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# THE MATSUMOTO AND YOR PROCESS AND INFINITE DIMENSIONAL HYPERBOLIC SPACE 

PHILIPPE BOUGEROL

A la mémoire de Marc Yor, avec admiration.


#### Abstract

The Matsumoto-Yor process is $\int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(2 B_{s}-B_{t}\right) d s$, where $\left(B_{t}\right)$ is a Brownian motion. It is shown that it is the limit of the radial part of the Brownian motion at the bottom of the spectrum on the hyperbolic space of dimension $q$, when $q$ tends to infinity. Analogous processes on infinite series of non compact symmetric spaces and on regular trees are described.
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## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is mainly to see that the Matsumoto-Yor process [26]

$$
\eta_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} e^{2 B_{s}-B_{t}} d s
$$

where $\left(B_{t}\right)$ is a standard Brownian motion, appears naturally as the radial part of the Brownian motion on the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}_{q}$ at the bottom of the spectrum when the dimension $q \rightarrow+\infty$. Marc Yor [39] asked in 1999 whether there is a geometric interpretation of this process which provides a direct proof of its Markovianity. Notice that

$$
\int_{0}^{t} e^{\mu B_{s}-B_{t}} d s
$$

is a Markov process only for $\mu=1$ and $\mu=2$ (see [25]). The case $\mu=1$ is easy since it follows from Ito's formula that this process is solution of a stochastic differential equation. Things are more subtle for $\mu=2$ because the process is not Markov for the Brownian filtration $\sigma\left(B_{s}, 0 \leq s \leq t\right)$.

In a second part, we describe the limit of the radial part of the Brownian motion for infinite series of symmetric spaces of higher rank, namely $S O(p, q), S U(p, q), S p(p, q)$ when $p$ is fixed and $q \rightarrow+\infty$. For $S U(p, q)$ we give the generator of the limit by studying the asymptotic behaviour of spherical functions (such an analysis is not available yet for the other cases).

In the higher rank case, these processes are different from the Whittaker processes obtained by O'Connell [29] and Chhaibi [10] who also generalize the Matsumoto - Yor process for real split semi simple groups, but in a different direction linked with representation theory and geometric crystals.

In the last part we show that in the $q$-adic case of rank one, or more generally on regular trees $\mathbb{T}_{q}$, the radial part of the simple random walk at the bottom of the spectrum converges when $q \rightarrow \infty$ to the discrete Pitman process

$$
2 \max _{0 \leq k \leq n} \Sigma_{k}-\Sigma_{n}, n \geq 0
$$

where $\left(\Sigma_{n}\right)$ is the simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$. Contrary to the real case we use here only elementary arguments and the treatment is self-contained.

In an appendix we describe how to modify Shimeno [37] in order to obtain the needed asymptotics for spherical functions in rank one.

## 2. The Matsumoto - Yor process

Let us first recall a now classical theorem of Pitman [35]. Let $B_{t}, t \geq 0$, be a standard real Brownian motion starting at 0.

Theorem 2.1 (Pitman, [35]). The process

$$
2 \max _{0 \leq s \leq t} B_{s}-B_{t}
$$

is a Markov process on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. It is the Bessel(3) process with generator

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}
$$

In 1999, Matsumoto and Yor [26, 27, 28], have found the following exponential generalization of Pitman's theorem.

Theorem 2.2 (Matsumoto and Yor, [26]). The process

$$
\eta_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} e^{2 B_{s}-B_{t}} d s
$$

is a Markov process and the generator of $\log \eta_{t}$ is

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\left(\frac{d}{d r} \log K_{0}\left(e^{-r}\right)\right) \frac{d}{d r}
$$

where $K_{0}$ is the Macdonald function.
Recall that, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the classical Macdonald function $K_{\lambda}$ is

$$
K_{\lambda}(x)=x^{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t-x^{2} / 4 t}}{(2 t)^{1+\lambda}} d t
$$

A geometric intuition of the Markovianity of this process does not follow clearly from the rather intricate known proofs (either the original ones ([26, 27]) or Baudoin [2], see also [3]). Pitman's theorem can be recovered by Brownian scaling and Laplace's method.

## 3. Brownian motion on hyperbolic spaces

3.1. Hyperboloid model. There are many realizations of the hyperbolic spaces (see for instance Cannon et al. [7]). We will consider two of them: the hyperboloid and the upper half-space models. A very convenient reference for us is Franchi and Le Jan's book [15], which we will follow. A more Lie theoretic approach will be applied in Section 4 for the higher rank case (and of course could also be used here).

For $\xi, \xi^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ let

$$
\left\langle\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle=\xi_{0} \xi_{0}^{\prime}-\sum_{k=1}^{q} \xi_{k} \xi_{k}^{\prime}
$$

The hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}_{q}$ of dimension $q$ is

$$
\mathbb{H}_{q}=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{q+1} ;\langle\xi, \xi\rangle=1, \xi_{0}>0\right\}
$$

which is the upper sheet of an hyperboloid, with the Riemannian metric $d$ defined by

$$
\cosh d\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

The group $S O_{0}(1, q)$ is the connected component of the identity in

$$
\left\{g \in G l(q+1, \mathbb{R}) ;\left\langle g \xi, g \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle, \xi, \xi^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{q+1}\right\}
$$

It acts by isometry on $\mathbb{H}_{q}$ by matrix multiplication on $\mathbb{R}^{q+1}$. Let $\left\{e_{0}, \cdots, e_{q}\right\}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{q+1}$. We consider $e_{0}$ as an origin in $\mathbb{H}_{q}$ and we let $o=e_{0}$. The subgroup

$$
K=\left\{g \in S O_{o}(1, q) ; g e_{0}=e_{0}\right\}
$$

is isomorphic to $S O(q)$, it is a maximal compact subgroup of $S O_{o}(1, q)$ and

$$
\mathbb{H}_{q}=S O_{o}(1, q) / K
$$

Each $\xi \in \mathbb{H}_{q}$ can be written uniquely as

$$
\xi=(\cosh r) e_{0}+(\sinh r) \varphi
$$

where $r \geq 0, \varphi \in S^{q-1}=\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{q} \varphi_{k} e_{k} ; \sum_{k=1}^{q} \varphi_{k}^{2}=1\right\}$. One has $d(o, \xi)=r$ and $(r, \varphi)$ is called the polar coordinates of $\xi$. The Laplace Beltrami operator $\Delta$ on $\mathbb{H}_{q}$ is given in these coordinates by (see [15, Prop. 3.5.4])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+(q-1) \operatorname{coth} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} r} \Delta_{S^{q-1}}^{\varphi} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{S^{q-1}}^{\varphi}$ is the Laplace operator on the sphere $S^{q-1}$ acting on the $\varphi$ variable.

We denote $\left(\xi_{t}\right)$ the Brownian motion on $\mathbb{H}_{q}$, defined as the diffusion process with generator $\Delta / 2$, starting from the origin $o=e_{0}$. Since the group $K$ acts transitively on the spheres $\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{H}_{q} ; d\left(e_{0}, \xi\right)=r\right\}, d\left(o, \xi_{t}\right)$ is a Markov process, called the radial part of $\xi_{t}$. It follows from (3.1) that its generator is $\Delta_{R} / 2$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{R}=\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+(q-1) \operatorname{coth} r \frac{d}{d r} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.2. Upper half space model. We introduce the upper half space model following Franchi and Le Jan [15]: We consider the square matrices $\tilde{E}_{j}, 1 \leq$ $j \leq 1+q$, of order $q+1$ given by the expression

$$
t \tilde{E}_{1}+\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} x_{j} \tilde{E}_{j+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & t & x_{1} & x_{2} & . . & x_{q-1} \\
t & 0 & x_{1} & x_{2} & . . & x_{q-1} \\
x_{1} & -x_{1} & 0 & 0 & . . & 0 \\
x_{2} & -x_{2} & 0 & 0 & . . & 0 \\
. . & . . & . . & . . & . . & . . \\
x_{q-1} & -x_{q-1} & 0 & 0 & . . & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

when $t, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{q-1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{S}=\left\{t \tilde{E}_{1}+\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} x_{j} \tilde{E}_{j+1}, t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{q-1}\right\}
$$

is a solvable subalgebra of the Lie algebra of $S O_{0}(1, q)$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{q-1}$ and $y>0$ let

$$
T_{x, y}=\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} x_{j} \tilde{E}_{j+1}\right) \exp \left((\log y) \tilde{E}_{1}\right)
$$

Then

$$
S=\left\{T_{x, y},(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}\right\}
$$

is the Lie subgroup of $S O_{o}(1, q)$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{S}$. Moreover,

$$
T_{x, y} T_{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}}=T_{x+y x^{\prime}, y y^{\prime}}
$$

(see [15, Proposition 1.4.3]). Therefore the map $(x, y) \mapsto T_{x, y}$ is an isomorphism between the affine group of $\mathbb{R}^{q-1}$, namely the semi-direct product $\mathbb{R}^{q-1} \rtimes \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$, and the group $S$. One has ([15, Proposition 2.1.3, Corollary 3.5.3]),

Proposition 3.1. The map $\tilde{T}: \mathbb{R}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{q}$ given by $\tilde{T}(x, y)=T_{x, y} e_{0}$ is a diffeomorphism. In these so-called horocyclic or Poincaré coordinates $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$, the hyperbolic distance is given by

$$
\cosh d\left(\tilde{T}(x, y), \tilde{T}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)=\frac{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+y^{2}+y^{\prime 2}}{2 y y^{\prime}}
$$

The pull back of the Laplace Beltrami operator $\Delta$ on $\mathbb{H}_{q}$ is

$$
y^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+\Delta_{q-1}^{x}+(2-q) y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}
$$

where $\Delta_{q-1}^{x}$ is the Euclidean Laplacian of $\mathbb{R}^{q-1}$ acting on the coordinate $x$.
In the horocyclic coordinates on $\mathbb{H}_{q}$, the hyperbolic Brownian motion $\xi_{t}$ has a nice probabilistic representation which comes from the fact that it can be seen as a Brownian motion on the group $S$, i.e. a continuous process with independent stationary multiplicative increments. Let $\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ be the horocyclic coordinates of $\xi_{t}$, and let

$$
\varrho=\frac{q-1}{2},
$$

then (see [5], or [15, Theorem 7.6.5.1]):
Proposition 3.2. One can write

$$
X_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} e^{B_{s}^{-\rho}} d W_{s}^{(q-1)}, Y_{t}=e^{B_{t}^{-\rho}}
$$

where $B_{t}^{-\rho}=B_{t}-t \varrho$ is a real Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}$ with drift $-\varrho$ and $W_{s}^{(q-1)}$ is a $q-1$-dimensional standard Brownian motion, independent of $B^{-\varrho}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Ito's formula and the expression of the generator given in Proposition 3.1.

