

Lewis acido-basic interactions between CO2 and MgO surface: DFT and DRIFT approaches

Damien Cornu, Hazar Guesmi, Jean-Marc Krafft, Hélène Lauron-Pernot

► To cite this version:

Damien Cornu, Hazar Guesmi, Jean-Marc Krafft, Hélène Lauron-Pernot. Lewis acido-basic interactions between CO2 and MgO surface: DFT and DRIFT approaches. Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2012, 116 (11), pp.6645-6654. 10.1021/jp211171t . hal-01054618

HAL Id: hal-01054618 https://hal.science/hal-01054618

Submitted on 7 Aug 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Lewis Acido-Basic Interactions Between CO2 and MgO Surface: DFT and DRIFT Approaches

Journal:	The Journal of Physical Chemistry
Manuscript ID:	jp-2011-11171t.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Hazar, Guesmi; CNRS/university PARIS VI, Chemistry Lauron-Pernot, Hélène; University Paris 06, Laboratoire de réactivité de surface Damien, Cornu; University Paris 06, Laboratoire de réactivité de surface Krafft, Jean-Marc; University Paris 06, Laboratoire de réactivité de surface



Lewis acido-basic interactions between CO₂ and MgO surface: DFT and DRIFT approaches

Damien Cornu¹, Hazar Guesmi^{1,2}*, Jean-Marc Krafft^{1,2} and Hélène Lauron-Pernot¹

¹UPMC - Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Laboratoire de Réactivité de Surface, UMR 7197, 3 rue Galilée 94200 Ivry sur Seine, France

²CNRS, UMR 7197, Laboratoire de Réactivité de Surface, 3 rue Galilée 94200 Ivry sur Seine, France. Email : hazar.guesmi@upmc.fr

Abstract

Combined experimental infrared (IR) and theoretical approaches have been carried out in an attempt to specify the actual structure of the CO_2 species adsorbed on the magnesium oxide surface. The interaction of CO_2 with regular sites of the MgO(100), (111) and (110) surfaces as well as MgO(100) defect sites (steps, corners, kinks and di-vacancies) has been investigated by mean of Density Functional Theory study. Theoretical IR frequencies compared with IR experiments show distinguishable carbonate species, adsorbed on different planes and defects, vibrating in different IR-frequency ranges. In addition, by mean of thermodynamic model, the stability of carbonates as a function of temperature have been calculated and compared to the experiment. Analyzing the nature of basic sites, the results show that the most active site versus CO_2 , which is a Lewis acid, is not the same that the strongest site for the deprotonating adsorption of Brønsted acids. The present work revisits and improves the understanding of carbonate species that could exist on the magnesium oxide surface and gives a picture of the accessible planes of magnesium oxide as well as their surface Lewis basicity.

Keywords: MgO(111), MgO(110), MgO(100), defects, charge density difference,

thermodynamic calculation, Lewis basicity, Infra-red.

1. Introduction

 Due to environmental questions, the interest for developing the use of basic catalysts is increasing [1-2]. One of the main drawbacks of these basic catalysts is that they are naturally polluted by water and carbon dioxide forming, by the way of an acid-base reaction, hydroxyl and carbonate groups on the catalyst surface. These adsorbates are usually considered as poisons of the reactivity even if they can be desorbed at specific temperatures depending on their nature and local environment [3]. In order to understand the catalytic properties of the basic catalysts linked to the pretreatment conditions, it is important to be able to characterize the adsorbates remaining on the surface before the catalytic reaction and, as a consequence, to know which basic sites are available after the thermal treatment.

Such an attempt has already been made with hydroxyl groups of magnesium oxide [4-5] which is a well-known basic catalyst involved in numerous studies of industrial interest [3, 6-9]. The aim of this paper is to investigate, by a mixed experimental-theoretical approach, the nature of the carbonates formed by interaction with CO₂ on MgO surface defects and their stability upon thermal treatment. As CO₂ is a Lewis acid while H₂O or methanol used in previous studies are Brønsted acidic molecules, the discussion of the results will involve a comparison between Lewis and Brønsted basic properties of MgO.

Infra-Red spectroscopy is one of the more informative techniques for the characterisation of adsorbed molecules [10], as carbonate species, formed on MgO surfaces [11-19]. The literature describes the carbonates present on MgO surface as monodentates or bidentates, but their detailed pictures have been unclear so far and the interpretation of IR band spectra and their attributions in connection to specific adsorbed species are questions which are far from being resolved [2]. In this work, using DRIFT spectroscopy we have followed, for different temperature ranges, the interaction of CO_2 with MgO powder sample, prepared by precipitation. The originality of the pre-treatment operated here resides in covering the surface Page 3 of 39

The Journal of Physical Chemistry

previously cleaned up from hydroxyl and carbonates groups by different amounts of CO_2 . In addition, we have attempted to specify adsorbed CO_2 species by ab-initio DFT method. The theoretical investigation of CO_2 interactions with MgO surface has often concerned (100) flat surface [20-22], step and corner defects [20, 22-23]. Due to their lower stability compared to the (100) surface, the (110) [24] and (111) [23] lattice planes have attracted much less attention. Nevertheless, as the adsorption of molecules such as water or CO_2 can modify the relative stabilities of the lattice planes [25], this work presents results obtained on all these surfaces and also includes kinks and divacancies which can be formed on the (100) surface.

In the following the configuration and energetic stability as well as electronic structures of the different carbonate species which could be formed over MgO support are investigated using DFT calculations. In addition by mean of thermodynamic model, the stabilities of carbonates are drawn as a function of temperature. Thus the comparison of DFT calculated frequencies and measured IR data leads to a detailed description of the surface state depending on the temperature treatment.

2. Experimental and Computational details

2.1. Materials and experiments

2.1.1. Preparation of the catalyst: $Mg(OH)_2$ was obtained from $Mg(NO_3)_2$ (Aldrich, 99.99%) solution using ammonium hydroxide (Aldrich, 99%) (8 mol.L⁻¹) as precipitating agent. Thus, the latter was added to a magnesium nitrate solution (1 mol.L⁻¹) and the pH value adjusted to 10 at 303 K. After stirring for 30 min, the precipitate was washed several times with deionized water, and then filtered. The hydroxide sample was finally treated in vacuum (10⁻⁶ Torr) up to 1273 K (ramp 1 K.min⁻¹) and maintained at this temperature for 2 h.

