

Proca Equations and the Photon Imaginary Mass

Fran de Aquino

▶ To cite this version:

Fran de Aquino. Proca Equations and the Photon Imaginary Mass. 2011. hal-01084269

HAL Id: hal-01084269

https://hal.science/hal-01084269

Preprint submitted on 18 Nov 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Proca Equations and the Photon Imaginary Mass

Fran De Aquino

Maranhao State University, Physics Department, S.Luis/MA, Brazil. Copyright © 2011 by Fran De Aquino. All Rights Reserved

It has been recently proposed that the photon has *imaginary mass* and null real mass. Proca equations are the unique simplest relativistic generalization of Maxwell equations. They are the theoretical expressions of possible nonzero photon rest mass. The fact that the photon has imaginary mass introduces relevant modifications in Proca equations which point to a deviation from the Coulomb's inverse square law.

Key words: Quantum electrodynamics, Specific calculations, Photons PACS: 12.20.-m, 12.20.Ds, 14.70.Bh.

For quite a long time it has been known that the effects of a nonzero photon rest mass can be incorporated into electromagnetism through the Proca equations [1-2]. It is also known that particles with imaginary mass can be described by a real Proca field with a negative mass square [3-5]. They could be generated in storage rings, magnetosphere, and supernova remnants. The existence of imaginary mass associated to the neutrino is already well-known. It has been reported by different groups experimentalists that the mass square of the neutrino is *negative* [6]. Although the imaginary mass is not a measurable amount, its square is [7]. Recently, it was shown that an imaginary mass exist associated to the electron and the photon too [8]. The photon imaginary mass is given by

$$m_{\gamma} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \left(hf / c^2 \right) i \tag{1}$$

This means that the *photon* has null *real* mass and an *imaginary mass*, m_{γ} , expressed by the previous equation.

Proca equations may be found in many textbooks [9-11]. They provide a complete and self-consistent description of electromagnetic phenomena [12]. In the presence of sources ρ and \vec{j} , these equations may be written as (in SI units)

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} - \mu_{\gamma}^2 \phi \tag{2}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \tag{4}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{j} + \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} - \mu_{\gamma}^2 \vec{A} \qquad (5)$$

where $\mu_{\gamma} = m_{\gamma}c/\hbar$, with the *real* variables μ_{γ} and m_{γ} . However, according to Eq. (1) m_{γ} is an *imaginary mass*. Then, μ_{γ} must be also an imaginary variable. Thus, μ_{γ}^2 is a *negative real* number similarly to m_{γ}^2 . Consequently, we can write that

$$\mu_{\gamma}^{2} = \frac{m_{\gamma}^{2}c^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} = \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right)^{2} = \frac{4}{3}k_{r}^{2} \qquad (6)$$

whence we recognize $k_r = 2\pi/\lambda$ as the real part of the *propagation vector* \vec{k} ;

$$k = |\vec{k}| = |k_r + ik_i| = \sqrt{k_r^2 + k_i^2} \tag{7}$$

Substitution of Eq. (6) into Proca equations, gives

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} - \frac{4}{3} k_r^2 \phi \tag{8}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \tag{9}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \tag{10}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{j} + \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} - \frac{4}{3} k_r^2 \vec{A}$$
 (11)

In four-dimensional space these equations can be rewritten as

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{4}{3} k_r^2\right) A_{\mu} = -\mu_0 \vec{j}_{\mu} \qquad (12)$$

where A_{μ} and \vec{j}_{μ} are the 4-vector of potential $(A, i\phi/c)$ and the current density $(\vec{j}, ic\rho)$, respectively. In free space the above equation reduces to

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{4}{3} k_r^2\right) A_{\mu} = 0 \qquad (13)$$

which is essentially the Klein-Gordon equation for the photon.

Therefore, the presence of a photon in a static electric field modifies the wave equation for all potentials (including the Coulomb potential) in the form

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{4}{3} k_r^2\right) \phi = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} \quad (14)$$

For a point charge, we obtain

$$\phi(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q}{r} e^{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} (k_r r)}$$
 (15)

and the electric field

$$E(r) = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r^2} \left[1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} (k_r r) \right] e^{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} (k_r r)}$$
 (16)

Note that only in the absence of the photon $(k_r = 0)$ the expression of E(r) reduces to the well-known expression: $E(r) = q/4\pi\varepsilon_0 r^2$. Thus, these results point to an exponential deviation from Coulomb's inverse square law, which, as we know, is expressed by the following equation (in SI units):

$$\vec{F}_{12} = -\vec{F}_{21} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q_1 q_2 \vec{r}_{12}}{|\vec{r}_{12}|^3} \tag{17}$$

As seen in Eq. (16), the term

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(k_r r)$$

only becomes significant if

$$r > \sim 10^{-4} \lambda \tag{18}$$

This means that the Coulomb's law is a good approximation when $r < \sim 10^4 \lambda$. However, if $r > \sim 10^4 \lambda$, the expression of the force departs from the prediction of Maxwell's equations.

