## Test of isotropy for rough textures of trended images.

Frédéric J.P. Richard

Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, I2M, UMR 7373.

### Supplementary Material

This supplementary material contains proofs for Theorem 3.4 and some propositions stated in the main paper (Sections S1 and S2). It also includes a description of a method used to estimate the covariance of quadratic variations (Section S3).

## S1 Convergence study

## S1.1 A multivariate Breuer Major Theorem

The original Breuer-Major theorem was shown for stationary processes in Breuer and Major (1983), and extended to multivariate fields by Arcones (1994). Another formulation of the Breuer-Major Theorem is demonstrated by Biermé et al. (2011, Theorem 3.2) using the Malliavin calculus. We state a specific version of this theorem which is sufficient for the proof of Theorem 3.4.

**Theorem 1** (Breuer-Major theorem). Let  $d, l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and  $X_N = (X_N[k])_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  be centered Gaussian stationary fields with values in  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . Assume that there exist functions  $g_{a,b}^N$  in  $L^2([0, 2\pi]^d)$  (spectral densities) such that, for all  $a, b \in [1, l]$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,

$$Cov(X_a^N[k], X_b^N[0]) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[0,2\pi]^d} e^{i\langle w, k \rangle} g_{a,b}^N(w) dw.$$

Further assume that, for all  $a, b \in [1, l]$ ,  $g_{a,b}^N$  converges in  $L^2([0, 2\pi]^d)$  to a function  $g_{a,b}$  as N tends to  $+\infty$ . Define

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^2, \ r_{a,b}[k] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[0,2\pi]^d} e^{i\langle w,k\rangle} g_{a,a}(w) dw,$$

and assume that  $r_{a,b}[0] = 1$ . Then,

$$\frac{1}{N^{d/2}} \sum_{k \in [1,N]^d} ((X^N[k])^2 - \mathbf{1}) \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{d} \mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma),$$

where 1 is the unit vector of size l and  $\Gamma$  is a  $l \times l$ -matrix having terms

$$\Gamma_{a,b} = 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (r_{a,b}[k])^2 = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[0,2\pi]^d} |g_{a,b}(w)|^2 dw.$$

#### S1.2 Proof of Theorem 3.4

In a first part, we prove the asymptotic normality of the random vector

$$U^{N} = \left(\frac{W_{a}^{N}}{\mathbb{E}(W_{a}^{N})}\right)_{a \in \mathcal{F}} \tag{S1.1}$$

defined with quadratic variations  $W_a^N$  of Equation (23) (main paper). Then, we deduce the asymptotic normality (26) (main paper) of  $Y^N = (Y_a^N)_{a \in \mathcal{F}}$ . In a second part, we further specify terms of this convergence.

**Part 1.** For establishing the asymptotic normality of  $U^N$ , we use a multivariate version of the Breuer-Major theorem recalled above. For that, let us first notice that

$$N^{\frac{d}{2}}(U_a^N - 1) = \frac{N^{\frac{d}{2}}}{N_e} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{E}_N} \left( (X_a^N[k])^2 - 1 \right) \underset{N \to +\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{d}{2}}} \sum_{k \in [\![1,N]\!]^d} \left( (X_a^N[k])^2 - 1 \right),$$

with  $X_a^N[m] = V_a^N[m]/\sqrt{\mathbb{E}((V_a^N[m])^2)}$ . So, if the Breuer-Major theorem could be applied to the vector-valued random field  $X^N = ((X_a^N[m])_{a \in \mathcal{F}}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$ , it would follow that

$$N^{\frac{d}{2}}(U^N - \mathbf{1}) \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma),$$
 (S1.2)

where **1** is the unit vector of the same size as  $U^N$ , and  $\Sigma$  is a covariance matrix. But, using Proposition 3.3 (main paper), the spectral density of  $X^N$  can be specified as

$$g_{a,b}^{N}(w) = \frac{f_{a,b}^{N}(w)}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}((V_{a}^{N}[m])^{2})}\sqrt{\mathbb{E}((V_{b}^{N}[m])^{2})}},$$

where  $\mathbb{E}((V_a^N[m])^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[0,2\pi]^d} f_{a,a}^N(w) dw$ . Thus, it suffices to show the convergence in  $L^2([0,2\pi]^d)$  of  $g_{a,b}^N$ . This convergence results from the next lemma whose proof is postponed at the end of the section.

