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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the kinematic analysis of a single-loop reconfigurable RRRR-RRR mechanism with multiple operation modes (SLR7RMMOM), which is composed of seven revolute (R) joints and can switch from one operation mode to another one without disconnection and reassembly. The algorithm for the inverse kinematics of the serial open 6 R chain using kinematic mapping is adopt to deal with the forward kinematics for the SLR7RMMOM. 13 sets of solutions for the SLR7RMMOM are computed. Among these solutions, nine sets are real solutions, which are verified using the CAD models of the mechanism. It is shown that the present mechanism has three operation modes: translational mode and two 1-DOF planar modes. The transitional configurations between the three modes are also identified.


KEYWORDS: Single-loop reconfigurable mechanism; Multiple operation modes; Forward kinematics; Kinematic mapping; Transitional configuration

## 1. Introduction

Reconfigurable parallel mechanisms (RPMs) have been received increasing attention from researchers around the world. One class of RPMs can generate different operation modes to fulfil variable tasks based on a sole mechanism. Different approaches have been proposed to design RPMs generating multiple motion patterns. Several classes of RPMs have been developed such as modular reconfigurable mechanisms, ${ }^{1,2}$ metamorphic mechanisms, ${ }^{3}$ kinematotropic mechanisms, ${ }^{4}$ variable actuated mechanism, ${ }^{5}$ and reconfigurable mechanisms with multiple operation modes. This paper focuses on a reconfigurable mechanism with multiple operation modes since this class of RPMs can be reconfigured without disassembly and without increasing the number of actuators. A systematic approach has been proposed ${ }^{6,7}$ for the synthesis of reconfigurable mechanisms with multiple operation modes, including single-loop reconfigurable mechanisms with multiple operation modes ${ }^{6}$ and multiple-loop reconfigurable mechanisms with multiple operation modes. ${ }^{8}$

Meanwhile, several approaches have been developed to deal with the kinematics and singularity analysis of serial and parallel mechanisms, such as different evaluation algorithm, ${ }^{9}$ screw theory algorithm ${ }^{10}$ and kinematic mapping algorithm. ${ }^{12}$ Husty and Pfurner have made a significant contribution to the
algebraic approach to the kinematic analysis of mechanisms. ${ }^{11-13}$ It has been shown that algebraic method is very efficient for both direct (forward) and inverse kinematic analysis of mechanisms.

This paper aims to analyze a single-DOF (degree-offreedom) single-loop reconfigurable RRRR-RRR mechanism with multiple operation modes (SLR7RMMOM) using the effective algorithm for the inverse kinematics of a general serial 6R manipulator. Here R denotes a revolute joint. The operational modes and transitional configurations will be identified. The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 describes the 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM. In Section 3, the forward kinematics analysis for the mechanism is undertaken within three steps mainly using the kinematic mapping method, and the solutions with respect to one given input angle are verified using CAD software. Based upon the results from Section 3, a series of input angles are given and the operation modes and transitional configurations are obtained in Section 4. Finally, conclusions are drawn.

## 2. Description of a 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM

It is well known that the Sarrus linkage (Fig. 1(a)), which is composed of two groups of three R joints with parallel joint axes (rotational axes), is used to control the 1-DOF translation of the moving platform along a straight line with respect to the base. Since the Sarrus linkage is an overconstrained mechanism, we can insert one additional R joint between the two joints of a link to obtain a nonoverconstrained 1-DOF single-loop RRRR-RRR mechanism (Fig. 1(b)). ${ }^{14}$ Such a mechanism must have at least two operation modes. In the translational operation mode, it works as the Sarrus linkage in which the moving platform translates along a straight line (Fig. 1(b)). In the 1-DOF planar operation mode, the moving platform undergoes a 1DOF general planar motion (Fig. 1(c)). Therefore the above RRRR-RRR mechanism is a SLR7RMMOM.