The lowest-frequency photons of the primordial radiation of 2.7K is about $10^8 Hz$ [13]. Therefore, the wavelength of these photons is $\lambda \approx 1m$. Consider the presence of these photons in a terrestrial experiment designed to measure the force between two electric charges separated by a distance r. According to Eq. (18), the deviation from the Coulomb's law only

becomes relevant if $r > 10^{-4} m$. Then, if we take r = 0.1m, the result is

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(k_r r) = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) = 0.73$$

and

$$\left[1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(k_r r)\right] e^{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(k_r r)} = 0.83$$

Therefore, a deviation of 17% in respect to the value predicted by the Coulomb's law.

Then, why the above deviation is not experimentally observed? Theoretically because of the presence of *Schumann radiation* $(f_1 = 7.83\,Hz, \lambda_1 = 3.8\times 10^{\,7}\,m)$ [14-15]. According to Eq. (18), for $\lambda_1 = 3.8\times 10^{\,7}\,m$, the deviation only becomes significant if

$$r > \sim 10^{-4} \lambda_1 = 3.8 Km$$

Since the values of r in usual experiments are much smaller than 3.8Km the result is that the deviation is negligible. In fact, this is easy to verify. For example, if r = 0.1m, we get

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(k_r r) = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{r}{\lambda_1}\right) = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{0.1}{3.8 \times 10^7}\right) = 1.9 \times 10^{-8}$$

and

Now, if we put the experiment inside an aluminum box whose thickness of the walls are equal to 21cm^* the experiment will be shielded for the Schumann radiation. By putting inside the box a photons source of $\lambda \approx 1m$, and making r = 0.1m, then it will be possible to observe the deviation previously computed of 17% in respect to the value predicted by the Coulomb's law.

^{*} The thickness δ necessary to shield the experiment for Schumann radiation can be calculated by means of the well-known expression [16]: $\delta = 5z = 10/\sqrt{2\pi\mu\sigma f}$ where μ and σ are, respectively, the permeability and the electric conductivity of the material; f is the frequency of the radiation to be shielded.

References

- [1] Proca A 1936 J. Phys. Radium Ser. VII 7 347–53
- [2] Proca A 1937 J. Phys. Radium Ser. VII 8 23-8
- [3] Tomaschitz, R. (2001) Physica A 293, 247.
- [4] Tomaschitz, R. (2004) Physica A 335, 577.
- [5] Tomaschitz, R. (2005) Eur. Phys. J. D. 32, 241.
- [6] S. Eidelman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B 592, 1 (2004) and 2005 partial update for edition 2006 (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov).
- [7] Pecina-Cruz, J. N., (2006) arXiv: physics/0604003v2
- [8] De Aquino, F. (2010) Mathematical Foundations of the Relativistic Theory of Quantum Gravity, Pacific Journal of Science and Technology, 11 (1), pp. 173-232.
- [9] Greiner, W. (2000) Relativistic Quantum Mechanics, Springer, Berlin, 3rd edition.
- [10] Itzykson, C., and Zuber, J.B., (1980) Quantum Field Theory, McGraw-Hill, N.Y.).
- [11] Morse. P.M., Feshbach, H., (1953) Methods of Theoretical Physics (McGraw-Hill, N.Y.).
- [12] Byrne J C 1977 Astrophys. Space Sci. 46 115-32
- [13] Audouze, J. (1980) An introduction to Nuclear Astrophysics, D. Reidel Publishing Company-Holland, p. 22.
- [14] Schumann W. O. (1952). "Über die strahlungslosen Eigenschwingungen einer leitenden Kugel, die von einer Luftschicht und einer Ionosphärenhülle umgeben ist". Zeitschrift und Naturfirschung 7a: 149–154
- [15] Volland, H. (1995), Handbook of Atmospheric Electrodynamics, CRC Press, vol.I, Chapter 11.
- [16] Quevedo, C. P. (1977) Eletromagnetismo, McGraw-Hill, p.270.