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#### Abstract

We prove the maximum principle of Pontryagin's type for the optimal control of a stochastic partial differential equation driven by a white noise under the hypothesis that the control domain is convex.


## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following infinite-dimensional stochastic optimal control problem. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space, $A$ be the infinitesimal generator of a $C_{0}$ semigroup, and $W$ a cylindrical Wiener process.

The state equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{t} X_{t}=A X_{t} d t+F\left(X_{s}, u_{s}\right) d s+G\left(X_{s}, u_{s}\right) d W_{s}, \quad X_{0}=x_{0} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0} \in H$ and the solution will evolve in $H$.
The cost function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x, u)=\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} L\left(X_{s}, u_{s}\right) d s+\mathbb{E} \Phi\left(X_{T}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The precise hypothesis will be given in the next section.
Our goal in this paper is to give a necessary condition for an optimal control. Such necessary condition called stochastic maximum principle (SMP) is extensively studied in finite dimensional case, see the seminar paper by Peng [16] which gives the general SMP. Concerning the infinite
dimensional case, to our best knowledge, only the SMP for the state equation driven by trace-class noise is established, see $[1,11,5]$.

Hence in this paper, we will establish SMP for SPDEs driven by white noise (i.e. cylindrical Wiener process). On the other hand, we suppose in this paper that the control domain is convex, which allows us to apply convex perturbation instead of spike pertubation.

This paper is organized as follows: we introduce our control problem in the next section. In Section 3, we apply the convex perturbation, the first-order variation equation and the dual equation. Particular attention will be paid to the regularity of the adjoint process. And the last section is devoted to the SMP and an example will be given.

## 2 Formulation of the optimal control problem

Assumption 2.1. (i) $A$ is the generator of a $C_{0}$ semigroup $e^{t A}, t \geq 0$, in $H$. Moreover $\forall s>0$ :

$$
e^{s A} \in L_{2}(H) \text { with }\left|e^{s A}\right|_{L_{2}(H)} \leq L s^{-\alpha} ; \text { for suitable } L>0, \alpha \in[0,1 / 2)
$$

where $L_{2}(H)$ is the (Hilbert) space of Hilbert Schmidt operators in $H$.
(ii) $U$ is a bounded convex subset of a separable Banach space $U_{0}$
(iii) $F: H \times U \rightarrow H$ is Lipschitz in both variables
(iv) $G: H \times U \rightarrow L(H)$ verifies for all $s>0, t \in[0, T], X, Y \in H, u, v \in U$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|e^{s A} G(t, 0, u)\right|_{L_{2}(H)} \leq L s^{-\alpha} \\
& \left|e^{s A} G(t, X, u)-e^{s A} G(t, Y, v)\right|_{L_{2}(H)} \leq L s^{-\alpha}(|X-Y|+|u-v|) \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for some constants $L>0$ and $\alpha \in[0,1 / 2)$.
(v) $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ is Gateaux differentiable $H \times U \rightarrow H$, for all $s>0, e^{s A} G(\cdot, \cdot)$ is Gateaux differentiable $H \times U \rightarrow L_{2}(H)$.
(vi) $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\Phi(\cdot)$ are bounded Lipschitz and differentiable.
(vii) For all $\Xi \in H$ the map $u \rightarrow G(X, u) \Xi$ is Gateaux differentiable and

$$
\left|\nabla_{u} G(X, u) \Xi\right|_{\mathcal{L}\left(U_{0}, H\right)} \leq \operatorname{cost}|\Xi|_{H} \quad \text { recall }\left(U_{0} \subset L^{\infty}\right)
$$

Under the above assumptions the state equation (formulated in mild sense):

$$
X_{t}=e^{t A} x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) A} F\left(X_{s}, u_{s}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) A} G\left(X_{s}, u_{s}\right) d W_{s}
$$

admits a unique solution $X \in L_{W}^{p}(\Omega, C([0, T], H))$, see [2].
Remark 2.2. If we perturb the control by spike variation that is we consider solution of

$$
X_{t}^{\epsilon}=e^{t A} x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) A} F\left(X_{s}, u_{s}^{\epsilon}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) A} G\left(X_{s}, u_{s}^{\epsilon}\right) d W_{s}
$$

where $u_{s}^{\epsilon}=u_{s} I_{\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\epsilon\right]^{c}}(s)+v_{0} I_{\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\epsilon\right]}(s)$ for fixed $t_{0} \in[0, T], v_{0} \in U$ then

$$
\left|X^{\epsilon}\left(t_{0}+\delta\right)-X\left(t_{0}+\delta\right)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, H)} \approx \delta^{(1 / 2-\alpha)}
$$

### 2.1 An example

Let $(\mathcal{W}(t, x)), t \geq 0, x \in[0,1]$ be a space time white noise
$\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ denotes its natural (completed) filtration.
The set of admissible control actions $U$ is a convex subset of $L^{\infty}([0,1])$. A control $u$ is a (progressive) process with values in $U$.

The controlled state equation is the following SPDE: for $t \in[0, T], x \in[0,1]$,

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
d X_{t}(x) & =\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} X_{t}(x) d t+b\left(x, X_{t}(x), u_{t}(x)\right) d t+\sigma\left(x, X_{t}(x), u_{t}(x)\right) d \mathcal{W}(t, x) \\
X_{t}(0) & =X_{t}(1)=0, \quad t \in[0, T] \\
X_{0}(x) & =x_{0}(x), \quad x \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $b(x, r, u), \sigma(x, r, u):[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given, we assume they are $C^{1}$ and Lipschitz with respect to $r$ and $u$; for fixed $r$ and $u$ we suppose $b(\cdot, r, u) \in L^{2}([0,1]), \sigma(\cdot, r, u) \in L^{\infty}([0,1])$ bdd.

