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# On $p$-almost direct products and residual properties of pure braid groups of nonorientable surfaces 

Paolo Bellingeri and Sylvain Gervais


#### Abstract

We prove that the $n^{\text {th }}$ pure braid group of a nonorientable surface (closed or with boundary, but different from $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}$ ) is residually 2 -finite. Consequently, this group is residually nilpotent. The key ingredient in the closed case is the notion of $p$-almost direct product, which is a generalization of the notion of almost direct product. We prove therefore also some results on lower central series and augmentation ideals of $p$-almost direct products.


## 1 Introduction

Let $M$ be a surface (orientable or not, possibly with boundary) and $F_{n}(M)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in M^{n} / x_{i} \neq\right.$ $x_{j}$ for $\left.i \neq j\right\}$ its $n^{\text {th }}$ configuration space. The fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(F_{n}(M)\right)$ is called the $n^{\text {th }}$ pure braid group of $M$ and shall be denoted by $P_{n}(M)$.

The mapping class group $\Gamma(M)$ of $M$ is the group of isotopy classes of homeomorphisms $h: M \longrightarrow M$ which are identity on the boundary. Let $\mathcal{X}_{n}=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}$ a set of $n$ distinguished points in the interior of $M$; the pure mapping class group $\operatorname{P} \Gamma\left(M, \mathcal{X}_{n}\right)$ relatively to $\mathcal{X}_{n}$ is the group of the isotopy classes of homeomorphisms $h: M \longrightarrow M$ satisfying $h\left(z_{i}\right)=z_{i}$ for all $i$ : since this group does not depend on the choice of the set $\mathcal{X}_{n}$ but only on its cardinality we can write $\mathrm{P}_{n} \Gamma(M)$ instead of $\mathrm{P} \Gamma\left(M, \mathcal{X}_{n}\right)$. Forgetting the marked points, we get a morphism $\mathrm{P}_{n} \Gamma(M) \longrightarrow \Gamma(M)$ whose kernel is known to be isomorphic to $P_{n}(M)$ when $M$ is not a sphere, a torus, a projective plane or a Klein bottle (see [Sc, GJ]).

Now, recall that if $\mathcal{P}$ is a group-theoretic property, then a group $G$ is said to be residually $\mathcal{P}$ if, for all $g \in G, g \neq 1$, there exists a group homomorphism $\varphi: G \longrightarrow H$ such that $H$ is $\mathcal{P}$ and $\varphi(g) \neq 1$. We are interested in this paper to the following properties: nilpotence, being free and being a finite $p$-group for a prime number $p$ (mostly $p=2$ ). Recall that, if for subgroups $H$ and $K$ of $G,[H, K]$ is the subgroup generated by $\{[h, k] /(h, k) \in H \times K\}$ where $[h, k]=h^{-1} k^{-1} h k$, the lower central series of $G,\left(\Gamma_{k} G\right)_{k \geq 1}$, is defined inductively by $\Gamma_{1} G=G$ and $\Gamma_{k+1} G=\left[G, \Gamma_{k} G\right]$. It is well known that $G$ is residually nilpotent if, and only if, $\bigcap_{k=1}^{+\infty} \Gamma_{k} G=\{1\}$. From the lower central series of $G$ one can define another filtration $D_{1}(G) \supseteq D_{2}(G) \supseteq \ldots$ setting $D_{1}(G)=G$, and for $i \geq 2$, defining $D_{i}(G)=\left\{x \in G \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, x^{n} \in \Gamma_{i}(G)\right\}$. After Garoufalidis and Levine [GLe], this filtration is called rational lower central series of $G$ and a group $G$ is residually torsion-free nilpotent if, and only if, $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{i}(G)=\{1\}$.

When $M$ is an orientable surface of positive genus (possibly with boundary) or a disc with holes, it is proved in $[\mathrm{BGG}]$ and $[\mathrm{BB}]$ that $P_{n}(M)$ is residually torsion-free nilpotent for all $n \geq 1$. The fact that a

[^0]group is residually torsion-free nilpotent has several important consequences, notably that the group is bi-orderable $[\mathrm{MR}]$ and residually $p$-finite [Gr]. The goal of this article is to study the nonorientable case and, more precisely, to prove the following:

Theorem 1 The $n^{\text {th }}$ pure braid group of a nonorientable surface different from $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$ is residually 2-finite.
In the case of $P_{n}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ we give some partial results at the end of Section 4. Since a finite 2-group is nilpotent, a residually 2 -finite group is residually nilpotent. Thus, we have

Corollary 1 The $n^{\text {th }}$ pure braid group of a nonorientable surface different from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is residually nilpotent.

Remark that in [Go] it was shown that the $n^{\text {th }}$ pure braid group of a nonorientable surface is not bi-orderable and therefore it is not residually torsion-free nilpotent. Let us notice also that if pure braid groups of nonorientable surfaces with boundary are residually $p$ for a prime $p \neq 2$ therefore pure braid groups of nonorientable closed surfaces are also residually $p$ (Remark 3); however since the technique proposed in the nonorientable case applies only for $p=2$, the question if pure braid groups of nonorientable surfaces are residually $p$ for $p \neq 2$ is still open (recall that there are groups residually $p$ for infinitely many primes $p$ which are not residually torsion-free nilpotent, see $[H]$ ).

Remark that one can prove that finite type invariants separate classical braids using the fact that the pure braid group $P_{n}$ is residually nilpotent without torsion (see [Pa]). Moreover using above residual properties it is possible to construct algebraically a universal finite type invariant over $\mathbb{Z}$ on the classical braid group $B_{n}$ (see [Pa]). Similar constructions were afterwards proposed for braids on orientable surfaces (see [BF, GP]): in a further paper we will explore the relevance of Theorem 1 in the realm of finite type invariants over $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for braids on non orientable surfaces.

From now on, $M=N_{g, b}$ is a nonorientable surface of genus $g$ with $b$ boundary components, simply denoted by $N_{g}$ when $b=0$. We will see $N_{g}$ as a sphere $S^{2}$ with $g$ open discs removed and $g$ Möbius strips glued on each circle (see figure 2 where each crossed disc represents a Möbius strip). The surface $N_{g, b}$ is obtained from $N_{g}$ by removing $b$ open discs. The mapping class groups $\Gamma\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ and pure mapping class group $P_{n} \Gamma\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ will be denoted respectively $\Gamma_{g, b}$ and $\Gamma_{g, b}^{n}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1 for surfaces with boundary: following what the authors did in the orientable case (see [BGG]), we embed $P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ in a Torelli group. The difference here is that we must consider mod 2 Torelli groups. In Section 3 we introduce the notion of $p$-almost direct product, which generalizes the notion of almost direct product (see Definition 1) and we prove some results on lower central series and augmentations ideals of $p$-almost direct products (Theorems 4 and 5) that can be compared with similar results on almost direct products (Theorem 3.1 in [FR] and Theorem 3.1 in $[\mathrm{Pa}]$ ).

In Section 4, the existence of a split exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow P_{n-1}\left(N_{g, 1}\right) \longrightarrow P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(N_{g}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

and results from Section 2 and 3 are used to prove Theorem 1 in the closed case (Theorem 7). The method is similar to the one developed for orientable surface in $[\mathrm{BB}]$ : the difference will be that the semi-direct product $P_{n-1}\left(N_{g, 1}\right) \rtimes \pi_{1}\left(N_{g}\right)$ is a 2-almost-direct product (and not an almost-direct product as in the case of closed oriented surfaces).

For proving the main result of the paper, we will also need a group presentation for $P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ when $b \geq 1$. Although generators of this group seem to be known, we could not find a group presentation in the literature. Thus, we give one in the Appendix (Theorem A).
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## 2 The case of non-empty boundary

In this section, $N=N_{g, b}$ is a nonorientable surface of genus $g \geq 1$ with boundary (ie $b \geq 1$ ). In this case, one has $P_{n}(N)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Gamma_{g, b}^{n} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g, b}\right)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

### 2.1 Notations

We will follow notations from [PS]. A simple closed curve in $N$ is an embedding $\alpha: S^{1} \longrightarrow N \backslash \partial N$. Such a curve is said two-sided (resp. one-sided) if it admits a regular neighborhood homeomorphic to an annulus (resp. a Möbius strip). We shall consider the following elements in $\Gamma_{g, b}$.

