



HAL
open science

Two-Photon Absorption in a Conformationally Twisted D- π -A Oligomer : A Synergic Photosensitizing Approach for Multiphoton Lithography

Jean-Pierre Malval, Sylvain Achelle, Loïc Bodiou, Arnaud Spangenberg, Laura Chia Gomez, Olivier Soppera, Françoise Robin-Le Guen

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Pierre Malval, Sylvain Achelle, Loïc Bodiou, Arnaud Spangenberg, Laura Chia Gomez, et al.. Two-Photon Absorption in a Conformationally Twisted D- π -A Oligomer : A Synergic Photosensitizing Approach for Multiphoton Lithography. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*, 2014, 2 (37), pp.7869-7880. 10.1039/C4TC00841C . hal-01089207

HAL Id: hal-01089207

<https://hal.science/hal-01089207v1>

Submitted on 3 Dec 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Two-Photon Absorption in a Conformationally Twisted D- π -A Oligomer : A Synergic Photosensitizing Approach for Multiphoton Lithography


Jean-Pierre Malval,*^a Sylvain Achelle,*^b Loic Bodiou,^c Arnaud Spangenberg,^a Laura Chia Gomez,^a Olivier Soppera^a and Françoise Robin-le Guen.^b

^a Institut de Science des Matériaux de Mulhouse, UMR CNRS 7361, Université de Haute-Alsace, 15 rue Jean Starcky, 68057 Mulhouse, France E-Mail : jean-pierre.malval@uha.fr; Tel : +33 (0)389 608 769

^b Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes UMR CNRS 6226, IUT de Lannion, rue Edouard Branly, BP 30219, F22302 Lannion Cedex, France E-Mail : sylvain.achelle@univ-rennes1.fr; Tel : +33 (0)296 469 448

^c Université Européenne de Bretagne (UEB) CNRS, FOTON, UMR 6082, CCLO, ENSSAT BP80518, 22305 Lannion cedex, France

TABLE OF CONTENT ENTRY



The synthesis and the photophysical properties of a π -conjugated oligomer alternating pyrimidine and triphenylamine fragments were described. This oligomer exhibits very high two photon absorption ability and was efficiently employed as photosensitizer for two photon lithography.

ABSTRACT.

A comparative study of the linear and nonlinear optical properties of a novel triphenylamine-pyrimidine alternated oligomer and its corresponding V-shaped quadrupolar monomer is presented. Both chromophores strikingly exhibit the same spectral shape when considering their respective one- and two-photon absorption spectra. This effect was attributed to a weak


interchromophore coupling within the oligomer which exhibits a highly distorted geometry resulting in a strong reduction of the effective conjugation length. The recursive implementation of nine monomers into a three-dimensional architecture leads however to a cooperative enhancement of the two-photon absorption (2PA) cross-section with a δ_{MAX} of 5093 GM at 800 nm. This very high 2PA ability has been oriented to improve the two-photon induced polymerization efficiency of a bicomponent photoinitiator system implying a hexaarylbiimidazole used as H-abstractor and an aliphatic amine used as H-donor. The photosensitizing mechanism is investigated and we clearly show that the *intrinsic* photoinitiation efficiency with the oligomer is increased by a factor 3 as compared to its corresponding monomer. We therefore demonstrate that such a two-photon sensitizing strategy leads to a synergy effect combining a higher photoinitiation reactivity and a very large two-photon absorption cross-section.

1. INTRODUCTION

Two-photon absorption (2PA) materials are of primary importance in a wide range of promising applications such as three-dimensional optical storage memory,¹ photodynamic therapy,² high-resolution imaging³ or multiphoton stereolithography.⁴ In the case of two-photon polymerization (2PP), a tight confinement of the excitation volume around the focal point is obtained allowing the fabrication of intricate 3D structures with feature sizes as small as 100 nm.^{1c,4a} The 2PP technology also implies the use of photoactivable systems with both large 2PA cross sections (δ) and high photoinitiating reactivity. Despite their very low 2PA cross-sections,⁵ commercially available photoinitiators can be successfully employed for two-photon polymerization when associated with two-photon activable sensitizers.⁶ From a practical point of view, such a bi-component approach constitutes one of the simplest and reliable processing methods since it takes benefit of the very high reactivity of well known UV-curing initiators⁷ and of advances in engineering researches for the design of chromophores with large 2PA cross-section.^{3a-b,8} In this domain, several strategies⁸⁻⁹ have been proposed to establish some relevant structure/property relationships to enhance δ . In the so-called ‘multi-branching’ approach,^{9b,9e-f,10} various electron-accepting and -donating groups can be implemented within the core or at the periphery of multibranched π -conjugated structures used as ‘electron relays’. The nature of donor and acceptor substituents,⁸ the π -delocalization length,^{9c,11} the strength of the interbranch coupling,^{9b,9e-f} the spatial conformation and the electronic symmetry¹² of the global architecture^{9a,13} are all distinctive parameters that can be methodically tuned to optimize the 2PA ability of the final chromophore. In this context, π -conjugated oligomers and π -conjugated polymers constitute the typical molecular platform which can rationalize such fundamental issues.¹⁴ In this recursive synthesis approach, the generation of π -conjugated macromolecules can offer a very high degree of electronic delocalization resulting in a strong enhancement of the two-photon absorption cross section.^{14b-d,15} For instance, Williams-Harry *et al.*¹⁶ have elaborated a series of macrocyclic thiophene *n*-mers integrating more than twelve thienylene units which exhibit giant 2PA cross sections up to 11×10^5 GM (1 GM = 10^{-50} cm⁴ s photon⁻¹). In the same manner, Yoon *et al.*¹⁷ have synthesized fused Ni²⁺ porphyrin arrays containing up to 5 macrocycles leading to a δ_{MAX} of about 4.1×10^5 GM. In this latter example, the π -conjugated chains with enforced coplanarity constitute the good guarantee for a great effective conjugation length^{14a} with a maximizing effect of the 2PA cross-section. The dendrimer

architecture¹⁸ also offers the possibility of increasing the density of effective chromophores. Even though such a highly ordered confined geometry can potentially disrupt the conjugation,^{12a,b} the strong interchromophore coupling can promote a cooperative enhancement of the nonlinear optical response. For instance, Varnavski *et al.*^{12c} have reported the photophysical characterization of tolane-based dendritic chromophores which undergo a strong enhancement of δ over the additive behaviour when going from the trimer derivative (9 chromophores) to higher generations (up to 21 chromophores).

In this contribution, we report the synthesis and the photophysical characterization of a new π -conjugated oligomer alternating triphenylamine (D) and pyrimidine (A) moieties connected with vinylene ($-\pi-$) bridges (**Scheme 1**). Based on a large set of experimental results such as absorption, emission, excitation anisotropy or 2PA spectra associated with theoretical calculations, a comparison of the linear and the nonlinear optical properties of the oligomer (**Og**) and its D- π -A- π -D model chromophore (**M**) is performed in order to highlight the role of dimensionality as well as the strength of interchromophore coupling. Finally we will take benefit of the very large 2PA ability of **Og** to demonstrate the potential interest of this new oligomer as efficient photosensitizer for one and two-photon polymerization applications.




Scheme 1. Molecular structures of chromophores.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1 Synthesis.

