

On the motion of a small light body immersed in a two dimensional incompressible perfect fluid with vorticity

Olivier Glass, Christophe Lacave, Franck Sueur

▶ To cite this version:

Olivier Glass, Christophe Lacave, Franck Sueur. On the motion of a small light body immersed in a two dimensional incompressible perfect fluid with vorticity. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 2015, 341 (3), pp.1015-1065. 10.1007/s00220-015-2489-3. hal-01076950

HAL Id: hal-01076950 https://hal.science/hal-01076950

Submitted on 23 Oct 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the motion of a small light body immersed in a two dimensional incompressible perfect fluid with vorticity

Olivier Glass, Christophe Lacave, Franck Sueur[‡]

October 23, 2014

Abstract

In this paper we consider the motion of a rigid body immersed in a two dimensional unbounded incompressible perfect fluid with vorticity. We prove that when the body shrinks to a massless pointwise particle with fixed circulation, the "fluid+rigid body" system converges to the vortex-wave system introduced by Marchioro and Pulvirenti in [11]. This extends both the paper [2] where the case of a solid tending to a massive pointwise particle was tackled and the paper [3] where the massless case was considered but in a bounded cavity filled with an irrotational fluid.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Structure of the proof	6
3	Basic material 3.1 Equations in the body frame	8 8
	3.2 Some useful velocity fields 3.2.1 Harmonic field 3.2.2 Kirchhoff and other related potentials	9 9 10
	3.2.3 Biot-Savart kernel	11
4	First a priori estimates 1 4.1 Vorticity	12 13 13 14 14 16
5	Normal form. Proof of Proposition 2.115.1 Decomposition of the pressure.5.2 Expansion of B_i^{ε} .5.3 Expansion of C_i^{ε} .5.4 Conclusion.	17 18 19 22 27
16.	*CEREMADE, UMR 7534, Université Paris-Dauphine & CNRS, Place du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 75775 Paris Ced France.	lex

[†]Univ Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche, UMR 7586, CNRS, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, F-75013, Paris, France.

[‡]Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, UMR CNRS 5251, Université de Bordeaux, 351 cours de la Libération, F33405 Talence Cedex, France.

6	Modulated energy and passage to the limit	30
	6.1 Modulated energy. Proof of Proposition 2.2.	. 30
	6.2 Local passage to the limit. Proof of Propositions 2.4 and 2.5.	. 31
	6.3 Conclusion	. 35
\mathbf{A}	Lemmas from complex analysis	36
	A.1 Basic computations	. 36
	A.2 First coefficients of the Laurent series	. 38
	A.3 Second order moments	. 39

Introduction 1

In this paper we consider the motion of a rigid body immersed in a two dimensional incompressible perfect fluid, when the size of the body converges to 0. Initially the rigid body is assumed to occupy

$$\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon} := \varepsilon \mathcal{S}_0,$$

where S_0 is a simply connected smooth compact subset of \mathbb{R}^2 and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. The body moves rigidly so that at times t it occupies a domain $S^{\varepsilon}(t)$ which is isometric to S_0^{ε} . We denote

$$\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}(t) := \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{S}^{\varepsilon}(t)$$

the domain occupied by the fluid at time t starting from the initial domain

div

$$\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon} := \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}.$$

The equations modelling the dynamics of the system then read :

Fluid equations:

ລ. ເຮ

$$\frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + (u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla)u^{\varepsilon} + \nabla \pi^{\varepsilon} = 0 \qquad \text{for } t \in (0, \infty), \ x \in \mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}(t), \tag{1.1}$$

$$u^{\varepsilon} = 0$$
 for $t \in [0, \infty), \ x \in \mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}(t),$ (1.2)

Solid equations:

$$m^{\varepsilon}(h^{\varepsilon})''(t) = \int_{\partial S^{\varepsilon}(t)} \pi^{\varepsilon} n \, ds \qquad \qquad \text{for } t \in (0, \infty), \tag{1.3}$$

$$\mathcal{J}^{\varepsilon}(r^{\varepsilon})'(t) = \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}^{\varepsilon}(t)} (x - h^{\varepsilon}(t))^{\perp} \cdot \pi^{\varepsilon} n \, ds \qquad \text{for } t \in (0, \infty), \tag{1.4}$$

Boundary conditions:

$$u^{\varepsilon} \cdot n = \left((h^{\varepsilon})'(t) + r^{\varepsilon}(t)(x - h^{\varepsilon}(t))^{\perp} \right) \cdot n \qquad \text{for } t \in [0, \infty), \ x \in \partial \mathcal{S}^{\varepsilon}(t), \tag{1.5}$$

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} |u^{\varepsilon}(t, x)| = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{for } t \in [0, \infty), \tag{1.6}$$

Initial data:

$$u^{\varepsilon}|_{t=0} = u_0^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}, \tag{1.7}$$

$$h^{\varepsilon}(0) = 0, \quad (h^{\varepsilon})'(0) = \ell_0^{\varepsilon}, \quad \theta^{\varepsilon}(0) = 0, \quad r^{\varepsilon}(0) = r_0^{\varepsilon}.$$
(1.8)

Here $u^{\varepsilon} = (u_1^{\varepsilon}, u_2^{\varepsilon})$ and π^{ε} denote the velocity and pressure fields in the fluid, $m^{\varepsilon} > 0$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\varepsilon} > 0$ denote respectively the mass and the momentum of inertia of the body. The fluid is supposed to be homogeneous, of density 1 to simplify the notations.

When $x = (x_1, x_2)$ the notation x^{\perp} stands for $x^{\perp} = (-x_2, x_1)$, *n* denotes the unit normal vector pointing outside the fluid, $(h^{\varepsilon})'(t)$ is the velocity of the center of mass $h^{\varepsilon}(t)$ of the body and $r^{\varepsilon}(t)$ is the angular velocity. Indeed, since $S^{\varepsilon}(t)$ is isometric to S_0^{ε} there exists an angle $\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)$ such that, with the notation of the rotation matrix

$$R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta^{\varepsilon}(t) & -\sin \theta^{\varepsilon}(t) \\ \sin \theta^{\varepsilon}(t) & \cos \theta^{\varepsilon}(t) \end{pmatrix},$$
(1.9)

one has

$$\mathcal{S}^{\varepsilon}(t) := \{ h^{\varepsilon}(t) + R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}x, \ x \in \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon} \}.$$

Furthermore, this angle satisfies

$$(\theta^{\varepsilon})'(t) = r^{\varepsilon}(t).$$

In this paper, we will systematically take the convention that the initial position of the center of mass is 0, that is,

$$h^{\varepsilon}(0) = 0. \tag{1.10}$$

Equations (1.1) and (1.2) are the incompressible Euler equations, the condition (1.5) means that the boundary is impermeable and Equations (1.3)-(1.4) are the Newton's balance law for linear and angular momenta.

The Cauchy problem for System (1.1)-(1.8) is now well understood. In particular we have the following result proven in [2], which is the equivalent for the fluid-body system of the celebrated result of Yudovich for the fluid alone [13]. We recall that the space of log-Lipschitz functions on some domain $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the set of functions $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{LL}(X)} := \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|(x - y)(1 + \ln^{-}|x - y|)|} < +\infty$$

Theorem 1.1. For any

$$u_0^{\varepsilon} \in C^0(\overline{\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}}; \mathbb{R}^2), \quad (\ell_0^{\varepsilon}, r_0^{\varepsilon}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$$

such that:

$$\operatorname{div} u_0^{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}$$
$$w_0^{\varepsilon} := \operatorname{curl} u_0^{\varepsilon} \in L_c^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_0^{\varepsilon}),$$
$$u_0^{\varepsilon} \cdot n = (\ell_0^{\varepsilon} + r_0^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}) \cdot n \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon},$$
$$\lim_{|x| \to +\infty} u_0^{\varepsilon}(x) = 0,$$

there exists a unique solution $(h^{\varepsilon}, \theta^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon})$ of (1.1)–(1.8) with

$$(h^{\varepsilon}, \theta^{\varepsilon}) \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+}; \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}), \quad u^{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{+}; \mathcal{LL}(\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}(t))) \text{ and}$$

 $\partial_{t}u^{\varepsilon}, \nabla p^{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{+}; L^{q}(\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}(t))) \text{ for any } q \in (1, +\infty).$

Moreover such a solution satisfies some conservation laws which will be recalled in Subsections 3.1 and 4.1.

There is a slight abuse of notations in $L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{LL}(\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}(t)))$ and $L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+; L^q(\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}(t)))$ since the domain $\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}(t)$ of the *x*-variable actually depends on *t*. By this we refer to functions defined for almost each *t* as a function in the space $\mathcal{LL}(\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}(t))$ (resp. $L^q(\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}(t))$), and which can be extended to a function in $L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{LL}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ (resp. $L^q(\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}(t))$).

A central fact to obtain Theorem 1.1 is that in these solutions the fluid vorticity

$$w^{\varepsilon} := \operatorname{curl} u^{\varepsilon} = \partial_1 u_2^{\varepsilon} - \partial_2 u_1^{\varepsilon},$$

satisfies

$$\frac{\partial w^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(u^{\varepsilon}w^{\varepsilon}) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0,\infty), \ x \in \mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}(t).$$
(1.11)

Actually, the uniqueness part of Theorem 1.1 can be established starting either from the velocity equation (1.1) or from the vorticity equation (1.11).

In this paper we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of $(h^{\varepsilon}, \theta^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}, w^{\varepsilon})$ when $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. This issue depends on the behavior of the data with respect to ε which we now describe.

Mass and momentum of inertia. In the paper [2] we studied the case where the solid occupying the domain $S^{\varepsilon}(t)$ is assumed to have a mass and a moment of inertia of the form $m^{\varepsilon} = m^1$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{J}^1$, where $m^1 > 0$ and $\mathcal{J}^1 > 0$ are fixed, so that the solid tends to a massive pointwise particle. The goal of this paper is to study the case where

$$m^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\alpha} m^1 \text{ and } \mathcal{J}^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\alpha+2} \mathcal{J}^1,$$
 (1.12)

where $\alpha > 0$ and $m^1 > 0$ and $\mathcal{J}^1 > 0$ are fixed, so that the solid tends to a massless pointwise particle. The particular case where $\alpha = 2$ corresponds to the case of a fixed solid density whereas the case tackled in [2] corresponded to the case where $\alpha = 0$. The regime (1.12) was considered in [3] in the irrotational case (and when the fluid occupies a bounded domain). The purpose of this paper is to extend it to the case where the vorticity w^{ε} in the fluid does not vanish.

Vorticity and circulation around the solid. We will consider an initial fluid vorticity $w_0 \in L_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$ independent of ε and an initial circulation

$$\gamma := \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^\varepsilon} u_0^\varepsilon \cdot \tau \, ds$$

independent of ε as well. The fact that we consider $0 \notin \operatorname{supp} w_0$ is connected to (1.10): for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, one has $\operatorname{supp} w_0 \cap \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon} = \emptyset$.

Initial solid velocity. We will consider an initial solid velocity $(\ell_0^{\varepsilon}, r_0^{\varepsilon})$ independent of ε :

$$(\ell_0^{\varepsilon}, r_0^{\varepsilon}) = (\ell_0, r_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Initial fluid velocity. The initial fluid velocity u_0^{ε} is then defined as the unique log-Lipschitz solution of the div-curl type system:

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} u_0^{\varepsilon} = 0, \ \operatorname{curl} u_0^{\varepsilon} = w_0^{\varepsilon} \ \operatorname{in} \mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}, \\ u_0^{\varepsilon} \cdot n = (\ell_0 + r_0 x^{\perp}) \cdot n \ \operatorname{on} \partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}, \\ \operatorname{lim}_{|x| \to \infty} |u_0^{\varepsilon}(x)| = 0, \ \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} u_0^{\varepsilon} \cdot \tau \ ds = \gamma, \end{cases}$$
(1.13)

where $w_0^{\varepsilon} := w_{0|\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}}$, hence, for ε small enough (depending on dist(supp $w_0; 0$) and the size of \mathcal{S}_0), we have

$$w_0^{\varepsilon} := w_0. \tag{1.14}$$

To state the main result, we will use the following notation for the Biot-Savart operator in \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w](t,x) = K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w(t,\cdot)](x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(x-y)^{\perp}}{|x-y|^2} w(t,y) \, dy.$$

Now our goal in this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Let us be given $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $(\ell_0, r_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, w_0 in $L^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, we associate u_0^{ε} by (1.13)-(1.14) and consider $(h^{\varepsilon}, \theta^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon})$ the unique solution of the system (1.1)-(1.8). Then for any T > 0, as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$,

- h^{ε} converges to h weakly- \star in $W^{1,\infty}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^2)$,
- w^{ε} converges to w in $C^0([0,T]; L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) w\star)$,
- u^{ε} converges to $\tilde{u} + \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \frac{(x-h(t))^{\perp}}{|x-h(t)|^2}$ in $C^0([0,T]; L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ for q < 2, where $\tilde{u}(t,x)$ is defined on $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$\tilde{u}(t,x) := K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w(t,\cdot)](x).$$

Moreover, (\tilde{u}, w, h) satisfies:

Fluid equation:

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}\left(\left[\tilde{u} + \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \frac{(x - h(t))^{\perp}}{|x - h(t)|^2}\right] w\right) = 0 \quad in \quad [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2,$$
(1.15)

Particle equation:

$$h'(t) = \tilde{u}(t, h(t))$$
 in $[0, T],$ (1.16)

Initial conditions:

$$w|_{t=0} = w_0, \ h(0) = 0.$$
 (1.17)

The above convergences of w^{ε} and u^{ε} hold when we have extended these functions by 0 inside the solid.

Remark 1.3. Equation (1.15) and the w-part of the initial data given in (1.17) hold in the sense that for any test function $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ we have

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \psi_{t} w \, dx \, dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \nabla_{x} \psi \cdot \left(\tilde{u} + \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \frac{(x - h(t))^{\perp}}{|x - h(t)|^{2}} \right) w \, dx \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \psi(0, x) w_{0}(x) \, dx = 0.$$
(1.18)

The equations (1.15)–(1.16) describe the vortex-wave system introduced by Marchioro and Pulvirenti in [11]. Equation (1.15) describes the evolution of the vorticity of the fluid: w is transported by a velocity obtained by the usual Biot-Savart law in the plane, but from a vorticity which is the sum of the fluid vorticity and of a point vortex placed at the (time-dependent) position h(t) where the solid shrinks, with a strength equal to the circulation γ around the body. The point vortex is transported only under the influence of the fluid vorticity (1.16).

We recall from Marchioro-Pulvirenti [11] (Lagrangian formulation) and by Lacave-Miot [8] (Eulerian formulation, i.e. in our case (1.18)) that in the case of one point vortex h(t) and of an initial vorticity $w_0 \in L^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$, the vortex-wave system (1.15)-(1.16) admits a unique solution such that $w \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+, L^1 \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ and $h \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^2)$. Moreover, such a solution has the following property: for any T > 0, there exists $\rho_T > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{supp} w(t) \subset B(h(t), \rho_T) \setminus B(h(t), 1/\rho_T) \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

$$(1.19)$$

Remark 1.4. Note that the convergence of h^{ε} cannot be strong in $W^{1,\infty}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^2)$, in general, as this would entail that

$$\ell_0 = K[w_0](0) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{y^{\perp}}{|y|^2} w_0(y) \, dy.$$

Let us mention the paper [1] which provides another derivation of the vortex-wave system (1.15)-(1.16) from smooth solutions of the Euler equations alone, without any rigid body but with some concentrated vorticity, following the approach of [10] for the derivation of the vortex points system from smooth solutions of the Euler equations.

In the case of a massive pointwise particle, we have obtained in [2] the same theorem except that the particle equation was:

$$m^{1}h''(t) = \gamma \left(h'(t) - \tilde{u}(t, h(t))\right)^{\perp}$$
 in $[0, T],$ (1.20)

and that, due to the lack of uniqueness in the limit system, the convergence held only along a subsequence. One can see that in the massive situation, the point vortex is accelerated by a force similar to the Kutta-Joukowski lift force of the irrotational theory. Formally, the massless situation corresponds to the case where $m^1 = 0$ in (1.20), from which one recovers the point vortex equation (1.16). Nevertheless, the rigorous analysis is more complicated than in [2] because the second-order equation (1.3) degenerates to a first order equation (1.16). For example, we will note in Remark 4.3 that a standard energy estimate does not give anymore that $(h^{\varepsilon})'$ is bounded uniformly in ε .

Let us also mention the paper of Silvestre and Takahashi [12] (and the references therein) of a related problem with a small ball immersed in a 3D viscous fluid.

2 Structure of the proof

In this section, we describe the general structure of the proof of Theorem 1.2.

The basic estimates (energy estimates, estimates coming from the vorticity) for system (1.1)-(1.8) will prove insufficient to pass to the limit. We will strengthen these estimates by establishing a so-called *modulated energy estimate.* To prove it, we will need to reformulate the equation in a suitable *normal form*. This is explained in greater detail below.

First step. A normal form. First we introduce the solid velocity in the rotated frame:

$$\ell^{\varepsilon}(t) = R^T_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} \ (h^{\varepsilon})'(t),$$

where $R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}$ is the rotation of angle θ^{ε} , see (1.9). Then we introduce the following "modulated velocity":

$$\tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon}(t) := \ell^{\varepsilon}(t) - K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) - \varepsilon D K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot \xi, \qquad (2.1)$$

where ξ is the conformal center of S_0 defined below in (3.13) and ω^{ε} is the vorticity in the rotated frame, that is

$$\omega^{\varepsilon}(t,x) := w^{\varepsilon}(t, R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}x + h^{\varepsilon}(t)).$$
(2.2)

Next we introduce the notation for the modulated unknown:

$$\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon} := \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \\ \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.3)

To introduce the normal form, we need a few more notations. We introduce the inertia matrix:

$$\mathcal{M}_g := \begin{pmatrix} m^1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m^1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{J}^1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.4)

and

$$\mathbf{B} := \begin{pmatrix} \xi^{\perp} \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

We also introduce a bilinear symmetric mapping $\Lambda_g: \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ as follows:

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ \langle \Lambda_g, p, p \rangle = m^1 r \begin{pmatrix} \ell^\perp \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } p = \begin{pmatrix} \ell \\ r \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.6)

Note that

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \langle \Lambda_g, p, p \rangle \cdot p = 0.$$
(2.7)

We are now in position to describe our normal form.

Proposition 2.1. There exist a symmetric positive matrix $\mathcal{M}_a \in S_3^+(\mathbb{R})$, depending only on \mathcal{S}_0 , and a bilinear symmetric mapping $\Lambda_a : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$, depending only on \mathcal{S}_0 , satisfying

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \langle \Lambda_a, p, p \rangle \cdot p = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

such that the following holds.

Let us fix $\rho > 1$. There exist C > 0 and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that: if for a given T > 0 and an $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ one has for all $t \in [0, T]$:

$$\operatorname{supp} \omega^{\varepsilon}(t) \subset B(0,\rho) \setminus B(0,1/\rho), \tag{2.9}$$

then there exist a function $G = G(\varepsilon, t) : (0, 1) \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying

$$\left|\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}(s) \cdot G(\varepsilon, s) \, ds\right| \leq \varepsilon C \left(1 + t + \int_{0}^{t} |\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}(s)|^{2} \, ds\right), \tag{2.10}$$

and a function $F = F(\varepsilon, t) : (0, 1) \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying

$$|F(\varepsilon,t)| \leq C \left(1 + |\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}(t)| + \varepsilon |\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}(t)|^2\right), \qquad (2.11)$$

such that one has on [0, T]:

$$\left[\varepsilon^{\alpha}\mathcal{M}_{g} + \varepsilon^{2}\mathcal{M}_{a}\right](\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon})' + \left\langle\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}\Lambda_{g} + \varepsilon\Lambda_{a}, \tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}, \tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle = \gamma\,\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\times\mathbf{B} + \varepsilon\gamma G(\varepsilon, t) + \varepsilon^{\min(\alpha, 2)}F(\varepsilon, t).$$
(2.12)

We will make \mathcal{M}_a , Λ_a and G explicit in the course of the proof, see (3.24), (5.20) and (5.19).