### 3.3. Ground state processes.

3.3.1. Ground state processes on a manifold. We will need the notion of ground state process. In order to introduce it rapidly we use the set up presented in Pinsky [34] (see also Pinchover [33]). On a manifold $M$ we consider an elliptic operator which can be written locally as

$$
D=\sum_{i, j} a_{i j}(x) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i} b_{i}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}+V(x)
$$

where the coefficients are locally $C^{\infty}$ and the matrix $a$ is symmetric positive definite (i.e. hypothesis $H_{l o c}$ in [34, p. 124]).

Let

$$
C_{D}=\left\{u \in C^{\infty}(M) ; D u(x)=0, u(x)>0, \text { for all } x \in M\right\}
$$

There exists $\lambda_{0} \in(-\infty,+\infty]$ such that, for any $\lambda<\lambda_{0}, C_{D-\lambda}$ is empty, and for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}, C_{D-\lambda}$ is not empty ([34, Theorem 4.3.2]). It has several equivalent definitions, and for a self adjoint operator, $-\lambda_{0}$ coincide with the bottom of the spectrum on $L^{2}$ under general conditions ([34, Proposition 4.10.1]), for instance for the Brownian motion on a Riemannian manifold.

Definition 3.3. When $\lambda_{0}<+\infty, \lambda_{0}$ is called the generalized principal eigenvalue of $D$.

A positive function $h \in C^{\infty}(M)$ such that $D h=\lambda_{0} h$ is called a ground state (it does not always exist and is in general not unique). The Doob $h$-transform of $D$ ) is the operator $D^{h}$ defined by

$$
D^{h} f=\frac{1}{h} D(h f)-\lambda_{0} f
$$

We call it the $h$-ground state process. When $a$ is the identity matrix,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{h}=D+2 \sum_{i} \frac{\partial \log (h)}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [34, Section 4.1]). For the Brownian motion on a Riemannian manifold, when $V=0$ and once an origin is fixed, there is sometimes a canonical choice of a ground state for which the ground state process has a probabilistic interpretation as an infinite Brownian loop (see [1]). The infinite Brownian loop is the limit of the beginning of the Brownian bridge around the origin when its length goes to infinity.
3.3.2. A radial ground state process on $\mathbb{H}_{q}$. In Proposition 3.2 the component $Y_{t}$ of the Brownian motion on $\mathbb{H}_{q}$ depends on the dimension $q$ only through the drift $\varrho=(q-1) / 2$. In order to see what happens when $q \rightarrow+\infty$, it is natural to first kill this drift. That is the reason why we will consider the Brownian motion at the bottom of its spectrum.

The generalized principal eigenvalue of the Laplace Beltrami operator $\Delta$ is $-\varrho^{2}$ and there exists a unique radial function $\varphi_{0}$ on $\mathbb{H}_{q}$, called the basic Harish Chandra function, such that

$$
\Delta \varphi_{0}=-\varrho^{2} \varphi_{0}
$$

and $\varphi_{0}(o)=1$ (see, e.g., Davies [13, 5.7.1], Gangolli and Varadarajan, [16], Helgason [18]).
Definition 3.4. The infinite Brownian loop on $\mathbb{H}_{q}$ is the $\varphi_{0}$-ground state process $\left(\xi_{t}^{0}\right)$ with generator

$$
\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\varphi_{0}} f=\frac{1}{2 \varphi_{0}} \Delta\left(\varphi_{0} f\right)+\frac{\varrho^{2}}{2} f .
$$

The function $\varphi_{0}$ is radial, which means that $\varphi_{0}(\xi)$ is a function of $r=$ $d(o, \xi)$; we define $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by,

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{0}(r)=\varphi_{0}(\xi) .
$$

It follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that,
Proposition 3.5. The process $\left\{d\left(o, \xi_{t}^{0}\right), t \geq 0\right\}$ is a Markov process on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$ with generator $\Delta_{R}^{\tilde{\varphi}_{0}} / 2$ where

$$
\Delta_{R}^{\tilde{\varphi}_{0}}=\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\left((q-1) \operatorname{coth} r+2 \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{0}^{\prime}(r)}{\tilde{\varphi}_{0}(r)}\right) \frac{d}{d r} .
$$

3.3.3. A non radial ground state process on $\mathbb{H}_{q}$. Although our main interest is in $\xi_{t}^{0}$ we will actually need another ground state process for which computations are easier. It is not invariant under rotations but its radial part is the same as the one of $\xi_{t}^{0}$ (see Proposition 3.7 below). Let $\Psi_{0}: \mathbb{H}_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by, if $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ are the horocyclic coordinates of $\xi \in \mathbb{H}_{q}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{0}(\xi)=y^{(q-1) / 2}=e^{\varrho \log y} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\Psi_{0}(o)=1$ and

$$
\Delta \Psi_{0}(\xi)=y^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} y^{\varrho}-(q-2) y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} y^{\varrho}=-\varrho^{2} \Psi_{0}(\xi) .
$$

Thus $\Psi_{0}$ is, like $\varphi_{0}$, a positive ground state of $\Delta$. Let $\left\{S_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ be the $\Psi_{0-}$ ground state process of the Brownian motion $\left(\xi_{t}\right)$ on $\mathbb{H}_{q}$. It is also a ground state process of $\left(\xi_{t}\right)$. By definition, for all $T>0$, when $f: C\left([0, T], \mathbb{H}_{q}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{+}$is measurable,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(f\left(S_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq T\right)\right)=e^{\varrho^{2} T / 2} \mathbb{E}\left(f\left(\xi_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq T\right) \frac{\Psi_{0}\left(\xi_{T}\right)}{\Psi_{0}\left(\xi_{0}\right)}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{0}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=1$ since $\xi_{0}=o$. Then, it is easy to see that:
Lemma 3.6 ([5]). In horospherical coordinates,

$$
S_{t}=\left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{B_{s}} d W_{s}^{(q-1)}, e^{B_{t}}\right)
$$

where $B_{t}$ is a standard (i.e. driftless) real Brownian motion, and $W^{(q-1)}$ is a $q-1$ standard dimensional Brownian motion, independent of $B$.

The function

$$
\int_{K} \Psi_{0}(k \cdot \xi) d k
$$

is a positive radial eigenvector of $\Delta$ with eigenvalue $-\varrho^{2}$. Therefore, by uniqueness, we have the well known formula of Harish Chandra (see Helgason, [18])

$$
\varphi_{0}(\xi)=\int_{K} \Psi_{0}(k \cdot \xi) d k
$$

where $d k$ is the normalized Haar measure on $K$. The processes $S_{t}$ and $\xi_{t}^{0}$ do not have the same law, and $S_{t}$ is not rotation invariant. However,
Proposition 3.7. The two processes $\left\{d\left(o, S_{t}\right), t \geq 0\right\}$ and $\left\{d\left(o, \xi_{t}^{0}\right), t \geq 0\right\}$ have the same law.

Proof. By invariance under rotation of the Brownian motion on $\mathbb{H}_{q}$, for any $k \in K$, the processes $\left(\xi_{t}\right)$ and $\left(k \cdot \xi_{t}\right)$ have the same law. Hence, after integration over $K$, for $T>0$ and any measurable function $f: C\left([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(f \left(d\left(o, S_{t}\right), 0\right.\right. & \leq t \leq T))=e^{\varrho^{\varrho^{2} T / 2}} \mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(o, \xi_{t}\right), 0 \leq t \leq T\right) \Psi_{0}\left(\xi_{T}\right)\right) \\
& =e^{\varrho^{2} T / 2} \mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(o, k \cdot \xi_{t}\right), 0 \leq t \leq T\right) \Psi_{0}\left(k \cdot \xi_{T}\right)\right) \\
& =e^{\varrho^{2} T / 2} \mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(o, \xi_{t}\right), 0 \leq t \leq T\right) \Psi_{0}\left(k \cdot \xi_{T}\right)\right) \\
& =e^{\varrho^{2} T / 2} \mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(o, \xi_{t}\right), 0 \leq t \leq T\right) \int_{K} \Psi_{0}\left(k \cdot \xi_{T}\right) d k\right) \\
& =e^{\varrho^{2} T / 2} \mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(o, \xi_{t}\right), 0 \leq t \leq T\right) \varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{T}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(o, \xi_{t}^{0}\right), 0 \leq t \leq T\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.8. In (3.4) the function $\log y$ is the Busemann function on $\mathbb{H}_{q}$ associated with the point at infinity $y=\infty$ and $\Psi_{0}$ is a minimal eigenfunction. The process $\left(S_{t}\right)$ can be interpreted as the Brownian motion on $\mathbb{H}_{q}$ conditioned to have 0 speed and to exit at $y=\infty(\operatorname{se}[17])$.
3.4. Matsumoto - Yor process as a limit. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 3.9. As $q \rightarrow+\infty$, the process $d\left(0, \xi_{t}^{0}\right)-\log q, t>0$, converges to $\log \eta_{t}, t>0$, where

