

# The control of Novozym® 435 chemoselectivity and specificity by the solvents in acylation reactions of amino-alcohols

Florian Le Joubioux, Nicolas Bridiau, Yesmine Ben Henda, Oussama Achour, Marianne Graber, Thierry Maugard

# ▶ To cite this version:

Florian Le Joubioux, Nicolas Bridiau, Yesmine Ben Henda, Oussama Achour, Marianne Graber, et al.. The control of Novozym® 435 chemoselectivity and specificity by the solvents in acylation reactions of amino-alcohols. Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic, 2013, 95, pp.99-110. 10.1016/j.molcatb.2013.06.002 . hal-01070399

# HAL Id: hal-01070399 https://hal.science/hal-01070399v1

Submitted on 1 Oct 2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	The control of Novozym <sup>®</sup> 435 chemoselectivity and specificity by the
2	solvents in acylation reactions of amino-alcohols
3 4	Florian Le Joubioux, Nicolas Bridiau, Yesmine Ben Henda, Oussama Achour, Marianne Graber, Thierry Maugard*
5	
6	UMR 7266 CNRS-ULR, LIENSs, Equipe Approches Moléculaires, Environnement-Santé,
7	Université de La Rochelle, Avenue Michel Crépeau, 17042 La Rochelle, France.
8	
9	
10	<sup>*</sup> Author for correspondence (Fax: +33 546458277; E-mail: tmaugard@univ-lr.fr)

## 12 Abstract

The current work describes the differential behavior of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 (immobilized *Candida* 13 antarctica lipase B) in O-acylation and N-acylation catalysis of bifunctional amino-alcohols 14 15 acyl acceptors. We perfomed acylation experiments on three amino-alcohols (alaninol, 4-16 amino-1-pentanol and 6-amino-1-hexanol) using myristic acid as an acyl donor. Two organic 17 solvents (tert-amyl alcohol and n-hexane) and one ionic liquid (1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium 18 hexafluorophosphate: Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>]) were used to determine the effect of the solvent. The 19 influence of the amino-alcohol carbon chain length between the alcohol and amino groups on chemoselectivity C ( $k_{cat, app O-acylation}/k_{cat, app N-acylation}$ ) was highlighted. N-acylation is improved 20 21 using alaninol, a short chain amino-alcohol (no mono-O-acylation in tert-amyl alcohol and C 22 = 0.12 in *n*-hexane) whereas O-acylation is improved using 4-amino-1-pentanol and 6-amino-23 1-hexanol which are amino-alcohols with longer chain (C = 10.5 in *tert*-amyl alcohol and C =24 539 in *n*-hexane). On the other hand, the production of the acylated amino-alcohols after 96 25 hours of reaction was shown to be strongly affected by the solvent nature and the amino-26 alcohol structure: starting from alaninol as an acyl acceptor, the yield of amide synthesis 27 reaches up to 98% in tert-amyl alcohol using 0.7 equivalents of myristic acid while the yield of amido-ester synthesis reaches up to 88% in Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] using 1.75 equivalents of myristic 28 29 acid.

30 Keywords: *N*-acylation; *O*-acylation; Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435; Organic solvent; Ionic liquid;
31 Chemoselectivity

# 33 1. Introduction

Acylated amino-alcohols such as ceramides, glucamides and amino-acid derivatives have found important applications, such as in pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries, as potential anti-viral or anti-tumor drugs [1-3], anti-oxidant stabilizers [4] and as active ingredients in hair and skin care products [5]. In addition, these compounds can also be used as surfactants in environmental, food and agricultural industries [6-8].

Many processes of chemical acylation of amino-alcohols have been developed so far but these methods have faced several limitations. One of the most serious restrictions during chemical processes is the necessity for fastidious steps of alcohol or amino group protection and deprotection, which are essential to control the chemoselectivity and stereoselectivity of acylation reactions [9-10].

44 Biocatalysis is considered as an interesting alternative for the preparation of synthetic 45 compounds: it offers a clean way to perform chemical processes under mild reaction 46 conditions, with a high degree of selectivity [11-12]. Lipases (E.C. 3.1.1.3) in particular 47 provide several advantages when used in anhydrous organic media [13-15]. These include 48 shifting of the thermodynamic equilibrium in favour of synthesis over hydrolysis reaction, 49 increasing the solubility of non-polar substrates, eliminating side reactions, making enzyme 50 recovery easier and increasing enzyme thermostability [16-18]. Lipases are excellent 51 biocatalysts in O-acylation, transesterification and N-acylation reactions in the synthesis of 52 various acylated derivates used in pharmaceutical, cosmetic and food industries [19-22]. They 53 have, therefore, been widely studied over the past two decades and a large amount of their key 54 properties in biocatalysis have been highlighted [15, 23-25]. Recently, a new proton shuttle 55 reaction mechanism was proposed to explain chemoselectivity for lipase-catalyzed N-56 acylation of amino-alcohols [26]. However, it is still necessary to optimize the output of 57 lipase-catalyzed reactions and to understand this type of selectivity in different reaction58 conditions.

59 The properties of lipases (activity, chemoselectivity, regioselectivity and stereoselectivity) can 60 be modulated by many parameters such as genetic or chemical modification, enzyme 61 immobilization [15, 27-29]. Solvent nature also particularly influences lipase-catalyzed 62 acylation reactions. Recent studies have shown that the solvent affects the activity and selectivity of enzymes in a complex way, involving many interactions between the solvent 63 64 and both the substrate and the enzyme [30-35]. Furthermore, the use of lipases in organic 65 solvents makes many synthetic reactions possible that do not occur in the natural media of 66 these enzymes. However, these solvents can display certain disadvantages, such as volatility 67 and/or toxicity towards the environment [36], particularly when they are used on a large scale. 68 An alternative to these organic solvents is the use of ionic liquids. Ionic liquids have recently 69 emerged to replace organic solvents in biocatalytic transformations, especially in the case of 70 polar substrates like amino-alcohols that are difficult to dissolve in organic solvents [37]. 71 Moreover, they show unique properties, including no vapor pressure and capacity to be 72 recycled and to prevent the thermal deactivation of enzymes [30, 38]. These properties can be 73 useful in lipase-catalyzed biotransformation. In many cases, these media have been shown to 74 improve the efficiency of lipase-catalyzed acylation reactions [31, 39-41] and used to perform 75 both ester and amide synthesis [38, 42-44].

Despite the attractive properties of organic solvents and ionic liquids, few studies have been devoted to the lipase-catalyzed acylation of bifunctional substrates, exhibiting both amino and alcohol groups, such as ethanolamine, diethanolamine, 2-amino-1-butanol, 6-amino-1hexanol, serine and other amino-alcohols with variable carbon chain lengths [45-50]. In such reactions, the lipase catalyzes *O*-acylation or *N*-acylation with a chemoselectivity that is largely dependent on the amino-alcohol structure [26].

Among lipases used in organic synthesis, Candida antarctica lipase B is well known for its 82 ability to convert alcohols and amines into esters and amides in various solvents [8, 51] and 83 84 seems to be the ideal enzyme for the acylation of compounds such as amino-alcohols. Moreover, commercially available immobilized Candida antarctica lipase B, such as 85 Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435, shows many advantages such as long-term stability at moderately high 86 87 temperatures and a tolerance for polar and non-polar solvents [52]. In the present work, we 88 investigated two organic solvents and one ionic liquid as reaction solvents in the acylation of three amino-alcohols, catalyzed by Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435, with myristic acid **1** as an acyl donor. In 89 90 order to determine the optimum conditions for selective N-acylation and O-acylation of 91 amino-alcohols and to maximize both the yield and the selectivity, the effects of amino-92 alcohol structure and solvent nature were compared.

# 93 2. Materials and methods

## 94 2.1. Materials

95 Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 (Candida antarctica lipase B immobilized on acrylic resin), was kindly 96 provided by Novozymes A/S, Bagsvaerd, Denmark. (±)-alaninol 2 (98%) and 6-amino-1-97 hexanol 6 ( $\geq$ 97%), as well as *tert*-amyl alcohol (99%) and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium 98 hexafluorophosphate (Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>]) (≥97%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, 99 USA) while (±)-4-amino-1-pentanol 4 was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (USA). Myristic 100 acid 1 and acetic acid were from Fluka (St Quentin-Fallavier, Switzerland). All chemicals 101 were dried over molecular sieves. Pure water was obtained via a Milli-Q system (Millipore, 102 France). Acetonitrile, methanol, n-hexane, chloroform and 1-butanol were purchased from 103 Carlo ERBA (Val-de-Reuil, France).

# 104 2.2. Enzymatic acylation procedure

# 105 2.2.1. General procedure for lipase catalysed amino-alcohol acylation

In all cases, reactions were carried out at 55°C in screw-caped tubes. 2 ml reaction mixtures containing various amounts of amino-alcohol (25-350 mM) and 175 mM of myristic acid **1** as an acyl donor were incubated for 10 minutes prior to addition of 5 g  $\Gamma^1$  of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435. Reactions were conducted for 96 hours. Initial rate measurements were also performed according to a previously established procedure [53]. The initial rates were calculated from the linear relationship of the total concentration of products against reaction time (0-1 hour in *n*-hexane and 0-2 hours in *tert*-amyl alcohol or Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>]).

