac{3}{2} \int_{\nu^*}^{s} \frac{\sigma(\nu)}{\nu} d\nu} ds.
\] (2.2)

This solution as a limit as \( \kappa \to 0^\pm \):

\[
\lim_{\kappa \to 0^\pm} \rho(\nu; \rho^*, \nu^*) = B(\nu).
\] (2.3)

This stationary solution will be used in the next parts to construct well-balanced schemes.

2.1 “Classical” well-balanced scheme

In this part a first well-balanced scheme for equation (1.1) is introduced. Its construction uses the method developed by J.M. Greenberg and A.Y. Leroux [GL96]: discretizing the source terms at the interfaces, one uses a Godunov scheme on the obtained equation. It yields the following scheme, denoted as WBI scheme

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{d}{dt} \rho_j = \frac{\kappa}{3} \nu_j \frac{\rho_j - \rho_{j+1}}{\Delta \nu_j}, & 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad \kappa > 0, \\
\frac{d}{dt} \rho_j = \frac{\kappa}{3} \nu_j \frac{\rho_{j-1} - \rho_j}{\Delta \nu_j}, & 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad \kappa < 0.
\end{cases}
\] (2.4)
Considering a classical Euler discretization of the time derivative, the CFL is given by

$$\Delta t \leq \max_j \frac{3\Delta \nu_j}{|\kappa|\nu_j}.$$  \hfill (2.5)

It is interesting to note that the CFL of this scheme does not depend on $\sigma$, but depends on the wave velocity $\kappa$. A simple Taylor expansion of the exponential functions in the stationary solution shows the consistence of this scheme for any fixed and non zero $\kappa$. On the other hand, this scheme is not consistent as $\kappa \rightarrow 0^{\pm}$. Actually, the limit of the stationary solution in this regime (2.3) yields

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0^\pm} \frac{d}{dt}\rho_j = 0,$$  \hfill (2.6)

which obviously is not a consistent discretization of equation (1.1) as $\kappa = 0$. This explain the introduction of a new class of well-balanced schemes

### 2.2 Spectrally Well-Balanced scheme

In this part the Spectrally Well-Balanced scheme [LBD14], whose construction use the integrating factor $M(\nu^*; \nu) = e^{-\frac{2}{3} \int_0^{\nu^*} \sigma(s)s^{-1}ds}$, is recalled. It is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}\rho_j &= \frac{\sigma(\nu_j)}{1-M(\nu_{j+1};\nu_j)} \left( \rho(\nu_j;\rho_{j+1},\nu_{j+1}) - \rho_j \right), & 1 \leq j \leq N, & \kappa > 0, \\
\frac{d}{dt}\rho_j &= \frac{\sigma(\nu_j)}{1-M(\nu_{j-1};\nu_j)} \left( \rho(\nu_j;\rho_{j-1},\nu_{j-1}) - \rho_j \right), & 1 \leq j \leq N, & \kappa < 0.
\end{align*}
$$  \hfill (2.7)

Considering once again a classical Euler discretization of the time derivative, the CFL is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta t &\leq \max_j \frac{1-M(\nu_{j+1};\nu_j)}{\sigma(\nu_j)}, & \kappa > 0, \\
\Delta t &\leq \max_j \frac{1-M(\nu_{j-1};\nu_j)}{\sigma(\nu_j)}, & \kappa < 0.
\end{align*}
$$  \hfill (2.8)

On the contrary to the previous scheme, the CFL of the SWB scheme strongly depends on the $L^\infty$ norm of the coefficient $\sigma$. Actually, one has

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \Delta t = 0.$$  

On the other hand, it is interesting to see that it is the integrating factor that allows to recover a consistent limit scheme as $\kappa \rightarrow 0^{\pm}$. Actually, the limit (2.3) as $\kappa \rightarrow 0^{\pm}$ of the stationary solution leads to

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0^\pm} \frac{d}{dt}\rho_j = \sigma(\nu_j) (B(\nu_j) - \rho_j).$$  \hfill (2.9)

One of the main properties of this scheme is the following uniform (with respect to $\kappa$) result.