- If $\alpha$ is a two-sided simple closed curve in $N, \tau_{\alpha}$ is a Dehn twist along $\alpha$.
- Let $\mu$ and $\alpha$ be two simple closed curves such that $\mu$ is one-sided, $\alpha$ is two-sided and $|\alpha \cap \mu|=1$. A regular neighborhood $K$ (resp. $M$ ) of $\alpha \cup \mu$ (resp. $\mu$ ) is diffeomorphic to a Klein bottle with one hole (resp. a Möbius strip). Pushing $M$ once along $\alpha$, we get a diffeomorphism of $K$ fixing the boundary: it can be extended via the identity to $N$. Such a diffeomorphism is called a crosscap slide, and denoted by $Y_{\mu, \alpha}$.
- Consider a one-sided simple closed curve $\mu$ containing exactly one marked point $z_{i}$. Sliding $z_{i}$ once along $\mu$, we get a diffeomorphism $S_{\mu}$ of $N$ which is identity outside a regular neighborhood of $\mu$. Such a diffeomorphism will be called puncture slide along $\mu$.


### 2.2 Blowup homomorphism

In this section, we recall the construction of the Blowup homomorphism $\eta_{g, b}^{n}: \Gamma_{g, b}^{n} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g+n, b}$ given in [Sz1], [Sz2] and [PS].

Let $U=\{z \in \mathbb{C} /|z| \leq 1\}$ and, for $i=1, \ldots, n$, fix an embedding $e_{i}: U \longrightarrow N$ such that $e_{i}(0)=z_{i}$, $e_{i}(U) \cap e_{j}(U)=\emptyset$ if $i \neq j$ and $e_{i}(U) \cap \partial N=\emptyset$ for all $i$. If we remove the interior of each $e_{i}(U)$ (thus getting the surface $N_{g, b+n}$ ) and identify, for each $z \in \partial U, e_{i}(z)$ with $e_{i}(-z)$, we get a nonorientable surface of genus $g+n$ with $b$ boundary components, that is to say a surface homeomorphic to $N_{g+n, b}$. Let us denote by $\gamma_{i}=e_{i}\left(S^{1}\right)$ the boundary of $e_{i}(U)$, and by $\mu_{i}$ its image in $N_{g+n, b}$ : it is a one-sided simple closed curve.

Now, let $h$ be an element of $\Gamma_{g, b}^{n}$. It can be represented by a homeomorphism $N_{g, b} \longrightarrow N_{g, b}$, still denoted $h$, such that
(1) $h\left(e_{i}(z)\right)=e_{i}(z)$ if $h$ preserves local orientation at $z_{i}$;
(2) $h\left(e_{i}(z)\right)=e_{i}(\bar{z})$ if $h$ reverses local orientation at $z_{i}$.

Such a homeomorphism $h$ commutes with the identification leading to $N_{g+n, b}$ and thus induces an element $\eta(h) \in \Gamma_{g+n, b}$. It is proved in [Sz2] that the map $\eta_{g, b}^{n}=\eta: \Gamma_{g, b}^{n} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g+n, b}$ which sends $h$ to $\eta(h)$ is well defined for $n=1$, but the proof also works for $n>1$. This homomorphism is called blowup homomorphism.

Proposition 1 The blowup homomorphism $\eta_{g, b}^{n}: \Gamma_{g, b}^{n} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g+n, b}$ is injective if $(g+n, b) \neq(2,0)$.

REmARK 1 This result is proved in [Sz1] for $(g, b)=(0,1)$, but the proof can be adapted in our case as follows.

Proof. Suppose that $h: N_{g, b} \longrightarrow N_{g, b}$ is a homeomorphism satisfying $h\left(z_{i}\right)=z_{i}$ for all $i$ and $\eta(h): N_{g+n, b} \longrightarrow N_{g+n, b}$ is isotopic to identity. Then $h$ is isotopic to a map equal to identity on $e_{i}(U)$ for all $i$ (otherwise, $\mu_{i}$ is isotopic to $\mu_{i}^{-1}$ since $\eta(h)\left(\mu_{i}\right)$ is isotopic to $\mu_{i}$ ) and its restriction to $N_{g, b+n}$ is an element of the kernel of the natural map $\Gamma_{g, b+n} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g+n, b}$ induced by glueing a Möbius strip on $n$ boundary components. However, this kernel is generated by the Dehn twists along the curves $\gamma_{i}$ (see [St, theorem 3.6]). Now, any $\gamma_{i}$ bounds a disc with one marked point in $N_{g, b}$ : the corresponding Dehn twist is trivial in $\Gamma_{g, b}$ and therefore $h$ is isotopic to identity.

### 2.3 Embedding $P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ in $\Gamma_{g+n+2(b-1), 1}$

Gluing a one-holed torus onto $b-1$ boundary components of $N_{g, b}$, we get $N_{g, b}$ as a subsurface of $N_{g+2(b-1), 1}$. This inclusion induces a homomorphism $\chi_{g, b}: \Gamma_{g, b} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g+2(b-1), 1}$ which is injective (see [St]). Thus, the composed map $\lambda_{g, b}^{n}=\chi_{g+n, b} \circ \eta_{g, b}^{n}: \Gamma_{g, b}^{n} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g+n+2(b-1), 1}$ is also injective.

Recall that the $\bmod p$ Torelli group $I_{p}\left(N_{g, 1}\right)$ is the subgroup of $\Gamma_{g, 1}$ defined as the kernel of the action of $\Gamma_{g, 1}$ on $H_{1}\left(N_{g, 1} ; \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\right)$. In the following we will consider in particular the case of the mod 2 Torelli group $I_{2}\left(N_{g, 1}\right)$.

Proposition 2 If $b \geq 1, \lambda_{g, b}^{n}\left(P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)\right)$ is a subgroup of the Torelli subgroup $I_{2}\left(N_{g+n+2(b-1), 1}\right)$.


Figure 1: Image of the generators of $P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ in $\Gamma_{g+n+2(b-1), 1}$

Proof. The image of the generators (see figures 2, 6 and theorem A) $\left(B_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq i<j \leq n},\left(\rho_{k, l}\right)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ and $\left(x_{u, t}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq u \leq n \\ 1 \leq t \leq b-1}}$ of $P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ under $\lambda_{g, b}^{n}$ are respectively (see figure 1 ):

* Dehn twist along curves $\beta_{i, j}$, which bound a subsurface homeomorphic to $N_{2,1}$;
* crosscap slides $Y_{\mu_{k}, \alpha_{k, l}}$;
* the product $\tau_{\xi_{u, t}} \tau_{\delta_{t}}^{-1}$ of Dehn twists along the bounding curves $\xi_{u, t}$ and $\delta_{t}$.

According to [Sz2], all these elements are in the mod 2 Torelli subgroup $I_{2}\left(N_{g+n+2(b-1), 1}\right)$.

Remark 2 The embedding provided in Proposition 2 does not hold for $I_{p}\left(N_{g+n+2(b-1), 1}\right)$, when $p \neq 2$ : for exemple, the cross slide $Y_{\mu_{k}, \alpha_{k, l}}$ is not in the $\bmod p$ Torelli subgroup since it sends $\mu_{k}$ to $\mu_{k}^{-1}$.

### 2.4 Conclusion of the proof

We shall use the following result, which is a straightforward consequence of a similar result for mod $p$ Torelli groups of orientable surfaces due to L. Paris [P]:

Theorem 2 Let $g \geq 1$. The mod $p$ Torelli group $I_{p}\left(N_{g, 1}\right)$ is residually p-finite.
Proof. We use Dehn-Nielsen-Baer Theorem (see for instance Theorem 5.15.3 of [CVZ]) which states that $\Gamma_{g, 1}$ embeds in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\pi_{1}\left(N_{g, 1}\right)\right)$. Since $\pi_{1}\left(N_{g, 1}\right)$ is free we can apply Theorem 1.4 in [P] which claims that if $G$ is a free group, its mod $p$ Torelli group (i.e. the kernel of the canonical map from $A u t(G)$ to $G L\left(H_{1}\left(G, \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right)$ is residually $p$-finite. Therefore $I_{p}\left(N_{g, 1}\right)$ is residually $p$-finite.

Theorem 3 Let $g \geq 1, b>0, n \geq 1 . P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ is residually 2-finite.
Proof. The group $P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ is a subgroup of $I_{2}\left(N_{g+n+2(b-1), 1}\right)$ by Proposition 2 and by injectivity of the $\operatorname{map} \lambda_{g, b}^{n}$. Then by Theorem 2 it follows that $P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ is residually 2-finite.