We also introduce the cost functional:

$$
J(u)=\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{O}} l\left(x, X_{t}(x), u_{t}(x)\right) d x d t+\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathcal{O}} h\left(x, X_{T}(x)\right) d x
$$

where $l(x, r, u): \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, h(x, r): \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given bounded functions, we assume that they are $C^{1}$ with bounded derivatives with respect to $r$ and $u$;

The noise is reformulated as a $L^{2}([0,1])$ valued cylindrical Wiener process $\left(W_{t}\right)$

$$
\mathbb{E}<W_{t}, x>_{L^{2}}<W_{s}, y>_{L^{2}}=(t \wedge s)<x, y>_{L^{2}}, \quad \forall x, y \in H=L^{2}([0,1])
$$

$A$ is the realization of the second derivative operator in $H$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. So it is an unbounded operator with domain $H_{0}^{2}([0,1]) \subset H=L^{2}([0,1])$.

For all $X, V \in H, x \in[0,1]$ the non linearities are defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
F(X, u)(x)=b(x, X(x), u(x)), \quad[G(X, u) V](x)=\sigma(x, X(x), u(x)) V(x), \\
L(X, u)(x)=\int_{\mathcal{O}} l(X(x), u(x)) d x, \quad \Phi(X)(x)=\int_{\mathcal{O}} h(X(x)) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

The state equation written in abstract form becomes

$$
d_{t} X_{t}=A X_{t} d t+F\left(X_{s}, u_{s}\right) d s+G\left(X_{s}, u_{s}\right) d W_{s}, \quad X_{0}=x_{0}
$$

where $x_{0} \in H$ and the solution will evolve in $H$.
The cost becomes

$$
J(x, u)=\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} L\left(X_{s}, u_{s}\right) d s+\mathbb{E} \Phi\left(X_{T}\right)
$$

## 3 First Variation Equation

Let $(\bar{X}, \bar{u})$ be an optimal pair, fix any other bounded. $U$-valued progressive control $v$ Let $u_{t}^{\epsilon}=\bar{u}_{t}+\epsilon\left(v_{t}-\bar{u}_{t}\right)$ and $X_{t}^{\epsilon}$ the corresponding solution of the state equation.
Finally denote $(\delta u)_{t}=v_{t}-\bar{u}_{t}$
Since we are not considering spike variations things are easy at this level

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{t}^{\epsilon}=\bar{X}_{t}+\epsilon Y_{t}+o(\epsilon) \\
\left\{\begin{aligned}
d Y_{t}= & {\left[A Y_{t}+\nabla_{X} F\left(\bar{X}_{t}, \bar{u}_{t}\right) Y_{t}+\nabla_{u} F\left(\bar{X}_{t}, \bar{u}_{t}\right)(\delta u)_{t}\right] d t } \\
& \nabla_{X} G\left(\bar{X}_{t}, \bar{u}_{t}\right) Y_{t} d W_{t}+\nabla_{u} G\left(\bar{X}_{t}, \bar{u}_{t}\right)(\delta u)_{t}, d W_{t} \\
Y_{0}^{\epsilon}= & 0
\end{aligned}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

By [2] the above equation admits an unique mild solution with

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|Y_{t}\right|^{2}\right)<+\infty
$$

Moreover

$$
J\left(x, u^{\epsilon}\right)=J(x, \bar{u})+\epsilon I(v)+o(\epsilon)
$$

with

$$
I(v)=\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left[\left\langle\nabla_{X} L\left(\bar{X}_{t}, \bar{u}_{t}\right), Y_{t}\right\rangle+\left\langle\nabla_{u} L\left(\bar{X}_{t}, \bar{u}_{t}\right),(\delta u)_{t}\right\rangle\right] d t+\mathbb{E}\left\langle\nabla_{X} \Phi\left(\bar{X}_{T}\right), Y_{T}\right\rangle
$$

We fix a basis $\left(e_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in H$ and assume that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the map $X \rightarrow G(X, u) e_{i}$ is Gateaux differentiable $H \rightarrow H$.

We notice that in our concrete case for all $V \in H$

$$
\left[\nabla_{X}\left(G(X, u) e_{i}\right) V\right](x)=\frac{\partial}{\partial X} \sigma\left(x, X_{t}(\xi), u_{t}(\xi)\right) e_{i}(\xi) V(\xi)
$$

So it is enough to choose $e_{i} \in L^{\infty}([0,1])$
We denote $\nabla_{X}\left(G\left(\bar{X}_{t}, \bar{u}_{t}\right) e_{i}\right) V=C_{i}(t) V$.
Recall that gradients $\nabla_{X}$ are with respect to variables $X \in H=L^{2}([0,1])$
For simplicity we let $F=0$ from now on.
The equation for the first variation becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
d Y_{t}(x) & =A Y_{t} d t+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}(t) Y_{t} d \beta_{t}^{i}+\nabla_{u} G\left(\bar{X}_{t}, \bar{u}_{t}\right)(\delta u)_{t} d W_{t} \\
Y_{0} & =0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $\beta_{t}^{i}=\left\langle e_{i}, W_{t}\right\rangle$ and we have:

1. $\left|C_{i}(t)\right|_{L(H)} \leq c, \quad \mathbb{P}-$ a.s. for all $t \in[0, T]$
2. $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|e^{t A} C_{i}(s) v\right|^{2} \leq c t^{-2 \alpha}|v|_{H}^{2} \quad$ for all $t \geq 0, s \geq 0, \quad(\alpha<1 / 2)$
3. $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|e^{t A} e_{i}\right|^{2} \leq c t^{-2 \alpha} \quad$ for all $t \geq \quad(\alpha<1 / 2)$,

## 4 Linear BSDE driven by white noise and duality

The main result of this paper is the well solvability of linear BSDE driven by white noise and its duality with linear FSDE. In this section, we first give some precise estimates concerning the solution of linear FSDE and then we study the existence and uniqueness of solution of BSDE.

