

A beam to 3D model switch in transient dynamic analysis

Mikhael Tannous, Patrice Cartraud, David Dureisseix, Mohamed Torkhani

To cite this version:

Mikhael Tannous, Patrice Cartraud, David Dureisseix, Mohamed Torkhani. A beam to 3D model switch in transient dynamic analysis. Finite Elements in Analysis and Design, 2014, 91, pp.95-107. $10.1016/j.finel.2014.07.003$. hal-01065975

HAL Id: hal-01065975 <https://hal.science/hal-01065975>

Submitted on 6 Oct 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

P. Cartraud, D. Dureisseix, M. Torkhani, A beam to 3D model switch in transient dynamic analysis, Finite Elements in Analysis and Design 91:95-107, 2014. DOI: 10.1016/j.finel.2014.07.003, © 2014, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

A beam to 3D model switch in transient dynamic analysis

, Mikhael Tannous", Patrice Cartraud", David Dureisseix Mohamed Torkhani

 \degree GéM, Ecole Centrale de Nantes b Université de Lyon, LaMCos, INSA de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5259 c LaMSID UMR EDF-CNRS-CEA 2832, EDF R&D, F-92141, Clamart Cedex, France

Transient structural dynamic analyses often exhibit different phases, which enables to use an adaptive modeling. Thus, ^a 3D model is required for a better understanding of local or non-linear effects, whereas a simplified beam model is sufficient for simulating the linear phenomena occurring for a long period of time. This is a preprint of the article that appears on its finit form as: M. Tannous,
P. Cartraud, D. Dureisseis, M. Torkhani, A beam to 3D model switch in
transient dynamic analysis. Finite Elements in Analysis and Design 91:

This paper proposes a method whi
h enables to swit
h from a beam to a 3D model during a transient dynamic analysis, and thus, allows to reduce the computational cost while preserving a good accuracy.

The method is validated through omparisons with a 3D referen
e solution omputed during all the simulation.

Keywords: Transient dynamics, finite elements, switch.

 $Email \; address: \; \texttt{mikhael.tannous@ec-nantes.fr} \; (\text{Mikhael Tannous})$

1. Introduction

Many transient structural dynamic problems require a 3D model in order to accurately account for local effects, that occur along a small period of time. However, a 3D model for the entire structure used during the whole simulation will result in an unaffordable computational cost even on the best nowadays omputational ma
hines and softwares. Sin
e ^a 3D model is required for a better understanding of local or non-linear effects, whereas a simplified beam model is sufficient for simulating the linear phenomena ocurring for a long period of time, an adaptive modeling te
hnique in whi
h a $3D$ and a beam model are used in different phases of the transient dynamic calculations can reduce the computational cost while preserving a good accuracy. We, therefore, present a method that can reduce dramatically the computational cost, for problems where the 3D non linearities are restricted in spa
e and time.

To solve problems for whi
h non linearities are restri
ted in time, one an use a time integration s
heme swit
hing te
hnique su
h as done by Noels et al. $[1]$ for a blade/casing interaction simulation.

For phenomena that are restri
ted in spa
e, i.e. to a small part of the omputational domain, a wide range of methods has been developed. These approa
hes an be divided into exa
t (or dire
t) methods and iterative ones. In the first group we mention the static condensation techniques and the exact structural reanalysis methods, such those used in Hirai et al. $[2]$ $[2]$, the volume patches techniques such as Arlequin (Ben Dhia [3]) and the beam to $3D$ connections or shell to $3D$ connections, that enable to account accurately for lo
al 3D phenomena, while the rest of the model is less omputationally expensive thanks to the beam or shell elements (Kettil and Wiberg $[4]$ $[4]$).

The iterative domain de
omposition methods an be divided into overlapping and non-overlapping domain decomposition methods. In the first group, one finds the Schwarz, semi-Schwarz and semi-Schwarz-Lagrange methods (see Hager et al. $[5]$). Multi-scale methods with patch, such as the finite element patches (Glowinski et al. $[6]$) and the harmonic patches (He et al. [\[7](#page-33-6)]) enable to have a local zoom on the global domain.

Non-overlapping domain de
omposition methods an be lassed into three main categories (Gosselet and Rey $[8]$): the primal approaches (Mandel $[9]$ $[9]$), the dual approaches (FETI method Farhat and Roux $[10]$), the hybrid or mixed approa
hes su
h as FETI-DP whi
h is an improved version of the FETI method that mixes dual and primal approaches (Farhat et al. [11]). FETI has also a multi-scale version such that used in Mobasher Amini et al. [\[12](#page-34-3)] for the computation of ship structures where windows are some centimeters wide, whereas the structure of the ship is hundred of meters long. For similar applications we also find the micro-macro approaches (Ladevèze et al. $[13]$).

Regarding lo
al non-linear phenomena, FETI was enhan
ed to deal with large number of subdomains and can take geometric non linearities into account Farhat et al. $[14]$ $[14]$, and was adapted for contact problems in Avery et al. [15], Avery and Farhat [\[16](#page-35-1)], Dureisseix and Farhat [17]. In Gendre [18], Gendre et al. $[19, 20]$ $[19, 20]$ $[19, 20]$ $[19, 20]$, the authors developed an algorithm that enables to replace the global mesh by a finely meshed local zone, in order to take local non linear effects into consideration with low computational effort.