## $3 \quad$-almost direct products

### 3.1 On residually $p$-finite groups

Let $p$ be a prime number and $G$ a group. If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, we denote by $H^{p}$ the subgroup generated by $\left\{h^{p} / h \in H\right\}$. Following [P], we define the lower $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-linear central filtration $\left(\gamma_{n}^{p} G\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}}$ of $G$ by $\gamma_{1}^{p} G=G$ and, for $n \geq 1, \gamma_{n+1}^{p} G$ is the subgroup of $G$ generated by $\left[G, \gamma_{n}^{p} G\right] \cup\left(\gamma_{n}^{p} G\right)^{p}$. Note that the subgroups $\gamma_{n}^{p} G$ are characteristic in $G$ and that the quotient group $G / \gamma_{2}^{p} G$ is nothing but the first homology group $H_{1}\left(G ; \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. The followings are proved in $[\mathrm{P}]$ :

- for $m, n \geq 1,\left[\gamma_{m}^{p} G, \gamma_{n}^{p} G\right] \subset \gamma_{m+n}^{p} G$;
- a finitely generated group $G$ is a finite $p$-group if, and only if, there exists some $N \geq 1$ such that $\gamma_{N}^{p} G=\{1\} ;$
- a finitely generated group $G$ is residually $p$-finite if, and only if, ${ }_{n=1}^{+\infty} \gamma_{n}^{p} G=\{1\}$;
and clearly, if $f: G \longrightarrow G^{\prime}$ is a group homomorphism, then $f\left(\gamma_{n}^{p} G\right) \subset \gamma_{n}^{p} G^{\prime}$ for all $n \geq 1$.
Definition 1 Let $1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \underset{\sigma}{\lambda} C \longrightarrow 1$ be a split exact sequence.
- If the action of $C$ induced on $H_{1}(A ; \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial (i.e. the action is trivial on $A^{A b}=A /[A, A]$ ), we say that $B$ is an almost direct product of $A$ and $C$.
- If the action of $C$ induced on $H_{1}\left(A ; \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ is trivial (i.e. the action is trivial on $A / \gamma_{2}^{p} A$ ), we say that $B$ is a p-almost direct product of $A$ and $C$.

Let us remark that, as in the case of almost direct products (Proposition 6.3 of [BGoGu]), the fact to be a $p$-almost direct product does not depend on the choice of the section.

PROPOSITION 3 Let $1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{\lambda} C \longrightarrow 1$ be a split exact sequence of groups. Let $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ be sections for $\lambda$, and suppose that the induced action of $C$ on $A$ via $\sigma$ on $H_{1}\left(A ; \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ is trivial. Then the same is true for the section $\sigma^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $a \in A$ and $c \in C$. By hypothesis, $\sigma(c) a(\sigma(c))^{-1} \equiv a \bmod \gamma_{2}^{p} A$. Let $\sigma^{\prime}$ be another section for $\lambda$. Then $\lambda \circ \sigma^{\prime}(c)=\lambda \circ \sigma(c)$, and so $\sigma^{\prime}(c)(\sigma(c))^{-1} \in \operatorname{Ker}(\lambda)$. Thus there exists $a^{\prime} \in A$ such that $\sigma^{\prime}(c)=a^{\prime} \sigma(c)$, and hence

$$
\sigma^{\prime}(c) a\left(\sigma^{\prime}(c)\right)^{-1} \equiv a^{\prime} \sigma(c) a(\sigma(c))^{-1} a^{\prime-1} \equiv a^{\prime} a a^{\prime-1} \equiv a \bmod \gamma_{2}^{p} A
$$

Thus the induced action of $C$ on $H_{1}\left(A ; \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ via $\sigma^{\prime}$ is also trivial.
The first goal of this section is to prove the following Theorem (see Theorem 3.1 in [FR] for an analogous result for almost direct products).

THEOREM 4 Let $1 \longrightarrow A C B \underset{\sigma}{\lambda} C \longrightarrow 1$ be a split exact sequence where $B$ is a p-almost direct product of $A$ and $C$. Then, for all $n \geq 1$, one has a split exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow \gamma_{n}^{p} A \hookrightarrow \gamma_{n}^{p} B \underset{\sigma_{\sigma_{n}}}{\lambda_{n}} \gamma_{n}^{p} C \longrightarrow 1
$$

where $\lambda_{n}$ and $\sigma_{n}$ are restrictions of $\lambda$ and $\sigma$.
We shall need the following preliminary result.
Lemma 1 Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4, one has, for all $m, n \geq 1$

$$
\left[\gamma_{m}^{p} C^{\prime}, \gamma_{n}^{p} A\right] \subset \gamma_{m+n}^{p} A
$$

where $C^{\prime}$ denotes $\sigma(C)$.
Proof. First, we prove by induction on $n$ that $\left[C^{\prime}, \gamma_{n}^{p} A\right] \subset \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A$ for all $n \geq 1$. The cas $n=1$ corresponds to the hypotheses: the action of $C$ on $H_{1}\left(A ; \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=A / \gamma_{2}^{p} A$ is trivial if, and only if, $\left[C^{\prime}, A\right] \subset \gamma_{2}^{p} A$. Thus, suppose that $\left[C^{\prime}, \gamma_{n}^{p} A\right] \subset \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A$ for some $n \geq 1$ and let us prove that $\left[C^{\prime}, \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A\right] \subset \gamma_{n+2}^{p} A$. In view of the definition of $\gamma_{n+1}^{p} A$, we have to prove that $\left[C^{\prime},\left[A, \gamma_{n}^{p} A\right]\right] \subset \gamma_{n+2}^{p} A$ and $\left[C^{\prime},\left(\gamma_{n}^{p} A\right)^{p}\right] \subset \gamma_{n+2}^{p} A$. For the first case, we use a classical result (see [MKS], theorem 5.2) which says

$$
\left[C^{\prime},\left[A, \gamma_{n}^{p} A\right]\right]=\left[\gamma_{n}^{p} A,\left[C^{\prime}, A\right]\right]\left[A,\left[\gamma_{n}^{p} A, C^{\prime}\right]\right] .
$$

We have just seen that $\left[C^{\prime}, A\right] \subset \gamma_{2}^{p} A$ thus $\left[\gamma_{n}^{p} A,\left[C^{\prime}, A\right]\right] \subset\left[\gamma_{n}^{p} A, \gamma_{2}^{p} A\right] \subset \gamma_{n+2}^{p} A$. Then, the induction hypotheses says that $\left[\gamma_{n}^{p} A, C^{\prime}\right] \subset \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A$ thus $\left[A,\left[\gamma_{n}^{p} A, C^{\prime}\right]\right] \subset\left[A, \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A\right] \subset \gamma_{n+2}^{p} A$. The second case works as follows: for $c \in C^{\prime}$ and $x \in \gamma_{n}^{p} A$, one has, using the fact that $[u, v w]=[u, w][u, v][[u, v], w]$ (see [MKS])

$$
\left[c, x^{p}\right]=[c, x]\left[c, x^{p-1}\right]\left[\left[c, x^{p-1}\right], x\right]=\cdots=[c, x]^{p}[[c, x], x]\left[\left[c, x^{2}\right], x\right] \cdots\left[\left[c, x^{p-1}\right], x\right] .
$$

Since $c \in C^{\prime}$ and $x \in \gamma_{n}^{p} A$, one has $\left[c, x^{i}\right] \in\left[C^{\prime}, \gamma_{n}^{p} A\right] \subset \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A$ for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq p-1$, which leads to $[c, x]^{p} \in\left(\gamma_{n+1}^{p} A\right)^{p} \subset \gamma_{n+2}^{p} A$ and $\left[\left[c, x^{i}\right], x\right] \in\left[\gamma_{n+1}^{p} A, A\right] \subset \gamma_{n+2}^{p} A$.

