



HAL
open science

Best matching Barenblatt profiles are delayed

Jean Dolbeault, Giuseppe Toscani

► **To cite this version:**

Jean Dolbeault, Giuseppe Toscani. Best matching Barenblatt profiles are delayed. 2014. hal-01058838v1

HAL Id: hal-01058838

<https://hal.science/hal-01058838v1>

Preprint submitted on 28 Aug 2014 (v1), last revised 17 Dec 2014 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Best matching Barenblatt profiles are delayed

Jean Dolbeault

Ceremade (UMR CNRS no. 7534), Université Paris-Dauphine, Place de Lattre de Tassigny, F-75775 Paris Cédex 16, France

Giuseppe Toscani

University of Pavia, Department of Mathematics, Via Ferrata 1, 27100 Pavia, Italy

Abstract. The growth of the second moments of the solutions of fast diffusion equations is asymptotically governed by the behavior of self-similar solutions. However, at next order, there is a correction term which amounts to a delay depending on the nonlinearity and on a distance of the initial data to the set of self-similar Barenblatt solutions. This distance can be measured in terms of a relative entropy to the best matching Barenblatt profile. This best matching Barenblatt function determines a scale. In new variables based on this scale, which are given by a self-similar change of variables if and only if the initial datum is one of the Barenblatt profiles, the typical scale is monotone and has a limit. Coming back to original variables, the best matching Barenblatt profile is delayed compared to the self-similar solution with same initial second moment as the initial datum. Such a delay is a new phenomenon, which has to be taken into account for instance when fitting experimental data.

PACS numbers: Primary: 02.30.-f; 02.30.Jr; 02.30.Sa. Secondary: 02.30.Xx; 02.60.Cb

Keywords: entropy – entropy production method; fast diffusion equation; second moment; intermediate asymptotics; self-similar solutions; Barenblatt profiles; delay

In 1905, A. Einstein established in [1] that the diffusion coefficient D in Brownian motion is determined by the number of atoms and can be measured by considering the second moment, which linearly grows with respect to time and can be experimentally measured. More precisely, if v is a solution to the heat equation

$$v_\tau = D \Delta v \quad (\tau, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

with nonnegative initial datum v_0 , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 v(\tau, x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 v_0(x) dx + D \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_0(x) dx$$

for any positive time τ .

In case of the porous medium ($m > 1$) or fast diffusion ($m < 1$) equation, that is

$$v_t = D \Delta v^m \quad (\tau, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \tag{1}$$

it turns out that the self-similar solutions found by G.I. Barenblatt in [2]

$$v_\infty(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{R(D\tau)^d} \left(C + \frac{1-m}{2m} \left| \frac{x}{R(D\tau)} \right|^2 \right)_+^{\frac{1}{m-1}}$$

with $R(\tau) = (\tau/\alpha)^\alpha$, $1/\alpha = d(m - m_c)$ and $m_c := (d - 2)/d$, play the role of Green's function in the nonlinear case, at least as far as large time asymptotics are concerned. Moreover, it holds that

$$I(\tau) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 v(\tau, x) dx \sim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 v_\infty(\tau, x) dx =: J(\tau) = J(1) \tau^{2\alpha} \quad (2)$$

as $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$. In other words, the second moment of any solution asymptotically grows for large time like the second moment of a self-similar solution.

In this paper, we will consider the fast diffusion case $m \in (m_1, 1)$ with $m_1 := (d-1)/d$ and prove that there is a correction to this asymptotic behavior, which amounts to a *time delay*. It can be briefly described as follows. Let τ_0 be such that

$$I(0) = J(\tau_0),$$

that is, let us define τ_0 by $\tau_0^{2\alpha} = I(0)/J(1)$. If the initial datum v_0 is a self-similar profile, *i.e.* if $v_0(x) = v_\infty(\tau_0, x)$, then it is straightforward to realize that $I(\tau) = J(\tau + \tau_0)$ for any positive time τ . For any other initial datum, we will prove that

$$I(\tau) < J(\tau + \tau_0)$$

for any $\tau > 0$ and

$$I(\tau) = J(\tau + \tau_0 - \delta) + o(\tau^{2\alpha-1}) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty \quad (3)$$

for some delay $\delta > 0$ which depends on m and on a distance to the set of Barenblatt profiles. This distance can be measured in terms of a relative entropy with respect to the *best matching* Barenblatt profile and determines a scale. The notion of *best matching* will be discussed in Section 3. We will introduce new variables based on this scale, which are self-similar variables if and only if the initial datum is one of the Barenblatt profiles. In the new variables, we observe two effects:

- (i) The relative entropy is decaying faster than when measured in self-similar variables. In other words, there is an *initial time layer* during which convergence towards the set of self-similar variables is faster than in the asymptotic regime, in which it goes exponentially.
- (ii) In the new variables, the moment of the best matching Barenblatt profile is decaying towards a finite, positive limit. Denote by ρ the *ratio* by which it is decreased: we will prove that ρ is positive but less than 1 which corresponds to the fact that δ is positive. Even if its value is not known accurately, it can be estimated in terms of the relative entropy with respect to the best matching Barenblatt profile.