$$
\eta_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} e^{2 B_{s}-B_{t}} d s
$$

is the Matsumoto-Yor process, which is therefore a Markov process.
Proof. By Proposition 3.7, it is enough to show that, almost surely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} d\left(o, S_{t}\right)-\log q=\log \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 B_{s}-B_{t}} d s \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The origin $o$ in $\mathbb{H}_{q}$ is $e_{0}=\tilde{T}(0,1)$. By Proposition 3.1,

$$
\cosh d(o, \tilde{T}(x, y))=\frac{\|x\|^{2}+y^{2}+1}{2 y}
$$

and

$$
\cosh d\left(0, S_{t}\right)=\frac{\left\|\int_{0}^{t} e^{B_{s}} d W_{s}^{(q-1)}\right\|^{2}+e^{2 B_{t}}+1}{2 e^{B_{t}}}
$$

thus

$$
\frac{2 \cosh d\left(0, S_{t}\right)}{q}=\frac{e^{B_{t}}+e^{-B_{t}}}{q}+e^{-B_{t}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{B_{s}} \beta_{s}^{(k)}\right)^{2}
$$

where $\beta_{s}^{(k)}, k \geq 1$, are independent standard Brownian motions. Conditionally on the $\sigma$-algebra $\sigma\left(B_{r}, r \geq 0\right)$, the random variables $\int_{0}^{t} e^{B_{s}} d \beta_{s}^{(k)}, k \geq 1$, are independent with the same distribution and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{B_{s}} d \beta_{s}^{(k)}\right)^{2} \mid \sigma\left(B_{r}, r \geq 0\right)\right)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{2 B_{s}} d s
$$

Therefore, by the law of large numbers, a.s.

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{q} e^{d\left(0, S_{t}\right)}=\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{2}{q} \cosh d\left(0, S_{t}\right)=e^{-B_{t}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 B_{s}} d s
$$

The limit is Markov as a limit of Markov processes.
Let us recover the generator of the Matsumoto - Yor process. Let

$$
\delta(r)=\sinh ^{q-1} r
$$

then, by Proposition 3.5,

$$
\Delta_{R}^{\tilde{\varphi}_{0}}=\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+2 \frac{d}{d r} \log \left(\delta^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{0}\right)(r) \frac{d}{d r}
$$

hence the generator of $d\left(o, \xi_{t}^{0}\right)-\log q$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{d}{d r} \log \left(\delta^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{0}\right)(r+\log q) \frac{d}{d r} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the next proposition follows from Corollary 7.3 (since $m_{\alpha}=q-1$ for $S O(1, q))$.

Proposition 3.10 ([26]). The generator of the Matsumoto - Yor process is

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\left(\frac{d}{d r} \log K_{0}\left(e^{-r}\right)\right) \frac{d}{d r}
$$

Remark 3.11. We will clarify in Section 4 the occurrence of the ground state $K_{0}$ of the Toda operator $\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}-e^{-2 r}$.
3.5. A conditional law. The intertwining property which occurs in the proof of Matsumoto - Yor theorem can also be establish by our approach.

Proposition 3.12 ([26]). When $\eta_{t}=e^{-B_{t}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 B_{s}} d s$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left.\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\lambda B_{t}} \mid \sigma\left(\eta_{s}, 0 \leq s \leq t\right)\right)\right)=\frac{K_{\lambda}\left(1 / \eta_{t}\right)}{K_{0}\left(1 / \eta_{t}\right)}
$$

Proof. For $\xi \in \mathbb{H}_{q}$ with horocyclic coordinates $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\lambda(\xi)=\lambda \log y$. We consider the Harish Chandra function $\varphi_{\lambda}$ (see [18, Theorem IV.4.3]) which of course depends on $q$,

$$
\varphi_{\lambda}(\xi)=\int_{K} e^{(\lambda+\rho)(k \cdot \xi)} d k
$$

and we write $\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(r)=\varphi_{\lambda}(\xi)$ when $r=d(o, \xi)$. Since $\lambda\left(S_{t}\right)=\lambda B_{t}$ and $e^{\varrho(\xi)}=$ $\Psi_{0}(\xi)$, it follows from (3.5) that, when $f: C([0, t]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is measurable,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{-\varrho^{2} t / 2} & \left.\mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(o, S_{s}\right), 0 \leq s \leq t\right)\right) e^{\lambda B_{t}}\right) \\
& \left.=e^{-\varrho^{2} t / 2} \mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(o, S_{s}\right), 0 \leq s \leq t\right)\right) e^{\lambda\left(S_{t}\right)}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(o, \xi_{s}\right), 0 \leq s \leq t\right) e^{(\lambda+\rho)\left(\xi_{t}\right)}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(o, \xi_{s}\right), 0 \leq s \leq t\right) \int_{K} e^{(\lambda+\rho)\left(k \cdot \xi_{t}\right)} d k\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(o, \xi_{s}\right), 0 \leq s \leq t\right) \varphi_{\lambda}\left(\xi_{t}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(o, \xi_{s}\right), 0 \leq s \leq t\right) \frac{\varphi_{\lambda}\left(\xi_{t}\right)}{\varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{t}\right)} \varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{t}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(o, \xi_{s}\right), 0 \leq s \leq t\right) \frac{\varphi_{\lambda}\left(\xi_{t}\right)}{\varphi_{0}\left(\xi_{t}\right)} e^{\rho\left(\xi_{t}\right)}\right) \\
& =e^{-\varrho^{2} t / 2} \mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(o, S_{s}\right), 0 \leq s \leq t\right) \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(d\left(o, S_{t}\right)\right)}{\tilde{\varphi}_{0}\left(d\left(o, S_{t}\right)\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have again used the fact that for any $k \in K,\left\{\xi_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ has the same law as $\left\{k \cdot \xi_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$. For each $q$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(0, S_{s}\right)-\log q, 0 \leq s \leq t\right)\right) e^{\lambda B_{t}}\right)= \\
& \mathbb{E}\left(f\left(d\left(0, S_{s}\right)-\log q, 0 \leq s \leq t\right) \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\left(\left(d\left(o, S_{t}\right)-\log q\right)+\log q\right)}{\tilde{\varphi}_{0}\left(\left(d\left(o, S_{t}\right)-\log q\right)+\log q\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose for $f$ a continuous bounded function with bounded support. Letting $q \rightarrow+\infty$, it then follows from Theorem 3.9 and Corollary 7.3 that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(f\left(\log \eta_{s}, 0 \leq s \leq t\right) e^{\lambda B_{t}}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(f\left(\log \eta_{s}, 0 \leq s \leq t\right) \frac{K_{\lambda}\left(1 / \eta_{t}\right)}{K_{0}\left(1 / \eta_{t}\right)}\right)
$$

Remark 3.13. It is straightforward to deduce from this proposition and Girsanov's theorem that, as proved by Matsumoto - Yor [26], when $B_{t}^{(\lambda)}=$
$B_{t}+\lambda t$ is a Brownian motion with drift $\lambda$, then

$$
\log \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 B_{s}^{(\lambda)}-B_{t}^{(\lambda)}} d s
$$

is a Markov process on $\mathbb{R}$ with generator

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\left(\frac{d}{d r} \log K_{\lambda}\left(e^{-r}\right)\right) \frac{d}{d r}
$$

## 4. Infinite series of symmetric spaces

We will now consider the same problem as above for the infinite series of symmetric spaces of non positive curvature, when the rank is fixed and the dimension goes to infinity. We will see that in the rank one case one finds the same result as for hyperbolic spaces, but that new phenomenons occur in higher rank.

There are only three infinite series of (irreducible) Riemannian symmetric spaces of non positive (non zero) curvature namely the spaces $G / K$ where $G=S O(p, q), S U(p, q), S p(p, q)$ and $K$ is a maximal compact subgroup (see [18]). We will suppose that $p \leq q$, then $p$ is the rank of the symmetric space.
4.1. $S U(p, q)$. We first consider the symmetric spaces associated with the series $S U(p, q)$ with the rank $p$ fixed, when $q \rightarrow+\infty$. As in the case of hyperbolic spaces, it is convenient to use two descriptions of $S U(p, q)$. The usual description of $S U(p, q)$ is the following (see, e.g., [19], [23]): it is the set of $(p+q) \times(p+q)$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{C}$ and with determinant 1 , which conserve the quadratic form

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p} z_{i} \bar{z}_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{q} z_{p+j} \bar{z}_{p+j} .
$$

In other words let $J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{p} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{q}\end{array}\right)$ then

$$
S U(p, q)=\left\{M \in S l(p+q, \mathbb{C}) ; M J M^{*}=J\right\}
$$

If we write $M$ by block as

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{1} & M_{2} \\
M_{3} & M_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the size of the matrix $M_{1}$ is $p \times p, M_{2}$ is $p \times q, M_{3}$ is $q \times p$ and $M_{4}$ is $q \times q$, then $M \in S U(p, q)$ if and only if $M_{1} M_{1}^{*}-M_{2} M_{2}^{*}=I_{p}, M_{4} M_{4}^{*}-M_{3} M_{3}^{*}=I_{q}$, $M_{1} M_{3}^{*}=M_{2} M_{4}^{*}, \operatorname{det}(M)=1$ and $I_{p}$ is the identity matrix of order $p$.
4.2. Cartan Decomposition and radial part. Let $\bar{K}$ be the following maximal compact subgroup of $S U(p, q)$ (we put the notation $K$ aside for a later use)

$$
\bar{K}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
K_{1} & 0 \\
0 & K_{4}
\end{array}\right) \in S l(p+q, \mathbb{C}), K_{1} \in U(p), K_{4} \in U(q)\right\}
$$

When $r=\left(r_{1}, \cdots, r_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$, let

$$
D(r)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cosh r & \sinh r & 0 \\
\sinh r & \cosh r & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{q-p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\cosh r=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\cosh r_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \cosh r_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cosh r_{p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\sinh r$ is the same matrix with each $\cosh r_{i}$ replaced by $\sinh r_{i}$. We consider the closed Weyl chamber

$$
\mathfrak{A}^{+}=\left\{\left(r_{1}, \cdots, r_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p}, r_{1} \geq r_{2} \geq \cdots \geq r_{p} \geq 0\right\}
$$

The Cartan decomposition says that any $M$ in $S U(p, q)$ can be written as $M=k_{1} D(r) k_{2}$ with $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \bar{K}$ and $r \in \mathfrak{A}^{+}$. Moreover such a $r$ is unique and is called the radial part of $M$. We let $r=\operatorname{Rad}(M)$. Recall that

Definition 4.1. Let $N$ be a $p \times p$ square matrix, the vector of singular values of $N$ is

$$
\sigma(N)=\left(\sigma_{1}, \cdots, \sigma_{p}\right) \in \mathfrak{A}^{+}
$$

where the $\sigma_{i}$ 's are the square roots of the eigenvalues of $N^{*} N$ written in decreasing order.

