

Finite speed of propagation for a non-local porous medium equation

Cyril Imbert

▶ To cite this version:

Cyril Imbert. Finite speed of propagation for a non-local porous medium equation. 2014. hal- $01081958 \mathrm{v1}$

HAL Id: hal-01081958 https://hal.science/hal-01081958v1

Preprint submitted on 12 Nov 2014 (v1), last revised 11 Jun 2015 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Finite speed of propagation for a non-local porous medium equation

Cyril Imbert*

November 12, 2014

Abstract

This note is concerned with proving the finite speed of propagation for some non-local porous medium equation by adapting arguments developed by Caffarelli and Vázquez (2010).

AMS Classification: 35K55, 35B30

Keywords: Non-local porous medium equation, Non-local non-linear pressure law, finite speed of propagation, fractional Laplacian

1 Introduction

Caffarelli and Vázquez [3] proved finite speed of propagation for non-negative weak solutions of

$$\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla^{\alpha - 1} u), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \tag{1}$$

with $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $\nabla^{\alpha-1}$ stands for $\nabla(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}$. We adapt here their proof in order to treat the more general case

$$\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla^{\alpha - 1} u^{m - 1}), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
(2)

for $m > m_{\alpha} := 1 + d^{-1}(1 - \alpha)_{+} + 2(1 - \alpha^{-1})_{+}$. Equation (2) is supplemented with the following initial condition

$$u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
(3)

The result contained in this note gives a positive answer to a question posed in [4] where finite of infinite speed of propagation is studied for another generalization of (1). We recall that weak solutions of (2)-(3) are constructed in [2] for $m > m_{\alpha}$ (see also [1]).

In the following statement (and the remaining of the note), B_R denotes the ball of radius R > 0 centered at the origin.

^{*}CNRS, UMR 8050, Université Paris-Est Créteil, 61 av. du Général de Gaulle, 94010 Créteil, cedex, France

Theorem 1.1 (Finite speed of propagation). Let $m > m_{\alpha}$ and assume that $u_0 \ge 0$ is supported in B_{R_0} . Then the non-negative weak solution u of (2)-(3) is supported in $B_{R(t)}$ where

$$R(t) = R_0 + Ct^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \qquad \text{with} \qquad C = C_0 \|u_0\|_{\infty}^{\frac{m-1}{\alpha}}$$

for some constant $C_0 > 0$ only depending on dimension, α and m.

Remark 1.2. The technical assumption $m > m_{\alpha}$ is imposed to ensure the existence of weak solutions; see [2].

Remark 1.3. In view of the Barenblatt solutions constructed in [2], the previous estimate of the speed of propagation is optimal.

The remaining of the note is organized as follows. In preliminary Section 2, the equation is written in non-divergence form, non-local operators appearing in it are written as singular integrals, invariant scalings are exhibited and an approximation procedure is recalled. Section 3 is devoted to the contact analysis. A first lemma for a general barrier is derived in Subsection 3.1. The barrier to be used in the proof of the theorem is constructed in Subsection 3.2. The main error estimate is obtained in Subsection 3.3. Theorem 1.1 is finally proved in Section 4.

Notation. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, a_+ denotes $\max(0, a)$. An inequality written as $A \leq B$ means that there exists a constant C only depending on dimension, α and m such that $A \leq CB$. If $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, a function u is in C^{α} means that it is α -Hölder continuous. If $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, it means that ∇u is $(\alpha - 1)$ -Hölder continuous. For $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, a function u is in $C^{\alpha+\theta}$ if it is in $C^{\alpha+\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha + \varepsilon \neq 1$.

2 Preliminaries

The contact analysis relies on writing Eq. (2) into the following non-divergence form

$$\partial_t u = \nabla u \cdot \nabla p + u \Delta p \tag{4}$$

where p stands for the pressure term and is defined as

$$p = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} u^{m-1}.$$

It is also convenient to write $v = u^{m-1} = G(u)$.

We recall that for a smooth and bounded function v, the non-local operators appearing in (4) have the following singular integral representations,

$$\nabla(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}v = c_{\alpha} \int (v(x+z) - v(x))z \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+\alpha}},$$
$$-(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}v = \bar{c}_{\alpha} \int (v(x+z) + v(x-z) - 2v(x)) \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}.$$

The following elementary lemma makes the scaling of the equation precise.