2.1.2. Thermal treatments: Figure 1 reports the different steps of the thermal treatments of the magnesium oxide sample. During the pre-treatment phase, the sample is "cleaned up" 2h at 1023 K under nitrogen flow (Air Liquide, U nitrogen >99.995% pure) (20 cm³.min⁻¹), then cooled down to 323 K. During the treatment phase, the sample is first contacted with carbon dioxide (Air Liquide, Alphagaz, >99.9% pure) diluted in nitrogen (5% in a 20 cm³.min⁻¹.flow) for 15 min. Then. it is heated intermediate temperature once again at (473 K < temp < 1073 K) in a nitrogen flow $(20 \text{ cm}^3.\text{min}^{-1})$ for 2 h. In the following, only the final temperature "temp" that determines the degree of carbonatation of the sample will be indicated.

Insert Figure 1

2.1.3. Spectroscopic measurement: DRIFT spectra were recorded on a Brüker IFS 66 V spectrometer in the 4000–600 cm⁻¹ range (4 cm⁻¹ resolution, 256 scans/spectrum) using a Thermo Spectra-Tech high temperature cell. All spectra were converted into Kubelka–Munk

units after subtraction of the spectrum recorded on dehydrated KBr sample. All spectra are recorded in situ after the pretreatment procedure described in section 2.1.2 and the cooling down of the sample to 333K. Finally, Raman spectra were recorded on a Model HL5R of Kaiser Optical System Inc. in the the $3450-100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ range (4 cm⁻¹ resolution). It is equipped with a high-powered near-IR laser diode working at 785 nm.

2.2. Computational details

2.2.1. Methods. All calculations were performed using *ab-initio* plane-wave pseudopotential approach as implemented in VASP [26-27]. The generalized gradient approximation exchange-correlation functional of Perdew and Wang PW91 [28] was chosen to perform the periodic DFT calculations with reliable accuracy on the convergence criterions. The one electron wave function was developed on a basis set of plane waves and the interaction between the core and the valence electrons is described by the projector augmented waves (PAW) approach [29]. The selected description of the oxygen atomic core allows a good convergence on the energy for a cutoff of 400 eV. The convergence criterion for the electronic self-consistent cycle was fixed to 10^{-6} eV per supercell. Geometry optimizations were performed within a conjugate-gradient algorithm until the convergence criterion on forces (10^{-2} eV.Å⁻¹) was reached. A dipolar correction along the perpendicular to the slab was applied in order to remove the effect of electrostatic interaction between the slab and its periodic images along the *z* axis. The adsorption energy of carbonates on the MgO surfaces was calculated as:

$$E_{ads}(0K) = E_{CO2/surf} - (E_{surf} + E_{CO2free})$$
eq. 1

Where E_{surf} represents the energy of bare surface, $E_{CO2free}$ is the energy of CO₂ gas and $E_{CO2/surf}$ that of the adsorbed system.

Vibrational spectra were calculated for selected surface species within the harmonic approximation. In addition to CO_2 molecules, magnesium and oxygen surface atoms in direct interaction with adsorbed species were allowed to relax. The Hessian matrix was computed by the finite difference method followed by a diagonalization procedure. The eigenvalues of the resulting matrix lead to the frequency values. The assignment of the vibrational modes is done by inspection of the corresponding eigenvectors. Free carbon dioxide molecule is used as a reference in order to estimate the systematic deviation between experimental and calculated *v*CO values. The DFT calculated vibration frequencies for free CO_2 are 2366 (2349), 1318(1340) and 637(667) cm⁻¹ (experimental frequencies [30] are indicated in parenthesis). As the average of calculated frequencies fit quite well the average experimental values, no empirical scaling factors were applied to the DFT reported frequencies.

2.2.2. Surfaces.

The computed models representing MgO (100) irregularities such as monatomic steps (S1), diatomic steps (S2), corners (C3), divacancies (D), and kinks (K), used in this work, were the same ones that were already considered by C. Chizallet and H. Petitjean et al. regarding the interaction of water [4-5] and methanol molecules [31]. The MgO (100) terrace (T) involving five coordinated oxygen ions (O^{2-}_{SC}) was modelled by considering a slab formed by 5 layers where only the 3 topmost ones were allowed to relax during optimization process. Each layer was formed by 8 MgO pairs and was separated from the other by optimized distance of 2.13 Å. The MgO (110) surface consists of alternating rows of Mg and O ions. The slab was formed by 6 layers and only the 4 topmost layers were allowed to relax. The rows were 6 *d*Mg-O of length and were separated by optimized distance of 3.01 Å.

The MgO (111) surface was modelled by repeated slab of 12 layers. Because we are interested here into the formation of carbonate species by interaction of CO_2 with the oxide

The Journal of Physical Chemistry

surface, we have limited our investigation to the oxygen terminated rocksalt (111) surface model. The central 6 atomic layers (including 3 Mg and 3 O layers) were kept fixed in the structure optimization process and the other top most layers were free to relax. To avoid artificial surface-surface interactions, the vacuum between the repeated slabs was fixed to 20 Å. We have tested that our optimized properties as surface atomic distances and inter-planar distances between O and Mg close-packed (111) planes are in line with previous reliable models used in the literature [32-33].

The Brillouin zone integrations are performed on a Monkhorst-Pack $2x_{1x1} k$ point mesh for (100) surface and defects and $2x_{2x1} k$ point mesh for (110) and (111) surfaces. For MgO (100), (110) and (111) surfaces, we have checked that the calculated average surface energies for each surface orientation are comparable to literature values [34-36]. As expected, the calculated surface energy for the (111) facet was found to be relatively high (5.3 J.m⁻² (4.16 J.m⁻² [34])) compared to (110) facet (2.2 J.m⁻² (2.18 J.m⁻² [34])) and (100) facet (1.73 J.m⁻² (1.29 J.m⁻² [34])). This difference reflect well the instability of the polar terminated surface compared to the (100) one.

2.2.3. Thermodynamic

In order to study the adsorption phase diagram of CO_2 molecule on the different considered surfaces, a model of atomistic thermodynamics was developed. This model was inspired from the one developed by D. Loffreda et al. [37] for a realistic description of adsorption thermodynamics of multifunctional molecules on metal surfaces.