Lemma 4.2. If $M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}M_{1} & M_{2} \\ M_{3} & M_{4}\end{array}\right) \in S U(p, q)$, then

$$
\cosh \operatorname{Rad}(M)=\sigma\left(M_{1}\right)
$$

Proof. By the Cartan decomposition, there exists $K_{1}, \tilde{K}_{1} \in U(p)$ and $K_{4}, \tilde{K}_{4} \in$ $U(q)$ such that, if $r$ is the radial part of $M$ then

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{1} & M_{2} \\
M_{3} & M_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
K_{1} & 0 \\
0 & K_{4}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cosh r & \sinh r & 0 \\
\sinh r & \cosh r & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{q-p}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{K}_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \tilde{K}_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefore $M_{1}=K_{1}(\cosh r) \tilde{K}_{1}$ and $M_{1} M_{1}^{*}=K_{1}\left(\cosh ^{2} r\right) K_{1}^{*}$ which proves the lemma.
4.3. Iwasawa Decomposition and horocyclic coordinates. It will be convenient to write matrices in $S U(p, q)$ in another basis to make more tractable the solvable part of the Iwasawa decomposition (see, e.g., Lu [24], Iozzi and Morris [22] or Sawyer [36]). We still suppose that $q \geq p$. Let

$$
P=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{p} / \sqrt{2} & 0 & I_{p} / \sqrt{2} \\
I_{p} / \sqrt{2} & 0 & -I_{p} / \sqrt{2} \\
0 & I_{q-p} & 0
\end{array}\right) .\right.
$$

Then $P^{*}=P^{-1}$ and $P^{-1} J P=\bar{J}$ where

$$
\bar{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -I_{p} \\
0 & I_{q-p} & 0 \\
-I_{p} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We introduce

$$
G=\left\{P^{-1} M P, M \in S U(p, q)\right\}
$$

which is the set of matrices $M$ in $S l(p+q, \mathbb{C})$ such that $M \bar{J} M^{*}=\bar{J}$. The group $G$ is obviously isomorphic to $S U(p, q)$. So, we will work with $G$ instead of $S U(p, q)$.

Let $l, b, c$ be $p \times p, p \times(q-p)$ and $p \times p$ complex matrices, respectively, where $l$ is lower triangular and invertible, and define

$$
S(l, b, c)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
l & b & c l^{*-1}  \tag{4.1}\\
0 & I_{q-p} & b^{*} l^{*-1} \\
0 & 0 & l^{*-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let
$S=\left\{S(l, b, c) ; l\right.$ is lower triangular with positive diagonal, $\left.c+c^{*}=b b^{*}\right\}$,
$A=\{S(l, 0,0) \in S ; l$ is diagonal $\}$,
$N=\{S(l, b, c) \in S$; the diagonal elements of $l$ are equal to 1$\}$,
$K=P^{-1} \bar{K} P$.
Notice that in general $l$ is lower triangular and hence neither $N$ nor $S$ is made of upper triangular matrices. If $D=\left\{D(r), r \in \mathbb{R}^{p}\right\}$ then $A=P^{-1} D P$. The Iwasawa decomposition is $G=N A K, N$ is the nilpotent component and $S=N A$. Notice that $S U(p, q) / \bar{K}$ is isomorphic with $G / K$. For $M \in G$, $P M P^{-1}$ is in $S U(p, q)$ and we let $\operatorname{Rad}(M)=\operatorname{Rad}\left(P M P^{-1}\right)$. In $G / K$, we choose as origin $o=K$, then $\operatorname{Rad}(M)$ plays the role of a generalized distance between the cosets $o=K$ and $\xi=M K$ in $G / K$.
Lemma 4.3 ([24]). For $S(l, b, c) \in S$,

$$
\cosh \operatorname{Rad}(S(l, b, c))=\frac{1}{2} \sigma\left(l+l^{*-1}+c l^{*-1}\right) .
$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 4.2 since, for $S(l, b, c) \in G$, the corresponding element in $S U(p, q)$ can be written as

$$
P S(l, b, c) P^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{1} & M_{2} \\
M_{3} & M_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $M_{1}=\left(l+(I+c) l^{*-1}\right) / 2$.
By the Iwasawa decomposition each element $\xi \in G / K$ can be written uniquely as $\xi=S(l, b, c) K$ with $S(l, b, c) \in S$. We see that we can and will identify $S$ and $G / K$. We call $S(l, b, c)$ the horocyclic coordinates of $\xi$. They generalize the horocyclic-Poincaré coordinates of $\mathbb{H}_{q}$.
4.4. Brownian motion on $G / K$ and infinite Brownian loop. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{S}$ of $S$ is

$$
\mathfrak{S}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
l & b & c \\
0 & 0 & b^{*} \\
0 & 0 & -l^{*}
\end{array}\right) ; c \text { skew }- \text { Hermitian, } l \text { lower triangular } .\right.
$$

The Killing form on the Lie algebra of $G$ allows to define a scalar product on $\mathfrak{S}$ by

$$
<X, Y>=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(X Y^{*}\right)
$$

Then $\mathfrak{S}$ is a real Euclidean space. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{N}$ be the Lie algebras of $A$ and $N$, then $\mathfrak{S}=\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{N}$. Let $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{p}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{A}$ and $N_{1}, \cdots, N_{s}$ be an orthonormal (real) basis of $\mathfrak{N}$ adapted to the root space decomposition. In horospherical coordinates the Laplace Beltrami operator on $G / K=S$ is (e.g. [5], [11], [17])

$$
\Delta=\sum_{i=1}^{p} X_{i}^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{s} N_{j}^{2}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \varrho\left(X_{i}\right) X_{i}
$$

where $\varrho$ is given by (5.1) and $X_{i}$ and $N_{j}$ are considered as left invariant vector fields. We consider the Brownian motion $\left\{\xi_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ on $G / K=S$. It is the process with generator $\Delta / 2$ starting from the origin $o$.

As in the hyperbolic case one can consider the ground state process $\left\{\xi_{t}^{0}, t \geq 0\right\}$ of this Brownian motion associated with the basic Harish Chandra spherical function $\varphi_{0}$. By [18, Theorem IV.4.3],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{0}(g)=\int_{K} e^{\varrho(A(k g))} d k \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $g \in G$, we write $A(g)$ for the element of the Lie algebra of $A$ such that $g \in N e^{A(g)} K$ in the Iwasawa decomposition $G=N A K$. The generator of $\xi^{0}$ is $\Delta^{\varphi_{0}} / 2$ and it corresponds to the infinite Brownian loop on $G / K$ (see [1]). By invariance of $\Delta$ under $K$, the radial part of $\operatorname{Rad}\left(\xi_{t}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Rad}\left(\xi_{t}^{0}\right)$ are Markov processes with values in $\mathfrak{A}^{+}$.
4.5. Distinguished Brownian motion on $S$. We define $\Psi_{0}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$by

$$
\Psi_{0}(g)=e^{\varrho(A(g))}
$$

Since $A(g k)=A(g)$ for any $k \in K, \Psi_{0}$ is well defined on $G / K$. We consider the $\Psi_{0}$-ground state process $\left\{S_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ of the Brownian motion $\xi$ on $G / K$.

Using the identification $G / K=S$, we see it as a process on $S$ (it has the same interpretation as the one given in Remark 3.8).
Definition 4.4. The distinguished Brownian motion on $S$ is the process $S_{t}, t \geq 0$.

The generator of $\left(S_{t}\right)$ is

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} X_{i}^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{s} N_{j}^{2}\right)
$$

and called in Harmonic analysis the distinguished Laplacian on $S$ (see, e.g., [5, Proposition 1.2] , [11, 12]. One shows as in the hyperbolic case that (see also [1], proof of theorem 6.1),
Lemma 4.5. The two processes $\left\{\operatorname{Rad}\left(S_{t}\right), t \geq 0\right\}$ and $\left\{\operatorname{Rad}\left(\xi_{t}^{(0)}\right), t \geq 0\right\}$ have the same law.