Now, we suppose that $\left[\gamma_{m}^{p} C^{\prime}, \gamma_{n}^{p} A\right] \subset \gamma_{m+n}^{p} A$ for some $m \geq 1$ and all $n \geq 1$ and prove that $\left[\gamma_{m+1}^{p} C^{\prime}, \gamma_{n}^{p} A\right] \subset \gamma_{m+n+1}^{p} A$. As above, there are two cases which work on the same way:
(i) $\left[\left[C^{\prime}, \gamma_{m}^{p} C^{\prime}\right], \gamma_{n}^{p} A\right]=\left[\left[\gamma_{n}^{p} A, C^{\prime}\right], \gamma_{m}^{p} C^{\prime}\right]\left[\left[\gamma_{m}^{p} C^{\prime}, \gamma_{n}^{p} A\right], C^{\prime}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \subset\left[\gamma_{n+1}^{p} A, \gamma_{m}^{p} C^{\prime}\right]\left[\gamma_{m+n}^{p} A, C^{\prime}\right] \\
& \subset \gamma_{m+n+1}^{p} A .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) For $c \in \gamma_{m}^{p} C^{\prime}$ and $x \in \gamma_{n}^{p} A$, one has

$$
\left[c^{p}, x\right]=\left[c,\left[x, c^{p-1}\right]\right]\left[c^{p-1}, x\right][c, x]=\cdots=\left[c,\left[x, c^{p-1}\right]\right] \cdots[c,[x, c]][c, x]^{p}
$$

which is an element of $\gamma_{m+n+1}^{p} A$ by induction hypotheses.
Proof of Theorem 4. Restrictions of $\lambda$ and $\sigma$ give rise to morphisms $\lambda_{n}: \gamma_{n}^{p} B \longrightarrow \gamma_{n}^{p} C$ and $\sigma_{n}: \gamma_{n}^{p} C \longrightarrow \gamma_{n}^{p} B$ satisfying $\lambda_{n} \circ \sigma_{n}=\operatorname{Id}_{\gamma_{n}^{p} C}, \sigma_{n}$ is onto and $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)=A \cap \gamma_{n}^{p} B$. Thus, we need to prove that $A \cap \gamma_{n}^{p} B=\gamma_{n}^{p} A$ for all $n \geq 1$. Clearly one has $\gamma_{n}^{p} A \subset A \cap \gamma_{n}^{p} B$. In order to prove the converse inclusion, we follow the method developed in [FR] for almost semi-direct product and define $\tau: B \longrightarrow B$ by $\tau(b)=(\sigma \lambda(b))^{-1} b$. This map has the following properties:
(i) since $\lambda \sigma=\mathrm{Id}_{C}, \tau(B) \subset A$;
(ii) for $x \in B, \tau(x)=x$ if, and only if, $x \in A$;
(iii) for $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \in B^{2}, \tau\left(b_{1} b_{2}\right)=\left[\sigma \lambda\left(b_{2}\right), \tau\left(b_{1}\right)^{-1}\right] \tau\left(b_{1}\right) \tau\left(b_{2}\right)$;
(iv) for $b \in B$, setting $a=\tau(b)$ and $c=\sigma \lambda(b)$, we get $b=c a$ with $c \in C^{\prime}=\sigma(C)$ and $a \in A$, this decomposition being unique.

We claim that $\tau\left(\gamma_{n}^{p} B\right) \subset \gamma_{n}^{p} A$ for all $n \geq 1$. From this, we conclude easily the proof: if $x \in A \cap \gamma_{n}^{p} B$, then $x=\tau(x) \in \gamma_{n}^{p} A$.

One has $\tau\left(\gamma_{1}^{p} B\right) \subset \gamma_{1}^{p} A$. Suppose inductively that $\tau\left(\gamma_{n}^{p} B\right) \subset \gamma_{n}^{p} A$ for some $n \geq 1$ and let us prove that $\tau\left(\gamma_{n+1}^{p} B\right) \subset \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A$. Suppose first that $x$ is an element of $\gamma_{n}^{p} B$. Then using (iii) we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(x^{p}\right) & =\left[\sigma \lambda(x), \tau\left(x^{p-1}\right)^{-1}\right] \tau\left(x^{p-1}\right) \tau(x) \\
& \vdots \\
& =\left[\sigma \lambda(x), \tau\left(x^{p-1}\right)^{-1}\right]\left[\sigma \lambda(x), \tau\left(x^{p-2}\right)^{-1}\right] \cdots\left[\sigma \lambda(x), \tau(x)^{-1}\right] \tau(x)^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sigma \lambda(x) \in \gamma_{n}^{p} C^{\prime}$ and, by induction hypotheses, $\tau\left(x^{i}\right) \in \gamma_{n}^{p} A$ for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, we get $\tau\left(x^{p}\right) \in\left[\gamma_{n}^{p} C^{\prime}, \gamma_{n}^{p} A\right] \cdot\left(\gamma_{n}^{p} A\right)^{p} \subset \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A$ by lemma 1: this prove that $\tau\left(\left(\gamma_{n}^{p} B\right)^{p}\right) \subset \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A$. Next, let $b \in B$ and $x \in \gamma_{n}^{p} B$. Setting $a=\tau(b) \in A, y=\tau(x) \in \gamma_{n}^{p} A$ by induction hypotheses, $c=\sigma \lambda(b) \in C^{\prime}$ and $z=\sigma \lambda(x) \in \gamma_{n}^{p} C^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau([b, x]) & =(\sigma \lambda([b, x]))^{-1}[b, x] \\
& =[\sigma \lambda(b), \sigma \lambda(x)]^{-1}[b, x] \\
& =[c, z]^{-1}[c a, z y]=[z, c] a^{-1} c^{-1} y^{-1} z^{-1} c a z y \\
& =[z, c]\left(a^{-1} c^{-1} y^{-1} c y a\right)\left(a^{-1} y^{-1} c^{-1} z^{-1} c z y a\right)\left(a^{-1} y^{-1} z^{-1} a z y\right) \\
& =[z, c]\left(a^{-1}[c, y] a\right)\left(a^{-1} y^{-1}[c, z] y a\right)\left(a^{-1} y^{-1} a y\right)\left(y^{-1} a^{-1} z^{-1} a z y\right) \\
& =[z, c]\left(a^{-1}[c, y] a\right)\left(a^{-1} y^{-1}[c, z] y a\right)[a, y]\left(y^{-1}[a, z] y\right) \\
& =\left[[c, z],\left(a^{-1}[y, c] a\right)\right]\left(a^{-1}[c, y] a\right)[z, c]\left(a^{-1} y^{-1}[c, z] y a\right)[a, y]\left(y^{-1}[a, z] y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\left[[c, z],\left(a^{-1}[y, c] a\right)\right]\left(a^{-1}[c, y] a\right)[[c, z], y a][a, y]\left(y^{-1}[a, z] y\right)
$$

Now, $[c, z] \in\left[C^{\prime}, \gamma_{n}^{p} C^{\prime}\right] \subset \gamma_{n+1}^{p} C^{\prime},[y, c] \in\left[\gamma_{n}^{p} A, C^{\prime}\right] \subset \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A$ (lemma 1) thus $\left[[c, z],\left(a^{-1}[y, c] a\right)\right] \in \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A$. Then, $[[c, z], y a] \in\left[\gamma_{n+1}^{p} C^{\prime}, A\right] \subset \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A,[a, y] \in\left[A, \gamma_{n}^{p} A\right] \subset \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A$ and $[a, z] \in\left[A, \gamma_{n}^{p} C^{\prime}\right] \subset \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A$. Thus, $\tau([b, x]) \in \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A$ and $\tau\left(\left[B, \gamma_{n}^{p} B\right]\right) \subset \gamma_{n+1}^{p} A$.

Corollary 2 Let $1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow[\sigma]{\lambda} C \longrightarrow 1$ be a split exact sequence such that $B$ is a $p$-almost direct product of $A$ and $C$. If $A$ and $C$ are residually $p$-finite, then $B$ is residually p-finite.

### 3.2 Augmentation ideals

Given a group $G$ and $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ we will denote by $\mathbb{K}[G]$ the group ring of $G$ over $\mathbb{K}$ and by $\overline{\mathbb{K}[G]}$ the augmentation ideal of $G$. The group ring $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is filtered by the powers $\overline{\mathbb{K}}[G]^{j}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{K}[G]}$ and we can define the associated graded algebra $\operatorname{gr}(\mathbb{K}[G])=\oplus \overline{\mathbb{K}}[G]^{j} / \overline{\mathbb{K}[G]}^{j+1}$.