### 4.1 Linear Forward SDE

Let us first give some precise estimates concerning the solution of the linear FSDE. Consider the following linear FSDE:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
d_{t} X_{t}= & A X_{t} d t+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}(t) X_{t} d \beta_{t}^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}(t) \gamma_{t} d \beta_{t}^{i}  \tag{4.1}\\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{t} e_{i} d \beta_{t}^{i}+\rho_{t} d t \\
X_{s}= & x
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Assumption 4.1. 1. $C_{i}(t) \in L(H) ; \quad\left|C_{i}(t)\right|_{L(H)} \leq c$.
2. $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|e^{t A} C_{i} x\right|^{2} \leq c t^{-2 \alpha}|x|^{2}$
where $\left(e^{t A}\right)$ is the $C_{0}$-semigroup generated by $A$ and $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}, t \leq 1$.
3. $\left(e^{t A}\right)$ is hilbert-Schmidt with

$$
\left\|e^{t A}\right\|_{L_{2}(H)}^{2} \leq c t^{-2 \alpha}, \quad t \leq 1, \quad \alpha<\frac{1}{2}
$$

4. $C_{i}$ are strongly measurable processes.

Theorem 4.2. Assume that $x \in L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{s}, I, H\right), \rho \in L_{\mathcal{P}}^{p}\left(\Omega, L^{1}([s, T], H)\right), \gamma \in L_{\mathcal{P}}^{\infty}([s, T] \times \Omega, H)$, $\Gamma \in L_{\mathcal{P}}^{\infty}([s, T] \times \Omega, L(H))$ ( $\Gamma$ stronly progressively measurable), $p$ large enought. Then there exists a unique solution $X$ for (4.1) with

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t \in[s, T]}\left|X_{t}\right|^{p}\right) \leq c\left(1+\mathbb{E}|x|^{p}+|\Gamma|_{L^{\infty}}^{p}+|\gamma|_{L^{\infty}}^{p}+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{s}^{T}\left|\rho_{t}\right| d t\right)^{p}\right)
$$

Moreover if we replace any of the above sums by a finite sium then the corresponding solution converges to the above on the norm

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t \in[s, T]}\left|X_{t}-X_{t}^{n}\right|^{p}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem is similar to [6] and we omit it.
Proposition 4.3. If $\gamma_{t}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt valued then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t \in[s, T]}\left|X_{t}\right|^{2}\right) \leq c\left[\mathbb{E}|x|^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{s}^{T}\left|\rho_{t}\right| d t\right)^{2}+|\gamma|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}+\mathbb{E} \int_{s}^{T}\left|\Gamma_{t}\right|_{L_{2}(H)}^{2} d t\right]
$$

Proof. It suffices to apply directly Gronwall's lemma.

## Proposition 4.4.

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|X_{t}\right|^{2} \leq c\left[\mathbb{E}|x|^{2}+\int_{s}^{t}\left(t_{l}\right)^{-2 \alpha} \mathbb{E}\left|\gamma_{l}\right|_{H}^{2} d l+\int_{s}^{t}(t-l)^{-2 \alpha}\left|\Gamma_{l}\right|_{L(H)}^{2} d l+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{s}^{t}\left|\rho_{l}\right| d l\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(t)=\mathbb{E}\left|X_{t}\right|^{2}, \\
v(t)=c \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\Gamma_{l}\right|_{L(H)}^{2}+\left|\gamma_{l}\right|^{2}\right), \\
K=c \mathbb{E}|x|^{2}+c \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{s}^{t}\left|\rho_{l}\right| d l\right)^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
u(t) \leq K+\int_{s}^{t}(t-l)^{-2 \alpha} v(l) d l+c \int_{s}^{t}(t-l)^{-2 \alpha} u(l) d l .
$$

let

$$
e(t)=K+\int_{s}^{t}(t-l)^{-2 \alpha} v(l) d l,
$$

then

$$
u(t) \leq e(t)+c \int_{s}^{t}(t-l)^{-2 \alpha} u(l) d l .
$$

By [9],

$$
u(t) \leq e(t)+c \int_{s}^{t}(t-l)^{-2 \alpha} e(l) d l .
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{s}^{t}(t-l)^{-2 \alpha} e(l) d l \\
= & K \int_{s}^{t}(t-l)^{-2 \alpha} d l+c \int_{s}^{t}(t-l)^{-2 \alpha} \int_{s}^{l}(l-\zeta)^{-2 \alpha} v(\zeta) d \zeta \\
\leq & K(t-s)^{1-2 \alpha}+c \int_{s}^{t} v(\zeta) \int_{\zeta}^{t}(t-l)^{-2 \alpha}(l-\zeta)^{-2 \alpha} d l .
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\zeta}^{t}(t-l)^{-2 \alpha}(l-\zeta)^{-2 \alpha} d l=\int_{0}^{t-\zeta}(t-\zeta-s)^{-2 \alpha} s^{-2 \alpha} d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}(1-z)^{-2 \alpha} z^{-2 \alpha}(t-\zeta)^{-4 \alpha+1} d z \\
= & c(t-\zeta)^{-4 \alpha+1} \leq c(t-\zeta)^{-2 \alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
u(t) \leq c K+c \int_{s}^{t}(t-l)^{-2 \alpha} v(l) d l .
$$

Remark 4.5. From the above proposition, we have also: $\mathbb{E} \int_{s}^{T}\left|X_{l}\right|^{2} d l \leq c\left[\mathbb{E}|x|^{2}+\int_{s}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\Gamma_{l}\right|_{L(H)}^{2}+\left|\gamma_{l}\right|_{H}^{2}\right) d l+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{s}^{T}\left|\rho_{l}\right| d l\right)^{2}\right]$.