The synthesis and full characterization of the model chromophore **M** has been previously reported by some of us.¹⁹ In order to obtain an oligomer with enough solubility, a long alkoxy chain was added to the pyrimidine heterocycle. Starting from commercially available 2-chloro-4,6-dimethylpyrimidine, a nucleophilic substitution with lithium octylate was carried out. The desired product was obtained with good yield (**Scheme 2**). The polymerization was carried out by condensation reaction between dimethylpyrimidine derivative **3** and 4,4'-diformyltriphenylamine **4** in boiling aqueous 5M NaOH using aliquat[®] 336 as a phase transfer catalyst according to the method initially described by Vanden Eynde.²⁰ Indeed it has been shown that such condensation of methylpyrimidine derivatives with aldehyde is complete. The non quantitative yield sometimes obtained is mainly due to substantial loss during the purification process of the compounds.^{19,21} The desired oligomer was obtained in good yield after purification by precipitation. Other conditions were tested using potassium tertbutoxyde in THF, but in that case a material which was completely insoluble in common organic solvent was obtained. The oligomer has been analyzed by NMR spectrometry, the ¹H spectrum is given in supporting information (**Figure S1**). The two doublets that are observed at 7.85 and 6.91 ppm with a coupling constant of 15.5 Hz are consistent with an oligomer having double bonds with an *E* configuration. No signal corresponding to an aldehyde function is observed but a singlet at 2.44 ppm corresponds to methyl groups borne by a pyrimidine ring indicating the nature of the end groups of the oligomer. Comparison of the integration of this signal with the integration of the signal of one of the hydrogen of the double bond at 7.85 ppm indicates an average number of repeat motifs of 13.6, which corresponds to an average molecular weight of around 7000 g.mol⁻¹. Size exclusion chromatography analysis were also carried out giving a number-average molecular weight $M_n = 4650$ g.mol⁻¹ and a polydispersity indice $PDI = 1.63$ ($M_w = 7200$ g.mol⁻¹). Therefore the oligomer **Og** corresponds to a recursive association of around 8 to 10 **M**-units (see **Scheme 1**). Hereafter, we will take an average value of 9 **M**-units for the oligomer. This oligomer has a good solubility in various solvents such as chloroform, dichloromethane or tetrahydrofuran. It does not show any self-aggregation as confirmed by checking Beer Lambert law within mM

concentration range. Finally the X-ray diffraction spectrum of the powder of **Og** (**Figure S2**) exhibits a broad peak at $2\theta = 19.5^\circ$ which suggests an amorphous form for this oligomer.



Scheme 2. Reaction scheme for the synthesis of the oligomer **Og**.

2.2 Geometry and Electronic Properties.

The fully optimized structure of the model chromophore calculated at DFT level is presented in **Figure 1A**. The core of the dye which corresponds to a 4,6-bis(styryl)pyrimidine subunit adopts a quasi-planar conformation. The sterical hindrance around the external nitrogen atoms leads to a twist angle of about $\sim 34^\circ$ around the C–N bonds connecting the diphenylamine and styryl moieties. Moreover all external phenyls are tilted of about $\sim 65^\circ$ with respect to the central plane. Therefore the geometry of **M** can be presented as a successive alternation of planar and highly twisted domains. Such a structural alternation can be generalized when extending the molecular dimensionality. For instance, **Figure 1B** displays the fully optimized structure of an oligomer associating three **M**-units. Note that methoxy substituents have been implemented in position 2 of each pyrimidine to demonstrate that this substituent hardly influences the planarity of the 4,6-bis(styryl)pyrimidine fragments. The oligomer clearly exhibits a helical shaped geometry which globally preserves the structural characteristics of each repeat motif: *i*) a quasi-planar 4,6-bis(styryl)pyrimidine subunit *ii*) the interplane angles between two adjacent fragments have an average value of $\sim 63^\circ$ *iii*) the twist angles of the connecting C–N bonds slightly increases by about 3° . Hence increasing the number of repeat

units leads to a strongly distorted conformation that should drastically limit the extent of electronic delocalization all along the π -conjugated backbone. **Figure 2** displays the normalized absorption and fluorescence spectra of **M** and **Og** in dichloromethane along with their respective steady-state excitation anisotropies. **Table 1** gathers the corresponding spectroscopic data. The calculated absorption spectrum of **M** using TD-DFT method is also presented on the top of the experimental spectrum and **Table 2** reports all related calculated parameters. The low energy part of the absorption spectrum of **M** is dominated by an intensive and unstructured band which shows a very slight shoulder at its blue edge (i.e. around 410 nm). Interestingly the anisotropy remains constant within this long wavelength absorption band but strongly decreases below 420 nm and reaches a plateau below 375 nm. Such a change in anisotropy is clearly corroborated by TD-DFT calculations which indicate the presence of two well separated electronic transitions with distinctive polarization (see **Table 2**). The calculated energy of the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ transition reasonably agrees with the experimental value of the 0-0 transition energy (E_{00}). This latter energy was measured from the intercept of the normalized absorption and fluorescence spectra recorded in apolar medium (hexane) in order to minimize the solvent induced relaxation process at S_1 state. In this condition, a mirror-image relationship is observed between absorption and fluorescence spectra which leads to a more reliable value for E_{00} (**Figure S3**). According to our TD-DFT calculations, the lowest energy transition is a pure HOMO-LUMO transition which is strongly one-photon allowed ($f > 1$) and polarized along the long axis of the molecule (x -axis in **Figure 1A**). The $S_0 \rightarrow S_2$ transition is weakly allowed and corresponds to a pure HOMO₋₁-LUMO transition. It is interesting to note that the polarization of this $S_0 \rightarrow S_2$ transition is perpendicular to that of the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ one which is in line with the strong change in anisotropy within this spectral region. Moreover, it should be noted that the average value for the anisotropy of the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ is about 0.25 ± 0.01 . This indicates that the transition moment at the emitting state is not collinear to that of the ground state. Such a change in the orientation of the absorption and emission moments should be presumably ascribed to a photoinduced planarization affecting the triphenylamines at the relaxed S_1 state. Such a relaxation effect has been previously observed for the excited-states geometry of multibranched D- π -A dipoles.^{6d-e} In the 315-370 nm range (see **Figure 2**), the anisotropy remains constant due to the equivalent polarizations of the dominant transitions $S_0 \rightarrow S_3$ and $S_0 \rightarrow S_5$ respectively. The electronic distributions of the frontier orbitals are shown in **Figure 3**. The two lowest energy transitions clearly correspond to π - π^* transitions implying a charge transfer (CT) from the periphery

(triphenylamino group) to the core (pyrimidine ring) of the dye. Interestingly, the occurrence of these two CT transitions can be predicted within the framework of the Frenkel exciton model.^{9a-b,22} For a two-branched V-shaped chromophore, it is assumed that interbranch electrostatic interactions lead to the splitting of the one-exciton transition relative to the molecular synthon as illustrated in **Scheme 3**. Moreover for a homo-dimeric chromophore, this twofold degeneracy occurs symmetrically and leads to a S₁-S₂ gap of 2V where V denotes the interbranch coupling. In our case and according to our TD-DFT calculations, the interbranch coupling which corresponds to half value of the S₁-S₂ energy gap (see **Scheme 3**) can be theoretically estimated to ca. 0.13 eV. Such a value is comparable to those obtained from other stilbenyl quadrupolar chromophores symmetrically substituted with amino end groups.^{9b,9e-f} It is also noteworthy that this interbranching coupling is noticeably stronger than that calculated for a similar V-shaped structure with the same 4,6-bis(styryl)pyrimidine core but associating moderate pyran-based donors at the periphery.²³

Table 1. Summary of optical data and parameters for **M** and **Og**.

	λ_{abs} / nm	ϵ^{MAX} / $10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$	λ_{fluo} / nm	Φ_{fluo}	τ_{fluo} / ns	k_r^b / 10^8 s^{-1}	$\delta_{MAX} (\lambda_{MAX})$ / GM	N_{eff}	δ/N_{eff}^2
M	431	56.5	554	0.82	2.90	2.8	360 (800 nm)	25	0.58
Og	449	549.6	558	0.63	2.60 ^a	2.4	5093 (800 nm)	69	1.07

^a major lifetime whose weighted contribution is about 96 % of the fluorescence decay. ^b $k_r = \Phi_{fluo}/\tau_{fluo}$

Table 2 TDDFT and experimental electronic transitions of **M**.

	<i>Exp</i>		<i>Theoretical</i>		
	E_{00}^a /eV	E_{th} /eV	f	Pol. ^b	Major natural transitions (fraction)
S ₀ → S ₁	2.81	2.72	1.74	<i>x</i>	H-L
S ₀ → S ₂		2.98	0.08	<i>y</i>	H ₁ -L
S ₀ → S ₃		3.50	0.51	<i>x</i>	H-L (0.15), H ₁ -L ₊₁ (0.85)
S ₀ → S ₄		3.54	0.05	<i>y</i>	H-L ₊₁
S ₀ → S ₅		3.91	0.43	<i>x</i>	H ₂ -L
S ₀ → S ₆		3.93	0.05	<i>x,z</i>	H ₁ -L ₊₃ (0.34), H-L ₊₂ (0.38) ^c

^a The 0-0 transition energy was measured in hexane (apolar medium) ^b xyz axis are displayed in **Figure 1A**, ^c The two principal natural transitions are displayed.