For problems where non linearities are restricted both in space and time, ^a strategy that allows to use ^a beam model and ^a beam-3D mixed model

at different stages of the transient analysis allows to reduce the computa-tional cost while preserving a good accuracy as illustrated in Fig. [1.](#page-4-0) In fact, the simulation starts at $t = t_0$ with a beam model for a linear simulation, and switches at $t = t_{s1}$ to a beam-3D mixed model when a non linear phenomenon is to take place. The simulation switches back at $t = t_{s2}$ to the beam model for of the rest of the simulation that ends at t_f , if no more non linear phenomenon is present.

Figure 1: Beam to 3D swit
h

This raises the problem of the swit
h from one model to another. This paper presents ^a beam to 3D model swit
h, as well as a beam to a mixed beam-3D model switch. The 3D to beam model switch is not the subject of this resear
h work.

Since the switch method enables to switch from a beam to a 3D model when non-linear or local phenomena are to take place, then the switch instant hoi
e depends on the non-linear problem itself. The main purpose of the switch method in this article is switching from a linear transient dynamic problem without large rotations and with linear material behavior to a nonlinear dynamic contact problem. For contact problems, the switch instant is

easily computed. In fact, when a contact is detected (the contact algorithm returns contact=1), the switch instant is computed by $t_s = t - n \times \Delta t$, where t is the contact instant, Δt the time step value and n a safety factor (10) is sufficient) that is taken in order to prevent the $3D$ computations from starting with an initial onta
t dete
ted. However, this arti
le is fo
used on the swit
h pro
ess. Therefore, to demonstrate that the exa
titude of the switch method is independent from the switch instant choice, this later is hosen arbitrary in the s
ope of our study ases.

2. Mathemati
al basi
s of the swit
h

A beam model simulation that started at $t = 0$ is to be switched for a 3D model simulation at $t = t_s$. Starting with the 3D model at $t = t_s$ requires the collection of the beam model solution at t_s and transforming this solution to have a suitable 3D model initialization at the same moment.

The fundamental dynamic equation of a beam at $t = t_s$ can be written as:

$$
\mathbf{M}_b \ddot{\mathbf{U}}_b + \mathbf{C}_b \dot{\mathbf{U}}_b + \mathbf{K}_b \mathbf{U}_b = \mathbf{f}_b \tag{1}
$$

where, \mathbf{M}_b , \mathbf{C}_b , and \mathbf{K}_b are respectively the mass, damping and stiffness matrices of the beam model. f_b is the external loading at $t = t_s$, U_b , \dot{U}_b , and $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}_b$ denote, respectively, the beam displacements (including rotations), velocities and accelerations at the same instant.

The 3D model at $t = t_s$ can be described by:

$$
\mathbf{M}_{3D}\ddot{\mathbf{U}}_{3D} + \mathbf{C}_{3D}\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{3D} + \mathbf{K}_{3D}\mathbf{U}_{3D} = \mathbf{f}_{3D} \tag{2}
$$

where, M_{3D} , C_{3D} , and K_{3D} are respectively the mass, damping and stiffness matrices of the 3D model. f_{3D} is the external loading at $t = t_s$ on the 3D model, U_{3D} , \dot{U}_{3D} , and \ddot{U}_{3D} denote, respectively, the 3D model displacements, velocities and accelerations at the same instant.

Suppose that we start with the beam model at $t = 0$ and that we want to switch to the 3D model at the switch moment $(t = t_s)$. We have to construct the 3D solution U_{3D} from the beam solution. This is performed first by decomposing the 3D displacement into a cross-section rigid body displacement corresponding to the classical Timoshenko kinematical assumption PU_b , and a 3D correction U_{3Dc} which accounts for cross-section deformation:

$$
\mathbf{U}_{3D} = \mathbf{U}_{3Dc} + \mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}_b \tag{3}
$$

We therefore need to generate PU_b and to compute U_{3Dc} in order to construct the 3D model displacement at t_s .

2.1. Generating PU_b

 PU_b is obtained through a projector matrix P which transforms the beam displacement vector into a 3D rigid body displacement per beam section. It is noteworthy to say that the $3D$ mesh and the beam mesh can not be totally disconnected in order for the switch to be done. To be able to construct the displacement of a node on the 3D mesh, we should have the displacements and rotations of the beam node that has the same position along the beam. In other words, the beam model should be a projection of the 3D mesh on its neutral axis. However, it is not easy to build P be
ause it depends on the relationship between the beam mesh and the $3D$ mesh, which may change from one cross-section to another. Instead, we will generate PU_b as a whole.

Let N_{ij} a node that belongs to the i^{th} cross-section of the 3D model, PU_b^{ij} is the displacement of N_{ij} computed for a cross-section rigid body displacement. The cross-section to which belongs N_{ij} has G_i on its neutral axis. The i^{th} beam node, which has the same coordinates as G_i , has a displacement \mathbf{U}_b^i and a rotational displacement θ_b^i . We, then, compute \mathbf{PU}_b^{ij} as follows:

$$
\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}_{b}^{ij} = \mathbf{U}_{b}^{i} + \mathbf{N}_{ij}\mathbf{G}_{i} \wedge \theta_{b}^{i}
$$
 (4)

where, N_{ij} G_i is a vector oriented from N_{ij} to G_i .

