

Equivalent Harnack and Gradient Inequalities for Pointwise Curvature Lower Bound

Marc Arnaudon, Anton Thalmaier, Feng-Yu Wang

▶ To cite this version:

Marc Arnaudon, Anton Thalmaier, Feng-Yu Wang. Equivalent Harnack and Gradient Inequalities for Pointwise Curvature Lower Bound. Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques, 2014, 138 (5), pp.643-655. hal-01057529

HAL Id: hal-01057529

https://hal.science/hal-01057529

Submitted on 23 Aug 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Equivalent Harnack and Gradient Inequalities for Pointwise Curvature Lower Bound*

Marc Arnaudon b), Anton Thalmaier c) and Feng-Yu Wang $^{a),d}$ †

^{a)}School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

L-1359 Luxembourg, Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg

d) Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

October 16, 2013

Abstract

By using a coupling method, an explicit log-Harnack inequality with local geometry quantities is established for (sub-Markovian) diffusion semigroups on a Riemannian manifold (possibly with boundary). This inequality as well as the consequent L^2 -gradient inequality, are proved to be equivalent to the pointwise curvature lower bound condition together with the convexity or absence of the boundary. Some applications of the log-Harnack inequality are also introduced.

AMS subject Classification: 58J65, 60H30.

Keywords: Log-Harnack inequality, Riemannian manifold, diffusion process.

1 Introduction

Let M be a d-dimensional connected complete Riemannian manifold possibly with a boundary ∂M . Consider $L = \Delta + Z$ for a C^1 -vector field Z. Let $X_t(x)$ be the (reflecting) diffusion process generated by L with starting point x and life time $\zeta(x)$. Then the associated diffusion semigroup P_t is given by

$$P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E} \left[f(X_t(x)) \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \zeta(x)\}} \right], \quad t \ge 0, f \in \mathscr{B}_b(M).$$

Although the semigroup depends on Z and the geometry on the whole manifold, we aim to establish Harnack, resp. gradient type inequalities for P_t by using local geometry quantities.

^{b)}Institut de Mathematiques de Bordeaux, UMR 5251 Universite de Bordeaux and CNRS, France

^{c)}Mathematics Research Unit, FSTC, University of Luxembourg, 6 rue Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi,

^{*}supported in part by NNSFC(11131003) and Lab. Math. Com. Sys.

[†]Corresponding author: wangfy@bnu.edu.cn, F.-Y.Wang@swansea.ac.uk.

Let $K \in C(M)$ be such that

(1.1)
$$\operatorname{Ric}_{Z} := \operatorname{Ric} - \nabla Z \ge -K,$$

i.e. for any $x \in M$ and $X \in T_xM$, $\mathrm{Ric}(X,X) - \langle X, \nabla_X Z \rangle \geq -K(x)|X|^2$. Next, for any $D \subset M$, let

$$K(D) := \sup_{D} K, \quad D_r = \{ z \in M : \rho(z, D) \le r \}, \quad r \ge 0,$$

where ρ is the Riemannian distance on M. Finally, to investigate P_t using local curvature bounds, we introduce, for a given bounded open domain $D \subset M$, the following class of reference functions:

$$\mathscr{C}_D = \left\{ \phi \in C^2(\bar{D}) : \ \phi|_D > 0, \ \phi|_{\partial D \setminus \partial M} = 0, \ N\phi|_{\partial M \cap \partial D} \ge 0 \right\}.$$

where N is the inward unit normal vector field of ∂M . When $\partial M = \emptyset$, the restriction $N\phi|_{\partial M} \geq 0$ is automatically dropped. For any $\phi \in \mathscr{C}_D$, we have

$$c_D(\phi) = \sup_D \left\{ 5|\nabla \phi|^2 - \phi L\phi \right\} \in [0, \infty).$$

The finiteness of $c_D(\phi)$ is trivial since \bar{D} is compact. To see that $c_D(\phi) \geq 0$, we consider the following two situations:

- (a) There exists $x \in \partial D \setminus \partial M$. We have $\phi(x) = 0$ so that $c_D(\phi) \ge \{5|\nabla \phi|^2 \phi L\phi\}(x) \ge 0$.
- (b) When $\partial D \setminus \partial M = \emptyset$, we have $\bar{D} = M$. Otherwise, there exists $z \in M \setminus (D \cup \partial M)$, For any $z' \in D \setminus \partial M$, let $\gamma : [0,1] \to M \setminus \partial M$ be a smooth curve linking z and z'. Since $z' \in D$ but $z \notin D$, there exists $s \in [0,1]$ such that $\gamma(s) \in \partial D$. This is however impossible since $\partial D \subset \partial M$ and $\gamma(s) \notin \partial M$. Therefore, in this case $M = \bar{D}$ is compact so that the reflecting diffusion process is non-explosive. Now, let $x \in \bar{D}$ such that $\phi(x) = \max_{\bar{D}} \phi$. Since $N\phi|_{\partial M} \geq 0$ due to $\phi \in \mathscr{C}_D$, $\phi(X_t) \phi(x) \int_0^t L\phi(X_s) \, \mathrm{d}s$ is a sub-martingale so that

$$\phi(x) \ge \mathbb{E}\phi(X_t) \ge \phi(x) + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}L\phi(X_s) \,\mathrm{d}s, \quad t \ge 0.$$

This implies $L\phi(x) \leq 0$ (known as the maximum principle) and thus,

$$c_D(\phi) \ge \{5|\nabla \phi|^2 - \phi L\phi\}(x) \ge 0.$$

Theorem 1.1. Let $K \in C(M)$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) (1.1) holds and ∂M is either empty or convex.
- (2) For any bounded open domain $D \subset M$ and any $\phi \in \mathscr{C}_D$, the log-Harnack inequality

$$P_T \log f(y) - \log(P_T f(x) + 1 - P_T 1(x))$$

$$\leq \frac{\rho(x,y)^2}{2} \left(\frac{K(D_{\rho(x,y)})}{1 - e^{-2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})T}} + \frac{c_D(\phi)^2 (e^{2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})T} - 1)}{2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})\phi(y)^4} \right), \quad T > 0, \ y \in D, \ x \in M,$$

holds for strictly positive $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(M)$.