We will refer to the quadratic mappings Λ_g and Λ_a satisfying (2.7) and (2.8) as gyroscopic terms, to a function G satisfying (2.10) as a weakly gyroscopic term and to a function F satisfying (2.11) as a weakly nonlinear term.

Second step. Modulated energy estimates. From this normal form, we will be able to deduce the following modulated energy estimate.

Proposition 2.2. Let us fix $\rho > 1$ and $\overline{T} > 0$. There exist C > 0 and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that the following holds. If for a given $T \in (0, \overline{T}]$ and an $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ one has that (2.9) is valid on [0, T], then one has

$$|\ell^{\varepsilon}(t)| + \varepsilon |r^{\varepsilon}(t)| \leqslant C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

$$(2.13)$$

This proposition improves the estimate coming from a basic energy argument (see Lemma 4.4).

Remark 2.3. We can track in the proofs of Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 that the only constraint on ε_0 is to verify:

$$\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon_0} \subset B(0, 1/(2\rho)).$$

Third step. Local and global passage to the limit. In a first time, we obtain the convergence stated in Theorem 1.2 on a small time interval, and only in a second time we obtain this convergence on any time interval. The proof follows the following steps.

Since the modulated estimate above require assumptions on the support of the vorticity, taking (1.19) into account, we set the following definition, given a fixed $\overline{T} > 0$:

$$T_{\varepsilon} := \sup \left\{ \tau \in [0, \overline{T}], \ \forall t \in [0, \tau], \ \operatorname{supp} w^{\varepsilon}(t) \subset B(h^{\varepsilon}(t), 2\rho_{\overline{T}}) \setminus B(h^{\varepsilon}(t), 1/(2\rho_{\overline{T}})) \right\},$$
(2.14)

where $\rho_{\overline{T}}$ is defined from (1.19) with $T = \overline{T}$.

As $\operatorname{supp} w_0 \subset B(0, \rho_{\overline{T}}) \setminus B(0, 1/\rho_{\overline{T}})$, we have of course $T_{\varepsilon} > 0$. Using Proposition 2.2 with $\rho = 2\rho_{\overline{T}}$ and $T = T_{\varepsilon}$, we deduce the following.

Proposition 2.4. Let us fix $\overline{T} > 0$. There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $\underline{T} > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)} T_{\varepsilon} \ge \underline{T}$$

In turn this allows to prove the following local version of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 2.5. We consider $\underline{T} > 0$ such that $\inf_{\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)} T_{\varepsilon} \ge \underline{T}$ for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. Then h^{ε} converges to h weakly- \star in $W^{1,\infty}(0,\underline{T};\mathbb{R}^2)$ and w^{ε} converges to w in $C^0([0,\underline{T}];L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) - w\star)$, where (w,h) is the solution of the vortex-wave system (1.15)–(1.16).

The proof uses a compactness argument (using the estimates above), the uniqueness of the solutions in the limit and Proposition 2.1.

Finally we obtain Theorem 1.2 by a sort of continuous induction argument.

3 Basic material

In this section, we introduce basic material which we will use in subsequent sections. In the whole paper, we will need some arguments of complex analysis (see e.g. Appendix A): for the rest of the paper, we identify \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{R}^2 through

$$(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + ix_2 = z$$

The complex conjugate of a complex number z will be classically denoted by \overline{z} , but we may also use the notation z^* for large expressions.

We also use the notation $\hat{f} = f_1 - if_2$ for any $f = (f_1, f_2)$. The reason of this notation is the following consequence of the Cauchy-Riemann equations:

f is divergence and curl free if and only if \hat{f} is holomorphic.

3.1 Equations in the body frame

First we transfer the equations for the velocity and the vorticity in the body frame (all the details can be found in [2]). For that we apply the following isometric change of variable:

$$\begin{cases} v^{\varepsilon}(t,x) = R^{T}_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} u^{\varepsilon}(t, R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}x + h^{\varepsilon}(t)), \\ \omega^{\varepsilon}(t,x) = w^{\varepsilon}(t, R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}x + h^{\varepsilon}(t)) = \operatorname{curl} v^{\varepsilon}(t,x) \\ \tilde{\pi}^{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \pi^{\varepsilon}(t, R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}x + h^{\varepsilon}(t)), \\ \ell^{\varepsilon}(t) = R^{T}_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} (h^{\varepsilon})'(t), \end{cases}$$

where we recall that the usual two dimensional rotation $R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}$ was introduced in (1.9). The equations (1.1)-(1.8) become

$$\frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \left[\left(v^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon} - r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp} \right) \cdot \nabla \right] v^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} \left(v^{\varepsilon} \right)^{\perp} + \nabla \tilde{\pi}^{\varepsilon} = 0 \qquad x \in \mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\operatorname{div} v^{\varepsilon} = 0 \qquad x \in \mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$v^{\varepsilon} \cdot n = \left(\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}\right) \cdot n \qquad x \in \partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$m^{\varepsilon}(\ell^{\varepsilon})'(t) = \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \tilde{\pi}^{\varepsilon} n \, ds - m^{\varepsilon} r^{\varepsilon} (\ell^{\varepsilon})^{\perp}$$
(3.4)

$$\mathcal{J}^{\varepsilon}(r^{\varepsilon})'(t) = \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} x^{\perp} \cdot \tilde{\pi}^{\varepsilon} n \ ds \tag{3.5}$$

$$v^{\varepsilon}(0,x) = v_0^{\varepsilon}(x) \qquad x \in \mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon},$$
(3.6)

$$\ell^{\varepsilon}(0) = \ell_0, \ r^{\varepsilon}(0) = r_0.$$
 (3.7)

Moreover (3.1) gives

$$\partial_t \omega^{\varepsilon} + \left[(v^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon} - r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}) \cdot \nabla \right] \omega^{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}.$$
(3.8)

The advantage of this formulation is that the space domain is now fixed. A large part of the analysis will be performed with these equations.

As mentioned in Theorem 1.1, some quantities are conserved along the time, whose the circulation and the mass of the vorticity:

$$\gamma = \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} v^{\varepsilon} \cdot \tau \, ds = \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}^{\varepsilon}(t)} u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \tau \, ds = \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} u_0^{\varepsilon} \cdot \tau \, ds,$$

$$\beta^{\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon}(t, x) \, dx = \int_{\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}(t)} w^{\varepsilon}(t, x) \, dx = \int_{\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}} w_0^{\varepsilon}(x) \, dx.$$

As w_0 is assumed to be compactly supported in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, we note that β^{ε} is independent of ε when ε is small enough.

In the next subsection, we introduce several velocity fields in the frame attached to the body. These will allow in particular to decompose the velocity field v^{ε} in a way that clarifies how it is generated from the vorticity, the velocity of the rigid body and the circulation of the flow around the solid (see formulas (3.28) or (3.29) below).

3.2 Some useful velocity fields

We regroup the particular velocity fields mentioned above in three paragraphs. We refer to [2] for more details.

3.2.1 Harmonic field

To take the velocity circulation around the body into account, we introduce the following harmonic field: let H^{ε} the unique solution vanishing at infinity of

div
$$H^{\varepsilon} = 0$$
 in $\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}$, curl $H^{\varepsilon} = 0$ in $\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}$, $H^{\varepsilon} \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}$, $\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} H^{\varepsilon} \cdot \tau \, ds = 1.$ (3.9)

We list here a list of properties concerning H^{ε} which are established in [2]:

• The vector field H^{ε} admits a harmonic stream function $\Psi_{H^{\varepsilon}}(x)$:

$$H^{\varepsilon} = \nabla^{\perp} \Psi_{H^{\varepsilon}}, \tag{3.10}$$

r

which vanishes on the boundary $\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}$, and is equivalent to $\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |x|$ as x goes to infinity.

• We have the following scaling law

$$H^{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} H^{1}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$
(3.11)

• The function \widehat{H}^1 is holomorphic (as a function of $z = x_1 + ix_2$), and can be decomposed in Laurent Series (see Remark A.9) with:

$$\widehat{H}^{1}(z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi z} + \mathcal{O}(1/z^{2}) \quad \text{as } z \to \infty.$$
(3.12)

Coming back to the variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the previous decomposition implies

$$H^1(x) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right) \text{ and } \nabla H^1 = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^2}\right).$$

From the scaling law and the asymptotic behavior, we deduce the following estimate on the support of ω^{ε} .

Lemma 3.1. Let us fix $\rho > 1$. There exists C > 0 such that the following holds. If for a given T > 0 and $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, (2.9) is valid on [0,T], then one has

$$\|H^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\operatorname{supp}\omega^{\varepsilon}(t))} + \|\nabla H^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\operatorname{supp}\omega^{\varepsilon}(t))} \leqslant C \quad \forall t \in [0,T].$$

The harmonic field H^1 allows to define the following geometric constant, appearing in (2.5) and known as the conformal center of S_0 :

$$\xi_1 + i\xi_2 := \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0} z \widehat{H^1} \, dz = \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^\varepsilon} z \widehat{H^\varepsilon} \, dz. \tag{3.13}$$

In the same way, we introduce η is defined in $\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\eta = \eta_1 + i\eta_2 := \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0} z^2 \widehat{H^1} \, dz = \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^\varepsilon} z^2 \widehat{H^\varepsilon} \, dz. \tag{3.14}$$

3.2.2 Kirchhoff and other related potentials

We will make use of the Kirchhoff potentials which allow to lift the boundary conditions in a harmonic manner: let $\Phi^{\varepsilon} := (\Phi_i^{\varepsilon})_{i=1,2,3}$ be the solutions of the following Neumann problems:

$$-\Delta \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}, \qquad \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } x \to \infty, \qquad \frac{\partial \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}}{\partial n} = K_i \quad \text{on } \partial \mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}, \tag{3.15}$$

where we set on $\partial \mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}$:

$$(K_1, K_2, K_3) := (n_1, n_2, x^{\perp} \cdot n).$$
 (3.16)

Note that K_1 , K_2 and K_3 actually depend on ε . Changing variables according to $y = x/\varepsilon$, we see that

$$\Phi_i^{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon \Phi_i^1(x/\varepsilon) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \qquad \Phi_3^{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^2 \Phi_3^1(x/\varepsilon). \tag{3.17}$$

Next to design approximations of the velocity field, we will use the following related potentials. Let Φ_4^{ε} and Φ_5^{ε} be the unique solution of (3.15) where K_4 and K_5 are defined on $\partial \mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}$ by:

$$(K_4, K_5) := \left(\begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n, \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \right).$$
(3.18)

The scaling law for Φ_i with i = 4, 5 is the same as for i = 3:

$$\Phi_i^{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^2 \Phi_i^1(x/\varepsilon) \quad \text{for } i = 4, 5.$$
(3.19)

We have from Lemma A.8 that for all i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5:

$$\Phi_i^1(x) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right) \text{ and } \nabla\Phi_i^1(x) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^2}\right) \text{ as } |x| \to +\infty,$$
(3.20)

and consequently that for all $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}$ belongs to $L^2(\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon})$.

From the scaling law and the asymptotic behavior, we deduce the following estimate on the support of ω^{ε} .

Lemma 3.2. Let us fix $\rho > 1$. There exists C > 0 such that the following holds. If for a given T > 0 and $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, (2.9) is valid on [0,T], then one has

$$\varepsilon^{-2} \|\nabla \Phi_1^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\operatorname{supp}\omega^{\varepsilon}(t))} + \varepsilon^{-2} \|\nabla \Phi_2^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\operatorname{supp}\omega^{\varepsilon}(t))} + \varepsilon^{-3} \|\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\operatorname{supp}\omega^{\varepsilon}(t))} \leqslant C \quad \forall t \in [0,T].$$

We introduce the following quantities for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$:

$$m_{i,j}^{\varepsilon} := \int_{\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}} \nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \Phi_j^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{2+\delta_{\{i \ge 3\}} + \delta_{\{j \ge 3\}}} \int_{\mathcal{F}_0} \nabla \Phi_i^1 \cdot \nabla \Phi_j^1.$$
(3.21)

Of particular importance is the following matrix

$$\mathcal{M}_{a}^{\varepsilon} := \left[m_{i,j}^{\varepsilon}\right]_{i,j\in\{1,2,3\}} = \left[\varepsilon^{2+\delta_{i,3}+\delta_{j,3}}m_{i,j}^{1}\right]_{i,j\in\{1,2,3\}} = \varepsilon^{2}I_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{M}_{a}I_{\varepsilon}, \tag{3.22}$$

with

$$I_{\varepsilon} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.23)

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{a} = \left[m_{i,j}^{1}\right]_{i,j\in\{1,2,3\}}.$$
(3.24)

The matrix $\mathcal{M}_a^{\varepsilon}$ actually encodes the phenomenon of added mass, which, loosely speaking, measures how much the surrounding fluid resists the acceleration as the body moves through it. The index *a* refers to "added"; at the opposite the index *g* in \mathcal{M}_g and Λ_g (see (2.4) and (2.6)) stands for "genuine".

We will also use the 2×2 restriction of $\mathcal{M}_a^{\varepsilon}$:

$$\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon}_{\flat} = \begin{pmatrix} m^{\varepsilon}_{1,1} & m^{\varepsilon}_{1,2} \\ m^{\varepsilon}_{1,2} & m^{\varepsilon}_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.25)

3.2.3 Biot-Savart kernel

In this paragraph, we recall briefly the elliptic div/curl system which allows to pass from the vorticity to the velocity field. We denote by $G^{\varepsilon}(x, y)$ the Green's function for the Laplacian in $\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, and we introduce the kernel

$$K^{\varepsilon}(x,y) = \nabla_x^{\perp} G^{\varepsilon}(x,y)$$

of the Biot-Savart operator $K^{\varepsilon}[\omega]$ which therefore acts on $\omega^{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}_{c}(\overline{\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}_{0}})$ through the formula

$$K^{\varepsilon}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](x) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} K^{\varepsilon}(x, y) \omega^{\varepsilon}(y) \, dy.$$

For $\omega^{\varepsilon} \in L_{c}^{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}})$, we recall that $K^{\varepsilon}[\omega^{\varepsilon}]$ is in $\mathcal{LL}(\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon})$, divergence-free, tangent to the boundary, square integrable (namely $K^{\varepsilon}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](x) = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{|x|^{2}})$ as $x \to \infty$) and such that $\operatorname{curl} K^{\varepsilon}[\omega^{\varepsilon}] = \omega^{\varepsilon}$. Moreover its circulation around $\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}$ is given by

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} K^{\varepsilon}[\omega^{\varepsilon}] \cdot \tau \, ds = -\int_{\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon} \, dx.$$

where $\tau = -n^{\perp}$ is the tangent unit vector field on $\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}$.

As in the introduction, we denote by $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ the Biot-Savart operator associated to the full plane, that is the operator which maps a vorticity ω to the velocity

$$K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega](x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(x-y)^{\perp}}{|x-y|^2} \omega(y) \, dy.$$

For $\omega \in L_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega]$ is bounded, continuous, divergence-free and such that $\operatorname{curl} K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega] = \omega$. Moreover, there exists C > 0 such that

$$|K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega]|_{\mathcal{LL}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leqslant C(||\omega||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + ||\omega||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}), \qquad (3.26)$$

and

$$K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega](x) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right) \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

We will also use several times the fact that $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ commutes with translations and obeys to the following rule with rotations in the plane:

$$K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega \circ R_{\theta}](x) = R_{\theta}^T K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega](R_{\theta}x).$$

Now, for ω^{ε} in $L^{\infty}_{c}(\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}_{0})$, ℓ^{ε} in \mathbb{R}^{2} , r^{ε} and γ in \mathbb{R} , there exists a unique vector field v^{ε} verifying:

div
$$v^{\varepsilon} = 0$$
 in $\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}$, $\operatorname{curl} v^{\varepsilon} = \omega^{\varepsilon}$ in $\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}$, $v^{\varepsilon} \cdot n = (\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}) \cdot n$ on $\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}$,

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} v^{\varepsilon} \cdot \tau \, ds = \gamma, \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} v^{\varepsilon}(x) = 0,$$
(3.27)

and it is given by the following Biot-Savart law:

$$v^{\varepsilon} = K^{\varepsilon}[\omega^{\varepsilon}] + (\gamma + \beta^{\varepsilon})H^{\varepsilon} + \ell_1^{\varepsilon}\nabla\Phi_1^{\varepsilon} + \ell_2^{\varepsilon}\nabla\Phi_2^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon}\nabla\Phi_3^{\varepsilon}, \qquad (3.28)$$

with

$$\beta^{\varepsilon} := \int_{\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon} \, dx.$$

We also introduce the so-called hydrodynamic Biot-Savart kernel K_{H}^{ε} :

$$K^\varepsilon_H[\omega^\varepsilon](x):=K^\varepsilon[\omega^\varepsilon](x)+\beta^\varepsilon H^\varepsilon(x)$$

and consequently

$$\operatorname{div} K_{H}^{\varepsilon}[\omega^{\varepsilon}] = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}, \quad \operatorname{curl} K_{H}^{\varepsilon}[\omega^{\varepsilon}] = \omega^{\varepsilon} \text{ in } \mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}, \quad K_{H}^{\varepsilon}[\omega^{\varepsilon}] \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}, \quad \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} K_{H}^{\varepsilon}[\omega^{\varepsilon}] \cdot \tau \, ds = 0.$$

Hence another possibility for the decomposition of v^{ε} is

$$v^{\varepsilon} = K_{H}^{\varepsilon}[\omega^{\varepsilon}] + \gamma H^{\varepsilon} + \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_{1}^{\varepsilon} + \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_{2}^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon}.$$
(3.29)

We also mention the fact (see [2]) that

$$K_{H}^{\varepsilon}[\omega](x) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \nabla_{x}^{\perp} G_{H}^{\varepsilon}(x, y) \omega(y) \, dy$$

where the hydrodynamic Green function G_{H}^{ε} is given as follows:

$$G_H^{\varepsilon}(x,y) := G^{\varepsilon}(x,y) + \Psi_{H^{\varepsilon}}(x) + \Psi_{H^{\varepsilon}}(y), \qquad (3.30)$$

with $\Psi_{H^{\varepsilon}}$ defined in (3.10). Finally we also introduce the part of v^{ε} without circulation:

$$\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} := v^{\varepsilon} - \gamma H^{\varepsilon} = K_{H}^{\varepsilon}[\omega^{\varepsilon}] + \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_{1}^{\varepsilon} + \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_{2}^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon}.$$
(3.31)

4 First a priori estimates

Let $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $w_0 \in L^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$ be given. We associate the initial velocity field u_0^{ε} in $\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}$ by (3.27) (restricting in particular w_0 to $\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}$) and consider the resulting solution $(h^{\varepsilon}, \theta^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon})$ given by Theorem 1.1. By the change of variable above we obtain the corresponding solution $(\ell^{\varepsilon}, r^{\varepsilon}, v^{\varepsilon})$ of (3.1)-(3.7). The goal of this section is to derive basic a priori bounds on the solutions $(\ell^{\varepsilon}, r^{\varepsilon}, v^{\varepsilon})$ and on the vorticity ω^{ε} .

4.1 Vorticity

Due to [4], the generalized enstrophies are conserved when time proceeds, in particular, we have for any t > 0and any $p \in [1, \infty]$,

$$\|\omega^{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon})} = \|w_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon})} \leqslant \|w_{0}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}.$$
(4.1)

Extending ω^{ε} by 0 inside S_0^{ε} , we deduce from (3.26) and (4.1) that

$$\|K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)]\|_{\mathcal{LL}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \text{ is bounded independently of } t \text{ and of } \varepsilon.$$
(4.2)

4.2 Energy

Let us introduce the total mass matrix

$$\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon} := \mathcal{M}_{g}^{\varepsilon} + \mathcal{M}_{a}^{\varepsilon} \text{ where } \mathcal{M}_{g}^{\varepsilon} := \begin{bmatrix} m^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Id}_{2} & 0\\ 0 & \mathcal{J}^{\varepsilon} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(4.3)

Observe that

$$\mathcal{M}_{q}^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\alpha} I_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{M}_{g} I_{\varepsilon}, \tag{4.4}$$

where I_{ε} is defined in (3.23) and \mathcal{M}_g in (2.4). We recall that the added mass matrix $\mathcal{M}_a^{\varepsilon}$ was defined in (3.22). The matrix $\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon}$ is symmetric and positive definite.

