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Abstract— Multipath represents a common predominant and uncontrolled component on channel impairments for land mobile satellite communication and navigation systems. Delayed signal replicas highly impact performances on communication systems while they induce strong positioning errors for navigation systems. The actual trend is to improve multipath wideband representation by using hybrid physical-statistical channel simulator instead of narrowband statistical approaches. In this context, this paper presents a new simplified model – Three Component Model – to represent building scattering in an efficient way which strongly improves time performances. This model is based on a fast formulation of Physical Optics to model specular reflections, backscattering and incoherent scattering from complex façades.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past decades, mobile applications using satellites have experienced a continuous growth and the demand for such systems does not fall off. Among all developed applications, satellites have first democratized voice and message transmission and more recently multimedia broadcasting with several international standards and satellite navigation systems as GPS (Global Positioning System) and future European Galileo system. The above systems are operating at L and S-band and are particularly sensitive to various channel impairments induced by urban environments, namely shadowing, multipath fading, delayed echoes, Doppler spreading, depolarization, etc. For wideband applications, a particular emphasis has to be placed on MP (Multipath) characterization.

Many models have been proposed to represent the LMS (Land Mobile Satellite) propagation channel where both accuracy and computational efficiency are key parameters. All LMS channel models usually include two main aspects: the description of the propagation environment and the modelling of EM (ElectroMagnetic) interactions. All techniques can be grouped into three main approaches, namely, statistical, deterministic and hybrid physical-statistical, depending on how the environment and the interactions are modelled.

Statistical approaches [1] and state oriented models [2] present the advantage of being very fast with a low computational complexity. However, they are limited to narrowband modelling without neither MP delay repartition nor AoA (Angle of Arrival). Such methods are then not adapted for wideband communications and navigation issues. On the opposite side, deterministic approaches and asymptotic methods [3] are perfectly suitable for such problematic. The main advantage of asymptotic methods is their rich variety of outputs, e.g. polarisation, AoA, Doppler, delay, and the overall accuracy of their results. However, the implementation of such methods is complex, simulations are site-specific and time consuming while results accuracy is very dependent on environment representation, e.g. details, geometry of the scene or materials. From those statements, hybrid approaches [4] [5] [6] present the best compromise in terms of output diversity, accuracy, execution time and site flexibility. To achieve the site flexibility, hybrid approaches are usually based on a virtual city concept [7] made up from macro-statistics of a particular environment, e.g. urban, sub-urban or rural. Faster compared to deterministic approaches, they lie on simplified EM model to reproduce interactions between wave fronts and objects in the scene.

The work here presented is part of a wider project aiming at reproducing channel impairments in an enhanced LMS channel simulator. A specific attention has to be paid on the wideband representation of the channel and on the calculation time to achieve almost real time synthetic impulse response generation. As presented above, hybrid approaches are the most suitable for fulfilling such expectations. Figure 1 represents the retained architecture of the global channel simulator.

In this paper, only the simplified model for EM interactions on buildings is presented. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section II presents the fundamentals of the simplified EM model from simulation observations to the
main principle of the Three Component Model. Section III presents one validation example on a complex and isolated building using MoM (Method of Moments) as a reference. Finally, Section IV concludes and presents some leads on features to be added to improve the actual implemented model.

II. THE THREE COMPONENT MODEL

A. Simulation observations

The Three Component Model (TCM) has been first imagined observing simulation results using independent numerical tools during different works. The first observation, Figure 2, has been made using Elsem3D during work presented in [8]. Elsem3D is a research code developed by ONERA, based on EFIE (Electric Field Integral Equation) and solved with MoM techniques in the frequency domain. Figure 2 represents the normalised narrowband power scattered by a complex façade when a receiver is passing by at a distance of X=10m. The receiver trajectory is collinear to the building with Y=±100m. The satellite is at 20 10° m, elevation 40°, and azimuth 40°. The complex façade used is placed at Y=0m. Its geometry is presented in Figure 3 and detailed in [8].