The Gibbs free adsorption energy depends on the adsorption internal energy and on the vibrational entropy change during the adsorption process. Thus, for an accurate description of the free Gibbs energy, the vibrational contributions of the initial (bare surface and gas phase)

and final system (adsorbed system) should be included in the formalism. In this work the Gibbs free adsorption energy was calculated as follows:

$$\Delta G_{ads} = \Delta E_{ads} + \Delta E_0 + T(\Delta S_{vib} + \Delta S_{trans,rot} + S_{config}) - kT \ln(P/P_0)$$
 eq. 2

Where ΔE_{ads} is the adsorption energy calculated from DFT (see eq. 1), ΔE_0 is the zero point energy (ZPE) change during adsorption process and ΔS_{vib} , $\Delta S_{trans,rot}$ are the vibrational and transitional, rotational entropy changes, respectively. The calculated vibrational properties in carbonated systems included the interacting molecule and all top-most surface atoms. Similarly for decarbonated systems the first surface layer was considered.

When several adsorption sites (Nsite) are available on the surface, an additional term called configurational or positional entropy, S_{config} is included in the formalism. It defines the logarithm of all possible arrangements of the molecule on the surface. Its value is given by the equation 3.

$$S_{config} = -k \ln\left[\frac{N_{site}!}{(N_{site} - N_{ads})!N_{ads}!}\right]$$
eq. 3

Finally, ΔS_{vib} is derived from the reduced vibrational partition functions Q^{vib} of adsorbate system, gas phase and surfaces (eq. 4) and $\Delta S_{trans,rot}$ is calculated (eq. 5) on the basis of the transitional partition function of the adsorbate system Q_{ads}^{trans} and the gas phase Q_{gas}^{trans} as well as the rotational partition function of gas phase Q_{gas}^{rot} .

$$\Delta S_{vib} = -k \ln(\frac{Q_{ads}^{vib}}{Q_{surf}^{vib} Q_{gas}^{vib}})$$
eq. 4

$$\Delta S_{trans,rot} = -k \ln(\frac{Q_{ads}^{trans}}{Q_{gas}^{trans}Q_{gas}^{rot}})$$
 eq. 5

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Experimental IR data

The effect of the pretreatment (1023 K, 2h under N_2) on the adsorbed species over MgO surface is shown by the comparison of the DRIFT spectra of the initial sample and of the treated one (Figure 2). The considered high temperature process cleans up the surface from almost all hydroxides and carbonates. The IR spectra (black line) shows a weak remaining absorption band at 3750 cm⁻¹ which is attributed to strongly bounded hydroxides [5], and some carbonates with IR bands at 1657 and 1329 cm⁻¹.

Insert Figure 2

After the addition of CO₂, DRIFT data show strong modifications in the IR range 1800- 1000 cm⁻¹. Figure 3 gathers the set of IR spectra obtained after the surface treatments at temperatures *temp* = 523 K, 673 K, 873 K. As expected, the spectra show the formation of carbonate species the amount of which decreases upon the successive thermal treatments. After the treatment at 873 K, the obtained spectra show the same absorption in the 1000 – 2000 cm⁻¹ range as the one recorded after the pre-treatment at 1023 K. In addition, the successive thermal treatments (*temp*) do not affect the recorded high frequencies (> 2000 cm⁻¹) on magnesium dioxide surface. In the lower frequency ranges, the absorptions are always very high due to the bulk infrared absorption of MgO with a lateral phonon frequency at 800 cm⁻¹ [38].

Insert Figure 3

According to the literature, adsorption of carbonate species leads to the splitting of the v_3 stretching vibration band of the free ion, located at 1415 cm⁻¹, and gives different contributions depending on the geometry of the adsorbed ion [2,13]. The carbonate v_3

stretching mode is split to v_{3high} and v_{3low} contributions. Generally a consensus is gained that the more coordinated the carbonate ion the more split the two contributions. In addition the average Δv for each species has also been determined [13]. As reported in Table 1, which summarizes the IR results from numerous experimental studies, the vibrational frequency bands recorded on magnesium oxide surfaces have been assigned either to monodentate, bidentate or hydrogen carbonate species [11-12, 14-16, 18]. This table also evidences many discrepancies relative to the IR values assigned to different carbonate species, which may be due to the different states of the MgO surfaces obtained after the thermal treatment such as hydration state, morphology etc...

Insert Table 1

In this work (see figure 3), MgO surface is almost free of residual adsorbates. Therefore no hydrogen carbonate can be found on the surface even at *temp* = 523 K (no contribution at 1220 cm⁻¹). Comparing the position of our recorded spectra with IR attributions reported in Table 1, one can assign, "at first sight", all the identified bands in the range of $1370-1590 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ to monodentate species and those in the ranges of $1270 - 1390 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $1620 - 1710 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ to bidentate species. Moreover, two minor contributions located at 1252 and 1275 cm⁻¹ do not change with the thermal treatment and can be linked to the small amount of silicon which is a residual impurity in the sample. This attribution of the carbonate bands goes on line with the evolution of the spectra with *temp*: the most stable species are reported as bidendate [11] and are the only ones remaining on MgO surface after a treatment at 873 K, giving contributions at 1661 cm⁻¹ and 1321 cm⁻¹. Nevertheless, for each carbonate species, several contributions are obtained. For instance, in the infrared range attributed to bidentate species, two bands at 1680 and 1651 cm⁻¹ (split bands at 1321 and 1304 cm⁻¹) can be attributed to two different bidentate species. The same analysis can be made with the monodentate species. These

different contributions are probably linked to the carbonate formation on different MgO defects. Because in catalytic applications these defects may behave differently [39-41] it is important to know which of them are poisoned by carbonates as a function of the thermal treatment process. Modelling is thus necessary to calculate the theoretical position of the IR band linked to carbonates species depending on their topological environment.

3.2. Computational results

3.2.1. On the nomenclature of carbonates

The earlier work of Fukuda and Tanabe [11] described the carbonates as "bidentate" or "unidentate" for the carbonate linked with only one magnesium ion, and "bridging" for the one bonded with two magnesium ions (see scheme 1). Busca and Lorenzelli [13] added some complexity to this model by considering "polydentate" species. The improvement in the description of the carbonates by the way of computational methods allows us to give a more precise description of the carbonates. Those carbonate should be given an unambiguous name. We propose that the carbonates should be ranked by the number of oxygen atoms making connection with the surface (ionic or covalent bonds), such connections being the bonds that lengths are less or equal to the Mg-O bond length in the bulk magnesium oxide (≈ 2.1 Å). Let us add that a thorough description of the nature of carbonate bonds will be evaluated through DFT electronic analysis. Thus, mono-, di- and tri-dentate carbonates refer, from now on, to carbonate species in which one, two and three oxygen atoms are connected to the surface, respectively. In addition among a general type of carbonate species, for example bidentate, distinctions will be made considering the type of plan or surface defect on which the interaction occurs. The carbonates will be named by the combination of a letter for each type (M : monodentate, B : bidentate, T : tridentate) and of the name of defect or plane. For instance, T-S1 will refers to a tridentate carbonate formed on a S1 step, and M-(111) will refers to a monodentate carbonate on a (111) plane.