Figure 1. Optimized geometries of **M** and its oligomeric model with three **M**-units (PBE0/6-31G(d) level).



Scheme 3. Schematic electronic level diagrams within the excitonic coupling model for a dipolar unit and its corresponding V-shaped quadrupolar structure.




Figure 3. Representation of the molecular orbitals involved in the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ and $S_0 \rightarrow S_2$ electronic transitions of **M**.

Surprisingly, increasing the number of repeat units (from $n = 1$ to 9) leads to a very strong hyperchromic effect with a 10-fold increase of ϵ_{MAX} (**Table 1**) but hardly affects the shape of the absorption spectrum. Indeed, on going from **M** to **Og**, the longest wavelength absorption band undergoes a slight broadening (x 1.2) and is hardly redshifted by about 930 cm^{-1} . This very weak bathochromism contrasts, for instance, with that observed for oligo(*p*-phenylvinylene)s^{14e} or oligo(α -thiophene)s^{14c-d,24} which exhibit a band redshift of about $\sim 8000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ upon increasing the number of repeat units up to 12-mers. Contrary to these relatively planar oligomers, the severe steric interactions between each adjacent **M**-unit result in a serious disturbance of the π -orbital overlap along the oligomer backbone. Such similar structural effects have been nicely rationalized by the working groups of Osuka and Kim²⁵ who have elaborated two series of porphyrin-based oligomers ($n = 2$ to 12) which show respectively a planar and an alternatively twisted geometry. Whereas the planar fused porphyrin series display strongly red-shifted absorption spectra upon increasing the size of the oligomer ($\Delta\nu \sim 6100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for $n = 2$ to 12), the twisted series undergo a 5-fold weaker redshift. This structure-property relationship is clearly in line with the effects observed in our case and presumably confirms the highly distorted structure of our oligomer. It turns out that the effective conjugation length^{14a} within our D- π -A alternating oligomer is reached very fast upon increasing the number of repeat units. As shown in **Figure 2**, both **M** and **Og** exhibit a second distinctive band near 300 nm. This band is usually observed for N-phenylated systems^{10,26} and should be ascribed to the $S_0 \rightarrow {}^1L_a$ transitions²⁷ localized on the triphenylamine moieties.²⁸ Interestingly, the intensity of this band relative to the lowest energy one only decreases from 0.68 to 0.50 on going from **M** to **Og**. Such a slight effect illustrates a weak mixing of the localized 1L_a states with the low lying ${}^1\text{CT}$ ones²⁹ and corroborates the assumption that **Og** can be viewed as a composite macromolecule comprising molecular units which preserve their electronic individuality. According to the point-dipole exciton model proposed by Kasha *et al.*,³⁰ the coupling between consecutive **M**-units should lead to a resonance splitting of the excited state composite molecule energy levels which were initially degenerate. For a strong exciton coupling, the splitting energy should give rise to a clear separation of the new $S_0 \rightarrow S_n$ transitions. However, in our case, a slight band broadening (x 1.2) is only observed and the corresponding anisotropy spectrum of **Og** displays globally the same changes as those observed for **M** (**Figure 2**). Such a weak interchromophore exciton coupling is also corroborated by the equivalent fluorescence bands

of both dyes. Moreover, for the oligomer, it should be indicated that the population of emitting conformers is clearly monodispersive since the fluorescence band shape of **Og** does not show any excitation wavelength dependencies and the corresponding excitation spectra collected at various emission wavelengths match perfectly the absorption spectrum. The fluorescence decay of **Og** can be confidently fitted with two exponential functions but exhibits a major long-lived component whose contribution is larger than 95 % of the total emission (see **Figure S4**). Even though the fluorescence quantum yield (Φ_{fluo}) decreases on going from **M** to **Og** (see **Table 1**), the oligomer remains highly emissive with $\Phi_{fluo} = 0.63$ in dichloromethane. The radiative rate constant (k_r) of **Og** is comparable to that calculated for **M** which indicates an electronic equivalency of the two emitting states and therefore confirms that the radiative deactivation of **Og** presumably stems from a localized excited state centered a single **M**-unit. This electronic localization we proposed for the emitting state of **Og** is also in line with the significant change in the orientation of the absorption and emission moments as indicated by the relatively low value of the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ emission anisotropy which exhibits an average value of *ca.* 0.14 ± 0.02 .

Hence the oligomer **Og** clearly exhibits a similar photophysical fingerprint as that observed for its model chromophore **M**. This electronic effect should be attributed to a highly distorted geometry which dramatically reduces the effective conjugation length (ECL) of this donor-acceptor π -conjugated oligomer.

2.3 Two-photon Absorption (2PA) Properties.

The equivalency observed for the electronic properties of **M** and **Og** can be extended to the shape of their respective two-photon absorption (2PA) spectra. Such an effect has been previously reported by Drobizhev *et al.*^{12a-b} when comparing the 2PA spectra of the 4,4'-bis(diphenylamino)stilbene and several generations of dendrimers elaborated through recursive association of this latter molecular building block. Here also, the successive alternation of planar and highly distorted domains should result in a loss of conjugation all long the macromolecular structure. **Figure 4** shows the 2PA spectra of our compounds. The two-photon absorption cross-sections (δ) have been measured in the 680-1000 nm range by means of two-photon excited fluorescence.³¹ The 2PA bands are plotted against half the excitation wavelength to have a direct comparison with the one-photon absorption (1PA) spectra. According to our spectral resolution, both spectra present two distinctive bands

localized in the same spectral range as the longest wavelength 1PA band. Their respective maximum absorption wavelengths (i.e. $\lambda_{2PA} \approx 900$ nm and 800 nm) nicely correspond to the position of the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ and $S_0 \rightarrow S_2$ transitions. Moreover one clearly observes that the high-energy band is more intense than the low-energy one. This effect should be related to the dipole selection rule for nearly centrosymmetric molecular symmetry which brings the S_1 state to be almost 2PA forbidden whereas the S_2 becomes 2PA allowed. Even though this trend is globally observed it is clear that the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ transition becomes partially allowed for both compounds probably due to a relaxation of the molecular structures to geometries with a lower symmetry. The most important effect observed upon increasing the dimensionality is the very strong enhancement of δ_{MAX} which increases from 360 GM to 5093 GM on going from **M** and **Og**. Therefore the value of δ_{MAX} per repeat unit is about 566 GM ($n \sim 9$) which clearly indicates a cooperative enhancement of the 2PA properties of the oligomer. However it should be emphasized that δ_{MAX} depends quadratically on the effective number of electrons (N_{eff}) which contribute to the non linear response of the molecule.³² This parameter can be determined according to the counting method proposed by Kuzyk *et al.*³² Therefore, when normalizing the 2PA cross-section by the square of the effective number of electrons (δ/N_{eff}^2), a direct comparison can be made between the intrinsic 2PA properties within a series of chromophores. This methodology allows a better quantification of the cooperative enhancement or weakening of the nonlinear optical response of the macromolecular structure. According to this normalization approach, a moderate cooperative effect is observed with a 1.8-fold increase of the intrinsic cross-section of **Og** as compared to **M**. This enhancement is comparable to the 1.4-fold increase of δ/N_{eff}^2 on going from the 4,4'-bis (diphenylamino)stilbene ($\delta_{MAX} = 320$ GM , $N_{eff} = 18$) to its second-order generation dendrimer with 13 repeat units^{12a} ($\delta_{MAX} = 4500$ GM, $N_{eff} = 56$). It is worth stressing that the strategy consisting in increasing the dimensionality of a macromolecule does not lead necessary to a significant improvement of its intrinsic 2PA performance. For instance the working groups of Goodson and Iyoda^{16,33} have studied the 2PA properties of several very large macrocyclic thiophene n -mers comprising up to 30 thienylene-acetylene repeat units. In this precise case, the optimal value for δ/N_{eff}^2 was observed for the derivative with 18-mers ($\delta/N_{eff}^2 \sim 3.2$) whereas the largest one ($\delta_{MAX} = 107\ 800$ GM, $N_{eff} = 240$) clearly leads to a decrease of δ/N_{eff}^2 by a factor 1.7 with respect to the optimal structure. From a conceptual point of view, it is clear that the intrinsic performance of a macromolecule can not be scaled through several orders of magnitude but from a practical approach a very large enhancement

of δ_{MAX} undoubtedly constitutes the guarantee to efficiently promote any two-photon activation processes.