(3) For any bounded open domain $D \subset M$ and any $\phi \in \mathscr{C}_D$,

$$|\nabla P_T f|^2(x) \le \left\{ P_T f^2 - (P_T f)^2 \right\}(x) \left(\frac{K(D)}{1 - e^{-2K(D)T}} + \frac{c_D(\phi)^2 (e^{2K(D)T} - 1)}{2K(D)\phi(x)^4} \right)$$

holds for all $x \in D, T > 0, f \in \mathcal{B}_b(M)$.

If moreover $P_T 1 = 1$, then the statements above are also equivalent to

(4) For any bounded open domain $D \subset M$ and any $\phi \in \mathscr{C}_D$, the Harnack type inequality

$$P_T f(y) \le P_T f(x) + \rho(x, y) \left(\frac{K(D)}{1 - e^{-2K(D)T}} + \frac{c_D(\phi)^2 (e^{2K(D)T} - 1)}{2K(D) \inf_{\ell(x, y)} \phi^4} \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{P_T f^2(y)}$$

holds for nonnegative $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(M)$, T > 0 and $x, y \in D$ such that the minimal geodesic $\ell(x, y)$ linking x and y is contained in D.

- **Remark** (i) When K is constant, a number of equivalent semigroup inequalities are available for the curvature condition (1.1) together with the convexity or absence of the boundary, see [8, 10] and references within (see also [3, 11] for equivalent semigroup inequalities of the curvature-dimension condition). When ∂M is either empty or convex, the above result provides at the first time equivalent semigroup properties for the general pointwise curvature lower bound condition.
- (ii) When the diffusion process is explosive, the appearance of $1-P_T1$ in the log-Harnack inequality is essential. Indeed, without this term the inequality does not hold for e.g. $f \equiv 1$ provided $P_T1 < 1$.
- (iii) The following result shows that the constant 1/2 involved in the log-Harnack inequality is sharp.

Proposition 1.2. Let c > 0 be a constant. For any $x \in M$, strictly positive function f with $|\nabla f|(x) > 0$ and $\log f \in C_0^2(M)$, and any constants C > 0, the inequality

$$P_T \log f(y) \le \log (P_T f(x) + 1 - P_T 1(x)) + c \rho(x, y)^2 \left(\frac{C}{1 - e^{-2CT}} + o\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \right)$$

for small T > 0 and small $\rho(x, y)$ implies that $c \ge 1/2$.

Proof. Let us take $v \in T_xM$ and $y_s = \exp_x[sv], s \ge 0$. Then the given log-Harnack inequality implies that

$$(1.2) P_s \log f(y_s) - \log \left(P_s f(x) + 1 - P_s 1(x) \right) \le c s^2 |v|^2 \left(\frac{C}{1 - e^{-2Cs}} + o\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) \right)$$

holds for small s > 0. On the other hand, for any $g \in C^2(M)$ with bounded Lg, one has

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} P_s g|_{s=0} = Lg.$$

Indeed, letting X_t be the diffusion process generated by L with $X_0 = x$, by Itô's formula and the dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$\lim_{s\downarrow 0} \frac{P_s g(x) - g(x)}{s} = \lim_{s\downarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \mathbb{E} \int_0^{s\wedge\zeta(x)} Lg(X_r) \, \mathrm{d}r = \mathbb{E} \lim_{s\downarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{s\wedge\zeta(x)} Lg(X_r) \, \mathrm{d}r = Lg(x).$$

Combining (1.2) with (1.3) we obtain

$$\langle v, \nabla \log f \rangle(x) - |\nabla \log f|^2(x) = L \log f(x) + \langle v, \nabla \log f \rangle(x) - \frac{Lf(x)}{f(x)}$$

= $\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \{ P_s \log f(y_s) - \log(P_s f(x) + 1 - P_s 1(x)) \} \le \frac{c|v|^2}{2}.$

Taking $v = r\nabla \log f(x)$ for $r \ge 0$ we obtain

$$\left(r - 1 - \frac{cr^2}{2}\right) |\nabla \log f(x)|^2 \le 0, \quad r \ge 0.$$

This implies $c \geq 1/2$ by taking r = 1/c.

