

# **Use of RAD sequencing for delimiting species**

Eric Pante, Jawad Abdelkrim, Amélia Viricel, Delphine Gey, Scott France, Marie-Catherine Boisselier, Sarah Samadi

## **To cite this version:**

Eric Pante, Jawad Abdelkrim, Amélia Viricel, Delphine Gey, Scott France, et al.. Use of RAD sequencing for delimiting species. Heredity, 2015, 114, pp.450-459.  $10.1038/hdy.2014.105$ . hal-01064545

# **HAL Id: hal-01064545 <https://hal.science/hal-01064545>**

Submitted on 17 Sep 2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- Heredity Original Article
- 



- 
- 5 Pante E<sup>1</sup>, Abdelkrim J<sup>2\*</sup>, Viricel A<sup>1\*</sup>, Gey D<sup>2</sup>, France S<sup>3</sup>, Boisselier MC<sup>2,4</sup>, Samadi S<sup>4</sup>
- 
- <sup>1</sup> Laboratoire LIENSs, UMR 7266 CNRS Université de La Rochelle, La Rochelle, France
- 8 <sup>2</sup> Département Systématique et Evolution, UMS 2700 MNHN-CNRS, SSM, Muséum
- national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, France
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Biology, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, Lafayette LA, USA
- <sup>4</sup> ISYEB UMR 7205 CNRS, MNHN, UPMC, EPHE, Muséum national d'Histoire
- naturelle, Sorbonne Universités, Paris
- 13 \* authors contributed equally to the work
- 
- Corresponding author:
- Eric Pante, Laboratoire LIENSs, UMR 7266 CNRS Université de La Rochelle, La
- Rochelle, France. Tel: +33 05 46 50 76 37; Fax: +33 05 46 50 76 63; Email:
- [pante.eric@gmail.com](mailto:pante.eric@gmail.com)
- 
- 20 Running title: Use of RAD sequencing for delimiting species
- Word count: 6 999 words
- 

#### $\begin{array}{c} 23 \\ 24 \end{array}$ Abstract



**Keywords (3-6):** phylogenomics, octocoral, Stacks, PyRAD, SNP, species delimitation

#### **Introduction**

 The advent of next-generation sequencing tools has permitted significant advances in our understanding of evolutionary processes such as speciation (e.g. Ekblom and Galindo 2011), but some other practical applications of genomic data have been less explored, including phylogenomics and species delimitation. Among genomic approaches that are applicable to these fields, the usefulness of restriction-site- associated DNA tag (RAD-tag; Baird *et al.,* 2008) sequencing has been investigated in few studies to date. This methodology typically provides short sequences ( $\sim$  100-150 bp) flanking the cut sites of a restriction enzyme (or several enzymes), generally yielding thousands of loci distributed throughout the genome. This approach does not require a reference genome, and can therefore be applied to non-model organisms. However, some technical difficulties remain for groups where very little genomic knowledge is available (see Davey *et al.,* 2011). For instance, the choice of restriction enzyme(s) and methodology (single-digest versus double-digest RAD) is key to estimating the number of expected cut sites and coverage, but relies on prior knowledge of genome size and GC content. Despite these difficulties, RAD-tag sequencing constitutes one of the reduced genomic approaches that are suitable for investigating inter-specific evolutionary questions. Published RAD-tag sequencing research beyond the species level includes *in silico* studies (*Drosophila*, mammals, and yeasts in Rubin *et al.,* 2012;

- *Drosophila* in Cariou *et al.,* 2013) and empirical work (e.g. Restionaceae flowering
- plants in Lexer *et al.,* 2013; cetaceans in Viricel *et al.,* 2014), which both suggest this
- approach is promising for taxa having diverged up to 60 million years ago. For

 instance, RAD-tag sequencing has proven useful in species delimitation and phylogenies within recently and rapidly diverged groups (e.g. Orobanchaceae flowering plants in Eaton and Ree 2013; swordtails in Jones *et al.,* 2013; *Heliconius*  butterflies in Nadeau *et al.,* 2013; cichlids in Wagner *et al.,* 2013; geckos in Leaché *et al*., 2014). Comparatively, reconstructing the phylogeny of more distantly related taxa has been the topic of a single study (*Carabus* beetles, Cruaud *et al.,* 2014), to the best of our knowledge. Herein we use this approach on a group of deep-sea octocorals for which little genomic data are available. Thus, our contribution constitutes one of the 82 first studies investigating the use of RAD-tag sequencing for practical species delimitation within a taxonomic group composed of divergent species (up to 16 million years ago).