**Lemma 1.** Take the same conditions as in Theorem 3.4 (main paper). Consider the multivariate spectral density  $f_{a,b}^N$  of  $V^N$  given by Equation (22) of Proposition 3.3 (main paper). Then, for any  $a,b \in \mathcal{F}$ , as N tends to  $+\infty$ ,  $N^{2H}f_{a,b}^N$  converges in  $L^2([0,2\pi]^d)$  to the function  $f_{a,b}$  defined by Equation (28) (main paper).

Due to Lemma 1,  $N^{2H}f_{a,b}^N$  tends to  $f_{a,b}$  in  $L^2([0,2\pi]^d)$  and, a fortiori in  $L^1([0,2\pi]^d)$ . Hence, for  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $N^{2H}\mathbb{E}((V_a^N[m])^2)$  converges to

$$C_a = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[0,2\pi]^d} f_{a,a}(w) dw.$$
 (S1.3)

Therefore,  $g_{a,b}^N$  tends in  $L^2([0,2\pi]^d)$  to  $g_{a,b} = f_{a,b}/\sqrt{C_aC_b}$ .

Consequently, the Breuer-Major theorem yields the asymptotic normality (26) (main paper) for a covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  whose terms are defined by Equation (27) (main paper).

Now, let G be the differentiable function mapping  $(\mathbb{R}^+_*)^{n_f}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{n_f}$  defined by  $G(y)_a = \log(y_a)$  for  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $N^{d/2}(U^N - 1) \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ , we have

$$N^{d/2}(G(U^N) - G(\mathbf{1})) \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{d} \nabla G(\mathbf{1})' \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma).$$

using the multivariate  $\Delta$ -method. But, for  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$G(U^N)_a - G(\mathbf{1})_a = Y_a^N + \log(N^{2H}) - \log(C_a) + R_a^N,$$

where  $R_a^N = \log(C_a) - \log(N^{2H}\mathbb{E}(W_a^N))$  and  $C_a$  is defined by Equation (S1.3). Moreover, due to Lemma 1,  $\lim_{N \to +\infty} R_a^N = 0$ . Hence, the asymptotic normality (26) (main paper) follows for  $\zeta^N = (\log(C_a) - \log(N^{2H}))_{a \in \mathcal{F}}$  and  $\Sigma$  defined by Equation (27) (main paper).

#### Part 2. Let us notice that

$$C_a = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[0,2\pi]^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{v}(T_a'(w+z))|^2 \delta\left(\frac{(w+z)}{|w+z|}\right) |w+z|^{-2H-d} d\Delta(z) dw,$$

where  $d\Delta(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \delta_{2k\pi}(z)$  is a counting measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . But,  $C_a < +\infty$ . Hence, by application of the Lebesgue-Fubini theorem, we obtain

$$C_a = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{[0,2\pi]^d} |\hat{v}(T_a'(w+2k\pi))|^2 \delta\left(\frac{w+2k\pi}{|w+2k\pi|}\right) |w+2k\pi|^{-2H-d} dw.$$

After a variable change  $\zeta = w + 2k\pi$  in each integral, we further get

$$C_a = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{v}(T_a'\zeta)|^2 \delta\left(\frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|}\right) |\zeta|^{-2H-d} d\zeta.$$

Next, using the variable change  $w = |u_a|\zeta$ , we have  $C_a = |u_a|^{2H} C_H(\arg(u_a), v)$ , where  $\arg(u_a)$  is the angle of the rotation  $\frac{T_a'}{|u_a|}$  and  $C_H(\arg(u_a), v)$  is defined by Equation (31) (main paper). Then, the expression of  $\zeta$  given by Equations (29) and (30) of the main paper follows.

Furthermore, let us notice that, when the texture of Z is isotropic, the function  $\delta \equiv \tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}_*^+$ . Hence, in this case, we obtain

$$C_H(\arg(u_a), v) = \frac{\tau_0}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{v}(w)|^2 |w|^{-2H-d} d\zeta.$$

by applying the variable change  $w = \frac{T'_a}{|u_a|} \zeta$ . Therefore, in this case,  $C_H(\arg(u_a), v)$  only depends on v.