To derive the explicit log-Harnack inequality using local geometry quantities, we may take e.g. $D = B(y, 1) := \{z : \rho(y, z) < 1\}$. Let

$$K_y = 0 \lor K(B(y,1)), \quad K_{x,y} = K(B(y,1+\rho(x,y))),$$

 $K_y^0 = 0 \lor \sup \{ -\text{Ric}(U,U) : U \in T_zM, \ |U| = 1, \ z \in B(y,1) \},$
 $b_y = \sup_{B(y,1)} |Z|.$

Then $K(D_{\rho(x,y)}) = K_{x,y}$ and according to [7, Proof of Corollary 5.1] (see page 121 therein with $\bar{\delta}_x$ replaced by 1), we may take $\phi(z) = \cos \frac{\pi \rho(y,z)}{2}$ so that $\phi(y) = 1$ and

$$\kappa(y) := K_y + \frac{\pi^2(d+3)}{4} + \pi \left(b_y + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{K_y^0(d-1)}\right) \ge c_D(\phi).$$

Note that when ∂M is convex, $N\rho(\cdot,y)|_{\partial M} \leq 0$ so that $N\phi|_{\partial D\cap\partial M} \geq 0$ as required in the definition of \mathscr{C}_D . Therefore, Theorem 1.1 (2) implies that

$$(1.4) P_t \log f(y) \le \log \left\{ P_t f(x) + 1 - P_t 1(x) \right\} + \frac{\rho(x,y)^2}{2} \left(\frac{K_{x,y}}{1 - e^{-2K_{x,y}t}} + \frac{\kappa(y)^2 (e^{2K_{x,y}t} - 1)}{2K_{x,y}} \right)$$

holds for all strictly positive $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(M)$, $x, y \in M$ and t > 0. As in the proofs of [6, Corollary 1.2] and [9, Corollary 1.3], this implies the following heat kernel estimates and entropy-cost inequality. When P_t obeys the log-Sobolev inequality for t > 0, the second inequality in Corollary 1.3(2) below also implies the HWI inequality as shown in [4, 5].

Corollary 1.3. Assume (1.1) and that ∂M is either convex or empty. Let $Z = \nabla V$ for some $V \in C^2(M)$ such that P_t is symmetric w.r.t. $\mu(\mathrm{d}x) := \mathrm{e}^{V(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x$, where $\mathrm{d}x$ is the volume measure. Let p_t be the density of P_t w.r.t. μ . Assume that (1.1) holds.

(1) Let
$$\bar{K}(y) = K(B(y,2))$$
. Then

$$\int_{M} p_{t}(y,z) \log p_{t}(y,z) \mu(\mathrm{d}z)
\leq \sqrt{t \wedge 1} \left(\frac{\bar{K}(y)}{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2\bar{K}(y)t}} + \frac{\kappa(y)^{2} (\mathrm{e}^{2\bar{K}(y)t} - 1)}{2\bar{K}(y)} \right) + \log \frac{P_{2t}1(y) + \mu(1 - P_{t}1)}{\mu(B(y, \sqrt{t \wedge 1}))}$$

holds for all $y \in M$ and t > 0.

(2) If μ is a probability measure and $P_t 1 = 1$, then the Gaussian heat kernel lower bound

$$p_{2t}(x,y) \ge \exp\left[-\frac{\rho(x,y)^2}{2}\left(\frac{K_{x,y}}{1 - e^{-2K_{x,y}t}} + \frac{\kappa(y)^2(e^{2K_{x,y}t} - 1)}{2K_{x,y}}\right)\right], \quad t > 0, \ x, y \in M,$$

and the entropy-cost inequality

$$\int_{M} (P_{t}f) \log P_{t}f d\mu$$

$$\leq \inf_{\pi \in \mathscr{C}(\mu, f\mu)} \int_{M \times M} \frac{\rho(x, y)^{2}}{2} \left(\frac{K_{x, y}}{1 - e^{-2K_{x, y}t}} + \frac{\kappa(y)^{2}(e^{2K_{x, y}t} - 1)}{2K_{x, y}} \right) \pi(dx, dy), \quad t > 0,$$

hold for any probability density function f of μ , where $\mathscr{C}(\mu, f\mu)$ is the set of all couplings of μ and $f\mu$.

Proof. According to (1.4), the heat kernel lower bound in (2) follows from the proof of [9, Corollary 1.3], while the other two inequalities can be proved as in the proof of [6, Corollary 1.2]. Below we only present a brief proof of (1).

By an approximation argument we may apply (1.4) to $f(z) := p_t(y, z)$ so that

$$I := \int_{M} p_{t}(y, z) \log p_{t}(y, z) \mu(dz)$$

$$\leq \log \{p_{2t}(x, y) + 1 - P_{t}1(x)\} + \frac{\rho(x, y)^{2}}{2} \left(\frac{K_{x, y}}{1 - e^{-2K_{x, y}t}} + \frac{\kappa(y)^{2}(e^{2K_{x, y}t} - 1)}{2K_{x, y}}\right).$$

Since $K_{x,y} \leq \bar{K}(y)$ for $x \in B(y,1)$, this implies that

$$e^{I}\mu\left(B(y,\sqrt{t}\wedge 1)\right)\exp\left[-\frac{t\wedge 1}{2}\left(\frac{\bar{K}(y)}{1-e^{-2\bar{K}(y)t}} + \frac{\kappa(y)^{2}(e^{2\bar{K}(y)t}-1)}{2\bar{K}(y)}\right)\right]$$

$$\leq e^{I}\int_{M}\exp\left[-\frac{\rho(x,y)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{K_{x,y}}{1-e^{-2K_{x,y}t}} + \frac{\kappa(y)^{2}(e^{2K_{x,y}t}-1)}{2K_{x,y}}\right)\right]\mu(dx)$$

$$\leq \int_{M}\{p_{2t}(x,y) + 1 - P_{t}1(x)\}\mu(dx) = P_{2t}1(y) + \mu(1-P_{t}1).$$

This proves (1).