Figure 4. 1PA (full lines) and 2PA spectra (plotted against half of the excitation wavelength) of chromophores in dichloromethane.

Therefore, in order to take benefit of the very large 2PA cross-section of **Og**, we will demonstrate hereafter that this chromophore can be used as an efficient two-photon sensitizer for multiphoton stereolithography applications.

2.4 One- and Two-photon Sensitized Polymerization.

Figure 5 shows the FT-RTIR (*i.e.* Fourier transform real-time infrared) kinetic curves during visible irradiation of four distinctive diacrylate formulations which all contains a hexaarylbiimidazole derivative (**HABI**) (**Scheme 4**). This chromophore is a one of the most widely patented free-radical UV-Visible photoinitiator.³⁴ Upon direct excitation,³⁵ **HABI** undergoes a homolytic cleavage resulting in the formation of two lyophyl radicals (**L•**)³⁶ as shown in **Scheme 4**. However this latter radical adds very slowly to acrylic monomer in such manner that **HABI** is intrinsically a very poor free radical photoinitiator as illustrated in **Figure 5**. Moreover, it should be indicated that the photopolymerization is performed at 440 nm irradiation which corresponds to the extreme red edge of the absorption spectrum of **HABI** (inset **Figure 5**). Therefore **HABI** is usually associated with a hydrogen donor co-initiator.^{34b,37} For instance, in presence of N-methyl diethanolamine (**MDEA**), the photopolymerization is significantly improved with 28 % conversion of acrylate functions after 150 s of irradiation. In this case, the mechanism is somewhat different since a H-transfer reaction occurs from **MDEA** to **L•** which generates a α -aminoalkyl radical. This latter species exhibits a very high reactivity upon addition reactions³⁸ onto double bonds of acrylate monomers. The sequential steps for the generation of primary radicals can be described as follows:







Figure 5. Real-time FTIR kinetics conversion curves for visible-curing at 440 nm of diacrylate resins with '**HABI**': HABI : 1.5 wt % , '**HABI+MDEA**': HABI / MDEA : 1.5 wt % / 3 wt % , '**M**' HABI / MDEA / **M** : 1.5 wt % / 3 wt % / 0.12 wt % , '**Og**': HABI / MDEA / **Og** : 1.5 wt % / 3 wt % / 0.07 wt % . Inset : Absorption spectra of the corresponding diacrylate resins (25- μ m-thick films).

However such a reducible radical³⁹ is also sensitive to the presence oxygen dissolved in monomer medium. This inhibition process is typically illustrated on the kinetic profile by the long induction time (~ 25 s) observed during the first step of photopolymerization. It corresponds to the time necessary for the total consumption of the dissolved oxygen. Interestingly, the presence of photosensitizers (**PS**) drastically reduces this inhibition time by a factor 6 and leads to a strong increase of the polymerization rates which are multiplied by a factor 2.9 for **M** and 4.4 for **Og**. This clear improvement of the photoinitiation should be connected to the high absorptivity of **M** and **Og** at the irradiation wavelength (see inset of **Figure 5**) which leads to a larger amount of primary excited species. The photosensitizing process in presence of **PS** typically corresponds to a sequential reaction^{34b,35b,37b-c,40} starting from a photoinduced electron transfer from the singlet excited state of **PS** (¹**PS**) to **HABI**

which first generates the radical cation $\text{PS}^{+\bullet}$ and the radical anion $\text{HABI}^{\bullet-}$. This latter radical consecutively undergoes a cleavage to produce the neutral free radical L^\bullet and its associated radical anion $\text{L}^{\bullet-}$:



Scheme 4. Homolytic photocleavage process of **HABI**.

In our case, the assumption of a photoinduced electron transfer at S_1 state is both supported by the steady-state fluorescence quenching of **PS** in presence of **HABI** associated to a decrease of the fluorescence lifetimes in dichloromethane. The Stern-Volmer plots⁴¹ obtained from the time-resolved measurements lead to linear correlations as depicted in **Figure S5**. The bimolecular quenching rate constant have values of ca. $4.0 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and ca. $5.8 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for **M** and **Og** respectively. It should be noted that the photoinduced electron transfer (PeT) process can also occur from the lowest triplet state of the sensitizers. However for both chromophores, the PeT from T_1 states is presumably a minor route since excited chromophores mainly deactivate through fluorescence pathways. Moreover, the photosensitization of **HABI** through a triplet-triplet energy transfer mechanism should be excluded due to the relatively high triplet energy of **HABI** whose value, 2.93 eV,^{37b} is already similar to S_1 state energies of both sensitizers. Therefore, both chromophores constitute interesting one-photon sensitizers for free radical polymerization in the visible range. As shown in **Figure 5**, the maximum polymerization rate of the formulation with **Og** is 1.5-fold

higher than that measured for **M** even though the **Og**-formulation clearly exhibits a weaker absorbance at the irradiation wavelength. This effect suggests that **Og** should lead to a more efficient photoinitiation process. Indeed, the initiation efficiency Φ_i of a photoinitiating system can be connected to the maximum polymerization rate R_p by the following relationship:^{7a}

$$\Phi_i = K_1 \frac{R_p^2}{I_{abs}} \quad (1)$$

Where K_1 is a global parameter which comprises the concentration of the acrylate monomer as well as the rate constants for the propagation and the termination steps of the polymerization reaction. I_{abs} corresponds to the amount of light absorbed by the sample. As the maximum polymerization rate (R_p) is observed at the initial steps of photopolymerization, we assume that I_{abs} is reasonably proportional to $(1-10^{-A_0})$ where A_0 denotes the initial absorbance of the formulation. Under this approach, we can show that the initiation efficiency of **Og** is 3.5 higher as compared to that of **M**. This higher reactivity can be also demonstrated locally upon two-photon polymerization. **Figure 6** shows several polymerized lines generated upon two-photon excitation at 800 nm using the formulations with **M** and **Og**. Note that the photopolymerization is not observed without the presence of sensitizers which obviously underlines the two-photon activation role of **PS**. The incident laser power is gradually decreased to estimate the power threshold (P_{th}) necessary to induce the two-photon polymerization. This fundamental parameter should be related the minimal amount of α -aminoalkyl radicals (n_{th}) that effectively lead to the polymerization reaction. Due to the equivalent photoinitiation mechanism for **M** and **Og**, the value for n_{th} should remain constant for both formulations and the initiation efficiency Φ_i can be expressed as follows:⁴²

$$\Phi_i = K_2 \frac{n_{th}}{\delta \cdot N_{PS} \cdot P_{th}^2} \quad (2)$$

Where K_2 is a global parameter which implies all the photonic conditions: exposure time, pulse shape parameter, laser temporal pulse width, and repetition rate of the laser. N_{PS} and δ are the number density of the photoinitiator and the 2PA cross section. As shown in **Figure 6**, the values for P_{th} are about 5 mW and 3.6 mW for **M** and **Og** respectively which leads to a 2-fold higher initiation efficiency for **Og** with respect to **M**. Therefore, both one- and two-photon polymerization measurements nicely converge to the same conclusion that the photosensitization of the bicomponent system **HABI/MDEA** exhibits a much higher reactivity when using **Og**. It is clear that the efficiency for the generation α -aminoalkyl

radicals strongly depends on the amount of $\mathbf{L}\bullet$ used as hydrogen abstractors. However, the back electron transfer (BeT) between the primary generated $\mathbf{PS}^{+\bullet}/\mathbf{HABI}^{-\bullet}$ radical ion pair can clearly impact the efficiency of all subsequent reactions. As a consequence, the electronic stabilization of $\mathbf{PS}^{+\bullet}$ is of prime importance to counterbalance such a radical ion pair recombination. Even though \mathbf{Og} presents a distorted geometry, its amine centered radical cation $\mathbf{Og}^{+\bullet}$ should present a more planar conformation around its electron-deficient nitrogen atom⁴⁴ allowing an extended charge delocalization along two adjacent subunits which presumably guarantees a better stabilization as compared to $\mathbf{M}^{+\bullet}$. Therefore, the better stability of $\mathbf{Og}^{+\bullet}$ with respect to $\mathbf{M}^{+\bullet}$ should indirectly promote a higher quantum yield for the generation of $\mathbf{L}\bullet$. Hence, with the use of \mathbf{Og} as a two-photon sensitizer one clearly promotes a synergy effect with a high photoinitiation reactivity and very large two-photon absorption cross-section ($\delta_{\text{MAX}} = 5093 \text{ GM}$).