In this SLR7RMMOM, link 7 is the base, and link 4 is specified as the moving platform. Links 4 and 7 are identical and the link lengths and the axes of the R joints must satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{R}_{1} / / / \mathbf{R}_{3} / / \mathbf{R}_{4} \perp \mathbf{R}_{2},  \tag{1}\\
& \mathbf{R}_{5} / / \mathbf{R}_{6} / \mathbf{R}_{7},  \tag{2}\\
& a_{1}+a_{2}=a_{3}=a_{5}=a_{6} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{R}_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, 7)$ is the unit vector along the axis of joint $\mathrm{R}_{i}$, and $a_{i}$ is the link length as indicated in Fig. 1(c).

[^0]
(a) Sarrus linkage

(b) SLR7RMMOM in translation mode (equivalent to Sarrus linkage)

(c) SLR7RMMOM in 1-DOF planar mode

Fig. 1. Construction of a SLR7RMMOM

The SLR7RMMOM has at least two operation modes. Whether it has additional operational modes is unclear from only the construction of the mechanism. In the next section, we will discuss the kinematic analysis of the SLR7RMMOM in order to identify all of its operation modes as well as transition configurations that the mechanism can switch from one operation mode to another.

## 3. Kinematics Analysis and Numerical Example

Using the approach to the inverse kinematics for the general 6R mechanism, ${ }^{11-13}$ one can perform the kinematic analysis of the SLR7RMMOM. Then all the operation modes and transition configurations of the mechanism can be identified.

### 3.1. D-H Parameters for the mechanism

In order to define the transformation relations between the links, the coordinate frames $\Sigma_{i}$ is attached to link $i$ as follows: the $z_{i}$-axis coincides with the axis of joint $\mathrm{R}_{i}$, the $x_{i}$-axis aligns with the common perpendicular to the $z_{i-1}-$ and $z_{i}$-axes, and the $y_{i}$-axis is defined by the right-hand rule (Fig. 2). With this notation one could write the transformation matrix $\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{i}\right)$ from $\Sigma_{i}$ to $\Sigma_{i+1}$ as:
$\boldsymbol{T}_{i}=\boldsymbol{M}_{i} \boldsymbol{G}_{i}$
$=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \left(\theta_{i}\right) & -\sin \left(\theta_{i}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \left(\theta_{i}\right) & \cos \left(\theta_{i}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{i} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \left(\alpha_{i}\right) & -\sin \left(\alpha_{i}\right) \\ d_{i} & 0 & \sin \left(\alpha_{i}\right) & \cos \left(\alpha_{i}\right)\end{array}\right]$
where $\theta_{i}$ and $d_{i}$ are the revolute angle and distance between the two $x$-axes of links $i$ and $i+1$, respectively, and $\alpha_{i}$ and $a_{i}$ are the twist angle and distance between the two $z$-axes of links $i$ and $i+1$, respectively.

The SLR7RMMOM can be regarded as a 6R serial mechanism (Fig. 3(a)) with link 6 as the end-effector (EE), the coordinate frame on which is set as follows. Its $z$-axis $\left(z_{\mathrm{EE}}\right)$ coincides with the axis of joint $\mathrm{R}_{7}$ and its $x$-axis aligns with
the common perpendicular to the $z_{6}$-axis and the $z_{\mathrm{EE}}$-axis. The angle between the $x_{\mathrm{EE}}$-axis and the vertical line $(\theta)$ is defined as the input angle of the SLR7RMMOM. The D-H parameters of the 6R mechanism are shown in Table 1, which should satisfy the conditions given in Section 2.


Fig. 2. D-H parameters ( $\Sigma$ is the coordinate frame system)

Table 1. D-H parameters for the loop

| $i$ | $a_{i}$ | $d_{i}$ | $\alpha_{i}$ | $\theta_{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.80 | 0 | $90^{0}$ | $\theta_{1}$ |
| 2 | 3.00 | 0 | $-90^{0}$ | $\theta_{2}$ |
| 3 | 3.80 | 0 | $0^{0}$ | $\theta_{3}$ |
| 4 | 0 | 1.47 | $-120^{0}$ | $\theta_{4}$ |
| 5 | 3.80 | 1.47 | $0^{0}$ | $\theta_{5}$ |
| 6 | 3.80 | 0 | $0^{0}$ | $\theta_{6}$ |