We remark that the entropy upper bound in (1) is sharp for short time, since both $-\log \mu(B(y,\sqrt{t}))$ and the entropy of the Gaussian heat kernel behave like $\frac{d}{2}\log \frac{1}{t}$ for small t>0.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first observe that when $P_T 1 = 1$ the equivalence of (3) and (4) is implied by the proof of [12, Proposition 1.3]. Indeed, by (3)

$$|\nabla P_T f|^2 \le \left\{ P_T f^2 - (P_T f)^2 \right\} \left(\frac{K(D)}{1 - e^{-2K(D)T}} + \frac{c_D(\phi)^2 (e^{2K(D)T} - 1)}{2K(D) \inf_{\ell(x,y)} \phi^4} \right)$$

holds on the minimal geodesic $\ell(x, y)$, so that the Harnack inequality in (4) follows from the first part in the proof of [12, Proposition 1.3]. On the other hand, by the second part of the proof, the inequality in (4) implies

$$|\nabla P_t f|^2 \le \{P_T f^2\} \left(\frac{K(D)}{1 - e^{-2K(D)T}} + \frac{c_D(\phi)^2 (e^{2K(D)T} - 1)}{2K(D)\phi^4}\right)$$

on D. Replacing f by $f - P_T f(x)$, we obtain the inequality in (3) since $\nabla P_T f = \nabla P_T (f - P_T f(x))$ provided $P_T 1 = 1$.

In the following three subsections, we prove (1) implying (2), (2) implying (3), and (3) implying (1) respectively.

2.1 Proof of (1) implying (2)

We assume the curvature condition (1.1) and that ∂M is either empty or convex. To prove the log-Harnack inequality in (2), we will make use of the coupling argument proposed in [1]. As explained in [1, Section 3], we may and do assume that the cut-locus of the manifold is empty.

Now, let T > 0 and $y \in D, x \neq y$ be fixed. For any $z, z' \in M$, let $P_{z,z'}: T_zM \to T_{z'}M$ be the parallel transport along the unique minimal geodesic from z to z'. Let X_t solve the following Itô type SDE on M

$$d^{I}X_{t} = \sqrt{2}\Phi_{t} dB_{t} + Z(X_{t}) dt + N(X_{t})dI_{t}, \quad X_{0} = x,$$

up to the life time $\zeta(x)$, where B_t is the d-dimensional Brownian motion, Φ_t is the horizontal lift of X_t on the frame bundle O(M), and l_t is the local time of X_t on ∂M if $\partial M \neq \emptyset$. When $\partial M = \emptyset$, we simply take $l_t = 0$ so that the last term in the equation disappears.

To construct another process starting at y such that it meets X_t before T and its hitting time to ∂D , let Y_t solve the SDE with $Y_0 = y$

(2.1)
$$d^{I}Y_{t} = \sqrt{2}P_{X_{t},Y_{t}}\Phi_{t} dB_{t} + Z(Y_{t}) dt - \sqrt{\xi_{1}(t)^{2} + \xi_{2}(t)^{2}} \nabla \rho(X_{t},\cdot)(Y_{t}) dt + N(Y_{t}) d\tilde{l}_{t},$$

where \tilde{l}_t is the local time of Y_t on ∂M when $\partial M \neq \emptyset$, and

$$\xi_1(t) = \frac{2K(D_{\rho(x,y)}) \exp[-K(D_{\rho(x,y)})t]}{1 - \exp[-2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})T]} \rho(x,y) 1_{\{Y_t \neq X_t\}},$$

$$\xi_2(t) = \frac{2c_D(\phi)\rho(X_t, Y_t)}{\phi(Y_t)^2}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Then Y_t is well-defined before $T \wedge \tau_{D(x,y)}(x) \wedge \tau_D(y)$, where

$$\tau_D(y) := \inf\{t \in [0, T \land \zeta(x)) : Y_t \in \partial D\}, \quad \tau_{D(x,y)}(x) = \inf\{t \ge 0 : X_t \notin D(x,y)\}.$$

Let

$$\tau = \inf\{t \in [0, \zeta(x) \land \zeta(y)) : X_t = Y_t\},\$$

where $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ by convention.

Let $\Theta = \tau \wedge T \wedge \tau_D(y) \wedge \tau_{D(x,y)}(x)$ and set

$$\eta(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\xi_1(t)^2 + \xi_2(t)^2} \nabla \rho(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t), \quad t \in [0, \Theta).$$

Define

$$R = \exp \left[-\int_0^{\Theta} \langle \eta(t), \Phi_t dB_t \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\Theta} |\eta(t)|^2 dt \right].$$

We intend to prove

(i) R is a well-defined probability density with

$$\mathbb{E}\{R\log R\} \le \frac{\rho(x,y)^2}{2} \left(\frac{K(D_{\rho(x,y)})}{1 - e^{-2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})T}} + \frac{c_D(\phi)(e^{2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})^2T} - 1)}{2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})\phi(y)^4} \right).$$

(ii)
$$\tau \leq T \wedge \tau_D(y) \wedge \tau_{D(x,y)}(x)$$
 holds \mathbb{Q} -a.s., where $\mathbb{Q} := R\mathbb{P}$.