Figure 6. Polymer lines fabricated at various laser exposure powers ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} : 800 \text{ nm}$, $\tau_{\text{exp}} = 10 \text{ ms}$) using diacrylate resins with HABI/MDEA : 1.5 wt % / 3 wt % and containing 2PA sensitizers \mathbf{Og} ($c = 0.09 \text{ mM}$) and \mathbf{M} ($c = 2 \text{ mM}$).

Finally, to qualitatively demonstrate the potential applications of this multi-component system for 2PA stereolithography, we produced a 2D microstructure by exciting the resin with \mathbf{Og} at 800 nm. The **Figure 7** shows the scanning electron micrograph of the 2D microstructure fabricated at an average power of 4 mW. The pattern is impressed into the resin by the relative translation of the laser focus within the material then the final structure is obtained by dissolving away the unexposed formulation. The formation of a two-dimensional microstructure with an arbitrary complexity was thus achieved with good-fidelity replication of the master model displayed in inset of **Figure 7**.



Figure 7. SEM image of a μ -structure fabricated upon excitation at 800 nm of the diacrylate resin with **O_g** as 2PA sensitizer. Inset: 2D model master.

3. CONCLUSION

The synthesis of a new conjugated oligomer alternating 4,6-bis(styryl)pyrimidine and N-phenylamine subunits has been reported. The oligomer exhibits very high one- and two-photon absorption ability with corresponding spectra that strikingly resemble those measured for its D- π -A V-shaped monomer. These spectral effects are ascribed to the highly distorted geometry of the oligomer along its π -conjugated backbone which reduces the effective conjugation length. Therefore **O_g** can be compared to a composite macromolecule which preserves the electronic integrity of each **M**-unit due to a weak interchromophore coupling. The recursive implementation of several D- π -A subunits into a three-dimensional architecture leads to a δ_{MAX} of about 5093 GM. Taking advantage of the very large linear and non-linear absorption properties of the oligomer, we show that this new system can be used as an efficient sensitizer for free-radical photopolymerization and multiphoton fabrication. The photoinitiation reactivity is two-times higher than its model chromophore which demonstrates that increasing dimensionality not only induces a cooperative enhancement of the nonlinear optical response but can specifically enhance the photopolymerization performance due to the

further stabilization of intermediate species involved in the photoinitiation mechanism. Such a synergetic strategy can be presumably extended to other π -conjugated oligomers with comparable symmetries.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

4.1 Materials and General Characterization Methods.

The starting materials were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich or Acros and used without purification. In air and moisture-sensitive reactions, all glassware was flame-dried and cooled under nitrogen. All the solvents employed for absorption and emission analysis were Aldrich or Fluka spectroscopic grade. The absorption and fluorescence of all solvents were checked for impurities and have been subtracted from the sample spectra. Ebecryl 605, a difunctional monomer with a high viscosity ($\eta \sim 1000$ cps at 25°C), was purchased from Cytec. This viscous monomer used for one- and two-photon polymerization is a formulation based on bisphenol A epoxydiacrylate and tripropyleneglycol diacrylate (75/25 in weight). The 2,2'-di(orthochlorophenyl)-4,4',5,5'-tetraphenyl biimidazole (**HABI**) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Company.

NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl_3 , with a Bruker DRX500 spectrometer. (^1H at 500 MHz and ^{13}C at 125 MHz) at the “Service Commun de Recherche de Résonance Magnétique Nucléaire et de Résonance Paramagnétique Electronique de l’Université de Bretagne Occidentale”. The chemical shifts δ are reported in ppm relative to the residual solvent (CHCl_3). The coupling constants J are given in Hz. In the ^1H NMR spectra, the following abbreviations are used to describe peak pattern: s (singlet), d (doublet), dd (doublet of doublet), t (triplet), m (multiplet), In the ^{13}C NMR spectra, the nature of the carbons (C, CH, CH_2 or CH_3) was determined by recording the JMOD experiment. Elemental analyses were performed at the “Centre Régional de Mesures Physiques de l’Ouest” (CRMPO, University of Rennes 1) using a Thermo-Finnigan Flash EA 1112 CHNS/O analyser. Analytical thin layer chromatographies (TLC) were performed on silica gel plates (Merk 60F254) and compounds were visualized by irradiation with UV light at 254 and 365 nm. Flash column chromatography was performed using silica gel silica Acros SI 60 (60-200 mesh ASTM). Average molar mass (M_n) and molar mass distribution (M_w) values of the oligomer were

determined by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) in THF at 30°C (flow rate 1.0 mL.min⁻¹) on a Polymer Laboratories PL50 apparatus equipped with a refractive index detector and a ResiPore 300×7.5 mm column. The oligomer sample was dissolved in THF (2 mg.mL⁻¹). The elution curve was calibrated with polystyrene standards.

2.2 Synthesis of chromophores.

Synthesis of 4,6-Dimethyl-2-octyloxy-pyrimidine (3) (see Scheme 2). To a solution of octan-1-ol (630 µL, 4.0 mmol) in 20 mL of anhydrous THF under nitrogen at 0° was added n-BuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 1.6 mL) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. then a solution of 2-chloro-4,6-dimethylpyrimidine (427 mg, 3.0 mmol) in 10 mL of THF was added dropwise, the reaction mixture was heated to reflux with stirring overnight. The reaction was quenched with water (20 mL) diluted with 20 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and the organic layer separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2x20 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over magnesium sulfate and evaporated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, eluent petroleum ether:ethyl acetate (7:3)) to give 475 mg (67%) of **3** as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.85 (t, 3H, *J* = 7 Hz), 1.31-1.25 (m, 10H), 1.52-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.79-1.73 (m, 2H), 2.36 (s, 6H), 4.28 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 6.61 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR and JMOD (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.0 (C), 165.2 (C), 113.6 (CH), 67.3 (CH₂), 31.8 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 29.2 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂), 14.1 (CH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₄N₂O : C, 71.14; H, 10.23; N, 11.85. Found C, 70.85; H, 10.48; N, 11.59.

Synthesis of oligomer Og. A stirred mixture of **3** (118 mg, 0.5 mmol) and **4** (150 mg, 0.5 mmol) in aqueous sodium hydroxide (5 M, 10 mL) containing Aliquat 336 (13 mg, 0.03 mmol) was heated under reflux for 3 h. The mixture was allowed to cool, and the precipitate was filtered off, washed with water, and purified by precipitation from CHCl₃/n-heptane giving 242 mg (94%) as a red solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.86 (t, 3H, *J* = 7 Hz), 1.31-1.25 (m, 8H), 1.52-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.79-1.73 (m, 2H), 4.47 (t, *J* = 6 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (s, 1H), 6.90 (d, 2H, *J* = 15.5 Hz), 7.17-7.09 (m, 7H), 7.32-7.30 (m, 2H), 7.49 (d, 4H, *J* = 8 Hz), 7.86 (d, 2H, *J* = 15.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR and JMOD (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.5 (C), 165.0 (C), 148.2 (C), 146.7 (C), 136.0 (CH), 130.4 (C), 129.6 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 125.7 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 124.3 (CH), 123.6 (CH), 67.5 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 29.3 (CH₂), 29.1 (CH₂), 26.1

(CH₂), 22.7 (CH₂), 14.1 (CH₃). Anal. Calcd for (C₃₅H₃₇N₃O)_n : C, 81.52; H, 7.23; N, 8.15. Found C, 81.60; H, 7.54; N, 7.76.