2.2. Computing U_{3Dc}

Due to the decomposition of the 3D displacement according to Eq. (3) , the $3D$ model initialization will be performed through the $3D$ correction \mathbf{U}_{3Dc} . Thus, inserting Eq. [\(3\)](#page-6-0) in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-5-0) at $(t=t_s)$ gives:

$$
\mathbf{M}_{3D}(\ddot{\mathbf{U}}_{3Dc} + \dot{\mathbf{P}}\ddot{\mathbf{U}}_b) + \mathbf{C}_{3D}(\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{3Dc} + \dot{\mathbf{P}}\dot{\mathbf{U}}_b) + \mathbf{K}_{3D}(\mathbf{U}_{3Dc} + \mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}_b) = \mathbf{f}_{3D}
$$
\n(5)

Sin
e we have one equation with three unknowns, then the following assumptions are added:

$$
\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{3Dc} = \mathbf{0}
$$
\n
$$
\ddot{\mathbf{U}}_{3Dc} = \mathbf{0}
$$
\n(6)

They result in a displacement correction U_{3Dc} that corresponds to a static computation for the 3D model, at $t = t_s$, and that is the solution of the following equation:

$$
\mathbf{K}_{3D}\mathbf{U}_{3Dc} = \mathbf{f}_{3D} - \mathbf{M}_{3D}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}_b - \mathbf{C}_{3D}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}_b - \mathbf{K}_{3D}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}_b \tag{7}
$$

The computations of $\mathbf{P}\dot{\mathbf{U}}_b$ and $\mathbf{P}\ddot{\mathbf{U}}_b$ can be done in the same way as $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}_b$ by deriving Eq. (4) with respect to time.

Now that we have in hand the 3D displa
ements at the swit
h instant corresponding to Eq. [\(3\)](#page-6-0) , we can initialize the 3D model at $t = t_s$ by:

$$
U_{3D} = U_{3Dc} + PU_b
$$

\n
$$
\dot{U}_{3D} = P\dot{U}_b
$$

\n
$$
\ddot{U}_{3D} = P\ddot{U}_b
$$
\n(8)

Eq. (6) and Eq. (8) are consistent with Eq. (5) , and thus allow to initialize the 3D model without violating its fundamental equation of motion at the swit
h instant.

However, sin
e an integration s
heme is used to solve the fundamental dynami equation, then the initialization depends also on this time integration scheme, and that makes the subject of Section [3.](#page-8-1)

3. Initializing the 3D solution

In order to solve a dynamic problem, one needs to have in hand the initial displacements and velocities. The initial accelerations are therefore the solution of the fundamental equation of motion solved at the initial instant. However, when this equation is solved numerically via a time integration s
heme, the required initial onditions in that ase depend on the time integration s
heme being used. For an expli
it integration s
heme, not initializing the initial accelerations will lead the finite element software in question (it is the case of most softwares) to consider zero initial accelerations, while for an implicit integration scheme to correctly compute the initial accelerations that satisfy the fundamental equation of motion at that instant. Therefore, for an explicit integration scheme initializing the accelerations is mandatory to avoid an artifact transient phenomenon that may lead the integration s
heme to diverge shortly after swit
hing. However, in the examples shown in this paper, we are using an impli
it integration s
heme namely, a Newmark integration scheme that does not require initial accelerations since the software, Code Aster or Abaqus, computes automatically the initial accelerations having in hand the initial displacements and velocities, as indicated in Rixen $[21]$ $[21]$.

However, it is noteworthy to mention that with the choice of Eq. [\(8\)](#page-8-0) only the displacements are different from the cross-section rigid-body assumption at the switch instant. The initial velocities (as well as the initial accelerations) remain those constructed from the beam model, and they are around 5% different from the 3D reference velocities and accelerations for most cases of study shown later in this paper. This difference seems quite small, but is still strong enough for the problems we have solved and may cause an artifact transient phenomenon depicted by high frequency oscillations in the accelerations and velocities values. These high frequency oscillations may lead the solution to diverge. In order to vanish these oscillations, one can insert a numerical damping or change the velocities and accelerations corrections as detailed in below.

3.1. Numeri
al damping (HHT integration te
hnique)

A numerical damping in the integration scheme can filter these high frequency oscillations without any other influence on the solution. The HHT integration scheme has been used in this study to filter the numerical oscillations. This numerical damping needs to be maintained on several time steps following the switch in order for the high frequency oscillations to vanish, as shown in the results in the following. However, a more attractive method does exist and an redu
e the high frequen
y os
illations that appear after switching considerably and is detailed in Section [3.2.](#page-10-0)

3.2. A triple static switch procedure

As Eq. [\(8\)](#page-8-0) shows, the high frequen
y os
illations are generated by a poor initialization of the velocities and accelerations, since these are later generated from the beam solution and are not ompletely adapted to the 3D model. The hypothesis taken in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-7-1) is too strong and therefore generates high frequen
y os
illations. However, assuming that the displa
ement initialization is adapted to the 3D model, then a strategy enabling a better initialization of the velocities (and accelerations if needed for the integration s
heme) based on the displa
ement orre
tion an be built with the integration scheme and thus eliminates the high frequency transient phenomenon that occurs after switching.

We therefore first check the displacement correction on a static problem to prove its efficiency and then, according to the integration scheme being used, construct velocity and acceleration initializations.