#### **Proof of Lemma 1.** Let us define

$$G^{N}(w) = N^{2H+d} f(Nw) - \delta\left(\frac{w}{|w|}\right) |w|^{-2H-d},$$

and, for  $L \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , consider  $S_L^N(w) = \sum_{0 < |k| \le L} G^N(w + 2k\pi)$ . Since f satisfies Condition (3) (main paper), we have

$$f(N(w+2k\pi)) \le C_N |k|^{-2H-d},$$

for  $k \neq 0$ ,  $w \in [0, 2\pi]^d$ , and N sufficiently large. Hence,  $\sum_{0 < |k|} f(N(w + 2k\pi))$  is normally convergent, and, as L tends to  $+\infty$ ,  $S_L^N$  tends uniformly to

$$S^{N} = N^{2H+d} \sum_{0 < |k|} f(N(w+2k\pi)) - \sum_{0 < |k|} \delta\left(\frac{w+2k\pi}{|w+2k\pi|}\right) |w+2k\pi|^{-2H-d}.$$

Let us now consider

$$U^{N} = \int_{[0,2\pi]^{d}} |\hat{v}(T'_{a}w)\hat{v}(T'_{b}w)|^{2} \left(S^{N}(w) + G^{N}(w)\right)^{2} dw,$$

and show that  $U^N$  tends to 0 as N tends to  $+\infty$ .

First, let us quote that, for all  $w \in [0, 2\pi]^d$ ,  $S^N(w)$  is bounded by

$$I^{N} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus B(0,A)} |G^{N}(w)| dw = \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{A}^{+\infty} |G^{N}(\rho s)| \rho^{d-1} d\rho ds,$$

where B(0,A) denotes a ball of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  centered at 0 of radius  $0 < A \leq 2\pi$ . Further notice that

$$|G^{N}(\rho s)| \le G_1^{N}(\rho s) + G_2^{N}(\rho s),$$
 (S1.4)

where 
$$G_1^N(\rho s) = N^{2H+d} \left| f(N\rho s) - \tau(s)(N\rho)^{-2\beta(s)-d} \right|,$$
 (S1.5)

and 
$$G_2^N(\rho s) = \left| N^{2(H-\beta(s))} \tau(s) \rho^{-2\beta(s)-d} - \delta(s) \rho^{-2H-d} \right|.$$
 (S1.6)

Hence,  $I^{N} \leq I_{1}^{N} + I_{2}^{N}$  with  $I_{j}^{N} = \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{A}^{+\infty} G_{j}^{N}(\rho s) \rho^{d-1} d\rho ds$ .

Since f satisfies Condition (3) (main paper) and  $\tau$  is bounded, we have

$$I_1^N < c_1 N^{-\gamma} A^{-2H-\gamma},$$

for some  $c_1 > 0$ , and large N. So,  $\lim_{N \to +\infty} I_1^N = 0$ . Besides, for  $\eta > 0$ , let us define sets

$$E_{\eta} = \{ s \in S^{d-1}, H < \beta(s) < H + \eta \} \text{ and } F_{\eta} = \{ s \in S^{d-1}, \beta(s) \ge H + \eta \}.$$
 (S1.7)

When  $s \in E_{\eta} \cup F_{\eta}$ ,  $\delta(s) = 0$ , so that  $G_2^N(\rho s) \leq cN^{2(H-\beta(s))}\rho^{-2\beta(s)-d}$ . When  $s \in E_0$ ,  $\tau(s) = \delta(s)$ and  $\beta(s) = H$ , so that  $G_2^N(\rho s) = 0$ . Hence,

$$I_2^N \le c_2 \int_{E_\eta \cup F_\eta} N^{2(H-\beta(s))} A^{-2\beta(s)} ds.$$

Thus,  $I_2^N \leq \tilde{c}_2(\mu(E_\eta) + N^{-2\eta})$ , where  $\mu(E_\eta)$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $E_\eta$  over the sphere  $S^{d-1}$ . Let us show that  $\lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \mu(E_{\eta}) = 0$ . Assume it is not the case. Then, there exists  $c_0 > 0$ and a decreasing sequence  $(\eta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\eta_n=0$  and  $\mu(E_{\eta_n})>c_0$ . Since  $E_\eta\subset E'_\eta$ when  $\eta < \eta'$ , the sequence  $\mu(E_{\eta})$  decreases, and admits a positive limit as  $\eta$  decreases to 0. This implies that  $\mu(\bigcap_{\eta < \eta_0} E_{\eta}) > 0$ . So, take  $s \in \bigcap_{\eta < \eta_0} E_{\eta}$ . It satisfies  $H < \beta(s) < H + \eta$  for all  $\eta > 0$ . This yields  $\beta(s) = H$ , which is contradictory.