# 113 2.2.2. Procedure for amino-alcohol acylation in tert-amyl alcohol

When using *tert*-amyl alcohol as a reaction solvent,  $100 \ \mu$ l samples were taken at intervals and centrifuged at 18,000 g for a minute. The supernatants were then analyzed by LC-MS, leading to the determination and quantification of remaining substrates and synthesized products.

# 118 2.2.3. Procedure for amino-alcohol acylation in n-hexane

119 When using *n*-hexane as a reaction solvent, various samples containing the same 120 concentration of reactants and enzyme were prepared and incubated under the same 121 conditions. Reactions were then conducted in parallel and withdrawn at different times to 122 determine the reaction progress. 6 ml of a methanol/chloroform (50/50, v/v) mixture were 123 then added in each sample and the reaction medium was homogenized. 500 µl samples were 124 taken and centrifuged at 18,000 g for a minute. The supernatants were then analyzed by LC-125 MS, leading to the determination and quantification of remaining substrates and synthesized 126 products.

#### 127 2.2.4. Procedure for amino-alcohol acylation in 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium 128 hexafluorophosphate

129 When using 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate (Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>]) as a reaction 130 solvent, various samples containing the same concentration of reactants and enzyme were 131 prepared and incubated under the same conditions. Reactions were then conducted in parallel 132 and withdrawn at different times to determine the reaction progress. 6 ml of 1-butanol, a 133 solvent that is immiscible with Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>], were added in order to extract substrates and 134 products from Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>]. 500 µl samples were taken from 1-butanol extracts and 135 centrifuged at 18,000 g for a minute. The supernatants were then analyzed by LC-MS, leading 136 to the determination and quantification of remaining substrates and synthesized products.

137 The partition coefficient between Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] and 1-butanol was determined for all 138 compounds (myristic acid 1 and acylated products) using the following procedure: a solution 139 of Bmim  $[PF_6]$  with a known concentration of each compound was prepared and then 140 extracted in 1-butanol. The partition coefficient was calculated as the ratio of the final 141 quantity determined by HPLC to the known initial quantity. All samples were performed in 142 duplicate and the averages of duplicate partition coefficients were mentioned in Table 1. 143 Finally, it was used to correct the concentration values of all compounds in 1-butanol extracts. 144

#### 145 Table 1

Partition coefficient of myristic acid 1 and acylated products in a Bmim  $[PF_6]/1$ -butanol 146 147 biphasic system.

Compound	Partition coefficient <sup>a</sup>
Myristic acid 1	0.84
N-myristyl 2-amino-1-propanol 3a	0.84
O-myristyl 2-amino-1-propanol 3b	0.88
O,N-dimyristyl 2-amino-1-propanol 3c	0.99
N-myristyl 4-amino-1-pentanol 5a	0.82
O-myristyl 4-amino-1-pentanol 5b	0.90
O,N-dimyristyl 4-amino-1-pentanol 5c	0.99

N-myristyl aminohexanol 7a	0.83
O-myristyl aminohexanol 7b	0.87
O,N-dimyristyl aminohexanol 7c	0.99

148	<sup>a</sup> The partition coefficient was calculated as the ratio of the final concentration determined by HPLC to the
149	known initial concentration.

### 151 2.3. Analytical methods

152 2.3.1. HPLC-MS analysis

153 Structural and quantitative analysis of reaction products were conducted using a LC/MS-ES 154 (liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry) system from Agilent (1100 LC/MSD Trap mass 155 spectrometer VL) with a C18 Prontosil 120-5-C18-AQ reversed-phase column (250×4 mm, 5 156 µm; Bischoff Chromatography, Germany). The elution of reaction samples was carried out at room temperature and at a flow rate of 1 ml min<sup>-1</sup>, using a mobile phase consisting in a 157 158 mixture of two solvents: acetonitrile/water/acetic acid (77/23/0.1, v/v/v) (A) and 159 methanol/acetic acid (100/0.1, v/v) (B). The following variations of the mobile phase were 160 used during the time interval of the analysis: 100% solvent A from 0 to 20 minutes; a linear 161 gradient reaching 0% solvent A and 100% solvent B from 20 to 23 minutes; 100% solvent B 162 from 23 to 80 minutes; a linear gradient reaching back 100% solvent A and 0% solvent B 163 from 80 to 82 minutes; 100% solvent A from 82 minutes to the end of the run at 90 minutes. 164 Products were detected and quantified by differential refractometry and UV detection at 210 165 nm. An external calibration was performed with pure myristic acid. Then, calibrations for 166 individual acylation products were obtained after mass balance in reaction conditions enabling 167 to obtain only these products with myristic acid as an acyl donor. Low-resolution mass 168 spectral analyses were obtained by electrospray in the positive detection mode. Nitrogen was used as the drying gas at 15 l min<sup>-1</sup> and 350 °C at a nebulizer pressure of 4 bars. The scan 169 170 range was 50-1000 m/z using five averages and 13,000 m/z per second resolution. The 171 capillary voltage was 4000 V. Processing was done offline using HP Chemstation software.

# 173 2.3.2. Acylated-amino-alcohols purification and analysis for identification

172

174 In order to perform the purification and characterization of acylated products, 20 ml reaction 175 mixtures containing 50 mM of the amino-alcohol and 175 mM of myristic acid 1 in tert-amyl alcohol were incubated for 24 hours in presence of 15 g l<sup>-1</sup> of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435. Purified 176 products were then characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy) and 177 178 IR (infrared spectroscopy) after purification via preparative HPLC using a ProntoPrep C18 179 reversed-phase column (250×20 mm, 10 µm; Bischoff Chromatography, Germany) eluted via 180 the mobile phase given in section 2.3.1, at room temperature and at a flow rate of 5 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. 181 <sup>1</sup>H NMR were recorded on a JEOL-JNM LA400 spectrometer (400 MHz), with 182 tetramethylsilane as an internal reference. Samples were studied as solutions in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded from 400 to 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> using a 183 184 100 ATR spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer, United States).

185 *N***-myristyl 2-amino-1-propanol 3a**: m/Z (LR-ESI<sup>+</sup>)  $C_{17}H_{36}NO_2$  (M + H<sup>+</sup>), found: 286.4, calculated for: 286.48. IR v max (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3100-3500 (O-H, alcohol and N-H, amide), 2800-3000 186 187 (CH of myristyl chain), 1638 (C=O, amide), 1543 (N-H, amide). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 188 δ ppm): δ 0.88 (t, 3H, J= 6.06Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.17 (d, 3H, J= 6.06Hz, -CH-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.25 (m, 189 20H, -CH<sub>2</sub>- of myristyl chain), 1.63 (m, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO-NH- of myristyl chain), 2.19 (t, 190 2H, J= 6.06Hz, -CH2-CH2-CO-NH- of myristyl chain), 3.04 (s, 1H, -OH), 3.27 (dd, 1H, J= 191 5Hz, J= 10Hz, -CH-CH2-OH), 3.46 (dd, 1H, J= 3.7Hz, J= 11Hz, -CH-CH2-OH), 4.07 (m, 192 1H, -CH-), 5.7 (s, 1H, -NH-).

193 *O*,*N*-dimyristyl 2-amino-1-propanol 3c: m/Z (LR-ESI<sup>+</sup>)  $C_{31}H_{62}NO_3Na$  (M + Na<sup>+</sup>), found: 194 518.6, calculated for: 518.85. IR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3301 (N-H, amide), 2800-3000 (CH of myristyl 195 chain), 1737 (C=O, ester), 1643 (C=O, amide), 1542 (N-H, amide). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,

196 CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  ppm):  $\delta$  0.88 (t, 6H, J= 7.6Hz, 2x -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.16 (d, 3H, J= 7.6Hz, -CH-CH<sub>3</sub>),

197 1.25 (m, 40H,  $-C\underline{H}_2$ - of myristyl chain), 1.6 (m, 4H, 2x  $-C\underline{H}_2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-CO- of myristyl chain),

198 2.14 (t, 2H, J = 7.2Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-C<u>H<sub>2</sub></u>-CO-O- of myristyl chain), 2.32 (t, 2H, J = 7.2Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-

199 CH2-CO-NH- of myristyl chain), 4 (dd, 1H, J= 4.4Hz, J= 10.7Hz, -CH-CH2-O-), 4.13 (dd,

200 1H, J = 4.9Hz, J = 10Hz,  $-CH-CH_2-O-$ ), 4.29 (m, 1H, -CH-), 5.54 (d, 1H, J = 7.3Hz, C-NH-

201 CH<sub>2</sub>-).

202 *N*-myristyl 4-amino-1-pentanol 5a: m/Z (LR-ESI<sup>+</sup>)  $C_{19}H_{40}NO_2$  (M + H<sup>+</sup>), found: 314.2 203 calculated for: 314.53. IR v max (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3200-3500 (O-H, alcohol and N-H, amide), 2800-3000 204 (CH of myristyl chain), 1639 (C=O, amide), 1545 (N-H, amide). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 205 δ ppm): δ 0.88 (t, 3H, J= 6.58Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.14 (d, 3H, J= 6.23Hz, -CH-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.25 (m, 206 20H, -CH<sub>2</sub>- of myristyl chain), 1.53 (m, 4H, -CH-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-OH), 1.63 (m, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-207 CH2-CO-NH- of myristyl chain), 2.14 (t, 2H, J= 7.27Hz, -CH2-CH2-CO-NH- of myristyl 208 chain), 2.94 (s, 1H, -OH), 3.67 (m, 2H, -CH2-CH2-OH), 4.06 (m, 1H, -CH-), 5.28 (s, 1H, -209 N<u>H</u>-).