The behavior of the solution during the initial time layer and the ratio ρ are interdependent. Interpreting these two effects in the original setting is tricky, as not only the space scale is changed, but also the time scale, and the change of variables

heavily depends on the solution itself. However, by undoing the change of variables and considering the asymptotic regime for large times τ , it is possible to estimate δ .

The positivity of the delay δ is a new phenomenon and should be taken into account whenever experimental data are used to estimate exponents in nonlinear diffusions. It is indeed only in the asymptotic regime that the growth of the self-similar solutions is recovered and can be used to estimate the exponent m and the diffusion coefficient D in (1). By taking into account the delay δ , we obtain the next term in the asymptotic expansion for large time scales.

Numerous mathematical papers have been devoted to the qualitative description of the solution to nonlinear diffusion equations. In the porous medium case ($m > 1$), the large-time behavior (2) of the second moment has been established in [3]. In the fast diffusion case ($m < 1$), we shall give a quick justification of this rate in Section 1 and refer to J.L. Vázquez' books [4, 5] for more details. We will also provide refined estimates when $m < 1$. The importance of the knowledge of the second moment in nonlinear diffusion equations has been outlined in [6]. Large time asymptotics of (1) have been intensively studied from the mathematical point of view, with essentially two main techniques: *comparison* methods starting with [7], and *relative entropy methods* based on the functional introduced by J. Ralston and W.I. Newman in [8, 9] are the two main approaches. As for the second one, connection with optimal functional inequalities in [10] allowed to characterize best possible rates. F. Otto gave an interpretation of (1) in terms of gradient flows which *a posteriori* justifies the exponential rate of convergence in self-similar variables. Asymptotic rates of convergence have been connected with optimal constants in a family of Hardy-Poincaré inequalities in [11] and a properly linearized regime in [12, 13]. Based on this method, improved convergence rates have been obtained in [14, 15] for well prepared initial data. However, the most striking result up to now is an improved functional inequality which has been established in [16]. For completeness, let us quote [17, 18, 19] in case of time-dependent diffusion coefficients. It is also worthwhile to indicate that the approach based on Rényi entropies is to some extent a parallel approach to the one developed in [15, 16] (also see [20, 21, 22], and [23, 24] for earlier related results) except that the emphasis is then put on the second moment rather than on the relative entropy term.

Diffusion processes described by (1) are known to arise in various fields of physics such as plasma physics, kinetic theory of gases, solid state physics, filtration models and transport in porous media. In many metals and ceramic materials the diffusion coefficient can, over a wide range of temperatures, be approximated as $v^{-\nu}$ for some exponent ν such that $0 < \nu < 2$, see, *e.g.*, [25], which leads to an exponent $m < 1$ in (1). Also, the special case $\nu = 1$ emerges in plasma physics as a model of the cross-field convective diffusion of plasma including mirror effects, and in the central limit approximation to Carleman's model of the Boltzmann equation, according to [26, 27]. In the range $m > 1$, the porous medium equation has been used by J. Boussinesq in the study of groundwater infiltration and in the description of the flow of an isentropic gas through a porous medium. It also applies to the theory of heat radiation in plasmas

developed by Ya.B. Zel'dovich and his coworkers. In particular, point source, self-similar solutions have been obtained by Ya.B. Zel'dovich and A.S. Kompaneets, G.I. Barenblatt, and also R.E. Pattle; see [2, 28]. In mathematics, the fast diffusion case is better known than the porous medium case for its properties in connection with functional analysis. However, both cases have been generalized in the setting of filtration equations and are now considered as building blocks for various models in mathematical biology, in mechanics of viscous fluids, particularly for boundary layers or thin films, *etc.* when the diffusion coefficient depends on the density. In this paper, we will not try to further justify the interest of the equation for applications, but focus on some qualitative aspects by which it differs from the linear theory of the heat equation. The emphasis will be put on new effects by which generic solutions differ from self-similar ones.

1. Asymptotic growth of the second moment

In this section, we introduce some notation, recall known results and quickly establish some of them which are of crucial importance for the new results of our paper. We shall quote relevant references for the reader interested in further details.

Let us first establish that Estimate (2) corresponds to the generic growth for the second moment. We start by rewriting (1) in *self-similar variables*, *i.e.* for any $(\tau, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$v(\tau, x) = \frac{\mu^d}{R(D\tau + \alpha)^d} u\left(\frac{1}{2} \log R(D\tau + \alpha), \frac{\mu x}{R(D\tau + \alpha)}\right) \quad (4)$$

for some $\mu > 0$ to be fixed later. We recall that $R(\tau) = (\tau/\alpha)^\alpha$, $1/\alpha = d(m - m_c)$ and $m_c := (d - 2)/d$. We assume that $m > m_c$, so that $R(D\tau + \alpha) \sim R(\tau)$ as $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$. Rescaling by $R(D\tau + \alpha)$ instead of rescaling by $R(\tau)$ has the advantage that the parameter D is scaled out and the initial datum is preserved by the change of variables, up to a simple scaling: $u_0 := u(t = 0, \cdot) = \mu^{-d} v_0(\cdot\mu)$. As in [10], we may notice that the problem of *intermediate asymptotics*, that is, showing that $v(\tau, x) \sim v_\infty(\tau, x)$ as $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$, now amounts to prove the convergence of u to the Barenblatt profile