 Deep-sea octocorals are one of the groups for which RAD-tag sequencing can significantly advance our understanding of evolutionary patterns. As for shallow- water octocorals, deep-water octocorals present significant challenges for 88 taxonomists, with few morphological characters being available for species delimitation (e.g., McFadden *et al.,* 2010). In addition, several studies have shown conflicting patterns of morphological and molecular data (France 2007; Dueñas and Sánchez 2009; Pante and France 2010), suggesting that an integrative approach to taxon delimitation must be applied in this group (e.g. Schlick-Steiner *et al*., 2010). Octocorals, as with other anthozoans (e.g. scleractinians and sea anemones), are also plagued with remarkably low levels of mitochondrial genome evolution that renders the use of classical barcoding gene regions such as *cox1* of limited use (McFadden *et al.,* 2011). Comparatively, a few studies have successfully used nuclear markers within octocoral species (e.g. Concepcion *et al.,* 2008; Mokhtar-Jamaï *et al.,* 2011), but these are either not widely useable across octocorals (e.g. SRP54; France and Pante unpublished observations), or not informative at multiple phylogenetic scales (e.g.



- markers informative within and above the species level. RAD loci allow to test
- whether lineages that putatively belong to different species do not exchange genes.
- 127 In this communication we test the utility of RAD-tag genotyping for delimiting
- species in *Chrysogorgia* using the genealogical criterion defined by Taylor *et al*.,
- (2001). More specifically, we test whether single mutations on the mitochondrial
- *mtMutS* gene can be used as a criterion for grouping *Chrysogorgia* colonies into
- separate, putative species (or, more specifically, "Primary Species Delimitation
- hypotheses" as in Puillandre *et al*., 2012). We compare the results from two analysis
- pipelines, Stacks (Catchen *et al.,* 2013) and PyRAD (Eaton, 2014), which significantly
- differ in the method employed for detecting homologous loci.

#### **Material and methods**

#### *Specimen collection and mtDNA typing*

 *Chrysogorgia* specimens were collected from the SE slope of New Caledonia (NC) and adjacent seamounts of the Norfolk Ridge (82 colonies; *Terrasses* cruise, 2008), from Papua New Guinea (PNG; 8 colonies; *BioPapua* cruise, 2010), and from the northwestern Atlantic (1 colony, *Extreme Coral 2010* cruise; Tables 1 and S1). Pacific specimens were retrieved from dredges and trawls (details on cruises of the Tropical Deep Sea Benthos research program: Bouchet *et al.,* 2008; details on the *BioPapua* cruise: Pante *et al.,* 2012a); the Atlantic specimen was collected using the Jason II ROV (Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution). Specimens were fixed in 80% ethanol as 146 soon as possible after collection. Genomic DNA was extracted using a CTAB protocol according to France *et al.* (1996). A 700-bp fragment of the mitochondrial *mtMutS*

 gene (identified as more informative than *cox1* or 18S in chrysogorgiids, Pante *et al*., 2012b) was amplified using the ND4L2475F – MUT3458R primer pair and sequenced using an ABI PRISM (R) 3100 or 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (primer information, PCR and sequencing conditions: Pante *et al.,* 2012b). Sequences were checked for quality and edited in Sequencher (TM) 4.7 (Gene Codes), aligned by eye (a single, 3 bp indel was present in the alignment), and haplotypes were submitted to GenBank (Table S1). Divergence times among putative species were estimated using the molecular clock from Lepard (2003), which was calculated for the shallow-water octocoral genus *Lepogorgia* based on *mtMutS* genetic distances for clades located on either sides of the Isthmus of Panama (0.14–0.25%/million years).