Inset scheme 1

3.2.2. CO₂ species adsorbed on MgO(100) surface

 In table 2 are reported geometric and energetic parameters as well as v_{CO} frequencies of all optimized configurations of CO₂ species adsorbed on the MgO (100) plane and its various defects (mono (S1) and diatomic steps (S2), corner (C3), kink (K) and divacancy (D)). Comparisons with available values from the literature are also considered [14, 20, 22-24].

Insert Table 2

Adsorption on the flat surface: The low calculated adsorption energy (-0.09 eV) for linear CO_2 molecule on flat (100) terraces T confirms that five coordinated O^{2-}_{5C} ions of MgO surface are totally unreactive for the formation of carbonates in agreement with literature data [21, 42].

Adsorption on surface defects: DFT calculations of CO_2 interactions with four and three coordinated oxygen ions (O^{2-}_{4C} and O^{2-}_{3C}), involved in the defects of the MgO(100) plan, show largely more stable adsorbed carbonate species compared with flat MgO(100), (Table 2). Pacchioni has investigated the interaction of CO_2 on regular and defect sites of the MgO(100) surface by mean of *ab-initio* cluster model SCF calculations [42]. He explained that because of the considerably large Madelung potential of a surface five coordinated oxygen ion (O^{2-}_{5C} site) compared to the lower coordinated site as the four coordinated O^{2-}_{4C} sites, the electron cloud of the O^{2-}_{4C} ion is more spatially diffuse and can easily overlap with the CO_2 empty orbitals interacting with the surface.

Insert Figure 4

DFT optimizations predict that CO₂ molecule adsorbs with energy values of -2.26 and -2.16 eV on the S1 and S2 step systems, respectively (Figures 4.a and 4.b). The molecule interacts with O^{2-}_{4C} ions with an average d_{C-O} distance of 1.37 Å and assumes an orientation "parallel" to the edge which allows a direct electrostatic interaction of its O atoms with the Mg²⁺_{4C} cations of the surface. The O-C-O angle is predicted to be of about 130°. These results are in line with those of Olsbye and co-workers [20] who have called a similar configuration of adsorbed carbonate species as "monodentate". Nevertheless, as in a such configuration the carbonate species form three connections (short bonds < 2.10 Å) with the MgO surface (one O²⁻ is already inserted in the magnesium oxide network (O β) and two Mg²⁺ are linked to the oxygen ions (O α) of CO₂). Thus we propose (see section 3.2.1) to call them as tridentate carbonates (T-S1 and T-S2).

One way in which one can gain further insight into the molecule/surface interactions as well as the character of the bonding from the results of the DFT calculations is to investigate the change in the electron density distribution in the surface as a result of the adsorbate bonding. This can be reached by calculating the difference charge electron density obtained by subtracting from the charge electron density of the adsorbed carbonate system both the charge electron density of the clean MgO surface and that of an isolated CO₂. The atomic positions of the clean surface and of the isolated gas are taken to be the same as those of the relaxed adsorbate system [43]. Figure 5 depicts the *charge density difference* of computed carbonate species graphically represented [44] by isosurface contours. These 3D visualizations reveal that all relevant chemical changes are suffered by the O valence of carbonates. Concerning the T-S1 configuration, there is clearly evidence of three connections occurring between carbonate and the edge of the MgO step. The electron charge appearing into O2p orbitals pointing towards Mg²⁺_{4C} cations indicates the formation of two polar covalent bonding. The

third connection is the ionic one between $(O\beta)$ and the magnesium cations of the oxide network.

Insert Figure 5

In order to investigate the effect of coverage on the surface interactions of carbonates we report in table 3 the calculated adsorption energies of carbonates with increasing coverage. With respect to the adsorption energy of single T-S1 (S1 surface includes 3 adsorption sites), the adsorption of a second and a third carbonate is lowered by 1.01 and 1.94 eV, respectively (the adsorption energies are calculated by considering the surface already adsorbing CO_2 molecules). Because of the strong repulsive interaction between oxygen carbonates, the second adsorbed T-S1, which has a similar geometry, adopts an orientation shifted with regard to the first carbonate. In that conformation (see figure 6), one magnesium ion of the edge is connected to two carbonates and so tries to reach the MgO bulk octahedral geometry.

The calculated Hessian matrix (table 2) of the adsorbed tridentate species indicates wavenumbers of 1603 cm⁻¹ (T-S1), 1639 cm⁻¹ (T-S2) and 1300 cm⁻¹ (T-S1), 1287 cm⁻¹ (T-S2) for v_{3h} and v_{3h} , respectively. As shown in table 3, these later frequencies are affected by the presence of the second and the third neighbouring carbonate surface ($\theta = 2/3$ and $\theta = 1$ ML). Thus, compared to the case of isolated carbonate, the calculated vibrations vary by less than 100 cm⁻¹ for $\theta = 2/3$ ML and highly change for the monolayer coverage. The split between low and high frequencies grows when the coverage increases, due to the coupling between the adsorbed carbonates sharing a Mg²⁺ cation. Similar results were also observed for CO₂ adsorbed on ZnO surface [45].

Insert table 3 and Figure 6

Considering these results, the experimental bands (Figures 2 and 3) observed around 1660 and 1320 cm^{-1} , that remain clearly visible whether the considered temperature, can be attributed to

single tridentate species strongly attached on the steps defects of the magnesium oxide surface.

The modelled corner, kink and divacancy systems (Figure 4.c, 4.d, 4.e) involve a three coordinated ion O^{2-}_{3C} which interacts directly with the adsorbed CO_2 molecule. On this sites, the adsorption energy of carbonate species are found to be lower than on the four coordinated sites. The analysis of the distances between $O\alpha$ and the surface (table 2) show that carbonates are doubly bounded to the surface through the network oxygen (O β) and one oxygen atom (Oa) from CO₂. The bond character of these bidentate carbonates (B-C3, B-K and B-D) can be analysed from the *charge density difference* graphic represented in figure 5. On the kink (the same trend is observed for divacancy (not represented)), the adsorption does not strongly modify the slab. The electron rich zones appearing into O2p orbitals indicate only one polar covalent bond between (Oa) from CO₂ and Mg²⁺_{4C}. The electron rich zone around the third oxygen atom, the $(O\gamma)$ of the carbonate molecule, is orientated out of the plane and thus, that oxygen does not make any connection with the surface. On the corner, the interaction of B-C3 induces a strong modification on the substrate. The surface oxygen atom $(O\beta)$ is strongly displaced in order to insure the double connection of carbonate species with the surface. IR vCO (v_{3h} - v_{3l}) frequencies of carbonates adsorbed on corners, kinks and divacancies, are calculated to be of 1727-1198 cm⁻¹ (B-C3), 1679-1125 cm⁻¹ (B-K) and 1649-1149 cm⁻¹ (B-D), respectively. These resulted values can predict that the experimental band observed at 1680 cm^{-1} on the sample treated at *temp* = 523 and 673 K is the signature of bidentate carbonate species adsorbed on corner, kink and/or divacancie defects. The v_{31} band frequencies are out of the range of this study. As there is only one adsorption site, the effect of coverage is not considered here.