In addition, the angle between the axes of joints $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{7}$ is $60^{\circ}, \theta$ is specified as $-45^{0}$ and $a_{7}$ is 1.47. Therefore, the pose of end-effector $\Sigma_{\mathrm{EE}}$ with respect to $\Sigma_{1}(\boldsymbol{A})$ can be
obtained (Fig. 3(b)). First, the frame $\Sigma_{1}$ rotates $60^{\circ}$ about the $x$-axis $\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{1}\right)$, then it moves 1.47 units along the $z$-axis $\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{2}\right)$ and rotates another $60^{\circ}$ about its $x$ axis $\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{3}\right)$, finally we get the frame $\Sigma_{\mathrm{EE}}$ after rotating $-45^{0}$ about the $z$-axis $\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{4}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=R_{1} \cdot P_{2} \cdot R_{3} \cdot R_{4} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$


(a) Coordinate frame system for the SLR7RMMOM

Fig. 3. Coordinate frame system for the SLR7RMMOM

### 3.2. Solutions for the kinematic analysis

The algorithm for the inverse kinematics analysis of a general 6R serial manipulator presented in ${ }^{11-13}$ mainly used kinematic mapping method. Using this method, an Euclidean displacement can be mapped into a point on a study quadric $\left(S_{6}{ }^{2}\right)$ in a seven dimensional space, the so called kinematic mapping space $P^{7}$, where the point is displayed by eight study parameters. In the kinematic mapping space, the constraint manifold of a 2 R -chain is the intersection of a 3 -space with the $S_{6}{ }^{2}$, and the constraint manifold of a 3 R chain is the intersection of a set of 3 -spaces with the $S_{6}{ }^{2}$, where the set of 3 -spaces is called Segre Manifold (SM). ${ }^{11}$ The $S M$ of a 3Rchain can be represented by a set of four bilinear equations in the eight homogenous study parameters, which is denoted by $z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{7}$, and one additional parameter corresponding to the tangent half of one joint angle out of the three joint angles. That means that there are three $\operatorname{SMs}\left(S M_{i}, i=1,2,3\right)$ which were presented by three sets of four equations for a $3 R$-chain.

The 6R serial chain associated with the 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM is further decomposed into two 3R chains, the left $3 R$ one (1-2-3) with end effector frame $\Sigma_{L}$ and the right 3 R one (6-5-4) with end effector frame $\Sigma_{\mathrm{R}}$ (Fig. 4). The pose of the frame $\Sigma_{\mathrm{L}}$ with respect to $\Sigma_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ and the pose of the frame $\Sigma_{\mathrm{R}}$ with respect to $\Sigma_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ can be obtained based on Eqs. (4) and (5):

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathrm{L}} & =\boldsymbol{M}_{1} \boldsymbol{G}_{1} M_{2} G_{2} M_{3} G_{3}  \tag{6.a}\\
\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathrm{R}} & =\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{G}_{6}^{-1} \boldsymbol{M}_{6}^{-1} \boldsymbol{G}_{5}^{-1} \boldsymbol{M}_{5}^{-1} \boldsymbol{G}_{4}^{-1} \boldsymbol{M}_{4}^{-1} \tag{6.b}
\end{align*}
$$

In some discrete, the frames $\Sigma_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{R}}$ have to coincide, which means there is intersection among $S M_{\mathrm{L}}, S M_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $S_{6}{ }^{2}$. The equations for $S M s$ the can be derived from Eq. (6). Three
that is:

(b) The frame representation for $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{EE}}$
sets of four equations can be obtained for the left or the right 3R chain, and each depends on one out of three joint angles. ${ }^{14}$ One needs to select one of the three sets of four equations for the left 3 R -chain and one of the three sets of four equations for the right 3 R -chain according to different situations ${ }^{14}$ before doing further calculation.


