



HAL
open science

Blind Browsing on Hand-Held Devices: Touching the Web... to Understand it Better

Waseem Safi, Fabrice Maurel, Jean-Marc Routoure, Pierre Beust, Gaël Dias

► **To cite this version:**

Waseem Safi, Fabrice Maurel, Jean-Marc Routoure, Pierre Beust, Gaël Dias. Blind Browsing on Hand-Held Devices: Touching the Web... to Understand it Better. Data Visualization Workshop (DataWiz 2014) associated to 25th ACM Conference on Hypertext and Social Media (HYPERTEXT 2014), Sep 2014, Santiago, Chile. hal-01075380

HAL Id: hal-01075380

<https://hal.science/hal-01075380>

Submitted on 17 Oct 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Blind Browsing on Hand-Held Devices: Touching the Web... to Understand it Better

Waseem Safi¹ Fabrice Maurel¹ Jean-Marc Routoure^{1,2} Pierre Beust¹ Gaël Dias¹

¹ University of Caen Basse-Normandie – UNICAEN

² National Superior Engineering School of Caen - ENSICAEN
14032 Caen- France - +33(0)231567336 +33 (0)231452722

{waseem.safi, fabrice.maurel, jean-marc.routoure, pierre.beust, gael.dias}@unicaen.fr

ABSTRACT

Navigating the Web is one of important missions in the field of computer accessibility. Many specialized techniques for VIP (Visually Impaired People) succeeded to extract the information displayed on digital screens and succeeded to transform this information in a linear way either into a written format on special Braille devices, or into a vocal output using text to speech synthesizers. However, although this success, screen readers failed to transform the 2-dimensional structure of the navigated web page; despite many researches confirm that perception the structure enhances web navigation and memorization. In this paper, we propose a new technique aimed to enhance the VIP ability to navigate the Web by affording a “first glance” web page overview. This technique focuses on improving non-visual vibro-tactile access to web pages on touch-screen devices, based on extraction and re-organization the structure of texts and graphical elements for web pages, reformatting and converting automatically these visual structures and textual information into vibrating pages using a graphical vibro-tactile language.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

[H.5.2] [User Interfaces] Graphical user interfaces (GUI), Haptic I/O, User-centered design, User interface management systems.

General Terms

Algorithms, Design, Human Factors, Languages.

Keywords

Visually impaired people, non-visual access, graphical vibro-tactile language, visual structures, textual information.

1. INTRODUCTION

In October 2013, the world health organization estimated that the number of VIP in the world is 285 million, 39 million of them are blind, and 246 million of them have low vision. The organization defined four levels of visual functions depending on the international classification of diseases, which are: normal vision, moderate visual impairment, severe visual impairment, and blindness*.

VIP depend on screen readers in order to deal with computer operating systems and computational programs. One of most important and desired targets by VIP is navigating the Web, considering the increased importance and expansion of web-based computational programs. Screen readers present some solutions to navigate the Web, either by transforming a web page into a written Braille, or into a vocal output. Some screen readers installed on touch devices transform a web page into a vocal-tactile output. But there are some drawbacks for these proposed solutions: on the one hand, the Braille techniques are costly, and only few number of VIP have learned Braille (in France, there are about 77 000 visually impaired people and only 15 000 of them have learned Braille -from statistics published in September 2011-) **. On the other hand, transforming the information of a web page into a vocal format might not be suitable in public and noisy environments. Finally most of Braille solutions are not suitable for mobile devices [1]. In addition to these drawbacks, the most important one is the failure to transform the 2-D web page structure, because as reported by many authors, perception the 2D structure greatly improves navigation efficiency and memorization the information because it allows high level reading strategies (rapid or cursory reading, finding or locating information,...) [2]. Our work focuses on developing and evaluating a sensory substitution system based on vibro-tactile solution which may solve the mentioned drawbacks; where we study how to increase the VIP perception of a 2-D web page structure, and how to enhance their techniques to navigate the Web on touch-screen devices. This suggested solution is very cheap comparing with prices of Braille devices, and also it could be more efficient in noisy and public environments comparing with vocal-tactile solutions. Our contribution is three-fold:

- Designing a Tactile Vision Sensory System (TVSS) represented by an electronic circuit and an android program in order to transform light contrasts of touch-screen devices into low-frequencies tactile vibrations,
- Running a series of experiments with blind persons in order to validate our hypotheses, and
- Analyzing many navigation models and tactics of blind persons.