2.3 Instrumentation and Methods.

The absorption measurements were carried out with a Perkin Elmer Lambda 2 double beam UV-vis spectrophotometer. Steady-state fluorescence spectra were collected from a FluoroMax-4 spectrofluorometer. Emission spectra are spectrally corrected, and fluorescence quantum yields include the correction due to solvent refractive index and were determined relative to quinine bisulfate in 0.05 molar sulfuric acid ($\Phi = 0.52$).⁴⁴

For the steady-state anisotropy measurements, two Glan-Thompson polarizers are placed in the excitation and emission beams. The anisotropy r is determined as follows:

$$r = \frac{I_{VV} - gI_{VH}}{I_{VV} + 2 \cdot g \cdot I_{VH}} \quad \text{with } g = \frac{I_{HV}}{I_{HH}}$$

Where I is the fluorescence intensity, the subscripts denote the orientation (horizontal H or vertical V) of the excitation and emission polarizers, respectively and g is an instrumental correction factor. The proper calibration of the set-up was checked using a recent standard method with rhodamine 101 in glycerol.⁴⁵ The anisotropy spectra were collected in viscous medium (i.e. triacetin, $\eta_{20^\circ\text{C}} = 25$ mPa.s) in order to prevent the depolarization of the fluorescence emission due to the rotational diffusion of the molecules.⁴¹

The fluorescence lifetimes were measured using a Nano LED emitting at 372 nm as an excitation source with a nano led controller module, Fluorohub from IBH, operating at 1MHz. The detection was based on an R928P type photomultiplier from Hamamatsu with high sensitivity photon-counting mode. The decays were fitted with the iterative reconvolution method on the basis of the Marquardt/Levenberg algorithm.⁴⁶ Such a reconvolution technique allows an overall-time resolution down to 0.15 ns. The quality of the exponential fits was checked using the reduced χ^2 (≤ 1.2).

The two-photon absorption (2PA) measurements were performed with femtosecond mode-locked laser pulse using a Ti: Sapphire laser (Coherent, Chameleon Ultra II: pulse duration:

~140 fs; repetition rate: 80 MHz; wavelength range: 680-1040 nm). A relative two-photon excited fluorescence (2PEF) method³¹ was employed to measure the two-photon absorption cross-sections, δ . The measurements of 2PA cross-sections were performed relative to reference molecules (r) such as fluorescein^{31,47} in water at pH = 11. The value of δ for a sample (S) is given by:

$$\delta_S = \frac{S_S \Phi_r \eta_r c_r}{S_r \Phi_S \eta_S c_S} \cdot \delta_r$$

Where S is the detected two-photon excited fluorescence integral area, c the concentration of the chromophores, and Φ is the fluorescence quantum yield of the chromophores. η is the collection efficiency of the experimental set-up and accounts for the wavelength dependence of the detectors and optics as well as the difference in refractive indices between the solvents in which the reference and sample compounds are dissolved. The measurements were conducted in a regime where the fluorescence signal showed a quadratic dependence on the intensity of the excitation beam, as expected for two-photon induced emission. For the calibration of the two-photon absorption spectra, the two-photon excited fluorescence signal of each compound was recorded at the same excitation wavelength as that used for standards (i.e. $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 782$ nm for fluorescein). The laser intensity was in the range of $0.2\text{-}2 \times 10^9$ W/cm². The experimental error on the reported cross section is 15 %.

The photopolymerization was monitored *in situ* by Fourier transform real-time infrared spectroscopy (FT-RTIR) with a Thermo-Nicolet 6700 instrument IR-spectrometer. The laminated formulations are sandwiched between two polypropylene films (10 μm thick) separated by a round teflon spacer of 25 μm thickness. The sample is then positioned between two BaF₂ pellets and irradiated at 440 nm using 100 W Mercury-Xenon Lamp (Hamamatsu, L2422-02) equipped with a band pass filter (FB440-10 from Thorlabs). The conversion rates are obtained from the disappearance of the progressive vinyl C=C stretching vibration band at 1630 cm⁻¹.

The 3D lithographic microfabrication was carried out using a Zeiss Axio Observer D1 inverted microscope. The two-photon excitation was performed at 800 nm using respectively a mode-locked Ti: Sapphire oscillator (Coherent, Chameleon Ultra II: pulse duration: ~140 fs; repetition rate: 80 MHz). The incident beam was focused through a 0.65 NA objective (40 X) which leads to a radial spot size 600 nm at $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 800$ nm ($1/e^2$ Gaussian). A drop of the resin

is deposited on a cover slip which is mounted on a 3D piezoelectric stage allowing the translation relative to the laser focal point. The intensity of the incoming laser is controlled with the use of an acousto-optic modulator. The displacement of the sample and all photonic parameters (*i.e.* excitation power and irradiation times) are computer-controlled. This entire lithography set-up was purchased from Teemphotronics Company.

The theoretical absorption spectra have been computed based on Density Functional Theory (DFT) and Time-Dependent DFT (TDDFT). The overall computation strategy was defined as follows: After initial AM1 optimization calculations (vacuum), subsequent optimization of geometrical structures of the derivatives were carried out using the PBE0/6-31G(d) level of calculation. (Note that PBE0 is a good functional for the description of photofunctional molecules⁴⁸). Finally, the TDDFT vertical transitions have been computed using the same level of calculations. All calculations have been performed using GAUSSIAN 09 package.⁴⁹

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed on a D2 Phaser Bruker AXS equipped with a Linxeye detector using a Ni-filtered CuK α radiation ($\lambda=0.15406$ nm). XRD was operated at an accelerating voltage of 30 kV and a current of 10 mA with the 2θ angle ranging from 5° to 40° at the scanning speed of $0.8^\circ/\text{min}$ (0.02° intervals). Refractive indices were measured at room temperature using a Prism Coupler (Model: 2010/M, Metricon) at three different wavelengths ($\lambda=632.8, 1320$ and 1550 nm).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the meso-centre 'EQUIPEX@MESO' of Strasbourg University for access to HPC resources. We also gratefully thank Dr Sophie Guillaume and Cedric Jaffredo, from Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes for SEC analysis. Dr Christine Baudequin from Université de Rouen as well as Adrien Morrelec-Le Men and Benoît Quinton, students at IUT Lannion, are acknowledged for preliminary synthesis and optical measurements. Bresmat network is also acknowledged for funding.