Fig. 4. Decomposing the loop into two 3R chains

In some cases, not all the three $S M$ s can be selected ${ }^{13}$. If one select one $S M$ depending on one R joint with the joint axes of the remaining two parallel or intersected, which means the $S M$ lies on the $S_{6}{ }^{2}$, then the intersection with the $S_{6}{ }^{2}$ fails. Therefore, we select $S M_{3}$, which refers to four equations in $v_{3}$ (tangent half angle of $\theta_{3}$ ), for the left 3R-
chain since the axes of joints $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ do not intersect and are not parallel. For the right 3R-chain, we select $S M_{5}$ with four equations in $\bar{v}_{5}$ (minus tangent half angle of $\theta_{5}$ ),
because the axes of joints $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ intersect and the axes of joints $R_{5}$ and $R_{6}$ are parallel. Thus eight equations for the $6 R$ serial mechanism are obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& h 1_{v_{3}}: 30.4 z_{0}+24.0 z_{1}+6.4 z_{2} v_{3}-8.0 z_{4}+8.0 z_{5}-8.0 z_{6} v_{3}-8.0 z_{7} v_{3}=0  \tag{7}\\
& h 2_{v_{3}}: 30.4 z_{0}-24.0 z_{1}-6.4 z_{2} v_{3}+8.0 z_{4}+8.0 z_{5}-8.0 z_{6} v_{3}+8.0 z_{7} v_{3}=0  \tag{8}\\
& h 3_{v_{3}}: 6.4 z_{1} v_{3}-24.0 z_{2}-30.4 z_{3}-8.0 z_{4} v_{3}+8.0 z_{5} v_{3}+8.0 z_{6}+8.0 z_{7}=0  \tag{9}\\
& h 4_{v_{3}}:-6.4 z_{1} v_{3}+24.0 z_{2}+30.4 z_{3}+8.0 z_{4} v_{3}+8.0 z_{5} v_{3}+8.0 z_{6}-8.0 z_{7}=0 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