The paper is organized as following: firstly, in section 2 we view the state of the art for VIP targeted technologies, and then we describe theoretical and methodological approaches for the new proposed technique, these approaches will be presented in section 3. The first pre-tests achieved with blind persons will then be described in section number 4, and analysis of results will be presented in the fifth section. Finally, in the last section the conclusion and perspectives will be proposed.

* <http://www.who.int>

**<http://www.opc.asso.fr/>

2. STATE OF THE ART FOR VIP TECHNOLOGIES

Current products for VIP such as screen readers depend mainly on speech synthesis or Braille solutions, such as ChromeVox [13], Windows-Eyes [14], and Jaws (Job Access With Speech) [15]. Braille displays are complex and expensive electromechanical devices that connect to a computer and display Braille characters. Speech synthesis engines convert texts into artificial speech, where the text is analyzed and transformed into phonemes; these phonemes are then processed using signal processing techniques.

Some screen readers can support a tactile feedback when working on touch devices, such as Mobile Accessibility [16], Talkback [17] for Android, and VoiceOver [18] for iPad. Many of these products propose shortcuts for the blind user to display a menu of HTML elements existed in the web page, for example headers, links, and images. But, the main drawback of all these products is that they transfer the web page information into a linear way, and without any indication for the global web page structure (2D layouts).

Many researches tried to enhance the way by which VIP interact with web pages, such as [9], that proposed a tactile web navigator to enable blind people to access the Internet. This navigator extracts texts from web pages, and sends these texts to a microcontroller responsible of displaying the text in Braille language using an array of solenoids.

A tactile web browser for hypertext documents has been proposed by [14]. This browser renders texts and graphics for VIP on a tactile graphics display, and it supports a voice output to read textual paragraphs and to provide a vocal feedback. The authors implemented two exploration modes, one for bitmap graphics, and another one for Scalable Vector Graphics. Main drawback of this proposed system is that it needs a pin matrix device, which is expensive and cannot be integrated with handled devices.

Another interesting model called MAP-RDF ("Model of Architecture of web Pages") [10] proposed a method to improve the accessibility to visual information for blind persons. This model allows representing the structure of a web page, and provides the blind users with an overview of the web page layout and the document structure semantics. The main drawback of this model is that it could be applied only on well structured web pages which contain meta-data, so it could not be applied to most web pages which rarely contain meta-data. This model transforms the HTML elements to graphical symbols as illustrated in figure 1.







Figure 1. Representation of a web page by MAP-RDF model, figure extracted from [10].

In figure 1, we notice many symbols; each one represents an HTML element. For example, the symbol  represents a menu of items. And the symbols  and  represent texts with cold and hot colors.

Tactos is a perceptual interaction system suggested by [11], it consists of three elements: 1- tactile simulators (two Braille cells with 8 pins) represent a tactile feedback system, 2- a graphics tablet with a stylus (represents an input device), 3- computer [12], as shown in figure 2.




Figure 2. Different devices used in Tactos (Computer, graphics tablet, tactile simulators), figure extracted from [12].

The graphics tablet and the stylus allow the user to explore graphical contents on the screen such as circles, rectangles, and characters. While the user explores the contents, the system transforms pixels under the stylus into tactile stimulation on the Braille cells.

