



HAL
open science

Proof of Wiener-like linear regression of isotropic complex symmetric alpha-stable random variables

Roland Badeau, Antoine Liutkus

► **To cite this version:**

Roland Badeau, Antoine Liutkus. Proof of Wiener-like linear regression of isotropic complex symmetric alpha-stable random variables. 2014. hal-01069612

HAL Id: hal-01069612

<https://hal.science/hal-01069612>

Submitted on 29 Sep 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

PROOF OF WIENER-LIKE LINEAR REGRESSION OF ISOTROPIC COMPLEX SYMMETRIC ALPHA-STABLE RANDOM VARIABLES

Roland Badeau

Antoine Liutkus

Télécom ParisTech, CNRS LTCI
Paris, France
roland.badeau@telecom-paristech.fr

INRIA Nancy - Grand Est
Villers-lès-Nancy, France
antoine.liutkus@inria.fr

This document features supplementary materials to the reference paper [1]. It provides the proof of equation (8) in [1]. This proof concerns a particular regression property of complex isotropic symmetric α -stable random variables (S α S, see [2]). In [1], this property is shown paramount in building efficient filters for separating S α S processes. Such processes exhibit very large dynamic ranges while being locally stationary, and have been shown appropriate for audio modeling.

Proposition 1 (Wiener-like linear regression of isotropic complex S α S random variables). *Let $\alpha \in]0, 2]$. Let s_1 and s_2 be two independent isotropic complex S α S random variables of scale parameters σ_1 and σ_2 , respectively. Let $x = s_1 + s_2$. Then the conditional expectation of s_1 given x is expressed as follows:*

$$\mathbb{E}[s_1|x] = \frac{\sigma_1^\alpha}{\sigma_1^\alpha + \sigma_2^\alpha} x. \quad (1)$$

Proof. Let $s_1^r = \text{Re}(s_1)$, $s_1^i = \text{Im}(s_1)$, $s_2^r = \text{Re}(s_2)$, $s_2^i = \text{Im}(s_2)$, $x^r = \text{Re}(x)$, and $x^i = \text{Im}(x)$, where $\text{Re}(\cdot)$ and $\text{Im}(\cdot)$ denote the real and imaginary parts of complex numbers, respectively. For $j \in \{1, 2\}$, the characteristic function of the isotropic complex S α S random variable s_j is $\phi_{s_j}(\theta_x^r, \theta_x^i) = e^{-\sigma_j^\alpha (|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}$ [2]. Therefore the characteristic function of the random vector $(s_1^r, x^r, x^i) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{(s_1^r, x^r, x^i)}(\theta_1^r, \theta_x^r, \theta_x^i) &= \mathbb{E}[e^{i(\theta_1^r s_1^r + \theta_x^r x^r + \theta_x^i x^i)}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{i(\theta_1^r s_1^r + \theta_x^r (s_1^r + s_2^r) + \theta_x^i (s_1^i + s_2^i))}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{i(\theta_1^r + \theta_x^r) s_1^r + \theta_x^i s_1^i}] \mathbb{E}[e^{i(\theta_x^r s_2^r + \theta_x^i s_2^i)}] \\ &= \phi_{s_1}(\theta_1^r + \theta_x^r, \theta_x^i) \phi_{s_2}(\theta_x^r, \theta_x^i) \\ &= e^{-(\sigma_1^\alpha (|\theta_1^r + \theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + \sigma_2^\alpha (|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Using [2, eq. (5.1.7) p. 226], the conditional characteristic function of s_1^r given x^r and $x^i \in \mathbb{R}$ is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{(s_1^r|x^r, x^i)}(\theta_1^r) &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi_{(s_1^r, x^r, x^i)}(\theta_1^r, \theta_x^r, \theta_x^i) e^{-i(\theta_x^r x^r + \theta_x^i x^i)} d\theta_x^r d\theta_x^i}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi_{(s_1^r, x^r, x^i)}(0, \theta_x^r, \theta_x^i) e^{-i(\theta_x^r x^r + \theta_x^i x^i)} d\theta_x^r d\theta_x^i} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(\sigma_1^\alpha (|\theta_1^r + \theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + \sigma_2^\alpha (|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})} e^{-i(\theta_x^r x^r + \theta_x^i x^i)} d\theta_x^r d\theta_x^i}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(\sigma_1^\alpha + \sigma_2^\alpha)(|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} e^{-i(\theta_x^r x^r + \theta_x^i x^i)} d\theta_x^r d\theta_x^i}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We know that $\mathbb{E}[s_1^r|x^r, x^i]$ is defined if and only if $\phi_{(s_1^r|x^r, x^i)}(\theta_1^r)$ is differentiable at $\theta_1^r = 0$, and that in that case $\frac{d\phi_{(s_1^r|x^r, x^i)}}{d\theta_1^r}(0) = i\mathbb{E}[s_1^r|x^r, x^i]$. Firstly, it is easy to prove that the first order derivative of $\phi_{(s_1^r|x^r, x^i)}$ is well-defined and has the following expression:

$$\frac{d\phi_{(s_1^r|x^r, x^i)}}{d\theta_1^r}(\theta_1^r) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} -\alpha\sigma_1^\alpha (\theta_1^r + \theta_x^r) (|\theta_1^r + \theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} e^{-(\sigma_1^\alpha (|\theta_1^r + \theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + \sigma_2^\alpha (|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})} e^{-i(\theta_x^r x^r + \theta_x^i x^i)} d\theta_x^r d\theta_x^i}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(\sigma_1^\alpha + \sigma_2^\alpha)(|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} e^{-i(\theta_x^r x^r + \theta_x^i x^i)} d\theta_x^r d\theta_x^i}. \quad (3)$$

Indeed, differentiating under the \int sign in the numerator of the last member of (2) is allowed, because the term following the \int sign in the numerator of (3) can be upper bounded by an integrable function independently of $\theta_1^r \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| -\alpha\sigma_1^\alpha(\theta_1^r + \theta_x^r)(|\theta_1^r + \theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} e^{-\left(\sigma_1^\alpha(|\theta_1^r + \theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + \sigma_2^\alpha(|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)} e^{-i(\theta_x^r x^r + \theta_x^i x^i)} \right| \\ & \leq \alpha\sigma_1^\alpha(|\theta_1^r + \theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} e^{-\left(\sigma_1^\alpha(|\theta_1^r + \theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + \sigma_2^\alpha(|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)} \\ & \leq g(|\theta_1^r + \theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2) h(\theta_x^r, \theta_x^i) \\ & \leq \|g\|_\infty h(\theta_x^r, \theta_x^i), \end{aligned}$$

where the nonnegative functions $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ are defined according to the value of α :

- if $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, $g(t) = \alpha\sigma_1^\alpha |t|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} e^{-\sigma_1^\alpha |t|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}$ and $h(\theta_x^r, \theta_x^i) = e^{-\sigma_2^\alpha (|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}$;
- if $0 < \alpha < 1$, $g(t) = \alpha\sigma_1^\alpha e^{-\sigma_1^\alpha |t|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}$ and $h(\theta_x^r, \theta_x^i) = \frac{e^{-\sigma_2^\alpha (|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}}{|\theta_x^i|^{1-\alpha}}$.

Then applying equation (3) to $\theta_1^r = 0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\phi_{(s_1^r|x^r, x^i)}(0)}{d\theta_1^r} &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} -\alpha\sigma_1^\alpha \theta_x^r (|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} e^{-(\sigma_1^\alpha + \sigma_2^\alpha)(|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} e^{-i(\theta_x^r x^r + \theta_x^i x^i)} d\theta_x^r d\theta_x^i}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(\sigma_1^\alpha + \sigma_2^\alpha)(|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} e^{-i(\theta_x^r x^r + \theta_x^i x^i)} d\theta_x^r d\theta_x^i} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_1^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{de^{-(\sigma_1^\alpha + \sigma_2^\alpha)(|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}}{d\theta_x^r} e^{-i(\theta_x^r x^r + \theta_x^i x^i)} d\theta_x^r d\theta_x^i}{\sigma_1^\alpha + \sigma_2^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(\sigma_1^\alpha + \sigma_2^\alpha)(|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} e^{-i(\theta_x^r x^r + \theta_x^i x^i)} d\theta_x^r d\theta_x^i} \\ &= -\frac{\sigma_1^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(\sigma_1^\alpha + \sigma_2^\alpha)(|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \frac{de^{-i(\theta_x^r x^r + \theta_x^i x^i)}}{d\theta_x^r} d\theta_x^r d\theta_x^i}{\sigma_1^\alpha + \sigma_2^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(\sigma_1^\alpha + \sigma_2^\alpha)(|\theta_x^r|^2 + |\theta_x^i|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} e^{-i(\theta_x^r x^r + \theta_x^i x^i)} d\theta_x^r d\theta_x^i} \\ &= i \frac{\sigma_1^\alpha}{\sigma_1^\alpha + \sigma_2^\alpha} x^r. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\mathbb{E}[s_1^r|x^r, x^i] = \frac{\sigma_1^\alpha}{\sigma_1^\alpha + \sigma_2^\alpha} x^r$. In exactly the same way, it is proved that $\mathbb{E}[s_1^i|x^r, x^i] = \frac{\sigma_1^\alpha}{\sigma_1^\alpha + \sigma_2^\alpha} x^i$, which finally proves equation (1). \square

1. REFERENCES

- [1] A. Liutkus and R. Badeau, *Generalized Wiener filtering with fractional power spectrograms*, submitted to IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP), Brisbane, Australia, April 2015.
- [2] G. Samoradnitsky and M. Taqqu, *Stable non-Gaussian random processes: stochastic models with infinite variance*, vol. 1, CRC Press, 1994.