210 *O***-myristyl 4-amino-1-pentanol 5b:** m/Z (LR-ESI<sup>+</sup>)  $C_{19}H_{40}NO_2$  (M + H<sup>+</sup>), found: 314.2 calculated for: 314.53. IR  $v_{\text{max}}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3291 (N-H, amine), 2800-3000 (CH of myristyl chain), 211 212 1736 (C=O, ester), 1557 (N-H, amine). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ ppm): δ 0.88 (t, 3H, J= 213 6.99Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.14 (d, 3H, J= 8Hz, -CH-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.25 (m, 20H, -CH<sub>2</sub>- of myristyl chain), 214 1.52 (m, 4H, -CH-CH2-CH2-CH2-O-), 1.62 (m, 2H, -CH2-CH2-CO-O- of myristyl chain), 215 2.22 (t, 1H, J= 7.16Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO-O- of myristyl chain), 2.29 (t, 1H, J= 7.5Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-216 CH2-CO-O- of myristyl chain), 3.43 (m, 1H, -CH2-CH2-O-), 3.69 (m, 1H, -CH2-CH2-O-), 4.1 217 (m, 1H, -C<u>H</u>-).

218 *O***,***N***-dimyristyl 4-amino-1-pentanol 5c:** m/Z (LR-ESI<sup>+</sup>)  $C_{33}H_{66}NO_3Na$  (M + Na<sup>+</sup>), found: 219 546.2 calculated for: 546.9. IR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3304 (N-H, amide), 2800-3000 (CH of myristyl 220 chain), 1732 (C=O, ester), 1640 (C=O, amide), 1546 (N-H, amide). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,

221 CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  ppm):  $\delta$  0.88 (t, 6H, J= 7.43Hz, 2x -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.14 (d, 3H, J= 6.83Hz, -CH-CH<sub>3</sub>),

222 1.25 (m, 40H, -C<u>H<sub>2</sub></u>- of myristyl chain), 1.53 (m, 4H, -CH-C<u>H<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-C</u>, 1.6 (m, 4H,

223 2x -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO- of myristyl chain), 2.14 (t, 4H, J= 7.08Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO- of myristyl

224 chain), 3.68 (m, 2H,  $-CH_2-CH_2-O-C$ ), 4.07 (m, 1H,  $-CH_-$ ), 5.27 (d, 1H, J= 6.86Hz,  $-NH_-$ ).

225 *N*-myristyl aminohexanol 7a: m/Z (LR-ESI<sup>+</sup>) C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>42</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M + H<sup>+</sup>), found: 329.5 calculated 226 for: 328.56. IR  $\nu_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3385 (O-H, alcohol), 3314 (N-H, amide), 2800-3000 (CH of 227 myristyl chain), 1634 (C=O, amide), 1534 (N-H, amide). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  ppm): 228  $\delta$  0.88 (t, 3H, *J*= 7.5Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-C<u>H<sub>3</sub></u>), 1.25 (m, 20H, -C<u>H<sub>2</sub></u>- of myristyl chain), 1.51 (m, 2H, -229 C<u>H<sub>2</sub></u>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO-O- of myristyl chain), 1.59 (m, 4H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-C<u>H<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-OH), 2.26 (t, 2H, *J*= 230 7.65Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CO-OH of myristyl chain), 2.72 (s, 1H, -O<u>H</u>), 3.25 (t, 2H, *J*= 7.07Hz, -231 CH<sub>2</sub>-C<u>H<sub>2</sub>-OH</u>), 3.63 (t, 2H, *J*= 7.29Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-C<u>H<sub>2</sub>-NH-CO-CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.41 (s, 1H, -N<u>H</u>-).</u></u>

232 *O*-myristyl aminohexanol 7b: m/Z (LR-ESI<sup>+</sup>)  $C_{20}H_{42}NO_2 (M + H^+)$ , found: 329.5 calculated 233 for: 328.56. IR  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3400 (N-H, amine), 2800-3000 (CH of myristyl chain), 1736 234 (C=O, ester), 1544 (N-H, amine). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  ppm):  $\delta$  0.88 (t, 3H, *J*= 235 7.28Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-C<u>H<sub>3</sub></u>), 1.25 (m, 20H, -C<u>H<sub>2</sub></u>- of myristyl chain), 1.55 (m, 2H, -C<u>H<sub>2</sub></u>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO-O-236 of myristyl chain), 1.62 (m, 4H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-C<u>H<sub>2</sub></u>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>), 2.28 (t, 2H, *J*= 7.65Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-237 C<u>H<sub>2</sub></u>-CO-O- of myristyl chain), 2.81 (s, 2H, -N<u>H<sub>2</sub></u>), 3.64 (t, 2H, *J*= 6.47Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-C<u>H<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub></u>), 238 4.04 (t, 2H, *J*= 6.47Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-C<u>H<sub>2</sub>-C-C</u>-CO-CH<sub>2</sub>).

O,N-dimyristyl aminohexanol 7c: m/Z (LR-ESI<sup>+</sup>) C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>67</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>Na (M + Na<sup>+</sup>), found: 560.7,
calculated for: 560.93. IR ν max (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3298 (N-H, amide), 2800-3000 (CH of myristyl chain),
1726 (C=O, ester), 1635 (C=O, amide), 1547 (N-H, amide). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ
ppm): δ 0.88 (t, 6H, *J*= 6.48Hz, 2x -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.25 (m, 40H, -CH<sub>2</sub>- of myristyl chain), 1.5
(m, 4H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO- of myristyl chain), 1.6 (m, 4H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-O-C), 2.15 (t,

244 2H, J= 7.8Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO-NH-), 2.29 (t, 2H, J= 7.8Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO-NH-), 3.24 (q, 2H,
245 J= 6.5Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-NH-), 4.06 (t, 2H, J= 5.9Hz, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-O-CO-CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.4 (s, 1H, -NH-).

246 2.3.3. Analysis of the ionization state of substrates in tert-amyl alcohol

The ionization state of myristic acid **1** in *tert*-amyl alcohol was investigated using infrared spectroscopy analysis. Infrared (IR) spectra of samples containing 175 mM of myristic acid **1** and from 0 to 250 mM of alaninol **2** were recorded from 1500 to 1800 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> using a 100 ATR spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer, United States). Before the interpretation of data, a treatment (base line correction, smoothing and normalization min– max) was applied to spectra.

# 253 2.4. Titration of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 active sites

254 In order to determine the amount of immobilized Candida antarctica lipase B (Novozym® 255 435) active sites, a suicide inhibitor (4-methylumbelliferyl hexylphosphonate) was used 256 according to the method developed by Fujiia et al. [54-55]. This inhibitor was added to 10 mg 257 of immobilized lipase (immobilized on beads of acrylic support), to a final concentration of 258 50  $\mu$ M. In this sample, acetonitrile was added to final volume of 1 mL. 100  $\mu$ L sample 259 solution was adding to 900 µL of buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, pH 8.0), then 260 fluorescence intensity was analyzed using a luminescence spectrometer (Luminescence 261 Spectrometer Model LS-50B, PerkinElmer, MA, USA). The excitation wavelength was 262  $\lambda$ =360 nm and the emission wavelength was  $\lambda$ =445 nm. The active site amount was establish 263 from the linear relationship between fluorescence intensity and the concentration of the 264 leaving group 4-methylumbelliferone. Finally, the relationship between fluorescence intensity 265 and the amount of beads containing immobilized lipase was linear. The resulting active 266 Candida antarctica lipase B load on beads was found to be 4.7% (%weight/weight) which 267 equals 1.4 µmol of lipase active site per gram of beads.

# 268 2.5. Determination of the kinetic parameters

269 The kinetic parameters from amino-alcohol acylation were determined using Lineweaver-270 Burk reciprocal plots of initial rates versus amino-alcohol concentrations. The apparent maximum rates (Vmax,app) of myristic acid conversion, O-acylation and N-acylation were 271 272 obtained by using y-intercept which correspond to the  $1/V_{max,app}$  value. The apparent catalytic 273 constants  $(k_{cat,app})$  were then calculated as the ratio of the  $V_{max,app}$  to the total amount of 274 Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 active sites in the reaction medium, which was previously determined by titration (1.4 µmol of lipase active sites per gram of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435: see section 2.4). In 275 276 parallel, the apparent Michaelis constants  $(K_{m,app})$  were obtained by using x-intercept which 277 correspond to the  $-1/K_{m,app}$  value.