**Lemma 2.1** (Uniform convergence). We define $\rho_h = (\rho_j)_{1 \leq j \leq N}$ and the discrete norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^2_h}$ such that $\|\rho_h\|_{L^2_h}^2 = \sum_j \Delta \nu_j + \frac{1}{2} \rho_j^2$. Assume that the initial data satisfies $\rho^\infty \in H^1(D)$. Assume moreover that the emission absorption coefficient satisfies $\sigma \in W^{2,\infty}(D)$ and that there exists a constant $\sigma_* > 0$ such that $\forall \nu \in D, \sigma(\nu) \geq \sigma_*$. Then the numerical solution of the scheme (2.7) satisfies the following estimate, where the constant $C(T)$ is uniform in $\kappa \in (0, \kappa^*]: \|\rho_{ex}(t) - \rho_h(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(T)h$, $0 < t < T$.  

2.3 Upwind scheme

In all the forthcoming numerical results, the numerical solutions of the well-balanced schemes (2.4) and (2.7) are compared to the following classical upwind scheme

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\rho_j &= \frac{\kappa \rho_{j+1} - \rho_j}{3 \Delta \nu_j} \nu_j + \sigma(\nu_j)(B(\nu_j) - \rho_j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad \kappa > 0, \\
\frac{d}{dt}\rho_j &= \frac{\kappa \rho_j - \rho_{j-1}}{3 \Delta \nu_j} \nu_j + \sigma(\nu_j)(B(\nu_j) - \rho_j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad \kappa < 0.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(2.10)

Considering once again a classical Euler discretization of the time derivative, the CFL is given by

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Delta t &\leq 3 \max_j \frac{\Delta \nu_j}{\kappa \nu_{j+\frac{1}{2}} + 3 \Delta \nu_j \sigma(\nu_j)}, \quad \kappa > 0, \\
\Delta t &\leq 3 \max_j \frac{\Delta \nu_j}{-\kappa \nu_{j-\frac{1}{2}} + 3 \Delta \nu_j \sigma(\nu_j)}, \quad \kappa < 0.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(2.11)

As for the previous SWB scheme, the upwind scheme strongly depends on the form of this analytical solution. To this end one remarks that the expression under the integral of this analytical solution is no longer singular in \(\kappa\). The same procedure is applied to treat the singularity in the stationary solution (2.2). The following relative \(L^1\) error is introduced

\[
\frac{\|\rho(t) - \rho(T, t)\|}{\|\rho(T, t)\|}.
\]  

(3.3)

For all the numerical tests a random mesh composed of 50 cells is considered. The domain is \(\mathbb{D} = [\varepsilon, 30]\), where \(\varepsilon\) varies between 10^{-5} and 10^{-1} according to the different parts. The initial conditions are taken as \(\rho^{\text{in}}(\nu) = B(\nu - 15)\) if \(\kappa > 0\) and \(\rho^{\text{in}}(\nu) = 0\) if \(\kappa < 0\) and the boundary conditions are given by the analytical solution (3.2).
### 3.1 Highly irregular $\sigma$

Many physical contexts imply highly irregular emission absorption coefficient $\sigma$, whose frequency dependence is sometimes regular and sometimes very peaked. The numerical study of such opacities is thus interesting. Numerically, the coefficient $\sigma$ is constructed as the sum of a regular part and dirac masses (cf figure 1)

$$\sigma(\nu) = \frac{150}{1 + \nu} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 20} \delta_j,$$

where the dirac functions are distributed regularly on the mesh. In this part $\varepsilon$ is taken equal to $10^{-5}$, so the domain is $[10^{-5}, 30]$.

**Figure 1: Irregular emission absorption coefficient**

The choice of $\sigma$ (3.4) yields an exact numerical integration of all the integrals in the analytical solution (3.2). In the same way, the integrals in the expression of the stationary solutions (2.2) are numerically computed exactly. The first property to verify numerically is the well-balanced property of the SWB (and WB1) scheme. In figure 2 are displayed the time evolution of the $L^1$ relative error of the SWB, WB1 and upwind scheme for $\kappa = 1$ and $\kappa = -1$. As expected, both the SWB and the WB1 schemes exactly capture the stationary solution, but it is interesting to notice that the WB1 scheme is much slower than the SWB scheme.
As explain in the previous section, the SWB scheme is uniformly (in $\kappa$) convergent, and this property has to be checked numerically for this choice of $\sigma$. Figure 3 displays the evolution, as $\kappa \to 0$, of the $L^1$ error between the solutions of the SWB, WB1 and the upwind schemes and the numerical solution of the following scheme, consistent with equation (1.1) as $\kappa = 0$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho_j = \sigma(\nu_j)(B(\nu_j) - \rho_j).$$