The following theorem provides a decomposition formula for the augmentation ideal of a 2 -almost direct product (see Theorem 3.1 in [Pa] for an analogous in the case of almost direct products).
Let $A \rtimes C$ be a semi-direct product between two groups $A$ and $C$. It is a classical result that the map $a \otimes c \mapsto a c$ induces a $\mathbb{K}$-isomorphism from $\mathbb{K}[A] \otimes \mathbb{K}[C]$ to $\mathbb{K}[A \rtimes C]$. Identifying these two $\mathbb{K}$-modules, we have the following:

Theorem 5 If $A \rtimes C$ is a 2 -almost direct product then :

$$
{\overline{\mathbb{F}_{2}}[A \rtimes C]}^{k}=\sum_{i+h=k}{\overline{\mathbb{F}_{2}[A]}}^{i} \otimes{\overline{\mathbb{F}_{2}[C]}}^{h} \quad \text { for all } k .
$$

Proof. We sketch the proof which is almost verbatim the same as the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [Pa]. Let $R_{k}=\sum_{i+h=k}{\overline{\mathbb{F}_{2}}[A]}^{i} \otimes{\overline{\mathbb{F}_{2}}[C]}^{h} ; R_{k}$ is a descending filtration on $\mathbb{F}_{2}[A] \otimes \mathbb{F}_{2}[C]$, and with the above identification, we get that $R_{k} \subset{\overline{\mathbb{F}_{2}}[A \rtimes C]}^{k}$. To verify the other inclusion we have to check that $\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(a_{j} c_{j}-1\right) \in R_{k}$ for every $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ in $A$ and $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}$ in $C$. Actually it is enough to verify that $e=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(e_{j}-1\right) \in R_{k}$ either $e_{j} \in A$ or $e_{j} \in C$ (see Theorem 3.1 in [Pa] for a proof of this fact): we call $e$ a special element. We associate to a special element $e$ an element in $\{0,1\}^{k}$ : let type $(e)=\left(\delta\left(e_{1}\right), \ldots, \delta\left(e_{k}\right)\right)$ where $\delta\left(e_{j}\right)=0$ if $e_{j} \in A$ and $\delta\left(e_{j}\right)=1$ if $e_{j} \in C$. We will say that the special element $e$ is standard if

$$
\operatorname{type}(e)=(\overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{i}, \overbrace{1, \ldots, 1}^{h})
$$

In this case $e \in{\overline{\mathbb{F}_{2}[A]}}^{i} \otimes{\overline{\mathbb{F}_{2}}[C]}^{h} \subset R_{k}$ and we are done. We claim that we can reduce all special elements to linear combinations of standard elements. If $e$ is not standard, then it must be of the form

$$
e=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(a_{i}-1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(c_{i}-1\right)(c-1)(a-1) \prod_{l=1}^{t}\left(e_{i}-1\right)
$$

where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, a \in A, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s}, c \in A, \tilde{e}=\prod_{l=1}^{t}\left(e_{i}-1\right)$ is special and $r+s+t+2=k$. Therefore

$$
\operatorname{type}(e)=(\overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{r}, \overbrace{1, \ldots, 1}^{s}, 1,0, \delta\left(e_{1}\right), \ldots, \delta\left(e_{t}\right)) .
$$

Now we can use the assumption that $A \rtimes C$ is a 2 -almost direct product to claim that one has commutation relations in $\mathbb{Z}[A \rtimes C]$ expressing the difference $(c-1)(a-1)-(a-1)(c-1)$ as a linear combination of terms of the form

$$
\left(a^{\prime}-1\right)\left(a^{\prime \prime}-1\right) c \quad \text { with } a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime} \in A
$$

for any $a \in A$ and $c \in C$. In fact,

$$
(c-1)(a-1)-(a-1)(c-1)=c a-a c=\left(c a c^{-1} a^{-1}-1\right) a c=(f-1) a c
$$

where $f=\left[c^{-1}, a^{-1}\right] \in[C, A] \subset \gamma_{2}^{2}(A)$ by lemma 1 . We can decompose $f$ as $f=h_{1} k_{1} \cdots h_{m} k_{m}$ where, for $j=1, \ldots, m, h_{j}$ belongs to $[A, A]$ and $k_{j}=\left(k_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ for some $k_{j}^{\prime} \in A$. One knows (see for instance [Ch] p. 194) that for $j=1, \ldots, m\left(h_{j}-1\right)$ is a linear combination of terms of the form

$$
\left(h_{j}^{\prime}-1\right)\left(h_{j}^{\prime \prime}-1\right) \alpha_{j} \quad \text { with } h_{j}^{\prime}, h_{j}^{\prime \prime}, \alpha_{j} \in A
$$

On the other hand for $j=1, \ldots, m$ we have also that

$$
\left(k_{j}-1\right)=\left(k_{j}^{\prime}-1\right)\left(k_{j}^{\prime}-1\right) \quad \text { with } k_{j}^{\prime} \in A \quad \text { since the coefficients are } \mathbb{F}_{2}
$$

Then, recalling that $(h k-1)=(h-1) k+(k-1)$ for any $h, k \in A$, we can conclude that $f-1$ can be rewritten as a linear combination of terms of the form

$$
\left(f^{\prime}-1\right)\left(f^{\prime \prime}-1\right) \alpha \quad \text { with } f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}, \alpha \in A
$$

and that $(c-1)(a-1)-(a-1)(c-1)$ is a linear combination of terms of the form

$$
\left(f^{\prime}-1\right)\left(f^{\prime \prime}-1\right) \alpha c \quad \text { with } f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}, \alpha \in A
$$

Rewriting $\left(f^{\prime \prime}-1\right) \alpha$ as $\left(f^{\prime \prime} \alpha-1\right)-(\alpha-1)$ we obtain that the difference $(c-1)(a-1)-(a-1)(c-1)$ can be seen as a linear combination of terms of the form

$$
\left(a^{\prime}-1\right)\left(a^{\prime \prime}-1\right) c \quad \text { with } a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime} \in A
$$

Therefore $e$ can be rewritten as a sum whose first term is the special element

$$
e^{\prime}=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(a_{i}-1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(c_{i}-1\right)(a-1)(c-1) \prod_{l=1}^{t}\left(e_{i}-1\right)
$$

and whose second term is a linear combination of elements of the form $e^{\prime \prime} c$ where

$$
e^{\prime \prime}=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(a_{i}-1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(c_{i}-1\right)\left(a^{\prime}-1\right)\left(a^{\prime \prime}-1\right) \prod_{l=1}^{t}\left(c e_{i} c^{-1}-1\right) c
$$

Using the lexicographic order from the left, one has type $(e)>\operatorname{type}\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ and type $(e)>\operatorname{type}\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
By induction on the lexicographic order we infer that $e^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime \prime}$ belong to $R_{k}$ : since $R_{k} \cdot c \subset R_{k}$ for any $c \in C$ it follows that $e$ belongs to $R_{k}$ and we are done.

## 4 The closed case

### 4.1 A presentation of $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{g}}\right)$ and induced identities

We recall a group presentation of $P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right)$ given in [GG3]: the geometric interpretation of generators is provided in Figure 2.