# 278 2.6. Evaluation of the chemoselectivity

The chemoselectivity of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 during the acylation of amino-alcohols was studied by comparing the alcohol group *O*-acylation and the amino group *N*-acylation, and then calculated via the apparent catalytic efficiency ratio (Eq. (1)) [56], which was transformed into an apparent catalytic constant ratio (Eq. (2)) owing to the fact that there is a unique  $K_{m,app}$ for each bifunctional amino-alcohol.

284  $C = (k_{cat, app \ O-acylation} / K_{m,app}) / (k_{cat, app \ N-acylation} / K_{m,app}) (1)$ 

285  $C = k_{cat, app O-acylation} / k_{cat, app N-acylation} (2)$ 

# 286 3. Results and discussion

287 *3.1.* Effect of the solvent on the apparent kinetic parameters and the 288 chemoselectivity of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 in the acylation of amino-alcohols

289 Two organic solvents (tert-amyl alcohol and n-hexane) and one ionic liquid (1-Butyl-3-290 methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate; Bmim  $[PF_6]$ ) were used for the selective acylation 291 of alaninol (2), 4-amino-1-pentanol (4) and 6-amino-1-hexanol (6) using myristic acid 1 as an acyl donor (Scheme 1). Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] was chosen as a model ionic liquid on the basis of several 292 293 earlier studies which revealed the efficiency of Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] for O-acylation, 294 transesterification and N-acylation reactions catalyzed by Candida antarctica lipase B [57, 295 58]. The two organic solvents were chosen from previous works found in the literature, which 296 have demonstrated the efficacy of these solvents during the acylation of amino-polyol 297 substrates catalyzed by lipases [8, 53, 59].

298



300 **Scheme 1.** Acylation of three amino-alcohols by Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 (immobilized *Candida* 301 *antarctica* lipase B).

All experiments were performed in media containing various amounts of the amino-alcohol (25-350 mM) as an acyl acceptor and 175 mM of myristic acid **1** as an acyl donor. In the absence of enzyme, no product was detected within 2 days. LC-MS analysis demonstrated that the decrease in myristic acid **1** concentration is always concomitant with the synthesis of acylated products. The purification and the structural elucidation by MS, IR and NMR analyses identified the structure of the acylated products.

309 The kinetic parameters for the acylation of amino-alcohols **2**, **4** and **6** were determined using

- 310 Lineweaver-Burk reciprocal plots.
- 311
- 312



**Fig. 1.** Reciprocal initial rates of myristic acid conversion (**A**), 4-amino-1-pentanol *O*acylation (**B**) and 4-amino-1-pentanol *N*-acylation (**C**) versus reciprocal 4-amino-1-pentanol concentrations. Reactions were carried out at 55°C using a fixed concentration of myristic acid (175 mM) and 5 g l<sup>-1</sup> of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 in 2 ml of *tert*-amyl alcohol. The data represent the averages of triplicate runs whose standard deviations were always lower than 15%.

319

313

320

321 As shown on Fig. 1, which describes the acylation of 4-amino-1-pentanol **4** in *tert*-amyl 322 alcohol, a decrease in the initial rates of myristic acid **1** conversion, *O*-acylation and *N*-323 acylation, occurred when amino-alcohol was in excess ( $\geq$  175 mM). This phenomenon was 324 also noticed on the acylation kinetic profile of alaninol 2 and 6-amino-1-hexanol 6, in both 325 organic solvents and ionic liquid and could be attributed to an inhibitor effect similar to 326 excess substrate inhibition. However, it was not observed in a previous work in which we 327 performed the O-acylation of 2-butanol starting from myristic acid as an acyl donor under 328 similar experimental conditions [60]. Thus, the decrease in initial rates is most likely due to an 329 interaction between myristic acid 1 and the amino group of the amino-alcohol. Indeed, the 330 presence of an amino substrate and a fatty acid substrate in an organic solvent generally leads 331 to the formation of an ion-pair complex between both substrates, depending on the acido-332 basic conditions of the medium [46, 53, 59]. This salt complex makes the ion forms of both substrates non reactive (NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> amine form and COO<sup>-</sup> fatty acid form) and therefore leads to 333 334 the overestimation of the substrate concentrations that are actually available to the enzyme in 335 the reaction medium. Maugard et al. [53] previously described this ion-pair complex as a 336 limiting factor in lipase-catalyzed acylation, under conditions where it is less soluble than free 337 substrates. To verify this hypothesis, the composition of the medium, in particular the 338 carbonyl species was analyzed by IR spectroscopy at the start of the reaction using various 339 concentrations of amino-alcohol 2 in tert-amyl alcohol as a reaction solvent. When myristic acid 1 alone was totally solubilized, only one carbonyl band was observed at 1710 cm  $^{-1}$ 340 341 (Table 2, entry 1), corresponding to the acid form. When the concentration of amino-alcohol 2 342 was increased in the media, a decrease in the area of the carbonyl acid band  $(1710 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  was concomitant with an increase in the area of the carboxylate band (1562 cm<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 2, entries 343 344 2 to 5). This demonstrates the formation of an ion-pair complex between substrates 1 and 2.

345

346 Table 2

Entry

[Alaninol 2]

IR band

Quantification of IR spectrum bands of mixtures containing 175 mM of myristic acid 1 and
 various concentrations of alaninol 2 in *tert*-amyl alcohol.

	(mM)	1710 cm <sup>-1</sup> (-COOH) (Area in %)	1562 cm <sup>-1</sup> (-COO <sup>-</sup> ) (Area in %)
1	0	100	0
2	50	64	36
3	100	26	74
4	175	7	93
5	250	5	95

350 Due to the inhibitor effect of the ion-pair complex at high substrate concentrations, the apparent kinetic parameters ( $k_{cat,app}$  and  $K_{m,app}$ ) were obtained from Lineweaver-Burk 351 352 reciprocal plots corresponding to amino-alcohols concentrations lower than 175 mM. Indeed, 353 no decrease in initial rates and de facto no inhibition due to the ion-pair complex formation was observed for these amino-alcohol concentrations. Thus, for amino-alcohol 4 acylation in 354 tert-amyl alcohol (Fig. 1), the  $k_{cat,app}$  values were 86 min<sup>-1</sup> for O-acylation (Fig. 1B) and 13 355 min<sup>-1</sup> for *N*-acylation (Fig. 1C) and 99 min<sup>-1</sup> for myristic acid **1** conversion (Fig. 1A) and the 356  $K_{m,app}$  value of amino-alcohol 4 acylation was 75 mM (Fig. 1A). The apparent kinetic 357 358 parameters, the chemoselectivity ratio (C), as well as the log P values of amino-alcohols, are 359 summarized in Table 3. The log P value is defined as the logarithm of the partition coefficient 360 of a given compound in a standard octanol/water biphasic system [61]. This parameter 361 characterizes the hydrophobicity of a compound: the higher the log P value, the more 362 hydrophobic the compound [62, 63].

363

### 364 Table 3

365 Apparent kinetic parameters and chemoselectivity ratios of the Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 catalyzed 366 acylation of amino-alcohols **2**, **4** and **6** carried out at 55°C in *n*-hexane, *tert*-amyl alcohol or 367 Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] as a reaction solvent, using 175 mM of myristic acid **1** as an acyl donor.

Entry	Solvent	Amino-alcohol	<i>k<sub>cat, app</sub></i> of myristic acid 1 conversion (min <sup>-1</sup> )	k <sub>cat, app</sub> of O- acylation (min <sup>-1</sup> )	k <sub>cat, app</sub> of N- acylation (min <sup>-1</sup> )	K <sub>m,app</sub> (mM)	<b>C</b> <sup>c</sup>
-------	---------	---------------	---	--	--	----------------------------	-----------------------

1	n-hexane		357	14	114	18.7	0.12
2	<i>tert</i> -amyl alcohol	(±)-alaninol 2 (log P -0.96)	58	$nd^a$	51	182	<0.001
3	Bmim [PF <sub>6</sub> ]		33	2	27	69.7	0.07
4	<i>n</i> -hexane		241	231	0 <sup>b</sup>	35.3	>1000
5	<i>tert</i> -amyl alcohol	$(\pm)$ -4-amino- 1-pentanol 4 $(\log P - 0.43)$	99	86	13	75	6.61
6	Bmim [PF <sub>6</sub> ]	(log r -0.45)	38	27	0 <sup>b</sup>	72.2	>1000
7	n-hexane		551	539	1	53	539
8	<i>tert</i> -amyl alcohol	6-amino-1- hexanol <b>6</b> (log P -0.01)	136	126	12	63	10,5
9	Bmim [PF <sub>6</sub> ]	( 6 000-)	44	41	$0^{\mathrm{b}}$	45	>1000

<sup>a</sup> Not detected

369 <sup>b</sup> The acylated product was detected at a concentration too low to be quantified.