Lemma 2.1 (Scaling). If u satisfies (2) then U(t, x) = Au(Tt, Bx) satisfies (2) as soon as

$$T = A^{m-1}B^{\alpha}.$$

Consider non-negative solutions of the viscous approximation of (2), i.e.

$$\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla^{\alpha - 1} G(u)) + \delta \Delta u, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For sufficiently smooth initial data u_0 , solutions are at least C^2 with respect to x and C^1 with respect to t.

3 Contact analysis

3.1 The contact analysis lemma

Lemma 3.1 (Contact analysis). Let U(t, x) be C^2 , radially symmetric w.r.t. x, non-increasing w.r.t. |x|. If

$$\begin{cases} u \leq U \text{ for } (t, x) \text{ in } [0, t_c] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(t_c, x_c) = U(t_c, x_c), \end{cases}$$

then

$$\partial_t U \le \nabla U \cdot \nabla P + U\Delta P + \delta \Delta U + e$$

holds at (t_c, x_c) where

$$\begin{cases} P = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}G(U) \\ e = |\nabla U|(I_{\text{out},+}(V) - I_{\text{out},+}(v)) \end{cases}$$

with

$$I_{\text{out},+}(w) = \begin{cases} \int_{\substack{|y| \ge \gamma \\ y \cdot \hat{x}_c \ge 0}} (w(x_c + y) - w(x_c))(y \cdot \hat{x}_c) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha \ge 1\\ \\ \int_{\substack{|y| \ge \gamma \\ y \cdot \hat{x}_c \ge 0}} w(x_c + y)(y \cdot \hat{x}_c) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha \in (0,1) \end{cases}$$

for γ such that

$$c_{\alpha}\gamma|\nabla U(x_c)| \le \bar{c}_{\alpha}U(x_c)$$

where c_{α} and \bar{c}_{α} are the constants appearing in the definitions of the two nonlocal operators.

Proof. At the contact point (t_c, x_c) , the following holds true

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u &\geq \partial_t U \\ \nabla u &= \nabla U = -|\nabla U| \hat{x}_c \\ \Delta u &\leq \Delta U. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\partial_t U \le \nabla U \cdot \nabla p + U \Delta p + \delta \Delta U. \tag{5}$$

We next turn our attention to ∇p and Δp . We drop the time dependence of functions since it plays no role in the remaining of the analysis.

We first remark that

$$\nabla U \cdot \nabla p = -|\nabla U|I(v) \tag{6}$$

where

$$I(v) = \begin{cases} \int (v(x_c + y) - v(x_c))(y \cdot \hat{x}_c) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1, 2), \\\\ \int v(x_c + y)(y \cdot \hat{x}_c) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

We now split *I* into several pieces by splitting the domain of integration \mathbb{R}^d into $B_{\gamma}^{\text{in},\pm} = \{y \in B_{\gamma} : \pm y \cdot \hat{x}_c \geq 0\}$ and $B_{\gamma}^{\text{out},\pm} = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\gamma}^{\text{in},\pm}$ for some parameter $\gamma > 0$ to be fixed later. We thus can write

$$I(v) = I_{\text{in},+}(v) + I_{\text{in},-}(v) + I_{\text{out},+}(v) + I_{\text{out},-}(v)$$

where

$$I_{\text{in/out},\pm}(v) = \begin{cases} c_{\alpha} \int_{B_{\gamma}^{\text{in/out},\pm}} (v(x_c+y) - v(x_c))(y \cdot \hat{x}_c) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1,2), \\ \\ c_{\alpha} \int_{B_{\gamma}^{\text{in/out},\pm}} v(x_c+y)(y \cdot \hat{x}_c) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha \in (0,1). \end{cases}$$

We can proceed similarly for Δp . Remark that

$$\Delta p = J(v) \tag{7}$$

where

$$J(v) = \bar{c}_{\alpha} \int (v(x+y) + v(x-y) - 2v(x)) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}$$

We can introduce $J_{in/out,\pm}(v)$ analogously.

We first remark that,

$$\begin{cases} -I_{\rm in/out,-}(v) \le -I_{\rm in/out,-}(V) \\ J_{\rm in/out,\pm}(v) \le J_{\rm in/out,\pm}(V) \end{cases}$$
(8)

holds at x_c where V = G(U).