*Library construction, RAD sequencing, and quality control*

Genomic DNA quality was evaluated by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, and

quantified using a Thermo Scientific Nanodrop ND-1 000 spectrophotometer. DNA

was sent to Eurofins Genomics (Ebersberg, Germany) for RAD-tag library preparation

and sequencing. Libraries were constructed from 1-2 µg of DNA per colony using the

*SbfI* restriction enzyme. This enzyme was chosen because it was successfully used in

RADseq experiments with marine invertebrates (sea-anemones, Reitzel *et al*., 2013;

abalone, Gruenthal *et al*., 2014), and was expected to allow an acceptable compromise

between prevalence of cut sites and depth of coverage, based on RADcounter (the

University of Edinburgh, [https://www.wiki.ed.ac.uk/display/RADSequencing/Home\)](https://www.wiki.ed.ac.uk/display/RADSequencing/Home).

As the genome size and GC content of *Chrysogorgia* (or other octocorals, to the best of

our knowledge) are not known, we estimated the prevalence of S*bfI* cut sites based on

- a range of genome sizes and GC content, based on information from the Animal
- Genome Size Database (see Introduction) and with a GC content of 40% (e.g. Soza-
- Ried *et al.*, 2009). Barcodes 6-9 nucleotides long and differing by at least 2 nucleotides



- *Exploration of the divergence parameter space*
- Two main pipelines specifically designed for analysis of RADseq data are currently available. The most used to date is the Stacks pipeline. It constructs a catalog of loci for a set of samples mainly based on three parameters: the minimum stack depth parameter m (i.e. the minimum number of reads allowed per allele), the intra- individual divergence parameter M (i.e. the maximum number of mutations that can be observed between stacks within a sample), and the inter-individual divergence parameter n (i.e. the maximum number of mutations that can be observed between 191 loci across samples).
- PyRAD (Eaton 2014) is a more recently developed pipeline and differs from Stacks in several ways, the most important one being that it allows the presence of indels, since the clustering process of reads into loci uses alignment tools. This is anticipated to be an advantage compared to the first pipeline when considering more phylogenetically distant species. PyRAD relies on a large number of parameters used at different steps of the process. Most of them are related to reads quality control,

198 detection of homology and filtering of paralogs. Two main parameters are of 199 particular importance: the minimum depth coverage Mindepth (minimum depth 200 necessary to make a statistical base call at each position of a cluster) and the similarity 201 threshold Wclust (similarity value to be used for the alignment during both the within 202 and across-sample clustering).

203 For both pipelines, these parameter settings are expected to influence greatly 204 the number of markers available for intra- and inter-specific comparisons and it is 205 necessary to explore which parameter combinations maximize the number of 206 orthologous loci (Viricel *et al.,* 2014). To explore the effect of these parameters at 207 different phylogenetic depths, we randomly selected pairs of specimens that (1) were 208 separated by 0 to 16 mutations at *mtMutS* (representing different levels of 209 phylogenetic divergence), and (2) were characterized by 1 to 1.5 million reads (to 210 alleviate potential effects of depth of coverage on the number of assembled loci). For 211 each level of divergence, we used three replicate pairs of specimens. We refer to 212 specimens with *mtMutS* haplotypes differing by few mutations as pairs of closely-213 related colonies, and those with haplotypes differing by many mutations as distantly-214 related colonies.

215 In Stacks, m was kept to 3 (the default value); M was incremented from 1 to 216 10 in two cases (specimens separated by 0 and 12 mutations at *mtMutS*), and from 1 217 to 7 in all other cases. Similarly, n was incremented from 1 to 10 (0 and 12 mutations 218 cases) and from 1 to 8 (all cases). All combinations of M and n were not tested: only 219 similar values of M and n were used together (two settings were used: M=n and 220 M+1=n), as to (1) keep maximum levels of intra- and inter-individual divergence 221 levels close, and (2) keep the number of Stacks analyses to a reasonable number. A 222 total of 408 Stacks catalog construction tests were therefore performed using the

223 denovo\_map.pl script available in Stacks. Catalogs were parsed with the populations.pl 224 script, where each sample was considered as a separate population, no missing data were allowed, and a minimum of 10 reads per SNP was set.