<sup>c</sup> The chemoselectivity ratio calculated via the apparent catalytic constant ratio (Eq. (2)).

371

Table 3 provides a number of key information. In order to facilitate the comparison of the 372 373 parameters described in this table, the effect of solvent nature on the  $K_{m,app}$ ,  $k_{cat,app}$  and 374 chemoselectivity is discussed separately.

#### 375 • Effect on the $K_{m,app}$

The K<sub>m,app</sub> of amino-alcohol 2 in tert-amyl alcohol (182 mM) was 2- and 2.5-fold higher than 376 the  $K_{m,app}$  of long chain amino-alcohols 4 (75 mM) and 6 (63 mM), respectively (Table 3, 377 entries 2, 5 and 8). This indicates a lower affinity of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 for short chain amino-378 379 alcohol 2. The same phenomenon is observed using Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] (Table 3, entries 3, 6 and 9). In contrast, the  $K_{m,app}$  of short chain amino-alcohol 2 in *n*-hexane (18.7 mM) was 1.5- and 2-380 381 fold lower than the  $K_{m,app}$  of long chain amino-alcohols 4 (35.3 mM) and 6 (53 mM), respectively (Table 3, entries 1, 4 and 7), which indicates a switch in the affinity of 382 Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 in favour of the short chain amino-alcohol 2. 383

384 To date, a clear consensus has not yet emerged on the parameters to quantitatively describe 385 solvents and their influence on enzymatic reactions. However, it is well known that solvent

386 hydrophobicity is a key factor, whose influence can be evaluated using the log P value [62, 387 64-65]. The solvent effect can be analysed using the probable relationship between enzyme 388 activity and substrate solvation. In a hydrophilic solvent such as tert-amyl alcohol (log P 0.89) 389 or Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] (log P -2.36), the solvation of the highly hydrophilic amino-alcohol 2 (log P -390 0.96) is favoured to the detriment of its availability for the enzyme. Thus, the affinity of the 391 lipase for amino-alcohol 2 is lower than its affinity for other amino-alcohols in hydrophilic 392 solvents, resulting in a higher  $K_{m,app}$  value (Table 3). In contrast, in a hydrophobic solvent 393 such as *n*-hexane (log P 3.90), the solvation of an amino-alcohol is favoured when its 394 hydrophilicity decreases, the solvation of the more hydrophilic amino-alcohol 2 is therefore 395 not favoured and this benefits its availability for the enzyme. Thus, the affinity of the lipase 396 for amino-alcohol 2 is higher than its affinity for other amino-alcohols in hydrophobic 397 solvents, resulting in a lower  $K_{m,app}$  value (Table 3). In addition, Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 consisting in 398 Candida antarctica lipase B immobilized on a positively charged acrylic polar resin, solvation 399 of the biocatalyst would not be favoured in a hydrophobic solvent: as a concequence, this 400 promote biocatalyst availability for polar substrates such as amino-alcohols in *n*-hexane, 401 resulting in a lower  $K_{m,app}$  value. These results are in accordance with several studies that have 402 demonstrated that the use of various supports (polar or apolar) allows to modulate activity and 403 selectivity of a particular immobilized lipase used in various solvents [17].

# 404 • *Ef*

### Effect on the $k_{cat,app}$ of myristic acid conversion

405 In this work, the  $k_{cat,app}$  of myristic acid conversion is a representative parameter of the 406 biocatalyst activity for the amino-alcohol acylation. Indeed, the  $k_{cat,app}$  of myristic acid 407 conversion corresponds to the sum of  $k_{cat,app}$  of mono-*N*-acylation, mono-*O*-acylation and 408 amido-ester synthesis.

409 *n*-Hexane was the most effective reaction solvent, in terms of  $k_{cat,app}$  of myristic acid **1** 410 conversion, to enhance the activity of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435, regardless of the three amino-alcohols

411 tested. Catalytic activity was lowest using Bmim  $[PF_6]$ . The  $k_{cat,app}$  of myristic acid 1 conversion from the acylation of amino-alcohol 2 in *n*-hexane (357 min<sup>-1</sup>) was 6- and 11-fold 412 higher than the  $k_{\text{cat,app}}$  of myristic acid 1 conversion in *tert*-amyl alcohol (58 min<sup>-1</sup>) and in 413 414 Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] (33 min<sup>-1</sup>), respectively (Table 3, entries 1 to 3). These results are not surprising 415 given that tert-amyl alcohol and Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] are the most polar of the three solvents used. 416 Indeed, the polarity of solvents is well known to dramatically influence the catalytic activity 417 of enzymes [64-69]. Extremely or intermediary hydrophilic polar solvents, such as tert-amyl 418 alcohol, interact with the absolute amount of water, indispensable in the acquisition and the 419 maintenance of enzyme conformation. These solvents strip off water molecules from the 420 enzyme, resulting in either a change in the conformation and flexibility of the enzyme or a 421 negative effect on the transition state stability and, thus, on catalytic activity. Apart from their 422 potential interactions with water molecules, hydrophilic polar solvents also interact with the 423 secondary structure of the enzyme via multiple hydrogen bonds and via other strong 424 interactions. This can lead to an alteration in the protein conformation and thus a negative 425 effect on catalytic activity.

426 However, the low  $k_{\text{cat,app}}$  of myristic acid 1 conversion obtained in Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] (Table 3, 427 entries 3, 6 and 9) can also be explained by limiting factors such as diffusion and mass-428 transfer limitations associated with the high viscosity of this ionic liquid [70, 71]. Moreover, 429 anions such as  $[PF_6]$  can strongly interact with the positively charged sites in the *Candida* 430 antarctica lipase B structure, potentially causing conformational changes [72]. In addition, it 431 must be taken into consideration that ionic liquids form ion-pairs that can interact with both 432 the carboxyl group of fatty acid and amino groups of amino-alcohols. On a one hand, these 433 interactions could modulate the ionization state of the substrates and the enzyme and thus 434 affect catalytic activity. On the other hand, they may stabilize substrate ionic form and 435 promote as a result the formation of an ion-pair complex between both substrates. This would cause higher limitation of substrate availability in Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] than in *n*-hexane and *tert*-amylalcohol.

# 438 • Effect on the chemoselectivity

The chemoselectivity of the Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435-catalyzed acylation of the three amino-alcohols 439 440 was evaluated using the chemoselectivity ratio (C) (Eq. (2)). The acylation of amino-alcohol 2 441 in *n*-hexane and Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] gave similar C values, close to 0.1 (Table 3, entries 1 and 3), as 442 no ester 3b was detected in tert-amyl alcohol (Table 3, entry 2). In contrast, C values of 539 443 and 10.5 were obtained for the acylation of amino-alcohol 6 in n-hexane and tert-amyl 444 alcohol, respectively (Table 3, entries 7 and 8), when only trace amounts of amide 7a were 445 detected in Bmim  $[PF_6]$  (Table 3, entry 9) (the experimental detection limit by LC-MS 446 analysis was 2  $\mu$ M). Similarly, C value of 6.61 was observed for the acylation of amino-447 alcohol 4 in tert-amyl alcohol (Table 3, entry 5) while amide 5a was detected as trace amounts not only in Bmim [PF6] but also in n-hexane (Table 3, entries 4 and 6). According to 448 these data, Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 shows higher selectivity towards *N*-acylation of amino-alcohol 2, 449 450 which has two carbons between the alcohol and amino groups. Moreover, we can also conclude that Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 is more chemoselective for *O*-acylation of substrates **4** and **6**, 451 452 which are long chain amino-alcohols exhibiting four and six carbons between their alcohol 453 and amino groups, respectively.

In addition, the fact that the higher  $k_{cat, app}$  of *O*-acylation was obtained with amino-alcohol **6** (Table 3, entries 7 to 9) in comparison with amino-alcohol **4** (Table 3, entries 4 to 6) can be explained not only by the increase in the carbon chain length but also by the fact that aminoalcohol **6** is a primary alcohol while amino-alcohol **4** is a secondary one. Indeed, it has been widely demonstrated in the litterature that lipase-catalyzed acylation of primary alcohols generaly occurs with a higher catalytic activity than the acylation of secondary alcohols [73-75].

461 3.2. Effect of the solvent and of the amino-alcohol concentration on the
462 production of acylated amino-alcohols catalyzed by Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435

• Time-course of alaninol acylation in tert-amyl alcohol, n-hexane and Bmim [PF6]

Prior to study the Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435-catalyzed acylation of amino-alcohols **2**, **4** and **6** in terms of production at a fixed time, the reaction was carried out in *n*-hexane, *tert*-amyl-alcohol and Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>], using 35 mM amino-alcohol and 175 mM myristic acid **1**, and monitored over time.