$h 5_{\bar{v}_{5}}: z_{0}\left(2.72626795828513-6.58179306856969 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{1}\left(4.12012622455083-4.85463523903963 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+$ $z_{2}\left(8.65463523574647-5.69413776088330 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{3}\left(-1.37687417023358^{-9}+4.47457271235407^{-9} \bar{v}_{5}\right)+$ $z_{4}\left(-1.24264068742686-3.00000000128678 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{5}\left(0.717438935080522+1.73205080649897 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+$ $z_{6}\left(1.73205080649897-0.717438935080522 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{7}\left(3.00000000128678-1.24264068742628 \bar{v}_{5}\right)=0$
$h 6_{\bar{v}_{5}}: z_{0}\left(-2.7262679415240+6.58179306736930 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{1}\left(0.972103152392334+2.74536476831045 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+$ $z_{2}\left(8.65463523588648+5.69413776432743 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{3}\left(3.07322256531961^{-9}+1.01209529645985^{-9} \bar{v}_{5}\right)+$ $z_{4}\left(-0.414213562192757-0.99999999133379 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{5}\left(-0.717438935213303-1.73205080788068 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+$ $z_{6}\left(1.73205080788068-0.717438935213303 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{7}\left(-0.99999999133379+0.414213562192757 \bar{v}_{5}\right)=0$
$h 7_{\bar{v}_{5}}: z_{0}\left(1.37687550250121^{-9}-4.47457315644328^{-9} \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{1}\left(-8.65463523574647-5.69413776088330 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+$ $z_{2}\left(-4.12012622455083+4.85463523903963 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{3}\left(2.72626795828513-6.58179306856969 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+$ $z_{4}\left(-3.00000000128678+1.24264068742628 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{5}\left(1.73205080649897-0.717438935080522 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+$ $z_{6}\left(-0.717438935080522-1.73205080649897 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{7}\left(-1.24264068742686-3.00000000128678 \bar{v}_{5}\right)=0$
$h 8_{\bar{v}_{5}}: z_{0}\left(-3.07322167714119^{-9}-1.01209551850445^{-9} \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{1}\left(8.65463523588648+5.69413776432743 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+$ $z_{2}\left(-0.972103152392334-2.74536476831045 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{3}\left(-2.7262679415240+6.58179306736930 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+$ $z_{4}\left(0.99999999133379-0.414213562192757 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{5}\left(1.73205080788068-0.717438935213303 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+$ $z_{6}\left(0.717438935213303+1.73205080788068 \bar{v}_{5}\right)+z_{7}\left(-0.414213562192757-0.99999999133379 \bar{v}_{5}\right)=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 9: z_{0} z_{4}+z_{1} z_{5}+z_{2} z_{6}+z_{3} z_{7}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Including the equation for the $S_{6}{ }^{2}$ shown in Eq. (15), we obtain nine bilinear equations in ten unknowns (Eqs. (7)(15)). Because $z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{7}$ are homogeneous, so one of them can be normalize to 1 . Solving seven of the nine equations to get the eight study parameters for $z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{7}$ in $v_{3}$ and $\bar{v}_{5}$,
and substituting the solutions into the remaining two equations, we obtain two equations in $v_{3}$ and $\bar{v}_{5}$ named $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ as
$E_{1}: v_{3}{ }^{4} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{4}+3.640783761 v_{3}{ }^{4} \bar{v}_{5}^{3}-3.788653411 v_{3}{ }^{3} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{4}-7.000053530 v_{3}{ }^{4} \bar{v}_{5}^{2}+10.71593007 v_{3}{ }^{3} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{3}+$ $41.87086614 v_{3}{ }^{2} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{4}+3.640783761 v_{3}{ }^{4} \bar{v}_{5}-19.64341956 v_{3}{ }^{2} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{3}-3.788653411 v_{3} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{4}-0.5952224873 v_{3}{ }^{4}-$ $10.71593007 v_{3}{ }^{3} \bar{v}_{5}-79.84271214 v_{3}{ }^{2} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{2}+10.71593007 v_{3} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{3}+11.40205846 \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{4}+3.788653411 v_{3}{ }^{3}-$ $19.64341956 v_{3}{ }^{2} \bar{v}_{5}-23.28420332 \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{3}+53.83503480 v_{3}{ }^{2}-10.71593007 v_{3} \bar{v}_{5}-131.7802740 \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{2}+$ $3.788653411 v_{3}-23.28420332 \bar{v}_{5}+24.96144960=0$
$E_{2}: v_{3}{ }^{8} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{6}-3.975059020 v_{3}{ }^{8} \bar{v}_{5}^{5}-9.917459999 v_{3}{ }^{7} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{6}+4.782767392 v_{3}{ }^{8} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{4}+10.89653630 v_{3}{ }^{7}-$ $7.905713714 v_{3}{ }^{6} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{6}-2.187051780 v_{3}{ }^{8} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{3}+10.76914366 v_{3}{ }^{7} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{4}+11.58607438 v_{3}{ }^{6} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{5}-1.756500002 v_{3}{ }^{5} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{6}+$ $0.1775892990 v_{3}{ }^{8} \bar{v}_{5}^{2}-6.718456391 v_{3}{ }^{8}-19.63855979 v_{3}{ }^{6} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{4}-3.599595703 v_{3}{ }^{5} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{5}+5.541460022 v_{3}{ }^{4} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{6}+$ $0.1309074494 v_{3}{ }^{8} \bar{v}_{5}-10.68103759 v_{3}{ }^{7} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{2}+29.42980884 v_{3}{ }^{6} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{3}+88.38390043 v_{3}{ }^{5} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{4}+14.52156887 v_{3}{ }^{4} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{5}-$ $11.11952017 v_{3}{ }^{3} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{6}-0.02663720154 v_{3}{ }^{8}+8.366957525 v_{3}{ }^{7} \bar{v}_{5}+1.744100557 v_{3}{ }^{6} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{2}-11.42375341 v_{3}{ }^{5} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{3}+$ $47.03555747 v_{3}{ }^{4} \bar{v}_{5}^{4}+27.82871185 v_{3}{ }^{3} \bar{v}_{5}^{5}+23.16294757 v_{3}{ }^{2} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{6}-1.555454321 v_{3}{ }^{7}-14.97543807 v_{3}{ }^{6} \bar{v}_{5}-$ $65.86121591 v_{3}{ }^{5} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{2}+22.54006617 v_{3}{ }^{4} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{3}+85.76873039 v_{3}{ }^{3} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{4}-19.01000389 v_{3}{ }^{2} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{5}-19.28048017 v_{3} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{6}+$ $4.289038591 v_{3}{ }^{6}-39.78294461 v_{3}{ }^{5} \bar{v}_{5}-55.12245682 v_{3}{ }^{4} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{2}-45.28245200 v_{3}{ }^{3} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{3}+12.06466530 v_{3}{ }^{2} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{4}+$ $42.32484385 v_{3} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{5}+8.715773829 \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{6}-4.399164900 v_{3}{ }^{5}-61.91885268 v_{3}{ }^{4} \bar{v}_{5}-206.5453764 v_{3}{ }^{3} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{2}-$ $104.7180132 v_{3}{ }^{2} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{3}+8.153973623 v_{3} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{4}-17.97043737 \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{5}+7.841437590 v_{3}{ }^{4}-127.7979408 v_{3}{ }^{3} v_{3}-$ $166.3482520 v_{3}{ }^{2} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{2}-40.57715498 v_{3} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{3}-59.39221935 \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{4}-2.488637296 v_{3}{ }^{3}-46.57694731 v_{3}{ }^{2} \bar{v}_{5}-$ $151.3651980 v_{3} \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{2}-95.64121876 \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{3}+8.367254171 v_{3}{ }^{3}-79.64803864 v_{3} \bar{v}_{5}-109.6592839 \bar{v}_{5}{ }^{2}+$ $0.3550732836 v_{3}+0.2355598536 \bar{v}_{5}+4.481492373=0$