Finally, it remains the question of the observed IR bands between 1350 and 1600 cm⁻¹ (namely located at 1516 cm⁻¹, 1423 cm⁻¹ and 1367 cm⁻¹) for the sample treated at *temp* = 523

and 673 K which cannot be explained by the presence of carbonate species on the MgO (100) defects. Moreover, Yanagisawa et al. [14] have already considered the adsorption of CO₂ on cubic crystallites of the MgO smoke, which exhibit well-defined (100) facets, and they have not observe any IR adsorption between 1350 and 1600 cm⁻¹. This means that the recorded IR frequencies on our samples would results from different adsorption configurations on other crystallographic planes even if they are known to be less stable in vacuum conditions compared to the (100) plane. In fact, several theoretical [34, 46-47] and experimental [48] studies have shown the change in the stability of surface orientations after the addition of adsorbates such as water. As IR spectroscopy showed that, in our conditions, few OH groups remain on the surface, we investigate, in the following section, the adsorption configurations and properties of CO₂ on facetted (110) and (111) MgO.

3.2.3. CO₂ species adsorbed on MgO(111) and (110) surfaces

In figures 4f and 4g are reported the configurations of carbonate species formed over the modelled MgO(111) and (110) surfaces, respectively. As shown in table 2, the most energetically stable species (-2.75 eV) is formed over the MgO (110) surface. Over this plan, the orientations as well as the geometry of carbonates are similar to T-S1 and T-S2; thus, this carbonate is called tridentate T-110. Therefore, while the *charge density difference* graphic (figure 5) show similar isosurface shape of the electron rich zones of tridentate species, the adsorption is energetically favourable, by 0.49 eV, on the (110) than on the stepped (100). The vibrational frequency calculations of T-110 indicate values at 1559 and 1331 cm⁻¹ for the vCO_{3h} and vCO_{3l}, respectively. This carbonate type may correspond to the species vibrating around 1510 and 1360 cm⁻¹ on our sample treated at *temp* = 523 and 673 K.

The Journal of Physical Chemistry

As for the stepped (100) surface, in presence of neighbouring carbonates the vCO strongly vary and the split between low and high frequencies of T-110 grows as the coverage increases (Table 3).

Over the (111) surface terminated by oxygen atoms, the carbonate lies to the surface through a single connection. Thus, the carbonates adsorbs as monodentate species on the MgO(111)surface. In spite of the short $d_{C-O(B)}$ bound distance of 1.31 Å, which is the same than for the tridentate configuration, the small O-C-O angle of 115° illustrate the repulsive interactions that undergoes between the oxygen atoms $(O\gamma)$ and the surface (Figure 4g). These repulsive interactions can clearly be attested in figure 5 by the charge density difference on M-111 configuration that evidences the low electron density between $(O\gamma)$ and the surface. This may explains the low energetic stability of these species. In addition, as the carbonate presents a symmetry close to D_{3h} , the split between vCO_{3h} and vCO_{3l} is very low: 1440 and 1378 cm⁻¹ $(1415 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ for the free carbonate } [49])$. These values are smaller than the frequency values calculated by Allen et al [23] who predicted a vibrational frequencies for adsorbed carbonates on MgO(111) surface in the ranges of 1440 - 1459 and 1572 - 1631 cm⁻¹. This is probably due to the empirical method used by these authors. In this work, the resulted monodentate carbonate, with weekly degenerated IR-band, could be attributed to the species vibrating at 1423 cm⁻¹ on the DRIFT spectra for the sample treated at temp = 523 and 673 K. Nevertheless, the low bonding energy of -0.8 eV is not consistent with the persistence of the IR bands for high temperature treatments. This point will be discussed in the next section. In table 3 are also reported the adsorption energies and vCO frequency values of carbonate M-111 for increasing coverage. The high coverage was not reached because of the strong repulsive interactions occurring between surface/carbonates and carbonate/carbonate species. Thus, as depicted in table 3, for coverage of 3/4 monolayer the adsorption is highly endothermic and the calculated frequency values are highly different from those of single carbonate calculations.

3.2.4. Adsorption thermodynamics

 In order to get a more precise picture of the respective stabilities of adsorbed carbon dioxide on different plans and defects and more particularly, to determine the temperature of desorption of the different adsorbed carbonates, the Gibbs free adsorption energy diagram was plotted for each considered system (see figure 7). The partial pressure is set to 0.001 Pa and desorption is presumed to occur when the Gibbs free adsorption energy becomes positive.

Insert Figure 7

As reported in figure 7, Gibbs free adsorption energies of carbonates follow the same linear trend. On the (100) plan, bidentate carbonate species which populate the corners, kinks and divacancies are predicted to leave the MgO support for temperature range of 722-822 K. Tridentate carbonates formed on the Mg(100) steps would desorb at higher temperature and remain on the surface for temperatures as high as 908 K. This is in agreement with the assignment we made for the IR bands recorded at *temp* = 873 K to highly adsorbed tridentate species

The same kind of tridentate species is also strongly adsorbed on (110) surface thus should not disappear at *temp* < 1200 K. But experimentally, it is shown that the corresponding IR bands (1516 and 1367 cm-1) disappear from the spectrum at 673 < temp < 873 K. We suppose that this contradiction may be explained considering the well known stability of the (100) surface compared to the (110): surface recombination may thus occur at *temp* < 873 K, leading to the disappearance of the (110) plane and to the desorption of these species at lower temperature than predicted by our thermodynamic calculations. Moreover, the surface reconstruction of

the (110) planes into reconstructed stepped (100) surface [50] may also explain the enhancement of the IR-adsorption at 1321 cm⁻¹ assigned to T-S1. Let us add that this reconstruction phenomenon should be confirmed by an appropriate kinetic study.