477 Reactions were carried out at 55°C using 5 g  $\Gamma^1$  of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435.

Fig. 2 shows the time-course of alaninol **2** acylation. During this reaction conducted in Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] (Fig. 2C), ester **3a** was detected only as trace amounts. On the other hand, amide **3a** was the main product synthezised in *tert*-amyl alcohol at a stationary state reached after 96 hours with a yield close to 100% (based on starting alaninol **2**) (Fig. 2B), whereas it was predominant only over the first 30 minutes and 24 hours of reactions effected in *n*-hexane and Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>], respectively (Fig. 2 A and C). After these times, amide **3a** was then consumed to the benefit of amido-ester **3c** synthesis, which became the main product after 96 hours with

<sup>478</sup> 

486 yields close to 99% and 90% in *n*-hexane and Bmim  $[PF_6]$ , respectively (based on starting 487 alaninol **2**). Interestingly, this stationary phase was also reached after 96 hours for reactions 488 involving amino-alcohols **4** and **6**, whatever the solvent (data not shown). On the other hand, 489 the time-course of the increase in amido-ester concentration was clearly concomitant with the 490 decrease in amide concentration.

491 These results suggest that the synthesis of the amido-ester proceeds in two steps which might492 follow two hypothetic sequences:

493	•	(1) either the $N$ -acylation of alaninol <b>2</b> occurs first and is then followed by the
494		O-acylation of the amide synthesized in the first step;
495	•	(2) or the <i>O</i> -acylation of alaninol $2$ occurs first and is then followed by the <i>N</i> -
496		acylation of the ester synthesized in the first step. This second hypothesis
497		would, however, necessarily imply a reason to make the ester undetectable all
498		along the reaction time-course. Two possibilities would explain this: either the
499		rate of ester N-acylation is at least as fast as the rate of alaninol 2 O-acylation,
500		or the ester is transacylated into the amide via a kinetically controlled process
501		involving the formation of the acyl enzyme from the ester that would be
502		released by the attack of the amine, as reported by Volker Kasche works to
503		describe the mechanism of amidase-catalyzed amine acylation using esters as
504		acyl donors [76].

Moreover, we focused in a previous work on the kinetic analysis of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435-catalyzed acylation of a mono-alcohol and a mono-amine structurally related to alaninol **2** carried out in *tert*-amyl alcohol under similar experimental conditions. We demonstrated then that (R)-2butanol *O*-acylation rate was 22-fold faster than (R)-*sec*-butylamine *N*-acylation rate [60]. On this basis, we would expect the ester intermediate to accumulate in the reaction medium 510 before being converted into the amido-ester, which is, however, not the case: this ester 511 intermediate is detected at best as trace amounts whatever the reaction time or the solvent 512 tested. In addition, we have also shown that the N-acylation rates obtained for the enzymatic 513 acylation of bifunctionnal compounds such as 1-methoxy-2-propylamine and 1,2diaminopropane, catalyzed by Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 in *tert*-amyl alcohol at 55°C, were 8- and 38-514 515 fold higher, respectively, than the N-acylation rate of the related mono-amine (sec-516 butylamine) [77]. These bifunctionnal compounds are structurally related to alaninol 2 but 517 exhibit no alcohol group in their structure and thus cannot possibly be O-acylated. For this 518 reason, we formulated the following postulate for amino-alcohol acylation: the presence of an 519 alcohol group in  $\beta$ -position of the amino group of the acyl acceptor would result in the 520 enhancement in the rate of N-acylation. This may be due to the formation of an intramolecular 521 interaction between the amino group and the alcohol group located in  $\beta$ -position, which is 522 strengthened by the fact that this interaction could not occur for amino-alcohols 4 and 6, 523 considering the longer distance between both functional groups, giving as a result low rates of 524 N-acylation. In order to confirm and develop this new postulate, molecular modelling studies were conducted to precisely understand the Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435-catalyzed N-acylation mechanism 525 526 using a methoxy-amine, a di-amine, a short chain amino-alcohol or a long chain amino-527 alcohol as an acyl acceptor. These studies recently led to propose a new proton shuttle reaction mechanism to explain chemoselectivity for Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435-catalyzed N-acylation of 528 529 alaninol 2 and 4-amino-1-pentanol 4 [26]. As a consequence, all these results seem to rule out 530 the hypothetic sequence 2 and suggest that the amide is a better substrate than the aminoalcohol for enzymatic O-acylation, especially when using n-hexane: the highest amido-ester 531 **3c** synthesis rate of 7 mmol. $h^{-1}$ .g<sup>-1</sup> was obtained in this solvent. 532

Finally, to identify optimal, highly selective conditions required to produce monoacylated and
diacylated compounds, the influences of the amino-alcohol concentration and substrate molar

535 ratio r ([myristic acid 1]/[amino-alcohol]) were investigated. All experiments were performed 536 using the same conditions as in section 3.1. Figs. 3, 4 and 5 show the product distribution in 537 n-hexane, tert-amyl alcohol and Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] solvents, respectively, after 96 hours of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435-catalyzed acylation of amino-alcohols 2, 4 and 6. This reaction time was 538 539 chosen to reach a stationary phase for the production of acylated amino-alcohols under all 540 experimental conditions such as previously described.

#### 541 Production of acylated amino-alcohols in n-hexane

542



544 Fig. 3. Effect of amino-alcohol concentration and substrate molar ratio  $\mathbf{r}$  on the enzymatic production of acylated amino-alcohols in *n*-hexane, at 96 hours: ester  $(\bullet)$ , amide  $(\bigcirc)$  and 545 amido-ester (♥). Reactions were carried out at 55°C using amino-alcohols 2 (A), 4 (B) or 6 546 (C), with a fixed concentration of myristic acid  $\mathbf{1}$  (175 mM) and 5 g l<sup>-1</sup> of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435. 547

548

549 The data in Fig. 3 demonstrate that the use of *n*-hexane gives two major products, depending 550 on the **r**-value. At initial amino-alcohol concentrations inferior or equal to 100 mM ( $\mathbf{r} \ge 1.75$ ), the selective production of amido-esters 3c, 5c or 7c is improved, up to 49 mM for amido-551 552 ester 3c production (56% yield based on starting myristic acid 1). Amino-alcohol concentrations superior to 100 mM ( $\mathbf{r} < 1.75$ ) enhances the production of monoacylated 553 554 compounds. The synthesis of amides from amino-alcohols 2 and 4 reached 166 mM for amide 555 3a and 138 mM for amide 5a (95% and 79% yields based on starting myristic acid 1) under 556 stoichiometric conditions (Fig. 3A and 3B). Moreover, ester 3b was not detected and ester 5b 557 was negligible. Hence, stoichiometric conditions are the optimal conditions for acylation of 558 amino-alcohols 2 and 4, and favour both the synthesis yield and the chemoselectivity of amide 559 production. On the other hand, the production of ester 7b from the longest amino-alcohol 6 (6 560 carbons) (Fig. 3C) was improved and reached up to 68 mM (39% yield based on starting 561 myristic acid 1) using 100 mM starting amino-alcohol 6. Again, this change in the 562 chemoselectivity of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 at 96 hours, which is shown to be dependent on the 563 carbon chain length between the alcohol and amino groups of the amino-alcohol, was in 564 accordance with its chemoselectivity under initial velocity conditions, previously described in 565 section 3.1. An interesting result of this study, which should be highlighted, is the decrease in 566 the global conversion yield observed when a large excess (350 mM) of amino-alcohol ( $\mathbf{r}$  < 567 (0.7) is used. This is true for all amino-alcohols tested. The decrease could be explained by the 568 formation of a non-reactive ion-pair complex between myristic acid 1 and the amino-alcohol 569 as also described in section 3.1. Other studies have already demonstrated that the use of non-570 polar solvents such as *n*-hexane promotes and stabilizes the formation of an ion-pair complex 571 because polar amino-alcohols are slightly solubilized while ion-pair complex forms of amino-572 alcohols are highly solubilized in these solvents [46]. This is due to the carboxylic acid 573 function playing the role of phase transfer agent for the amino-alcohol, which improves its 574 solubility. The formation of this complex would indeed drastically affect the availability of 575 both substrates for the enzyme, especially in excess of the amino-alcohol.

576

• Production of acylated amino-alcohols in tert-amyl alcohol

577



**Fig. 4.** Effect of amino-alcohol concentration and substrate molar ratio **r** on the enzymatic production of acylated amino-alcohols in *tert*-amyl alcohol, at 96 hours: ester ( $\bigcirc$ ), amide (O) and amido-ester ( $\nabla$ ). Reactions were carried out at 55°C using amino-alcohols **2** (A), **4** (B) or **6** (C), with a fixed concentration of myristic acid **1** (175 mM) and 5 g l<sup>-1</sup> of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435.