$$\mathfrak{B}_1(x) = (C_M + \epsilon |x|^2)_+^{\frac{1}{m-1}}$$

where $\mu = (|1 - m|/(2m))^\alpha$ and $\epsilon = \pm 1$ has the sign of $(1 - m)$. Here $C_M > 0$ is uniquely determined by the condition $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathfrak{B}_1 dx = M := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0 dx$. An elementary computation shows that $C_M = (M/M_*)^{\frac{2(m-1)}{d(m-m_c)}}$ with $M_* = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \epsilon |x|^2)_+^{\frac{1}{m-1}} dx$. It is also straightforward to check that $R(D\tau)^d v_\infty(R(D\tau)x) = \mu^d \mathfrak{B}_1(\mu x)$ and $C_M = \mu^{2-1/\alpha} C$ with the notations of the introduction. From now on, we shall assume that we are in the fast diffusion case, that is, $m < 1$ and $\epsilon = 1$.

In self-similar variables, the equation satisfied by u is

$$u_t + \nabla \cdot [u (\nabla u^{m-1} - 2x)] = 0 \quad (5)$$

whose unique radial stationary solution of mass M is \mathfrak{B}_1 . Note that the mass M is preserved along the evolution for any $m \in (m_c, 1)$. We refer to [29] for a proof.

Next we consider the relative entropy, or *free energy*, functional of J. Ralston and W.I. Newman, that can be defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_1[u] := \frac{1}{m-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [u^m - \mathfrak{B}_1^m - m \mathfrak{B}_1^{m-1} (u - \mathfrak{B}_1)] dx$$

and observe that, if $m \in [m_1, 1)$ where

$$m_1 := \frac{d-1}{d},$$

then for any $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}_1[u(t, \cdot)] \leq -4 \mathcal{F}_1[u(t, \cdot)]$$

according to [10], so that, for any $t \geq 0$,

$$0 \leq \mathcal{F}_1[u(t, \cdot)] \leq \mathcal{F}_1[u_0] e^{-4t} \quad (6)$$

if u is a solution to (5). Moreover, this rate is sharp as can be checked by taking as initial datum u_0 a non-centered Barenblatt profile, $\mathfrak{B}_1(\cdot - x_0)$ for some $x_0 \neq 0$.

As a third step, we introduce the *relative moment* and the *entropy*, respectively

$$\mathcal{K}_1[u] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 (u - \mathfrak{B}_1) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_1[u] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u^m - \mathfrak{B}_1^m) dx,$$

so that $\mathcal{F}_1[u] = \frac{1}{m-1} \mathcal{S}_1[u] - \frac{m}{m-1} \mathcal{K}_1[u]$. By minimizing $\mathcal{K}_1[u]$ under the constraint $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u dx = M$, we know that $\mathcal{K}_1[u] \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $u = \mathfrak{B}_1$. If u is a solution to (5), an elementary computation shows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{K}_1[u(t, \cdot)] + 4 \mathcal{K}_1[u(t, \cdot)] = \frac{2d}{m} (1-m) \mathcal{S}_1[u(t, \cdot)]$$

that is,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{K}_1[u(t, \cdot)] + 2d(m - m_c) \mathcal{K}_1[u(t, \cdot)] = -\frac{2d}{m} (1-m)^2 \mathcal{F}_1[u(t, \cdot)].$$

Using (6), this shows that

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{S}_1[u_0] e^{-\frac{2t}{\alpha}} + \frac{1-m}{m} \mathcal{F}_1[u_0] e^{-4t} \leq \mathcal{K}_1[u(t, \cdot)] \leq \mathcal{K}_1[u_0] e^{-\frac{2t}{\alpha}}$$

with $m \in [m_1, 1)$, so that $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, and finally $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{\frac{2t}{\alpha}} \mathcal{K}_1[u(t, \cdot)] = 0$.

By undoing the self-similar change of variables (4), if v is a solution to (1) with initial datum $v_0(x) = \mu^d u_0(\mu x)$, we end up with the estimate

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \left(1 + \frac{D}{\alpha} \tau\right) \left| \mu^2 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 v(\tau, x) dx}{(1 + D \tau / \alpha)^{2\alpha}} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \mathfrak{B}_1 dx \right| = 0.$$

As we shall see next, a better adjustment of the Barenblatt profile allows us to give more accurate estimates.

2. Large time asymptotic refinements

Let us assume that $m \in (m_1, 1)$. Detailed mathematical justifications needed for the computations of this section can be found in [13, 14, 15]. Large time asymptotics are determined by the time evolution of the relative entropy. If u is a solution to (5), then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}_1[u(t, \cdot)] = - \mathcal{J}_1[u(t, \cdot)] := - \frac{m}{1-m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u^{m-1} - \nabla \mathfrak{B}_1^{m-1}|^2 u \, dx .$$