3.2.1. The swit
h for stati problems

The switch for a static problem may be seen as a particular case of the dynami one. It is investigated here to test if the 3D displa
ements after switching are close to a reference 3D static solution. The beam fundamental equation for a static problem is:

$$
KU_b = f_b \tag{9}
$$

The 3D fundamental equation is:

$$
\mathbf{K}_{3D}\mathbf{U}_{3D} = \mathbf{f}_{3D} \tag{10}
$$

The 3D displa
ement an be divided as explained earlier in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-6-0), and leads to define U_{3Dc} as the solution of:

$$
\mathbf{K}_{3D}\mathbf{U}_{3Dc} = \mathbf{f}_{3D} - \mathbf{K}_{3D}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}_p \tag{11}
$$

This static correction U_{3Dc} summed with PU_b is compared to a refer-ence solution for the same 3D model mesh, computed by solving Eq. [\(10\)](#page-11-0). This has been performed on several mesh types, cross-sections shapes and boundary conditions, and difference between the computed displacements and the referen
e solution has been found to be negligible, indi
ating that the displa
ements are well orre
ted by this swit
h method

3.2.2. Basics of the triple static switch procedure

Since a static switch provides an accurate correction of the 3D displacements, then a correction of the velocities and accelerations can be built using three static switch procedures at three consecutive time steps. In fact, the

displacement correction proposed in Section [2.2](#page-7-3) takes the cross-section deformation into account. But the velocities and accelerations proposed in Eq. (8) are for a rigid body ross-se
tion assumption. Sin
e, we are using a Newmark integration scheme, then there is no need to initialize the accelerations but we need to improve the velocities initialization. This can be achieved if the static switch is applied on three consecutive time steps, the switch instant t_s , the preceding step t_{s-1} and the following one t_{s+1} . Then based on the three successive displacements, one can inspire from the finite difference method a better initialization of the velocities as following:

$$
\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{3D} = \frac{1}{2 \times \Delta T} ([\mathbf{PU}_b + \mathbf{U}_{3Dc}]_{t_{s+1}} - [\mathbf{PU}_b + \mathbf{U}_{3Dc}]_{t_{s-1}})
$$
(12)

This velo
ity initialization ombined with the displa
ement initialization will lead the Newmark integration scheme to compute the initial accelerations as the solution of:

$$
\mathbf{M}_{3D}\ddot{\mathbf{U}}_{3D} = (\mathbf{f}_{3D} - \mathbf{C}_{3D}\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{3D} - \mathbf{K}_{3D}\mathbf{U}_{3D})
$$
(13)

This initialization technique proved to be simple and very efficient, in the appli
ation examples shown in this arti
le and on several others. It is ompletely onsistent with the Newmark integration s
heme, and therefore, is proposed as a proper beam to $3D$ model switching technique in our research work.

Note that the triple static switch procedure does not require a numerical damping. Therefore, all the following swit
h examples solved by a triple stati swit
h method are not damped.

Most of our cases of study are solved with a Newmark integration scheme.

However, if one wishes to use an explicit integration scheme, and as discussed earlier in this article, initializing the accelerations is mandatory. For the central difference integration scheme, the finite difference method leads to the following initial accelerations:

$$
\ddot{\mathbf{U}}_{3D} = \frac{1}{\Delta T^2} ([\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}_b + \mathbf{U}_{3Dc}]_{t_{s+1}} \n- 2 \times [\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}_b + \mathbf{U}_{3Dc}]_{t_s} + [\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}_b + \mathbf{U}_{3Dc}]_{t_{s-1}})
$$
\n(14)

This acceleration initialization proved to work on several cases of study not shown in this resear
h work.

4. Energy onsisten
y of the swit
h

To validate the on
ept of the swit
h for transient dynami appli
ations, we compare the $3D$ solution after switching with a $3D$ reference solution obtained by performing the same omputation on the whole simulation period. Another way to he
k the validity of the swit
h method on transient dynamic problems is to check whether the switch removes or inserts parasite energy in the system at the switch instant, which can lead to non physical simulations. Such solution precision analyses are widely used in the literature such as in Noels et al. $\left[1, 22, 23\right]$ $\left[1, 22, 23\right]$ $\left[1, 22, 23\right]$ $\left[1, 22, 23\right]$ $\left[1, 22, 23\right]$, where this analysis technique served to demonstrate the stability and consistency of an implicit and explicit time integration s
hemes swit
h method.

If we have a mechanical system subjected to an external force **F**, with a mass M , and a stiffness K , and if the displacements at a given instant t are denoted by U and the velocities at the same instant by $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$, the kinetic energy an then be written:

$$
W_c = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbf{U}}^T \mathbf{M} \dot{\mathbf{U}} \tag{15}
$$

The strain energy reads:

$$
W_d = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{U}
$$
 (16)

The work of the external forces W_f is computed by:

$$
W_f = \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{U} \tag{17}
$$

We note W_{diss} the work of dissipative forces (friction, damping, etc.). The kineti energy theorem gives:

$$
\frac{d}{dt}W_c = \frac{d}{dt}W_f + \frac{d}{dt}(W_{diss} - W_d)
$$
\n(18)

In our cases of study, the dissipative forces are negligible, then:

$$
W_c + W_d = W_f + cst \tag{19}
$$

where *cst* is a constant that depends on the problem being solved. We distinguish three main cases:

- $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{0}$: the total energy $W_t = W_c + W_d$ is a constant.
- F is a constant: the total energy is a time dependent function (but $W_c + W_d - W_f$ is a constant).
- F evolves in time (which is the case of all the application examples of this article): the total energy, is a time dependent function.