Using this matrix, one can deduce the following conserved quantity, where we recall that the functions $\Psi_{H^{\varepsilon}}$ and G_{H}^{ε} were respectively defined in (3.10) and (3.30).

Proposition 4.1. The following quantity is conserved along the motion:

$$2\mathcal{H}^{\varepsilon} = (p^{\varepsilon})^{T} \mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon} p^{\varepsilon} - \int_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon} \times \mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} G_{H}^{\varepsilon}(x, y) \omega^{\varepsilon}(x) \omega^{\varepsilon}(y) \, dx \, dy - 2\gamma \int_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon}(x) \Psi_{H^{\varepsilon}}(x) \, dx,$$

where

$$p^{\varepsilon} := \begin{pmatrix} \ell^{\varepsilon} \\ r^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof of Proposition 4.1 is given in [2]. Therein, we can also find the following consequence:

Proposition 4.2. Let $\rho_{w_0} > 0$ such that $\operatorname{supp} w_0 \subset B(0, \rho_{w_0})$. One has the following estimate for some constant $C = C(m^1, \mathcal{J}^1, \|w_0\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}, |\ell_0|, |r_0|, |\gamma|, \rho_{w_0})$, depending only on these values and the geometry for $\varepsilon = 1$:

$$(p^{\varepsilon})^{T} \mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon} p^{\varepsilon} \leqslant C[1 + \ln(\rho^{\varepsilon}(t))], \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$\rho^{\varepsilon}(t) := \rho_{\omega^{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)} = \inf\{d > 1 / \operatorname{supp} \omega^{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot) \subset B(0,d)\}.$$

Now using (3.22) and (4.4), the estimate (4.5) can be rewritten as

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha}(p^{\varepsilon})^{T}I_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{M}_{g}I_{\varepsilon}p^{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{2}(p^{\varepsilon})^{T}I_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{M}_{a}I_{\varepsilon}p^{\varepsilon} \leqslant C[1 + \ln(\rho^{\varepsilon}(t))].$$

Now there are two possibilities. The first possibility is that S_0 is not a ball, and in that case, \mathcal{M}_a is positive definite as a Gram matrix associated to a free family of vectors (the third one degenerates when S_0 is a ball!). Hence we deduce that

$$|\varepsilon^{\min(1,\alpha/2)}\ell^{\varepsilon}(t)| + |\varepsilon^{1+\min(1,\alpha/2)}r^{\varepsilon}(t)| \leqslant C[1+\ln(\rho^{\varepsilon}(t))].$$

$$(4.6)$$

The second possibility is that S_0 is a ball. But in this situation we infer from (1.4) that $r^{\varepsilon}(t)$ is constant over time (and in particular is trivially bounded). Moreover, using that in this case the restriction of \mathcal{M}_a to the first two coordinates is m_{11} Id, we see that (4.6) is also valid in this case.

Remark 4.3. In [2], we could deduce by a Gronwall argument that ρ^{ε} was bounded on [0,T] uniformly in ε . This estimate cannot be straightforwardly deduced here, because of the powers of ε on the left hand side. For $\alpha = 2$, for instance, we have $|\varepsilon \ell^{\varepsilon}(t)| + |\varepsilon^{2} r^{\varepsilon}(t)|$ in (4.6), instead of $|\ell^{\varepsilon}(t)| + |\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}(t)|$ in [2]. As a byproduct of (4.6), we will use throughout the next section the following estimate on $(\ell^{\varepsilon}, r^{\varepsilon})$:

Lemma 4.4. Let us fix $\rho > 1$. There exists C > 0 such that the following holds. If for a given T > 0 and $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, (2.9) is valid on [0,T], then one has

$$|\varepsilon \ell^{\varepsilon}(t)| + |\varepsilon^2 r^{\varepsilon}(t)| \leq C \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

4.3 Basic velocity estimates

We can deduce from Lemma 4.4 and from the results of Subsection 3.2 new estimates on the fluid velocity, as long as the solid stays away from the vorticity and that the support of the vorticity remains bounded.

First, let us recall the following lemma (see [5, Theorem 4.1]):

Lemma 4.5. There exists a constant C > 0 which depends only on the shape of the solid for $\varepsilon = 1$ such that for any ω smooth enough,

$$\|K_H^{\varepsilon}[\omega]\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon})} \leqslant C \|\omega\|_{L^1(\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon})}^{1/2} \|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon})}^{1/2}$$

Combining with the conservation laws (4.1) we obtain that for any t > 0,

$$\|K_{H}^{\varepsilon}[\omega](t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon})} \leq C \|w_{0}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{1/2} \|w_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{1/2}$$

Together with Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 4.4, we deduce from the decompositions (3.29) and (3.31) the next velocity estimates.

Lemma 4.6. Let us fix $\rho > 1$. There exists C > 0 such that the following holds. If for a given T > 0 and $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, (2.9) is valid on [0,T], then one has

 $\|v^{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}(\operatorname{supp}\omega^{\varepsilon}(t))} + \|\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}(\operatorname{supp}\omega^{\varepsilon}(t))} \leqslant C \quad \forall t \in [0,T].$

4.4 Estimates related to the modulation terms

Due to the definition of the modulated velocity $\tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon}$ (2.1), we are interested in estimating the terms $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)$, $DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot \xi$ and their time derivatives.

Regarding the modulation terms themselves, we have the following.

Lemma 4.7. Let us fix $\rho > 1$. There exists C > 0 such that the following holds. If for a given T > 0 and $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, (2.9) is valid on [0,T], then one has

$$\|K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} + \|DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \leqslant C.$$

Proof. The statement concerning the first term is actually included in (4.2). Concerning the second one, we use that $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}]$ is harmonic on $B(0, 1/\rho)$, so its C^1 norm at 0 can be estimated by the L^{∞} norm on $B(0, 1/\rho)$. \square

We now turn an estimate relative to the time derivatives of the modulation terms. We will use the elementary formula (that we already used to obtain (3.4)):

$$(R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^{T})' = -r^{\varepsilon}(t)R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^{T}J_{2} \text{ and } (R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)})' = r^{\varepsilon}(t)J_{2}R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} \text{ with } J_{2} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(4.7)$$

and we deduce from $\ell^{\varepsilon}(t) = R^{T}_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}(h^{\varepsilon})'(t)$ that

$$(\ell^{\varepsilon})'(t) = -r^{\varepsilon} R^{T}_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} \ ((h^{\varepsilon})')^{\perp}(t) + R^{T}_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} \ (h^{\varepsilon})''(t) = -r^{\varepsilon} (\ell^{\varepsilon})^{\perp}(t) + R^{T}_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} \ (h^{\varepsilon})''(t).$$

We have the following statement concerning the time derivatives of the modulation terms.

Proposition 4.8. Let us fix $\rho > 1$. There exist C > 0 and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that the following holds. If for a given T > 0 and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, (2.9) is valid on [0, T], then one has

$$(K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) + \varepsilon D K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot \xi)' = -r^{\varepsilon}(t)(K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0))^{\perp} + F_d(\varepsilon,t)$$

$$(4.8)$$

where F_d is weakly nonlinear in the sense of (2.11).

Proof. An important fact in the proof is that $\partial_t \omega^{\varepsilon}$ becomes singular as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ (see the factor $r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}$ in (3.8)); as a consequence, we will rather consider $\partial_t w^{\varepsilon}$ which behaves less singularly.

From (2.2), we easily see that

$$K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,x) = R^T_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon}](t, R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}x + h^{\varepsilon}(t))$$

$$(4.9)$$

and

$$DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,x)\cdot(\cdot) = R^T_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon}](t,R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}x+h^{\varepsilon}(t))\cdot R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}(\cdot).$$
(4.10)

Now, using (4.7), we compute the time derivative of $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)$:

$$\begin{split} K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)' &= -r^{\varepsilon}(t)R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^{T}(K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[w^{\varepsilon}](t,h^{\varepsilon}(t)))^{\perp} + R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^{T}K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\partial_{t}w^{\varepsilon}](t,h^{\varepsilon}(t)) \\ &+ R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^{T}DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[w^{\varepsilon}](t,h^{\varepsilon}(t)) \cdot (h^{\varepsilon})'(t) \\ &= -r^{\varepsilon}(t)(K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0))^{\perp} + R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^{T}K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\partial_{t}w^{\varepsilon}](t,h^{\varepsilon}(t)) + DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot \ell^{\varepsilon}(t), \end{split}$$

thanks to (4.9)-(4.10).

For the time derivative of $DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot \xi$, we get from (4.7) that

$$(DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)\cdot\xi)' = -r^{\varepsilon}(t)(DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)\cdot\xi)^{\perp} + R^{T}_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\partial_{t}w^{\varepsilon}](t,h^{\varepsilon}(t))\cdot R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}\xi + R^{T}_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}D^{2}K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[w^{\varepsilon}](t,h^{\varepsilon}(t)): \left((h^{\varepsilon})'(t)\otimes R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}\xi\right) + r^{\varepsilon}(t)DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)\cdot\xi^{\perp}.$$

Putting these two computations together, we obtain (4.8) with

$$\begin{aligned} F_{d}(\varepsilon,t) &:= R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^{T} K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\partial_{t} w^{\varepsilon}](t,h^{\varepsilon}(t)) + DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot \ell^{\varepsilon}(t) \\ &- \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}(t) (DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot \xi)^{\perp} + \varepsilon R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^{T} DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\partial_{t} w^{\varepsilon}](t,h^{\varepsilon}(t)) R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} \cdot \xi \\ &+ \varepsilon R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^{T} D^{2} K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[w^{\varepsilon}](t,h^{\varepsilon}(t)) : \left((h^{\varepsilon})'(t) \otimes R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} \xi \right) + \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}(t) DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot \xi^{\perp}, \end{aligned}$$

which we now prove to be weakly nonlinear.

As in Lemma 4.7, we see that, by harmonicity of $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}]$ on $B(0, 1/\rho)$ and its L^{∞} estimate (4.2), there exists C such that

$$\left| DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot \ell^{\varepsilon}(t) - \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}(t) (DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot \xi)^{\perp} \right| \leq C(|\ell^{\varepsilon}(t)| + \varepsilon |r^{\varepsilon}(t)|) \\ \left| \varepsilon R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^T D^2 K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon}](t,h^{\varepsilon}(t)) : \left((h^{\varepsilon})'(t) \otimes R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} \xi \right) + \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}(t) DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot \xi^{\perp} \right| \leq C(\varepsilon |\ell^{\varepsilon}(t)| + \varepsilon |r^{\varepsilon}(t)|).$$

Since we assume (2.9) to be valid on [0, T], we deduce that $u^{\varepsilon}w^{\varepsilon}$ is compactly supported in $B(h^{\varepsilon}(t), \rho) \setminus B(h^{\varepsilon}(t), 1/\rho)$. Hence we can infer from (4.1) and Lemma 4.6 that $u^{\varepsilon}w^{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $L^1 \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ uniformly in ε . Therefore, using the vorticity equation $\partial_t w^{\varepsilon} = -\operatorname{div}(u^{\varepsilon}w^{\varepsilon})$, we deduce that $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\partial_t w^{\varepsilon}]$ is uniformly bounded and harmonic around $h^{\varepsilon}(t)$. This gives that

$$\left| R^T_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\partial_t w^{\varepsilon}](t, h^{\varepsilon}(t)) \right| \leqslant C \text{ and } \left| \varepsilon R^T_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} D K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\partial_t w^{\varepsilon}](t, h^{\varepsilon}(t)) \cdot R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} \xi \right| \leqslant C \varepsilon.$$

Hence one obtains an estimate for F_d of the form:

$$|F_d(\varepsilon, t)| \leqslant C \left(1 + |\ell^{\varepsilon}(t)| + |\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}(t)|\right).$$

Putting the "modulated velocity" $\tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon}$ (see (2.1)) in the right hand side instead of $\ell^{\varepsilon}(t)$, taking Lemma 4.7 into account, this gives that F_d is weakly nonlinear.

4.5 Approximation of the velocity

For the computation of the pressure force, it will useful to approximate the velocity vector field \tilde{v}^{ε} (introduced in (3.31)) along the solid boundary. As $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}] = \nabla^{\perp}\psi[\omega^{\varepsilon}]$ with $\Delta\psi = 0$ in the neighborhood of the solid (namely on $B(0, 1/\rho)$, see (2.9)), then $DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t, 0)$ is of the form

$$DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) = \begin{pmatrix} -a^{\varepsilon} & b^{\varepsilon} \\ b^{\varepsilon} & a^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for some } a^{\varepsilon}, b^{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(4.11)$$

where we have by Lemma 4.7:

$$||a^{\varepsilon}||_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} + ||b^{\varepsilon}||_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \leqslant C.$$

Note that in particular we have

$$DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot x = a^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} + b^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, reminding (3.31), we introduce

$$v_{\#}^{\varepsilon}(x) := K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) + DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot x + \sum_{i=1}^{2} (\ell^{\varepsilon} - K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0))_i \nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}(x) - a^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_4^{\varepsilon}(x) - b^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_5^{\varepsilon}(x).$$
(4.12)

The following proposition allows to obtain a good approximation of the fluid velocity on $\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}$.

Proposition 4.9. The vector field $v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}$ is tangent to the boundary. Moreover, for fixed $\rho > 1$, there exist C > 0 and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that the following holds. If for a given T > 0 and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, the inclusion (2.9) is valid on [0, T], then one has

$$\|v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2(\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}))} \leqslant C \varepsilon^{5/2}.$$

Proof. The proof mimics the proof of Proposition 7 in [2] with the more accurate approximation $v_{\#}^{\varepsilon}$ of $\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} - r^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon}$. Let us shortly sketch the proof for sake of completeness. We first introduce

$$\check{v}^{\varepsilon} := K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}] + \sum_{i=1}^2 (\ell^{\varepsilon} - K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0))_i \nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} - a^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_4^{\varepsilon} - b^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_5^{\varepsilon}, \tag{4.13}$$

where a^{ε} and b^{ε} come from (4.11). We observe that

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{curl}(\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}) = 0, & \text{for } x \in \mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}, \\ \operatorname{div}(\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}) = 0, & \text{for } x \in \mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}, \\ \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}) \cdot \tau \, ds = 0, \\ (\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}) \cdot n = g^{\varepsilon}, & \text{for } x \in \partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}, \\ \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} \to 0 \quad \text{as } x \to \infty, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.14)$$

with

$$g^{\varepsilon} := (\check{v}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}) \cdot n = \left(K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}] - K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) - DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot x \right) \cdot n.$$

As in Lemma 4.7, we note that $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}]$ is harmonic on $B(0, 1/\rho)$, so its C^2 norm on some smaller ball can be estimated by the L^{∞} norm on $B(0, 1/\rho)$. Since (4.2) provides in particular a uniform L^{∞} bound, this yields that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$:

$$\|K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,\cdot) - K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) - DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot (\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\infty}(\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}))} \leq C\varepsilon^2,$$
(4.15)

where $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is chosen such that $\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon_0} \subset \overline{B(0, 1/(2\rho))}$.

Now we will use the following classical lemma (see for instance [6]).

Lemma 4.10. There exists C > 0 such that for any g in $L^2(\partial S_0)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0} g(s) ds = 0,$$

there is a unique solution Ψ in $H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \Psi = 0, & \text{for } x \in \mathcal{F}_0, \\ \partial_n \Psi = g, & \text{for } x \in \partial \mathcal{S}_0, \\ \Psi \to 0 & \text{as } x \to \infty. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\|\Psi\|_{H^{3/2}(\mathcal{F}_0)} \leqslant C \|g\|_{L^2(\partial \mathcal{S}_0)}.$$

The first and third equations of (4.14) imply that $\check{v}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}$ is a gradient, say $\check{v}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} = \nabla \chi$. Hence with a dilatation argument we can apply Lemma 4.10 to χ . Using also the estimate (4.15) on g^{ε} , we deduce the following lemma:

Lemma 4.11. For fixed $\rho > 1$, there exist C > 0 and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0,1]$ such that the following holds. If for a given T > 0 and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, the inclusion (2.9) is valid on [0, T], then one has

$$\|\check{v}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\partial\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}))} + \varepsilon^{-1/2}\|\check{v}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}))} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{5/2}),$$

where \tilde{v}^{ε} and \check{v}^{ε} are defined in (3.31) and (4.13).

To finish the proof of Proposition 4.9, it remains to estimate

$$v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon} - \check{v}^{\varepsilon} = K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} [\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) + DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} [\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot x - K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} [\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,x)$$

on $\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}$. Using again the harmonicity of $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}]$ in $B(0, 1/\rho)$, we infer easily that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon} - \check{v}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\infty}(\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}))} &\leqslant C\varepsilon^{2} \|\nabla^{2} K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}]\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon})} \\ &\leqslant C\varepsilon^{2} \|K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}]\|_{L^{\infty}(B(0,1/\rho))} \end{aligned}$$

for $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. With (4.2), integrating over $\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}$, we reach the conclusion.

5 Normal form. Proof of Proposition 2.1

The goal of this section is to establish Proposition 2.1.

The following notations will be used in this section: $|S_0^{\varepsilon}|$ is the Lebesgue measure of S_0^{ε} , x_G^{ε} is the position of the geometrical center of S_0^{ε} (which can be different of the center of mass 0 if the solid is not homogenous):

$$x_G^{\varepsilon} := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|} \int_{\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} x \, dx = \varepsilon x_G.$$
(5.1)

The following formula for the vector product will be useful later on in some computations:

$$\forall p_a := (\ell_a, \omega_a), \ \forall p_b := (\ell_b, \omega_b) \ \text{in} \ \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}, \quad p_a \times p_b = (\omega_a \ \ell_b^\perp - \omega_b \ \ell_a^\perp, \ell_a^\perp \cdot \ell_b).$$
(5.2)

We will frequently use the complex variable and the correspondence between \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{C} as described at the beginning of Section 3. The proofs of many technical lemmas of complex analysis used in this section are given in Appendix A.

5.1Decomposition of the pressure

We first reformulate the main solid equations (3.4)-(3.5). Recall that \tilde{v}^{ε} and $\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon}$ were introduced in (3.31) and (4.3) respectively.

Lemma 5.1. Equations (3.4)-(3.5) can be rewritten in the form

$$\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} \ell^{\varepsilon} \\ r^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}' = -(B_i^{\varepsilon})_{i=1,2,3} - (C_i^{\varepsilon})_{i=1,2,3} - \begin{pmatrix} m^{\varepsilon} r^{\varepsilon} (\ell^{\varepsilon})^{\perp} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(5.3)

where for i = 1, 2, 3,

$$B_i^{\varepsilon} := \int_{\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon} [v^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon} - r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}]^{\perp} \cdot \nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}(x) \, dx, \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$C_i^{\varepsilon} := C_{i,a}^{\varepsilon} + C_{i,b}^{\varepsilon} + C_{i,c}^{\varepsilon},$$

with

$$C_{i,a}^{\varepsilon} := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}|^2 K_i \, ds - \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} (\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}) \cdot \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} K_i \, ds, \tag{5.5}$$

$$C_{i,b}^{\varepsilon} := \gamma \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} (\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} - (\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp})) \cdot H^{\varepsilon} K_i \, ds, \qquad (5.6)$$

$$C_{i,c}^{\varepsilon} := \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |H^{\varepsilon}|^2 K_i \, ds.$$
(5.7)

Proof. The proof, which we reproduce for the sake of self-containedness, is mainly the same as in [2] (though the decomposition is a bit different here). Using the following equality for two vector fields a and b in a domain of the plane:

$$\nabla(a \cdot b) = a \cdot \nabla b + b \cdot \nabla a - (a^{\perp} \operatorname{curl} b + b^{\perp} \operatorname{curl} a),$$
(5.8)

the equation (3.1) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + [v^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon} - r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}]^{\perp} \omega^{\varepsilon} + \nabla \frac{1}{2} (v^{\varepsilon})^{2} - \nabla ((\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}) \cdot v^{\varepsilon}) + \nabla \tilde{\pi}^{\varepsilon} = 0$$

Plugging the decomposition (3.31) into the previous equation, we find

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + [v^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon} - r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}]^{\perp} \omega^{\varepsilon} + \nabla (\mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon} + \tilde{\pi}^{\varepsilon}) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{Q}^{\varepsilon} := \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \gamma (\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} - (\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp})) \cdot H^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2} |H^{\varepsilon}|^{2} - (\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}) \cdot \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}. \end{split}$$

We use this equation do deduce the force/torque acting on the body:

$$\left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \tilde{\pi}^{\varepsilon} n \, ds, \ \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \tilde{\pi}^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp} \cdot n \, ds\right) = \left(\int_{\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}} \nabla \tilde{\pi}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \, dx\right)_{i=1,2,3}$$

One can check that the above integration by parts is licit by using the compact support of ω and the decay properties of \tilde{v}^{ε} , H^{ε} and $\nabla \Phi_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ (see [2] for more details). Using Green's formula and the boundary condition, the contribution of $\frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}$ is

$$\left(\int_{\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}} \partial_t v^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}(x) \, dx\right)_{i=1,2,3} = \mathcal{M}_a^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} \ell^{\varepsilon} \\ r^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}',$$

and one obtains the result.