It has been established in [10] that

$$4 \mathcal{F}_1[u] \leq \mathcal{J}_1[u] ,$$

which is the key inequality to prove (6). As $t \rightarrow +\infty$, if we define w such that $u = \mathfrak{B}_1 (1 + \mathfrak{B}_1^{1-m} w)$, then $\mathfrak{B}_1^{1-m} w(t, \cdot)$ uniformly converges to 0,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1[u(t, \cdot)] &\sim \frac{m}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |w|^2 \mathfrak{B}_1^{2-m} \, dx := \mathbf{F}_1[w(t, \cdot)] , \\ \mathcal{J}_1[u(t, \cdot)] &\sim m(1-m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla w|^2 \mathfrak{B}_1 \, dx := \mathbf{I}_1[w(t, \cdot)] , \end{aligned}$$

and, if $m \in [m_1, 1)$, it has been established in [11] that the following Hardy-Poincaré inequality holds

$$\Lambda \mathbf{F}_1[w] \leq \mathbf{I}_1[w] \tag{7}$$

with optimal constant $\Lambda = 4$ for any $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{B}_1^{2-m} \, dx)$ such that $\nabla w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{B}_1 \, dx)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w \mathfrak{B}_1^{2-m} \, dx = 0$.

Consider on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{B}_1^{2-m} \, dx)$ the self-adjoint operator \mathcal{L}_1 such that

$$\mathcal{L}_1 w := -2(1-m) \mathfrak{B}_1^{m-2} \nabla(\mathfrak{B}_1 \nabla w) .$$

Its kernel is generated by the constants, and the eigenspace corresponding to the first non-zero eigenvalue, $\Lambda = 4$, is spanned by x_i , for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, so that Λ in (7) is actually the spectral gap of \mathcal{L}_1 . If we further assume that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x w \mathfrak{B}_1^{2-m} \, dx = 0$, then the spectral gap in (7) is improved and the best possible Λ on this restricted space is $\Lambda = 8 + 4d(m-1)$. See [14, 15] for details. For a solution u of (5), it is straightforward to check that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x u \, dx$ is preserved along the evolution. Hence, by enforcing the condition $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x u_0 \, dx = 0$, we asymptotically obtain an improved convergence rate compared to (6):

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{(8+4d(m-1))t} \mathcal{F}_1[u(t, \cdot)] < \infty .$$

The second non-zero eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}_1 , in the range $m \in (m_1, 1)$, is associated with dilations and the corresponding eigenspace is spanned by $|x|^2$. However, according to (5), $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 u \, dx$ is not preserved along the evolution. Well prepared initial data are therefore not sufficient to get rid of the associated degree of freedom and a more detailed analysis is required. Our analysis is now based on [15]. To a solution v of (1), we may now associate a function u given by

$$v(\tau, x) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\sigma} \mu}{R(D\tau + \alpha)} \right)^d u \left(t, \frac{\sqrt{\sigma} \mu x}{R(D\tau + \alpha)} \right)$$

for any $(\tau, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$, with $t = \frac{1}{2} \log R(D\tau + \alpha)$ and $R(\tau) = (\tau/\alpha)^\alpha$, so that the equation satisfied by u becomes

$$u_t + \nabla \cdot \left[\sigma^{\frac{d}{2}(m-m_c)} u \nabla u^{m-1} - 2xu \right] = 0. \quad (8)$$

Here σ is a real, positive, time-independent parameter, to be adjusted. When $\sigma = 1$, we recover (5). For a general $\sigma > 0$, the function

$$\mathfrak{B}_\sigma(x) := \sigma^{-d/2} \mathfrak{B}_1(x/\sqrt{\sigma})$$

is the unique radial stationary solution to (8) with mass $M = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0 dx$. Assume further that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x u_0 dx = 0$ and write $u = \mathfrak{B}_\sigma(1 + \mathfrak{B}_\sigma^{1-m} w)$ where σ is chosen such that, asymptotically as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, w is orthogonal to the eigenspace associated to the second non-zero eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}_σ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_\sigma w := -2(1-m) \mathfrak{B}_\sigma^{m-2} \nabla (\mathfrak{B}_\sigma \nabla w).$$

The value σ is uniquely defined and this can be justified in the framework of [15] (see in particular [15, Section 4]) but we will give a simpler evidence for this result in Section 3. The *relative entropy* is now defined with respect to \mathfrak{B}_σ :

$$\mathcal{F}_\sigma[u] := \frac{1}{m-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [u^m - \mathfrak{B}_\sigma^m - m \mathfrak{B}_\sigma^{m-1} (u - \mathfrak{B}_\sigma)] dx,$$

and we look for improved bounds on the functional

$$\mathcal{K}_\sigma[u] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 (u - \mathfrak{B}_\sigma) dx$$

when u is a solution to (8), as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. According to [15], we obtain the improved asymptotic rate

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{\Lambda t} \mathcal{F}_\sigma[u(t, \cdot)] < \infty$$

with, for any $d \geq 2$,

$$\Lambda = \begin{cases} \frac{(d-4-m(d-2))^2}{2(1-m)} & \text{if } m_c < m \leq \frac{d+4}{d+6}, \\ 8(d+2)m - 8d & \text{if } \frac{d+4}{d+6} \leq m \leq \frac{d+1}{d+2}, \\ 8 & \text{if } \frac{d+1}{d+2} \leq m < 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that $m_1 \geq \frac{d+4}{d+6}$ if $d \geq 6$, and $\Lambda > 4$ if $m > m_1$, for any $d \geq 2$. The result applies in the whole range $m \in (m_c, 1)$. If $d = 1$, then $\Lambda = 8$ for any $m \in (0, 1)$. Using [16, Theorem 4], we get that $(\mathcal{K}_\sigma[u(t, \cdot)])^2 = O(\mathcal{F}_\sigma[u(t, \cdot)])$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, hence proving that