 In PyRAD v. 2.0, combinations of two values for Mindepth (3 and 6) and 3 values for Wclust (0.89, 0.93 and 0.96) were tested, resulting in 156 analyses. For these analyses, the maximum number of sites per read with a quality < 20 (NQual) was set to 4, the minimum number of samples in a final locus (MinCov) was set to 1 230 and the maximum proportion of shared polymorphic sites in a locus (MaxSH) was set 231 to 10%. For this last parameter, which aims at detecting paralogs, preliminary tests 232 showed that in our case, changing this value did not drastically affect the number of 233 loci and SNPs detected. Finally, optional parameters were kept to default values.

#### *Comparison of Stacks and PyRAD*

 To evaluate what proportion of loci was detected by both PyRAD and Stacks, a custom BLASTN search was performed (BLAST toolkit v. 2.2.25; Zhang *et al.,* 2000). Local BLAST databases were constructed using PyRAD sequences (locus file containing consensus sequences for each individual; PyRAD parameters m=6 and Wclust=93% and 89%) for three groups of specimens with different numbers of reads (Table 2). 240 Stacks loci for these specimens (based on the locus file produced by the populations script, for which a single allele was retained per locus; denovo\_map parameters m=3,  $M=4$ , n=4, and m=3, M=10, n=12) were then compared to the PyRAD database using BLASTN (percent identity set to 93% and 89%, word size 80 and 84 nt, ungapped alignments). The XML output of BLASTN searches was then parsed in bash using grep. *Phylogenetic reconstruction and species delimitation*

RAxML v. 8.0.9 (Stamatakis 2006; Stamatakis *et al.,* 2008) was used on the

 CIPRES Portal (Miller *et al.,* 2010) to infer phylogenetic relationships among *Chrysogorgia* colonies, based on mitochondrial and nuclear sequences, using the GTRCATI model and automating boot-stopping. The mitochondrial phylogeny was inferred from the first 700 nt of the *mtMutS* gene (see above); the nuclear phylogeny was inferred using concatenated RAD loci obtained based on two parameter sets in Stacks, and one parameter set in PyRAD. The first Stacks set ("m3M4n4", denovo\_map 253 parameters m=3, M=4, n=4; populations script parameters m=6, p=2, r=0.5) corresponds to parameters that maximize the total number of loci detected while minimizing divergence parameters (see "Exploration of the divergence parameter space" section above). For this analysis, each *mtMutS* haplotype was considered as a separate population. The Stacks populations script parameters that were used signify 258 that 50% missing data were allowed within each population, a locus had to be present in at least two populations to be included in the output and a minimum of 6 reads per SNP was required. The second Stacks set ("m3M10n12", Stacks script denovo\_map 261 parameters m=3, M=10, n=12; populations script parameters m=6, p=2, r=0.5) 262 allowed more divergence between loci. The PyRAD dataset ("m6s93") was constructed with m=6 and Wclust=93% (details above). In all analyses, the Atlantic colony JAC1018 was used as the outgroup. Once clades were delimited with RAxML, a Discriminant Analysis on Principal Components (DAPC, Jombart *et al.,* 2010) was used to explore genetic 267 structure within three clades represented by 18 to 31 colonies (see below). This

method takes into account the multilocus genotype of each individual and forms

269 clusters based on genetic similarity without considering a model of evolution. We also

- used TESS (Durand *et al*., 2009) to investigate population structure using the
- 271 conditional auto-correlative (CAR) admixture model with a spatially explicit, Bayesian
- framework. In TESS, the Deviance Information Criterion (DIC) was used to compare



**Results**

*Mitochondrial typing and RAD-tag sequencing*



 (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 25.11, df = 13, p-value = 0.02), haplotypes 6 and 10, for instance, yielded fewer reads than other haplotypes (haplotype 10 colonies were sampled from depths down to 880 m, and haplotype 6 colonies had remarkably small polyps that may have been particularly sensitive to prolonged times to preservation). 