30 prototypes of Tactos have been released, to be used by a lot of users in many domains. Tactos has been successfully used to recognize simple and complex shapes. The device has been also used in geometry teaching domain in an institution for visually impaired and blind children. Tactos also allowed psychology researchers to propose and develop new paradigms for studying perceptions and mediated communication of blind persons [12].

3. PROPOSED TECHNIQUE

First glance could be defined as the ability -in a blink of an eye- to understand the document layout and its structural semantics [1]. We aim of our work to increase the ability of visually impaired persons to understand the web page 2-dimensional layout in order to enhance their tactics to navigate the Web. A commercial tablet connected to a vibro tactile set-up is used for that.

The first phase in our model is to extract visual structures in the navigated web page, and to convert these visual blocks to zones (segments) for facilitating the navigation in later phases. We achieve this phase depending on a hybrid segmentation method. Then the system will represent on the tablet screen the extracted visual elements as symbols using a graphical language (this language is under-development). The third phase is to browse these graphical symbols depending on size of the used touched-screen device, and then in the fourth phase, our system provides a vibro-tactile feedback when the blind user touches the tablet. The intensity and the frequency of the vibration depend mainly on gray level under the finger. A tablet (Asus Model TF101 with Android operating system) has being used for our tests.

In this paper, we focus only on the fourth phase which specializes in giving the user a vibro-tactile feedback by transforming light

contrasts of touch-screen devices into low-frequencies tactile vibrations.

To achieve the desired system, we have designed an electronic circuit which controls two micro-vibrators placed on the hands. A Bluetooth connection with an android tablet allows controlling the vibration intensity (Amplitude) of vibrators. An Android dedicated program on the tablet displays an image on the screen and detects where the user touches the tablet screen. The gray level of touched points is transmitted to the embedded device in order to control the vibration intensity. At the moment, only one micro-vibrator was used for pre-tests described in this paper.

Figure 3 illustrates the designed electronic circuit, and the used vibrator.




Figure 3. (a) The used micro-vibrator



Figure 3. (b) The embedded system

Figure 3. (a) The used micro-vibrator: the range of vibration frequency goes from 20 Hz up to 260 Hz. (b). The embedded system designed in the lab with the Bluetooth module.

4. PRE-TESTS PROTOCOL

4.1 Objectives of Pre-tests

Our objective of the designed protocol is enhancing the ability of VIP to recognize the 2-D structure of a web page. In order to test the prototype mentioned in section 3, we designed some images contain different structures (detailed in section 4.2), and we tested the prototype firstly on 15 sighted persons (their eyes were closed) [13], and later on 5 blind persons. Testing the protocol on sighted and blind persons gave us a more understanding of tactics and strategies achieved by sighted and blind persons to navigate the designed structures. This will be useful in designing the desired graphical vibro-tactile language (all results are detailed in next sections).

4.2 Designed Protocol for Vibro-Tactile Access

Each experiment (either for sighted or blind persons) consists of 4 ordered phases of training (learning task), and four ordered phases of evaluation (evaluation task). All the experiments were filmed, and the designed program stocked many parameters in log files (coordinates X, Y, pressure on the screen, and the time at each

touch). Figure 4 presents the 4 images of training phases, and figure 5 presents the 4 images of evaluation phases.



Figure 4 (a). Image a (Training task).




Figure 4 (b). Images b (NT2), c (NT3), d (NTG) (Training task)

Figure 4. Images of training task.




Figure 5 (a). Image a (Evaluation task).



Figure 5 (b). Images b (IDP1), c (IDP2), d (IDP3). (Evaluation Task).

Figure 5. Images of evaluation tasks.

In the training task, each user discovered firstly graphical elements in each image presented in figure 4 (images a, b (NT2), c (NT3), d (NTG)), and users were informed about names of graphical elements. The name of each image NT2, NT3, NTG, indicates how many transitions are necessary to access the square center, for example NT2 proposes 2 transitions to access the center of the square.