Once these two assertions are confirmed, by taking $Y_t = X_t$ for $t \ge \tau$ we see that Y_t solves (2.1) up to its life time $\zeta(y) = \zeta(x)$ and $X_T = Y_T$ for $T < \zeta(x)$. Moreover, by the Girsanov theorem the process

$$\tilde{B}_t := B_t + \int_0^t \eta(s) \, \mathrm{d}s, \quad t \ge 0$$

is a d-dimensional Brownian motion under \mathbb{Q} and equation (2.1) can be reformulated as

(2.2)
$$d^{I}Y_{t} = \sqrt{2}P_{X_{t},Y_{t}}\Phi_{t} d\tilde{B}_{t} + Z(Y_{t}) dt + N(Y_{t})d\tilde{l}_{t}, \quad Y_{0} = y.$$

Combining this with the Young inequality (see [2, Lemma 2.4])

$$P_{T} \log f(y) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ R1_{\{T < \zeta(y)\}} \log f(Y_{T}) \right\} = \mathbb{E} \left\{ R1_{\{T < \zeta(x)\}} \log f(X_{T}) \right\}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} R \log R + \log \mathbb{E} \exp[1_{\{T < \zeta(x)\}} \log f(X_{T})]$$

$$= \log(P_{T} f(x) + 1 - P_{T} 1(x)) + \mathbb{E} R \log R$$

$$\leq \log(P_{T} f(x) + 1 - P_{T} 1(x))$$

$$+ \frac{\rho(x, y)^{2}}{2} \left(\frac{K(D_{\rho(x, y)})}{1 - e^{-2K(D_{\rho(x, y)})^{T}} - 1} + \frac{c_{D}(\phi)^{2} (e^{2K(D_{\rho(x, y)})^{T}} - 1)}{2K(D_{\rho(x, y)})\phi(y)^{4}} \right).$$

This gives the desired log-Harnack inequality.

Below we prove (i) and (ii) respectively.

Lemma 2.1. For any $n \geq 1$, let

$$\tau_n(y) = \inf \{ t \in [0, T \land \zeta(x)) : \rho(Y_t, D^c) \le n^{-1} \}$$

and

$$\Theta_n = \tau \wedge \frac{nT}{n+1} \wedge \tau_{D(x,y)}(x) \wedge \tau_n(y).$$

Let R_n be defined as R using Θ_n in place of Θ . Then $\{R_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a uniformly integrable martingale with $\mathbb{E}R_n=1$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\{R_n \log R_n\} \le \frac{\rho(x,y)^2}{2} \left(\frac{K(D_{\rho(x,y)})}{1 - e^{-2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})T} - 1} + \frac{c_D(\phi)^2 (e^{2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})T} - 1)}{2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})\phi(y)^4} \right)$$

for $n \geq 1$. Consequently, (i) holds.

Proof. (i) follows from the first assertion and the martingale convergence theorem. Since before time Θ_n the process $\eta(t)$ is bounded, the martingale property and $\mathbb{E}R_n = 1$ is well-known. So, it remains to prove the entropy upper bound. By the Itô formula we see that (cf. (2.3) and (2.4) in [1])

(2.3)
$$d\rho(X_t, Y_t) \le K(D_{\rho(x,y)})\rho(X_t, Y_t) dt - \sqrt{\xi_1(t)^2 + \xi_2(t)^2} dt, \quad t \le \Theta_n.$$

Then

$$d\rho(X_t, Y_t)^2 \le 2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})\rho(X_t, Y_t)^2 dt - \frac{4c_D(\phi)\rho(X_t, Y_t)^2}{\phi(Y_t)^2} dt, \quad t \le \Theta_n.$$

Note that $(\tilde{B}_t)_{t\in[0,\Theta_n]}$ is a d-dimensional Brownian motion under the probability $\mathbb{Q}_n := R_n \mathbb{P}$. Combining this with (2.2) and using Itô's formula along with the facts that the martingale part of $\rho(X_t, Y_t)^2$ is zero and $N\phi|_{\partial D\cap\partial M} \geq 0$, we obtain

$$d\left\{\frac{\rho(X_{t}, Y_{t})^{2}}{\phi(Y_{t})^{4}}\right\} \leq dM_{t} - \frac{4\rho(X_{t}, Y_{t})^{2}}{\phi(Y_{t})^{6}} \left\{c_{D}(\phi) + \phi(Y_{t})L\phi(Y_{t}) - 5|\nabla\phi(Y_{t})|^{2}\right\} dt$$
$$- \frac{2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})\rho(X_{t}, Y_{t})^{2}}{\phi(Y_{t})^{4}} dt$$
$$\leq dM_{t} - \frac{2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})\rho(X_{t}, Y_{t})^{2}}{\phi(Y_{t})^{4}} dt, \quad t \leq \Theta_{n},$$

where

$$dM_t := -\frac{4\rho(X_t, Y_t)^2}{\phi(Y_t)^5} \langle \nabla \phi(Y_t), P_{X_t, Y_t} \Phi_t d\tilde{B}_t \rangle$$

is a \mathbb{Q}_n -martingale for $t \leq \Theta_n$. This implies

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_n} \left\{ \frac{\rho(X_{t \wedge \Theta_n}, Y_{t \wedge \Theta_n})^2}{\phi(Y_{t \wedge \Theta_n})^4} \right\} \le \frac{\rho(x, y)^2}{\phi(y)^4} e^{2K(D_{\rho(x, y)})t}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{R_{n}\log R_{n}\right\} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}\int_{0}^{\Theta_{n}}|\eta(t)|^{2} dt = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}\int_{0}^{\Theta_{n}}\left\{\xi_{1}(t)^{2} + \xi_{2}(t)^{2}\right\} dt
\leq \frac{K(D_{\rho(x,y)})^{2}\rho(x,y)^{2}}{(1 - e^{-2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})T})^{2}}\int_{0}^{T}e^{-2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})t} dt + c_{D}(\phi)^{2}\int_{0}^{T}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}\frac{\rho(X_{t\wedge\Theta_{n}}, Y_{t\wedge\Theta_{n}})^{2}}{\phi(Y_{t\wedge\Theta_{n}})^{4}} dt
\leq \frac{K(D_{\rho(x,y)})\rho(x,y)^{2}}{2(1 - e^{-2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})T})} + \frac{c_{D}(\phi)^{2}(e^{2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})T} - 1)\rho(x,y)^{2}}{2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})\phi(y)^{4}}, \quad s > 0.$$

Lemma 2.2. We have $\tau \leq T \wedge \tau_D(y) \wedge \tau_{D(x,y)}(x)$, \mathbb{Q} -a.s.