*Loci, SNPs, and indel cataloguing using Stacks and PyRAD*

 Results from both pipelines (Stacks and PyRAD) show variations in the number of loci and SNPs depending on the set of parameters used (Figure 1a-e, 1g-k), as well as the mitochondrial genetic distance between samples (Figure 1f). For Stacks, as the mitochondrial genetic distance among included samples decreases, both the total number of loci and the number of polymorphic loci increases (Figure 1ab). The former ranges from a few loci to more than 2 000, whereas the latter ranges from a few loci to  $312 - 1000$ , depending on the set of parameters used. When related to time of divergence (in MY, based on mtDNA), the total number of loci obtained decreases exponentially (Figure 1f). Inversely, the percentage of polymorphic loci is lower for more closely-315 related colonies ( $\sim$ 40%) than for distantly related-colonies ( $\sim$ 90%; Figure 1c). These three measures (number of loci, number of polymorphic loci and percentage of polymorphic loci) show the same response to an increase in divergence parameters M and n, namely a rapid increase followed by a plateau. This plateau is reached for the m3M4n4 set of parameters. Conversely, the number of SNPs increases drastically without reaching a plateau, from a few SNPs for the most stringent set of parameters and the most distantly-related colonies to around 3 000 for the most closely-related colonies and the most relaxed set of parameters (Figure 1d). Thus, the effect of increasing mitochondrial genetic distance among samples or decreasing stringency of

 parameters is to increase SNPs densities, from one SNP every 250 bp to one SNP every 20 bp (Figure 1e).

 Results of the PyRAD analyses follow the general trends observed for the Stacks pipeline. These trends are an increase in total number of loci and polymorphic loci (Figure 1gh) for more relaxed parameters sets, as well as for more closely-related colonies. As for Stacks, more distantly-related specimen pairs have fewer loci than for 331 closely-related ones, but a larger proportion of those is polymorphic (Figure 1i). While the percentage of polymorphic loci shows similar ranges of values for Stacks and PyRAD, the total number of loci as well as the number of polymorphic loci are almost doubled (from 2 000 to almost 4 000 and from 1 000 to almost 2 000, respectively). The same pattern is observed for the number of SNPs and SNP densities (Figure 1jk): PyRAD output differs from Stacks output by a factor of almost two, resulting in SNPs densities twice as high (from one SNP every 130 bp to one SNP every 20bp). Finally, unlike Stacks, PyRAD allows for indels within loci. The percentage of loci containing indels increases with less stringent sets of parameters (Figure 1l). Depending on the pair of samples considered, this measure varies from a few percent to almost 40 %. For PyRAD, the number of catalogued loci decreased rapidly with the number of specimens included in the analysis (with significant drops corresponding to the number of individuals in the haplotype clades revealed by the phylogenetic reconstruction, see below) (Figure 2). Most loci bore <3 SNPs even when 10 polymorphisms were allowed on a single RAD locus (Figure 2). We measured the proportion of loci catalogued by Stacks that was also detected by PyRAD using custom BLASTN database searches. Overall, 0.6 to 42.7% of loci detected by Stacks were present in the PyRAD catalog. This pattern is partly explained by the proportion of PyRAD loci with indels (see above), but might also be

 influenced by the differential detection of repeated regions (i.e. deleveraging algorithm in Stacks), or the number of reads per individual (the proportion of loci in common between Stacks and PyRAD was lower for individuals with fewer reads; Table 2). *Phylogenetic reconstruction and species delimitation* The automatic boot-stopping method implemented in RAxML yielded 1 000 bootstrap replicates for the mitochondrial phylogeny (91 taxa x 700 nt), 500 replicates for the Stacks RAD phylogenies (91 taxa x 1 080 352 nt, 11 872 loci for the first dataset, and 1 146 054 nt, 12 594 loci for the second dataset), and 200 replicates for the PyRAD phylogeny (91 taxa x 6 120 523 nt, 69 851 loci). The proportion of gaps and undetermined characters ranged between 83 and 84% for Stacks and was 92% for PyRAD. The three RAD phylogenies were similar but not identical, the second Stacks dataset being better resolved than the first, and the PyRAD dataset being better resolved than the Stacks sets (nodes with bootstrap >70%: 19% for m3M4n4, 29% for m3M10n12, 40% for m6s93; Figure 3). Divergence levels were much higher in the RAD phylogenies compared to the mitochondrial phylogeny. For instance, the groups composed of haplotypes 9 and 10 were separated by a distance of 0.001 substitution/site on the *mtMutS* tree, while these clades were separated by 0.27 and 0.25 substitutions/sites on the m3M4n4 and m3M10n12 RAD phylogenies, respectively (Figure 3). Out of nine mitochondrial haplotypes represented by more than one individual, six formed well-supported monophyletic groups on the RAD phylogenies, for all datasets. One of these clades (corresponding to haplotype 10) contained specimens from both NC and PNG. The group formed by mitochondrial haplotype 7