The evaluation task consists also of 4 phases, the first one allows to discover the image 5.a and to name each square inside it, then next phases are about images 5.b, 5.c, and 5.d, where we asked users to discover contents of each image, then to describe these contents, and to redraw discovered elements inside each image. We chose these images depending on following considerations:

- Image 5.a contains all squares on which users have trained in the training task, so it could test the ability to memorize and to distinguish the shapes.

- Image 5.b contains 3 rectangles with matched sizes and with vertical order, and the image 5.c contains 3 rectangles with different sizes and many relations of directions, so testing images 5.b, and 5.c could test the ability of distinguishing sizes, and distinguishing relations of directions.

- Image 5.d contains different shapes (a rectangle and a polygon), so it could test the ability to distinguish different shapes in the same image.

- The tested images contain examples of expected results of the segmentation process, so success of distinguishing these shapes by blind users could be an indicator of their ability to distinguish results of segmenting web pages.

The results of pretests with sighted persons were already published in [1]. Table 1 presents some results of the experiment for images NT2, NT3, NTG (the time required to distinguish graphical elements and the number of errors for the 15 sighted persons. The users have been asked to name the shapes in figure 5.a, and for each shape, we evaluated the number of correct and incorrect answers). In table 1, number or errors represents the number incorrect answers.

Table 1. Results of experiments of sighted persons for images NT2, NT3, NTG. (Table extracted from [1])

Shape Name	Average Time in Seconds	Number of errors
NT2	28	2
NT3	36	6
NTG	22	3

We notice from table 1 that the lowest number of errors is assigned to image NT2, and the largest time and max number of errors is assigned to image NT3.

5. RESULTS OF EXPERIMENTS WITH BLIND PERSONS

5.1. Experiment steps:

The test performed with each one of the 5 blind persons consisted of following: personal and technical questions, explanations of the test objective, a training task, and finally an evaluation task. The approximated average time for the test for each person is about 1 hour.

5.1.1 Personal and technical questions

Before starting the tests with the 5 blind persons, we asked them to support us with some information about their age and date of their blindness. Table 2 summarizes answers of personal questions.

Table 2. Personal information of the blind persons

User-ID	0	1	2	3	4
Age (Years)	63	67	59	56	36
Sex	Male	Female	Male	Female	Female
Date of the blindness	Since Birth	since 32 years	since 25 years	Since 10 years	Since 15 years

We also asked users to provide us with some technical information about their experience in dealing with operating systems, screen readers, and what are the main problems when they navigate the Web. Table 3 shows a summary of answers for these technical questions. The first two columns indicate the number of operating systems (either Windows or Linux) used either on fixed or portable computers. The third, fourth, and fifth columns indicate the number of users who use JAWS (Job Access With Speech), NVDA (NonVisual Desktop Access), and ORCA, either on fixed or portable computers.

Table 3. Used operating systems and screen readers

	Windows	Linux	JAWS	NVDA	ORCA
Number of users with fixed computer	4	0	4	2	0
Number of users with portable computer	2	1	2	1	1

No one of the five blind persons uses a tablet, and the screen readers used with cellular phones are Talks and MobileSpeak with Nokia, and Voiceover with iPhone. Only one of the 5 persons uses a telephone to access the Web (access via iPhone). The main problems of accessing the Web via fixed or portable computers, or via iPhone telephone were: problems of access to Flash files, problems of AJAX technologies, and no ability to know the global structure of web sites. (These problems have been reported to us by the 5 users).

5.1.2 Explaining the objective of the test

To give the blind persons a good idea about the test, we explained in details what are the objectives and the phases of each tasks, and described contents of the embedded system; we also explained the final objective of the project, and why we concentrate on vibrotactile technique regardless of other techniques.

This phase was important to initiate users for accepting kindly the test and for doing their best to interact with next steps as correctly as possible.