(3.5)
3.2 Cramer’s opacity

In this part we study the behavior of the schemes with the Cramer’s opacity:

\[ \sigma(\nu) = \frac{1 - e^{-\nu}}{\nu^3}. \]  

(3.6)

This opacity leads to \( \sigma(\nu)B(\nu) = e^{-\nu} \), and has a singularity as \( \nu \to 0 \). For this coefficient the analytical value of the integrals in the expression of solutions (1.1) and (2.1) can no longer be computed. Numerically, they are computed by classical five points Gaussian quadratures. In this part \( \varepsilon \) is taken equal to \( 10^{-1} \) and thus the domain is \([10^{-1}; 30]\). The same study than for the previous opacity is performed, and as before the long time behavior of the numerical solutions of the schemes is studied. In figure 5 are displayed the time evolution of the relative \( L^1 \) error of the schemes. Once again and as expected, the SWB and WB1 schemes exactly capture the stationary solution, while the relative error of the upwind scheme is 18% for \( \kappa = 1 \) and 7% for \( \kappa = -1 \).

Figure 5: Relative \( L^1 \) error vs time. Left: \( \kappa = 1 \), right: \( \kappa = -1 \)

As before, the behavior of the schemes for \( \kappa \to 0^{\pm} \) is studied. Figure 6 displays the error between the schemes and the numerical solution of the scheme (3.5) as \( \kappa \to 0^{\pm} \). It shows that the SWB scheme is consistent with equation (1.1), even for small coefficient \( \kappa \).

Figure 6: \( L^1 \) error vs \( \kappa \). Left: \( \kappa > 0 \), \( t=0.5 \). Right: \( \kappa < 0 \), \( t=2 \)

3.3 SWB vs upwind

In this part the advantage of the SWB scheme compared with the upwind scheme is highlighted. Indeed, for certain value of \( \kappa \) and \( \sigma \), the upwind \( L^1 \) error can become significant. In the previous
part, for $\sigma$ defined in (3.4) and $\kappa = \pm 1$, the upwind relative $L^1$ error was of order 1%. On the other hand we have seen that for the Cramer’s opacity and for $\kappa = 1$, the relative $L^1$ error of the upwind scheme reaches 18%, which is non negligible. Two test cases are presented here in which the upwind relative $L^1$ error is significant. In both the case we take $\sigma$ as a piecewise constant function. In the first following one, the discontinuity is placed at the middle of the mesh (figure 7).

![Figure 7: Piecewise constant emission absorption coefficient](image)

Figure 8 displays the relative $L^1$ error of the schemes while the time increase for several value of the $\kappa$. The initialization is such that $\rho^{in}(\nu) = B(\nu - 15)$. It shows that while increasing the coefficient $\kappa$, the relative $L^1$ error of the upwind scheme also increases, and reach roughly 35% in the case $\kappa = 10$.

![Figure 8: Relative $L^1$ error vs time](image)

In figure 9 are displayed the corresponding solutions at $t=30$. In the picture the exacte, SWB and WB1 curves are merged, due to the fact that the error of the SWB and WB1 schemes are close to the computer zero. The peak of the analytical solution si not well retranscribed by the upwind scheme.
For the second test case the emission absorption coefficient is still taken as a piecewise constant function but we took a negative $\kappa$. The initial condition are such that $\rho_j(0) = 0$. In figure 10 are displayed the evolution of the relative $L^1$ error as time goes on, for several value of $\kappa$. As previously, we see that the relative $L^1$ error of the upwind scheme increases with $\kappa$, and reaches roughly 20% for $\kappa = -10$.

The corresponding solutions at $t=30$ are displayed in figure 11. Once again, the exact, SWB and WB1 curves are merged, and the upwind scheme does not retranscribe faithfully the behavior of the analytical solution.
4 Conclusion

The long time behavior of the Spectrally Well-Balanced scheme has been studied for several irregular opacities, including highly peaked emission absorption coefficient and the Cramer’s opacity. In particular the well-balanced property of the scheme was shown to be preserved, and its consistency as the velocity speed $\kappa$ tends to 0 was also studied numerically for these opacities, and the numerical results agree with the theoretical result (theorem 2.1). The case $\kappa < 0$ has also been studied. Finally, the advantage of the SWB scheme with respect to the upwind scheme has been shown in two test cases for different values of the coefficients $\kappa$ and $\sigma$.
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