Finally, on the (111) surface, the desorption of monodentate carbonates is predicted to occur at 314 K. Regarding this result, the IR band observed at 1440 cm⁻¹ for temperature as high as *temp* = 673 K can not be attributed to the monodentate species. Du and co-workers have attributed similar infrared recorded bands of vCO_{3h} =1445 cm⁻¹ and vCO_{31} = 1375 cm⁻¹ to bulk-like carbonates which could desorb at high temperature [19]. Mekhemer et al. [17] have reported similar suggestion. In order to characterize such carbonates, we have performed Raman spectra on a sample treated at 673 K. Nevertheless, no absorption band at 1100 cm⁻¹, characteristic of the v₁ Raman active bulk carbonates [51-52] was observed on this sample. As bulk carbonates can be present but their amount may be under the detection limit of the Raman spectrometer, a conclusion on that point is difficult to be made.

3.3. New insights on basicity characterization

As underlined in the introduction, in order to study the catalysis, we need to know the nature of the basic sites available after the pretreatment step. For that purpose, the data obtained in this study on carbonates species, giving their nature, localisation, desorption temperature and IR vibration bands (calculated and experimentally detected) are summed up in Table 4. The new nomenclature of these species is also reported in order to take into account not only their mono-, bi- or tri-dentate character but also the topology of their adsorption site.

Insert Table 4

Moreover, the literature dealing with basic catalysts reactivity shows that the characterization of the basic sites is a key point for the interpretation of the catalytic results. One of the most popular method to determine these basic properties is CO_2 TPD that consists in following the progressive desorption of pre-adsorbed CO_2 with increasing temperature (see as an example,

Hattori's review [53]). An attempt to discuss the relevance of this method has already been made [54] underlining that CO_2 adsorption probes the Lewis basic character of the surface whereas Brønsted basicity is mainly involved in catalytic reaction. Let us remind that Lewis basicity is the ability of the surface to give an electronic pair to a Lewis acid molecule while Brønsted basicity is, according to Brønsted definition [55], the ability to deprotonate a molecule. It implies that all Lewis bases are also Brønsted bases and reciprocally. But we demonstrate here, in the particular case of oxides ions, that the strength scale is different depending on the nature of the considered basicity.

This demonstration is made from the comparison of the deprotonating adsorption energy of water (a Brønsted acid) and the formation of the Lewis adducts of CO_2 (a Lewis acid) on MgO (100) plane defects. Using similar theoretical approach, C. Chizallet and co-workers have established the desorption temperature of H₂O from the MgO (100) defects. According to these authors water leaves S1, K, D and C3 defects at temperatures of 620K, 805K, 1110K and 740K, respectively [4]. Thus, it can be seen that the strongest interaction between water and the surface is achieved on kinks and divacancies whereas the most stabilizing sites for CO_2 adsorption are predicted here to be the steps. By consequence, direct correlation between the information obtained by CO_2 TPD and a reaction which first step is a deprotonation has to be made very cautiously.

4. Conclusion

Attribution of the IR bands of the carbonates adsorbed on MgO is a very important tool to identify the nature of the active basic sites available after a pretreatment. DFT calculations combined with the DRIFT measurement on MgO sample after peculiar pretreatments allow us to give a new assignment to these IR bands considering the adsorption on (100) defects and (110) and (111) planes. These attributions are confirmed by the thermodynamic calculations of the stability of all carbonate species. Moreover, these results give a new tool to identify the

The Journal of Physical Chemistry

crystallographic plane and defects by analysing the formation of IR-band when absorbing the CO_2 on it. Results show that the strongest basic site versus CO_2 , which is a Lewis acid, is not the same that the strongest basic site for the deprotonating adsorption of Brønsted acids. Thus, even of the same nature, Lewis and Brønsted basic sites differ by their basicity strength scale. This conclusion should have consequences on the use of CO_2 TPD, when it has to be correlated with kinetic studies of basic Brønsted reactivity.

Acknowledgments: The authors kindly wish to acknowledge David Loffreda for the thermodynamic phase diagram calculations of adsorption of carbonates and Konstantin Hadjiivanov, Françoise Delbecq, Frederik Tielens as well as Guylène Costentin for the fruitful discussions. This work was granted access to the HPC resources of [CCRT/CINES/IDRIS] under the allocation 2010 [x2010086395] made by GENCI (Grand Equipement National de Calcul Intensif].

References

- [1] Huber G.W.; Iborra S.; Corma A., Chem. Rev., 2006, 106, 4044.
- [2] Busca G., Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 2217.
- [3] Corma A.; Iborra S, Adv. in Catal., 2006, 49, 239.

[4] Chizallet C.; Costentin G.; Che M.; Delbecq F.; Sautet P., J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 1587.

[5] Chizallet C.; Costentin G.; Che M.; Delbecq F.; Sautet P., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 129, 6442.

[6] Wang Ch-M.; Wang Y-D.; Dong J.; Liu S.; Xie Z-X., Comp. Theo. Chem. 2011, 974, 52.

[7] Mekhemer G.A.H.; Halawy S.A.; Mohamed M.A.; Zaki M.I., J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 13379.

[8] Du H.; Williams C.T.; Ebner A.D.; Ritter J.A., Chem. Mater. 2010, 22, 3519.

[9] Puriwat J.; Chaitree W.; Suriye K.;Dokjampa S.; Praserthdam P.; Panpranot J., *Catal. Comm.*, **2010**, *12*, 80

[10] Cairon O.; Guesmi H., Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2011, 13, 11430

[11] Fukuda Y.; Tanabe K., Bull Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1973, 46, 1616.

[12] Smart R.S.C.; Slager T. L.; Little L.H.; Greenler, R. G., J. Phys. Chem., 1973, 77, 1019.

[13] Busca G.; Lorenzelli V., Mater. Chem, 1982, 7, 89.

[14] Yanagisawa Y., Takaoka K., Yamabe S., Ito T., J. Phys. Chem., 1995, 99, 3704.

[15] Stark J.V.; Park D.G.; Lagadic I.; Klabunde K. J., Chem. Mater., 1996, 8, 1904.

[16]Kwon H., Park D. G., Bull. Kor. Chem. Soc. 2009, 30, 2567.

[17] Mekhemer G. A. H., Halawy S. A., Mohamed M. A., Zaki M. I., *J Phys Chem B*, **2004**, *108*, 13379.