585

586 Similar experiments were performed using tert-amyl alcohol as a polar protic solvent (Fig. 4). 587 The acylation of amino-alcohol 2 in tert-amyl alcohol (Fig. 4A) leads predominantly to the 588 production of amide 3a and was enhanced by an increase in amino-alcohol 2 concentration, 589 reaching a maximum value of 172 mM (98% yield based on starting myristic acid 1) for 590 initial amino-alcohol 2 concentrations superior or equal to 250 mM. However, ester 3b was 591 not detected and the production of amido-ester 3c was negligible. Acylation of amino-592 alcohols 4 and 6 (Fig. 4B and 4C) studies were also performed: esters 5b and 7b were 593 detected and quantified although their production remained low. On the other hand, the 594 production of amido-esters 5c and 7c were predominant and reached maxima of 30 mM and 595 20 mM (34% and 23% yields based on starting myristic acid 1), respectively, for 596 concentrations in long chain amino-alcohol lower than 50 mM ( $\mathbf{r} > 3.5$ ). The production of 597 amides 5a and 7a was improved for concentrations higher than 50 mM (r < 3.5). Under the 598 best conditions used (250 mM starting amino-alcohol 4 or 6), production close to 53 mM of 599 amides 5a or 7a (30% yield based on starting myristic acid 1) was reached.

On the other hand, in contrast to the results obtained in *n*-hexane, no decrease of global yield was observed in *tert*-amyl alcohol when a large excess (350 mM) of amino-alcohol (r < 0.7) was used. This could be explained by the likely complete solubilization of substrates in this solvent which would make the ion-pair complex formation less favoured and less stable than in *n*-hexane. Both substrates would be therefore more available for the enzymatic reaction than in *n*-hexane.

In summary, amide production at 96 hours is improved in *tert*-amyl alcohol for all aminoalcohols tested, although acylation catalyzed by Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 of amino-alcohols exhibits higher  $k_{\text{cat,app}}$  of *O*-acylation than  $k_{\text{cat,app}}$  of *N*-acylation as described in section 3.1. These results suggest that Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 favours the synthesis of the amide as a thermodynamic product whereas the ester, which is preferentially synthesized under initial velocity conditions, can be considered as a kinetic product.

# • Production of acylated amino-alcohols in Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>]

613





620 Finally, Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] was tested as a solvent (Fig. 5). The use of 100 mM amino-alcohol (low 621 excess of myristic acid 1;  $\mathbf{r} = 1.75$ ) in Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] gave the highest amido-ester production of 622 all our experiments, reaching 77, 65 and 20 mM of amido-esters 3c, 5c and 7c (88%, 74% and 623 23% yields based on starting myristic acid 1), respectively. However, when amino-alcohol 624 concentration was higher than 130 mM ( $\mathbf{r} < 1.35$ ) a sharp decrease in amido-ester production 625 was observed. In contrast, the production of esters 5b and 7b (Fig. 5B and 5C) never 626 exceeded 20 mM (11% yield based on starting myristic acid 1) and the production of amides 627 was enhanced when amino-alcohol concentration increased from 100 to 175 mM (1.75 > r >628 1), reaching up to 74 mM of amide 5a (42% yield based on starting myristic acid 1) under 629 stoichiometric conditions.

### 630 **4. Conclusion**

631 In this work, we firstly evaluated the effect of reaction solvent on the activity and chemoselectivity of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 (immobilized Candida antarctica lipase B) for the 632 633 acylation of three amino-alcohols (alaninol 2, 4-amino-1-pentanol 4 and 6-amino-1-hexanol 634 6) using myristic acid 1 as an acyl donor. Our results suggest that the nature of the solvent 635 affects both the availability of substrates and the catalytic activity of the enzyme. In contrast, we also found that the chemoselectivity of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 under initial velocity conditions is 636 637 mainly affected by amino-alcohol structure rather than by the reaction solvent. In particular, 638 we have shown that the  $k_{\text{cat,app}}$  of N-acylation is improved when using the short chain amino-639 alcohol alaninol 2 whereas the  $k_{cat,app}$  of O-acylation is improved when using the longer chain 640 amino-alcohols 4-amino-1-pentanol 4 and 6-amino-1-hexanol 6. This demonstrates the strong influence of substrate structure on the chemoselectivity of Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435, and provides new 641 642 insights into the selective synthesis of amides or esters produced from the acylation of 643 bifunctional substrates.

Furthermore, we also investigated the impact of amino-alcohol structure, solvent nature and substrate molar ratio on enzymatic production obtained at a stationary state after 96 hours of reaction. From a general point of view, our work clearly demonstrates clearly that the production of acylated amino-alcohols catalyzed by Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 after 96 hours is markedly influenced by three main parameters:

- Reaction solvent: *tert*-amyl alcohol improves the production of amides 3a, 5a and 7a
  while Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>] favours the formation of amido-esters 3a and 5a.
- [myristic acid 1]/[amino-alcohol] molar ratio (r): under stoichiometric conditions and
  in excess of the amino-alcohol (r ≤ 1) in *tert*-amyl alcohol and *n*-hexane, the
  production of monoacylated amino-alcohols is improved in most cases. On the other
  hand, a large excess of myristic acid 1 (r > 1) enhances amido-ester production in *n*hexane and Bmim [PF<sub>6</sub>].
- Amino-alcohol structure: chemoselective production of monoacylated amino-alcohols
   is markedly affected by the amino-alcohol structure. Using *n*-hexane and in excess of
   amino-alcohol, amide production from alaninol 2 and ester production from the longer
   chain amino-alcohol 6-amino-1-hexanol 6 were favoured.

Together with our previously published work [60, 77], these data give a clearer understanding of the parameters affecting the Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435-catalyzed acylation of amino-alcohols, which is important in order to maximize both the yields and the chemoselectivity of these reactions. In addition, *n*-hexane and *tert*-amyl alcohol used as solvents in this work can potentially be replaced by other organic solvents with similar properties but considered as more environmentally friendly, such as for example: cyclopentane and *tert*-butanol.

As prospect, it is also conceivable to test the influence of other parameters to modulate lipase properties, for example the use of various supports to immobilize *Candida antarctica* lipase B. Indeed, the use of various immobilization protocols to produce a biocatalyst starting from a
particular lipase has been shown to significantly affecting its catalytic activity and selectivity,
depending on the solvent used [28-29]. On the other hand, it would also be interesting to test
other lipases as biocatalysts, such as for instance *Rhizomucor miehei* lipase which has already
been used to acylate amino-alcohol substrates [5].

# 674 Acknowledgments

- 675 This study was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and the French
- 676 ANR (National Research Agency) through the EXPENANTIO project (CP2P program:
- 677 Chimie et Procédés pour le Développement Durable).

- 679 References
- 680 [1] M. Bakke, M. Takizawa, T. Sugai, H. Ohta, P. Herold, J. Org. Chem. 63 (1998) 6929681 6938.
- 682 [2] H. Garg, N. Francella, K.A. Tony, L.A. Augustine, J.J. Jr Barchi, J. Fantini, A. Puri,
- 683 D.R. Mootoo, R. Blumenthal, Antiviral. Res. 80 (2008) 54-61.
- 684 [3] M. Fillet, M. Bentires-Alj, V. Deregowski, R. Greimers, J. Gielen, J. Piette, V. Bours,
- 685 M.P. Merville, Biochem. Pharmacol. 65 (2003) 1633-1642.
- 686 [4] J.F. Molina, Household and personal care today 2 (2008) 12-15.
- 687 [5] L. Lassalle, F. Yvergnaux, FR Patent 2,855,823 (2004).
- 688 [6] E.L. Soo, A.B. Salleh, M. Basri, R.N.Z.R.A. Rahman, K. Kamaruddin, J. Biosci.
  689 Bioeng. 95 (2003) 361-367.
- 690 [7] A. Singh, J.D. Van Hamme, O.P. Ward, Biotech. Adv. 25 (2007) 99-121.
- 691 [8] T. Maugard, M. Remaud-Simeon, P. Petre, P. Monsan, Tetrahedron 53 (1997) 5185692 5194.
- 693 [9] K. Fukunaga, M. Yoshida, F. Nakajima, R. Uematsu, M. Hara, S. Inoue, H. Kondo, S.
- 694 I. Nishimura, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 13 (2003) 813-815.
- 695 [10] J. Yin, H. Liu, C. Pidgeon, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 8 (1998) 179-182.
- 696 [11] J. Tao, J. H. Xu, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 13 (2009) 43-50.
- 697 [12] B.M. Nestl, B.A. Nebel, B. Hauer, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 15 (2011) 187-193.
- 698 [13] F. Björkling, S.E. Godtfredsen, O. Kirk, Trends Biotechnol. 9 (1991) 360-363.
- 699 [14] K.E. Jaeger, S. Ransac, B. Dijkstra, C. Colson, M. Van Heuvel, O. Misset, Federation
- 700 of European Microbiological Societies Microbiology Reviews 15 (1994) 29-63.