Now, for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , let us set  $\eta_N = \log(N)^{-\alpha}/2$ . We then obtain

$$I_2^N \le \tilde{c}_2(\mu(E_{\log(N)^{-\alpha}}) + e^{-\log(N)^{1-\alpha}}),$$
 (S1.8)

and  $\lim_{N\to+\infty}I_2^N=0$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{N\to+\infty}I^N=0$ . Thus, on  $[0,2\pi]^d$ ,  $S^N$  converges uniformly to 0, as N tends to 0.

Consequently, the integral  $U^N$  is bounded by  $c_1(\sup_{w\in[0,2\pi]^d}|S^N(w)|)^2+c_2J^N$ , where

$$J^{N} = \int_{[0,2\pi]^{d}} |\hat{v}(T'_{a}w)\hat{v}(T'_{b}w)|^{2} ((G^{N}(w))^{2} + G^{N}(w))dw.$$

Let us then decompose  $J^N$  into a sum of two integrals  $J_1^N = \int_{B(0,A)} \cdots dw$  and  $J_2^N = \int_{[0,2\pi]^d \setminus B(0,A)} \cdots dw$ , and study separately these integrals.

Notice that  $\hat{v}(y) = Q_v(e^{iy_1}, \dots, e^{iy_d})$  where  $Q_v$  is the characteristic polynomial of v. Hence, using Proposition 3.2 and Taylor expansions of  $Q_v$  in the neighborhood of 0, we obtain  $|\hat{v}(y)|^2 \leq C|y|^{2K+2}$  for some C > 0. Therefore,  $J_1^N$  is bounded by

$$c_3 N^{4(H-K-1)+d} \int_{B(0,\frac{A}{N})} |w|^{4(K+1)} (f^2(w) + f(w)|w|^{-2H-d} + |w|^{-4H-2d}) dw + c_4 N^{2(H-2K-2)} \int_{B(0,\frac{A}{N})} |w|^{4(K+1)} (f(w) + |w|^{-2H-d}) dw,$$

for some  $c_3, c_4 > 0$ . In this upper bound, integrals of the form  $\int_{B(0,\epsilon)} |w|^u f(w) dw$  are finite for both u = 4(K+1) - 2H - d and u = 4(K+1), since Z is a M-IRF and  $K \geq M/2 + d/4$ . Moreover,  $\sup_{w \in B(0,\epsilon)} |w|^{2K+3} f(w) \leq c < +\infty$ , since Z is a M-IRF and  $K_a \geq M$ . Therefore,

$$\int_{B(0,\epsilon)} |w|^{4(K+1)} f^2(w) dw \le c \int_{B(0,\epsilon)} |w|^{2K+1} f(w) dw < +\infty,$$

since  $K \ge M+1$ . Besides, integrals of the form  $\int_{B(0,\epsilon)} |w|^u dw$  are finite for u = 4(K+1) - 2H - d and u = 4(K+1) - 4H - 2d, since  $K \ge d/4$ . Consequently,

$$J_1^N < \tilde{c}_3 N^{4(H-K-1)+d} + \tilde{c}_4 N^{2(H-2K-2)}$$

and  $\lim_{N\to+\infty} J_1^N = 0$ , since  $K \ge d/4$ .

Besides, using the bound (S1.4), we obtain

$$J_2^N \le \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_A^{+\infty} (G_1^N(\rho s) + G_2^N(\rho s))^2 \rho^{d-1} d\rho ds.$$

Then, using previous bounds on  $G_1^N$  and  $G_2^N$ , we get

$$J_2^N \le c_5 \left( N^{-2\gamma} + N^{-2\eta} + \mu(E_\eta) \right) \Gamma_H,$$

with  $c_5 > 0$  and  $\Gamma_H = \int_A^{+\infty} \rho^{-4H-d-1} d\rho < +\infty$ . Setting again  $\eta_N = \log(N)^{-\alpha}/2$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we obtain  $\lim_{N \to +\infty} J_2^N = 0$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{N \to +\infty} J^N = 0$ .

Consequently,  $\lim_{N\to+\infty} U^N=0$ . This implies the convergence of  $N^{2H}f_{a,b}^N$  to  $f_{a,b}$  in  $L^2([0,2\pi]^d)$ .