### 4.2 Existence of Linear BSDE

In this subsection, we study the following linear BSDE:

$$
\begin{cases}-d P_{t} & =\left[A^{*} P_{t}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}^{*}(t) Q_{t} e_{i}\right] d t+f_{t} d t-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{t} e_{i} d \beta_{t}^{i}  \tag{4.2}\\ P_{T} & =\eta .\end{cases}
$$

where $\eta \in L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{T}, \mathbb{P}, H\right), f \in L_{\mathcal{P}}^{2}(\Omega \times[0, T], H)$, and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is a fixed orthonormal basis in $H$.
Theorem 4.6. There exists at least one solution $(P, Q)$ for (4.2) such that

### 4.2.1 Approximating Equation

The first step to prove the existence is to study the approximating equation.

$$
\begin{cases}-d P_{t}^{N} & =\left[A^{*} P_{t}^{N}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i}^{*}(t) Q_{t}^{N} e_{i}\right] d t+f_{t} d t-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{t}^{N} e_{i} d \beta_{t}^{i}  \tag{4.3}\\ P_{T}^{N} & =\eta .\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 4.7. There exists a unique ( $P^{N}, Q^{N}$ ) with

$$
P^{N} \in L_{\mathcal{P}}^{2}\left(\Omega, C([0, T], H), \quad Q^{N} \in L_{\mathcal{P}}^{2}\left(\Omega \times[0, T], L_{2}(H)\right)\right.
$$

verifying (4.3) in the following mild sense:

$$
P_{t}^{N}=e^{(T-t) A^{*}} \eta+\int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s}^{N} e_{i}+f_{s}\right] d s-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} Q_{s}^{N} e_{i} d \beta_{s}^{i}
$$

Proof. It is a direct consequence in [10].

## Proposition 4.8.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left\langle P_{t}^{N}, x\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left\langle P_{s}^{N}, \rho_{s}\right\rangle d s+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left\langle Q_{s}^{N}, \Gamma_{s}\right\rangle_{L_{2}(H)} d s+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{M}\left\langle Q_{s}^{N} e_{i}, C_{i}^{*}(s) \gamma_{s}\right\rangle d s \\
= & \mathbb{E}\left\langle\eta, X_{T}^{N, M}\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left\langle f_{s}, X_{s}^{N, M}\right\rangle d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
d X_{s}^{N, M}=\left(A X_{s}^{N, M}+\rho_{s}\right) d s+\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i}(s) X_{s}^{N, M} d \beta_{s}^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{s} e_{i} d \beta_{s}^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{M} C_{i}(s) \gamma_{s} d \beta_{s}^{i} .
$$

Proof. First approximate $A$ with Yosida approximations and then pass to the limit. We notice that all terms are well defined.

### 4.2.2 Weak Convergence

The second step to prove the existence is to define $(P, Q)$ as weak limit of $\left(P^{N}, Q^{N}\right)$ in some Hilbert space.

## Corollary 4.9. We have

1. $P^{N}$ converges weakly to an element in $L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega, H)$;
2. for any $t, P_{t}^{N}$ converges weakly to an element $P_{t}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \mathbb{P}, H\right)$;
3. $Q^{N}$ converges weakly to an element $Q$ in $\left.L^{2}\left([0, T] \times \Omega, L_{2} H\right)\right)$.

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left\langle\tilde{P}_{t}, x\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left\langle P_{s}, \rho_{s}\right\rangle d s+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left\langle Q_{s}, \Gamma_{s}\right\rangle_{L_{2}(H)} d s+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{M}\left\langle Q_{s} e_{i}, C_{i}^{*}(s) \gamma_{s}\right\rangle d s \\
= & \mathbb{E}\left\langle\eta, X_{T}^{M}\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left\langle f_{s}, X_{s}^{M}\right\rangle d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
d X_{s}^{M}=\left(A X_{s}^{M}+\rho_{s}\right) d s+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}(s) X_{s}^{M} d \beta_{s}^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{s} e_{i} d \beta_{s}^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{M} C_{i}(s) \gamma_{s} d \beta_{s}^{i}
$$

Proof. The result follows from the fact that if $\Gamma \in L^{\infty}(\Omega \times[0, T], L(H))$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t \in[0, T]} 6 X_{t}^{N, M}-\left.X_{t}^{M}\right|^{p}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Moreover by the previous estimates the map $(\Gamma, x) \mapsto X^{N, M}$ are uniformly bounded as maps from $L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{s}, \mathbb{P}\right) \times L_{\mathcal{P}}^{2}(\Omega \times[0, T])$. Hence by, for instance, $\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left\langle Q_{s}^{N}, \Gamma\right\rangle_{L_{2}} d s$, we define a uniformy bounded and weakly convergenging linear functional on $L^{2}\left(\Omega \times[0, T], L_{2}(H)\right)$. The limiting functional

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\langle Q, \Gamma\rangle_{L_{2}} d s=\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left\langle X_{s}^{M}, f_{s}\right\rangle d s+\left\langle X_{T}^{M}, \eta\right\rangle
$$

can be extended to the whole $L^{2}\left(\Omega \times[0, T], L^{2}\right)$ by duality.
Proposition 4.10. The map $t \mapsto P_{t}$ is weakly continuous $[0, T] \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{T}, \mathbb{P}, H\right)$.
Proof. Choose $\rho=0, \gamma=0$ and $\gamma=0$ in the definition of $X^{x, s}$ (where $s, x$ are starting time and position, $\left.x \in L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{s}, \mathbb{P}, H\right)\right)$.
fix $x \in L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{T}, \mathbb{P}, H\right)$ and remark that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\langle P_{s}, x\right\rangle=\mathbb{E}\left\langle P_{s}, x_{s}\right\rangle=\left\langle<X_{T}^{x_{s}, s}, \eta\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{s}^{T}\left\langle X_{l}^{x_{s}, s}, f_{l}\right\rangle d l
$$

where $x_{s}=\mathbb{E}\left(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right)$.
Notice that

$$
\sup _{l \in[s, T]} \mathbb{E}\left|X_{l}^{s, x_{s}}\right|^{2} \leq c
$$

The weak continuity is proved if we show that the map $s \mapsto X_{l}^{s, x_{s}}$ is continuous in $\bar{s}, \forall l>\bar{s}$. On the one hand, assume that $s_{n} \downarrow \bar{s}$. Then

$$
\left\|X_{l}^{s_{n}, x_{s_{n}}}-X_{l}^{\bar{s}, x_{\bar{s}}}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq c\left\|X_{l}^{s_{n}, x_{s_{n}}}-X_{l}^{s_{n}, x_{\bar{s}}}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|X_{l}^{s_{n}, x_{\bar{s}}}-X_{l}^{\bar{s}, x_{\bar{s}}}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} .
$$