We next remark that since G is non-decreasing and vanishes at 0 and w = v - V reaches a zero maximum at $x = x_c$,

$$-I_{\text{in},+}(v-V) \le -\tilde{c}_{\alpha}\gamma J_{\text{in},+}(v-V)$$
(9)

holds at x_c .

Combining (5)-(9), we get (at x_c),

$$\begin{split} \partial_t U &\leq |\nabla U| (-I_{\text{in},+}(V) - I_{\text{in},-}(V) - I_{\text{out},+}(v) - I_{\text{out},-}(V) + \tilde{c}_{\alpha} \gamma J_{\text{in},+}(V)) \\ &+ (U - \tilde{c}_{\alpha} \gamma |\nabla U|) J_{\text{in},+}(v) \\ &+ U(J_{\text{in},-}(V) + J_{\text{out},+}(V) + J_{\text{out},-}(V)) + \delta \Delta U. \end{split}$$

In view of the choice of γ , we get

$$\partial_t U \leq -|\nabla U|I(V) + UJ(V) + |\nabla U|(-I_{\text{out},+}(v) + I_{\text{out},+}(V)) + \delta \Delta U.$$

We now remark that $-|\nabla U|I(V) = \nabla U \cdot \nabla P$ and $J(V) = \Delta P$ we get the desired inequality.

3.2 Construction of the barrier

Lemma 3.2 (Construction of a barrier). Assume that

$$||u||_{\infty} \le 1$$
 and $0 \le u_0(x) \le (R_0 - |x|)_+^{\beta}$ with $R_0 \ge 2$

for some $\beta > \max(2, \alpha(m-1)^{-1})$. There then exist C > 0 and T > 0 (only depending on d, m, α, β) and $U \in \mathcal{C}^2((0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_1)$ defined as follows,

$$U(t,x) = \omega^{\beta} + (R(t) - |x|)_{+}^{\beta} + \omega^{\beta} \frac{t}{T}$$
(10)

where $R(t) = R_0 + Ct$, such that

i) the following holds true

$$\nabla P, \Delta P, J_{\text{in},+}(V), I_{\text{out},+}(V), \Delta U \text{ are bounded};$$
 (11)

- ii) u and U cannot touch at a time t < T and a point $x_c \in B_1$ or $x_c \notin \overline{B}_{R(t)}$;
- iii) if U touches u from above at (t_c, x_c) with $t_c < T$ and $x_c \in B_{R(t)}$, then

$$C \lesssim 1 - I_{\text{out},+}(v) + \frac{\delta}{\omega}.$$
 (12)

Proof. We first remark that the condition $R_0 \ge 2$ ensures that the contact point is out of B_1 since $||u||_{\infty} \le 1$.

The fact that U is \mathcal{C}^2 and $V = U^{m-1}$ is $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_1$ ensures that (11) holds true. Notice that the condition: $\beta(m-1) > \alpha$ is used here.

We should now justify that the contact point cannot be outside $B_{R(t)}$ at a time $t \in (0,T)$ for some small time T under control. If $|x_c| > R(t)$ and $t_c < T$ then $(0 < U < 2, \beta)$

$$\begin{cases} 0 \le U \le 2\omega \\ \partial_t U = \frac{\omega^\beta}{T} \\ |\nabla U| = 0 \\ \Delta U = 0. \end{cases}$$

The contact analysis lemma 3.1 (with $\gamma = 1$, say) and (11) then implies that

$$\frac{\omega^{\beta}}{T} \le |\Delta P| U \lesssim \omega^{\beta}$$

and choosing T small enough (but under control) yields a contradiction.

It remains to study what happens if $t_c < T$ and $x_c \in B_{R(t)} \setminus B_1$. In order to do so, we first define h and H as follows:

$$U = h^{\beta} + H^{\beta} \le 1$$

with $H^{\beta} = \omega^{\beta} t T^{-1} \leq \omega^{\beta}$ for $t \in (0,T)$. Remark that $h \geq \omega \geq H$. In the contact analysis lemma 3.1, we choose γ such that

$$\beta c_{\alpha} \gamma \leq \bar{c}_{\alpha} h$$

If $x_c \in B_{R(t)} \setminus B_1$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U = \beta C h^{\beta - 1} + \frac{\omega^\beta}{T} \ge \beta C h^{\beta - 1} \\ |\nabla U| = \beta h^{\beta - 1} \end{cases}$$
(13)

Combining Lemma 3.1 with (11)-(13), we get (12).