To illustrated Eq. [\(19\)](#page-14-0), let us onsider a spring-mass system example. A mass M is hold by a spring having a stiffness k and subjected to an external force **. The motion occurs along the x-axis. The displacement solution is:**

$$
x = A\cos(\omega t) + B\sin(\omega t) + \frac{F}{k}
$$
\n(20)

where $\omega =$ \sqrt{k} M . The corresponding annote energy is:

$$
W_c = \frac{1}{2}M\dot{x}^2 = \frac{1}{2}k(A^2\sin^2(\omega t) + B^2\cos^2(\omega t) - AB\sin(2\omega t))
$$
 (21)

Therefore, the kinetic energy involves only the angular frequency 2ω , while the strain energy involves both ω and 2ω . In fact:

$$
W_d = \frac{1}{2}kx^2 = \frac{1}{2}k(A^2\cos^2(\omega t) + B^2\sin^2(\omega t) + \frac{F^2}{k^2}
$$

+
$$
2AB\sin(\omega t)\cos(\omega t) + 2A\frac{F}{k}\cos(\omega t) + 2B\frac{F}{k}\sin(\omega t)
$$
 (22)

Therefore, the strain and kinetic energy do not have the same angular frequen
y.

If
$$
F = 0
$$
, $W_c + W_d = \frac{1}{2}k(A^2 + B^2) = cte$.
If $F = cst \neq 0$:

$$
W_c + W_d = \frac{1}{2}k(A^2 + B^2) + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{F^2}{k} + 2AF\cos(\omega t) + 2BF\sin(\omega t)) = cte + W_f
$$
\n(23)

If $F = cst$, $W_t = W_c + W_d = W_f + cst$.

In this article, the energy consistency of the switch is verified if the energy (is it the kinetic or strain energy) value of the $3D$ solution after switching is

close to its corresponding value for the $3D$ reference solution. This can prove that the swit
h does not remove nor insert energy in the 3D solution after switching. A comparison will be set between the evolution of the kinetic, strain and total energy of the beam model, 3D referen
e model and the 3D switch model to prove that the switch method is energetically sound.

5. Appli
ation examples

In this section, we present a simple numerical example that illustrates the efficiency of the beam to $3D$ model switch for dynamic cases.

Figure 2: The 3D model under study

In fact, the method has been validated on more complex cases, for different cross-section shapes, loadings and boundary conditions. In the case onsidered here, the beam model is a Timoshenko beam model, with a re
tangular cross-section, having the following dimensions: width 0.012 m, height 0.01 m and a length of 0.1m. The beam is made with a steel material with density $\rho = 7800 \ kg/m^3$, Young modulus $E = 2.1 \times 10^{11} N/m^2$ and Poisson coefficient $\nu = 0.3$. One side of the beam is fixed, the other one is subjected to a transverse load equal to $f(t) = 100 \times t^3 \times e^{-1.1t}$ at its surface center. Fig. [2](#page-16-0) illustrates the $3D$ model. The $3D$ model is quadratically meshed with approximately one thousand nodes. The switch instant is $t_s = 1.5 s$, at which the beam simulation is swit
hed to the 3D model, with the same boundary conditions and loading. The $3D$ solution after switching is compared to a referen
e solution, whi
h is ^a 3D solution obtained on the same 3D model for a simulation that starts at $t = 0$ and last three seconds.

The switch from the beam model to the $3D$ model is performed first using the approach described in section Section [2.2](#page-7-3) (static correction with numerical damping) and second with the initialization built from the 3D displacements computed at three different time steps (see Section [3.2.2\)](#page-11-1).

Figure 3: Displacement results: the numerical damping method and the triple static switch lead to the same results

We compare the displacements, velocities and accelerations of node P where the load is exerted, that belongs to the $3D$ model as shown on Fig. [2,](#page-16-0) and the orresponding point that belongs to the beam model.

Fig. [3](#page-17-0) shows the displacement results. First we can see a difference between the beam solution and the $3D$ reference solution. This difference is very small, but still noti
eable if we make a zoom. Immediately after swit
hing, the $3D$ solution turns out to be very accurate and is very close to the reference one. Both switch methods exhibit practically the same precision regarding the displa
ements.

However, as shown on Fig. [4,](#page-19-0) which represent a velocity comparison, or Fig. [5](#page-20-0) which represents an acceleration comparison, immediately after switching, high frequency oscillations with large amplitude occur in the case where there is only the static correction. If a numerical damping is used to filter out these oscillations, then, they will be present only several time steps after switching. For a HHT integration scheme with $\alpha = 0.25$, in our case 35 time steps (0.05 s) were sufficient for the 3D solution to converge to the reference one. If a triple static switch procedure is performed, the velocities do not present any oscillations; however, very small oscillations occur on the accelerations and vanish very shortly after switching.

The results show that both methods work, but the triple static switch appears to be more accurate while easy to implement. The beam to $3D$ model switch accelerates the dynamic simulation of a 3D model while preserving a good accuracy.