- 701 [15] D. Sharma, B. Sharma, A.K. Shukla, Biotechnol. 10 (2011) 23-40.
- 702 [16] H. Ogino, H. Ishikawa, J. Biosci. Bioeng. 91 (2001) 109-116.
- 703 [17] E.P. Hudson, R.K. Eppler, D.S. Clark, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 16 (2005) 637-643.
- 704 [18] N. Doukyu, H. Ogino, Biochem. Eng. J. 48 (2010) 270-282.
- 705 [19] E.M. Anderson, K.M. Larsson, O. Kirk, Biocatal. Biotransform. 16 (1998) 181-204.
- 706 [20] R. Sharma, Y. Chisti, U. Chand Banerjee, Biotechnol. Adv. 19 (2001) 627-662.
- 707 [21] R.N. Patel, J. Liposome Res. 11 (2001) 355-393.
- R. Aravindan, P. Anbumathi, T. Viruthagiri, Indian Journal of Biotechnology 6 (2007)
  141-158.
- 710 [23] L. Alberghina, M. Lotti, Chem. Phys. Lipids 93 (1998) 1-216.
- 711 [24] T. Ema, Curr. Org. Chem. 8 (2004) 1009-1025.
- 712 [25] L. Chebil, C. Humeau, A. Falcimaigne, J-M. Engasser, M. Ghoul, Process Biochem.
  713 41 (2006) 2237-2251.
- 714 [26] P.O. Syren, F. Le Joubioux, Y. Ben Henda, T. Maugard, K. Hult, M. Graber,
  715 ChemCatChem 5 (2013), doi: 10.1002/cctc.201200751.
- 716 [27] A.M. Gumel, M.S.M. Annuar, T. Heidelberg, Y. Chisti, Process Biochem. 46 (2011)
  717 2079-2090.
- 718 [28] C. Mateo, J.M. Palomo, G. Fernandez-Lorente, J.M. Guisan, R. Fernandez-Lafuente,
  719 Enzyme and Microbial Technol. 40 (2007) 1451-1463.
- 720 [29] O. Barbosa, C. Ortiz, R. Torres, R. Fernandez-Lafuente, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym. 71
  721 (2011), 124-132.
- 722 [30] F. Van Rantwijk, R.M. Lau, R.A. Sheldon, Trends Biotechnol. 21 (2003) 131–138.

- 723 [31] P. Lozano, T. De Diego, D. Carrie, M. Vaultier, J.L. Iborra, 23 (2001) 1529–1533.
- 724 [32] B. Fu, P.T. Vasudevan, Energ. Fuel. 24 (2010) 4646-4651.
- 725 [33] M. Graber, V. Leonard, Z. Marton, C. Cusatis, S. Lamare, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.
  726 52-53 (2008) 121-127.
- 727 [34] V. Leonard, S. Lamare, M.D. Legoy, M. Graber, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym. 32 (2004)
  728 53-59.
- 729 [35] Z.Q. Duan, W. Du, D.H. Liu, Bioresource Technol. 102 (2011) 11048-11050.
- 730 [36] R. Irimescu, K. Kato, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym. 30 (2004) 189–94.
- 731 [37] R. Madeira Lau, F. Van Rantwijk, K.R. Seddon, R.A. Sheldon, Org. Lett. 2 (2000)
  732 4189–4191.
- [38] F.J. Hernández-Fernández, A.P. De Los Ríos, L.J. Lozano-Blanco, C. Godínez, J.
  Chem. Technol. Biot. 85 (2010) 1423-1435.
- 735 [39] P. Lozano, T De Diego, D. Carrie, M. Vaultier, J.L. Iborra, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.
  736 21 (2003) 9–13.
- 737 [40] R.A. Sheldon, R. Madeira Lau, M.J. Sorgedrager, F. Van Rantwijk, K.R. Seddon,
  738 Green Chem. 4 (2002) 147–151.
- 739 [41] C. Roosen, P. Müller, L. Greiner, L. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 81 (2008) 607-614.
- 740 [42] V. Gotor-Fernandez, V. Gotor, Curr. Org. Chem. 10 (2006) 1125–1143.
- 741 [43] N. Jain, A. Kumar, S. Chauhan, S.M.S. Chauhan, Tetrahedron 61 (2005) 1015–1060.
- 742 [44] C. Pilissão, P. De O. Carvalho, G. Da, M. Nascimento, J. Brazil. Chem. Soc. 21 (2010)
  743 973-977.
- 744 [45] M. Fernandez-Perez, C. Otero, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 33(2003) 650–660.

- 745 [46] M. Fernandez-Perez, C. Otero, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 28 (2001) 527–536.
- 746 [47] V. Gotor, R. Brieva, F. Rebolledo, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. (1988) 957-958.
- 747 [48] T. Furutani, M. Furui, H. Ooshima, J. Kato, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 19 (1996) 578–
  748 584.
- [49] L.T. Kanerva, E. Vanttinen, T.T. Huuhtanen, M. Dahlqvist, Acta chem. Scand. 46
  (1992) 1101–1105.
- 751 [50] O. Torre, V. Gotor-Fernandez, V. Gotor, Tetrahedron Asymmetr. 17 (2006) 860–866.
- 752 [51] S. Tawaki, A.M. Klibanov, Biocatal. Biotransform. 8 (1993) 3-19.
- 753 [52] J. A. Laszloa, M. Jacksona, R. M. Blancob, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym. 69 (2011) 60–65.
- 754 [53] T. Maugard, M. Remaud-Simeon, D. Petre, P. Monsan, Tetrahedron 53 (1997) 7587755 7594.
- 756 [54] R. Fujiia, Y. Utsunomiyaa, J. Hiratake, A. Sogabeb, K. Sakataa, BBA-Mol. Cell. Biol.
  757 L. 1631 (2003) 197–205.
- 758 [55] J. A. Laszloa, M. Jacksona, R. M. Blancob. J. Mol. Catal. B-Enzym. 69 (2011) 60-65.
- 759 [56] A. Fersht, Enzyme Structure and Mechanism, second ed., W. H. Freeman and760 Company, New York, (1985) 98-120.
- 761 [57] S.J. Nara, J.R. Harjani, M.M. Salunkhe, Tetrahedron Lett. 43 (2002) 2979.
- 762 [58] K.P. Dhake, Z.S. Qureshi, R.S. Singhal, B.M. Bhanage, Tetrahedron Letters 50 (2009)
  763 2811–2814.
- [59] E. Husson, C. Humeau, F. Blanchard, X. Framboisier, I. Marc, I. Chevalot, J. Mol.
  Catal. B: Enzym. 55 (2008) 110-117.

- 766 [60] F. Le Joubioux, O. Achour, N. Bridiau, M. Graber, T. Maugard, J. Mol. Catal. B:
  767 Enzym. 70 (2011) 108-113.
- 768 [61] G. Carrea, G. Ottolina, S. Riva, Trends Biotechnol. 13 (1995) 63-70.
- 769 [62] S. Hazarika, P. Goswami, N.N. Dutta, A.K. Hazarika, Chem. Eng. J. 85 (2002) 61-68.
- 770 [63] W.S. He, J.J. Li, X.X. Pan, Y. Zhou, C.S. Jia, X.M. Zhang, Bioresource Technol.
  771 (2012) 114: 1-5.
- 772 [64] C.C. Akoh, L.N. Yee, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym. 4 (1998) 149-153.
- 773 [65] G. De Crescenzo, A. Ducret, M. Trani, R. Lortie, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym. 9 (2000)
- 775 [66] A. Zaks, A.M. Klibanov, J. Biol. Chem. (1988) 263: 3194.
- 776 [67] S. Hari Krishna, S. Divakar, S.G. Prapulla, N.G. Karanth, J. Biotechnol. 87 (2001)
  777 193-201.
- 778 [68] P.V. Iyer, L. Ananthanarayan, Process Biochem. 43 (2008) 1019-1032.
- 779 [69] M. Liaquat, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym. 68 (2011) 59-65.
- 780 [70] P. Hara, U. Hanefeld, L.T. Kanerva, Green Chem. 11 (2009) 250–256.
- 781 [71] Z. Yang, W. Pan, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 37 (2005) 19–28.
- 782 [72] J.L. Kaar, A.M. Jesionowski, J.A. Berberich, R. Moulton, A.J. Russell, J. Am. Chem.
- 783 Soc. 125 (2003) 4125-4131.

49-56.

- 784 [73] G. Ljunger, P. Adlercreutz, B. Mattiasson, Biotechnol. Lett. 16 (1994) 1167-1172.
- 785 [74] P. Ciuffreda, L. Alessandrini, G. Terraneo, E. Santaniello, Tetrahedron Asymmetr.
  786 14 (2003) 3197-3201.
- 787 [75] G.D. Yadav, P.S. Lathi, Enzyme Microb. Tech. 38 (2006) 814-820.
- 788 [76] V. Kasche, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 8 (1986), 4-16.

Mis en forme : Français (France)

- 789 [77] F. Le Joubioux, Y. Ben Henda, N. Bridiau, O. Achour, M. Graber, T. Maugard, J.
- 790 Mol. Catal. B: Enzym. 85-86 (2013) 193-199.
- 791