3.3 Estimate of the error term

Lemma 3.3. The following estimate holds true at x_c ,

$$-I_{\text{out},+}(v) \lesssim \begin{cases} G(2h^{\beta})h^{1-\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha > 1\\ R_0^{1-\alpha+\varepsilon} & \text{if } \alpha \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(14)

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. We begin with the easy case $\alpha > 1$. In this case, we simply write

$$-I_{\text{out},+}(v) = \int_{\substack{|y| \ge \gamma \\ y \cdot \hat{x}_c \ge 0}} (v(x_c) - v(x_c + y))(y \cdot \hat{x}_c) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}$$
$$\leq v(x_c) \int_{\substack{|y| \ge \gamma \\ y \cdot \hat{x}_c \ge 0}} (y \cdot \hat{x}_c) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}$$
$$\leq v(x_c) \int_{|y| \ge \gamma} \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha-1}}$$

where we used the fact that $v \ge 0$. By remarking that

$$v = G(u) = G(h^{\beta} + H^{\beta}) \le G(2h^{\beta})$$

at the contact point and through an easy and standard computation, we get the desired estimate in the case $\alpha > 1$.

We now turn to the more subtle case $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. In this case,

$$I_{\text{out},+}(v) = I^*(v) + K \star v$$

where

$$\begin{cases} -I^*[v] = \int_{\substack{\gamma \le |y| \le 1\\ y \cdot \hat{x}_c \ge 0}} -v(x_c + y))(y \cdot \hat{x}_c) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \\ \\ K = \frac{y \cdot \hat{x}_c}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \mathbf{1}_{|y| \ge 1, y \cdot \hat{x}_c \ge 0}. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

We first remark that

$$|I^*[v]| \le ||v||_{\infty} \int_{B_1} \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha-1}} \lesssim 1.$$

We next remark that $K \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $p > \frac{d}{d-(1-\alpha)} \ge 1$. Hence,

$$|K \star v| \le ||K||_p ||v||_q = ||K||_p ||u||_{(m-1)q}^{m-1}$$

with p as above and $q^{-1} = 1 - p^{-1}$.

We next estimate $||u||_{(m-1)q}$. Interpolation leads

$$\|u\|_{(m-1)q}^{m-1} \le \|u\|_1^{\frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_{\infty}^{(m-1)-\frac{1}{q}} \le \|u\|_1^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

since $||u||_{\infty} \leq 1$. Finally, we use mass conservation in order to get

$$||u||_1 = ||u_0||_1 \le \int \min(1, (R_0 - |x|)^{\beta}_+) \mathrm{d}x \le \omega_d R_0^d.$$

Finally, we have

$$|I_{\text{out},+}(v)| \lesssim R_0^{\frac{d}{q}}$$

for all $q < \frac{d}{1-\alpha}$ which yields the desired result.

Combining now Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we get the following one.

Lemma 3.4 (Estimate of the speed of propagation). Assume that

$$||u||_{\infty} \le 1$$
 and $0 \le u_0(x) \le (R_0 - |x|)_+^{\beta}$ with $R_0 \ge 2$

for some $\beta > \max(2, \alpha(m-1)^{-1})$. Then there exists T > 0 and $C_0 > 0$ only depending on dimension, m, α and β (and ε for $\alpha \leq 1$) such that, for $t \in (0,T)$, u is supported in B_{R_0+Ct} with

$$C = \begin{cases} C_0 & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \\ C_0 R_0^{1-\alpha-\varepsilon} & \text{if } \alpha \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(15)

(for $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small).

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.2, the parameter ω is chosen so that $\omega \gg \delta$, say $\omega = \sqrt{\delta}$. Now Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 imply that if C is chosen as indicated in (15), then u remains below U at least up to time T. Letting (ω, δ) go to 0 yields the desired result.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We can now prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We treat successively the $\alpha > 1$ and $\alpha \leq 1$.