Theorem 6 ([GG3]) For $g \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1, P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right)$ has the following presentation:
generators: $\left(B_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}$ and $\left(\rho_{k, l}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ 1 \leq l \leq g}}$.
relations: (a) for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ and $1 \leq r<s \leq n$,
$B_{r, s} B_{i, j} B_{r, s}^{-1}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}B_{i, j} & \text { if } i<r<s<j \text { or } r<s<i<j & \left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right) \\ B_{i, j}^{-1} B_{r, j}^{-1} B_{i, j} B_{r, j} B_{i, j} & \text { if } r<i=s<j & \left(\mathrm{a}_{2}\right) \\ B_{s, j}^{-1} B_{i, j} B_{s, j} & \text { if } i=r<s<j & \left(\mathrm{a}_{3}\right) \\ B_{s, j}^{-1} B_{r, j}^{-1} B_{s, j} B_{r, j} B_{i, j} B_{r, j}^{-1} B_{s, j}^{-1} B_{r, j} B_{s, j} & \text { if } r<i<s<j & \left(\mathrm{a}_{4}\right)\end{array}\right.$
(b) for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k, l \leq g$,
$\rho_{i, k} \rho_{j, l} \rho_{i, k}^{-1}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\rho_{j, l} & \text { if } k<l \\ \rho_{j, k}^{-1} B_{i, j}^{-1} \rho_{j, k}^{2} & \text { if } k=l \\ \rho_{j, k}^{-1} B_{i, j}^{-1} \rho_{j, k} B_{i, j}^{-1} \rho_{j, l} B_{i, j} \rho_{j, k}^{-1} B_{i, j} \rho_{j, k} & \text { if } k>l & \left(\mathrm{~b}_{1}\right) \\ \left(\mathrm{b}_{2}\right)\end{array}\right.$
(c) for all $1 \leq i \leq n, \rho_{i, 1}^{2} \cdots \rho_{i, g}^{2}=T_{i}$ where $T_{i}=B_{1, i} \cdots B_{i-1, i} B_{i, i+1} \cdots B_{i, n}$
(d) for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n, k \neq j$ and $1 \leq l \leq g$,
$\rho_{k, l} B_{i, j} \rho_{k, l}^{-1}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}B_{i, j} & \text { if } k<i \text { or } j<k & \left(\mathrm{~d}_{1}\right) \\ \rho_{j, l}^{-1} B_{i, j}^{-1} \rho_{j, l} & \text { if } k=i & \left(\mathrm{~d}_{2}\right) \\ \rho_{j, l}^{-1} B_{k, j}^{-1} \rho_{j, l} B_{k, j}^{-1} B_{i, j} B_{k, j} \rho_{j, l}^{-1} B_{k, j} \rho_{j, l} & \text { if } i<k<j & \left(\mathrm{~d}_{3}\right)\end{array}\right.$


Figure 2: Generators of $P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right)$

For $1 \leq k \leq g$, let us consider the element $a_{k}$ in $P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right)$ given by $a_{k}=\rho_{k, g-1} \rho_{k, g}$ and set $U=a_{n} \cdots a_{2}$. Lemma 2 The following relations holds in $P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right)$ :
(1) $\left[\rho_{i, k}, \rho_{j, k}^{-1}\right]=B_{i, j}^{-1}$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq g$;
(2) $U$ commutes with $\rho_{1, l}$ for $1 \leq l \leq g-2$;
(3) $\left[\rho_{1, g-1}, U^{-1}\right]=T_{1}^{-1}$;
(4) $a_{k} a_{j} a_{k}^{-1}$ commutes with $B_{i, k}$ for $1 \leq i<j<k \leq n$;
(5) $\quad a_{n} a_{n-1} \cdots a_{1}$ commutes with $B_{j, k}$ for $1 \leq j<k \leq n$;
(6) $U$ commutes with $B_{i, j}$ for $2 \leq i<j \leq n$;
(7) $a_{n} a_{n-1} \cdots a_{1}$ commutes with $T_{1}$;
(8) $T_{1}$ commutes with $B_{j, k}$ for $2 \leq j<k \leq n$;
(k)

Proof. Some of these identities can easily be verified drawing corresponding braids. This is the case for example for the first, the fourth and the eighth ones (see figure 3, 4 and 5). Let us give an algebraic proof for the others.


Figure 3: identity (e)


Figure 4: identity ( $g$ )
(2) This is a direct consequence of the definitions of the $a_{i}$ 's and $U$, and relations $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}\right)$.


Figure 5: identity ( $k$ )
(3) By relation ( $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ ), $\rho_{1, g-1}$ commutes with $\rho_{j, g}$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$. Thus, using relation (e), we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{1, g-1}^{-1} U \rho_{1, g-1} & =\rho_{1, g-1}^{-1} a_{n} \cdots a_{2} \rho_{1, g-1} \\
& =\rho_{1, g-1}^{-1}\left(\rho_{n, g-1} \rho_{n, g}\right) \cdots\left(\rho_{2, g-1} \rho_{2, g}\right) \rho_{1, g-1} \\
& =\left(B_{1, n}^{-1} \rho_{n, g-1} \rho_{n, g}\right) \cdots\left(B_{1,2}^{-1} \rho_{2, g-1} \rho_{2, g}\right) \\
& =B_{1, n}^{-1} B_{1, n-1}^{-1} \cdots B_{1,2}^{-1}\left(\rho_{n, g-1} \rho_{n, g}\right) \cdots\left(\rho_{2, g-1} \rho_{2, g}\right) \quad \text { by }\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right) \\
& =T_{1}^{-1} U .
\end{aligned}
$$

(5) Let $j$ and $k$ be integers such that $1 \leq j<k \leq n$. By $\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right), a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}$ commute with $B_{j, k}$. Then, one has

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
a_{j} B_{j, k} a_{j}^{-1} & =\rho_{j, g-1} \rho_{j, g} B_{j, k} \rho_{j, g}^{-1} \rho_{j, g-1}^{-1} & \\
& =\rho_{j, g-1} \rho_{k, g}^{-1} B_{j, k}^{-1} \rho_{k, g} \rho_{j, g-1}^{-1} & \text { by }\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right) \\
& =\rho_{k, g}^{-1} \rho_{j, g-1} B_{j, k}^{-1} \rho_{j, g-1}^{-1} \rho_{k, g} & \text { by }\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}\right) \\
& =\rho_{k, g}^{-1} \rho_{k, g-1}^{-1} B_{j, k} \rho_{k, g-1} \rho_{k, g} & \text { by }\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}\right) \\
& =a_{k}^{-1} B_{j, k} a_{k} &
\end{array}
$$

and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n} \cdots a_{1} B_{j, k} a_{1}^{-1} \cdots a_{n}^{-1} & =a_{n} \cdots a_{k+1} a_{k} a_{k-1} \cdots a_{j+1} a_{k}^{-1} B_{j, k} a_{k} a_{j+1}^{-1} \cdots a_{k-1}^{-1} a_{k}^{-1} a_{k+1}^{-1} \cdots a_{n}^{-1} \\
& =a_{n} \cdots a_{k+1} B_{j, k} a_{k+1}^{-1} \cdots a_{n}^{-1} \text { by (g) } \\
& =B_{j, k} \text { by }\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(6) By $\left(d_{1}\right), a_{1}=\rho_{1, g-1} \rho_{1, g}$ commutes with $B_{i, j}$ for $2 \leq i<j \leq n$. Thus, relation (i) is a direct consequence of (h).
(7) A direct consequence of (h) since $T_{1}=B_{1,2} \cdots B_{1, n}$.

### 4.2 The pure braid group $P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right)$ is residually 2-finite

Following [GG1], one has, for $g \geq 2$, a split exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \longrightarrow P_{n-1}\left(N_{g, 1}\right) \xrightarrow{\mu} P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right) \xrightarrow{\lambda} P_{1}\left(N_{g}\right)=\pi_{1}\left(N_{g}\right) \longrightarrow 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is induced by the map which forgets all strands except the first one, and $\mu$ is defined by capping the boundary component by a disc with one marked point (the first strand in $P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right)$ ). According to the definition of $\mu$ and to Theorem $\mathrm{A}, \operatorname{Im}(\mu)$ is generated by $\left\{\rho_{i, k}, 2 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq g\right\} \cup\left\{B_{i, j}, 2 \leq i<j \leq n\right\}$.

The section given in [GG1] is geometric, i.e. it is induced by a crossed section at the level of fibrations. In order to study the action of $\pi_{1}\left(N_{g}\right)$ on $P_{n-1}\left(N_{g, 1}\right)$, we need an algebraic one. Recall that $\pi_{1}\left(N_{g}\right)$ has a group presentation with generators $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{g}$ and the single relation $p_{1}^{2} \cdots p_{g}^{2}=1$. We define the set $\operatorname{map} \sigma: \pi_{1}\left(N_{g}\right) \longrightarrow P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right)$ by setting