# *Detection of environmental contaminants* As octocoral DNA was extracted from whole polyps rather than dissected, internal tissue, some loci may come from environmental contaminants such as bacteria. To evaluate the prevalence of such loci, we blasted all the loci that were catalogued for the m3M4n4 Stacks dataset from individual JAC1018 (n = 1 202). The BLASTN algorithm (Altschul *et al*., 1997) was used to match RAD loci to the non-410 redundant NCBI nucleotide database, using  $10^{-3}$  as a statistical significance threshold (e-value). Most sequences (92.6%) could not be assigned to a match in the nucleotide database and 4.5% of loci were similar to bacterial sequences (78-100% similarity between match and query). A single locus matched human mitochondrial DNA (84% similarity); other matches (n = 34) included other invertebrates and plant sequences. Given (1) the small prevalence of potential contaminants, (2) our inability to determine whether these loci really belong to contaminant DNA or correspond to coral sequences which closest matches are non-cnidarian taxa, and (3) the large number of Stacks analyses performed (>400), we decided to run our analyses without 419 trying to filter loci from exogenous DNA sources. **Discussion** 424 A critical decision in RAD analyses is the way the sequencing data are filtered to get to 425 the final SNP dataset. This process goes through several steps to ensure that the final 426 loci will correspond to homologous sequences. The main filters involve several quality 427 filters (sequencing quality, sequencing depth) as well as several similarity thresholds

 aimed at identifying the different allelic states of homologous loci. Finally, for each sample, an algorithm is used to tell apart sequencing errors from real mutations in 430 order to conduct the final SNP calling. Even though the overall process is quite similar 431 for Stacks and PyRAD analyses pipelines, a strict comparison of their results is not 432 straightforward since they use sets of parameters that differ to some extent. A main difference between these two pipelines is in the assessment of similarity of loci: Stacks uses a strict similarity criterion (maximum number of mutations) in order to cluster reads into loci, whereas PyRAD uses an overall similarity criterion, after an alignment 436 step, allowing for the presence of indels within clusters. This should be a critical difference when comparing genetically more-distant samples as indels are more likely 438 to occur, and would thus result in sequences being assigned to different loci using Stacks (which will then be excluded from the final catalog since not present in both individuals) while PyRAD would theoretically allow these reads to be considered as homologous loci.

 Our results show that more loci are recovered using the PyRAD pipeline. 444 Despite these differences, general trends are similar using both pipelines. First, fewer 445 loci and SNPs are recovered when comparing more genetically distant samples. This result is expected and has been anticipated through simulation (Cariou *et al*. 2013) and observed empirically (Cruaud *et al*. 2014). Our data show an exponential decay of 448 the number of loci recovered as a function of divergence time of samples. Second, the stringency of the filtering process has a significant effect on the number of loci and SNPs identified. Indeed, higher minimum depth of sequencing thresholds and higher similarity threshold lead to fewer loci being identified. This trend is observed regardless of the level of genetic divergence between samples, but it seems to be accentuated when samples are more closely related.





 level (within *Delphinus delphis*). Comparisons within cetaceans were performed using 481 the same custom pipeline as used in the present study, using Stacks parameters m3M3n3 (the results for corals were similar when comparing m3m3n3 to m3M7n8). 