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \left(1 + \frac{D}{\alpha} \tau\right)^{1+\gamma} \left| \mu^2 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 v(\tau, x) dx}{(1 + D\tau/\alpha)^{2\alpha}} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \mathfrak{B}_1 dx \right| < \infty$$

where we have used the fact that $\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \mathfrak{B}_\sigma dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \mathfrak{B}_1 dx$ and

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{4} \alpha \Lambda - 1.$$

It is straightforward to check that γ is positive for any $m \in (m_1, 1)$, thus improving the estimate given in Section 1. Details are left to the reader.

Note that improved convergence rates of the second moment can also be achieved if $m \in (d/(d+2), m_1)$ and $d > 2$. The case $m < d/(d+2)$ is far less interesting. In the range $[m_c, d/(d+2)]$ and $d \geq 2$, the asymptotic rate of convergence is determined by the continuous spectrum of \mathcal{L}_σ . If $m < m_c$, all solutions with finite mass extinguish in finite time and \mathcal{L}_σ has only continuous spectrum: see [13] for details. The case $m = m_c$ has been considered in [30]. The limit case $m = 1$ (heat equation) is covered by the standard decomposition into Hermite functions: see for instance [31]. All above improvements on the rate of decay of the relative entropy are achieved only in the asymptotic regime, by considering the *best matching* Barenblatt profile as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. This suggest to do the same for any finite time t .

3. Best matching Barenblatt functions and the second moment

3.1. A time dependent rescaling

In this section we assume that $m \in (m_1, 1)$ and consider a solution v of (1) with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_0 dx = M$. The key idea of [15, 16] is to find the *best matching Barenblatt function* by minimizing w.r.t. $v_{C,y,\lambda}$ the functional

$$\frac{1}{m-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [v^m - v_{C,y,\lambda}^m - m v_{C,y,\lambda}^{m-1} (v - v_{C,y,\lambda})] dx$$

where $v_{C,y,\lambda}$ is a generic Barenblatt function depending on the parameters $(C, y, \lambda) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, +\infty)$:

$$v_{C,y,\lambda}(x) = \lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left(C + \frac{1-m}{2m} \frac{|x-y|^2}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}.$$

It turns out that the best matching Barenblatt function is obtained by choosing C , y and λ as follows: $C_M = \mu^{2-1/\alpha} C$ i.e. such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_{C,y,\lambda} dx = M$, $y = \frac{1}{M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x v_0 dx$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x-y|^2 v_{C,y,\lambda} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x-y|^2 v(\tau, x) dx$. According to Sections 1 and 2, if v is a solution to (1), it is clear that $\lambda = \lambda(\tau)$ explicitly depends on τ and is such that $\tau^{-2\alpha} \lambda(\tau)$ converges as $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ to σ_∞ .

Actually, we can say much more on $\tau \mapsto \lambda(\tau)$, but for this purpose, it is more convenient to introduce a *time-dependent change of variables* as in [15]. Let u be such that

$$v(\tau, x) = \frac{\mu^d}{R(D\tau)^d} u \left(\frac{1}{2} \log R(D\tau), \frac{\mu x}{R(D\tau)} \right)$$

with $\tau \mapsto R(\tau)$ now given as the solution to

$$\frac{1}{R} \frac{dR}{d\tau} = \left(\frac{\mu^2}{K_M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 v(\tau, x) dx \right)^{-\frac{d}{2}(m-m_c)}, \quad R(0) = 1,$$

where

$$K_M := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \mathfrak{B}_1 dx = \frac{d(1-m)}{(d+2)m-d} M C_M.$$

Note that the initial condition is still $R(0) = 1$, which was previously taken into account by considering R as a function of $(D\tau + \alpha)$ instead of a function of $D\tau$. Then the equation for u is given by (8) where σ now depends on t according to

$$\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{K_M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 u(t, x) dx .$$

As it has already been observed in [15], $\sigma(t)$ is also characterized as the unique minimizer of $\sigma \mapsto \mathcal{F}_\sigma[u(t, \cdot)]$. Hence our change of variables is given by

$$\frac{1}{R} \frac{dR}{d\tau} = (\sigma(t) R^2(\tau))^{-\frac{d}{2}(m-m_c)} , \quad R(0) = 1 \quad (9)$$

where σ is defined as a function of

$$t = \frac{1}{2} \log(R(D\tau)) .$$

According to [16], if $m \in (m_1, 1)$, with

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &:= \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(t)}[u(\cdot, t)] , \quad \sigma(t) = \frac{1}{K_M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 u(x, t) dx , \\ j(t) &:= \mathcal{J}_{\sigma(t)}[u(\cdot, t)] , \quad \mathcal{J}_\sigma[u] := \frac{m \sigma^{\frac{d}{2}(m-m_c)}}{1-m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u |\nabla u^{m-1} - \nabla \mathfrak{B}_\sigma^{m-1}|^2 dx , \end{aligned}$$