$$
\sigma\left(p_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}\rho_{1, i} & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq g-3 \\ \rho_{1, g-2} U^{-1} & \text { for } i=g-2 \\ U \rho_{1, g-1} & \text { for } i=g-1 \\ \rho_{1, g} T_{1}^{-1} & \text { for } i=g\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 4 The map $\sigma$ is a well defined homomorphism satisfying $\lambda \circ \sigma=\operatorname{Id}_{\pi_{1}\left(N_{g)}\right.}$.
Proof. Since $\lambda\left(\rho_{1, i}\right)=p_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq g$ and $\lambda(U)=\lambda\left(T_{1}\right)=1$, one has clearly $\lambda \sigma=\operatorname{Id}_{\pi_{1}\left(N_{g)}\right.}$ if $\sigma$ is a group homomorpism. Thus, we have just to prove that $\sigma\left(p_{1}\right)^{2} \cdots \sigma\left(p_{g}\right)^{2}=1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(p_{1}\right)^{2} \cdots \sigma\left(p_{g}\right)^{2} & =\left(\rho_{1,1}^{2} \cdots \rho_{1, g-3}^{2}\right)\left(\rho_{1, g-2} U^{-1}\right)^{2}\left(U \rho_{1, g-1}\right)^{2}\left(\rho_{1, g} T_{1}^{-1}\right)^{2} \\
& =\rho_{1,1}^{2} \cdots \rho_{1, g-3}^{2} \rho_{1, g-2} U^{-1} \rho_{1, g-2} \rho_{1, g-1} U a_{1} T_{1}^{-1} \rho_{1, g} T_{1}^{-1} \\
& =\rho_{1,1}^{2} \cdots \rho_{1, g-3}^{2} \rho_{1, g-2}^{2} U^{-1} \rho_{1, g-1} U a_{1} T_{1}^{-1} \rho_{1, g} T_{1}^{-1} \quad \text { by }\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right) \\
& =\rho_{1,1}^{2} \cdots \rho_{1, g-3}^{2} \rho_{1, g-2}^{2} \rho_{1, g-1} U^{-1} T_{1} U a_{1} T_{1}^{-1} \rho_{1, g} T_{1}^{-1} \quad \text { by }\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right) \\
& =\rho_{1,1}^{2} \cdots \rho_{1, g-3}^{2} \rho_{1, g-2}^{2} \rho_{1, g-1} U^{-1} T_{1} T_{1}^{-1} U a_{1} \rho_{1, g} T_{1}^{-1} \quad \text { by }(\mathrm{j}) \\
& =\rho_{1,1}^{2} \cdots \rho_{1, g-3}^{2} \rho_{1, g-2}^{2} \rho_{1, g-1} a_{1} \rho_{1, g} T_{1}^{-1} \\
& =\rho_{1,1}^{2} \cdots \rho_{1, g-3}^{2} \rho_{1, g-2}^{2} \rho_{1, g-1}^{2} \rho_{1, g}^{2} T_{1}^{-1} \\
& =1 \text { by (c). }
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the exact sequence (1) splits. In order to apply Theorem 4, we have to prove that the action of $\pi_{1}\left(N_{g}\right)$ on $P_{n-1}\left(N_{g, 1}\right)$ is trivial on $H_{1}\left(P_{n-1}\left(N_{g, 1}\right) ; \mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$. This is the claim of the following proposition.

Proposition 5 For all $x \in \operatorname{Im}(\sigma)$ and $a \in \operatorname{Im}(\mu)$, one has $\left[x^{-1}, a^{-1}\right]=x a x^{-1} a^{-1} \in \gamma_{2}^{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\mu))$.
Proof. It is enough to prove the result for $a \in\left\{B_{j, k}, 2 \leq j<k \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{\rho_{j, l}, 2 \leq j \leq n\right.$ and $\left.1 \leq l \leq g\right\}$ and $x \in\left\{\sigma\left(p_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(p_{g}\right)\right\}$, respectively sets of generators of $\operatorname{Im}(\mu)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\sigma)$. Suppose first that $2 \leq j<k \leq n$. One has:

- $\left[\sigma\left(p_{i}\right)^{-1}, B_{j, k}^{-1}\right]=\left[\rho_{1, i}^{-1}, B_{j, k}^{-1}\right]=1$ for $1 \leq i \leq g-3$ by $\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$;
- $\left[\sigma\left(p_{g-2}\right)^{-1}, B_{j, k}^{-1}\right]=\left[U \rho_{1, g-2}^{-1}, B_{j, k}^{-1}\right]=1$ by $\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ and $(\mathrm{i}) ;$
- $\left[\sigma\left(p_{g-1}\right)^{-1}, B_{j, k}^{-1}\right]=\left[\rho_{1, g-1}^{-1} U^{-1}, B_{j, k}^{-1}\right]=1$ by $\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ and $(\mathrm{i})$;
- $\left[\sigma\left(p_{g}\right)^{-1}, B_{j, k}^{-1}\right]=\left[T_{1} \rho_{1, g}^{-1}, B_{j, k}^{-1}\right]=1$ by $\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ and $(\mathrm{k})$.

Now, let $j$ and $l$ be integers such that $2 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq l \leq g$ and let us first prove that $\left[\rho_{1, i}^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right] \in \gamma_{2}^{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\mu))$ for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ :

- this is clear for $i<l$ by $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}\right)$;
- for $i=l$, the relation $\left(\mathrm{b}_{2}\right)$ gives $\left[\rho_{1, l}^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right]=\rho_{j, l}^{-1} B_{1, j}^{-1} \rho_{j, l}$. But

$$
B_{1, j}^{-1}=B_{2, j} \cdots B_{j-1, j} B_{j, j+1} \cdots B_{j, n} \rho_{j, g}^{-2} \cdots \rho_{j, 1}^{-2} \quad(\text { relation }(\mathrm{c}))
$$

is an element of $\gamma_{2}^{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\mu))$ by (e), thus we get $\left[\rho_{1, l}^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right] \in \gamma_{2}^{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\mu))$.

- If $l<i$ then $\left[\rho_{1, i}^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right]=\left[B_{1, j} \rho_{j, i}^{-1} B_{1, j} \rho_{j, i}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right]$ by $\left(\mathrm{b}_{3}\right)$ so $\left[\rho_{1, i}^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right] \in \gamma_{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\mu))$ since $\rho_{j, l}, \rho_{j, i}$ and $B_{1, j}$ are elements of $\operatorname{Im}(\mu)$.

From this, we deduce the following facts.
(1) $\left[\sigma\left(p_{i}\right)^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right] \in \gamma_{2}^{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\mu))$ for $i \leq g-3$ since $\sigma\left(p_{i}\right)=\rho_{1, i}$.
(2) $\left[\sigma\left(p_{g-2}\right)^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right]=\left[U \rho_{1, g-2}^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right]=\rho_{1, g-2}\left[U, \rho_{j, k}^{-1}\right] \rho_{1, g-2}^{-1}\left[\rho_{1, g-2}^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right]$. But $U$ and $\rho_{j, l}^{-1}$ are elements of $\operatorname{Im}(\mu)$ thus $\left[U, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right] \in \Gamma_{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\mu)) \subset \gamma_{2}^{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\mu))$. Consequently, $\rho_{1, g-2}\left[U, \rho_{j, k}^{-1}\right] \rho_{1, g-2}^{-1} \in \gamma_{2}^{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\mu))$ since $\gamma_{2}^{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\mu))$ is a caracteristic subgroup of $\operatorname{Im}(\mu)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\mu)$ is normal in $P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right)$. Thus, we get $\left[\sigma\left(p_{g-2}\right)^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right] \in \gamma_{2}^{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\mu))$. In the same way, one has

$$
\left[\sigma\left(p_{g-1}\right)^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right]=\left[\rho_{1, g-1}^{-1} U^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right]=U\left[\rho_{1, g-1}^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right] U^{-1}\left[U, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right] \in \gamma_{2}^{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\mu)) .
$$

(4) At last,

$$
\left[\sigma\left(p_{g}\right)^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right]=\left[T_{1} \rho_{1, g}^{-1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right]=\rho_{1, g}\left[T_{1}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right] \rho_{1, g}^{-1}\left[\rho_{1, g}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right] \in \gamma_{2}^{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\mu))
$$

since $T_{1}, \rho_{j, l} \in \operatorname{Im}(\mu)$ and $\left[\rho_{1, g}, \rho_{j, l}^{-1}\right] \in \gamma_{2}^{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\mu))$.
We are now ready to prove the main result of this section
Theorem 7 For all $g \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, the pure braid group $P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right)$ is residually 2-finite.
Proof. Proposition 4 says that the sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow P_{n-1}\left(N_{g, 1}\right) \longrightarrow P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(N_{g}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

splits. Now $P_{n-1}\left(N_{g, 1}\right)$ is residually 2-finite (Theorem 3). It is proved in [B1] and [B2] that $\pi_{1}\left(N_{g}\right)$ is residually free for $g \geq 4$, so it is residually 2 -finite. This result is proved in [LM] (lemma 8.9) for $g=3$. When $g=2, \pi_{1}\left(N_{2}\right)$ has presentation $\left\langle a, b \mid a b a^{-1}=b^{-1}\right\rangle$ so is a 2 -almost direct product of $\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}$ is residually 2 -finite, $\pi_{1}\left(N_{2}\right)$ is residually 2-finite by corollary 2 . So, using Proposition 5 and Corollary 2 , we can conclude that $P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right)$ is residually 2-finite.