REFERENCES

1. (a) B. H. Cumpston, S. P.; Ananthavel, S. Barlow, D. L. Dyer, J. E. Ehrlich, L. L. Erskine, A. A. Heika, S. M. Kuebler, I.-Y. S. Lee, D. McCord-Maughon, J. Qin, H. Röckel, M. Rumi, X.-L. Wu, S. R. Marder and J. W. Perry, *Nature*, 1999, **398**, 51-54. (b) S. Kawata, H. B. Sun, T. Tanaka and K. Takada, *Nature*, 2001, **412**, 697-698. (c) H. B. Sun and S. Kawata, *Two-Photon Photopolymerization and 3D Lithographic Microfabrication*; Springer-Verlag ed. Berlin, 2004; Vol. 170.
2. (a) H. A. Collins, M. Khurana, E. H. Moriyama, A. Mariampillai, E. Dahlstedt, M. Balaz, M. K. Kuimova, M. Drobizhev, V. X. D. Yang, D. Phillips, A. Rebane, B. C. Wilson and H. L. Anderson *Nature Photonics*, 2008, **2**, 420-424. (b) J. R. Starkey, A. K. Rebane, M. A. Drobizhev, F. Meng, A. Gong, A. Elliott, K. McInnerney and C. W. Spangler *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2008, **14**, 6564-6573. (c) G. Garcia, F. Hammerer, F. Poyer, S. Achelle, M.-P. Teulade-Fichou and P. Maillard *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2013, **21**, 153-165.
3. (a) S. Yao and K. D. Belfield, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2012, 3199-3217. (b) S. Sumalekshmy and C. J. Fahrni, *Chem. Mater.* 2011, **23**, 483-500. (c) M. Drobizhev, N. S. Makarov, S. E. Tillo, T. E. Hughes and A. Rebane *Nature Meth.* 2011, **8**, 393-399.
4. (a) C. N. LaFratta, J. T. Fourkas, T. Baldacchini and R. A. Farrer *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2007, **46**, 6238-6258. (b) K. D. Belfield, X. Ren, E. W. V. Stryland, D. J. Hagan, V. Dubikovskiy and E. J. Miesak *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000, **122**, 1217-1218. (c) K. D. Belfield and K. J. Schafer, *Chem. Mater.* 2002, **14**, 3656-3662. (d) M. Jin, J.-P. Malval, D. L. Versace, F. Morlet-Savary, H. Chaumeil, A. Defoin, X. Allonas and J. P. Fouassier, *Chem. Comm.* 2008, **48**, 6540-6542. (e) J.-P. Malval, M. Jin, F. Morlet-Savary, H. Chaumeil, A. Defoin, O. Soppera, T. Scheul, M. Bouriau and P. L. Baldeck *Chem. Mater.* 2011, **23**, 3411-3420. (f) J.-P. Malval, F. Morlet-Savary, H. Chaumeil, L. Balan, D.-L. Versace, M. Jin and A. Defoin *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2009, **113**, 20812-20821. (g) R. Xia, J.-P. Malval, M. Jin, A. Spangenberg, D. Wan, H. Pu, T. Vergote, F. Morlet-Savary, H. Chaumeil, P. Baldeck, O. Poizat and O. Soppera *Chem. Mater.* 2012, **24**, 237-244.
5. K. J. Schafer, J. M. Hales, M.; Balu, K. D. Belfield, E. W. V. Stryland and D. J. Hagan *J. Photochem. Photobio. A: Chem.* 2004, **162**, 497-502.
6. (a) J. Kabatc and J. Paczkowski *Macromolecules* 2005, **38**, 9985-9992. (b) K.-S. Lee, D.-Y. Yang, S. H. Park and R. H. Kim *Polym. Adv. Technol.* 2006, **17**, 72-82. (c) K.-S. Lee, R. H. Kim, D.-Y. Yang and S. H. Park *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 2008, **33**, 631-681. (d) H. Yuan, Y. Zhao and F. Wu *Chem. Mater.* 2012, **24**, 1371-1377. (e) K. D. Belfield K. J. Schafer, Y. Liu, J. Liu, X. Ren and E. W. V. Stryland *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* 2000, **13**, 837-849. (f) C. N. LaFratta, T. Baldacchini, R. A. Farrer, J. T. Fourkas, M. C. Teich, B. E. A. Saleh and M. J. Naughton *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2004, **108**, 11256-11258.
7. (a) J. P. Fouassier, *Photoinitiation, Photopolymerization, and Photocuring*; Hanser: Munich, 1995. (b) M. Shirai and M. Tsunooka *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 1996, **21**, 1-45.
8. (a) G. S. He, L.-S. Tan, Q Zheng and P. N. Prasad, *Chem. Rev.* 2008, **108**, 1245-1330. (b) M. Pawlicki, H. A. Collins, R. G. Denning and H. L. Anderson *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, **48**, 3244-3266.
9. (a) D. Beljonne, W. Wenseleers, E. Zojer, Z. Shuai, H. Vogel, S. J. K. Pond, J. W. Perry, S. R. Marder and J. L. Brédas *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2002, **12**, 631-641. (b) F. Terenziani, C. Katan, E. Badaeva, S. Tretiak and M. Blanchard-Desce, *Adv. Mater.* 2008, **20**, 4641-4678. (c) M. Rumi, J. E. Ehrlich, A. Heikal, J. W. Perry, S. Barlow, Z. Y. Hu, D. McCord-Maughon, T. C. Parker, H. Rockel, S. Thayumanavan, S. R. Marder, D. Beljonne and J. L. Brédas *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000, **122**, 9500-9510. (e) C. Katan, F. Terenziani, O. Mongin, M. H. V. Werts, L. Porrès, T. Pons, J. Mertz, S. Tretiak and M. Blanchard-Desce *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2005, **109**, 3024-3037. (f) C. Katan, S. Tretiak, M. H. V. Werts, A. J. Bain, R. J. Marsh, N. Leonczek, N. Nicolaou, E. Badaeva, O. Mongin and M. Blanchard-Desce *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2007, **111**, 9468-9483. (g) M. Johnsen, M. J. Paterson, J. Arnbjerg, O. Christiansen, C. B. Nielsen, M. Jorgensen and P. R. Ogilby *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2008, **10**, 1177-1191.
10. P. Savel, H. Akdas-Kilig, J.-P. Malval, A. Spangenberg, T. Roisnel and J.-L. Fillaut *J. Mater. Chem. C* 2014, **2**, 295-305.