As visible on Figure 2, the scattering pattern can be divided in 2 zones: Y=±20m where the building has an influence on the scattered power, and out of this interval where the façade has no influence. In the no influence zone, the power scattered by the façade rapidly decreases with smooth variations. In the influence zone the scattered power can be divided into three regions. The first one represents a strong peak around Y=-10m. The position of this contribution can be easily linked to the incidence of the satellite (40° azimuth). This peak can be attributed to a specular phenomenon. A second peak is observable around Y=+10m. Slightly lower, this second contribution can be attributed to backscattering phenomena, symmetrical w.r.t the specular component but in the opposite azimuth direction. The influence zone of the façade is characterised by a power threshold around -30dB comparable to a background random floor due to bistatic scattering.

A second independent simulation result has confirmed what has been observed in Figure 2. Figure 4 has been extracted from results presented in [9] using the SE-RAY-EM/FERMAT software. This commercial tool is co-developed by ONERA and Oktal-SE Company. It is based on asymptotic methods (GO (Geometrical Optics), PO (Physical Optics) and ECM (Equivalent Current Method)) using a SBR (Shooting and Bouncing Rays) algorithm. This software is able to compute complex channel impulse response considering power, phase, delay and AoA for each MP. Figure 4 represents the azimuthal power profile using 5° beams at the receiver location. The context of simulation is the following: a mobile receiver is placed in a one sided urban canyon at a distance of 12m from the buildings. The satellite is placed at elevation 50° and azimuth 20°.

As visible in Figure 4, the LOS signal comes from ϕ=20° with 0dB power (normalised). Also noticeable, two zones of strong power contribution ϕ=[110°;170°] and ϕ=[210°;240°]. Note that the second zone has lower power and spreading w.r.t the first zone. Using simple geometry relations, the first zone can be linked to a specular phenomenon (ϕ=160°, red arrow)
and the second to a backscattering phenomenon (φ=200°) coming from the opposite direction w.r.t. the LOS. The random phenomenon observed in Figure 2 is here hardly noticeable except for φ=[170°;200°].

B. Proposed approach

Observations made in the previous section has highlighted that the scattering pattern of a complex building can be broken down into three different propagation phenomena namely, specular reflection, backscattering and incoherent scattering as presented in Figure 5 and described next. This model is therefore called the Three Component Model.

1) Specular Reflection model

The specular reflection phenomenon is originating from wide and smooth surfaces. Typical specular elements present on façades are windows and walls. Since the used frequency is in L or S band (λ>20cm), the walls can be assumed to be smooth w.r.t. the wavelength. To refine the model, additional incoherent scattering can be added on wall surfaces. The internal EM implementation of the specular reflection model is based on a fast formulation of PO extracted from [10]. The fast formulation the final facets will have to be recalculated form of GO. In a first approximation to reduce time consumption, only one of the two plates composing the dihedral is considered. This plate is normal to the global Y axis as presented in Figure 6. The original incoming wave front (Tx) is replaced by its image (Tx’) assuming a reflection on the X normal plate. The radiation of the Y-plate is then calculated using the specular reflection model adjusted by a coefficient 2 to compensate the lack of the second half of the dihedral which does not radiate. This implementation of the backscattering model seems to show limitations as presented and discussed in section III and will have to be improved.

\[
\sigma_{pq}^0 = \frac{k_0^2 \pi}{L_x L_y} \sin \left( \frac{k_0 \xi_x L_x}{2 \pi} \right) \sin \left( \frac{k_0 \xi_y L_y}{2 \pi} \right) \left[ \alpha_{pq} \right]^2
\]

where \( \sigma_{pq}^0 \) denotes the normalised RCS for transmitting polarisation \( p \) and receiving polarisation \( q \), \( k_0 \) represents the wave number, \( L_x \) and \( L_y \) the size of the facet, \( \xi_x \) and \( \xi_y \) is an angular function depending of the direction of arrival of the wave fronts and the direction of departure to reach the receiver and \( \alpha_{pq} \) a polarisation dependent loss term.

To obtain this analytical solution, the current integration has been performed on rectangular surfaces. To use this analytical formulation the final facets will have to be rectangular. Also due to PO formulation, the backscattering condition has to fulfil the far field condition w.r.t. the facet size. This condition usually conducts to sub cut the façade into smaller facets. The major advantage of such formulation is the calculation time compared to classical PO. Analytically, this model is also suitable for various frequencies i.e. L, S and C bands, various dielectric materials and for polarimetric concerns.