Using the resultant to eliminate $\bar{v}_{5}$ from Eqs. (16) and (17), derived as follows. one polynomial equation of degree 56 in $v_{3}$ named $E$ can be

$$
\begin{align*}
& E:\left(v_{3}{ }^{2}+1\right)^{6}\left(3.033362327 v_{3}{ }^{4}-12.05533640 v_{3}{ }^{2}+10.67784416\right)\left(1.87522003 v_{3}{ }^{4}-64.00268390 v_{3}{ }^{2}-\right. \\
& 387.9596900)\left(5.157957061 \times 10^{9} v_{3}{ }^{10}+1.823061353 \times 10^{20} v_{3}{ }^{9}-7.297142808 \times 10^{21} v_{3}{ }^{8}+1.634647033 \times\right. \\
& 10^{22} v_{3}{ }^{7}+5.885504960 \times 10^{22} v_{3}{ }^{6}-3.150969451 \times 10^{22} v_{3}{ }^{5}-2.671416502 \times 10^{23} v_{3}{ }^{4}-2.874236074 \times \\
& \left.10^{22} v_{3}{ }^{3}+1.076318546 \times 10^{23} v_{3}{ }^{2}+1.893149796 \times 10^{22} v_{3}+1.422425962 \times 10^{23}\right)^{2}\left(6.60154501 \times 10^{8} v_{3}{ }^{16}+\right. \\
& 2.698070325 \times 10^{18} v_{3}{ }^{15}-3.778024642 \times 10^{28} v_{3}{ }^{14}-8.52528086 \times 10^{37} v_{3}{ }^{13}+6.145569255 \times 10^{47} v_{3}{ }^{12}- \\
& 4.007107158 \times 10^{49} v_{3}{ }^{11}+2.109260812 \times 10^{50} v_{3}{ }^{10}+3.306274920 \times 10^{49} v_{3}{ }^{9}+5.471487282 \times 10^{50} v_{3}{ }^{8}+ \\
& 1.795904346 \times 10^{51} v_{3}{ }^{7}-1.709576046 \times 10^{50} v_{3}{ }^{6}-2.604353812 \times 10^{50} v_{3}^{5}-8.358864852 \times 10^{50} v_{3}{ }^{4}- \\
& \left.1.755833276 \times 10^{51} v_{3}{ }^{3}+2.679828670 \times 10^{50} v_{3}{ }^{2}+2.273726304 \times 10^{5} v_{3}-1.982814399 \times 10^{49}\right)=0 \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