In the next subsections, we expand the various terms B_i^{ε} and C_i^{ε} up to order 2 (respectively 3) in ε for i = 1, 2 (resp. 3). Then in Subsection 5.4, we regroup these terms and get to the normal form. We will take advantages of *cancellations* when performing this merging.

Ш

5.2 Expansion of B_i^{ε}

We begin by developing B_i^{ε} under the assumptions of Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 5.2. Let $\rho > 1$ be fixed. There exists C > 0 such that if for a given T > 0 and an $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, (2.9) is satisfied for all $t \in [0,T]$, then one has:

$$\begin{split} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} B_1^{\varepsilon} \\ B_2^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} &- r^{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{M}_{\flat}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| \mathbf{I}_2) (K_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\omega^{\varepsilon}](t, 0))^{\perp} \\ &+ \ell_1^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} -(m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|) a^{\varepsilon} + m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon} \\ -m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon} a^{\varepsilon} + (m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|) b^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} + \ell_2^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon} a^{\varepsilon} + (m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|) b^{\varepsilon} \\ (m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|) a^{\varepsilon} + m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ 2r^{\varepsilon} a^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,5}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} \\ m_{2,5}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} + 2r^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} -m_{1,4}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} \\ -m_{2,4}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \leqslant C\varepsilon^2 \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \left\| B_{3}^{\varepsilon} - r^{\varepsilon} \Big(\begin{pmatrix} m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} \\ -m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G}^{\varepsilon} \Big) \cdot K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} [\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \\ &+ \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} \Big((-m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) a^{\varepsilon} + (m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) b^{\varepsilon} \Big) \\ &+ \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} \Big((m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) a^{\varepsilon} + (m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) b^{\varepsilon} \Big) \\ &+ 2r^{\varepsilon} a^{\varepsilon} (m_{3,5}^{\varepsilon} + m_{6}^{\varepsilon}) - 2r^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon} (m_{3,4}^{\varepsilon} + m_{7}^{\varepsilon}) \right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \leqslant C \varepsilon^{3}, \end{split}$$

where

- $m_{i,j}^{\varepsilon}$ is defined in (3.21),
- $\mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{b}}^{\varepsilon}$ is defined in (3.25) as the 2 × 2 restriction of $\mathcal{M}_{a}^{\varepsilon}$,
- a^{ε} and b^{ε} are defined in (4.11) as coefficients in $DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)$,
- $m_6^{\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} (x_1^2 x_2^2) dx = \varepsilon^4 m_6^1$ and $m_7^{\varepsilon} = 2 \int_{\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} x_1 x_2 dx = \varepsilon^4 m_7^1$.

Proof. We decompose B_i^{ε} as follows:

$$B_{i}^{\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon} (v^{\varepsilon})^{\perp} \cdot \nabla \Phi_{i}^{\varepsilon}(x) \, dx - \int_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon} (\ell^{\varepsilon})^{\perp} \cdot \nabla \Phi_{i}^{\varepsilon}(x) \, dx + \int_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon} r^{\varepsilon} x \cdot \nabla \Phi_{i}^{\varepsilon}(x) \, dx$$
$$=: B_{a,i}^{\varepsilon} + B_{b,i}^{\varepsilon} + B_{c,i}^{\varepsilon}.$$

• According to (4.1), Lemmas 3.2 and 4.6, we estimate the first term for any $t \in [0, T]$:

$$\begin{aligned} |B^{\varepsilon}_{a,i}(t)| &\leqslant \|\omega^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(\mathcal{F}^{\varepsilon}_{0})} \|v^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\operatorname{supp}\omega^{\varepsilon}(t))} \|\nabla\Phi^{\varepsilon}_{i}\|_{L^{\infty}(\operatorname{supp}\omega^{\varepsilon}(t))} \\ &\leqslant C\varepsilon^{2+\delta_{3,i}} \|w_{0}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

• Concerning the computation of $B_{b,i}^{\varepsilon}$, we use that the property (2.9) is valid during [0,T], that is, the support of ω^{ε} is included in $B(0,\rho) \setminus B(0,1/\rho)$ for any $t \in [0,T]$. Taking the scaling law (3.17) into account, we are naturally led to study the asymptotic behavior of $\Phi_i^1(z)$ as $z \to \infty$. Thanks to Lemmas A.8, A.10 and A.14, we can write the first terms in the Laurent series:

$$\widehat{\nabla\Phi_{1}^{1}}(z) = \frac{-m_{1,2}^{1} + i(m_{1,1}^{1} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}|)}{2i\pi z^{2}} + \frac{-2(m_{1,5} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}|x_{G,2}) + 2i(-m_{1,4}^{1} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}|x_{G,1})}{2i\pi z^{3}} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{1}{z^{4}}\Big),$$

$$\widehat{\nabla\Phi_{2}^{1}}(z) = \frac{-(m_{2,2}^{1} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}|) + im_{2,1}^{1}}{2i\pi z^{2}} + \frac{-2(m_{2,5}^{1} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}|x_{G,1}) - 2i(m_{2,4}^{1} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}|x_{G,2})}{2i\pi z^{3}} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{1}{z^{4}}\Big),$$

$$\widehat{\nabla\Phi_{3}^{1}}(z) = \frac{-(m_{3,2}^{1} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}|x_{G,1}) + i(m_{3,1}^{1} - |\mathcal{S}_{0}|x_{G,2})}{2i\pi z^{2}} + \frac{-2(m_{3,5}^{1} + m_{6}^{1}) - 2i(m_{3,4}^{1} + m_{7}^{1})}{2i\pi z^{3}} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{1}{z^{4}}\Big).$$

Using the notation for polar coordinates

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = |y| \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } z = y_1 + iy_2 = |y| (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta),$$
 (5.9)

we note that

$$\frac{1}{z^k} = \frac{1}{|y|^k} \left(\frac{\cos k\theta}{\sin k\theta} \right) \text{ and } \frac{i}{z^k} = \frac{1}{|y|^k} \left(\frac{\sin k\theta}{-\cos k\theta} \right).$$

Hence, we use this expansion with $y = x/\varepsilon$ together with the scaling laws of Φ_i^{ε} (see (3.17)) and of $m_{i,j}^{\varepsilon}$ to obtain:

$$\nabla \Phi_{1}^{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon}}{2\pi |x|^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin 2\theta \\ -\cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix} + \frac{m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |S_{0}^{\varepsilon}|}{2\pi |x|^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta \end{pmatrix} \\ + \frac{2(m_{1,5}^{\varepsilon} + |S_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon})}{2\pi |x|^{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin 3\theta \\ -\cos 3\theta \end{pmatrix} + \frac{2(-m_{1,4}^{\varepsilon} + |S_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon})}{2\pi |x|^{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 3\theta \\ \sin 3\theta \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{\varepsilon^{4}}{|x|^{4}}\Big),$$

$$\nabla \Phi_2^{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|}{2\pi |x|^2} \left(\frac{\sin 2\theta}{-\cos 2\theta} \right) + \frac{m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon}}{2\pi |x|^2} \left(\frac{\cos 2\theta}{\sin 2\theta} \right) \\ + \frac{2(m_{2,5}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon})}{2\pi |x|^3} \left(\frac{\sin 3\theta}{-\cos 3\theta} \right) - \frac{2(m_{2,4}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon})}{2\pi |x|^3} \left(\frac{\cos 3\theta}{\sin 3\theta} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^4}{|x|^4} \right),$$

and

$$\nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}}{2\pi |x|^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin 2\theta \\ -\cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix} + \frac{m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}}{2\pi |x|^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta \end{pmatrix} \\ + \frac{2(m_{3,5}^{\varepsilon} + m_{6}^{\varepsilon})}{2\pi |x|^{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin 3\theta \\ -\cos 3\theta \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2(m_{3,4}^{\varepsilon} + m_{7}^{\varepsilon})}{2\pi |x|^{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 3\theta \\ \sin 3\theta \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{\varepsilon^{5}}{|x|^{4}}\Big).$$

For the sake of simplicity of notations, we will denote during this proof

$$(\mathfrak{K}_{i})_{i=1,2} = K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{-y^{\perp}}{|y|^{2}} \omega^{\varepsilon}(t,y) \, dy.$$
(5.10)

Hence we identify the components of $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)$ as follows:

$$\mathfrak{K}_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\sin\theta}{|y|} \omega^{\varepsilon}(t,y) \, dy, \quad \mathfrak{K}_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{-\cos\theta}{|y|} \omega^{\varepsilon}(t,y) \, dy,$$

where we used again the notation (5.9) for y. With a^{ε} and b^{ε} defined in (4.11), we have

$$a^{\varepsilon} = \partial_2 K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}]_2(t,0) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{2y_1 y_2}{|y|^4} \omega^{\varepsilon}(t,y) \, dy = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\sin 2\theta}{|y|^2} \omega^{\varepsilon}(t,y) \, dy$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$b^{\varepsilon} = \partial_1 K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}]_2(t,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|y|^2 - 2y_1^2}{|y|^4} \omega^{\varepsilon}(t,y) \, dy = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\cos 2\theta}{|y|^2} \omega^{\varepsilon}(t,y) \, dy.$$

Now we use the expansion of $\nabla \Phi_1^{\varepsilon}(x)$ at order one to compute $B_{b,1}^{\varepsilon}$:

$$\begin{split} B_{b,1}^{\varepsilon}(t) &= -\int_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon} (\ell^{\varepsilon})^{\perp} \cdot \nabla \Phi_{i}^{\varepsilon}(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} \\ -\ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \left[\frac{m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon}}{2\pi |x|^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin 2\theta \\ -\cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix} + \frac{m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|}{2\pi |x|^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O} \Big(\frac{\varepsilon^{3}}{|x|^{3}} \Big) \right] dx \\ &= \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} \Big(-m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon} a^{\varepsilon} - (m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) b^{\varepsilon} \Big) + \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} \Big(-m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon} + (m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) a^{\varepsilon} \Big) + \tilde{R}_{b,1}(t) \end{split}$$

where

$$|\tilde{R}^{\varepsilon}_{b,1}(t)| \leqslant \varepsilon^3 \|\omega^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^1} |\ell^{\varepsilon}| C\rho^3 \leqslant C\varepsilon^2,$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

In the same way, we obtain

$$B_{b,2}^{\varepsilon}(t) = \ell_2^{\varepsilon} \left(-\left(m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|\right)a^{\varepsilon} - m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon}b^{\varepsilon} \right) + \ell_1^{\varepsilon} \left(-\left(m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|\right)b^{\varepsilon} + m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon}a^{\varepsilon} \right) + \tilde{R}_{b,2}(t)$$

and

$$B_{b,3}^{\varepsilon}(t) = \ell_2^{\varepsilon} \Big(-(m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon})a^{\varepsilon} - (m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon})b^{\varepsilon} \Big) \\ + \ell_1^{\varepsilon} \Big(-(m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon})b^{\varepsilon} + (m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon})a^{\varepsilon} \Big) + \tilde{R}_{b,3}(t) \Big)$$

where

$$|\tilde{R}_{b,2}^{\varepsilon}(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^2$$
 and $|\tilde{R}_{b,3}^{\varepsilon}(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^3$ for all $t \in [0,T]$.

 \bullet Concerning $B_{c,i}^{\varepsilon},$ we use the second-order expansion of $\nabla \Phi_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ to get:

$$\begin{split} B_{c,1}^{\varepsilon}(t) &= \int_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon} r^{\varepsilon} x \cdot \nabla \Phi_{1}^{\varepsilon}(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon} r^{\varepsilon} |x| \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta \\ \sin\theta \end{pmatrix} \cdot \left[\frac{m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon}}{2\pi |x|^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin 2\theta \\ -\cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix} + \frac{m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|}{2\pi |x|^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta \end{pmatrix} \right] dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon} r^{\varepsilon} |x| \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta \\ \sin\theta \end{pmatrix} \cdot \left[\frac{2(m_{1,5}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon})}{2\pi |x|^{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin 3\theta \\ -\cos 3\theta \end{pmatrix} + \frac{2(-m_{1,4}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon})}{2\pi |x|^{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 3\theta \\ \sin 3\theta \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{\varepsilon^{4}}{|x|^{4}}\Big) \right] dx, \end{split}$$

which is simplified as follows:

$$\begin{split} B_{c,1}^{\varepsilon}(t) &= \int_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon} r^{\varepsilon} \Big[\frac{m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon}}{2\pi |x|} \sin \theta + \frac{m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|}{2\pi |x|} \cos \theta \Big] \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \omega^{\varepsilon} r^{\varepsilon} \Big[\frac{2(m_{1,5}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon})}{2\pi |x|^{2}} \sin 2\theta + \frac{2(-m_{1,4}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon})}{2\pi |x|^{2}} \cos 2\theta + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{\varepsilon^{4}}{|x|^{4}}\Big) \Big] \, dx \\ &= r^{\varepsilon} \Big[m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon} \mathfrak{K}_{1} - (m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) \mathfrak{K}_{2} \Big] \\ &+ 2r^{\varepsilon} \Big[- (m_{1,5}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) a^{\varepsilon} - (-m_{1,4}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) b^{\varepsilon} \Big] + \tilde{R}_{c,1}(t) \end{split}$$

where

$$|\tilde{R}_{c,1}^{\varepsilon}(t)| \leqslant \varepsilon^4 \|\omega^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^1} |r^{\varepsilon}| C \rho^4 \leqslant C \varepsilon^2,$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

In the same way, we obtain

$$B_{c,2}^{\varepsilon}(t) = r^{\varepsilon} \Big[(m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) \mathfrak{K}_{1} - m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon} \mathfrak{K}_{2} \Big] + 2r^{\varepsilon} \Big[- (m_{2,5}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) a^{\varepsilon} + (m_{2,4}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) b^{\varepsilon} \Big] + \tilde{R}_{c,2}(t)$$

and

$$B_{c,3}^{\varepsilon}(t) = r^{\varepsilon} \Big[(m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) \mathfrak{K}_{1} - (m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) \mathfrak{K}_{2} \Big] + 2r^{\varepsilon} \Big[- (m_{3,5}^{\varepsilon} + m_{6}^{\varepsilon})a^{\varepsilon} + (m_{3,4}^{\varepsilon} + m_{7}^{\varepsilon})b^{\varepsilon} \Big] + \tilde{R}_{c,3}(t) \Big] + \tilde{R}$$

where

$$|\tilde{R}_{c,2}^{\varepsilon}(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^2$$
 and $|\tilde{R}_{c,3}^{\varepsilon}(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^3$ for all $t \in [0,T]$.

Now we end the proof summing and noticing that

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon}\mathfrak{K}_1 - (m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|)\mathfrak{K}_2\\ (m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|)\mathfrak{K}_1 - m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon}\mathfrak{K}_2 \end{pmatrix} = (\mathcal{M}_{\flat}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|\mathbf{I}_2)(K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0))^{\perp}$$

and

$$(m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon})\mathfrak{K}_1 - (m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon})\mathfrak{K}_2 = \left(\begin{pmatrix}m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon}\\-m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon}\end{pmatrix} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|x_G^{\varepsilon}\rangle \cdot K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0).\right)$$

5.3 Expansion of C_i^{ε}

Concerning the term C_i^{ε} , we use again complex analysis.

1. The $C_{i,a}^{\varepsilon}$ term. We first tackle the terms $C_{i,a}^{\varepsilon}$ (still under the assumptions of Proposition 2.1).

Proposition 5.3. Let $\rho > 1$ be fixed. There exist C > 0 and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0,1]$ such that if for a given T > 0 and an $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, (2.9) is satisfied for all $t \in [0,T]$, then one has:

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} C_{1,a}^{\varepsilon} \\ C_{2,a}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} - (r^{\varepsilon})^{2} \begin{pmatrix} -m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} \\ m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} + r^{\varepsilon} \Big(\mathcal{M}_{\flat}^{\varepsilon} (K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} [\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) - \ell^{\varepsilon}) + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} [\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \Big)^{\perp} \right. \\ \left. + \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} (m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|)a^{\varepsilon} - m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon}b^{\varepsilon} \\ -m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon}a^{\varepsilon} - (m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|)b^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} + \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon}a^{\varepsilon} - (m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|)b^{\varepsilon} \\ -(m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|)a^{\varepsilon} - m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon}b^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ \left. - a^{\varepsilon}r^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} -m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} + m_{4,2}^{\varepsilon} + 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} \\ m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} - m_{4,1}^{\varepsilon} + 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} - b^{\varepsilon}r^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} + m_{5,1}^{\varepsilon} + 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} \\ m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} - m_{5,1}^{\varepsilon} - 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \leqslant C\varepsilon^{2}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \left\| C_{3,a}^{\varepsilon} - (K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) - \ell^{\varepsilon})^{\perp} \mathcal{M}_{\flat}^{\varepsilon} (K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) - \ell^{\varepsilon}) \\ &- r^{\varepsilon} (K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) - \ell^{\varepsilon}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} \\ m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} + r^{\varepsilon} |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot x_{G}^{\varepsilon} \\ &- \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} \Big(a^{\varepsilon} (-m_{4,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} + 2m_{1,5}^{\varepsilon}) + b^{\varepsilon} (-m_{5,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon} - 2m_{1,4}^{\varepsilon}) \Big) \\ &- \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} \Big(a^{\varepsilon} (m_{4,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon} + 2m_{2,5}^{\varepsilon}) + b^{\varepsilon} (m_{5,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} - 2m_{2,4}^{\varepsilon}) \Big) \\ &- 2r^{\varepsilon} a^{\varepsilon} (m_{3,5}^{\varepsilon} + m_{6}^{\varepsilon}) + 2r^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon} (m_{3,4}^{\varepsilon} + m_{7}^{\varepsilon}) \Big\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \leqslant C\varepsilon^{3}. \end{split}$$

Proof. In this proof, we use the second-order approximation (4.12) of \tilde{v}^{ε} and write:

$$\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} = v^{\varepsilon}_{\#} + r^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi^{\varepsilon}_{3} + R^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{with} \quad R^{\varepsilon} := \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} - v^{\varepsilon}_{\#} - r^{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi^{\varepsilon}_{3}.$$
(5.11)

We note that by Proposition 4.9 one has

$$\|R^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}))} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{5/2}).$$
(5.12)

We start with the following observation:

$$\begin{split} C_{i,a}^{\varepsilon} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}|^{2} K_{i} \, ds - \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}) \cdot \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} K_{i} \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} - (\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp})|^{2} K_{i} \, ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}|^{2} K_{i} \, ds. \end{split}$$

Replacing \tilde{v}^{ε} in this expression with the decomposition (5.11), we compute

$$C_{i,a}^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial S_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |R^{\varepsilon} + (v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}) + r^{\varepsilon} (\nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon} - x^{\perp})|^{2} K_{i} \, ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial S_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}|^{2} K_{i} \, ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial S_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |R^{\varepsilon}|^{2} K_{i} \, ds + \int_{\partial S_{0}^{\varepsilon}} R^{\varepsilon} \cdot \left((v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}) + r^{\varepsilon} (\nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon} - x^{\perp}) \right) K_{i} \, ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial S_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}|^{2} K_{i} \, ds$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial S_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |r^{\varepsilon} (\nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon} - x^{\perp})|^{2} K_{i} \, ds + \int_{\partial S_{0}^{\varepsilon}} r^{\varepsilon} (v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}) \cdot (\nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon} - x^{\perp}) K_{i} \, ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial S_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}|^{2} K_{i} \, ds$$

$$=: D_{i,a} + D_{i,b} + D_{i,c} + D_{i,d} + D_{i,e} + D_{i,f}. \qquad (5.13)$$

We now analyze the various terms. We recall that a^{ε} and b^{ε} are defined in (4.11) and notice that

$$(DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}\widehat{[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)} \cdot x) = \left(a^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} + b^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = -(a^{\varepsilon} + ib^{\varepsilon})z.$$