[18] Menezes A. O., Silva P. S., Hernandez E. P., Borges L. E. P., Fraga M. A., *Langmuir*, **2010**, *26*, 3382

[19] Du H., Williams C. T., Ebner A. D., Ritter J. A., Chem. Mater., 2010, 22, 3519

[20] Jensen M. B., Pettersson L. G. M., Swang O., Olsbye U., J. Phys. Chem. B 2005,109 16774

[21] Hammamia R., Dhouiba A., Fernandeza S., Minot C., Catalysis Today, 2008, 139, 227.

2
3
4
5
6
0
7
8
9
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
10
16
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
20
26
27
28
29
20
30
31
32
33
34
25
35
36
37
38
30
40
40
41
42
43
44
15
40
40
47
48
49
50
50 E1
5'I
52
53
54
55
55
30
57
58
59
60
00

[22] Preda G., Pacchioni G., Chiesa M., Giamello E., J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 19568.

- [23] Allen J.P., Parker S. C., Price D. W., J. Phys Chem C, 2009, 113, 8320
- [24] Kim H. Y., Lee H. M., Park, J.-N, J. Phys. Chem C. 2010, 114, 7128
- [25] Gay I.D., Harrison N.M., Surf. Sc. 2005, 591 13
- [26] Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. Phys. Rev. B 1993, 558.
- [27] Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. Phys. Rev. B 1994, 49, 14251.

[28] Perdew J. P., Chevary J. A., Vosko S. H., Jackson K. A., Pederson M. R., Singh D. J., Fiolhais C., *Phys. Rev. B* **1992**, *46*, 6671.

[29] Blochl P. E., Phys. Rev. B 1994, 50, 17953.

[30] Herzberg G., Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure, second ed., Lancaster Press, Inc., Lancaster, PA, **1950**. p. 274.

[31] Petitjean H.; Tarasov K.; Delbecq F.; Sautet P.; Krafft J.-M.; Bazin P.; Paganini M.C.; Giamello E.; Che M.; Lauron-Pernot H.; Costentin G., *J.Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, *114*, 3008.

[32] Lazarov V.K.; Plass R.; Poon H-C., Saldin D.K.; Weinert M.; Chambers S.A.; Gajdardziska-Josifovska M., *Phys. Rev. B* **2005**, *71*, 115434.

[33] Zhang W.-B., Tang B.-Y., J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 3327

[34] Spagnoli D., Allen J.P., Parker S.C., *Langmuir*, **2011**, *27*, 1821

[35] Mackrodt, W.C., Phys. Chem. Miner. 1988, 15, 228-237.

[36] Refson, K.; Wogelius. R. A.; Eraser, D.G.; Payne, M.C.; Lee, M.H.; Milman, V., *Phys. Rev. B* 1995, *52*, 10832.

[37] Loffreda D., Surf. Sci., 2006, 600, 2103.

[38] Fuchs R., Phys. Rev. B, 1978, 18, 7160.

[39] AlGhamdi, K., Hargreaves, J. S. J., and Jackson, S. D. In Jackson, S. D. Hargreaves, J. S. J., Eds.; Metal Oxide Catalysis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, **2009**; Vol. 2, p 819.

[40] Trionfetti C.; Babich I. V.; Seshan K.; Lefferts L., Langmuir, 2008, 24, 8220

[41] Arndt S.; Laugel G.; Levchenko S.; Horn R.; Baerns M.; Scheffler M.; Schlögl R.; Schomäcker R., *Catal. Rev*, **2011**, *53*, 424

[42] Pacchioni G., Surf. Sci., 1993, 281, 207.

[43] Scheffler, M.; Stampfl, C., Theory of adsorption on Metal substarates. In *Handbook of Surface Science, Vol. 2: Electronic Structure*; Horn, K.; Scheffler, M., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2000.

- [44] Vaspview. http://vaspviewer.sourceforge.net/.
- [45] Saussey J., Lavalley J-C., Bovet C., J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1982, 78, 1457.
- [46] Wander A.; Bush I.J.; Harrison N.M., Phys. Rev, 2003, 68, 233405.

[47] Refson K.; Wogelius R. A.; Fraser D. G.; Payne M. C.; Lee M. H.; Milman V., *Phys. Rev. B*, **1995**, *52*,10823.

[48] Hacquart R.; Jupille J., J. of Cryst. Growth, 2009, 311, 4598.

[49] Evans J.V.; Whateley T. L., *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **1967**, *63*, 2769.

[50] Parker S.C.; de Leeuw N.H.; Redfern S. E., Faraday Discuss., 1999, 114, 381

[51] Weckhuysen B. M.; Mestl G.; Rosynek M. P.; Krawietz T. R.; Haw J. F.; Lunsford J. H., *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **1998**, *102*, 3773

- [52] Krishnamurti D., Proc. Math. Sci. 1956, 43, 210
- [53] Hattori H., J. Jap. Petrol. Instit., 2004, 47, 67

[54] Lauron-Pernot H., Catal. Reviews, 2006,48,315

[55] Brønsted J.N., Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 1923, 42, 718

Tables:

Table 1: Review of the literature experimental attributions of IR-bands assigned to carbonates and hydrogen carbonates species adsorbed on MgO

Hydrogen carbonates:

V 2	V ₃	ν_4	Work references
1655 – 1658	1405 – 1419	1220 – 1223	[16]
	1480	1250	[15]
1650	1510,1408	1220	[18]

Carbonates:

species	V3high	V3low	ν ₁	Work references
	1510 – 1550	1390 – 1410	1035 – 1050	[16]
	1550	1410	1050	[11]
wonodentale	1520	1370	1060	[12]
	1590,1510	1415		[15]
		1385,1335		[15]
	1659, 1626	1329, 1273	1024, 947	[14]
Bidentate	1665 – 1710	1325 – 1330	1005 – 1030	[16]
	1670, 1630	1270		[12]
	1670, 1630	1315, 1280	1000,850 950,830	[11]

Table 2: Geometric (distances (Å) and angles (degrees °)), energetic (E_{ads} (eV)) and frequency values (vCO (cm⁻¹)) of optimized CO₂ species adsorbed on the (100) plan and its defects as well as the (110) and (111) surfaces. Values in brakets are reported from the litterature