5.1.3 Training and learning task

In this training task, the user discovers the graphical elements in each image presented in figure 4 (images a, b (NT2), c (NT3), and d (NTG)), and the users were informed of each shape name. This task was very important for users to test the system before the

evaluation task, and to know exactly how the system transforms different the grey level under the touched points on the tablet screen to a vibration mode. It is also very useful for users to control their speed of mapping the screen either to discover either the borders or the contents. During this task, the program recorded the touching information in log files (X, Y coordinates, Pressure, and Time).

Table 4 indicates training times in minutes for each user, and for each image in figure4.

Table 4. Times of training task for each user (in minutes)

User ID / Image	ID0	ID1	ID2	ID3	ID4	Total	Average
A	4,99	2,60	3,55	9,97	3,96	25,08	5,02
b (NT2)	4,40	3,11	0,99	3,30	1,00	12,80	2,56
c (NT3)	2,81	6,29	2,54	2,85	1,15	15,65	3,13
d (NTG)	3,11	3,98	2,02	3,22	1,32	13,65	2,73
Total	15,31	15,99	9,10	19,34	7,43		

We notice from table 4 that discovering the first image takes more time, and it is normal because it is the first experiment for blind users on this prototype. We notice also that there is a significant decrease in time between discovering the first and the last image in the training task. This could be an indicator that training users could decrease the time for discovering graphical elements. We can also notice the significant difference between different tested persons, for example user with ID4 needed 7.43 minutes to scan the images (A, NT2, NT3, and NTG), but the user with ID3 needed 19.34 minutes to scan the same images.

5.1.4 Evaluation task

In this task, firstly we asked each user to discover the image 5.a and to find how many squares inside it and to name each founded square, then we asked them to discover images 5.b (IDP1), 5.c (IDP2), 5.d (IDP3), and to describe them to us, and to redraw discovered shapes. Table 5 illustrates an evaluation of answers for the first question to name squares in image 5.a (The blind users have been asked to name the shapes in image 5.a, and for each shape, we evaluated the number of correct and incorrect answers). (In tables 5 and 6, the symbol ✓ represents a correct answer for the touched shape, and the symbol X represents an incorrect answer or inability to select the name of the touched shape).

Table 5. Results of questions for squares in image 4.a

User-ID/ Square Name	ID 0	ID 1	ID 2	ID 3	ID 4	Number of errors
NT2	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	1
NT3	✓	✓	X	X	✓	2
NTG	✓	X	✓	X	✓	2

We notice from table 5 that the lowest number of errors is assigned to image NT2, and it is the same result which we obtained during tests with sighted persons.

Results of answers for other questions related to images IDP1, IDP2, and IDP3 are summarized in table 6.

Table 6. Answers of questions for images IDP1, IDP2, IDP3

User-ID	ID0			ID1			ID2			ID3			ID4		
IDP	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Answers about number of rectangles	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Answers about sizes of rectangles	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

We notice from data in tables 4, 5, and 6, that the best performance is for the user with ID4, and this may be because that this female user is the youngest between others, and it could be because that she was the only one that has already used touched devices (an iPhone in her case working with VoiceOver).

After answering questions about each image of images (IDP1, IDP2, IDP3), we asked each user to redraw the graphical elements founded in each touched image. Figure 6 views the redrawing results of the user ID4 (ID4 is the female user who gave best answers).




Figure 6. Results of redrawing images IDP1, IDP2, IDP3 for the user ID4.

When comparing results of redrawing (Figure 6) with images IDP1, IDP2, and IDP3, we find that the results are interesting, and we can conclude the following:

1. An ability of distinguishing sizes of shapes, because the degree of scaling between redrawn shapes is nearly equal to the degree of scaling between real shapes (IDP1, IDP2, IDP3).
2. An ability of distinguishing relations of directions, because relations of directions (vertical order, left to, right to,...) between redrawn shapes is nearly equal to relations of directions between real shapes.

The average of times in minutes consumed for each evaluation question is summarized in table 7.