 The differences observed between our study and that of Viricel *et al.* (2014) may be explained by various factors. For example, the choice of restriction enzyme was different (*Sbf1* here, *Not1* for Viricel *et al.*), and differences in genome composition (most importantly GC content and size) are unknown. While both studies were conducted with two lanes of Illumina HiSeq2000 sequencing (conducted by Eurofins Genomics in both cases), throughput may have been influenced by the quality of genomic DNA (trawled deep-sea samples here, stranded animals for Viricel *et al.*). These various factors may have significantly influenced the number of cut sites. Our comparisons might also be significantly affected by the precision of the molecular clocks available. Divergence times between cetacean families were inferred based on fossil evidence (see references in Viricel *et al.,* 2014), while no such fossil-calibrated molecular clock exists, to the best of our knowledge, for octocorals. The *mtMutS* divergence rates estimated by Lepard (2003) are based on a group of shallow-water octocorals that may evolve faster than the deep-sea *Chrysogorgia* (a long standing question in deep-sea biology is whether evolutionary process take longer in deeper water, compared to shallower waters; e.g. Wilson and Hessler 1987), and rely on a geological event (rising of the Isthmus of Panama), which can introduce further bias. The exploration of divergence parameter space, as outlined above, was made

 using pairs of specimens, and not allowing any missing data. Stacks and PyRAD can build catalogs with loci shared by a set proportion of individuals within pre-defined groups. Hence, our phylogenetic matrix based on over 12K loci (Stacks parameters





- Environnementale" for stimulating discussions on the use of RAD-tags for inferring
- phylogenies, in particular R. Debruyne and A. Cruaud. Samples used in this study were



### **References**



- Cruaud A, Gautier M, Galan J M Foucaud, Sauné L, Genson G, Dubois E, *et al.* (2014).
- Empirical Assessment of RAD sequencing for interspecific phylogeny. *Mol Biol Evol* **31**: 1272–1274.
- Davey JW, Hohenlohe PA, Etter PD, Boone JQ, Catchen JM, Blaxter ML (2011). Genome-
- wide genetic marker discovery and genotyping using next-generation sequencing. *Nat Rev Genet* **12**: 499–510.
- de Queiroz K (1998). The General Lineage Concept of Species, Species Criteria, and the
- Process of Speciation. In: Howard DJ, Berlocher SH (eds) *Endless Forms: Species*
- *and Speciation*. Oxford University Press, pp 57-75.
- Dueñas L, Sánchez J (2009). Character lability in deep-sea bamboo corals
- (Octocorallia, Isididae, Keratoisidinae). *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* **397**: 11–23.
- Durand E, Jay F, Gaggiotti OE, François O (2009). Spatial inference of admixture
- proportions and secondary contact zones. *Mol Biol Evol* **26**: 1963–1973.
- Eaton D (2014). PyRAD: assembly of *de novo* RADseq loci for phylogenetic analyses.
- *Bioinformatics* **30**:1844-1849.
- Eaton DAR, Ree RH (2013). Inferring phylogeny and introgression using RADseq data:
- an example from flowering plants (Pedicularis: Orobanchaceae). *Syst Biol* **62**:
- 689–706.
- Ekblom R, Galindo J (2011). Applications of next generation sequencing in molecular
- ecology of non-model organisms. *Heredity* **107**: 1–15.
- France SC (2007). Genetic analysis of bamboo corals (Cnidaria: Octocorallia: Isididae):
- does lack of colony branching distinguish *Lepidisis* from *Keratoisis*? *Bull Mar Sci*
- **81**: 323–333.
- France SC, Rosel PE, Agenbroad JE, Mullineaux LS, Kocher TD (1996). DNA sequence
- variation of mitochondrial large-subunit rRNA provides support for a two-