we can write a system of coupled ODEs

$$\begin{cases} f' = -j \leq 0 \\ \sigma' = -2d \frac{(1-m)^2}{m K_M} \sigma^{\frac{d}{2}(m-m_c)} f \leq 0 \\ j' + 4j = \frac{d}{2}(m-m_c) \left[j - 4d(1-m)f \right] \frac{\sigma'}{\sigma} - r \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

with initial data (f_0, σ_0, j_0) , where

$$r := \sigma^{\frac{d}{2}(m-m_c)} \frac{2(1-m)}{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^m \left[|\nabla z|^2 - (1-m)(\nabla \cdot z)^2 \right] dx \geq 0$$

by the arithmetic-geometric inequality, and $z := \sigma^{\frac{d}{2}(m-m_c)} \nabla u^{m-1} - 2x$. Asymptotically as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, we know that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} j(t) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma(t) = \sigma_\infty > 0 .$$

Here σ_∞ takes the same value as σ in Section 2 and we shall give below an explicit estimate showing that $\sigma_\infty > 0$. As an easy consequence of the last identity in (10), we get that $f(t) \leq f_0 e^{-4t}$ and $j(t) \leq j_0 e^{-4t}$ for any positive time t , if $m \in (m_1, 1)$. An integration of $j' + 4j \leq 0$ on $(t, +\infty)$ gives

$$4f(t) \leq j(t) \quad (11)$$

for any $t \geq 0$, which turns out to be equivalent to a Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality according to [10]. See [32, 6, 33, 16] for further details on the entropy-entropy production method. From (10), we can do better and deduce improved decay estimates.

3.2. Relative entropy: improved estimates

Another estimate can indeed be derived by observing that

$$j - 4d(1-m)f = d(1-m)(j - 4f) + d(m - m_1)j \leq d(m - m_1)j$$

by (11) and that the last equation of (10) implies

$$j' + 4j \leq \kappa j \frac{\sigma'}{\sigma} \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa := \frac{1}{2}(m - m_c)(m - m_1)d^2.$$

Note that $0 < \kappa < 1$ if $m \in (m_1, 1)$ and $\kappa = \kappa(m)$ is such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow m_1} \kappa(m) = 0$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow 1} \kappa(m) = 1$. A Gronwall estimate then gives

$$\sigma(t) \geq \sigma_0 \left(\frac{j(t)e^{4t}}{j_0} \right)^{1/\kappa}$$

for any $t \geq 0$. Even if this estimate is rough, it proves that $\sigma(t)$ is always positive. We shall get a better estimate in Section 3.3.

We can also use the equation for σ' in (10) to get that $\sigma(t)$ is decreasing and

$$j' + 4j \leq 8ajf = -4a(f^2)' \quad \text{with} \quad a := \frac{d(1-m)^2}{4mK_M} \sigma_0^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} \kappa.$$

By integrating this last inequality from t to $+\infty$, we find that

$$j - 4f \geq 4af^2.$$

Using $f' = -j$ and integrating once more, we get

$$f(t) \leq \frac{f_0}{(1+\varepsilon)e^{4t} - \varepsilon} =: f_\star(t) \quad \text{with} \quad \varepsilon := af_0.$$

Note that ε depends on M and $f_0 \sigma_0^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-m)}$. Hence, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$f(t) \lesssim \frac{f_0}{1+\varepsilon} e^{-4t}$$

and we improve the standard estimate $f(t) \leq f_0 e^{-4t}$ by a factor $1/(1+\varepsilon)$ without requiring orthogonality conditions as in Section 2.

3.3. Second moment: asymptotic estimates

Assume again that $m \in (m_1, 1)$. As a function of t , σ is non-increasing with initial value $\sigma(0) = \sigma_0 > 0$, and a slightly more precise estimate is achieved by writing that

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\sigma^{\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} \right) = \frac{d^2(1-m)^3}{mK_M} f \leq \frac{d^2(1-m)^3}{mK_M} f_0 e^{-4t}$$

which provides the estimate

$$\sigma_\infty^{\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} \geq \sigma_0^{\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} - \frac{d^2(1-m)^3}{4mK_M} f_0. \quad (12)$$

Since $u(x, t)$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{\sigma(t)}$ have the same mass and second moment, we know that $f(t) = \frac{1}{1-m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathfrak{B}_{\sigma(t)}^m - u^m(t)) dx$. By observing that

$$d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathfrak{B}_1^m dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \cdot \nabla \mathfrak{B}_1^m dx = \frac{2m}{1-m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \mathfrak{B}_1 dx,$$

we can write f_0 in the form

$$f_0 = \frac{2mK_M}{d(1-m)^2} \sigma_0^{\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} - \frac{1}{1-m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0^m dx .$$

Hence we end up with the positive lower bound

$$\sigma_\infty^{\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} \geq \frac{d}{2} (m - m_c) \sigma_0^{\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} + \frac{d^2 (1-m)^2}{4mK_M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0^m dx , \quad (13)$$

which is just a rewriting of (12). Note that f_0 and σ_0 satisfy the constraint

$$f_0 \leq \frac{2mK_M}{d(1-m)^2} \sigma_0^{\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} .$$