# S2 Proofs of Propositions

Proof of Proposition 3.1. By definition, the kernel v leads to K-order increments of Z if and only if  $\sum_{k \in [0,L]^d} v[k](m-k)^l = 0, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \forall l \in [0,K]^d, |l| \leq K$ , which is also equivalent to

$$\sum_{k \in L} v[k]k^l = 0, \forall \ l \in \llbracket 0, K \rrbracket^d, |l| \le K.$$

Besides, we have

$$\frac{\partial^{|l|} Q_v}{\partial z^l}(z) = \sum_{k_1 = l_1}^{L_1} \cdots \sum_{k_d = l_d}^{L_d} v[k] \prod_{j_1 = 0}^{l_1 - 1} (k_1 - j_1) \cdots \prod_{j_d = 0}^{l_d - 1} (k_d - j_d) z^{k-l},$$

using the convention that  $\prod_{j_i=0}^{l_i-1} (k_i - j_i) = 1$  if  $l_i = 0$ . From that, we deduce the recurrence equations

$$\frac{\partial^{|l|}\mathcal{Q}_v}{\partial z^l}(z) = \sum_{k \in [\![0,L]\!]^d} v[k] k^l z^{k-l} - \sum_{j \in [\![1,d]\!], l_j \geq 1} \frac{l_j-1}{z_j} \frac{\partial^{|l|-1}\mathcal{Q}_v}{\partial z^{l-e_j}}(z),$$

where  $e_j$  is the jth vector of the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In particular,

$$\forall j, l, \ \frac{\partial^{|l|} \mathcal{Q}_v}{\partial z^l} (1, \cdots, 1) + \sum_{j \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket, l_j \ge 1} (l_j - 1) \frac{\partial^{|l| - 1} \mathcal{Q}_v}{\partial z^{l - e_j}} (1, \cdots, 1) = \sum_{k \in \llbracket 0, L \rrbracket^d} v[k] k^l.$$

We conclude the proof by recurrence on the order of the partial derivatives of Q.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Let P be a polynomial of degree  $l \leq K$ . We notice

$$\sum_{k} v[k] P\left(\frac{m - T_u k}{N}\right) = \sum_{k} v[k] P \circ T_u\left(\frac{m' - k}{N}\right), \text{ with } m' = T_u^{-1} m.$$

But, any rescaling or rotation  $P \circ T_u$  of a polynomial P remains a polynomial of the same degree. Hence,  $\sum_k v[k] P\left(\frac{m-T_u k}{N}\right) = 0$  for all P of degree  $l \leq K$  if and only if  $\sum_k v[k] P\left(\frac{m'-k}{N}\right) = 0$  for all P of degree  $l \leq K$ . According to Proposition 3.1, this only holds if an and only if Condition (17) (main paper) is satisfied.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Since, for  $a \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $V_a^N[m]$  is an increment of Z of order  $\geq M$ , it has zero mean. Moreover, for any  $a, b \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , Theorem 2.3 yields

$$\mathbb{E}(V_a^N[m]V_b^N[n]) = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} v[k]v_b[l]K_Z\left(\frac{m - T_ak}{N} - \frac{n - T_bl}{N}\right) < +\infty,$$

where  $K_Z$  is a generalized covariance of the form (8). But, for any even polynomial P of degree 2M, we can write  $P(x-y) = \sum_{|l|=0}^{M} q_l(y)x^l + \sum_{|l|=0}^{M} q_l(x)y^l$  where  $q_l$  are polynomials of degree up to 2M. Hence, since  $V_a^N[m]$  and  $V_b^N[n]$  are increments of order  $K \geq M$ ,

$$\sum_{k,l\in\mathbb{Z}^d} v[k]v[l]P\left(\frac{m-T_ak}{N} - \frac{n-T_bl}{N}\right) = 0,$$

for any even polynomial P of degree 2M, including  $P_M$  and Q of Equation (8). Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}(V_a^N[m]V_b^N[n]) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} v[k]v[l] \cos\left(\left\langle \frac{m - T_a k}{N} - \frac{n - T_b l}{N}, w\right\rangle\right) f(w) dw,$$

and, since f is even,

$$\mathbb{E}(V_a^N[m]V_b^N[n]) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{\substack{l: l \in \mathbb{Z}^d}} v[k] v[l] e^{i\langle \frac{m-n}{N} - \frac{T_a k}{N} + \frac{T_b l}{N}, w \rangle} f(w) dw.$$

From this, we deduce

$$\mathbb{E}(V_a^N[m]V_b^N[n]) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{v}\left(\frac{T_a'w}{N}\right) \overline{\hat{v}}_b\left(\frac{T_b'w}{N}\right) e^{i\langle\frac{m-n}{N},w\rangle} f(w) dw.$$