The process $\left(S_{t}\right)$ is a solution of a stochastic differential equation. Indeed, let

$$
V_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda_{t} & \beta_{t} & \kappa_{t} \\
0 & 0 & \beta_{t}^{*} \\
0 & 0 & -\lambda_{t}^{*}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathfrak{S}
$$

where the coefficients $\lambda_{t}^{r, r}, i \kappa_{t}^{r, r} / 2,1 \leq r \leq p$, and the real and imaginary parts of $\lambda_{t}^{r, s} / \sqrt{2}, r>s, \beta_{t}^{k, l} / \sqrt{2}, 1 \leq k \leq p, 1 \leq l \leq q-p$ and $\kappa_{t}^{r, s} / \sqrt{2}, 1 \leq$ $r<s \leq q$ are standard real independent Brownian motions. Moreover, $\lambda_{t}^{r, s}=0$ if $1 \leq r<s \leq p$ and $\kappa_{t}(r, s)=-\bar{\kappa}_{t}(s, r)$, when $q \geq r>s \geq 1$, (where $m^{i, j}$ denotes the coefficients of a matrix $m$ ).

When $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is a continuous semimartingale, we use $\delta X_{t}$ to denote its Stratonovich differential and $d X_{t}$ to denote its Ito one (see, e.g., [21]).
Proposition 4.6. The horocyclic Brownian motion $\left(S_{t}\right)$ is the solution of the Stratonovich stochastic differential equation in the set of $(p+q) \times(p+q)$ complex matrices,

$$
\delta S_{t}=S_{t} \delta V_{t}, S_{0}=I .
$$

In order to compute the radial component we use a decomposition of $S$ which is slightly different from the factorization $S=N A$ coming from the Iwasawa factorization. We write, using the notation (4.1)

$$
S_{t}=S\left(l_{t}, b_{t}, c_{t}\right),
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{t}=M_{t} L_{t} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
M_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I & b_{t} & c_{t} \\
0 & I & b_{t}^{*} \\
0 & 0 & I
\end{array}\right), \quad L_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{t} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I & 0 \\
0 & 0 & l_{t}^{*-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Recall that the matrix $l_{t}$ is lower triangular. Its diagonal is the $A$ component of $S_{t}$ but it also have a part of the $N$ component. We solve the equation satisfied by $\left(S_{t}\right)$. By Stratonovich calculus,

$$
\delta S_{t}=M_{t} \delta L_{t}+\left(\delta M_{t}\right) L_{t}
$$

and therefore,

$$
M_{t} L_{t} \delta V_{t}=M_{t} \delta L_{t}+\left(\delta M_{t}\right) L_{t}
$$

which implies that

$$
\delta V_{t}=L_{t}^{-1} \delta L_{t}+L_{t}^{-1} M_{t}^{-1}\left(\delta M_{t}\right) L_{t}
$$

hence

$$
L_{t}^{-1} \delta L_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta \lambda_{t} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\delta \lambda_{t}^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
L_{t}^{-1} M_{t}^{-1}\left(\delta M_{t}\right) L_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \delta \beta_{t} & \delta \kappa_{t} \\
0 & 0 & \delta \beta_{t}^{*} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We obtain that $\delta l_{t}=l_{t} \delta \lambda_{t}$ and

$$
\delta M_{t}=M_{t} L_{t}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \delta \beta_{t} & \delta \kappa_{t} \\
0 & 0 & \delta \beta_{t}^{*} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) L_{t}^{-1}
$$

which gives

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \delta b_{t} & \delta c_{t} \\
0 & 0 & \delta b_{t}^{*} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I & b_{t} & c_{t} \\
0 & I & b_{t}^{*} \\
0 & 0 & I
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & l_{t} \delta \beta_{t} & l_{t} \delta \kappa_{t} l_{t}^{*} \\
0 & 0 & \delta \beta_{l}^{*} l_{t}^{*} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

hence,

## Proposition 4.7.

$$
\delta l_{t}=l_{t} \delta \lambda_{t}, b_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} l_{s} \delta \beta_{s}, c_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} l_{s}\left(\delta \kappa_{s}\right) l_{s}^{*}+\int_{0}^{t} b_{s}\left(\delta \beta_{s}^{*}\right) l_{s}^{*}
$$

The process $\left(l_{t}\right)$ is itself a Brownian motion on the subgroup of $G l(p, \mathbb{C})$ consisting of lower triangular matrices with positive diagonal. The linear equation $\delta l_{t}=l_{t} \delta \lambda_{t}$ is therefore easy to solve explicitly by induction on $p$. For instance, when $p=2$, if

$$
\lambda_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{t}^{(1)} & 0 \\
\lambda_{t}^{(3)} & \lambda_{t}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we obtain that

$$
l_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\lambda_{t}^{(1)}} & 0 \\
e^{\lambda_{t}^{(2)}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\lambda_{s}^{(1)}-\lambda_{s}^{(2)}} \delta \lambda_{s}^{(3)} & e^{\lambda_{t}^{(2)}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

4.6. Limit as $q \rightarrow+\infty$. We study the asymptotic behaviour of the radial part $\operatorname{Rad}\left(S_{t}\right)$ of the distinguished Brownian motion on $S$, using the decomposition (4.3). We first consider Ito's integral.
Lemma 4.8. Almost surely,

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{t}\left[\int_{0}^{s} l_{u} d \beta_{u}\right]\left(d \beta_{s}^{*}\right) l_{s}^{*}=0
$$

Proof. For $1 \leq i, j \leq p$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{s} l_{u} d \beta_{u}\right)\left(d \beta_{s}^{*}\right) l_{s}^{*}\right]^{i, j}=\sum_{r=1}^{q-p}\left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}\left[l_{u} d \beta_{u}\right]^{i, r}\left[d \beta_{s}^{*} l_{s}^{*}\right]^{r, j}\right.} \\
=\sum_{r=1}^{q-p} \sum_{n=1}^{p} \sum_{m=1}^{p} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{s} l_{u}^{i, n} d \beta_{u}^{n, r}\right) \bar{l}_{s}^{j, m} d \bar{\beta}_{s}^{m, r}
\end{gathered}
$$

If we fix $i, j, n, m$, conditionally on the sigma-algebra $\sigma\left(l_{s}, s \geq 0\right)$ the random variables

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{s} l_{u}^{i, n} d \beta_{u}^{n, r}\right) \bar{l}_{s}^{j, m} d \bar{\beta}_{s}^{m, r}
$$

for $r=1,2, \cdots$, are independent with the same law, and with expectation equal to 0 since they are martingales. Therefore, by the law of large numbers,

$$
\frac{1}{q-p} \sum_{r=1}^{q-p} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{s} l_{u}^{i, k} d \beta_{u}^{k, r}\right) \bar{l}_{s}^{j, l} d \bar{\beta}_{s}^{l, r}
$$

converges a.s. to 0 when $q \rightarrow+\infty$, which proves the lemma.
Proposition 4.9. Let $S_{t}, t \geq 0$, be the distinguished Brownian motion of $S U(p, q)$. Then, a.s.,

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{q} \cosh \operatorname{Rad}\left(S_{t}\right)=\sigma\left(\int_{0}^{t} l_{s} l_{s}^{*} l_{t}^{*-1} d s\right)
$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.7,

$$
c_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} l_{s}\left(\delta \kappa_{s}\right) l_{s}^{*}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{s} l_{u} \delta \beta_{u}\right)\left(\delta \beta_{s}^{*}\right) l_{s}^{*}
$$

Since the processes $\left(l_{t}\right)$ and $\left(\kappa_{t}\right)$ do not depend on $q$,

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{q} c_{t}=\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{s} l_{u} \delta \beta_{u}\right)\left(\delta \beta_{s}^{*}\right) l_{s}^{*}
$$

Now, recall the link between Stratonovich and Ito integral: if $X$ and $Y$ are continuous semimartingales,

$$
\int_{0}^{t} Y \delta X=\int_{0}^{t} Y d X+\frac{1}{2}\langle X, Y\rangle_{t}
$$

where, if $X$ and $Y$ are matrices, $\langle X, Y\rangle_{t}$ is the matrix with entries

$$
\left(\langle X, Y\rangle_{t}\right)^{i, j}=\sum_{k}\left\langle X^{i, k}, Y^{k, j}\right\rangle_{t}
$$

Since $\left(\beta_{t}\right)$ is independent of $\left(l_{t}\right), \int_{0}^{s} l_{u} \delta \beta_{u}=\int_{0}^{s} l_{u} d \beta_{u}$, and

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\left[\int_{0}^{s} l_{u} \delta \beta_{u}\right]\left(\delta \beta_{s}^{*}\right) l_{s}^{*}=\int_{0}^{t}\left[\int_{0}^{s} l_{u} d \beta_{u}\right]\left(d \beta_{s}^{*}\right) l_{s}^{*}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\int_{0}^{t} l_{s} d \beta_{s}, \int_{0}^{t} d \beta_{s}^{*} l_{s}^{*}\right\rangle_{t}
$$

so it follows from the preceding lemma that

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{q} c_{t}=\frac{1}{2} \lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle\int_{0}^{t} l_{s} d \beta_{s}, \int_{0}^{t} d \beta_{s}^{*} l_{s}^{*}\right\rangle_{t}
$$