As a consequence the factor $1/(1+\varepsilon)$ which appears in Section 3.2 is constrained by the condition

$$\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{4} (m - m_c) (m - m_1) d^2 = \frac{\kappa}{2} < \frac{1}{2} .$$

3.4. Second moment: improved asymptotic estimates

With the notations of Section 3.2, if we use the estimate $f \leq f_\star$ instead of the estimate $f(t) \leq f_0 e^{-4t}$, a slightly better estimate of σ can be given, namely,

$$\sigma(t)^{\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} \geq \sigma_0^{\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} - \frac{d^2 (1-m)^3}{mK_M} \left[\frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \log((1+\varepsilon)e^{4t} - \varepsilon) - \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right] f_0$$

and, as a consequence,

$$\sigma_\infty^{\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} \geq \sigma_0^{\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} - \frac{d^2 (1-m)^3}{4\varepsilon mK_M} \log(1+\varepsilon) f_0 .$$

This also gives an estimate of $\rho := \sigma_\infty/\sigma_0$, namely

$$\rho \geq \left(1 - \frac{d}{2} (1-m) \frac{\log(1+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{2}{d(1-m)}} . \quad (14)$$

4. Best matching Barenblatt profiles are delayed

4.1. A delay in the new time scale

With $\sigma(0) = \sigma_0$ and $\tau(0) = \tau_0(0) = 0$, we deduce from (9) and $R(D\tau) = e^{2t}$

$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \frac{2}{D} e^{\frac{2t}{\alpha}} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}(t) \leq \frac{d\tau_0}{dt} = \frac{2}{D} e^{\frac{2t}{\alpha}} \sigma_0^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$$

so that $\tau_0(t) = \frac{\alpha}{D} \sigma_0^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} (e^{\frac{2t}{\alpha}} - 1)$ and, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0(t) - \tau(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{2}{D} e^{\frac{2s}{\alpha}} \left(\sigma_0^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} - \sigma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}(s) \right) ds \\ &\sim \frac{\alpha}{D} \left(\sigma_0^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} - \sigma_\infty^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \right) e^{\frac{2t}{\alpha}} = \tau_0(t) - \tau_0(t - t_\infty) \end{aligned}$$

for some delay $t_\infty > 0$ such that $e^{-2t_\infty} = \sqrt{\rho} = \sqrt{\sigma_\infty/\sigma_0}$. This also proves that

$$\tau(t) \sim \tau_0(t - t_\infty) \sim \rho^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \tau_0(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty .$$

It is however not so easy to reinterpret t_∞ in terms of the original solution of (1) and this is what we are going to study next.

4.2. Back to the original time scale, at main order

For simplicity, assume that $D = 1$. The change of variables $R(\tau) = e^{2t}$, $R_0(\tau) := (1 + \frac{\tau}{\alpha} \sigma_0^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}})^\alpha$ and $\tau(t) \sim \tau_0(t - t_\infty) = \alpha \sigma_0^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} (e^{\frac{2(t-t_\infty)}{\alpha}} - 1)$ allow us to get that

$$e^{2t} \sim e^{2t_\infty} R_0(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_\infty}} R_0(\tau),$$

thus proving that, as $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$v(\tau, x) \sim \frac{\mu^d}{R(\tau)^d} \mathfrak{B}_{\sigma_\infty} \left(\frac{\mu x}{R(\tau)} \right) \sim \frac{\mu^d}{R_0(\tau)^d} \mathfrak{B}_{\sigma_0} \left(\frac{\mu x}{R_0(\tau)} \right).$$

The asymptotic profile of the solution is not affected by the delay, at least at main order. Similarly, $l(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 v(\tau, x) dx = K_M \mu^{-2} R^2(\tau) \sigma(t(\tau)) \sim K_M \mu^{-2} e^{4t} \sigma_\infty \sim J(\tau)$ as $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$, which is consistent with the results of Sections 1 and 2. A more careful analysis is therefore needed to observe the counterpart of the delay t_∞ or of the factor ρ in the original variables.

4.3. A delay, at lower order, on the moment

Now, let us come back to $l(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 v(\tau, x) dx = K_M \mu^{-2} R^2(\tau) \sigma(t(\tau))$. For simplicity, we keep assuming that $D = 1$. Using (9), (10) and $\frac{dt}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2R} \frac{dR}{d\tau} > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau} (R^2(\tau) \sigma(t)) &= 2 R(\tau) \frac{dR}{d\tau}(\tau) \sigma(t) + R(\tau)^2 \sigma'(t) \frac{dt}{d\tau} \\ &= 2 (R^2(\tau) \sigma(t))^{1-\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \left(1 - \zeta f(t) \sigma(t)^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with $t = t(\tau)$ and $\zeta := d \frac{(1-m)^2}{m K_M}$. Because $f(t) = O(e^{-4t}) = O(\tau^{-2\alpha})$ is integrable, we obtain that

$$R^2(\tau) \sigma(t) = \left(\sigma_0^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} + \frac{\tau}{\alpha} - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha} \int_0^\tau f(t(s)) \sigma(t(s))^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} ds \right)^{2\alpha}.$$

This establishes (3) with

$$\delta := \zeta \int_0^{+\infty} f(t(s)) \sigma(t(s))^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-m)} ds. \quad (15)$$

It is straightforward to observe that δ is nonnegative and $\delta = 0$ occurs if and only if the initial datum is a Barenblatt profile.