Table 7. Times of the evaluation task for each user (in minutes)

User ID / Image	ID0	ID1	ID2	ID3	ID4	Total	Average
A	1,23	9,87	5,39	7,84	1,85	26,17	5,23
IDP1	4,39	14,99	1,41	3,75	1,41	25,96	5,19
IDP2	7,70	9,22	0,79	1,99	13,85	33,55	6,71
IDP3	2,71	12,94	2,81	4,03	12,58	35,06	7,01
Total	16,03	47,02	10,39	17,61	29,68		

5.2 Results Analysis

To get an idea about the most touched and the least touched areas on the screen during learning and evaluation tasks, we divided the touched-screen into 16 areas (as in figure 7, r00...r03, r10...r13, r20...r23, r30...r33), and calculated the average of touches in each area for all users.




Figure 7. The 16 areas of the touched-screen of user with ID4 (Red points represent touched points with max pressure values, blue points represent touched points with pressure values less than the max and greater than the average, green points represent touched points with pressure values less than the average).

We have founded that the most touched areas are r12, r11, r22, r21, and the least touched areas are r30, r33, r32, r00 as described in figure 8. This information could be useful in next phases of our research in completing the graphical vibro-tactile language by putting the important information in the most touched areas.




Figure 8. Most and least touched areas on the touched-screen.

During analysis the results, we have noticed that there are a lot of differences between the pressure values for all users (Pressure value depends on the used tablet; in these experiments we have used Asus Model TF101 with Android operating system).

To analyze pressure values, we calculated the max pressure value between all users, it was 3.19, and the average was 1.73, then we redrew the touched points for each user with considering that points with pressure values equal to the max value have been drawn in red color, points with pressure values less than the max and greater than the average have been drawn in blue color, and points with pressure values less than the average have been drawn

in green color. Figure 7 represents an example of these points in different colors (for IDP3 evaluation task of the user who has id 4). After analyzing all the images drawn for all users, we have noticed that majority of red points (max pressure) are in images for which users gave right answers. This notice may be useful in designing our graphical vibro-tactile language, since we can observe when the user decreases or increases his touch pressure. The increasing of pressure may indicate that the user touches graphical elements interesting for him, and the decreasing may indicate that the user touches graphical elements non-interesting for him.

During the tests we observed also that users try sometimes to scan the screen very quickly, it might be because they try to get a lot of information in a short time.

6. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

In this paper, we summarized our current work which aims to design an approach for non-visual access to web pages on touch-screen devices. The designed vibro-tactile protocol transforms the information viewed on the screen and touched by users to vibration by transforming light contrasts of touched pixels into low-frequencies tactile vibrations.

The obtained results are interesting, since we used in these experiments only one vibration motor of low level quality (phone vibrator), and the learning period was very short, so there are many enhancements to be achieved in next versions either on the hardware/software level or on the level of learning phase (increasing the number and quality of micro-vibrators, making more control on frequencies and amplitudes sent to micro-vibrators, adding vocal abilities to the current approach, integrating automatic intelligent methods for segmenting web pages,...).

In the same way that the environment enables a blind person to move in space with sidewalks and textures which will be explored by his/her white cane, we hope giving the blind user an ability to navigate documents depending on "textual sidewalks" and "graphical paths" which will be discovered by his/her finger.

Next steps in this research will be 1) Adding elements to the graphical vibro-tactile language in order to represent more HTML elements such links, buttons, input fields, and other elements, 2) Making the program more interactive to guarantee vibrating in real time, and without any delay. 3) Including the results obtained in these pre-tests, for example focusing on areas which are most touched by the users, focusing on the variation of pressure for expecting the model of navigation, 4) adding more vibration motors to the designed circuit; current version includes 2 vibration motors, but we tested only one to know exactly how blind users navigate the screen using one finger; next tests may be on more vibration motors to discover how the blind users navigate the screen using more than one finger, 5) We plan also to add thermic actuators for translating the notion of colors. This may be very useful and hopeful for blind users to transfer information about colors, 6) after adding all mentioned desired changes to the circuit and to the program; we should test integrating the hybrid segmentation algorithm of web pages with the adapted version of the designed circuit to generate automatically the graphical elements of the navigated web page.