On the other hand, for $1 \leq i, j \leq p$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left\langle\int_{0}^{t} l_{s} d \beta_{s}, \int_{0}^{t} d \beta_{s}^{*} l_{s}^{*}\right\rangle_{t}\right]^{i, j}} \\
& \quad=\sum_{r=1}^{q-p}\left\langle\left(\int_{0}^{t} l_{s} d \beta_{s}\right)^{i, r},\left(\int_{0}^{t} d \beta_{s}^{*} l_{s}^{*}\right)^{r, j}\right\rangle_{t} \\
& \quad=\sum_{r=1}^{q-p} \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{l=1}^{p}\left\langle\int_{0}^{t} l_{s}^{i, k} d \beta_{s}^{k, r}, \int_{0}^{t} \bar{l}_{s}^{j, l} d \bar{\beta}_{s}^{l, r}\right\rangle_{t} \\
& \quad=\sum_{r=1}^{q-p} \sum_{k=1}^{p}\left\langle\int_{0}^{t} l_{s}^{i, k} d \beta_{s}^{k, r}, \int_{0}^{t} \bar{l}_{s}^{j, k} d \bar{\beta}_{s}^{k, r}\right\rangle_{t} \\
& \quad=\sum_{r=1}^{q-p} \sum_{k=1}^{p} \int_{0}^{t} l_{s}^{i, k} \bar{l}_{s}^{j, k} d\left\langle\beta^{k, r}, \bar{\beta}^{k, r}\right\rangle_{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $\beta_{t}^{k, r} / \sqrt{2}$ is a complex Brownian motions, hence

$$
\left\langle\beta^{k, r}, \bar{\beta}^{k, r}\right\rangle_{s}=4 s
$$

therefore

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{q-p} \sum_{k=1}^{p} \int_{0}^{t} l_{s}^{i, k} \bar{l}_{s}^{j, k} d\left\langle\beta^{k, r}, \bar{\beta}^{k, r}\right\rangle_{s}=4(q-p) \sum_{k=1}^{p} \int_{0}^{t} l_{s}^{i, k} \bar{l}_{s}^{j, k} d s
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\int_{0}^{t} l_{s} d \beta_{s}, \int_{0}^{t} d \beta_{s}^{*} l_{s}^{*}\right\rangle_{t}=4(q-p) \int_{0}^{t} l_{s} l_{s}^{*} d s
$$

Consequently, a.s.,

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{q} c_{t}=\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{2(q-p)}{q} \int_{0}^{t} l_{s} l_{s}^{*} d s=2 \int_{0}^{t} l_{s} l_{s}^{*} d s
$$

and the proposition follows from Lemma 4.3.
The following is a direct consequence of the previous proposition and Lemma 4.5 (recall that $\sigma(M)$ is the singular value vector of a matrix $M$ ). Let $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ denote the vector $\mathbf{1}=(1,1, \cdots, 1)$.

Theorem 4.10. For $S U(p, q)$, in law as a process,

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{Rad}\left(\xi_{t}^{0}\right)-\log (2 q) \mathbf{1}=\log \sigma\left(\int_{0}^{t} l_{s} l_{s}^{*} l_{t}^{*-1} d s\right)
$$

where $l$ is the Brownian motion on the group of $p \times p$ complex lower triangular invertible matrices with positive diagonal, solution of $\delta l_{t}=l_{t} \delta \lambda_{t}, l_{0}=I$.

The limit is a Markov process with values in $\left\{\left(r_{1}, \cdots, r_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p}, r_{1} \geq r_{2} \geq\right.$ $\left.\cdots \geq r_{p}\right\}$.

We will compute in Theorem 5.2 the generator $L$ of the limit.
4.7. $S O(p, q)$ and $S p(p, q)$. For $S O(p, q)$ the only difference with $S U(p, q)$ is that all the entries are real. Hence, in the preceding computation,

$$
\left\langle\beta^{k, r}, \bar{\beta}^{k, r}\right\rangle_{s}=\left\langle\beta^{k, r}, \beta^{k, r}\right\rangle_{s}=2 s .
$$

Similarly, for $S p(p, q)$ the entries are quaternionic, therefore, in that case,

$$
\left\langle\beta^{k, r}, \bar{\beta}^{k, r}\right\rangle_{s}=8 s
$$

So we obtain,
Theorem 4.11. For $S O(p, q)$, resp. $S p(p, q)$, the limit of

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{Rad}\left(\xi_{t}^{0}\right)-\log (\alpha q) \mathbf{1}=\log \sigma\left(\int_{0}^{t} l_{s} l_{s}^{*-1} l_{t}^{*-1} d s\right)
$$

where $\alpha=1$ for $S O(p, q)$, resp. $\alpha=4$ for $S p(p, q)$, where $l$ is the Brownian motion on the group of $p \times p$ lower triangular matrices with real, resp. quaternionic, entries and with positive diagonal, solution of $\delta l_{t}=l_{t} \delta \lambda_{t}$.

Corollary 4.12. In rank one, i.e. $p=1$,

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} R a d\left(\xi_{t}^{0}\right)-\log (\alpha q) \mathbf{1}=\log \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 B_{s}-B_{t}} d s
$$

where $B$ is a standard Brownian motion.

## 5. Generator of the limit

5.1. Inozemtsev limit to Quantum Toda Hamiltonian. In order to compute the generator $L$ of the limit process in Theorem 4.10 it is convenient to relate $\operatorname{Rad}\left(\xi_{t}^{0}\right)$ to a Calogero Moser Sutherland model.

We consider the general case of a symmetric space $G / K$ associated with one of the groups $G=S O(p, q), S U(p, q)$ and $S p(p, q)$, where $K$ is a maximal compact subgroup. The root system is of the type $B C_{p}$. Recall that, if $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of a real Euclidean space $\mathfrak{a}$ of dimension $p$, then the positive roots $\Sigma^{+}$of the root system $B C_{p}$ are given by

$$
\Sigma^{+}=\left\{e_{k}, 2 e_{k},(1 \leq k \leq p), e_{i}+e_{j}, e_{i}-e_{j},(1 \leq i<j \leq p)\right\}
$$

We associate to each considered group a triplet $m=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right)$ given by, when $p \geq 2$, for $S O(p, q), m=(q-p, 0,1)$, for $S U(p, q), m=(2(q-p), 1,2)$ and for $S p(p, q), m=(4(q-p), 3,4)$. When $p=1$, the only difference
is that $m_{3}=0$. The quantum Calogero-Moser-Sutherland trigonometric Hamiltonian of type $B C_{p}$ is (see [31, 32])

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{C M S}= & H_{C M S}(p, q, r)=\sum_{k=1}^{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{k}^{2}}-\frac{m_{1}\left(m_{1}+2 m_{2}-2\right)}{4 \sinh ^{2} r_{k}}-\frac{m_{2}\left(m_{2}-2\right)}{\sinh ^{2} 2 r_{k}}\right) \\
& -\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq p} m_{3}\left(m_{3}-2\right)\left(\frac{1}{2 \sinh ^{2}\left(r_{i}-r_{j}\right)}+\frac{1}{2 \sinh ^{2}\left(r_{i}+r_{j}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}$we let $m_{\alpha}=m_{1}$ if $\alpha=e_{k}, m_{\alpha}=m_{2}$ if $\alpha=2 e_{k}$ and $m_{\alpha}=m_{3}$ if $\alpha=e_{i}+e_{j}$ or $\alpha=e_{i}-e_{j}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}} m_{\alpha} \alpha, \delta=\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{+}}\left(e^{\alpha}-e^{-\alpha}\right)^{m_{\alpha}} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The radial part $\Delta_{R}$ of the Laplace Beltrami operator on $G / K$ is equal (see [18, p.268]) to

$$
\Delta_{R}=\sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{k}^{2}}+\sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{k}}(\log \delta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{k}}
$$

which can be written as

$$
\Delta_{R}=\delta^{-1 / 2} \circ\left\{H_{C M S}-(\rho, \rho)\right\} \circ \delta^{1 / 2}
$$

(see $[30,31,32])$. On the other hand, we define $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}(r)=$ $\varphi_{0}(D(r))$ where $\varphi_{0}$ is the basic Harish Chandra function. Then $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}(0)=1$ and

$$
\Delta_{R} \tilde{\varphi}_{0}=-(\rho, \rho) \tilde{\varphi}_{0}
$$

therefore

$$
H_{C M S}\left(\delta^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{0}\right)=0
$$

The generator of the radial part $\operatorname{Rad}\left(\xi_{t}^{0}\right)$ of the ground state process associated with $\varphi_{0}$ is $\Delta_{R}^{\tilde{\varphi}_{0}} / 2$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{R}^{\tilde{\varphi}_{0}} & =\sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{k}^{2}}+2 \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{k}}\left(\log \delta^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{0}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{k}} \\
& =\left(\delta^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{0}\right)^{-1} \circ H_{C M S} \circ\left(\delta^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $S U(p, q)$, since $m_{3}=2$, we have the following (called the Inozemtsev limit ([20]),

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} H_{C M S}(p, q, r+\log (2 q) \mathbf{1})=\sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{k}^{2}}-e^{-2 r_{k}}
$$

Similarly, for $S O(p, q)$,

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} H_{C M S}(p, q, r+\log q \mathbf{1})=\sum_{k=1}^{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{k}^{2}}-e^{-2 r_{k}}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq p} \frac{1}{2 \sinh ^{2}\left(r_{i}-r_{j}\right)},
$$

and for $S p(p, q)$,

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} H_{C M S}(p, q, r+\log (4 q) \mathbf{1})=\sum_{k=1}^{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{k}^{2}}-e^{-2 r_{k}}\right)-\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq p} \frac{4}{\sinh ^{2}\left(r_{i}-r_{j}\right)} .
$$