Now with the notation (5.10) for $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)$, we can write $\widehat{v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}}$ using (4.12) as follows:

$$\widehat{v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}}(z) = (\Re_1 - \ell_1^{\varepsilon}) - i(\Re_2 - \ell_2^{\varepsilon}) - (a^{\varepsilon} + ib^{\varepsilon})z + (\ell_1^{\varepsilon} - \Re_1)\widehat{\nabla\Phi_1^{\varepsilon}} + (\ell_2^{\varepsilon} - \Re_2)\widehat{\nabla\Phi_2^{\varepsilon}} - a^{\varepsilon}\widehat{\nabla\Phi_4^{\varepsilon}} - b^{\varepsilon}\widehat{\nabla\Phi_5^{\varepsilon}}.$$
 (5.14)

• The first term in (5.13) satisfies obviously $||D_{i,a}||_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{5+\delta_{3,i}}) = o(\varepsilon^{2+\delta_{3,i}})$. The second one is of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2+\delta_{3,i}})$, because using (3.17), Lemma 4.4 and the definition (4.12) of $v_{\#}^{\varepsilon}$ we see that

$$|D_{i,b}(t)| \leq \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |R^{\varepsilon}| \Big(|v_{\#}^{\varepsilon}| + |\ell^{\varepsilon}| + |r^{\varepsilon}| (|\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon}| + |x|) \Big) |K_i| \, ds \leq C \varepsilon^{5/2} (1 + |\ell^{\varepsilon}| + \varepsilon |r^{\varepsilon}|) \varepsilon^{\delta_{3,i}} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{2+\delta_{3,i}}.$$

• We now turn to the third term. As $v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}$ is tangent to the boundary, we can apply the Blasius lemma (see Lemma A.1) and then (5.14), Cauchy's residue theorem, Lemma A.8 and Lemma A.10 to obtain:

$$\begin{split} \left(D_{i,c}\right)_{i=1,2} = &\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}|^{2} n \, ds = \frac{i}{2} \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (\widehat{v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}})^{2} \, dz \right)^{*} \\ &= -i \left(\left(a^{\varepsilon} + ib^{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} z \left((\ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{1}) \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{1}^{\varepsilon}} + (\ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{2}) \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{2}^{\varepsilon}} - a^{\varepsilon} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{4}^{\varepsilon}} - b^{\varepsilon} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{5}^{\varepsilon}} \right) dz \right)^{*} \\ &= -i \left(\left(a^{\varepsilon} + ib^{\varepsilon}\right) \left((\ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{1}) \left(-m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon} + i(m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) \right) + (\ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{2}) \left(-(m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) + im_{2,1}^{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \\ &- a^{\varepsilon} \left(-(m_{4,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) + i(m_{4,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) \right) - b^{\varepsilon} \left(-(m_{5,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) + i(m_{5,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) \right) \right) \end{split}$$

Going back to a vector notation, we get that

$$\begin{split} \left(D_{i,c}\right)_{i=1,2} = & a^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} -(\ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{1})(m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) - (\ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{2})m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon} + a^{\varepsilon}(m_{4,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) + b^{\varepsilon}(m_{5,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) \\ (\ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{1})m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon} + (\ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{2})(m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) - a^{\varepsilon}(m_{4,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) - b^{\varepsilon}(m_{5,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) \end{pmatrix} \\ & + b^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} (\ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{1})m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon} + (\ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{2})(m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) - a^{\varepsilon}(m_{4,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) - b^{\varepsilon}(m_{5,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) \\ (\ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{1})(m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) + (\ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{2})m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon} - a^{\varepsilon}(m_{4,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) - b^{\varepsilon}(m_{5,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= a^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} -\ell_{1}^{\varepsilon}(m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) - \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon}m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon} \\ \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon}m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon} + \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon}(m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) \end{pmatrix} + b^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon}m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon} + \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon}(m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) \\ \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon}(m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) + \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon}m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2}). \end{split}$$

Now using Lemma A.14, we proceed in the same way for i = 3:

$$\begin{split} D_{3,c} = &\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |v_{\#,I}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}|^{2} K_{3} \, ds = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} z(\widehat{v_{\#,I}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}})^{2} \, dz \right) \\ = &\operatorname{Re} \left(\left[(\mathfrak{K}_{1} - \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon}) - i(\mathfrak{K}_{2} - \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon}) \right] \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} z\left((\ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{1}) \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{1}^{\varepsilon}} + (\ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{2}) \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{2}^{\varepsilon}} - a^{\varepsilon} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{4}^{\varepsilon}} - b^{\varepsilon} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{5}^{\varepsilon}} \right) dz \\ &- (a^{\varepsilon} + ib^{\varepsilon}) \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} z^{2} \left((\ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{1}) \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{1}^{\varepsilon}} + (\ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{2}) \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{2}^{\varepsilon}} - a^{\varepsilon} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{4}^{\varepsilon}} - b^{\varepsilon} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{5}^{\varepsilon}} \right) dz \end{split}$$

so that

$$\begin{split} D_{3,c} = & (\Re_1 - \ell_1^{\varepsilon}) \Big[- (\ell_1^{\varepsilon} - \Re_1) m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon} - (\ell_2^{\varepsilon} - \Re_2) (m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|) + a^{\varepsilon} (m_{4,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) + b^{\varepsilon} (m_{5,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) \Big] \\ & + (\Re_2 - \ell_2^{\varepsilon}) \Big[(\ell_1^{\varepsilon} - \Re_1) (m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|) + (\ell_2^{\varepsilon} - \Re_2) m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon} - a^{\varepsilon} (m_{4,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) - b^{\varepsilon} (m_{5,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) \Big] \\ & + 2a^{\varepsilon} \Big[(\ell_1^{\varepsilon} - \Re_1) (m_{1,5}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) + (\ell_2^{\varepsilon} - \Re_2) (m_{2,5}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) - a^{\varepsilon} m_{4,5}^{\varepsilon} - b^{\varepsilon} (m_{5,5}^{\varepsilon} + m_8^{\varepsilon}) \Big] \\ & + 2b^{\varepsilon} \Big[(\ell_1^{\varepsilon} - \Re_1) (-m_{1,4}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) - (\ell_2^{\varepsilon} - \Re_2) (m_{2,4}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) + a^{\varepsilon} (m_{4,4}^{\varepsilon} + m_8^{\varepsilon}) + b^{\varepsilon} m_{5,4}^{\varepsilon} \Big]. \end{split}$$

This can finally be simplified as follows:

$$D_{3,c} = (K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) - \ell^{\varepsilon})^{\perp} \mathcal{M}_{\flat}^{\varepsilon} (K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) - \ell^{\varepsilon}) + \ell_1^{\varepsilon} \Big(a^{\varepsilon} (-m_{4,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} + 2m_{1,5}^{\varepsilon}) + b^{\varepsilon} (-m_{5,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon} - 2m_{1,4}^{\varepsilon}) \Big) + \ell_2^{\varepsilon} \Big(a^{\varepsilon} (m_{4,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon} + 2m_{2,5}^{\varepsilon}) + b^{\varepsilon} (m_{5,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} - 2m_{2,4}^{\varepsilon}) \Big) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3).$$

• We now turn to the fourth term in (5.13). As $\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} - x^{\perp}$ is tangent to the boundary, we can write for i = 1, 2 by Lemma A.1 and by Cauchy's residue theorem:

$$\left(D_{i,d}\right)_{i=1,2} = \frac{(r^{\varepsilon})^2}{2} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} - x^{\perp}|^2 n \, ds = \frac{i(r^{\varepsilon})^2}{2} \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} (\nabla \widehat{\Phi_3^{\varepsilon}} - x^{\perp})^2 \, dz\right)^*$$
$$= \frac{i(r^{\varepsilon})^2}{2} \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} 2i\overline{z} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon}} \, dz - \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \overline{z}^2 \, dz\right)^*,$$

where we have noted that $-\widehat{x^{\perp}} = i\overline{z}$. Thanks to Remark A.11 and (A.2), we deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D_{i,d}\right)_{i=1,2} &= i(r^{\varepsilon})^{2} \Big(i(-m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) + (m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) - 2(|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} + i|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon})\Big)^{*} \\ &= (r^{\varepsilon})^{2} \Big((-m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) + i(m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon})\Big) \\ &= (r^{\varepsilon})^{2} \Big(\left(-m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon}\right) - |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G}^{\varepsilon}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

For i = 3, we have that:

$$D_{3,d} = \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} - x^{\perp}|^2 (x^{\perp} \cdot n) \, ds = \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon}|^2 x^{\perp} \cdot n \, ds - 2 \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} (\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} \cdot x^{\perp}) (x^{\perp} \cdot n) \, ds + \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} |x|^2 x^{\perp} \cdot n \, ds$$
$$= \int_{\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon}|^2 x^{\perp}) \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}} \nabla (\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} \cdot x^{\perp}) \cdot \nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} \, dx - \int_{\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{div}(|x|^2 x^{\perp}) \, dx,$$

where there is no boundary term at infinity because $\nabla \Phi_3(x) = \mathcal{O}(1/|x|^2)$ as $|x| \to +\infty$. Next we use the general relation (5.8) to obtain that

$$\nabla (\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} \cdot x^{\perp}) \cdot \nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} = \left[(\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla) x^{\perp} + (x^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) \nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} \right] \cdot \nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} = -(\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon})^{\perp} \cdot \nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} (x^{\perp} \cdot \nabla) |\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(x^{\perp} |\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon}|^2).$$

Hence

$$D_{3,d} = -\int_{\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{div}(|x|^2 x^{\perp}) \, dx = -\int_{\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} (-2x_1 x_2 + 2x_1 x_2) \, dx = 0.$$

• Concerning the fifth term, we use again the Blasius lemma together with (5.14) and Cauchy's residue theorem:

$$\begin{split} \left(D_{i,e}\right)_{i=1,2} &= \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} r^{\varepsilon} (v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}) \cdot (\nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon} - x^{\perp}) n \, ds = ir^{\varepsilon} \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}) (\widehat{\nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon}} + i\bar{z}) \, dz\right)^{*} \\ &= ir^{\varepsilon} \left(-\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (a^{\varepsilon} + ib^{\varepsilon}) z \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon}} \, dz + i \left((\mathfrak{K}_{1} - \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon}) - i(\mathfrak{K}_{2} - \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon})\right) \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \bar{z} \, dz - i(a^{\varepsilon} + ib^{\varepsilon}) \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |z|^{2} \, dz \\ &+ i(\ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{1}) \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{1}^{\varepsilon}} \bar{z} \, dz + i(\ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{2}) \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{2}^{\varepsilon}} \bar{z} \, dz - ia^{\varepsilon} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{4}^{\varepsilon}} \bar{z} \, dz - ib^{\varepsilon} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{5}^{\varepsilon}} \bar{z} \, dz \right)^{*}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, it suffices to write the values obtained in Lemma A.10, Remark A.11 and (A.3)-(A.4) to get:

$$\begin{split} \left(D_{i,e}\right)_{i=1,2} =& r^{\varepsilon} \Big[-a^{\varepsilon} (m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) + b^{\varepsilon} (m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) + (\mathfrak{K}_{2} - \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon}) 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| + a^{\varepsilon} 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} + b^{\varepsilon} 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) \\ &- (\ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{1})m_{1,2}^{\varepsilon} + (\ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{2})(-m_{2,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) - a^{\varepsilon} (-m_{4,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) - b^{\varepsilon} (-m_{5,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) \Big] \\ &+ ir^{\varepsilon} \Big[a^{\varepsilon} (m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) + b^{\varepsilon} (m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) - (\mathfrak{K}_{1} - \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon}) 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| + a^{\varepsilon} 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon} - b^{\varepsilon} 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) \\ &- (\ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{1})(-m_{1,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|) + (\ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{2})m_{2,1}^{\varepsilon} - a^{\varepsilon} (m_{4,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) + b^{\varepsilon} (-m_{5,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) \Big], \end{split}$$

which can be simplified as

$$\left(D_{i,e} \right)_{i=1,2} = r^{\varepsilon} \left[-\left(\mathcal{M}_{\flat}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|\mathbf{I}_{2} \right) (K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) - \ell^{\varepsilon}) \right)^{\perp} \\ + a^{\varepsilon} \left(-\frac{m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} + m_{4,2}^{\varepsilon} + 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}}{m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} - m_{4,1}^{\varepsilon} + 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}} \right) + b^{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} + m_{5,2}^{\varepsilon} + 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}}{m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} - m_{5,1}^{\varepsilon} - 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}} \right) \right].$$

For i = 3, Lemma A.1, (5.14) and Cauchy's residue theorem imply that

$$\begin{split} D_{3,e} &= \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} r^{\varepsilon} (v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}) \cdot (\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} - x^{\perp}) K_3 \, ds = r^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} z(\widehat{v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}}) (\widehat{\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon}} + i\overline{z}) \, dz \right) \\ &= r^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Re} \left[\left((\mathfrak{K}_1 - \ell_1^{\varepsilon}) - i(\mathfrak{K}_2 - \ell_2^{\varepsilon}) \right) \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} (z \widehat{\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon}} + i|z|^2) \, dz - (a^{\varepsilon} + ib^{\varepsilon}) \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} (z^2 \widehat{\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon}} + iz|z|^2) \, dz \\ &+ (\ell_1^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_1) i \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_1^{\varepsilon}} |z|^2 \, dz + (\ell_2^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_2) i \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_2^{\varepsilon}} |z|^2 \, dz - a^{\varepsilon} i \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_4^{\varepsilon}} |z|^2 \, dz - b^{\varepsilon} i \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_5^{\varepsilon}} |z|^2 \, dz \right]. \end{split}$$

Hence, we obtain from (A.4)-(A.5) and Lemmas A.10, A.12 and A.14:

$$\begin{split} D_{3,e} &= r^{\varepsilon} \left[-\left(\mathfrak{K}_{1} - \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon}\right) (m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon} + 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) + (\mathfrak{K}_{2} - \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon}) (m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} - 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) \\ &+ a^{\varepsilon} 2 (m_{3,5}^{\varepsilon} + m_{6}^{\varepsilon} + m_{6}^{\varepsilon}) - b^{\varepsilon} 2 (m_{3,4}^{\varepsilon} + m_{7}^{\varepsilon} + m_{7}^{\varepsilon}) - (\ell_{1}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{1}) 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon} \\ &- (\ell_{2}^{\varepsilon} - \mathfrak{K}_{2}) 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} - a^{\varepsilon} 2m_{6}^{\varepsilon} + b^{\varepsilon} 2m_{7}^{\varepsilon} \right] \\ &= r^{\varepsilon} (K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) - \ell^{\varepsilon}) \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix} -m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} \\ m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} - |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} + 2r^{\varepsilon} a^{\varepsilon} (m_{3,5}^{\varepsilon} + m_{6}^{\varepsilon}) - 2r^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon} (m_{3,4}^{\varepsilon} + m_{7}^{\varepsilon}). \end{split}$$

• Finally, for the last term we write

-

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}|^2 K_i \, ds = |\ell^{\varepsilon}|^2 \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} K_i \, ds - 2\ell_1^{\varepsilon} r^{\varepsilon} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} x_2 K_i \, ds + 2\ell_2^{\varepsilon} r^{\varepsilon} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} x_1 K_i \, ds + (r^{\varepsilon})^2 \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |x|^2 K_i \, ds,$$

where the above integrals are computed in Lemma A.6. Then we check easily that

$$\left(D_{i,f}\right)_{i=1,2} = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon}x^{\perp}|^2 K_i \, ds\right)_{i=1,2} = -r^{\varepsilon}(\ell^{\varepsilon})^{\perp} |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| + (r^{\varepsilon})^2 x_G^{\varepsilon} |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|$$

and

$$D_{3,f} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}|^2 K_3 \, ds = -r^{\varepsilon} (\ell^{\varepsilon} \cdot x_G^{\varepsilon}) |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|$$

This ends the proof of Proposition 5.3.

2. The $C_{i,c}^{\varepsilon}$ term. We turn to $C_{i,c}^{\varepsilon}$ introduced in (5.7). Here, we deduce from Lemma A.1, (3.12) and Cauchy's Residue Theorem that $C_{1,c}^{\varepsilon} = C_{2,c}^{\varepsilon} = C_{3,c}^{\varepsilon} = 0$. Note in particular that $\int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} z(\widehat{H}^{\varepsilon})^2 dz = -i/(2\pi)$ is purely imaginary.

3. The $C_{i,b}^{\varepsilon}$ term. We finally turn to the term $C_{i,b}^{\varepsilon}$ in (5.6). Let us prove the following.

Proposition 5.4. Let $\rho > 1$ be fixed. There exist C > 0 and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0,1]$ such that if for a given T > 0 and an $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, (2.9) is satisfied for all $t \in [0,T]$, then one has:

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_{1,b}^{\varepsilon} \\ C_{2,b}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} - \gamma (K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) - \ell^{\varepsilon})^{\perp} - \gamma \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} \xi - \gamma \varepsilon \Big(DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot \xi \Big)^{\perp} \Big\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \leqslant C \varepsilon^2$$

and

$$\left\| C_{3,b}^{\varepsilon} - \gamma \varepsilon \, \xi \cdot (K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) - \ell^{\varepsilon}) - \gamma \varepsilon^2 (-a^{\varepsilon} \eta_1 + b^{\varepsilon} \eta_2) \right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \leqslant C \varepsilon^3,$$

where ξ was defined in (3.13) and η was defined in (3.14).

Proof. As for $C_{i,a}^{\varepsilon}$, we consider the approximation (4.12) of \tilde{v}^{ε} and use again the decomposition (5.11) where R^{ε} satisfies (5.12). Putting this decomposition in the definition of $C_{i,b}^{\varepsilon}$, we obtain:

$$C_{i,b}^{\varepsilon} = \gamma \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} R^{\varepsilon} \cdot H^{\varepsilon} K_{i} \, ds + \gamma \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}) \cdot H^{\varepsilon} K_{i} \, ds + \gamma \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} r^{\varepsilon} (\nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon} - x^{\perp}) \cdot H^{\varepsilon} K_{i} \, ds.$$
(5.15)

Thanks to the scaling law (3.11) for H^{ε} , the first term is of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2+\delta_{3,i}})$:

$$|\gamma| \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |R^{\varepsilon}| |H^{\varepsilon}| |K_i| \, ds \leqslant C \varepsilon^{5/2} \varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon^{\delta_{3,i}} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \leqslant C \varepsilon^{2+\delta_{3,i}}.$$

Concerning the second term in (5.15), as $v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}$ and H^{ε} are tangent to the boundary, we can apply the Blasius lemma (see Lemma A.1). Then we compute by (5.14), Cauchy's residue theorem and (3.12):

$$\begin{split} \left(\gamma \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}) \cdot H^{\varepsilon} K_{i} \, ds \right)_{i=1,2} &= \gamma \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}) \cdot H^{\varepsilon} n \, ds = i\gamma \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (\widehat{v_{\#}^{\varepsilon}} - \ell^{\varepsilon}) \widehat{H^{\varepsilon}} \, dz \right)^{*} \\ &= i\gamma \left(\left((\mathfrak{K}_{1} - \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon}) - i(\mathfrak{K}_{2} - \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon}) \right) \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \widehat{H^{\varepsilon}} \, dz - (a^{\varepsilon} + ib^{\varepsilon}) \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} z \widehat{H^{\varepsilon}} \, dz \right)^{*} \\ &= i\gamma \left(\left((\mathfrak{K}_{1} - \ell_{1}^{\varepsilon}) - i(\mathfrak{K}_{2} - \ell_{2}^{\varepsilon}) \right) - (a^{\varepsilon} + ib^{\varepsilon})\varepsilon(\xi_{1} + i\xi_{2}) \right)^{*} \\ &= \gamma (K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} [\omega^{\varepsilon}](t, 0) - \ell^{\varepsilon})^{\perp} + \gamma \varepsilon \left(\begin{pmatrix} -a^{\varepsilon} & b^{\varepsilon} \\ b^{\varepsilon} & a^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \xi \right)^{\perp}, \end{split}$$

where we have used the notation (5.10) and the relation (3.13).