Remark 3 It follows from the proof of Theorem 7 that, when $g>2$, if $P_{n}\left(N_{g, 1}\right)$ is residually $p$-finite for some $p \neq 2$ then the pure braid group $P_{n}\left(N_{g}\right)$ is also residually $p$-finite.

### 4.3 The case $\boldsymbol{P}_{n}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$

The main reason to exclude $N_{1}=\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}$ in Theorem 7 is that the exact sequence (1) doesn't exist in this case, but forgetting at most $n-2$ strands we get the following exact sequence ( $1 \leq m \leq n-2$; see [VB])

$$
1 \longrightarrow P_{m}\left(N_{1, n-m}\right) \longrightarrow P_{n}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{2}\right) \longrightarrow P_{n-m}\left(N_{g}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

This sequence splits if, and only if $n=3$ and $m=1$ (see [GG2]). Thus, what we know is the following:

- $P_{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)=\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}: P_{1}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{2}\right)$ is a 2-group.
- $P_{2}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{2}\right)=Q_{8}$, the quaternion group (see $\left.[\mathrm{VB}]\right): P_{2}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{2}\right)$ is a 2 -group.
- One has the exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow P_{1}\left(N_{1,2}\right) \longrightarrow P_{3}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{2}\right) \longrightarrow P_{2}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{2}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

where $P_{1}\left(N_{1,2}\right)=\pi_{1}\left(N_{1,2}\right)$ is a free group of rank 2, thus is residually 2-finite. Since $P_{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$ is 2-finite, we can conclude that $P_{3}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{2}\right)$ is residually 2-finite using lemma 1.5 of [ Gr$]$.

## Appendix: a group presentation for $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{N}_{g, b}\right)$

Here we apply classical method (see [B, GG3]) to give a presentation of the $n^{\text {th }}$ pure braid group of a nonorientable surface with boundary. Since $b \geq 1$, we'll see $N_{g, b}$ as a disc $D^{2}$ with $g+b-1$ open discs removed and $g$ Möbius strips glued on $g$ boundary components so obtained (see figure 6).


Figure 6: Generators $x_{k, t}$ for $P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right), b \geq 1$

Theorem A For $g \geq 1, b \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1, P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ has the following presentation:
generators: $\left(B_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq i<j \leq n},\left(\rho_{k, l}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ 1 \leq l \leq g}}$ and $\left(x_{u, t}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq u \leq n \\ 1 \leq t \leq b-1}}$.
relations: (a) for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ and $1 \leq r<s \leq n$,

$$
B_{r, s} B_{i, j} B_{r, s}^{-1}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
B_{i, j} & \text { if } i<r<s<j \text { or } r<s<i<j & \left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right) \\
B_{i, j}^{-1} B_{r, j}^{-1} B_{i, j} B_{r, j} B_{i, j} & \text { if } r<i=s<j & \left(\mathrm{a}_{2}\right) \\
B_{s, j}^{-1} B_{i, j} B_{s, j} & \text { if } i=r<s<j & \left(\mathrm{a}_{3}\right) \\
B_{s, j}^{-1} B_{r, j}^{-1} B_{s, j} B_{r, j} B_{i, j} B_{r, j}^{-1} B_{s, j}^{-1} B_{r, j} B_{s, j} & \text { if } r<i<s<j & \left(\mathrm{a}_{4}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

(b) for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k, l \leq g$,

$$
\rho_{i, k} \rho_{j, l} \rho_{i, k}^{-1}= \begin{cases}\rho_{j, l} & \text { if } k<l \\ \rho_{j, k}^{-1} B_{i, j}^{-1} \rho_{j, k}^{2} & \text { if } k=l \\ \rho_{j, k}^{-1} B_{i, j}^{-1} \rho_{j, k} B_{i, j}^{-1} \rho_{j, l} B_{i, j} \rho_{j, k}^{-1} B_{i, j} \rho_{j, k} & \text { if } k>l\end{cases}
$$

(d) for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n, k \neq j$ and $1 \leq l \leq g$,

$$
\rho_{k, l} B_{i, j} \rho_{k, l}^{-1}= \begin{cases}B_{i, j} & \text { if } k<i \text { or } j<k  \tag{1}\\ \rho_{j, l}^{-l} B_{i, j}^{-1} \rho_{j, l} & \text { if } k=i \\ \rho_{j, l}^{-1} B_{k, j}^{-1} \rho_{j, l} B_{k, j}^{-1} B_{i, j} B_{k, j} \rho_{j, l}^{-1} B_{k, j} \rho_{j, l} & \text { if } i<k<j\end{cases}
$$

(1) for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n, 1 \leq u \leq n, u \neq j$ and $1 \leq t \leq b-1$,

$$
x_{u, t} B_{i, j} x_{u, t}^{-1}= \begin{cases}B_{i, j} & \text { if } u<i \text { or } j<u  \tag{1}\\ x_{j, t}^{-1} B_{i, j} x_{j, t} & \text { if } u=i \\ x_{j, t}^{-1} B_{u, j} x_{j, t} B_{u, j}^{-1} B_{i, j} B_{u, j} x_{j, t}^{-1} B_{u, j}^{-1} x_{j, t} & \text { if } i<u<j\end{cases}
$$

$$
x_{u, t} \rho_{k, l} x_{u, t}^{-1}= \begin{cases}\rho_{k, l} \\ x_{k, t}^{-1} B_{u, k} x_{k, t} B_{u, j}^{-1} \rho_{k, l} B_{u, k} x_{k, t}^{-1} B_{u, k}^{-1} x_{k, t} & \text { if } u<k\end{cases}
$$

(n) for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ and $1 \leq s, t \leq b-1$,

$$
x_{i, t} x_{j, s} x_{i, t}^{-1}= \begin{cases}x_{j, s} & \text { if } t<s \\ x_{j, t}^{-1} B_{i, j} x_{j, t} B_{i, j}^{-1} x_{j, t} & \text { if } t=s \\ x_{j, t}^{-1} B_{i, j} x_{j, t} B_{i, j}^{-1} x_{j, s} B_{i, j} x_{j, t}^{-1} B_{i, j}^{-1} x_{j, t} & \text { if } s<t\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The proof works by induction and generalizes those of [GG3] (closed non orientable case) and [B] (orientable case, possibly with boundary components). It uses the following short exact sequence obtained by forgetting the last strand (see [FN]):

$$
1 \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(N_{g, b} \backslash\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}, z_{n+1}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} P_{n+1}\left(N_{g, b}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta} P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right) \longrightarrow 1 .
$$

The presentation is correct for $n=1: P_{1}\left(N_{g, b}\right)=\pi_{1}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ is free on the $\rho_{1, l}$ 's and $x_{1, t}$ 's for $1 \leq l \leq g$ and $1 \leq t \leq b-1$. Suppose inductively that $P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ has the given presentation. Then, observe that $\left\{B_{i, n+1} / 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{\rho_{n+1, l} / 1 \leq l \leq g\right\} \cup\left\{x_{n+1, t} / 1 \leq t \leq b-1\right\}$ is a free generators set of $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)$ and $\left(B_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq i<j \leq n},\left(\rho_{k, l}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ 1 \leq l<g}}$ and $\left(x_{u, t}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq u \leq n \\ 1 \leq t \leq b-1}}$ are coset representative for the considered generators of $P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$. There are three types of relations for $P_{n+1}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$. The first one comes from the relations in $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)$ : there are none here, since this group is free. The second type comes from the relations in $P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ : they lift to the same relations in $P_{n+1}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$. Finally, the third type arrives by studying the action of $P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ on $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)$ by conjugation. We leave to the reader to verify that this action corresponds to the given relations.

Remark 4 What precedes proves that $P_{n+1}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ is a semidirect product of the free group
$\pi_{1}\left(N_{g, b} \backslash\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}, z_{n+1}\right)$ by $P_{n}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$. Therefore, by recurrence, we get that $P_{n+1}\left(N_{g, b}\right)$ is an iterated semidirect product of (finitely generated) free groups.
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