2) Backscattering model

The backscattering phenomenon is originating from dihedral effects essentially taking place on window corners. Note that this phenomenon has also been observed by Lehner and al in [11]. The backscattering effect is responsible of significant contributions coming to the receiver from the exact opposite direction compared to LOS, as illustrated in Figure 4. The EM internal implementation of this model is for the moment based on the specular reflection model coupled with a pre-calculated form of GO. In a first approximation to reduce time consumption, only one of the two plates composing the dihedral is considered. This plate is normal to the global Y axis as presented in Figure 6. The original incoming wave front (Tx) is replaced by its image (Tx’) assuming a reflection on the X normal plate. The radiation of the Y-plate is then calculated using the specular reflection model adjusted by a coefficient 2 to compensate the lack of the second half of the dihedral which does not radiate. This implementation of the backscattering model seems to show limitations as presented and discussed in section III and will have to be improved.

3) Incoherent Scattering model

The incoherent scattering phenomenon is similar to a background random noise generated by the façade around itself. This phenomenon has been highlighted in Figure 2. It is due to all small architectural elements present on complex façades as guardrails or window decorations. The size of those objects is about one wave length or smaller so they scatter power almost uniformly in all directions. This phenomenon was also called “the influence zone of the façade” in section II.A. The EM implementation of the incoherent scattering model takes into account five different types of rough surfaces. The first four models are based on EM formulations extracted from [10]. They consider two different roughness profiles, slightly rough or very rough, each one being associated to two different roughness distributions, gaussian or exponential. Those four models are parameterised using two variables, the correlation length of the surface and the standard deviation of the roughness height. One last model has been implemented and is based on the specular reflection model where each elementary surface has a random reflection phase to break down the coherent reflection and consequently the specular phenomenon.

Figure 5. Decomposition principle of the Three Component Model

Figure 6. Principle of the backscattering model
Figure 7. Decomposition of the total scattering of city centre building type, elevation = 0°, azimuth = 40°

Figure 8. Validation results for city centre building type in various incidence configurations
III. SCATTERING OF ISOLATED BUILDING

This section provides one validation example of the actual implementation of the TCM. The ONERA MoM tool Elsem3D is here used as a reference for this validation example. The simulation context is the following: A mobile receiver is linearly moving at Z=0m height, following the Y axis from ±100m at a distance of X=10m from a complex façade. The bottom centre of this façade is placed at the origin (0,0,0). The geometry of the façade represents a city centre building type visible in Figure 3 and detailed in [8].

Diagram in top left of Figure 7 represents the surface currents calculated using Elsem3D on a precise mesh of the façade (around λ/10). The top right diagram of Figure 7 represents the same façade using the TCM. The red parts are specular elements, the blue parts are backscattering elements and the green contours delimit where incoherent scatterers (green points) are randomly spread. Using the TCM, the complex city centre building type is reduced to 20 rectangular zones. The bottom of Figure 7 represents the normalised power scattered by the façade considering a transmitting source situated at 20 10^m, elevation 0°, and azimuth 40°. As visible in this plot, the total scattered power calculated using the TCM (plain red curve) presents a very good agreement considering only the total TCM (red) and the green contours delimit where incoherent scatterers are visible in Figure 3 and detailed in [8].

Incoherent scattering is predominant for negative Y. The component is predominant in the specular zone around 10m and then rapidly decreases. The backscattering component is predominant for positive Y with a peak around +10m while the incoherent scattering is predominant for negative Y.

One validation example has been provided using a MoM based tool as a reference. For typical LMS incidences the TCM presents very good results in the range of 0 to -40dB compared to LOS power. However, in the actual implementation of the TCM, the backscattering component presents some failings and must be revised to improve TCM prediction accuracy. This version of the TCM will be soon upgraded to take into account polarisation and dielectric materials. The final application will be wideband satellite communications and navigation, MP delay and AoA will also be treated.
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