Energy analysis confirms the efficiency of the switch. In fact, the switch does not remove nor insert parasite energy in the solution

Fig. [6](#page-21-0) sets a comparison between the kinetic and strain energies of the beam model, the 3D referen
e model and the 3D swit
h model. Is it the

Figure 4: Beam to $3D$ switch: velocity analysis

(b) Triple static switch procedure

Figure 5: Beam to $3D$ switch: acceleration analysis 20

triple static switch or the simple switch stabilized by numerical damping, the same strain energy urve is obtained. However, if the simple swit
h is performed and is stabilized with numeri
al damping, os
illations are observed on the kineti energy urve on several time steps following the swit
h instant before it converges to its stable value. A small difference exists between the strain energy of the 3D referen
e model and that of the beam model. That is due to modeling differences, such as the difference in the shape functions, between the beam and the 3D models. After swit
hing, there remains a small difference between the $3D$ model strain energy and the $3D$ reference model strain energy, but it appears that the switch does not cause a disturbance on the value of the strain.

Figure 6: The kinetic (W_c) and the strain (W_d) energies

This same conclusion is also obtained on the kinetic energy once this later is stable. The triple static switch procedure is a more elegant switching technique that do not need numeri
al damping and do not lead to any energy perturbation even on the few time steps following the swit
h instant. However, in many industrial ases, the 3D model is required for a small interval of time, but also for a small area. It is therefore more appropriate to swit
h from ^a beam model to ^a mixed beam-3D model. The 3D zone is limited to the zone where lo
al phenomena are to take pla
e as shown in Fig. [7.](#page-22-0)

Figure 7: Beam-3D mixed model

This raises the question of the beam to $3D$ connection and makes the subject of Section [6.](#page-22-1)

6. Beam to 3D onne
tion

As previously mentioned in the introduction, when local phenomena are restricted in space and time, a beam to a beam-3D mixed model switch enables to preserve a good modeling accuracy while decreasing the computational cost. In the following, a beam to $3D$ connection, available in Code Aster (see Pellet $[24]$), is presented and will be used in this research work. This beam to $3D$ connection satisfies the consistency of the beam and $3D$ displacements (kinematic stability), as well as a suitable effort transmission from the beam to the $3D$ (static stability) that does not generate parasite strains and stresses in the onne
tion area.

This beam to 3D connection is a non-overlapping one. The connection occurs between a beam node P and a 3D cross-section S of area A at the gravity center G of S .

6.1. Kinematic stability

The 3D displacements U_{3D} is the sum of a rigid-body cross-section displacement U_{3Db} and a cross section deformation vector U_s . The beam displacement and rotation vectors at point P are denoted, respectively, U_b and θ_b . The kinematic connection condition between P and arbitrary node M that belongs to section S reads: $U_{3Db} = U_b + \theta_b \wedge GM$

The kinematic stability of the connection is fulfilled if the orthogonality of vectors U_{3Db} and U_s is satisfied. This ensures that the 3D cross-section has no influence on the displacement of the beam nodes. This can be expressed by the following equations:

$$
\mathbf{U}_b = \frac{1}{A} \int_s \mathbf{U}_{3D} dS \tag{24}
$$

$$
\theta_b = I^{-1} \left(\int_s \mathbf{GM} \wedge \mathbf{U}_{3D} dS \right) \tag{25}
$$

6.2. Stati stability

In order to avoid artifact strains on the connection interface between the 3D model and the beam model, ^a suitable transmission of the loading between the beam and the $3D$ model is necessary. It can be achieved if the proje
tion of se
tion S stresses on node P result in beam loading and is expressed by:

$$
\int_{s} \sigma.n.\mathbf{U}_{3D} dS = \mathbf{F}_{p} \mathbf{U}_{b} + \mathbf{T}_{p} \theta_{b}
$$
\n(26)

where \mathbf{F}_p is a loading vector on node P and \mathbf{T}_p is a torque vector on node P that can be deduced from Eq. [\(26\)](#page-24-0) by solving an optimization problem:

$$
\mathbf{F}_p = \int_s \sigma . n dS \tag{27}
$$

$$
\mathbf{T}_p = \int_s \mathbf{GM} \wedge \sigma. n dS \tag{28}
$$

The following section present a beam to a mixed beam-3D model switch in transient dynami analysis.

7. A beam to mixed beam-3D model swit
h example

In this example, we take a beam with a circular cross-section of radius $0.005 m$ and a $0.25 m$ length, simply supported from both sides, and that has the following material properties: $\rho = 7800 \text{ kg/m}^3$, Poisson coefficient $\nu = 0.3$ and a Young modulus $E = 2.1 \times 10^{11} Pa$. At $0.12 m$ from one side it is subjected to a load of the form $f(t) = -100 \times \sin(\omega \times t)$, where $\omega = 6.4 \text{ rad/s}$ for a 3s long simulation starting at $t = 0s$. An implicit integration scheme is used with 2000 time steps. The switch instant is fixed at $t = 2s$. For a better presentation of the results, the displacements, velocities and accelerations are presented in the following illustrations in the interval $t \in [1,3]$ s.