We denote by $H_{T}$ the respective limit. Since $\delta^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{0}$ is a ground state of $H_{C M S}$, it is reasonable to infer that there is a ground state $\Psi$ of $H_{T}$ such that the generator $L$ of the limit process in Theorem 4.10 is given by

$$
L=\frac{1}{2} \Psi^{-1} \circ H_{T} \circ \Psi
$$

Oshima and Shimeno [32] goes into that direction but is not precise enough to obtain this conclusion. We will see that this hold true for $S U(p, q)$, but that the result is quite subtle. The reason is that on the one hand, there are many ground states for $H_{T}$ and on the other hand that $L$ is the generator of a process with values in a proper subcone of $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ when $p>1$.
5.2. Asymptotics for $S U(p, q)$. We compute the generator $L$ for $S U(p, q)$, using that in this case the spherical functions are explicitly known for all $(p, q)$. In $S O(p, q)$ and $S p(p, q)$, such an expression is not known and it seems difficult to compute the generator of the limit in Theorem 4.11.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$, the Harish Chandra spherical function $\varphi_{\lambda}^{(p, q)}$ of $S U(p, q)$, is defined by (see [18, Theorem IV.4.3]), for $g \in S U(p, q)$,

$$
\varphi_{\lambda}^{(p, q)}(g)=\int_{K} e^{(\lambda+\varrho)(A(k g))} d k
$$

Then (Hoogenboom [19, Theorem 3]), for

$$
A(p)=(-1)^{\frac{3}{2}(p(p-1)} 2^{2 p(p-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{p-1}\left\{(k+j)^{p-j} j!\right\},
$$

when for all $i, \lambda_{i} \notin \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$,

$$
\varphi_{\lambda}^{(p, q)}(D(r))=\frac{A(p)}{\prod_{i<j}\left(\cosh 2 r_{i}-\cosh 2 r_{j}\right)} \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\varphi_{\lambda_{i}}^{(1, q-p+1)}\left(D\left(r_{j}\right)\right)\right.}{\prod_{i>j}\left(\lambda_{i}^{2}-\lambda_{j}^{2}\right)} .
$$

Lemma 5.1. There is $c_{p}>0$ depending only $p$ such that, for $r \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$,

$$
\varphi_{0}^{(p, q)}(D(r))=\frac{c_{p}}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq p}\left(\cosh 2 r_{i}-\cosh 2 r_{j}\right)} \operatorname{det}\left(M_{(p, q)}(r)\right)
$$

where $M_{(p, q)}(r)$ is the $p \times p$ matrix with coefficients

$$
M_{(p, q)}(r)^{i, j}=\frac{d^{2(j-1)}}{d \lambda^{2(j-1)}} \varphi_{\lambda}^{(1, q-p+1)}\left(D\left(r_{i}\right)\right)_{\{\lambda=0\}}
$$

Proof. Since; for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \varphi_{\lambda}^{(1, q-p+1)}=\varphi_{-\lambda}^{(1, q-p+1)}$, the lemma follows from Hua's lemma [19, Lemma 4.1] with $c_{p}=\frac{A_{p}(-1)^{\frac{1}{2} p(p-1)}}{2!4!\cdots(2(p-1))!}$.

For $S U(p, q), m_{\alpha}=2(q-p)$ when $\alpha=e_{k}, m_{\alpha}=1$ when $\alpha=2 e_{k}$ and $m_{\alpha}=2$ when $\alpha=e_{i}+e_{j}$ or $\alpha=e_{i}-e_{j}$. Thus, by (5.1)

$$
\delta(D(r))=\prod_{k}\left(2 \sinh r_{k}\right)^{2(q-p)}\left(2 \sinh 2 r_{k}\right) \prod_{i<j} 4\left(\cosh 2 r_{i}-\cosh 2 r_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

On the other hand, using the notation (7.1) adapted to the complex case, for $r \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\delta_{q-p+1}(r)=2^{2(q-p)+1} \sinh ^{2(q-p)} r \sinh 2 r .
$$

So there is a constant $C_{p}>0$ such that

$$
\left(\delta^{1 / 2} \varphi_{0}^{(p, q)}\right)(D(r))=C_{p} \operatorname{det} M_{(p, q)}(r) \prod_{k=1}^{p} \delta_{q-p+1}\left(r_{k}\right)=C_{p} \operatorname{det} N_{(p, q)}(r)
$$

where

$$
\left(N_{(p, q)}(r)\right)^{i, j}=\frac{d^{2(j-1)}}{d \lambda^{2(j-1)}}\left(\delta_{q-p+1}^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}^{q-p+1}\right)\left(r_{i}\right)_{\{\lambda=0\}}
$$

with $\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}^{q-p+1}(r)=\varphi_{\lambda}^{(1, q-p+1)}(D(r))$. Let $(\operatorname{see}(7.5),(7.6))$

$$
\alpha(q-p+1)=\frac{\Gamma(q-p)}{\Gamma(2(q-p)) 2^{5 / 2}}
$$

and

$$
g_{q-p+1}(\lambda, r)=\alpha(q-p+1)\left(\delta_{q-p+1}^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}^{q-p+1}\right)(r+\log 2(q-p))-K_{\lambda}\left(e^{-r}\right)
$$

By Corollary 7.3, $g_{q-p+1}(\lambda, r)$ and all its derivatives converge to 0 for $\lambda=0$ as $q \rightarrow+\infty$. The generator of $\operatorname{Rad}\left(\xi_{t}^{0}\right)$ is

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{i}^{2}}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{i}} \log \left(\delta^{1 / 2} \varphi_{0}^{(p, q)}\right)(D(r)) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{i}}
$$

Hence, we deduce from Corollary 7.3 that
Theorem 5.2. The generator $L$ of the limit process in Theorem 4.10 is

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{i}^{2}}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{i}} \log \operatorname{det} K^{(p)}\left(e^{-r}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{i}}
$$

where $K^{(p)}\left(e^{-r}\right)$ is the $p \times p$ matrix with entries

$$
K^{(p)}\left(e^{-r}\right)^{i, j}=\frac{d^{2(j-1)}}{d \lambda^{2(j-1)}} K_{\lambda}\left(e^{-r_{i}}\right)_{\{\lambda=0\}}
$$

Remark 5.3. The limit $\operatorname{det} K^{(p)}\left(e^{-r}\right)$ is a ground state of the Toda Hamiltonian

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{k}^{2}}-e^{-2 r_{k}}
$$

It is equal to 0 on the walls $\left\{r_{i}=r_{j}\right\}$. This is linked to the fact that the correction term $(\log 2 q) \mathbf{1}$ in Theorem 4.10 corresponds to a direction along
a wall of the Weyl chamber, when $p>1$. Notice that $\prod_{k=1}^{p} K_{0}\left(e^{-r_{k}}\right)$ is another ground state.

## 6. SERIES of homogeneous trees

We now consider the same question for $q$-adic symmetric spaces of rank one. The most important series is given by the symmetric spaces associated with $G l\left(2, \mathbb{Q}_{q}\right)$ where $q$ is the sequence of prime numbers. The associated symmetric space is the homogeneous tree $\mathbb{T}_{q}$. The analogue of the Brownian motion is the simple random walk. Let us consider more generally the tree $\mathbb{T}_{q}$, where $q$ is an arbitrary integer. We will deal to this case by an elementary treatment. By definition, $\mathbb{T}_{q}$ is the connected graph without cycle whose vertices have exactly $q+1$ edges. We choose an origin $o$ in this tree. The simple random walk $W_{n}, n \geq 0$, starts at $o$ and at each step goes to one of its $q+1$ neighbours with uniform probability.

Let us recall some (well known) elementary facts about ( $W_{n}$ ) ([14], [38]). For the convenience of the reader we give the simple proofs. Let us write $x \sim y$ when $x$ and $y$ are neighbours. A function $f: \mathbb{T}_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called radial when $f(x)$ depends only on $d(o, x)$ where $d$ is the standard distance on the tree. In this case one defines $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\tilde{f}(n)=f(x), \text { when } d(o, x)=n .
$$

The probability transition of $W_{n}$ is

$$
P(x, y)=\frac{1}{q+1}, \text { iff } x \sim y
$$

The radial part of $W_{n}$ is the process $X_{n}=d\left(o, W_{n}\right)$, it is a Markov chain on $\mathbb{N}$ with transition probability $R$ given by $R(0,1)=1$, and if $n>0$,

$$
R(n, n-1)=\frac{1}{q+1}, R(n, n+1)=\frac{q}{q+1}
$$

Let us introduce the average operator $A$ which associates to a function $f: \mathbb{T}_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the radial function

$$
A f(x)=\frac{1}{S(o, x)} \sum_{y \in S(o, x)} f(y)
$$

where $S(o, x)$ is the sphere $S(o, x)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{T}_{q} ; d(o, y)=d(o, x)\right\}$. It is easy to see that $P$ and $A$ commute:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P A=A P \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore
Lemma 6.1. When a function $f: \mathbb{T}_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $\lambda$-eigenfunction of $P$ (i.e. $P f=\lambda f$ ), then $A f$ is a radial $\lambda$-eigenfunction of $P$ and $\widetilde{A f}$ is a $\lambda$-eigenfunction of $R$. Conversely, if $\tilde{g}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $\lambda$-eigenfunction of $R$, then $g(x)=\tilde{g}(d(o, x))$ is a radial $\lambda$-eigenfunction of $P$.