11. (a) S.-J. Chung, M. Rumi, V. Alain, S. Barlow, J. W. Perry and S. R. Marder *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, **127**, 10844-10845. (b) F. Stellacci, C. A. Bauer, T. Meyer-Friedrichsen, W. Wenseleers, S. R. Marder and J. W. Perry *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, **125**, 328-329.
12. (a) M. Drobizhev, A. Karotki, Y. Dzenis, A. Rebane, Z. Suo and C. W. Spangler *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2003, **107**, 7540-7543. (b) M. Drobizhev, A. Rebane, Z. Suo and C. W. Spangler *J. Lumin.* 2005, **111**, 291-305. (c) O. Varnavski, X. Yan, O. Mongin, M. Blanchard-Desce and T. Goodson *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2006, **111**, 149-162.
13. G. P. Bartholomew, M. Rumi, S. J. K. Pond, J. W. Perry, S. Tretiak and G. C. Bazan *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, **126**, 11529-11542.
14. (a) R. E. Martin and F. Diederich *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1999, **38**, 1350-1377. (b) X. Li, X. Zhang, W. Li, Y. Wang, T. Liu, B. Zhang and W. Yang *J. Mater. Chem.* 2011, **21**, 3916-3924. (c) M. G. Vivas, S. L. Nogueira, H. S. Silva, N. M. Barbosa Neto, A. Marletta, F. Serein-Spirau, S. Lois, T. Jarrosson, L. De Boni, R. A. Silva and C. R. Mendonca *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2011, **115**, 12687-12693. (d) J. Pina and J. S. Seixas de Melo *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2009, **11**, 8706-8713. (e) H. Meier *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2005, **44**, 2482-2506.
15. (a) P. Najechalski, Y. Morel, O. Stéphan and P. L. Baldeck *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2001, **343**, 44-48. (b) Y. Morel, O. Stéphan, C. Andraud and P. L. Baldeck *Synth. Met.* 2001, **124**, 237-239.
16. M. Williams-Harry, A. Bhaskar, G. Ramakrishna, T. Goodson, M. Imamura, A. Mawatari, K. Nakao, H. Enozawa, T. Nishinaga and M. Iyoda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, **130**, 3252-3253.
17. M.-C. Yoon, S. B. Noh, A. Tsuda, Y. Nakamura, A. Osuka, D. Kim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, **129**, 10080-10081.
18. (a) J. M. Fréchet, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem.* 2003, **41**, 3713-3725. (b) J. C. García-Martínez, E. Díez-Barra and J. Rodríguez-López *Curr. Org. Synth.* 2008, **5**, 267-290.
19. S. Achelle, I. Noura, B. Pfaffinger, Y. Ramondenc, N. Plé and J. Rodríguez-López *J. Org. Chem.* 2009, **74**, 3711-3717.
20. J.-J. Vanden Eynde, L. Pascal, Y. Van Haverbeke and P. Dubois *Synth. Commun.* 2001, **31**, 3167-3173.
21. (a) C. Hadad, S. Achelle, J. C. García-Martínez, J. Rodríguez-López *J. Org. Chem.* 2011, **76**, 3837-3845. (b) A. I. Aranda, S. Achelle, F. Hammerer, F. Mahuteau-Betzer and M.-P. Teulade-Fichou *Dyes Pigm.* 2012, **95**, 400-407. (c) S. Achelle, A. Barsella, C. Baudequin, B. Caro and F. Robin-le Guen *J. Org. Chem.* 2012, **77**, 4087-4096. (d) S. Achelle and F.; Robin-le Guen *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2013, **54**, 4491-4496.
22. A. S. Davidov, *Theory of molecular excitons*; Plenum Press: New York, 1971.
23. S. Achelle, J.-P. Malval, S. Aloïse, A. Barsella, A. Spangenberg, L. Mager, H. Akdas-Kilig, J.-L. Fillaut, B. Caro and Robin-le Guen *Chem. Phys. Chem.* 2013, **14**, 2725-2736.
24. (a) R. S. Becker, J. Seixas de Melo, A. L. Maçanita and F. Elisei *J. Phys. Chem.* 1996, **100**, 18683-18695. (b) A. Facchetti, M.-H. Yoon, C. L. Stern, G. R. Hutchison, M. A. Ratner and T. J. Marks, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, **126**, 13480-13501.
25. (a) N. Aratani, A. Osuka, Y. H. Kim, D. H. Jeong and D. Kim, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2000, **39**, 1458-1462. (b) N. W. Song, H. S. Cho, M. C. Yoon, N. Aratani, A. Osuka and D. Kim, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 2002, **23**, 271-276. (c) D. H. Yoon, S. B. Lee, K. H. Yoo, J. Kim, J. K. Lim, N. Aratani, A. Tsuda, A. Osuka and D. Kim *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, **125**, 11062-11064. (d) H. S. Cho, D. H. Jeong, S. Cho, D. Kim, Y. Matsuzaki, K. Tanaka, A. Tsuda and A. Osuka *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, **124**, 14642-14654.
26. (a) J.-S. Yang, S.-Y. Chiou and K.-L. Liao *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, **124**, 2518-2527. (b) M. Jin, H. Hong, J. Xie, J.-P. Malval, A. Spangenberg, O. Soppera, D. Wan, H. Pu, D.-L. Versace, T. Leclerc, P. L. Baldeck, O. Poizat and S. Knopf *Polym. Chem.* 2014, DOI: 10.1039/C4PY00424H
27. J. R. Platt, *J. Chem. Phys.* 1949, **17**, 484-487.
28. R. P. Subrayan, J. W. Kampf and P. G. Rasmussen *J. Org. Chem.* 1994, **59**, 4341-4345.
29. (a) J. Rotzler, D. Vonlanthen, A. Barsella, A. Boeglin, A. Fort and M. Mayor *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2010, 1096-1110. (b) J.-P. Malval, V. Diemer, F. Morlet-Savary, P. Jacques, H. Chaumeil, A. Defoin, C. Carre and O. Poizat *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2010, **114**, 2401-2411.
30. M. Kasha, H. R. Rawls and M. El-Bayoumi *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1965, **11**, 371-392.
31. C. Xu and W. W. Webb *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* 1996, **13**, 481-491.

32. (a) M. G. Kuzyk *J. Chem. Phys.* 2003, **119**, 8327-8334. (b) J. P. Moreno and M. G. Kuzyk *J. Chem. Phys.* 2005, **123**, 194101.
33. K. Nakao, M. Nishimura, T. Tamachi, Y. Kuwatani, H. Miyasaka, T. Nishinaga and M. Iyoda *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, **128**, 16740-16747.
34. (a) R. Dessauer In *Advances in Photochemistry*; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: 2005, p 129-261. (b) B. M. Monroe and G. C. Weed *Chem. Rev.* 1993, **93**, 435-448.
35. (a) H. Miyasaka, Y. Satoh, Y. Ishibashi, S. Ito, Y. Nagasawa, S. Taniguchi, H. Chosrowjan, N. Mataga, D. Kato, A. Kikuchi and J. Abe *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, **131**, 7256-7263. (b) A. D. Liu, A. D. Trifunac and V. V. Krongauz *J. Phys. Chem.* 1992, **96**, 207-211.
36. (a) M. Kawano, T. Sano, J. Abe and Y. Ohashi *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999, **121**, 8106-8107. (b) J. V. Caspar, I. V. Khudyakov, N. J. Turro and G. C. Weed *Macromolecules* 1995, **28**, 636-641.
37. (a) D. F. Eaton, A. Gafney Horgan and J. P. Horgan *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A* 1991, **58**, 373-391. (b) S. Suzuki, T. Urano, K. Ito, T. Murayama, I. Hotta, S. Takahara and T. Yamaoka *J. Photopolym. Sci. Tech.* 2004, **17**, 125-130. (c) S. Suzuki, T. Urano, N. Miyagawa, S. Takahara and T. Yamaoka *J. Photopolym. Sci. Tech.* 2001, **14**, 259-262.
38. H. Fischer and L. Radom *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2001, **40**, 1340-1371.
39. (a) D. D. M. Wayner, J. J. Dannenberg and D. Griller *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1986, **131**, 189-191. (b) D. D. M. Wayner and D. Griller *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1985, **107**, 7764-7765. (c) J.-P. Malval, M. Jin, L. Balan, R. Schneider, D.-L. Versace, H. Chaumeil, A. Defoin and O. Soppera *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2010, **114**, 10396-10402.
40. (a) Y. Lin, A. Liu, A. D. Trifunac and V. V. Krongauz *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1992, **198**, 200-206. (b) X. Li, Y. Zhao, J. Wu, M. Shi and F. Wu *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A* 2007, **190**, 22-28. (c) Y. Zhao, X. Li, F. Wu and X. Fang *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A* 2006, **177**, 12-16. (d) S. Berdzinski, N. Strehmel, H. Lindauer, V. Strehmel and B. Strehmel *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **2014**, DOI :10.1039/C3PP50379H
41. J. R. Lakowicz *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*; Third ed.; Springer Science: New York, 2006.
42. (a) C. Martineau, R. Anémian, C. Andraud, I. Wang, M. Bouriau and P. L. Baldeck *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2002, **362**, 291-295. (b) C. Martineau, G. Lemercier, C. Andraud, I. Wang, M. Bouriau and P. L. Baldeck *Synth. Met.* 2003, **138**, 353-356. (c) S. A. Pruzinsky and V. Braun *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2005, **15**, 1995-2004.
43. (a) A. Yella, R. Humphry-Baker, B. F. E. Curchod, N. Ashari Astani, J. Teuscher, L. E. Polander, S. Mathew, J.-E. Moser, I. Tavernelli, U. Rothlisberger, M. Grätzel, M. K. Nazeeruddin and J. Frey *Chem. Mater.* 2013, **25**, 2733-2739. (b) J.-P. Malval, J.-P. Morand, R. Lapouyade, W. Rettig, G. Jonusauskas, J. Oberle, C. Trieflinger and J. Daub *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 2004, **3**, 939-948. (c) J.-P. Malval, R. Lapouyade, J.-M. Leger and C. Jarry *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 2003, **2**, 259-266.
44. R. Meech and D. Phillips *J. Photochem.* 1983, **23**, 193-217.
45. T. J. V. Prazeres, A. Fedorov, S. P. Barbosa, J. M. G. Martinho and M. N. Berberan-Santos *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2008, **112**, 5034-5039.
46. D. V. Connor and D. Phillips *Time correlated single photon counting*, Academic Press: London, 1984.
47. M. A. Albota, C. Xu and W. W. Webb *Appl. Opt.* 1998, **37**, 7352-7356.
48. S. Aloïse, Z. Pawlowska, C. Ruckebusch, M. Sliwa, J. Dubois, O. Poizat, G. Buntinx, A. Perrier, F. Maurel, P. Jacques, J.-P. Malval, L. Poisson, G. Piani and J. Abe *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2012, **14**, 1945-1956.
49. M. J. Frisch, In *Gaussian 09, Revision B.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT Wallingford CT, 2009.