For i = 3, we compute by Lemma A.1 and Cauchy's residue theorem that

$$\begin{split} \gamma \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} (v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}) \cdot H^{\varepsilon} K_3 \, ds &= \gamma \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} z(\widehat{v_{\#}^{\varepsilon} - \ell^{\varepsilon}}) \widehat{H^{\varepsilon}} \, dz \right) \\ &= \gamma \operatorname{Re} \left(\left((\mathfrak{K}_1 - \ell_1^{\varepsilon}) - i(\mathfrak{K}_2 - \ell_2^{\varepsilon}) \right) \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} z \widehat{H^{\varepsilon}} \, dz - (a^{\varepsilon} + ib^{\varepsilon}) \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} z^2 \widehat{H^{\varepsilon}} \, dz \right) \\ &= \gamma \operatorname{Re} \left(\left((\mathfrak{K}_1 - \ell_1^{\varepsilon}) - i(\mathfrak{K}_2 - \ell_2^{\varepsilon}) \right) \varepsilon(\xi_1 + i\xi_2) - (a^{\varepsilon} + ib^{\varepsilon}) \varepsilon^2 (\eta_1 + i\eta_2) \right) \\ &= \gamma \varepsilon (K_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\omega^{\varepsilon}](t, 0) - \ell^{\varepsilon}) \cdot \xi + \gamma \varepsilon^2 (-a^{\varepsilon} \eta_1 + b^{\varepsilon} \eta_2). \end{split}$$

For the last term, we use that $\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon} - x^{\perp}$ and H^{ε} are tangent to the boundary, and obtain with Lemma A.1 and Cauchy's residue theorem:

$$\begin{split} \left(\gamma r^{\varepsilon} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (\nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon} - x^{\perp}) \cdot H^{\varepsilon} K_{i} \, ds \right)_{i=1,2} &= i\gamma r^{\varepsilon} \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (\widehat{\nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon}} - x^{\perp}) \widehat{H^{\varepsilon}} \, dz \right)^{*} = i\gamma r^{\varepsilon} \left(i \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \overline{z} \widehat{H^{\varepsilon}} \, dz \right)^{*} \\ &= \gamma r^{\varepsilon} \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} \overline{z} \widehat{H^{\varepsilon}} \, dz \right)^{*} = \gamma r^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}} \overline{z} \widehat{H^{1}} \, dz \right)^{*} = \gamma \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} \xi, \end{split}$$

where we have used that $-\widehat{x^{\perp}} = i\overline{z}$ and Lemma A.5. For i = 3, we have that:

$$\gamma r^{\varepsilon} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (\nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon} - x^{\perp}) \cdot H^{\varepsilon} K_{3} \, ds = \gamma r^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} z (\nabla \widehat{\Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon} - x^{\perp}}) \widehat{H^{\varepsilon}} \, dz \right) = \gamma r^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Re} \left(i \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} z \overline{z} \widehat{H^{\varepsilon}} \, dz \right) = 0,$$

because of Lemma A.5. This ends the proof of Proposition 5.4.

5.4 Conclusion

In this subsection, we gather all the previous results established in this section into a single proposition. We begin by recalling and introducing several notations.

Let $\underline{\Lambda} : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be the symmetric bilinear mapping that satisfies

$$\langle \underline{\Lambda}, p, p \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} r(\mathcal{M}^{1}_{\flat}\ell)^{\perp} \\ \ell^{\perp} \cdot \mathcal{M}^{1}_{\flat}\ell \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for all } p = \binom{\ell}{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{3},$$
(5.16)

where we recall that $\mathcal{M}_{\flat}^{\varepsilon}$, the 2 × 2 restriction of $\mathcal{M}_{a}^{\varepsilon}$, was defined in (3.25). We also let

$$\hat{p}^{\varepsilon} := \begin{pmatrix} \ell^{\varepsilon} \\ \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \check{p}^{\varepsilon} := \begin{pmatrix} \ell^{\varepsilon} - K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \\ \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}$$

We introduce the following vectors relying on the quantities $m_{i,j}^1$ defined in (3.21):

$$\mu^{1} := \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,3}^{1} \\ m_{2,3}^{1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \hat{\mu}^{1} := \begin{pmatrix} 2m_{2,5}^{1} - m_{3,2}^{1} + m_{1,4}^{1} \\ -2m_{1,5}^{1} - m_{3,1}^{1} + m_{4,2}^{1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \ \check{\mu}^{1} := \begin{pmatrix} -2m_{2,4}^{1} - m_{3,1}^{1} + m_{5,1}^{1} \\ 2m_{1,4}^{1} + m_{3,2}^{1} + m_{5,2}^{1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(5.17)

Recalling that a^{ε} and b^{ε} were defined in (4.11), $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ in (3.13) and $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2)$ in (3.14), we let

$$\underline{F}(\varepsilon,t) = \hat{p}^{\varepsilon} \times (a^{\varepsilon} \hat{\mu}^1 + b^{\varepsilon} \check{\mu}^1) - \left(\begin{pmatrix} (m_{1,1}^1 - m_{2,2}^1) b^{\varepsilon} + 2m_{1,2}^1 a^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} (\ell^{\varepsilon})^{\perp} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.18)

and

$$G(\varepsilon, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \xi \cdot (DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t, 0) \cdot \xi) + a^{\varepsilon}\eta_1 - b^{\varepsilon}\eta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(5.19)

Finally, we recall that I_{ε} is defined in (3.23) and that **B** is defined in (2.5).

The previous subsections result into the following proposition.

Proposition 5.5. Under the same assumptions as Proposition 2.1, the pressure force/torque can be written as follows:

$$-I_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(B_{i}^{\varepsilon}+C_{i}^{\varepsilon})_{i=1,2,3} = \gamma \tilde{p}^{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B} \\ +\varepsilon \Big[-(\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{\flat}^{1}(K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0))^{\perp} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - (\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon})\hat{p}^{\varepsilon} \times \mu^{1} + \gamma G(\varepsilon,t) - \langle \underline{\Lambda}, \check{p}^{\varepsilon}, \check{p}^{\varepsilon} \rangle \Big] + \varepsilon^{2} \Big[\underline{F}(\varepsilon,t) + R^{\varepsilon} \Big],$$

with

$$||R^{\varepsilon}||_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \leqslant C.$$

We are now in position to prove the main statement of a normal form, that is Proposition 2.1, where Λ_a is defined as the bilinear symmetric mapping such that

$$\langle \Lambda_a, p, p \rangle := \langle \underline{\Lambda}, p, p \rangle + rp \times \mu^1 \quad \text{for all } p = \binom{\ell}{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$
(5.20)

where we recall that $\underline{\Lambda}$ and μ_1 were defined in (5.16) and (5.17). We check easily that Λ_a satisfies (2.8). We recall also that Λ_g was defined in (2.6).

Proof of Proposition 2.1. We start from (5.3) that we reformulate as

$$\left[\varepsilon^{\alpha}\mathcal{M}_{g}+\varepsilon^{2}\mathcal{M}_{a}\right](\hat{p}^{\varepsilon})'=-I_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(B_{i}^{\varepsilon}+C_{i}^{\varepsilon})_{i=1,2,3}-\varepsilon^{\alpha}\begin{pmatrix}m^{1}r^{\varepsilon}(\ell^{\varepsilon})^{\perp}\\0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we use that according to (2.1) and Proposition 4.8 we have

$$(\ell^{\varepsilon})'(t) = (\ell^{\varepsilon})'(t) + r^{\varepsilon}(t)(K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0))^{\perp} - F_d(\varepsilon,t),$$

with F_d weakly nonlinear in the sense of (2.11). Thus with Proposition 5.5 we deduce

$$\begin{split} \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha}\mathcal{M}_{g}+\varepsilon^{2}\mathcal{M}_{a}\right](\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon})' \\ &=\gamma\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\times\mathbf{B}+\varepsilon\Big[-(\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon})\hat{p}^{\varepsilon}\times\mu^{1}+\gamma G(\varepsilon,t)-\langle\underline{\Lambda},\check{p}^{\varepsilon},\check{p}^{\varepsilon}\rangle\Big]-\varepsilon^{\alpha}\begin{pmatrix}m^{1}r^{\varepsilon}(\ell^{\varepsilon})^{\perp}\\0\end{pmatrix} \\ &+\varepsilon^{\alpha}m^{1}\begin{pmatrix}r^{\varepsilon}(K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0))^{\perp}\\0\end{pmatrix}+\varepsilon^{2}r^{\varepsilon}\begin{pmatrix}0\\-m_{3,1}^{1}(K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0))_{2}+m_{3,2}^{1}(K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0))_{1}\end{pmatrix} \\ &+\varepsilon^{\min(\alpha,2)}\overline{F}(\varepsilon,t)+\varepsilon^{2}R_{\varepsilon} \\ &=\gamma\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\times\mathbf{B}+\varepsilon\Big[-(\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon})\check{p}^{\varepsilon}\times\mu^{1}+\gamma G(\varepsilon,t)-\langle\underline{\Lambda},\check{p}^{\varepsilon},\check{p}^{\varepsilon}\rangle\Big]-\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}\langle\Lambda_{g},\check{p}^{\varepsilon},\check{p}^{\varepsilon}\rangle+\varepsilon^{\min(\alpha,2)}\overline{F}(\varepsilon,t), \end{split}$$

where

$$\overline{F}(\varepsilon,t) = \underline{F}(\varepsilon,t) - \left(\varepsilon^{\alpha-\min(\alpha,2)}\mathcal{M}_g + \varepsilon^{2-\min(\alpha,2)}\mathcal{M}_a\right)F_d(\varepsilon,t) + \varepsilon^{2-\min(\alpha,2)}R^{\varepsilon},$$

and where Λ_g is defined in (2.6). Note that (5.16) and (5.20) allow to simplify a bit the right hand side as follows:

$$\left[\varepsilon^{\alpha}\mathcal{M}_{g}+\varepsilon^{2}\mathcal{M}_{a}\right](\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon})'=\gamma\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\times\mathbf{B}+\varepsilon\left[\gamma G(\varepsilon,t)-\langle\Lambda_{a},\check{p}^{\varepsilon},\check{p}^{\varepsilon}\rangle\right]-\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}\langle\Lambda_{g},\check{p}^{\varepsilon},\check{p}^{\varepsilon}\rangle+\varepsilon^{\min(\alpha,2)}\overline{F}(\varepsilon,t).$$

Now we modify the right hand side in order to make the modulated unknown \tilde{p}^{ε} appear, instead of \check{p}^{ε} . This yields

$$\left[\varepsilon^{\alpha}\mathcal{M}_{g}+\varepsilon^{2}\mathcal{M}_{a}\right](\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon})'+\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}\langle\Lambda_{g},\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon},\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\rangle+\varepsilon\langle\Lambda_{a},\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon},\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\rangle=\gamma\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\times\mathbf{B}+\varepsilon\gamma G(\varepsilon,t)+\varepsilon^{\min(\alpha,2)}F(\varepsilon,t),$$
(5.21)

where

$$F(\varepsilon, t) = \overline{F}(\varepsilon, t) + \hat{F}(\varepsilon, t),$$

with

$$\begin{split} \hat{F} &= \varepsilon^{\alpha - \min(\alpha, 2)} \Big(-2\langle \Lambda_g, DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t, 0) \cdot \xi, \tilde{p}^{\varepsilon} \rangle + \varepsilon \langle \Lambda_g, DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t, 0) \cdot \xi, DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t, 0) \cdot \xi \rangle \Big) \\ &+ \varepsilon^{2 - \min(\alpha, 2)} \Big(-2\langle \Lambda_a, DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t, 0) \cdot \xi, \tilde{p}^{\varepsilon} \rangle + \varepsilon \langle \Lambda_a, DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t, 0) \cdot \xi, DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t, 0) \cdot \xi \rangle \Big). \end{split}$$

It remains to check that F is weakly nonlinear in the sense of (2.11) and that G is weakly gyroscopic in the sense of (2.10).

Lemma 5.6. The term F is weakly nonlinear and the term G is weakly gyroscopic.

Proof. We begin by proving that the term G that we made explicit in (5.19) is weakly gyroscopic, that is, satisfies (2.10). We can rewrite G as follows:

$$G(\varepsilon,t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \xi \cdot (DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot \xi) - e_1 \cdot (DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0) \cdot \eta) \end{pmatrix},$$
(5.22)

where we denote by (e_1, e_2) the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^2 . That e_1 appears in the formula (5.22) does not mean that it is a privileged direction, recall (4.11). Using (4.10) and (4.11) we have

$$\begin{split} \int_0^t \tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}(s) \cdot G(\varepsilon, s) \, ds &= \varepsilon \int_0^t r^{\varepsilon}(s) \Big(R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(s)} \xi \Big) \cdot \Big(DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon}](s, h^{\varepsilon}(s)) \cdot R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(s)} \xi \Big) \, ds \\ &- \varepsilon \int_0^t r^{\varepsilon}(s) \Big(R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(s)} e_1 \Big) \cdot \Big(DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon}](s, h^{\varepsilon}(s)) \cdot R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(s)} \eta \Big) \, ds. \end{split}$$

Let us focus on the first term on the right hand side, that we denote I_1 , the second one being treated likewise. Since the function $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon}](s,\cdot)$ is the orthogonal gradient of a harmonic function in the neighborhood of $h^{\varepsilon}(s)$, the matrix $DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon}](s, h^{\varepsilon}(s))$, as for (4.11), is a 2 × 2 traceless symmetric matrix. It is hence the composition of a linear homothety and an axial orthogonal symmetry. It follows that

$$DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon}](s,h^{\varepsilon}(s))R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(s)} = R_{-\theta^{\varepsilon}(s)}DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon}](s,h^{\varepsilon}(s)) = R^T_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(s)}DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon}](s,h^{\varepsilon}(s)).$$

With $r^{\varepsilon} = (\theta^{\varepsilon})'$, we deduce that

$$\begin{split} I_{1} &= \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} r^{\varepsilon}(s) \Big(R_{2\theta^{\varepsilon}(s)} \xi \Big) \cdot \Big(DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[w^{\varepsilon}](s,h^{\varepsilon}(s)) \cdot \xi \Big) \, ds \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left[\Big(R_{2\theta^{\varepsilon}(s) - \frac{\pi}{2}} \xi \Big) \cdot \Big(DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[w^{\varepsilon}](s,h^{\varepsilon}(s)) \cdot \xi \Big) \Big]_{0}^{t} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \Big(R_{2\theta^{\varepsilon}(s) - \frac{\pi}{2}} \xi \Big) \cdot \Big(\partial_{s} [DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[w^{\varepsilon}](s,h^{\varepsilon}(s)) \cdot \xi] \Big) \, ds \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \Big(R_{2\theta^{\varepsilon}(s) - \frac{\pi}{2}} \xi \Big) \cdot \Big(DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\partial_{s}w^{\varepsilon}](s,h^{\varepsilon}(s)) \cdot \xi \Big) \, ds \\ &- \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \Big(R_{2\theta^{\varepsilon}(s) - \frac{\pi}{2}} \xi \Big) \cdot \Big(D^{2}K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[w^{\varepsilon}](s,h^{\varepsilon}(s)) : (h^{\varepsilon})' \otimes \xi \Big) \, ds. \end{split}$$

Using that $\partial_s w^{\varepsilon} = -\operatorname{div}(u^{\varepsilon}w^{\varepsilon})$, the a priori estimates given by Lemma 4.6 on u^{ε} and by (4.1) on w^{ε} , and the harmonicity of $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[u^{\varepsilon}w^{\varepsilon}]$ in $B(h^{\varepsilon}(s), 1/\rho)$, we see that the first integral is bounded by Ct, the second one by $C \int_0^t (1+|\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}|)$, which gives the result with Young's inequality.

The fact that F is weakly nonlinear can be seen when following the lines leading to (5.21): it is enough to see that \overline{F} and \hat{F} are weakly nonlinear. Concerning \hat{F} , the result follows easily from the fact that $DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)$ is bounded uniformly in ε (see Lemma 4.7). Concerning \overline{F} this comes from Proposition 5.5 and the fact that \underline{F} and F_d are themselves weakly nonlinear. For \underline{F} this is visible from the expression (5.18), the coefficients a^{ε} and b^{ε} being related to $DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)$ by (4.11) and Lemma 4.7 again; for F_d this follows from Proposition 4.8.

This ends the proof of Proposition 2.1.

6 Modulated energy and passage to the limit

6.1 Modulated energy. Proof of Proposition 2.2.

Let us fix $\rho > 1$ and $\overline{T} > 0$. Let C > 0 and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be the constants obtained by Proposition 2.1. Let $T \in (0, \overline{T}]$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ such that (2.9) is valid on [0, T]. Then according to Proposition 2.1, (2.12) is valid on [0, T]. Now to get the modulated energy estimate, we multiply (2.12) by \tilde{p}^{ε} ; several simplifications occur in the process. On the one hand, we use the symmetry of the matrices \mathcal{M}_g (defined in (2.4)) and \mathcal{M}_a (defined in (3.24)) to observe the following:

$$\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathcal{M}_{g} + \varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{M}_{a} \right] (\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon})' = \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{g} (\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}) + \varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{E}_{a} (\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}) \right]',$$

where we define, for any $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\mathcal{E}_g(p) := \frac{1}{2} p \cdot \mathcal{M}_g p$$
 and $\mathcal{E}_a(p) := \frac{1}{2} p \cdot \mathcal{M}_a p.$

On the other hand, we have

$$\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \langle \varepsilon^{\alpha - 1} \Lambda_g + \varepsilon \Lambda_a, \tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}, \tilde{p}^{\varepsilon} \rangle = 0,$$

since the quadratic mappings Λ_g and Λ_a satisfy (2.7) and (2.8) respectively; moreover, of course,

$$\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon} \cdot (\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}) = 0.$$

Thus we obtain on [0, T],

$$\left[\varepsilon^{\alpha}\mathcal{E}_{g}(\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon})+\varepsilon^{2}\mathcal{E}_{a}(\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon})\right]'(t)=\varepsilon\gamma\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\cdot G(\varepsilon,t)+\varepsilon^{\min(\alpha,2)}\,\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\cdot F(\varepsilon,t).$$

Next, integrating in time, we obtain for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\left[\varepsilon^{\alpha}\mathcal{E}_{g}(\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}) + \varepsilon^{2}\mathcal{E}_{a}(\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon})\right](t) \leqslant \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha}\mathcal{E}_{g} + \varepsilon^{2}\mathcal{E}_{a}\right](\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon})(0) + \varepsilon|\gamma| \left|\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}(s) \cdot G(\varepsilon, s) \, ds\right| + \varepsilon^{\min(\alpha, 2)} \int_{0}^{t} |\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}(s)| \cdot |F(\varepsilon, s)| \, ds.$$

Moreover, from the asymptotic behaviour of the initial data we infer that

$$\left[\varepsilon^{\alpha}\mathcal{E}_{g}+\varepsilon^{2}\mathcal{E}_{a}\right](\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon})(0)\leqslant C\varepsilon^{\min(\alpha,2)}$$

Now let us assume in a first time that S_0 is not a ball. Then there exists c > 0 depending only on m^1 , \mathcal{J}^1 and S_0 such that for any $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$c^{-1} |p|^2 \leqslant \mathcal{E}_g(p) \leqslant c |p|^2$$
 and $c^{-1} |p|^2 \leqslant \mathcal{E}_a(p) \leqslant c |p|^2$.

This is due to the fact, as we mentioned in Subsection 4.2 that in this case \mathcal{M}_a is a Gram matrix associated to a free family of vectors. Therefore for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\left|\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right|^{2} \leqslant C\Big(1+t+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}(s)\right|^{2}+\varepsilon\left|\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}(s)\right|^{3}\right)ds\Big).$$

Then it suffices to use Lemma 4.4 and Gronwall's lemma on

$$f(t) := |\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}(t)|^2 \leqslant C(1+\overline{T}) + 2C \int_0^t f(s) \, ds$$

to establish that on [0, T],

$$|\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}(t)| \leqslant \sqrt{C(1+\overline{T})}e^{C\overline{T}}$$

Finally it remains to use the a priori bounds on the modulation terms given in Lemma 4.7 to deduce that (2.13) is valid.