Acknowledgment

This works is founded by the national agency of research ANR (Agence Nationale de Recherche <http://www.agence-nationale->

recherche.fr/) as a part of ART-ADN project ANR-12-SOIN-0003-02 (Accès par Retour Tactilo-oral Aux Documents Numériques) in GREYC laboratory (www.greyc.fr) of the University of Caen Basse-Normandie (www.unicaen.fr) and the National Superior Engineering School of Caen (www.ensicaen.fr).

Thanks to Rabeb BEN SASSI, and Abdelmajid TOUNSI for their participation in designing the system.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Maurel, F., Dias, G., Routoure, J-M., Vautier, M., Beust, P., Molina, M., Sann, C., 2012. *Haptic Perception of Document Structure for Visually Impaired People on Handled Devices*, Procedia Computer Science, Volume 14, 2012, Pages 319-329, ISSN : 1877-0509.
DOI=<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2012.10.036>
- [2] Maurel, F., Vigouroux, N., Raynal, M., Oriola, B., 2003. *Contribution of the Transmodality Concept to Improve Web Accessibility*. In Assistive Technology Research Series, Volume 12, 2003, Pages 186-193. International conference; 1st, Smart homes and health telematics; Independent living for persons with disabilities and elderly people. ISSN : 1383-813X.
- [3] <http://www.chromevox.com/> [Access 7/5/2014]
- [4] <http://www.synapseadaptive.com/gw/wineyes.htm> [Access 7/5/2014]
- [5] <http://www.freedomscientific.com/> [Access 7/5/2014]
- [6] <https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=es.codefactory.android.app.ma.vocalizerfrdemo&hl=fr> [Access 7/5/2014]
- [7] <https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.google.android.marvin.talkback&hl=fr> [Access 7/5/2014]
- [8] <http://www.apple.com/fr/accessibility/> [Access 7/5/2014]
- [9] Alaelidin, A., Mustafa, Y., Sharief, B., 2012. *Tactile WebNavigator Device for Blind and Visually Impaired People*. In Proceedings of the 2011 Jordan Conference on Applied Electrical Engineering and Computing Technologies, Jordan, 2012.
DOI=<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/AEECT.2011.6132519>
- [10] Boulssa, Y., Mojahid, M., Oriola, B., Vigouroux, N., 2009. *Accessibility for the Blind, an Automated Audio/Tactile Description of Pictures in Digital Documents*. IEEE International Conference on Advances in Computational Tools for Engineering Applications, 2009, Pages: 591 – 594.
DOI=<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACTEA.2009.5227855>
- [11] Lenay, C., Gapenne, O., Hanneton, S., Marque, C., Genouëlle, C., *Sensory Substitution, Limits and Perspectives*. In Touch for Knowing Cognitive psychology of haptic manual perception, Amsterdam, 2003, Pages: 275-292.
- [12] Tixier, M., Lenay, C., Le-Bihan, G., Gapenne, O., Aubert, D., *Designing Interactive Content with Blind Users for a Perceptual Supplementation System*, TEI 2013, 2013, in Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Tangible, Embedded and Embodied Interaction, Barcelona, Spain, 2013, Pages 229-236.
DOI= <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2460625.2460663>
- [13] MAUREL, F., SAFI, W., BEUST, P., ROUTOURE, J.M., 2013. *Navigation aveugle sur dispositifs mobiles : toucher le Web... pour mieux l'entendre*, 16ème Colloque International sur le Document Électronique, CIDE16, Lille France, Europa productions, 2013.
- [14] Rotard, M., Knödler, S., Ertl, T., 2005. *A Tactile Web Browser for the Visually Disabled*. In Proceedings of the sixteenth

ACM Conference on Hypertext and Hypermedia. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2005, pages 15-22.

DOI= <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1083356.1083361>