Proof. By (2.3) we have

(2.4)
$$\int_0^{\Theta} \left\{ \xi_1(t) + \xi_2(t) \right\} dt = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^{\Theta_n} \left\{ \xi_1(t) + \xi_2(t) \right\} dt < \infty.$$

Since under \mathbb{Q} the process Y_t is generated by L, as observed in the beginning of [7, Section 4] we have

$$\int_0^{\tau_D(y)} \frac{1}{\Phi(Y_t)^2} \, \mathrm{d}t = \infty, \quad \mathbb{Q}\text{-a.s.}$$

Then (2.4) implies that \mathbb{Q} -a.s.

(2.5)
$$\tau_D(y) > \tau_{D(x,y)}(x) \wedge \tau \wedge T.$$

Moreover, it follows from (2.3) that

$$\rho(X_t, Y_t) \le e^{K(D_{\rho(x,y)})t} \rho(x,y) - \int_0^t e^{K(D_{\rho(x,y)})(t-s)} \xi_1(s) ds
\le \frac{e^{-2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})t} - e^{-2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})T}}{1 - e^{-2K(D_{\rho(x,y)})T}} e^{K(D_{\rho(x,y)})t} \rho(x,y) \le \rho(x,y) 1_{[0,T]}(t), \quad t \in [0, \Theta_n].$$

So, $\tau_{D(x,y)} \geq \tau_D(y)$ and $T \geq \tau$. Combining these inequalities with (2.5) we complete the proof.

2.2 Proof of (2) implying (3)

We will present below a more general result, which works for sub-Markovian operators on metric spaces. Let (E, ρ) be a metric space, and let P be a sub-Markovian operator on $\mathscr{B}_b(E)$.

$$\delta(f)(x) = \limsup_{y \to x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{\rho(x, y)}, \quad x \in E, f \in \mathscr{B}_b(E).$$

If in particular E = M and f is differentiable at point x, then $\delta(f)(x) = |\nabla f|(x)$. So, (2) implying (3) is a direct consequence of the following result.

Proposition 2.3. Let $x \in E$ be fixed. If there exists a positive continuous function Φ on E such that the log-Harnack inequality

(2.6)
$$P \log f(y) \le \log \{Pf(x) + 1 - P1(x)\} + \Phi(y)\rho(x,y)^2, f > 0, f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E),$$

holds for small $\rho(x,y)$, then

(2.7)
$$\delta(Pf)^{2}(x) \leq 2\Phi(x) \{ Pf^{2}(x) - (Pf)^{2}(x) \}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_{b}(E).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$. According to the proof of [8, Proposition 2.3], (2.6) for small $\rho(x, y)$ implies that Pf is continuous at x. Let $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence converging to x, and denote $\varepsilon_n = \rho(x_n, x)$. For any positive constant c > 0, we apply (2.6) to $c\varepsilon_n f + 1$ in place of f, so that for large enough n

$$P\log(c\varepsilon_n f + 1)(x_n) \le \log\{P(c\varepsilon_n f + 1)(x) + 1 - P1(x)\} + \Phi(x_n)\varepsilon_n^2$$

Noting that for large n (or for small ε_n) we have

$$P\log(c\varepsilon_n f + 1)(x_n) = P\left(c\varepsilon_n f - \frac{1}{2}(c\varepsilon_n)^2 f^2\right)(x_n) + o(\varepsilon_n^2)$$

$$= c\varepsilon_n Pf(x) + c\varepsilon_n^2 \frac{Pf(x_n) - Pf(x)}{\rho(x_n, x)} - \frac{1}{2}(c\varepsilon_n)^2 Pf^2(x) + o(\varepsilon_n^2),$$

$$\log\left\{P(c\varepsilon_n f + 1)(x) + 1 - P1(x)\right\} = c\varepsilon_n Pf(x) - \frac{1}{2}(c\varepsilon_n)^2 (Pf)^2(x) + o(\varepsilon_n^2).$$

We obtain

$$c \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{Pf(x_n) - Pf(x)}{\rho(x_n, x)} \le \frac{c^2}{2} \left\{ Pf^2(x) - (Pf)^2(x) \right\} + \Phi(x), \quad c > 0.$$

Exchanging the positions of x_n and x, we also have

$$c \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{Pf(x) - Pf(x_n)}{\rho(x_n, x)} \le \frac{c^2}{2} \left\{ Pf^2(x) - (Pf)^2(x) \right\} + \Phi(x), \quad c > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\delta(Pf)(x) \le \frac{c}{2} \{ Pf^2(x) - (Pf)^2(x) \} + \frac{\Phi(x)}{c}, \quad c > 0.$$

This implies (2.7) by minimizing the upper bound in c > 0.