									_
	E _{ads} (eV)	O(α)-Mg	<u>Ο(α)-C</u>	<u>O</u> (β) -C	<u>Ο(γ</u>)-C	OCO	$v_{3h}(cm^{-1})$	v_{31} (cm ⁻¹)	
		(A)	(A)	(A)	(A)	(degree)			
Terrace (T)	-0.09	2.70	1.18			177.8	2346	1308	
Monoatomic step (S1)	-2.26	2.10	1.28	1.36	-	129.9	1603	1300	
Diatomic step (S2)	-2.16 (-1.58) ^a (-2.16) ^b	2.09 (2.14) ^b (2.08) ^c	1.27 (1.25) ^a (1.26) ^b (1.26) ^c	1.38 (1.38) ^a (1.37) ^b (1.38) ^c	-	130.6 (130.6) ^a (131) ^b	1639 (1784) ^a (1606) ^c	1287 (1288) ^a (1237) ^c	
Corner (C3)	-1.53 (-1.18) ^a (-1.87) ^b	1.95 (1.97) ^b	1.31 (1.29) ^b (1.29) ^c	1.40 (1.42) ^b (1.40) ^c	1.24 (1.21) ^a (1.22) ^b	126.9 (129) ^a (128) ^b	1727 (1778) ^b	1198 (1243) [▶]	
Kink (K)	-2.02	2.04	1.34	1.37	1.23	125.8	1679	1125	
Divacancy (D)	-1.97	2.05	1.33	1.37	1.24	125.9	1649	1149	
(110)	-2.75 -2.16 ^d	2.08	1.28	1.34	-	128.9	1559	1331	
(111)	-0.79 (0.28) ^d (-3.97) ^f		1.31	1.31	-	115.8	1440 (1572- 1631) ^f	1378 (1440 – 1459) ^f	

Ref. ^a[20], ^b[22], ^c[14], ^d[24], ^f[23]

Table 3 : Calculated DFT adsorption energies (eV) and v_{3h} and v_{3l} IR vibrations (cm⁻¹) for different coverage of carbonate species adsorbed on MgO(100) steps and MgO (110) and (111) surfaces. Values in brakets are reported from the litterature

	Coverage ML	E _{ads} (eV)	v_{3h} (cm ⁻¹)	v_{31} (cm ⁻¹)
	1/3	-2.26	1603	1300
S1 (3 sites)	2/3	-1.25	1691, 1626 (1588-1638) ^G	1284, 1259 (1520-1564) ^G
	1	-0.32	1754, 1710, 1657	1260, 1255, 1248
	1/3	-2.16	1639	1287
S2 (3 sites)	2/3	-0.90	1728, 1691	1280, 1262
	1	+0.93	1824, 1775, 1695	1284, 1235, 1224
	1/9	-2.75	1559	1331
	1/3	-2.49	1554, 1552, 1525	1316, 1302, 1301
(110) (9 sites)	2/3	-0.93	1688, 1687, 1660, 1609, 1608, 1594	1287, 1272, 1271, 1254, 1253,1250
	1	+2,70	1796, 1795, 1759, 1759, 1743, 1742, 1742, 1721, 1720	1270, 1258,1258, 1252, 1252, 1251, 1251, 1246, 1246
(111)	1/4	-0.85	1440	1378
(111)	2/4	-0,34	1523, 1463	1399, 1236
(3 sites)	3/4	+0,10	1850, 1824, 1789	1161, 1077, 1034

Ref. ^G[43]

Table 4 : Summary of experimental and theoretical data: Nomenclature, Desorptiontemperatures (K), and frequencies of all computed carbonate species adsorbed over MgOsupport.

					exper	experimental data			DFT Calculations	
	Face	Type of carbonate	Type of defect	Nomenclature	Desorption on DRIFT spectra	v _{3h} DRIFT	v ₃₁ DRIFT	T (K) for ΔG _{ads} =0	$\nu_{3h}DFT$	v ₃₁ DFT
			Corner	B-C3	873 > T > 673 K	1680		722		
	(100)	Bidendate	Kink	B-K	873 >T> 673 K		Out of range	822	1650- 1730	1125- 1200
			Divacanc y	B-D	873 > T > 673 K			812		
		Tridentate	Step	T-S1 T-S2	T > 873 K	1651	1304	908	1600- 1650	1280- 1300
	(110)	Tridentate		T-110	873 > T > 673 K	1516	1347	1212	1560	1330
	(111)	Mono dentate		M-111	873 > T > 673 K			314	1440	1378

Scheme 1: Description of the carbonate species adsorbed on magnesium oxide according to the literature and as revisited in this study

	o C Mg	o Mg Mg	o Mg	0 C Mg 0 Mg
Described as	Monodentate [2], unidentate [6]	Bridging [2,6]	Bidentate [2,6]	Polydentate [2] monodentate [15]
Our description	Monodentate	Bidentate	Bidentate	Tridentate

Figure captions:

Figure 1: The different steps of thermal treatment of the MgO sample.

Figure 2: DRIFT spectra of the adsorbed species over magnesium oxide before (red line) and after (black line) pre-treatment at 1023 K (arbitral unit for absorbance)

Figure 3: Recorded DRIFT spectra of the adsorbed species over magnesium oxide after CO₂ adsorption under inert gas for different *temp* treatments (arbitral unit for absorbance)

Figure 4: Optimized configurations of adsorbed carbonates over MgO(100) defects and MgO(110) and (111) surfaces. Red, gray and blue balls represent Oxygen, Magnesium and Carbon atoms, respectively. O β is the oxygen of the carbonate inserted in the magnesium oxide network, O α is the oxygen coming from CO₂ bonded to magnesium cation and O γ is the oxygen which doesn't make connection with the surface.

Figure 5: 3D visualization of the *charge density difference* of tridentate (T-S1 and T-110), bidentate (B-C3 and B-K) and mondentate (M-111) carbonate species. Pertinent isosurface values = $-3.7 \ 10^{-2} \text{ e/Å}^3$ for T-S1 and T-110; $-20.10^{-2} \text{ e/A}^\circ$ for B-C3 and B-K; $12 \ 10^{-2}$ for M-111.

Figure 6 : Minimum energy configuration of the adsorption of two carbonates over the stepped MgO(100) defects; As the S1 has 3 adsorption sites on the edge, the coverage is supposed to be 2/3 ML.

1
Ζ
3
4
5
5
6
7
8
à
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
18
19
20
21
21
22
23
24
27
25
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
52
33
34
35
26
30
37
38
30
40
40
41
42
43
11
44
45
46
47
40
48
49
50
51
51
52
53
54
• •
55
55
55 56
55 56 57

Figure 7 : Gibbs free energy diagrams (kJ/mol) at a constant pressure ($P_{CO2} = 0.001$ Pa) as a function of temperature K for adsorbed carbonates on different MgO surfaces and defects.

Figures

Figure 1



Figure 2











Figure 5



Figure 6







The graphic for the TOC