The displacement, velocities and accelerations are registered with respect to time at a node D_N as illustrated in Fig. [8.](#page-25-0) The later shows the dimensions of the model in question. The same physi
al model is modeled by a beam model, ^a whole 3D model and a model that ombines beam and 3D elements. The reference solution is the one computed using the 3D reference

model. The beam to mixed beam-3D model switch is performed using the

Figure 8: Beam model, beam-3D mixed model, and 3D referen
e model

two initialization methods dis
ussed earlier, namely, a numeri
al damping method (HHT integration scheme) with $\alpha = 0.25$ and a three static switch procedure. The displacements, velocities and accelerations of the beam-3D mixed model after switching are compared with the beam model solution. the mixed beam-3D model solution and a 3D model reference solution, three of them for the same loading, starting at $t = 0 s$ and lasting 3 s.

If a numerical damping is used to stabilize the solution after switching, a transient stage is initiated and can be seen on the accelerations, see Fig. [10a,](#page-27-0) while being less noticeable on the velocities, see Fig. [9a](#page-26-0) and absent on the displa
ements, see Fig. [9b.](#page-26-1)

By contrast, if a triple static switch procedure is performed, no transient

(a) Displa
ements: the numeri
al damping method and the triple stati swit
h lead to the same displa
ements results.

(b) Velo
ities: omparison between the numeri
al damping method and the triple stati swit
h method

Figure 9: Displacements and velocities analysis

stage is observed, see Fig. [9](#page-26-2) and Fig. [10b.](#page-27-1) It is noteworthy to say that a difference exists between the displacements of the $3D$ reference model, the beam one and the beam-3D mixed model as shown on Fig. $9a$. The beam-3D mixed model is closer to the beam solution, since the $3D$ zone is one fifth the length of the beam- $3D$ mixed model. This conclusion is the same for the velocities and accelerations as shown in Fig. [9b](#page-26-1) and Fig. [10](#page-27-2) respectively.

Both switching techniques prove to be efficient. The triple static switch is more elegant while easy to implement.

We now check the energy consistency of the switch for this application example.

Figure 11: strain and kineti energy sum

Fig. [11](#page-28-0) shows the sum of the kinetic and strain energy for the beam model, the mixed beam-3D model omputed along the whole simulation time and the mixed beam- $3D$ switch model. We avoid to present the energy curves orresponding to the 3D referen
e model sin
e they do not provide essential clues for the analysis of the energy consistence of the switch.

A small difference is observed between the energy curve of the beam model and that of the mixed beam- $3D$ model. This difference is due to modeling differences (shape functions differences, etc.). After switching, the mixed beam-3D model energy curve joins that of the reference mixed beam-3D model. The same conclusion drawn from the previous application example, in which no beam to $3D$ connection is used, is once more obtained: the switch does not lead to any perturbation in the energy values. The kinetic energy is presented in Fig. [12](#page-29-0) in the time interval $t \in [1,3]$ (s), and a zoom on the kinetic energy around the switch instant is presented on the right hand side of the this same figure.

Figure 12: Kineti energy

Analyzing the kinetic energy curves confirms the energy consistency of the swit
h.

In this example, at the switch instant the velocity is near its maximum as it can be seen on Fig. [9b,](#page-26-1) while the displacements and accelerations are low as shown in Fig. [9a](#page-26-0) and Fig. [10,](#page-27-2) respectively. It is interesting to perform a switch at a different instant to have a different initial configuration such as $t_s = 1.75$ (s), at which the velocities are low, while the displacements and accelerations are high. This can illustrate the efficiency of the switch and

Figure 13: Acceleration results for $t_b = 1.75$ (s)

prove that the swit
h instant an be a omplete random in the simulation interval. Since the triple static switch is elegant and easy to implement, we present, thereafter, the results obtained only by this method for $t_s = 1.75$ (s).

Fig. [13](#page-30-0) show the acceleration results according to the x-axis at point D_N . The same accuracy is obtained on the displacements and velocities results.

Fig. [14](#page-31-0) shows the kineti and strain energy sum. No energy perturbation is detected. This is also the case if we check the strain and kinetic energy curves separately. It is obvious that we have the same efficiency for the switch

Figure 14: Strain and kinetic energy sum at $t_b = 1.75$ (s)

performed at $t_s = 2.4$ (s) and $t_s = 1.75$ (s).

8. Con
lusions

We have proposed a numerical method that enables to switch from a beam to ^a 3D model, or from a beam to a mixed beam-3D model, when a 3D des
ription is required only on a small part of spa
e and time domains. This te
hnique enables to save omputational time while preserving a good accuracy.

Two switching techniques were proposed. One uses a numerical damping to filter possible artifact oscillations in accelerations and velocities, and the second, the triple static switch, is more elegant, do not need numerical damping and do not cause artifact oscillations.

The switch proved to work on dynamic and static cases. The $3D$ switch solution is practically the same as the 3D reference one.

The energy consistency of the switch has been demonstrated. No energy is removed nor inserted by the swit
h.

In this article and as also presented in Tannous et al. [25], the switch method is developed for transient dynami analyses problems without an overall rotation. However, the main motivation behind the switch concept proposed in the PhD thesis of Tannous [\[26](#page-36-3)], and presented in Tannous et al. [27], is its applications to turbine accidents involving rotor-stator contact interactions. The switch method will be extended, in future publications, for application to the slowing down of unbalanced turbine rotors with local intera
tions and fri
tions.

A
knowledgments

The authors thank the Fren
h National Resear
h Agen
y (ANR) in the frame of its Technological Research COSINUS program. (IRINA, project ANR 09 COSI 008 01 IRINA).