2.3 Proof of (3) implying (1)

The proof of $\operatorname{Ric}_Z \geq -K$ is more or less standard by using the Taylor expansions for small T > 0. Let $x \in M \setminus \partial M$ and $D = B(x,r) \subset M \setminus \partial M$ for small r > 0 such that $\phi := r^2 - \rho(x,\cdot)^2 \in \mathscr{C}_D$. It is easy to see that for $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ and small t > 0,

$$|\nabla P_t f|^2(x) = |\nabla f|^2(x) + 2t\langle \nabla f, \nabla L f \rangle + o(t),$$

$$\frac{K(D)}{1 - e^{-2K(D)t}} + \frac{c_D(\phi)^2(e^{2K(D)t} - 1)}{2K(D)\phi(x)^4} = \frac{1}{2t} + \frac{K(D)}{2} + o(1).$$

Moreover (see [10, (3.6)]),

$$P_t f^2(x) - (P_t f)^2(x) = 2t |\nabla f|^2(x) + t^2 \{ 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla L f \rangle + L |\nabla f|^2 \}(x) + o(t).$$

Combining these with (2.7) we obtain

$$\Gamma_2(f)(x) := \frac{1}{2}L|\nabla f|^2(x) - \langle \nabla f, \nabla L f \rangle(x) \ge -K(D)|\nabla f|^2(x) = -\left(\sup_{B(x,r)} K\right)|\nabla f|^2(x).$$

Letting $r \downarrow 0$, we arrive at $\Gamma_2(f)(x) \geq -K(x)$ for $x \in M \setminus \partial M$ and $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$, which is equivalent to (1.1).

Next, we assume that $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ and intend to prove from (3) that the second fundamental form \mathbb{I} of ∂M is non-negative, i.e. ∂M is convex. When M is compact, the proof was done in [10] (see the proof of Theorem 1.1 therein for (7) implying (1)). Below we show that the proof works for general setting by using a localization argument with a stopping time.

Let $x \in \partial M$ and r > 0. Define

$$\sigma_r = \inf\{s \ge 0 : \rho(X_s, x) \ge r\},\$$

where X_s is the *L*-reflecting diffusion process starting at point x. Let l_s be the local time of the process on ∂M . Then, according to [13, Lemmas 2.3 and 3.1], there exist two constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

(2.8)
$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma_r \le t) \le e^{-C_1/t}, \ t \in (0,1],$$

and

(2.9)
$$\left| \mathbb{E} l_{t \wedge \sigma_r} - \frac{2\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right| \le C_2 t, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

where (2.8) is also ensured by [2, Lemma 2.3] for $\partial M = \emptyset$. Let $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ satisfy the Neumann boundary condition. We aim to prove $\mathbb{I}(\nabla f, \nabla f)(x) \geq 0$. To apply Theorem 1.1(3), we construct D and $\phi \in \mathscr{C}_D$ as follows.

Firstly, let $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\partial M)$ such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ and $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset \partial M \cap B(x,r/2)$, where $B(x,s) = \{z \in M : \rho(z,x) < s\}$ for s > 0. Then letting $\phi_0(\exp_y[sN]) = \varphi(y)$ (where $y \in \partial M$, $s \geq 0$), we extend φ to a smooth function in a neighborhood of ∂M , say $\partial_{r_0} M := \{z \in M : \rho(z,\partial M) < r_0\}$ for some $r_0 \in (0,r)$ such that $\rho(\cdot,\partial M)$ is smooth on $(\partial_{r_0} M) \cap B(x,r)$. Obviously, ϕ_0 satisfies the Neumann boundary condition. Finally, for $h \in C^{\infty}([0,\infty))$ with $h|_{[0,r_0/4]} = 1$ and $h|_{[r_0/2,\infty)} = 0$, we take $\varphi = \phi_0 h(\rho(\cdot,\partial M))$ and $D = \{z \in M : \varphi(z) > 0\}$. Then $\varphi(x) = 1$, $\varphi|_{\partial D \setminus \partial M} = 0$, $N\varphi|_{\partial M} = N\varphi_0|_{\partial M} = 0$, and $D \subset B(x,r)$.

Once D and $\phi \in \mathscr{C}_D$ are given, below we calculate both sides of the gradient inequality in (3) respectively.

According to (2.8), for small t > 0 we have

(2.10)
$$P_{t}f^{2}(x) = \mathbb{E}f^{2}(X_{t \wedge \sigma_{r}}) + o(t^{2}) = f^{2}(x) + \mathbb{E}\int_{0}^{t \wedge \sigma_{r}} Lf^{2}(X_{s}) ds + o(t^{2})$$
$$= f^{2}(x) + 2\mathbb{E}\int_{0}^{t \wedge \sigma_{r}} (fLf)(X_{s}) ds + 2\mathbb{E}\int_{0}^{t \wedge \sigma_{r}} |\nabla f|^{2}(X_{s}) ds + o(t^{2}).$$

Noting that by the Neumann boundary condition

$$\mathbb{E}|f(x) - f(X_{s \wedge \sigma_r})|^2 \le ||L(f(x) - f)|^2 ||_{\infty} s, \quad s \ge 0,$$

we have

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \sigma_{r}} (fLf)(X_{s}) \, \mathrm{d}s - f(x) \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \sigma_{r}} Lf(X_{s}) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \sigma_{r}} Lf(x) \{f(x) - f(X_{s})\} \, \mathrm{d}s + \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \sigma_{r}} (Lf(X_{s}) - Lf(x))(f(x) - f(X_{s})) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq \|Lf\|_{\infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \sigma_{r}} \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{d}u + \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}|Lf(X_{s \wedge \sigma_{r}}) - Lf(x)|^{2} \cdot \mathbb{E}|f(x) - f(X_{s \wedge \sigma_{r}})|^{2}} \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$= o(t^{3/2}).$$