Gregory TR (2014). *Animal genome size database*. URL: http://www.genomesize.com

- Caruso N, Hyde JR, Seeb LW, Larson WA (2014). Development and application of
- genomic tools to the restoration of green abalone in southern California. *Conserv Genet* **15**:109–121.
- Herrera S, Baco A, Sánchez JA (2010). Molecular systematics of the bubblegum coral
- genera (Paragorgiidae, Octocorallia) and description of a new deep-sea species.
- *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **55**: 123–135.
- Jombart T (2008). adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. *Bioinformatics* **24**: 1403–5.
- Jombart T, Devillard S, Balloux F (2010). Discriminant analysis of principal
- components: a new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. *BMC Genetics* **11**.
- 
- Jones JC, Fan S, Franchini P, Schartl M, Meyer A (2013). The evolutionary history of
- *Xiphophorus* fish and their sexually selected sword: a genome-wide approach
- using restriction site-associated DNA sequencing. *Mol Ecol* **22**: 2986-3001.
- Kekkonen M, Hebert PD (2014). DNA barcode-based delineation of putative species:
- efficient start for taxonomic workflows. *Mol Ecol Res* **14**:706-15.
- Leaché AD, Fujita MK, Minin VN, Bouckaert RR (2014). Species delimitation using
- genome-wide SNP data. Syst Biol 63:534-542.
- Lepard A (2003). *Analysis of variation in the mitochondrial encoded msh1 in the genus*
- Lepidogorgia *(Cnidaria: Octocorallia) and implications for population and*
- *systematics studies*. Master's thesis, College of Charleston, Charleston, SC.

Gruenthal KM, Witting DA, Ford T, Neuman MJ, Williams JP, Pondella DJ, Bird A,



 Pante E, France SC (2010). *Pseudochrysogorgia bellona* n. gen. n. sp.: a new genus and species of chrysogorgiid octocoral (Coelenterata: Anthozoa) from the Coral Sea. *Zoosystema* **32**: 595–612. Pante E, Watling L (2012). *Chrysogorgia* from the New England and Corner Seamounts: Atlantic – Pacific connections. *J Mar Biol Assoc U.K.* **92**: 911–927. Puillandre N, Modica MV, Zhang Y, Sirovich L, Boisselier MC, Cruaud C, Holford M, Samadi S (2012). Large-scale species delimitation method for hyperdiverse groups. *Mol Ecol* **21**:2671-2691. R Development Core Team (2014). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3- 900051-07-0. URL: [http://www.R-project.org](http://www.r-project.org/) Reitzel AM, Herrera S, Layden MJ, Martindale MQ, Shank TM (2013). Going where traditional markers have not gone before: Utility of and promise for RAD sequencing in marine invertebrate phylogeography and population genomics. *Mol Ecol* **22**:2953-2970. Rubin BER, Ree RH, Moreau CS (2012). Inferring phylogenies from RAD sequence data. *PLoS ONE* **7**: e33394. Schlick-Steiner BC, Steiner FM, Seifert B, Stauffer C, Christian E, Crozier RH (2010). Integrative taxonomy: a multisource approach to exploring biodiversity. *Annu Rev Entomol* **55:**421-438. Soza-Ried J, Hotz-Wagenblatt A, Glatting K-H, del Val C, Fellenberg K, Bode HR, Frank U, Hoheisel JD, Frohme M (2010). The transcriptome of the colonial marine hydroid *Hydractinia echinata*. *FEBS Journal* **277**:197–209. Stamatakis A (2006). RAxML-VI-HPC: Maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 22: 2688–2690.









Number of SNPs









**pyRAD analyses**







Percentage of polymorphic loci





Number of taxa

Number of variable sites / locus

Number of parsimony informative sites / locus

#### **a. Mitochondrial,** *mtMutS*

## **b. RAD-tags, Stacks m3M4n4 dataset**



**c. RAD-tags, Stacks m3M10n12 dataset**



*TER13034, 8* TER13034, 8



**d. RAD-tags, PyRAD m6s93 dataset**







mtMutS / RAD congruence mtMutS / RAD incongruence mtMutS / RAD congruence mtMutS / RAD congruence mtMutS / RAD incongruence mtMutS / RAD incongruence mtMutS / RAD congruence mtMutS / RAD congruence mtMutS / RAD congruence mtMutS / RAD incongruence mtMutS / RAD congruence mtMutS / RAD congruence