5. Conclusion

The asymptotic regime of solutions to the fast diffusion equation is determined by the scaling properties of the equation. However, as a purely nonlinear effect, the convergence when measured in relative entropy is faster when solutions are far from the self-similar solutions than in the asymptotic regime. The second moment, when written in variables corresponding to best matching asymptotic profiles, is monotone decreasing, a new and important feature of solutions to fast diffusion equations, that is, of (1) with $m < 1$.

By undoing the change of variables, we are able to translate this decay into a *delay* of the asymptotic profile of the solution with respect to the self-similar solution with same initial second moment, which can moreover be estimated using (15) and macroscopic quantities (second moment, relative entropy and relative Fisher information) governed by (10).

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge support both by the ANR projects Kibord and STAB (JD) and by MIUR project “Optimal mass transportation, geometrical and functional inequalities with applications” (GT).

©2014 by the authors. This paper may be reproduced, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes.

References

- [1] Einstein A 1905 *Annalen der Physik* **322** 549–560 ISSN 1521-3889
- [2] Barenblatt G I 1952 *Akad. Nauk SSSR. Prikl. Mat. Meh.* **16** 67–78
- [3] Toscani G 2005 *J. Evo. Equ.* **5** 185–203
- [4] Vázquez J L 2007 *The porous medium equation* Oxford Mathematical Monographs (Oxford: The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press) ISBN 978-0-19-856903-9; 0-19-856903-3 mathematical theory
- [5] Vázquez J L 2006 *Smoothing and decay estimates for nonlinear diffusion equations. Equations of porous medium type* vol 33 (Oxford: Oxford University Press) ISBN 978-0-19-920297-3; 0-19-920297-4
- [6] Carrillo J A and Vázquez J L 2007 *J. Evol. Equ.* **7** 471–495 ISSN 1424-3199 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00028-006-0298-z>
- [7] Friedman A and Kamin S 1980 *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **262** 551–563 ISSN 0002-9947
- [8] Newman W I 1984 *J. Math. Phys.* **25** 3120–3123 ISSN 0022-2488
- [9] Ralston J 1984 *J. Math. Phys.* **25** 3124–3127 ISSN 0022-2488
- [10] Del Pino M and Dolbeault J 2002 *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **81** 847–875 ISSN 0021-7824
- [11] Blanchet A, Bonforte M, Dolbeault J, Grillo G and Vázquez J L 2007 *Comptes Rendus Mathématique* **344** 431–436
- [12] Denzler J and McCann R J 2003 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100** 6922–6925 ISSN 1091-6490
- [13] Blanchet A, Bonforte M, Dolbeault J, Grillo G and Vázquez J L 2009 *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* **191** 347–385
- [14] Bonforte M, Dolbeault J, Grillo G and Vázquez J L 2010 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107** 16459–16464
- [15] Dolbeault J and Toscani G 2011 *Kinetic and Related Models* **4** 701–716
- [16] Dolbeault J and Toscani G 2013 *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Non Linear Analysis* **30** 917 – 934 ISSN 0294-1449 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0294144913000048>
- [17] Carlen E A and Gangbo W 2003 *Ann. of Math. (2)* **157** 807–846 ISSN 0003-486X URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.4007/annals.2003.157.807>
- [18] Carlen E A and Gangbo W 2004 *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **172** 21–64 ISSN 0003-9527 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00205-003-0296-z>
- [19] Tudorascu A and Wunsch M 2011 *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **36** 1426–1454 ISSN 0360-5302 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03605302.2011.563402>

- [20] Carrillo J A and Toscani G 2014 *ArXiv e-prints (Preprint 1403.3128)*
- [21] Savaré G and Toscani G 2014 *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **60** 2687–2693 (*Preprint 1208.1035*)
- [22] Toscani G 2014 *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* 1–10 ISSN 0167-8019 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10440-014-9933-9>
- [23] Toscani G 2005 *J. Evol. Equ.* **5** 185–203 ISSN 1424-3199 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00028-005-0183-1>
- [24] Villani C 2000 *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **46** 1695–1696 ISSN 0018-9448 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/18.850718>
- [25] Rosenau P 1995 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** 1056–1059 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00028-006-0298-z>
- [26] McKean HP P 1975 *Israel J. Math.* **21** 54–92 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00028-006-0298-z>
- [27] Lions P and Toscani G 1997 *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **13** 473–514 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00028-006-0298-z>
- [28] Pattle R 1959 *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.* **12** 407–409
- [29] Herrero M A and Pierre M 1985 *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **291** 145–158 ISSN 0002-9947
- [30] Bonforte M, Grillo G and Vázquez J 2010 *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* **196** 631–680
- [31] Bartier J P, Blanchet A, Dolbeault J and Escobedo M 2011 *Applied Mathematics Letters* **24** 76 – 81 ISSN 0893-9659
- [32] Carrillo J A and Toscani G 2000 *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **49** 113–142 ISSN 0022-2518 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1512/iumj.2000.49.1756>
- [33] Otto F 2001 *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **26** 101–174 ISSN 0360-5302