The solutions to $\left(v_{3}^{2}+1\right)^{6}=0$ are $v_{3}= \pm I(I$ is the unit imaginary number). The corresponding points in $P^{7}$ lie on the exceptional generator, which have to be cut out of the $S_{6}{ }^{2}$. The solutions of polynomial of 10 degrees squared are points
with coordinate $(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)$, which do not lie on the $S_{6}{ }^{2}$ and the solutions of polynomials of degree 4 are points lie on the exceptional 3-space of the $S_{6}{ }^{2} .{ }^{13}$ Then the polynomial of degree 16 gives the 16 solutions:

```
v
0.4234204659+2.169839731 I, -0.07511185210+1.019253419 I, -6.650597562\times109+3.156689159\times108 I,
-0.3581658035, -1.000000001, -1.507896627, -6.650597562\times109 -3.156689159\times10 8 I,
-0.07511185210-1.019253419 I, 0.4234204659-2.169839731 I]
```

Then the solutions for $v_{3}$ are substituted back to $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$, the common solutions for $\bar{v}_{5}$ with their corresponding $v_{3}$ are the solutions as desired. Please note only 12 sets of solutions could be easily obtained where the remaining four solutions for $v_{3}$ tend to be infinite, such as $5.081725257 \times 10^{9}$, i.e. $\theta_{3}$ approaches to be $180^{\circ}$. The situation that $\theta_{3}=180^{\circ}$ does exist when the joints on the platform and the base coincide. It is special configurations for the 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM, as shown in Fig. 5(i).

The remaining four joint angles for the normal 12 sets of solutions could be solved by the other sets of four equations for $S M_{1}, S M_{2}, S M_{4}$ and $S M_{6}$.

As to the above four particularly configurations in which $v_{3}$ tend to be infinite, there is one set of real solutions: $\theta_{2}=0^{0}$, $\theta_{3}=180^{\circ}, \theta_{6}=180^{\circ}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{4}$ and $\theta_{5}$ can be any value. This set of solutions can be easily verified by observation. The complex solutions associated with the remaining three particularly configurations are omitted in this paper.

Finally, 13 sets of solutions for the forward kinematics analysis of the single loop are obtained, as listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Solutions for the loop

| Solutions | $\theta_{1}(\mathrm{deg})$ | $\theta_{2}(\mathrm{deg})$ | $\theta_{3}(\mathrm{deg})$ | $\theta_{4}(\mathrm{deg})$ | $\theta_{5}(\mathrm{deg})$ | $\theta_{6}(\mathrm{deg})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Solution 1 | -173.940 | 20.726 | 9.565 | -3.504 | -155.426 | -45.598 |
| Solution 2 | 135.000 | 0.000 | 90.000 | -45.000 | -135.000 | -90.000 |
| Solution 3 | -135.000 | 0.000 | -90.000 | 45.000 | -135.000 | -90.000 |
| Solution 4 | -4.576 | 15.737 | 178.071 | -2.648 | -70.339 | -172.852 |
| Solution 5 | -78.354 | 118.963 | -112.897 | -145.457 | 86.692 | -119.924 |
| Solution 6 | -154.651 | 73.117 | 39.700 | -14.351 | 131.208 | 90.703 |
| Solution 7 | -25.162 | 72.737 | -39.412 | -165.750 | -41.899 | 90.473 |
| Solution 8 | 141.385 | -94.455 | 162.566 | 158.819 | 156.631 | -137.538 |
| Solution 9 | $-54.493-$ | $163.879+$ | $-106.507-$ | $-127.985+$ | $58.785+$ | $-100.688-$ |
|  | $109.370 I$ | $10.798 I$ | $186.806 I$ | $77.436 I$ | $82.626 I$ | $144.387 I$ |
| Solution 10 | $-54.493+$ | $163.879-$ | $-106.507+$ | $-127.985-$ | $58.785-$ | $-100.688+$ |
|  | $109.370 I$ | $10.798 I$ | $186.806 I$ | $77.436 I$ | $82.626 I$ | $144.387 I$ |
| Solution 11 | $93.401+$ | $-142.300+$ | $167.711+$ | $105.690+$ | $112.781+$ | $-156.361-$ |
|  | $63.964 I$ | $1.679 I$ | $54.093 I$ | $9.871 I$ | $77.655 I$ | $28.617 I$ |
| Solution 12 | $93.401-$ | $-142.300-$ | $167.711-$ | $105.690-$ | $112.781-$ | $-156.361+$ |
|  | $63.964 I$ | $1.679 I$ | $54.093 I$ | $9.871 I$ | $77.655 I$ | $28.617 I$ |
| Solution 13 | Any value | 0.000 | 180.000 | Any value | Any value | 180.000 |