The first term is controlled by $\mathbb{E}\left|x_{s_{n}}-x_{\bar{s}}\right|^{2}$. And the second term is $\left\|X_{l}^{s_{n}, x_{\bar{s}}}-X_{l}^{s_{n}, X_{s_{n}}^{\bar{s}, X_{\bar{s}}}}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$ and is controlled by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left|X_{s_{n}}^{\bar{s}, X_{\bar{s}}}-x_{\bar{s}}\right|^{2} \\
\leq & c \mathbb{E}\left|e^{\left(s_{n}-\bar{s}\right) A} x_{\bar{s}}-x_{\bar{s}}\right|^{2}+c \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\bar{s}}^{s_{n}} e^{\left(s_{n}-l\right) A} C_{i}(l) X_{l}^{\bar{s}, x_{\bar{s}}} d \beta_{t}^{i}\right| \\
\leq & c \mathbb{E}\left|e^{\left(s_{n}-\bar{s}\right) A} x_{\bar{s}}-x_{\bar{s}}\right|^{2}+c \int_{\bar{s}}^{s_{n}}\left(s_{n}-l\right)^{-2 \alpha} d l \sup _{l} \mathbb{E}\left|X_{l}^{\bar{s}, x_{\bar{s}}}\right|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which converges to 0 .
On the other hand, if $s_{n} \uparrow s$,

$$
X_{l}^{x_{n}, s_{n}}-X_{l}^{s, \bar{s}}=X_{l}^{X_{s}^{x_{n}, s_{n}}, \bar{s}}-X_{l}^{s, \bar{s}}
$$

Hence it is enough to prove that

$$
X_{s}^{x_{n}, s_{n}} \rightarrow x
$$

But

$$
X_{s}^{x_{n}, s_{n}}-x=e^{\left(s-s_{n}\right) A} x_{n}-x+\int_{s_{n}}^{s} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}(l) X_{l}^{x_{n}, s_{n}} d \beta_{l}^{i}
$$

Noticing that $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{l}^{x_{n}, s_{n}}\right|^{2} \leq c$, and that

$$
e^{\left(s-s_{n}\right) A} x_{n}-x=e^{\left(s-s_{n}\right) A}\left(x_{n}-x\right)+e^{\left(s-s_{n}\right) A} x-x
$$

we conclude the proof.
Corollary 4.11. $P$ is a progessively measurable process.
Proof. Fix $t$ and choose a basis $\left\{\varphi_{m}\right\}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \mathbb{P}, H\right)$. We have

$$
P_{l}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left\langle P_{l}, \varphi_{m}\right\rangle \varphi_{m}
$$

## Proposition 4.12.

$$
\tilde{P}=P
$$

Proof. We have that $P \in L_{\mathcal{P}}^{2}(\Omega \times[0, T], H)$. Notice that we have fixed a version. Moreover notice that since $\mathbb{E}\left|P_{t}^{N}\right|^{2} \leq c$, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle P_{l}^{N}, \rho_{l}\right\rangle d l \rightarrow \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle P_{l}, \rho_{l}\right\rangle d l, \quad \forall \rho \in L_{\mathcal{P}}^{2}(\Omega \times[0, T], H)
$$

### 4.2.3 Regularity of $Q$

We have, letting $x=0, \rho=0$, and $\gamma=0$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle Q_{s}, \sum_{i=1}^{M} C_{i}(s) \gamma(s)\right\rangle d s=\mathbb{E}\left\langle X_{T}^{M, \gamma}, \eta\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle X_{s}^{M, \gamma}, f_{s}\right\rangle d s
$$

Consider now the functionals

$$
\tilde{\gamma} \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left\langle X_{T}^{M, \gamma}, \eta\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle X_{s}^{M, \gamma}, f_{s}\right\rangle d s,
$$

where $\gamma_{s}=(T-s)^{\alpha} \tilde{\gamma}_{s}$. By the previous estimates such functionals are equibounded and converge ( $\forall \tilde{\gamma} \in L^{\infty}$ ) to

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\langle X_{T}^{\gamma}, \eta\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle X_{s}^{\gamma}, f_{s}\right\rangle d s
$$

clearly the convergence still holds now $\forall \tilde{\gamma} \in L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega, H)$ if we extend the functionals by continuity. Consequently there exists $L \in L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega, H)$ such that for any $\tilde{\gamma} \in L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega, H)$,

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle(T-s)^{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{M} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i}, \tilde{\gamma}_{s}\right\rangle d s \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle L_{s}, \tilde{\gamma}_{s}\right\rangle d s
$$

By definition we put $L=(T-\cdot)^{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}^{*}(\cdot) Q . e_{i}$ and we have $(T-\cdot)^{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{M} C_{i}^{*}(\cdot) Q . e_{i}$ converges weakly to $(T-\cdot)^{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}^{*}(\cdot) Q . e_{i}$ in $L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega, H)$.

Lemma 4.13. $(T-\cdot)^{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i}^{*}(\cdot) Q^{N} e_{i}$ converges weakly to $(T-\cdot)^{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}^{*}(\cdot) Q . e_{i}$ in $L^{2}([0, T] \times$ $\Omega, H)$.

Proof. We have the following duality relation:

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle(T-s)^{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s}^{N} e_{i}, \gamma_{s}\right\rangle d s=\mathbb{E}\left\langle X_{T}^{N}, \eta\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle X_{s}^{N}, f_{s}\right\rangle d s,
$$

where

$$
\begin{cases}d_{t} X_{t}^{N} & =A X_{t}^{N} d t+\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i}(t) X_{t}^{N} d \beta_{t}^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i}(t) \tilde{\gamma}_{t} d \beta_{t}^{i} \\ X_{0}^{N} & =0,\end{cases}
$$

and $\tilde{\gamma}=(T-s]^{\alpha} \gamma$.
On the other hand,

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle(T-s)^{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i}, \gamma_{s}\right\rangle d s=\mathbb{E}\left\langle X_{T}, \eta\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle X_{s}, f_{s}\right\rangle d s,
$$

where

$$
\begin{cases}d_{t} X_{t} & =A X_{t} d t+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}(t) X_{t} d \beta_{t}^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}(t) \tilde{\gamma}_{t} d \beta_{t}^{i} \\ X_{0}^{N} & =0 .\end{cases}
$$

And the claim follows by Proposition 4.4 and Theorem 4.2.