In the degenerate case where S_0 is a ball, then we recall that $r^{\varepsilon}(t)$ is constant over time (as seen from (1.4)) and in particular bounded; moreover \mathcal{M}_a is of the form

$$\mathcal{M}_a = \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,1} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_{1,1} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we can reason analogously as before using

$$c^{-1} |\tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon}|^2 \leqslant \mathcal{E}_a(\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}) \leqslant c |\tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon}|^2$$

to deduce the boundedness of $\tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon}$. Again we use the a priori bounds on the modulation terms of Lemma 4.7 to conclude. This ends the proof of Proposition 2.2.

6.2 Local passage to the limit. Proof of Propositions 2.4 and 2.5.

In this section, we pass to the limit in the equation. To that purpose, we will come back at several stages to the original frame. In particular we now define the inertia matrices in the original frame:

$$\mathcal{M}_{a,\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^{\varepsilon} := Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} \mathcal{M}_{a}^{\varepsilon} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^{T} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{M}_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^{\varepsilon} := Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)} \mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^{T} = \mathcal{M}_{g}^{\varepsilon} + \mathcal{M}_{a,\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}^{\varepsilon}$$
(6.1)

where we recall that the added mass matrix $\mathcal{M}_{a}^{\varepsilon}$ and the total mass matrix $\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon}$ in the solid frame are defined in (3.22) and (4.3) respectively and have constant coefficients with respect to time. In the above definition, $Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}$ is defined as the rotation matrix of angle θ^{ε} acting on the first two coordinates:

$$Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta^{\varepsilon} & -\sin \theta^{\varepsilon} & 0\\ \sin \theta^{\varepsilon} & \cos \theta^{\varepsilon} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We begin by establishing Proposition 2.4.

Proof of Proposition 2.4. We fix $\overline{T} > 0$, which allows to define T_{ε} according to (2.14). Hence, we can apply Proposition 2.2 with $\rho = 2\rho_{\overline{T}}$ and \overline{T} : there exist C > 0 and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that for each $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, (2.13) applies on the interval $[0, T_{\varepsilon}]$, and in particular $|(h^{\varepsilon})'| \leq C$. On the other side, w^{ε} is transported by the fluid velocity which is bounded uniformly on the vorticity support (see Lemma 4.6); let us say, it is bounded by Cduring $[0, T_{\varepsilon}]$. Then Proposition 2.4 follows immediately with for instance

$$\underline{T} = \min\left(\frac{1}{4C\rho_{\overline{T}}}, \frac{\rho_{\overline{T}}}{2C}\right).$$

Now we can turn to the proof of Proposition 2.5.

Proof of Proposition 2.5. We proceed in several successive steps.

1. Compactness for the solid velocity. It is clear that on $[0, \underline{T}]$, the family (h^{ε}) is weakly- \star relatively compact in $W^{1,\infty}([0, \underline{T}])$; hence we can pick a converging subsequence along indices $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, say

$$h^{\varepsilon_n} \longrightarrow h \text{ in } W^{1,\infty}([0,\underline{T}]) - w \star \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$
 (6.2)

2. Compactness for the fluid velocity. Let us now obtain some compactness for the fluid vorticity in the original frame, and for the velocity that it generates via the Biot-Savart law. We will obtain a convergence along a subsequence of (ε_n) ; to simplify the notations we will still call it (ε_n) .

We extend $w^{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)$ by 0 inside $S^{\varepsilon}(t)$. Using the a priori estimate (4.1), we deduce that, up to a subsequence of (ε_n) , one has, for some $w \in L^{\infty}((0, \underline{T}) \times \mathbb{R}^2)$:

$$w^{\varepsilon_n} \longrightarrow w \text{ in } L^{\infty}((0,\underline{T}) \times \mathbb{R}^2) - w \star \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$
 (6.3)

It follows moreover from (4.2) that $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon}]$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(0, \underline{T}; \mathcal{LL}(\mathbb{R}^2))$. Since

$$\partial_t w^{\varepsilon} + \operatorname{div}(u^{\varepsilon} w^{\varepsilon}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'((0, \underline{T}) \times \mathbb{R}^2), \tag{6.4}$$

we deduce from (4.1) and Lemma 4.6 that $\partial_t w^{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(0, \underline{T}; W^{-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ for $p < +\infty$. Hence we deduce by [9, Appendix C] that the convergence (6.3) can be improved into

$$w^{\varepsilon_n} \longrightarrow w \text{ in } C^0([0,\underline{T}];L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) - w\star) \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

Actually, [9, Appendix C] considers only the compactness of a sequence in $C^0([0,\underline{T}]; X - w)$ for X a reflexive separable Banach space. However, the generalization to $C^0([0,\underline{T}]; L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) - w\star)$ is straightforward using the separability of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Now since $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ is a compact operator form $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for p > 2 we deduce that

$$K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon_n}] \longrightarrow K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w]$$
 in $C^0([0,\underline{T}]; L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ as $n \to +\infty$

3. Convergence of u^{ε} . We use Lemma 4.11, $\|\nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon})} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\delta_{i\geq 3}})$ (see (3.17)–(3.19)) and the uniform estimates on ℓ^{ε} , $\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}$, $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)$ and $DK_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}](t,0)$ (see Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 4.7) to deduce that

$$K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[\omega^{\varepsilon}] - \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, \underline{T}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)) \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0^+.$$

Here we have extended (for instance) \tilde{v}^{ε} inside S_0^{ε} by $\ell^{\varepsilon} + r^{\varepsilon} x^{\perp}$. Coming back to original variables, and recalling the notation (1.9), we define \tilde{u}^{ε} by the relation

$$\tilde{u}^{\varepsilon}(t,x) := R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} \, \tilde{v}^{\varepsilon}(t, R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}^{T}(x - h^{\varepsilon}(t)))$$

that is, \tilde{u}^{ε} is the "circulation-free" part of u^{ε} :

$$\tilde{u}^{\varepsilon} = u^{\varepsilon} - \gamma R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} H^{\varepsilon}(R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}^{T}(x - h^{\varepsilon}(t))).$$

We infer that

$$K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon}] - \tilde{u}^{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, \underline{T}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)) \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0^+,$$

where we have extended \tilde{u}^{ε} in $\mathcal{S}^{\varepsilon}(t)$ by $(h^{\varepsilon})' + r^{\varepsilon}(x - h^{\varepsilon}(t))^{\perp}$.

Now we use the fact that for p < 2, one has

$$H^{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot) \longrightarrow H(\cdot) \text{ in } L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ as } n \to +\infty,$$
 (6.5)

(see [7, Lemma 3.11] and [5, Lemma 4.2]), where H is defined as

$$H(x) := \frac{x^{\perp}}{2\pi |x|^2}.$$

This involves that, as seen in [2], one has due to (6.2):

$$R_{\theta^{\varepsilon_n}} H^{\varepsilon_n}((R_{\theta^{\varepsilon_n}})^T(\cdot - h^{\varepsilon_n}(t))) \longrightarrow H(\cdot - h(t)) \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, \underline{T}; L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \text{ as } n \to +\infty,$$
(6.6)

where we extend H^{ε} by 0 inside $\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}$. This convergence follows from (6.5) and a change of variable, noting that H is invariant by rotation.

Gathering the above convergences, we obtain for p < 2

$$u^{\varepsilon_n}(x) \longrightarrow K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w] + \gamma H(\cdot - h(t)) \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, \underline{T}; L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

4. Fluid equation in the limit. Let us show that u and w satisfy (1.18). Since u^{ε} and w^{ε} satisfy (6.4), it can be easily seen that for any test function $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}([0,\underline{T}) \times \mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi_t w^{\varepsilon_n} \, dx \, dt + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla \psi \cdot u^{\varepsilon_n} w^{\varepsilon_n} \, dx \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi(0, x) w_0(x) \, dx = 0.$$
(6.7)

Here we extend u^{ε_n} and w^{ε_n} inside S_0^{ε} as before; in particular w^{ε_n} is extended by 0. Then one can check the convergence as $n \to +\infty$ of each term in (6.7): the convergence of the first one is a direct consequence of (6.3) and the second one is a matter of weak/strong convergence. This allows to get (1.15) on $(0, \underline{T})$.

5. Solid equation in the limit. We will rely on the normal form (2.12). More precisely we first infer from (2.12), using the form (5.19) of $G(\varepsilon, t)$, that

$$\gamma P_{\flat} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}(\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}) = P_{\flat} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} \Big\{ \Big[\varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathcal{M}_{g} + \varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{M}_{a} \Big] (\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon})' + \langle \varepsilon^{\alpha - 1} \Lambda_{g} + \varepsilon \Lambda_{a}, \tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}, \tilde{p}^{\varepsilon} \rangle \Big\} - \varepsilon^{\min(\alpha, 2)} P_{\flat} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} F(\varepsilon, t), \quad (6.8)$$

where we define P_{\flat} as the projection from \mathbb{R}^3 to \mathbb{R}^2 on the two first coordinates.

Now we claim to have the following identity

$$P_{\flat}Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}\left\{\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha}\mathcal{M}_{g}+\varepsilon^{2}\mathcal{M}_{a}\right)\left(\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\right)'+\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}\langle\Lambda_{g},\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon},\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\rangle+\varepsilon\langle\Lambda_{a},\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon},\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\rangle\right\}=P_{\flat}\left[\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha}\mathcal{M}_{g}Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}+\varepsilon^{2}Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}\mathcal{M}_{a}\right)\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\right]',\quad(6.9)$$

whose proof we temporarily postpone. This allows to simplify (6.8) in the form

$$\gamma P_{\flat} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} (\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}) = P_{\flat} \left[\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathcal{M}_{g} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} + \varepsilon^{2} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} \mathcal{M}_{a} \right) \tilde{p}^{\varepsilon} \right]' - \varepsilon^{\min(\alpha,2)} P_{\flat} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} F(\varepsilon,t).$$

Now we see that terms in the right hand side converge to 0 in $W^{-1,\infty}([0,\underline{T}])$. For the last term, the convergence is clear (and even strong in L^{∞}), due to (2.11). The first term in the right hand side is composed of timederivatives of bounded terms in L^{∞} (according to Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 4.7) multiplied by positive powers of ε . Hence this term converges also to 0 in $W^{-1,\infty}$.

Hence at this stage we know that $P_{\flat}Q_{\theta_n^{\varepsilon}}(\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon_n} \times \mathbf{B})$ converges to 0 in $W^{-1,\infty}$. Now we have from the definition of **B** (2.5) and relations (4.9)–(4.10) and (5.2) that

$$P_{\flat}Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}(\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}) = -\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}\xi + \left((h^{\varepsilon})' - K_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[w^{\varepsilon}](t,h^{\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon DK_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[w^{\varepsilon}](t,h^{\varepsilon}) \cdot R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}(t)}\xi\right)^{\perp}.$$

Using (4.7) and Lemma 4.7, we observe that as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$,

$$\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} \xi = -\varepsilon (R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} \xi^{\perp})' \longrightarrow 0 \text{ in } W^{-1,\infty}([0,\underline{T}]) \text{ and } \varepsilon D K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon}](\cdot,h^{\varepsilon}) \cdot R_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} \xi \longrightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0,\underline{T}).$$

Hence we reach the convergence

$$(h^{\varepsilon_n})' - K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon_n}](\cdot, h^{\varepsilon_n}) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ in } W^{-1,\infty}([0,\underline{T}]).$$

Now we use the uniform estimates on $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w^{\varepsilon}]$ in $L^{\infty}(0, \underline{T}; \mathcal{LL}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ and (6.2) to get that

$$K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w_n^{\varepsilon}](\cdot, h^{\varepsilon_n}) \longrightarrow K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w](\cdot, h) \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, \underline{T}) \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

So we finally obtain

$$(h^{\varepsilon_n})' \longrightarrow K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w](\cdot,h),$$

in $W^{-1,\infty}([0,\underline{T}])$ and then in $L^{\infty}([0,\underline{T}])$ weak- \star due to the boundedness of $(h^{\varepsilon})'$ in $L^{\infty}(0,\underline{T})$.

It follows that the solutions of our system converge, up to a subsequence, to those of the wave/vortex system. Due to the uniqueness of the latter, the convergence takes place along the whole family ($\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$). Now it remains only to prove (6.9).

6. Proof of the claim (6.9). We introduce

$$J_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so that (4.7) is recast as

$$Q'_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} = r^{\varepsilon} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} J_3. \tag{6.10}$$

According to (6.1) and (6.10), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \\ r^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}' = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} \mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \\ r^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}' = r^{\varepsilon} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} J_{3} \mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \\ r^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} + Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} \mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \\ r^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}'$$
$$= r^{\varepsilon} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} \begin{pmatrix} \left((m^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{Id} + \mathcal{M}_{\flat}^{\varepsilon}) \tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \right)^{\perp} + r^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} -m_{2,3}^{\varepsilon} \\ m_{1,3}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} + Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} \mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \\ r^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}',$$

where we recall that $\mathcal{M}_{b}^{\varepsilon}$ is the 2 × 2 restriction of $\mathcal{M}_{a}^{\varepsilon}$. Now we extract the powers of ε of the mass coefficients. Thanks to (3.22) and (4.4) we have that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon} = I_{\varepsilon} \left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathcal{M}_{g} + \varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{M}_{a,\theta^{\varepsilon}} \right) I_{\varepsilon}, \ m^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\alpha} m^{1}, \ \mathcal{M}_{\flat}^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{M}_{\flat}^{1}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} -m_{2,3}^{\varepsilon} \\ m_{1,3}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon^{3} \begin{pmatrix} -m_{2,3}^{1} \\ m_{1,3}^{1} \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon} = I_{\varepsilon} (\varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathcal{M}_{g} + \varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{M}_{a}) I_{\varepsilon},$$

where we recall that I_{ε} is defined in (3.23). It follows that

$$\begin{split} \left[I_{\varepsilon} \left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathcal{M}_{g} + \varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{M}_{a,\theta^{\varepsilon}} \right) I_{\varepsilon} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \\ r^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \right]' = r^{\varepsilon} Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} m^{1} \mathrm{Id} + \varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{M}_{b}^{1} \right) \tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}^{\perp} + r^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{3} \begin{pmatrix} -m_{2,3}^{1} \\ m_{1,3}^{1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ + Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} I_{\varepsilon} \left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathcal{M}_{g} + \varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{M}_{a} \right) I_{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \\ r^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}'. \end{split}$$

We recognize that

$$\begin{pmatrix} -m_{2,3}^1 \\ m_{1,3}^1 \end{pmatrix} = (P_{\flat}\mu^1)^{\perp} \text{ and } I_{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\ell}^{\varepsilon} \\ r^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}.$$

Projecting on the first two coordinates (recall that we denote P_{\flat} this projection), and using $I_{\varepsilon}Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}} = Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}I_{\varepsilon}$, and $P_{\flat}I_{\varepsilon} = P_{\flat}$ we deduce

$$P_{\flat}\left[\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha}\mathcal{M}_{g}+\varepsilon^{2}\mathcal{M}_{a,\theta^{\varepsilon}}\right)Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\right]' = P_{\flat}Q_{\theta^{\varepsilon}}\left[\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}\langle\Lambda_{g},\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon},\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\rangle+\varepsilon\langle\Lambda_{a},\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon},\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon}\rangle+\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha}\mathcal{M}_{g}+\varepsilon^{2}\mathcal{M}_{a}\right)(\tilde{p}^{\varepsilon})'\right],$$

with Λ_g and Λ_a defined in (2.6) and (5.20). This ends the proof of (6.9) and hence of Proposition 2.5.

6.3 Conclusion

It remains to prove that the convergence obtained above does not take place only during the interval $[0, \underline{T}]$, but during any interval [0, T], T > 0. We know that the solution (h, w) of the vortex/wave system is global in time, and that h remains for all t at positive distance from $\sup w$ and that this support remains bounded. Let us be given T > 0. We let $\overline{T} := T + 1$ and we know from (1.19) that there exists $\rho_{\overline{T}} > 1$ such that

$$\forall t \in [0, T+1], \quad \operatorname{supp} w(t, \cdot) \subset B(h(t), \rho_{\overline{T}}) \setminus B(h(t), 1/\rho_{\overline{T}}).$$
(6.11)

Let us recall here the definition (2.14) of T_{ε} :

$$T_{\varepsilon} := \sup \left\{ \tau \in [0,\overline{T}], \ \forall t \in [0,\tau], \ \operatorname{supp} w^{\varepsilon}(t) \subset B(h^{\varepsilon}(t), 2\rho_{\overline{T}}) \setminus B(h^{\varepsilon}(t), 1/(2\rho_{\overline{T}})) \right\}$$

Using Proposition 2.4, it is clear that for suitably small ε_0 , one has $\inf_{\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0]} T_{\varepsilon} > 0$. Therefore, we denote

$$\tilde{T} := \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} T_\varepsilon > 0.$$

Due to Proposition 2.2 (with $\rho = 2\rho_{\overline{T}}$), there exists C > 0 such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, one has the following estimate

$$|\ell^{\varepsilon}| + |\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}| \leqslant C \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T_{\varepsilon}].$$
(6.12)

Now we claim that

$$\tilde{T} \ge T + \frac{1}{2},\tag{6.13}$$

and reason by contradiction. If it were not the case and $\tilde{T} < T + \frac{1}{2}$, then the following would occur. First we extract a subsequence (ε_n) such that $T_{\varepsilon_n} \to \tilde{T}$. On any compact interval $[0, \tilde{T} - \eta]$ of $[0, \tilde{T})$ with $\eta > 0$, one finds N such that $\inf_{n \ge N} T_{\varepsilon_n} \ge \tilde{T} - \eta$. Therefore we can apply Proposition 2.5 with $\underline{T} = \tilde{T} - \eta$ and the convergences of the previous subsection hold true.

Now we prove that

$$\|d_H(\operatorname{supp} w^{\varepsilon_n}(t, \cdot), \operatorname{supp} w(t, \cdot))\|_{L^{\infty}(0,\tilde{T}-\eta)} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty,$$
(6.14)

where d_H is the Hausdorff distance. To see that, one modifies (6.6) into

$$R_{\theta^{\varepsilon_n}} H^{\varepsilon_n} R_{-\theta^{\varepsilon_n}} (\cdot - h^{\varepsilon_n}) \longrightarrow H(\cdot - h) \quad \text{in } L^{\infty}(B(0, 2\rho_{\overline{T}}) \setminus B(0, 1/(2\rho_{\overline{T}}))) \quad \text{as } n \to +\infty.$$

This follows from the invariance of H with respect to rotations, and the fact that we have uniform estimates on ∇H^{ε_n} outside $B(0, 1/2\rho_{\overline{T}})$ for n large enough (see Lemma 3.1).

Thanks to the uniform log-Lipschitz estimates and Gronwall's lemma, we see that the flows $\Phi_n^{\varepsilon} = \Phi_n^{\varepsilon}(t, x)$ and $\Phi = \Phi(t, x)$ associated respectively to u^{ε_n} and $K_{\mathbb{R}^2}[w](t, \cdot) + \gamma H(\cdot - h(t))$ satisfy

$$\Phi^{\varepsilon_n} \longrightarrow \Phi$$
 uniformly in $[0, T - \eta] \times \operatorname{supp} w_0$ as $n \to +\infty$

This involves (6.14). Now due to the definition of T_{ε} and the fact that $T_{\varepsilon_n} \leq \tilde{T} + 1/2 < \overline{T}$ for *n* large enough, we have that

$$\operatorname{supp} w^{\varepsilon_n}(T_{\varepsilon_n}, \cdot) \cap \left[B(h^{\varepsilon_n}(T_{\varepsilon_n}), 2\rho_{\overline{T}}) \setminus \overline{B}(h^{\varepsilon_n}(T_{\varepsilon_n}), 1/(2\rho_{\overline{T}})) \right]^c \neq \emptyset$$

Hence using that $(h^{\varepsilon_n})'$ is uniformly bounded (6.12) and that w^{ε_n} is transported by a velocity uniformly bounded as well (see Lemma 4.6), we can find $\eta > 0$ small enough so that, for *n* large enough

$$\operatorname{supp} w^{\varepsilon_n}(\tilde{T}-\eta,\cdot) \cap \left[B(h^{\varepsilon_n}(\tilde{T}-\eta), 3\rho_{\overline{T}}/2) \setminus B(h^{\varepsilon_n}(\tilde{T}-\eta), 2/(3\rho_{\overline{T}})) \right]^c \neq \emptyset.$$

Now we pass to the limit as $n \to +\infty$ with (6.14), and get a contraction with the definition (6.11) of $\rho_{\overline{T}}$. This proves (6.13).