Since this expression exclusively depends on m-n, and not on m and n,  $V^N$  is stationary. Using a variable change  $\zeta = w/N$ , and a decomposition of the integral domain, we further obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(V_a^N[m]V_b^N[n]) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{[0,2\pi]^d + 2k\pi} \hat{v}(T_a'\zeta) \overline{\hat{v}}(T_b'\zeta) e^{i2\pi\langle m-n,\zeta\rangle} f(N\zeta) N^d d\zeta,$$

After a variable change  $\zeta = w + 2k\pi$  in each integral, we then get

$$\mathbb{E}(V_a^N[m]V_b^N[n]) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{[0,2\pi]^d} g_{a,b}^N(w,z) dw d\Delta(z),$$

where  $d\Delta(u) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \delta_{2k\pi}(u)$  is a counting measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $g_{a,b}^N(w,z) = N^d \hat{v}(T_a'w) \overline{\hat{v}}(T_b'w) e^{i\langle m-n,w\rangle} f(N(w+z))$ . Since  $\mathbb{E}(V_a^N[m]V_b^N[n]) < +\infty$ , the Lebesgue Fubini theorem implies that  $f_{a,b}^N(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{a,b}^N(w,z) d\Delta(z)$  is almost everywhere defined, and that  $\int_{[0,2\pi]^d} f_{a,b}^N(w) dw < +\infty$ .

## S3 Covariance estimation

In this section, we construct an estimate of the covariance matrix  $\Sigma^N$  of log-variations  $Y^N$  involved in the linear model (29) (main paper). According to the proof in Section S1, the random vector  $Y^N$  has the same asymptotical covariance  $\Sigma$  as the random vector  $U^N$  defined by Equation (S1.1). Hence, we approximate  $\Sigma^N$  by an estimate of the covariance matrix of  $U^N$ . We have

$$\mathbb{E}(U_a^N U_b^N) = \frac{1}{N_e^2 \mathbb{E}(W_a^N) \mathbb{E}(W_b^N)} \sum_{p,q \in \mathcal{E}_N} \mathbb{E}((V_a^N[p])^2 (V_a^N[q])^2).$$

But  $(V_a^N[p], V_a^N[q])$  are centered Gaussian vectors. Thus,  $E((V_a^N[p])^2(V_a^N[q])^2) = 2(E(V_a^N[p]V_a^N[q]))^2$ . Moreover,

$$E(V_a^N[p]V_a^N[q]) = \sum_{i,j} v[k]v[l]K_Z\left(\frac{p - T_ak - q + T_bl}{N}\right),$$

where  $K_Z$  is the generalized covariance of the IRF Z. Let  $\tilde{H}$  be an estimate of the Hölder irregularity of Z (e.g. an OLS estimate of H in the linear model (29) (main paper). Approximating

the generalized covariance  $K_Z$  by the one of a fractional Brownian field of order  $\tilde{H}$ , it follows that

$$E(V_a^N[p]V_a^N[q]) \simeq C_{\tilde{H}} \sum_{k,l} v[k]v[l]|p - T_ak - q + T_bl|^{2\tilde{H}}.$$

Using the same approximation, we also have

$$\mathbb{E}(W_a^N) = \mathbb{E}((V_a^N[0])^2) \simeq \sum_{k,l} v[k]v[l]|T_a(l-k)|^{2\tilde{H}}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\Sigma_{a,b}^N \simeq \sum_{\delta \in \Delta \mathcal{E}_N} \frac{N_\delta \left( \sum_{k,l} v[k] v[l] |\delta - T_a k + T_b l|^{2\tilde{H}} \right)^2}{N_e^2 \left( \sum_{k,l} v[k] v[l] |T_a (l-k)|^{2\tilde{H}} \right) \left( \sum_{k,l} v[k] v[l] |T_b (l-k)|^{2\tilde{H}} \right)},$$

where  $\Delta \mathcal{E}_N = \{ \delta = p - q, p, q \in \mathcal{E}_N \}$  and  $N_\delta$  is the number of couples  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{E}_N^2$  for which  $\delta = p - q$ .

## References

- M.A. Arcones (1994). Limit theorems for nonlinear functionals of a stationary Gaussian sequence of vectors. Ann. Probab., 22(4):2242–2274.
- H. Biermé, A. Bonami, and J. R. León (2011). Central limit theorems and quadratic variations in terms of spectral density. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 16(13):362–395.
- P. Breuer and P. Major (1983). Central limit theorems for non-linear functionals of Gaussian fields. J. Multivariate Anal., 13:425–441.