Referen
es

- [1] L. Noels, L. Stainier, J.-P. Ponthot, J. Bonini, Automatic time stepping algorithms for implicit numerical simulations of blade/casing interactions, International Journal of Crashworthiness $6(2001)$ 351-362.
- [2] I. Hirai, Y. Uchiyama, Y. Mizuta, W. Pilkey, An exact zooming method, Finite Elements in Analysis and Design 1 (1985) 61–69.
- [3] H. Ben Dhia, Multiscale mechanical problems: the Arlequin method, Mechanics of Solids and Structures 326 (1998) 899–904.
- $[4]$ P. Kettil, N.-E. Wiberg, Application of 3D solid modeling and simulation programs to a bridge stru
ture, Engineering with Computers 18 (2002) 160-169.
- [5] C. Hager, P. Hauret, P. L. Tallec, B. I. Wohlmuth, Solving dynamic contact problems with local refinement in space and time, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 204 (2012) 25–41.
- [6] R. Glowinski, J. He, A. Lozinski, J. Rappaz, J. Wagner, Finite element approximation of multi-scale elliptic problems using patches of elements, Journal of Numerical Mathematics 101 (2005) 663-687.
- [7] J. He, A. Lozinski, J. Rappaz, Accelerating the method of finite element patches using approximately harmonic functions, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 345 (2007) 107-112.
- [8] P. Gosselet, C. Rey, Non-overlapping domain decomposition methods

in structural mechanics, Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering $11 (2005) 1-50$.

- [9] J. Mandel, Balancing domain decomposition, Communications in Numerical Methods in Engineering 9 (1993) 233-241.
- [10] C. Farhat, F. X. Roux, A method of finite element tearing and interonne
ting and its parallel solution algorithm, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 32 (1991) 1205–1227.
- [11] C. Farhat, M. Lesoinne, P. Le Tallec, K. Pierson, D. Rixen, FETI-DP: A dual-primal unified FETI method - Part I: A faster alternative to the two-level FETI method, International Journal for Numeri
al Methods in Engineering 50 (2001) $1523-1544$.
- [12] A. Mobasher Amini, D. Dureisseix, P. Cartraud, Multi-scale domain decomposition method for large-scale structural analysis with a zooming te
hnique: appli
ation to plate assembly, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 79 (2009) 417–433.
- [13] P. Ladevèze, O. Loiseau, D. Dureisseix, A micro-macro and parallel computational strategy for highly heterogeneous stru
tures, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 52 (2001) 121–138.
- [14] C. Farhat, K. Pierson, M. Lesoinne, The second generation FETI methods and their appli
ation to the parallel solution of large-s
ale linear and geometrically non-linear structural analysis problems, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 184 (2000) 333–374.
- [15] P. Avery, G. Rebel, M. Lesoinne, C. Farhat, A numerically scalable dual-primal substructuring method for the solution of contact problems part I: the frictionless case, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 193 (2004) 2403-2426.
- [16] P. Avery, C. Farhat, The FETI family of domain decomposition methods for inequality-constrained quadratic programming: Application to contact problems with conforming and nonconforming interfaces, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 198 (2009) 1673–1683.
- [17] D. Dureisseix, C. Farhat, A numerically scalable domain decomposition method for the solution of fri
tionless onta
t problems, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 50 (2001) 2643-2666.
- [18] L. Gendre, Approche globale/locale non intrusive: application aux structures ave plasti
ité lo
ale, Ph.D. thesis, E
ole Normale Supérieure de Ca
han, 2009.
- [19] L. Gendre, O. Allix, P. Gosselet, F. Compte, Non-intrusive and exact global/local techniques for structural problems with local plasticity, Computational Mechanics 44 (2009) 233-245.
- [20] L. Gendre, O. Allix, P. Gosselet, A two-scale approximation of the Schur omplement and its use for non-intrusive oupling, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 87 (2011) 889–905.
- [21] D. J. Rixen, Multi-body dynamics: time integration, 2002.
- [22] L. Noels, L. Stainier, J.-P. Ponthot, J. Bonini, Automatic time stepping

algorithms for implicit numerical simulations of non-linear dynamics, Advances in Engineering Software 33 (2002) 589–603.

- [23] L. Noels, L. Stainier, J.-P. Ponthot, Combined implicit/explicit timeintegration algorithms for the numerical simulation of sheet metal forming, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathemati
s 168 (2004) 331-339.
- [24] J. Pellet, Raccord 3D - Poutre, R3.03.03., Technical Report, EDF R&D, Clamart, 2011.
- [25] M. Tannous, P. Cartraud, D. Dureisseix, M. Torkhani, A beam to 3D model switch for transient dynamic analysis, in: Proceedings of the 6th European Congress on Computational Methods in Applied Sciences and Engineering, ECCOMAS 2012, Vienna, Austria, 2012.
- [26] M. Tannous, Développement et évaluation d'approches de modélisation numérique couplées 1D et 3D du contact rotor-stator, Ph.D. thesis, Ecole Centrale de Nantes, 2013.
- [27] M. Tannous, P. Cartraud, D. Dureisseix, M. Torkhani, Bascule d'un modèle poutre à un modèle 3D en dynamique des ma
hines tournantes, in: 11ème Colloque national en calcul des structures, CSMA 2013, Presqu'île de Giens, Var, 2013.