Finally, one can apply the convergence result of Proposition 2.5 on [0, T]. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.2.

A Lemmas from complex analysis

In this section, we gather several computations relying on complex analysis, which were used earlier in the proof. We recall the notation $\hat{f} = f_1 - if_2$ for any $f = (f_1, f_2)$, whereas $z = x_1 + ix_2$. We will in particular compute the first coefficients in the Laurent series of $\widehat{\nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}}$ (defined in Paragraph 3.2.2).

A.1 Basic computations

We first recall the Blasius lemma about tangent vector fields (for a proof, see for instance [11] or [2]):

Lemma A.1. Let C be a smooth Jordan curve in the plane, $f := (f_1, f_2)$ and $g := (g_1, g_2)$ two smooth tangent vector fields on C. Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} (f \cdot g) n \, ds = i \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} \widehat{fg} \, dz \right)^*,$$
$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} (f \cdot g) (x^{\perp} \cdot n) \, ds = \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} z \widehat{fg} \, dz \right).$$

Now we relate (classically) $\int_{\mathcal{C}} \widehat{f}$ with the flux and the circulation of f:

Lemma A.2. Let C be a smooth Jordan curve in the plane and $f := (f_1, f_2)$ a smooth vector field on C, then:

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \widehat{f} \, dz = \int_{\mathcal{C}} f \cdot \tau \, ds - i \int_{\mathcal{C}} f \cdot n \, ds.$$

Proof. Denoting by $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ a parametrization of C then $\tau = (\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2)/|\gamma'|$, then we can write $n = (-\gamma'_2, \gamma'_1)/|\gamma'|$, $ds = |\gamma'(t)|dt$ and $dz = (\gamma'_1(t) + i\gamma'_2(t))dt$. Now the conclusion simply follows from

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} (f_1 - if_2) \, dz = \int (f_1 \gamma_1' + f_2 \gamma_2') \, dt - i \int (-f_1 \gamma_2' + f_2 \gamma_1') \, dt.$$

Corollary A.3. Let C be a smooth Jordan curve in the plane and $f := (f_1, f_2)$ a smooth vector field on C, then:

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} z \widehat{f} dz = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \begin{pmatrix} x \cdot f \\ x^{\perp} \cdot f \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tau \, ds - i \int_{\mathcal{C}} \begin{pmatrix} x \cdot f \\ x^{\perp} \cdot f \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds$$
$$= \int_{\mathcal{C}} (x_1 + ix_2)(f \cdot \tau) \, ds - i \int_{\mathcal{C}} (x_1 + ix_2)(f \cdot n) \, ds$$

Proof. We apply Lemma A.2 to g given by $g_1 - ig_2 = z(f_1 - if_2)$ and one checks that

$$(x_1 + ix_2)(f_1 - if_2) = (x_1f_1 + x_2f_2) - i(-x_2f_1 + x_1f_2) = (x \cdot f) - i(x^{\perp} \cdot f).$$

To obtain the second equality, we simply use $(n_1, n_2) = (-\tau_2, \tau_1)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \cdot f \\ x^{\perp} \cdot f \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tau - i \begin{pmatrix} x \cdot f \\ x^{\perp} \cdot f \end{pmatrix} \cdot n = (x_1 f_1 + x_2 f_2) \tau_1 + (-x_2 f_1 + x_1 f_2) \tau_2 - i (x_1 f_1 + x_2 f_2) n_1 - i (-x_2 f_1 + x_1 f_2) n_2$$
$$= (x_1 + i x_2) (f_1 \tau_1 + f_2 \tau_2) - i (x_1 + i x_2) (f_1 n_1 + f_2 n_2),$$

which ends the proof.

Remark A.4. Replacing x_2 by $-x_2$ in the previous computation, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \overline{z} \widehat{f} \, dz = \int_{\mathcal{C}} (x_1 - ix_2) (f \cdot \tau) \, ds - i \int_{\mathcal{C}} (x_1 - ix_2) (f \cdot n) \, ds.$$

A straightforward application of Lemma A.2, Corollary A.3 and Remark A.4 is the following (using $H^1 \cdot n = 0$ on ∂S_0).

Lemma A.5. Let H^1 be defined in (3.9), then

$$\left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0} \bar{z}\widehat{H^1} \, dz\right)^* = \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0} z\widehat{H^1} \, dz \qquad and \qquad \operatorname{Re}\left(i\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^1} |z|^2 \widehat{H^1} \, dz\right) = 0.$$

Next in the following lemma, we regroup elementary computations which will intervene in the next subsections. These are of the form:

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} P(x_1, x_2) K_j \, ds = \varepsilon^{d+\delta_{j \ge 3}+1} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0} P(x_1, x_2) K_j \, ds, \tag{A.1}$$

where P is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d in variables x_1 and x_2 and where $j \in \{1, \ldots, 5\}$. We recall that $|S_0^{\varepsilon}|$ is the Lebesgue measure of S_0^{ε} and that x_G^{ε} is the position of the geometrical center of S_0^{ε} (see (5.1)). Additionally, we introduce the following coefficients

$$m_{6}^{\varepsilon} := \int_{\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (x_{1}^{2} - x_{2}^{2}) \, dx, \quad m_{7}^{\varepsilon} := 2 \int_{\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} x_{1} x_{2} \, dx, \quad m_{8}^{\varepsilon} := \int_{\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |x|^{2} \, dx,$$

and notice that $m_i^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^4 m_i^1$ for i = 6, 7, 8.

Lemma A.6. One has the following relations for (A.1):

• $d(P) = 0, \ j \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$:

$$\int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} n_1 \, ds = \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} n_2 \, ds = \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} x^{\perp} \cdot n \, ds = \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds = \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds = 0.$$

•
$$d(P) = 1, \ j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$
:
$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} x_j n_i \, ds = -\delta_{i,j} |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| \ for \ i, j = 1, 2, \ \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} x_1 (x^{\perp} \cdot n) \, ds = |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}, \ \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} x_2 (x^{\perp} \cdot n) \, ds = -|\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}.$$

• $d(P) = 1, \ j \in \{4, 5\}$:

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} x_1 \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds = |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}, \quad \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} x_2 \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds = -|\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon},$$
$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} x_1 \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds = -|\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}, \quad \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} x_2 \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds = -|\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}.$$

• $d(P) = 2, \ j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$:

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |x|^{2} n_{i} \, ds = -2x_{G,i}^{\varepsilon} |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| \, \text{for } i = 1, 2, \quad \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} |x|^{2} x^{\perp} \cdot n \, ds = 0,$$

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} x_{1} x_{2} n_{1} \, ds = -|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}, \quad \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} x_{1} x_{2} n_{2} \, ds = -|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}, \quad \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} x_{1} x_{2} x^{\perp} \cdot n \, ds = -m_{6}^{\varepsilon},$$

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (x_{1}^{2} - x_{2}^{2}) n_{1} \, ds = -2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}, \quad \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (x_{1}^{2} - x_{2}^{2}) n_{2} \, ds = 2|\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}, \quad \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} (x_{1}^{2} - x_{2}^{2}) x^{\perp} \cdot n \, ds = 2m_{7}^{\varepsilon}.$$

• $d(P) = 2, j \in \{4, 5\}$:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} |x|^2 \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds &= 2m_6^{\varepsilon}, \quad \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} |x|^2 \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds &= -2m_7^{\varepsilon}, \\ \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} x_1 x_2 \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds &= 0, \quad \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} x_1 x_2 \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds &= -m_8^{\varepsilon}, \\ \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} (x_1^2 - x_2^2) \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds &= 2m_8^{\varepsilon}, \quad \int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} (x_1^2 - x_2^2) \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds &= 0 \end{split}$$

Proof. These relations are straightforward consequences of the divergence theorem inside $\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}$ (recalling that n points outside the fluid), for instance

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} x_1 \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds = -\int_{\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{div} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 \\ x_1 x_2 \end{pmatrix} \, dx = \int_{\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} x_1 \, dx = |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}.$$

We finish this section with some other basic computations in the complex variable.

Lemma A.7. We have the following relations:

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \bar{z}^2 dz = 4|\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} + 4i|\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}, \tag{A.2}$$

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \bar{z} \, dz = 2i |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}|,\tag{A.3}$$

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |z|^2 dz = -2|\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon} + 2i|\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}, \tag{A.4}$$

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} z|z|^2 \, dz = -2m_7^{\varepsilon} + 2im_6^{\varepsilon}. \tag{A.5}$$

Proof. These as elementary consequences of Stokes' formula for the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$:

$$\int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} u \, dz = 2i \int_{S_0^{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \overline{z}} \, dx \, dy.$$

A.2 First coefficients of the Laurent series

In this subsection, we use these lemmas to compute the first coefficients of the Laurent series associated to $\nabla \Phi_i^{\hat{e}}$ for i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

We begin with an elementary lemma.

Lemma A.8. The functions $\widehat{\nabla \Phi_i^1}$ admit Laurent series at infinity and moreover satisfy for i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5:

$$\widehat{\nabla \Phi_i^1} = \mathcal{O}(1/z^2) \quad as \quad |z| \to +\infty.$$

Proof. That $\widehat{\nabla \Phi_i^1}$ admits Laurent series at infinity is elementary. First, it is divergence free and curl free (see (3.15)). Moreover, the regularity inequality for harmonic functions h:

$$||h||_{C^1(B(x,r))} \leq C ||h||_{C^0(B(x,2r))},$$

proves that $\widehat{\nabla \Phi_i^1}(z) \to 0$ as $|z| \to +\infty$. The first term of this Laurent series, that is, the term of order 1/z, is $(\int_{\partial S_0} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_i^1} dz)/(2i\pi z)$, so we can compute it with Lemma A.2. As $\nabla \Phi_i^1$ is a gradient, the circulation is zero, and Lemma A.6 (case d(P) = 0) proves that the flux is zero as well.

Remark A.9. One can prove in the same way, relying on (3.9), that the function $\widehat{H^1}$ admits Laurent series at infinity and moreover satisfies:

$$\widehat{H}^1(z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi z} + \mathcal{O}(1/z^2) \quad as \ z \to \infty.$$

The next coefficients in the Laurent series of $\widehat{\nabla \Phi_i^1}$ are given in the following lemma.

Lemma A.10. One has:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} z \widehat{\nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= -(m_{i,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| \delta_{i,2}) + i(m_{i,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| \delta_{i,1}), \quad for \ i = 1, 2, \\ &\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} z \widehat{\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= -(m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) + i(m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}), \\ &\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} z \widehat{\nabla \Phi_4^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= -(m_{4,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) + i(m_{4,1}^{\varepsilon} - |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}), \\ &\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} z \widehat{\nabla \Phi_5^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= -(m_{5,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) + i(m_{5,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}), \end{split}$$

where $m_{i,j}^{\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}} \nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \Phi_j^{\varepsilon} dx$ are the coefficients defined in (3.21).

Proof. We use Corollary A.3 with $f = \nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}$:

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} z \widehat{\nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}} \, dz = \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} (x_1 + ix_2) \partial_{\tau} \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \, ds - i \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} (x_1 + ix_2) \partial_n \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \, ds.$$

We integrate the first integral by parts:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} (x_1 + ix_2) \partial_{\tau} \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \, ds &= -\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \partial_{\tau} (x_1 + ix_2) \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \, ds = -\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} (\tau_1 + i\tau_2) \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \, ds = -\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} (n_2 - in_1) \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \, ds \\ &= -\int_{\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}} \nabla \Phi_2^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} + i \int_{\mathcal{F}_0^{\varepsilon}} \nabla \Phi_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} = -m_{i,2}^{\varepsilon} + im_{i,1}^{\varepsilon} \end{split}$$

The second integral can be computed thanks to the boundary condition in (3.15) (with (3.16) and (3.18)) and to Lemma A.6. Gathering the expressions, we reach the result.

Remark A.11. Using Remark A.4, we can reproduce the same proof as previously to establish that:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \bar{z} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= (-m_{i,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| \delta_{i,2}) + i(-m_{i,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| \delta_{i,1}), \quad for \ i = 1, 2, \\ &\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \bar{z} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= (-m_{3,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) - i(m_{3,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}), \\ &\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \bar{z} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_4^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= (-m_{4,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) - i(m_{4,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}), \\ &\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \bar{z} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_5^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= (-m_{5,2}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) + i(-m_{5,1}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}). \end{split}$$

A.3 Second order moments

In this subsection, we compute second order complex moments of $\widehat{\nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}}$. In particular we obtain the next terms in their Laurent series.

Lemma A.12. One has:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |z|^2 \widehat{\nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= -2m_{i,3}^{\varepsilon} + 2i |\mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}| x_{G,i}^{\varepsilon} \quad for \ i = 1, 2, \quad \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |z|^2 \widehat{\nabla \Phi_3^{\varepsilon}} \, dz = -2m_{3,3}^{\varepsilon}, \\ \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |z|^2 \widehat{\nabla \Phi_4^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= -2m_{4,3}^{\varepsilon} - 2m_6^{\varepsilon}i, \quad \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |z|^2 \widehat{\nabla \Phi_5^{\varepsilon}} \, dz = -2m_{5,3}^{\varepsilon} + 2m_7^{\varepsilon}i. \end{split}$$

Proof. Applying Lemma A.2 to $(|z|^2 \partial_1 \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}, |z|^2 \partial_2 \Phi_i^{\varepsilon})$ we have

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |z|^2 (\partial_1 \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} - i\partial_2 \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}) \, dz = \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |x|^2 \partial_\tau \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \, ds - i \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |x|^2 \partial_n \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \, ds.$$

We easily verify that

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} |x|^2 \partial_{\tau} \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \, ds = -\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} 2x \cdot \tau \, ds = -\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} 2(x^{\perp} \cdot n) \, ds = -2m_{i,3}^{\varepsilon}$$

The value of $\int_{\partial S_0^{\varepsilon}} |x|^2 \partial_n \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} ds$ is computed in Lemma A.6.

As for Corollary A.3, we deduce from Lemma A.2 the following result.

Lemma A.13. Let C be a smooth Jordan curve, $f := (f_1, f_2)$ a smooth vector fields on C:

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} z^2 \widehat{f} \, dz = \int_{\mathcal{C}} (x_1 + ix_2)^2 (f \cdot \tau) \, ds - i \int_{\mathcal{C}} (x_1 - ix_2)^2 (f \cdot n) \, ds.$$

Proof. We apply Lemma A.2 to the function

$$g = g_1 - ig_2 = z^2(f_1 - if_2) = [(x_1^2 - x_2^2)f_1 + 2x_1x_2f_2] - i[(x_1^2 - x_2^2)f_2 - 2x_1x_2f_1],$$

hence $g = (x_1^2 - x_2^2)f - 2x_1x_2f^{\perp}$. We get

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} z^2 \widehat{f} \, dz = \int_{\mathcal{C}} (x_1^2 - x_2^2) (f \cdot \tau) \, ds - \int_{\mathcal{C}} 2x_1 x_2 (f^{\perp} \cdot \tau) \, ds - i \int_{\mathcal{C}} (x_1^2 - x_2^2) (f \cdot n) \, ds + i \int_{\mathcal{C}} 2x_1 x_2 (f^{\perp} \cdot n) \, ds$$
$$= \int_{\mathcal{C}} (x_1^2 - x_2^2) (f \cdot \tau) \, ds + \int_{\mathcal{C}} 2x_1 x_2 (f \cdot n) \, ds - i \int_{\mathcal{C}} (x_1^2 - x_2^2) (f \cdot n) \, ds + i \int_{\mathcal{C}} 2x_1 x_2 (f \cdot \tau) \, ds.$$

Finally, we apply this lemma to compute $\int_{\partial S_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon}} z^2 \widehat{\nabla \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}} dz$:

Lemma A.14. One has:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} z^{2} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{1}^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= -2(m_{1,5}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}) + 2i(-m_{1,4}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}), \qquad \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} z^{2} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{4}^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= -2m_{4,5}^{\varepsilon} - 2i(m_{4,4}^{\varepsilon} + m_{8}^{\varepsilon}), \\ \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} z^{2} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{2}^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= -2(m_{2,5}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,1}^{\varepsilon}) - 2i(m_{2,4}^{\varepsilon} + |\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}|x_{G,2}^{\varepsilon}), \qquad \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} z^{2} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{5}^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= -2(m_{5,5}^{\varepsilon} + m_{8}^{\varepsilon}) - 2im_{5,4}^{\varepsilon}, \\ \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\varepsilon}} z^{2} \widehat{\nabla \Phi_{3}^{\varepsilon}} \, dz &= -2(m_{3,5}^{\varepsilon} + m_{6}^{\varepsilon}) - 2i(m_{3,4}^{\varepsilon} + m_{7}^{\varepsilon}). \end{split}$$

Proof. We use Lemma A.13. For the tangential part, we compute:

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} (x_1^2 - x_2^2) \partial_{\tau} \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \, ds = -2 \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ -x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tau \, ds = -2 \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds = -2m_{i,5}^{\varepsilon},$$
$$2 \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} x_1 x_2 \partial_{\tau} \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \, ds = -2 \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tau \, ds = -2 \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_0^{\varepsilon}} \Phi_i^{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot n \, ds = -2m_{i,4}^{\varepsilon}.$$

For the normal part, we use the boundary condition on $\partial_n \Phi_i^{\varepsilon}$ and Lemma A.6. Putting these computations in the relation of Lemma A.13 gives the result.

 \Box

Acknowledgements. The authors are partially supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche, Project DYFICOLTI, grant ANR-13-BS01-0003-01. C.L. and F.S. are partially supported by the project *Instabilities in Hydrodynamics* funded by the Paris city hall (program *Emergences*) and the *Fondation Sciences Mathématiques de Paris*.

References

- Bjorland C., The vortex-wave equation with a single vortex as the limit of the Euler equation. Comm. Math. Phys. 305 (2011), no. 1, 131–151.
- [2] Glass O., Lacave C. and Sueur F., On the motion of a small disk immersed in a two dimensional incompressible perfect fluid, to appear in *Bull SMF*. arXiv:1104.5404
- [3] Glass O., Munnier A. and Sueur F., Dynamics of a point vortex as limits of a shrinking solid in an irrotational fluid. Preprint 2014. arXiv:1402.5387
- [4] Glass O., Sueur F., On the motion of a rigid body in a two-dimensional irregular ideal flow. SIAM Journal Math. Analysis. Volume 44, Issue 5, 3101–3126, 2013.
- [5] Iftimie D., Lopes Filho M.C., Nussenzveig Lopes H.J., Two dimensional incompressible ideal flow around a small obstacle, Comm. Partial Diff. Eqns. 28 (2003), no. 1&2, 349–379.
- [6] Kikuchi K., Exterior problem for the two-dimensional Euler equation, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect 1A Math 30 (1983), no. 1, 63–92.
- [7] Lacave C., Two-dimensional incompressible ideal flow around a small curve, Comm. Partial Diff. Eqns. 37 (2012), no. 4., 690–731.
- [8] Lacave C., Miot E., Uniqueness for the vortex-wave system when the vorticity is constant near the point vortex. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 41 (2009), no. 3, 1138–1163.
- [9] Lions P.-L., Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 1, Incompressible models. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications 3, 1996.
- [10] Marchioro C., Pulvirenti M., Vortices and localization in Euler flows. Comm. Math. Phys. 154 (1993), no. 1, 49–61.
- [11] Marchioro C., Pulvirenti M., Mathematical theory of incompressible nonviscous fluids. Applied Mathematical Sciences 96, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [12] Silvestre A. L., Takahashi T., The motion of a fluid-rigid ball system at the zero limit of the rigid ball radius. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 211 (2014), no. 3, 991–1012.
- [13] Yudovich V. I., Non-stationary flows of an ideal incompressible fluid, Z. Vyčisl. Mat. i Mat. Fiz. 3 (1963), 1032–1066 (in Russian). English translation in USSR Comput. Math. & Math. Physics 3 (1963), 1407–1456.