Now we notice that for any fixed $t, f \mapsto \int_{t}^{T}(T-s)^{-2 \alpha} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} f_{s} d s$ is a bounded linear functional $L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega, H) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{T}, \mathbb{P}, H\right)$. Hence

$$
\int_{t}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s}^{N} d s=\int_{t}^{T}(T-s)^{-\alpha}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N}(T-s)^{\alpha} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s}^{N} e_{i}\right] d s
$$

converges weakly to $\int_{t}^{T}(T-s)^{-\alpha}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(T-s)^{\alpha} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i}\right] d s$. Similarly $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} Q_{s}^{N} e_{i} d \beta_{s}^{i}$ converges weakly to $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} Q_{s} e_{i} d \beta_{s}^{i}$, since $Q^{N}$ converges weakly to $Q$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega \times[0, T], L_{2}(H)\right)$. Consequenly passing to the limit in equation () we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{t}= & \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} f_{s} d s+e^{(T-t) A^{*}} \eta+\int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} Q_{s} e_{i} d \beta_{s}^{i} \\
& +\int_{t}^{T}(T-s)^{-\alpha} e^{(s-t) A^{*}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N}(T-s)^{\alpha} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s}^{N} e_{i}\right] d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.14. In the same way, $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} d s$ converges weakly to $\int_{t}^{T}(T-s)^{-\alpha}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(T-s)\right.$
Notice that $Q_{\zeta}$ being compace can be written

$$
Q_{\zeta}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}(\zeta) f_{j}(\zeta)\left\langle g_{j}(\zeta), \cdot\right\rangle
$$

where $a_{j} \in \mathbb{R},\left(f_{j}\right),\left(g_{j}\right)$ are orthonormal basis in $H$. The choice can be done in a measurable way.
Let

$$
\gamma_{\zeta}^{N}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \operatorname{sgn}\left(a_{j}(\zeta) f_{j}(\zeta)\left\langle g_{j}(\zeta), \cdot\right\rangle\right) \varphi(\zeta),\right.
$$

where $\varphi$ is a real valued measurable function. We notice that $\left|\Gamma_{s}^{N}\right|_{L(H)} \leq \varphi(\zeta)$.
By the duality relation,

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle Q_{\zeta}, \Gamma_{\zeta}^{N}\right\rangle=\mathbb{E}\left\langle X_{T}^{N}, \eta\right\rangle+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle X_{s}^{N}, f_{s}\right\rangle d s
$$

we deduce that
$\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|a_{j}(\zeta)\right|\right) \varphi(\zeta) d \zeta \leq c\left(\mathbb{E} \eta^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}(T-l)^{-2 \alpha} \varphi^{2}(l) d l\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+c\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|f_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}(T-l)^{1-2 \alpha} \varphi^{2}(l) d l\right)$
Letting $N \rightarrow+\infty$ in the above estimate, we get

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\mathbb{Q}_{\zeta}\right|_{L_{1}} \varphi(\zeta) d \zeta \leq c_{f, \eta}\left(\int_{0}^{T}(T-l)^{-2 \alpha} \varphi^{2}(l) d l\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Letting $\tilde{\varphi}(l)=5 T-l)^{-\alpha} \varphi(l)$, we deduce

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\mathbb{Q}_{\zeta}\right|_{L_{1}(H)}(T-l)^{\alpha} \tilde{\varphi}(\zeta) d \zeta \leq c_{f, \eta}|\tilde{\varphi}|_{L^{2}([0, T])}
$$

## Corollary 4.15.

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}(T-l)^{2 \alpha}\left|Q_{l}\right|_{L_{1}(H)}^{2} d l \leq c
$$

Corollary 4.16. $\int_{t}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i} d s$ converges strongly in $L^{1}(H)$. In this case it obviouly converges to $\int_{t}^{T}(T-s)^{-\alpha}\left[(T-s)^{\alpha} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i}\right] d s$.

Proof. Here we assume that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|e^{s A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(\zeta) x\right|^{2} \leq c s^{-2 \alpha}|x|^{2}
$$

This is the case for heat equation in $[0,1]$.
Let

$$
Q_{s}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}(s) f_{j}(s)\left\langle g_{j}(s), \cdot\right\rangle
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left|\int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i} d s\right| \\
\leq & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left|e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i}\right| d s \\
= & \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}(s) f_{j}(s)\left\langle g_{j}(s), e_{i}\right\rangle\right| d s \\
\leq & \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{j}(s)\right|\left|e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) f_{j}(s)\right|\left|\left\langle g_{j}(s), e_{i}\right\rangle\right| d s \\
\leq & \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{j}(s)\right|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) f_{j}(s)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\langle g_{j}(s), e_{i}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq & \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{j}(s)\right| c(s-t)^{-\alpha} d s \\
\leq & c \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left|Q_{s}\right|_{L_{1}}(s-t)^{-\alpha} d s \\
= & c \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left|Q_{s}\right|_{L_{1}}(T-s)^{\alpha}(s-t)^{-\alpha}(T-s)^{-\alpha} d s \\
\leq & c\left(\int_{t}^{T}\left|Q_{s}\right|_{L_{1}}^{2}(T-s)^{2 \alpha} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(E \int_{t}^{T}(s-t)^{-2 \alpha}(T-s)^{-2 \alpha} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq & \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

We have consequently proved that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i} d s$ converges strongly in $L^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{T}, \mathbb{P}, H\right)$
to $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i} d s$. We also have that for any $\xi \in L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{T}, \mathbb{P}, H\right)$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i} d s, \xi\right\rangle=\mathbb{E}\left\langle\int_{t}^{T}(T-s)^{-\alpha}\left[(T-s)^{\alpha} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} \sum_{i=1} \infty_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i}\right] d s, \xi\right\rangle
$$

The right hand is defined before by weak convergence. so the two definitions concur.

### 4.3 Uniqueness of Linear BSDE

We prove the uniqueness in the following couple of processes $(P, Q)$.