First case. In the case $\alpha > 1$, if $||u||_{\infty} = ||u_0||_{\infty} \le 1$ and

$$u_0(x) \le (R_0 - |x|)^{\beta}_+,$$

then Lemma 3.4 implies that the support of u is contained in $B_{R(t)}$ with

$$R(t) = R_0 + C_0 t$$

for some constant C_0 only depending on dimension, m and α . Rescaling the solution (see Lemma 2.1), we get

$$R(t) = R_0 + C_0 L^{m-1 - \frac{\alpha - 1}{\beta}} a^{\frac{\alpha - 1}{\beta}} t$$

as soon as

$$u_0(x) \le a(R_0 - |x|)^{\beta}_+$$
 and $L = ||u||_{\infty} = ||u_0||_{\infty}.$

If we simply know that u_0 is supported in B_{R_0} and $||u||_{\infty} = ||u_0||_{\infty} = L$, then we can pick any a > 0 and $r_1 > 0$ such that $ar_1^{\beta} = L$ and get

$$u_0(x) \le a(r_1 + R_0 - |x|)_+^{\beta}.$$

By the previous reasoning, we get that

$$R(t) \le R_0 + r_1 + C_0 L^{m-1-\frac{\alpha-1}{\beta}} a^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\beta}} t = R_0 + r_1 + C_0 L^{m-1} r_1^{1-\alpha} t.$$

Minimizing with respect to r_1 yields the desired result in the case $\alpha > 1$.

Second case. We now turn to the case $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Lemma 3.4 yields for $t \in [0, T_1]$ with $T_1 = \frac{R_0}{C_1}$

$$C_1 \lesssim R_0^{1-\alpha+\varepsilon}$$

(recall that $R_0 \geq 2$).

We now start with $R_1 = R_0 + C_1 T_1 = 2R_0$ and we get

7

$$C_2 \lesssim (3R_0)^{1-\alpha+\varepsilon}$$

for $t \in [T_1, T_2]$ with

$$T_2 - T_1 = \frac{R_0}{C_2}.$$

More generally, for $t \in [T_k, T_{k+1}]$,

$$C_k \simeq ((k+1)R_0)^{1-\alpha+\varepsilon} \simeq (kR_0)^{1-\alpha+\varepsilon}$$

with

$$T_{k+1} - T_k = \frac{R_0}{C_k} \simeq \frac{R_0^{\alpha - \varepsilon}}{(k+1)^{1-\alpha + \varepsilon}}.$$

We readily see that the series $\sum_{k} (T_{k+1} - T_k)$ diverges. More precisely,

$$T_k \simeq (kR_0)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}$$

Moreover, we get that the function u is supported in $B_{R(t)}$ with

$$R(t) - R_0 \lesssim kR_0 + C_k(t - T_k) \lesssim (T_k)^{\frac{1}{\alpha - \varepsilon}} + (T_k)^{\frac{1 - \alpha + \varepsilon}{\alpha - \varepsilon}} t \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{\alpha - \varepsilon}}$$

for $t \in [T_k, T_{k+1}]$. Hence, we get the result but not with the right power. Precisely, for L = 1 and

$$0 \le u_0(x) \le (R_0 - |x|)_+^\beta$$

we get

$$R(t) = R_0 + C_0 t^\beta$$

with $\beta > \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Rescaling and playing again with r_1 and a such that $ar_1^{\beta} = L$ yields the desired result in the case $\alpha < 1$. The proof of the theorem is now complete.

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank P. Biler and G. Karch for fruitful discussions during the preparation of this note.

References

- Piotr Biler, Cyril Imbert, and Grzegorz Karch. Barenblatt profiles for a nonlocal porous medium equation. C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris, 349(11-12):641–645, 2011.
- [2] Piotr Biler, Cyril Imbert, and Grzegorz Karch. Nonlocal porous medium equation: Barenblatt profiles and other weak solutions. HAL hal-795420, 2013.
- [3] Luis Caffarelli and Juan Luis Vázquez. Nonlinear porous medium flow with fractional potential pressure. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 202(2):537–565, 2011.
- [4] Diana Stan, Félix del Teso, and Juan Luis Vázquez. Finite and infinite speed of propagation for porous medium equations with fractional pressure. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 352(2):123–128, 2014.