Moreover, by the Itô formula and the fact that $N|\nabla f|^2=2\mathbb{I}(\nabla f,\nabla f)$ holds on ∂M (see e.g. [10, (3.8)]), we have

$$\mathbb{E}|\nabla f|^2(X_{s\wedge\sigma_r}) = |\nabla f|^2(x) + \mathbb{E}\int_0^{s\wedge\sigma_r} L|\nabla f|^2(X_u) \,\mathrm{d}u + 2\int_0^{s\wedge\sigma_r} \mathbb{I}(\nabla f, \nabla f)(X_u) \,\mathrm{d}l_u$$

$$\leq |\nabla f|^2(x) + 2\mathbb{I}(r)\mathbb{E}l_{s\wedge\sigma_r} + \mathrm{O}(t),$$

where

$$\mathbb{I}(r) := \sup \{ \mathbb{I}(\nabla f, \nabla f)(y) : y \in \partial M \cap B(x, r) \}.$$

Combining this with (2.10), (2.11) and using (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

(2.12)
$$P_t f^2(x) \le f^2(x) + 2f(x) \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \sigma_r} Lf(X_s) \, \mathrm{d}s + Ct^{3/2} \mathbb{I}(r) + \mathrm{o}(t^{3/2})$$

for some constant C > 0 and small t > 0.

On the other hand, by (2.8) we have

$$(P_t f)^2(x) = \left(f(x) + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \sigma_r} Lf(X_s) \, \mathrm{d}s + \mathrm{o}(t^2) \right)^2 = f^2(x) + 2t f(x) \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \sigma_r} Lf(X_s) \, \mathrm{d}s + \mathrm{o}(t^2).$$

Combining this with (2.12) and noting that

$$\frac{K(D)}{1 - e^{-2K(D)t}} + \frac{c_D(\phi)^2 (e^{2K(D)t} - 1)}{2K(D)\phi(x)^4} = \frac{1}{2t} + O(1)$$

holds for small t > 0, we arrive at

$$\left\{ P_t f^2 - (P_t f)^2 \right\} (x) \left(\frac{K(D)}{1 - e^{-2K(D)t}} + \frac{c_D(\phi)^2 (e^{2K(D)t} - 1)}{2K(D)\phi(x)^4} \right) \le |\nabla f|^2 (x) + C\mathbb{I}(r)\sqrt{t} + o(t^{1/2})$$

for small t > 0. Combining this with the gradient inequality in (3) and noting that

$$|\nabla P_t f|^2(x) = \left|\nabla f(x) + \int_0^t \nabla P_s Lf(x) \, \mathrm{d}s\right|^2 = |\nabla f|^2(x) + \mathrm{O}(t),$$

we conclude that

$$\mathbb{I}(r) \ge \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{C\sqrt{t}} \left\{ \left\{ P_t f^2 - (P_t f)^2 \right\}(x) \left(\frac{K(D)}{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2K(D)t}} + \frac{c_D(\phi)^2 (\mathrm{e}^{2K(D)t} - 1)}{2K(D)\phi(x)^4} \right) - |\nabla P_t f|^2)(x) \right\} \ge 0.$$
Therefore, $\mathbb{I}(\nabla f, \nabla f)(x) = \lim_{r \to 0} \mathbb{I}(r) \ge 0.$

References

- [1] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates on manifolds with curvature unbounded below, Bull. Sci. Math. 130 (2006), 223–233.
- [2] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, Gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities on non-compact Riemannian manifolds, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 119 (2009), 3653–3670.
- [3] D. Bakry, M. Ledoux, A logarithmic Sobolev form of the Li-Yau parabolic inequality, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 22 (2006), 683–702.
- [4] S. Bobkov, I. Gentil, M. Ledoux, Hypercontractivity of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, J. Math. Pure Appl. 80 (2001), 669–696.
- [5] F. Otto, C. Villani, Generalization of an inequality by Talagrand and links with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality, J. Funct. Anal. 173(2000), 361–400.
- [6] M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, Log-Harnack inequality for stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces and its consequences, Inf. Dim. Anal. Quant. Proba. Relat. Top. 13 (2010), 27–37.
- [7] A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, Gradient estimates for harmonic functions on regular domains in Riemannian manifolds, J. Funct. Anal. 155 (1998), 109–124.
- [8] F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequalities on manifolds with boundary and applications, J. Math. Pures Appl. **94** (2010), 304–321.
- [9] F.-Y. Wang, Equivalent semigroup properties for the curvature-dimension condition, Bull. Sci. Math. 135 (2011), 803–815.
- [10] F.-Y. Wang, Semigroup properties for the second fundamental form, Doc. Math. 15 (2010), 543–559.
- [11] F.-Y. Wang, Equivalent semigroup properties for the curvature-dimension condition, Bull. Sci. Math. **135** (2011) 803–815
- [12] F.-Y. Wang, Derivative formula and gradient estimates for Gruschin type semigroups, to appear in J. Theo. Probab., arXiv:1109.6738.
- [13] F.-Y. Wang, Modified curvatures on manifolds with boundary and applications, arXiv:1102.3552.