1. (1) $P \in L_{\mathcal{P}}^{2}(\Omega \times[0, T], H), Q \in L_{\mathcal{P}}^{2}\left(\Omega \times[0, T], L_{2}(H)\right)$.
2. (2) $\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}(T-s)^{2 \alpha}\left|Q_{s}\right|_{L_{1}(H)}^{2} d s<\infty$.
3. (3) $\sup _{n} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}(T-s)^{2 \alpha}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q(s) e_{i}\right|^{2} d s<\infty$.
4. (4) for any $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
P_{t}=e^{(T-t) A^{*}} \eta+\int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i} d s+\int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} f_{s} d s-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} Q_{s} e_{i} d \beta_{s}^{i}
$$

We notice that under assumption (2) $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i}\right|^{2} d s$ converges stronly in $L^{1}$ so the second term of the right hand side is well defined. moreover, if $\left(N_{n}\right)_{n}$ is any sequence such that $(T-\cdot)^{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i}$ converges weakly in $L^{2}(\Omega \times[0, T])$ to an element say $L$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{N_{n}} \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i} d s$ converges weakly to $\int_{t}^{T}(T-s)^{-\alpha} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} L_{s} d s$. Hence

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i} d s=\int_{t}^{T}(T-s)^{-\alpha} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} L_{s} d s
$$

Theorem 4.17. The mild solution is unique.
Proof. Let $\left(N_{n}\right)_{n}$ be ang sequence such that $(T-\cdot)^{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i}$ converges weakly in $L^{2}(\Omega \times$ $[0, T], H)$ to $L$, then

$$
P_{t}=e^{(T-t) A^{*}} \eta+\sum_{i=1}^{N_{n}} \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i} d s+\int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}}(T-s)^{-\alpha} \varphi_{s}^{n} d s-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} Q_{s} e_{i} d \beta_{s}^{i},
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{s}^{n}=(T-s)^{\alpha}\left[L_{s}-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{n}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i} d s\right]
$$

We know that $\varphi^{n}$ converges weakly to 0 in $L^{2}(\Omega \times[0, T], H)$.
We need the following lemma:

Lemma 4.18. Assume that $\xi$ is a progressively measurable process with $\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}(T-s)^{2 \alpha}|\xi|^{2} d s<\infty$. Then for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a unique couple of processes $(P, Q)$ with $P \in C\left([0, T], L^{2}(\Omega, H)\right)$ and $Q \in L_{\mathcal{P}}^{2}\left(\Omega \times[0, T], L_{2}(H)\right)$ such that

$$
P_{t}=e^{(T-t) A^{*}} \eta+\int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{n}} C_{i}^{*}(s) Q_{s} e_{i} d s+\int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} \xi_{s} d s-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} e^{(s-t) A^{*}} Q_{s} e_{i} d \beta_{s}^{i}
$$

Moreover, letting $X^{n}$ be the solution of the FSDE:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
d X_{s}^{n} & =A X_{s}^{n} d s+\sum_{i=1}^{N_{n}} C_{i}(s) X_{s}^{n} d \beta_{s}^{i}+\Gamma d W_{s} \\
X_{t}^{N_{n}} & =x,
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

with $\Gamma \in L^{\infty}\left(\left(\Omega \times[0, T], L_{2}(H)\right)\right.$. Then we have the following duality relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left\langle P_{t}, x\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left\langle Q_{s}, \Gamma_{s}\right\rangle_{L_{2}(H)} d s & =\mathbb{E}\left\langle X_{T}^{n}, \eta\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left\langle X_{s}^{n}, \xi_{s}\right\rangle_{L_{2}(H)} d s \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left\langle X_{T}^{n}, \eta\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left\langle(T-s)^{-\alpha} X_{s}^{n},(T-s)^{\alpha} \xi_{s}\right\rangle_{L_{2}(H)} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Notice that $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{s}^{N_{n}}\right|^{2} \leq c$, so the last integral is well defined. The proof of this lemma is relegated to Appendix.
End of Proof of Theorem 4.17. Let $(P, Q)$ and $\left(P^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right)$ two solutions and let $\bar{P}=P-P^{\prime}$ and $\bar{Q}=Q-Q^{\prime}$. Then by the above duality formula,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\langle\bar{P}_{t}, x\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left\langle\bar{Q}_{s}, \Gamma_{s}\right\rangle_{L_{2}(H)} d s=\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left\langle(T-s)^{-\alpha} X_{s}^{n}, \bar{\varphi}_{s}^{n}\right\rangle_{L_{2}(H)} d s
$$

where

$$
\bar{\varphi}_{s}^{n}=(T-s)^{\alpha}\left[\bar{L}_{s}-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{n}} C_{i}^{*}(s) \bar{Q}_{s} e_{i}\right],
$$

and $L$ is the weak limit of $\sum_{i=1}^{N_{n}} C_{i}^{*}(s) \bar{Q}_{s} e_{i}$.
finally we notice that there exists a constant $c$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $s \in[t, T]$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|X_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} \leq c
$$

and moreover

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|X_{s}^{n}-X_{s}\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall s \in[t, T]
$$

Since $\bar{\varphi}^{n}$ converges weakly to 0 in $L^{2}(\Omega \times[0, T]$, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\langle\bar{P}_{t}, x\right\rangle+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left\langle\bar{Q}_{s}, \Gamma_{s}\right\rangle_{L_{2}(H)} d s=0
$$

which concludes the proof of uniqueness.

## 5 Stochastic Maximum Principle

Summarizing all what we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(x, u^{\epsilon}\right)-J(x, \bar{u})= & \epsilon \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle(\delta u)_{s},\left[\nabla_{u} F\left(\bar{X}_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right)\right]^{*} p_{s}\right\rangle d s+\epsilon \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\nabla_{u} L\left(\bar{X}_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right),(\delta u)_{s}\right\rangle d s \\
& +\epsilon \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\nabla_{u} G\left(\bar{X}_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right)(\delta u)_{s}\right) Q_{s}\right] d s+o(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

And we now know that all the terms in the above formula are well defined.
Recall we are assuming that $\left|\nabla_{u} G\left(\bar{X}_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right) v_{s}\right|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} \leq$ cost and we have just proved that $Q \in$ $L_{1}(H), \mathbb{P} \otimes d t$, a.s..