Note: $I$ is the unit imaginary number

The above real solutions for the kinematic analysis have been verified using the CAD models for the 1-DOF

SLR7RMMOM. The CAD configurations associated with these solutions are shown in Fig. 5.


Fig. 5. CAD configurations corresponding to the real solutions

## 4. Operation Modes and Transitional Configurations

As the input angle $\theta$ changes, a series of solutions corresponding to different input angles can be obtained accordingly. Then via plotting the joint angles against the input angle, we illustrate the operation modes and transitional configurations of the 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM (Fig. 6). All the operation modes and transitional configurations of the mechanism can be obtained from the plotting of angles $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{3}$ against the input angle $\theta$.

Figure 6 shows that there are two straight lines A and B and two closed curves $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{C}_{0}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{0}\right.$ in Fig. 6(a) or $\mathrm{C}_{0}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-$ $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{0}$ in Fig. 6(b)) and $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{D}_{0}-\mathrm{D}_{1}-\mathrm{D}_{2}-\mathrm{D}_{3}-\mathrm{D}_{4}-\mathrm{D}_{0}\right)$ designating the operations modes. Lines A and B are associated with translation operation mode, while the closed curves C and D are associated with two 1-DOF planar
operation modes separately. Therefore, the mechanism has three operation modes but not only two operation modes. This could be easily verified by comparing the straight lines and closed curves to their corresponding operation mode figures in Fig. 5. Line A corresponds to Fig. 5(b), Line B corresponds to Fig. 5(c), closed curve C corresponds to Fig. 5(a), and closed curve D corresponds to Fig. 5(f).

In the following, the transitional configurations between three operation modes are analyzed. By comparing the two plotting figures (Fig. 6), two intersecting points TA and TB, through which both operation modes pass in both the plotting figures are apparently observed, which represent the transitional configurations (Fig.7). The input angles corresponding to the transitional configurations are shown in Table 3.


Fig. 6. Plotting of two rotational angles ( $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{3}$ ) against input angle $\theta$ : a) $\theta_{1}$ (deg) in vertical axis versus $\theta$ (rad) in the horizontal axis; b) $\theta_{3}(\mathrm{deg})$ in vertical axis versus $\theta(\mathrm{rad})$ in the horizontal axis

Table 3. Transitional configurations

| Transition <br> points | Input angle <br> $\theta$ in degree | Modes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TA | $0^{0}$ | Translational mode \& 1-DOF <br> planar mode one (curve C) |
| TB | $180^{0}$ | Translational mode \& 1-DOF <br> planar mode two (curve D) |



Fig. 7. Transitional configurations of the SLR7RMMOM.

## 5. Conclusions

The kinematics analysis of a novel 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM has been implemented. Using the algorithm for the inverse kinematics of a general serial 6R manipulator, a set of solutions for the 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM have been obtained with the real solutions verified through the CAD model of the mechanism. The solutions against a series of input angle have been plotted in two figures, which show that the mechanism has three operation modes: translational mode and two 1DOF planar modes. Transitional configurations have also been identified where the mechanism can switch from one operation mode to another.

When switching the proposed 1-DOF SLR7RMMOM from one operation mode to another, neither the extra actuator nor disassembly is needed. Therefore, this reconfigurable mechanism may be useful for developing energy-efficient manipulators.

The investigation of the operation modes of the mechanism using an algebraic approach ${ }^{15}$ instead of the numerical approach deserves further application.
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