So we con conclude (by the usual localization - Lebesgue differentiation procedure) that
Theorem 5.1. $\forall v \in U$ it holds $\mathbb{P} \otimes d t$ a.s.

$$
\left\langle v-\bar{u}_{s},\left[\nabla_{u} F\left(\bar{X}_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right)\right]^{*} p_{s}\right\rangle+\left\langle\nabla_{u} L\left(\bar{X}_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right), v-\bar{u}_{s}\right\rangle+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\nabla_{u} G\left(\bar{X}_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right) v_{s}\right) Q_{s}\right] \geq 0
$$

## 6 Appendix

### 6.1 Schatten - Von Neumann Operators

We denote by $L_{2}(H)$ the Hilbert space of Hilbert Schmidt operators $H \rightarrow H$ endowed with the scalar product $\langle L, M\rangle_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\langle L e_{i}, M e_{i}\right\rangle_{H}$

Given $L \in L_{2}(H)$ there exists a sequence $\left(a_{n}^{L}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_{2}$ and a couple of orthonormal bases $\left(e_{n}^{L}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}},\left(f_{n}^{L}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $H$ such that

$$
L=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{L} f_{n}^{L}\left\langle e_{n}^{L}, \cdot\right\rangle \text { and }|L|_{2}=\sum_{n}\left(a_{n}^{L}\right)^{2}
$$

If $t \rightarrow L_{t}$ is a $L_{2}$ valued process then the above objects can be selected with the same measurability properties as $L$.

Define $L_{1}(H)=\left\{L \in L_{2}(H):|L|_{1}<\infty\right\}$ where

$$
|L|_{1}:=\sup \left\{\langle B, L\rangle_{2}: B \in L_{2}(H),|B|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq 1\right\}
$$

1. If $B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $L \in L_{1}(H)$ then $L B, B L$ are in $L_{1}(H)$ moreover $|L B|_{1} \leq|L|_{1}|B|_{\mathcal{L}(H)},|B L|_{1} \leq|L|_{1}|B|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}$
2. If $L \in L_{1}(H)$ the trace $\operatorname{Tr}(L):=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\langle e_{i}, L e_{i}\right\rangle$ converges absolutely and its value is independent on the choice of the basis $\left(e_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$
3. $|L|_{1}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}^{L}\right|, \operatorname{Tr}(L)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{L}$ consequently $|\operatorname{Tr}(L)| \leq|L|_{1}$

### 6.2 Linear BSDE: some classical results

Proposition 6.1. Assume that

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}(T-s)^{2 \alpha}\left|\varphi_{s}\right|^{2} d s<\infty
$$

then the BSDE

$$
-d Y_{t}=\left(A^{*} Y_{t}+\varphi_{t}\right) d t-Q_{t} d W_{t}
$$

admits a unque solution with

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{T}\left|Q_{s}\right|^{2} d s+\sup _{s \in[t, T]} \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{s}\right|^{2} \leq c(T-t)^{1-2 \alpha} \int_{t}^{T}(T-s)^{2 \alpha} \mathbb{E}\left|\varphi_{s}\right|^{2} d s .
$$

Proof. The uniqueness is clear. Let us put

$$
Y_{t}=\int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left(\varphi_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) d s
$$

Moreover, by the martingale representation theorem,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\varphi_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=\varphi_{s}-\int_{t}^{s} g(s, l) d W_{l}
$$

Notice that

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{\rho}|g(\rho, \sigma)|^{2} d \sigma \leq \mathbb{E}\left|\varphi_{\rho}\right|^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\varphi_{\rho} 6 \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)\right|^{2} \leq 2 \mathbb{E}\left|\varphi_{\rho}\right|^{2}
$$

From the above two equations, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{t} & =\int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left(\varphi_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) d s=\int_{t}^{T} \varphi_{s} d s-\int_{t}^{T}\left(\int_{t}^{s} g(s, l) d W_{l}\right) d s \\
& =\int_{t}^{T} \varphi_{s} d s-\int_{t}^{T}\left(\int_{l}^{T} g(s, l) d s\right) d W_{l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So there exists a unique couple $(Y, Q)$ and

$$
Q_{l}=\int_{l}^{T} g(s, l) d s
$$

Let us now establish the estimates. As $Q_{\sigma}=\int_{\sigma}^{T} g(\rho, \sigma) d \rho$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|Q_{\sigma}\right|^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{\sigma}^{T}(T-\rho)^{-\alpha}(T-\rho)^{\alpha} g(\rho, \sigma) d \rho\right|^{2} \leq(T-t)^{1-2 \alpha} \int_{\sigma}^{T}(T-\rho)^{2 \alpha} \mathbb{E}|g(\rho, \sigma)|^{2} d \rho .
$$

Thus

$$
\int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left|Q_{\sigma}\right|^{2} d \sigma \leq(T-t)^{1-2 \alpha} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T}(T-\rho)^{2 \alpha} \mathbb{E}|g(\rho, \sigma)|^{2} d \rho d \sigma
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq(T-t)^{1-2 \alpha} \int_{t}^{T}(T-\rho)^{2 \alpha}\left(\int_{t}^{\rho} E|g(\rho, \sigma)|^{2} d \sigma\right) d \rho \\
& \leq(T-t)^{1-2 \alpha} \int_{t}^{T}(T-\rho)^{2 \alpha} \mathbb{E}|\varphi(\rho)|^{2} d \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

on the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}\right|^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left(\varphi_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) d s\right|^{2} & \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{t}^{T}(T-s)^{-\alpha}(T-s)^{\alpha} \mathbb{E}\left(\varphi_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) d s\right|^{2} \\
& \leq(T-t)^{1-2 \alpha} \int_{t}^{T}(T-s)^{2 \alpha} \mathbb{E}|\varphi(s)|^{2} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Lemma. The existence and uniqueness follow from the above estimate. It suffices to establish the duality by some truncation argument.
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