Let $\lambda>0, \tilde{g}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a $\lambda$-eigenfunction of $R$ when $\tilde{g}(1)=\lambda \tilde{g}(0)$ and

$$
\tilde{g}(n-1)+q \tilde{g}(n+1)=\lambda(q+1) \tilde{g}(n), n \geq 1
$$

One sees easily that there exists a positive solution of this equation if and only if $\lambda \geq \frac{2 \sqrt{q}}{q+1}$. Hence, the principal generalized eigenvalue $\varrho$ of $R$ is

$$
\varrho=\frac{2 \sqrt{q}}{q+1}
$$

the associated eigenfunction $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}$ is

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{0}(n)=\left(1+n \frac{q-1}{q+1}\right) \frac{1}{q^{n / 2}}
$$

It is the only one if we suppose that $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}(0)=1$. It follows from Lemma 6.1 that $\varrho$ is also the principal generalized eigenvalue of $P$. The function $\varphi_{0}$ : $\mathbb{T}_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\varphi_{0}(x)=\tilde{\varphi}_{0}(d(o, x))$ for $x \in \mathbb{T}_{q}$, is a radial $\varrho$-eigenfunction of $P$. By uniqueness of $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}, \varphi_{0}$ is the unique radial ground state of the random walk $W_{n}, n \geq 0$, equal to 1 at $o$. We consider the $\varphi_{0}$-ground state process $W_{n}^{(0)}, n \geq 0$, on $\mathbb{T}_{q}$, defined as the Markov chain with transition probability $P^{(0)}$ given by

$$
P^{(0)}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\varrho \phi_{0}(x)} P(x, y) \phi_{0}(y)
$$

Its radial part is a Markov chain on $\mathbb{N}$ with transition probability, for $m, n \in$ $\mathbb{N}$,

$$
R^{(0)}(n, m)=\frac{1}{\varrho \tilde{\varphi}_{0}(n)} R(n, m) \tilde{\varphi}_{0}(m)
$$

It is clear that

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} R^{(0)}(m, n)=B(m, n)
$$

where $B$ is transition probability of the so-called discrete $\operatorname{Bessel}(3)$ Markov chain on $\mathbb{N}$, namely,

$$
B(0,1)=1, B(n, n+1)=\frac{n+2}{2(n+1)}, B(n, n-1)=\frac{n}{2(n+1)}
$$

Therefore
Proposition 6.2. When $q \rightarrow+\infty$, the radial part of the $\varphi_{0}$-ground state process $W_{n}^{(0)}$ of the simple random walk on the tree $\mathbb{T}_{q}$ converges in distribution to the discrete Bessel(3) chain.

Our aim is to obtain a path description of this limit process in term of the simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$. We use the analogue of the distinguished Brownian motion. A geodesic ray $\omega$ is an infinite sequence $x_{n}, n \geq 0$, of distinct vertices in $\mathbb{T}_{q}$ such that $d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=1$ for any $n \geq 0$. We fix such a geodesic ray $\omega=\left\{x_{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ starting from $x_{0}=o$. For any $x$ in $\mathbb{T}_{q}$ there is a unique geodesic ray $\pi(x, \omega)$ which starts at $x$ and such that the symmetric difference between $\pi(x, \omega)$ and $\omega$ is finite. We let $x \wedge o$ be the


Figure 1. Example $\mathbb{T}_{3}$
first common vertex of $\omega$ and $\pi(x, \omega)$. The height $h(x)$ of $x \in \mathbb{T}_{q}$ ( $h$ is a Busemann function) with respect to $\omega$ is

$$
h(x)=d(x, x \wedge o)-d(o, x \wedge o) .
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the horocycle $H_{n}$ is

$$
H_{n}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{T}_{q}, h(x)=n\right\} .
$$

As described by Cartier [8], see also [9], $\mathbb{T}_{q}$ can be viewed as an infinite genealogical tree with $\omega$ as the unique "mythical ancestor". Then $H_{n}$ is the $n$-th generation. Any vertex (individual) $x$ in $\mathbb{T}_{q}$ has $q$ neighbours of height $h(x)+1$ (his sons) and one neighbour of height $h(x)-1$ (his father). This implies that if $W_{n}$ is the simple random walk on $\mathbb{T}_{q}$, then $h\left(W_{n}\right)$ is the random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ with transition probability $H$ given by, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
H(n, n-1)=\frac{1}{q+1}, H(n, n+1)=\frac{q}{q+1} .
$$

A positive eigenfunction $f$ of this kernel is a solution of

$$
f(n-1)+q f(n+1)=\lambda(q+1) f(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}, f(0)=1,
$$

and one sees easily that it exists if and only if

$$
\lambda \geq \frac{2 \sqrt{q}}{q+1}=\varrho .
$$

As a result $\varrho$ is also the principal generalized eigenvalue (or spectral radius) of $H$. Moreover the eigenfunction is $f(n)=\frac{1}{q^{n / 2}}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that the function

$$
\varphi^{\omega}(x)=q^{-h(x) / 2}
$$



Figure 2. The graph $G$
on $\mathbb{T}_{q}$ is, like $\varphi_{0}$, a ground state of the Markov chain $W_{n}$. By Lemma 6.1 $A \varphi^{\omega}$ is a radial ground state of $P$. By uniqueness, this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{0}=A \varphi^{\omega} . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 6.3. Let $S_{n}, n \geq 0$, be the $\varphi^{\omega}$-ground state process associated to $\left(W_{n}\right)$ on $\mathbb{T}_{q}$ starting from o. We denote by $Q_{\omega}$ its probability transition.

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{\omega}(x, y) & =P(x, y) \frac{\varphi^{\omega}(y)}{\varrho \varphi^{\omega}(x)}=P(x, y) \frac{q^{-h(y) / 2}}{\varrho q^{-h(x) / 2}} \\
& =P(x, y) \frac{(q+1) q^{-h(y) / 2}}{2 \sqrt{q} q^{-h(x) / 2}}=P(x, y) \frac{(q+1) q^{-h(y) / 2}}{2 q^{(1-h(x)) / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, when $x \sim y$, since $P(x, y)=1 /(q+1)$,

$$
Q_{\omega}(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 / 2 q, \text { when } h(y)=h(x)+1 \\
1 / 2, \text { when } h(y)=h(x)-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

As in Proposition 3.7,
Lemma 6.4. The process $d\left(o, S_{n}\right), n \geq 0$, has the same law as $d\left(o, W_{n}^{(0)}\right)$, $n \geq 0$.
Proof. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F: \mathbb{Z}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$. For any isometry $k$ of the tree $\mathbb{T}_{q}$ which fixes $o, W_{n}, n \geq 0$, has the same law as $k \cdot W_{n}, n \geq 0$. Hence, using also (6.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(F\left(d\left(o, S_{n}\right), n \leq N\right)\right) & =\varrho^{-N} \mathbb{E}\left(F\left(d\left(o, W_{n}\right), n \leq N\right) \varphi^{\omega}\left(W_{N}\right)\right) \\
& =\varrho^{-N} \mathbb{E}\left(F\left(d\left(o, k \cdot W_{n}\right), n \leq N\right) \varphi^{\omega}\left(k \cdot W_{N}\right)\right) \\
& =\varrho^{-N} \mathbb{E}\left(F\left(d\left(o, W_{n}\right), n \leq N\right) \varphi^{\omega}\left(k \cdot W_{N}\right)\right) \\
& =\varrho^{-N} \mathbb{E}\left(F\left(d\left(o, W_{n}\right), n \leq N\right) A \varphi^{\omega}\left(W_{N}\right)\right) \\
& =\varrho^{-N} \mathbb{E}\left(F\left(d\left(o, W_{n}\right), n \leq N\right) \varphi_{0}\left(W_{N}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(F\left(d\left(o, W_{n}^{(0)}\right), n \leq N\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider the following (unoriented) graph $G$ embedded in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ (see Figure 2): the edges are the points with coordinates

$$
(k+2 n, k), k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and the vertices are the first diagonal segments joining $(k, k)$ and $(k+1, k+1)$ and the segments joining $(k+2 n, k)$ and $(k+2 n+1, k-1)$ for $n \geq 0$. We choose in the tree $\mathbb{T}_{q}$ another geodesic ray $\omega^{\prime}=\left\{x_{-n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ such that $\omega \cap \omega^{\prime}=\{o\}$. Then $\omega \omega^{\prime}=\left\{x_{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ is a two-sided geodesic. Let $\Psi: \mathbb{T}_{q} \rightarrow G$ defined as follows: for $x \in \mathbb{T}_{q}$,

$$
\Psi(x)=\left(-h(x)+2 d\left(x, \omega^{\prime} \omega\right),-h(x)\right)
$$

Then $\Psi\left(S_{n}\right), n \geq 0$, is the nearest neighbour Markov chain on the graph $G$ with probability transition $P_{G}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{G}((k, k),(k-1, k-1))=1 / 2 q \\
& P_{G}((k, k),(k+1, k+1))=1 / 2 \\
& P_{G}((k, k),(k+1, k-1)=(q-1) / 2 q
\end{aligned}
$$

and, for $n>0$ and $\varepsilon=1$ or -1 ,

$$
P_{G}((k+2 n, k),(k+2 n-\varepsilon, k+\varepsilon))=1 / 2
$$

When $q \rightarrow+\infty$, the Markov chain $\Psi\left(S_{n}\right)$ converges to the Markov chain on the graph $G$ with transition probability $Q$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q((k, k),(k-1, k-1))=0 \\
& Q((k, k),(k+1, k+1))=1 / 2 \\
& Q((k, k),(k+1, k-1)=1 / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $n>0$ and $\varepsilon=1$ or -1 ,

$$
Q((k+2 n, k),(k+2 n-\varepsilon, k+\varepsilon))=1 / 2
$$

When the chain with kernel $Q$ starts from $(0,0)$ it cannot reach $(-1,-1)$ therefore it lives on the subgraph $\tilde{G}$ of $G$ described in Figure 3. It is the one which appears in the discrete time Pitman theorem (see Figure 8 in Biane [4]). It has the following simple description in terms of the simple symmetric random walk $\Sigma_{n}, n \geq 0$, on $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\Sigma_{n}=\varepsilon_{1}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{n}$ where the $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ are i.i.d. random variables such that $\mathbb{P}\left(\varepsilon_{n}=1\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\varepsilon_{n}=-1\right)=1 / 2$ and

$$
M_{n}=\max \left\{\Sigma_{k}, k \leq n\right\}
$$

The Markov chain on $\tilde{G}$ starting from $(0,0)$ is, in $x O y$ coordinates,

$$
\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)=\left(2 M_{n}-\Sigma_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right)
$$

(see Figure 3). The radial component of $S_{n}$ is $d\left(o, S_{n}\right)=D\left((0,0), \Psi\left(S_{n}\right)\right)$ for the graph distance $D$ on $G$. Since $D((0,0),(a, b))=a$, we obtain using Lemma 6.4 that,


Figure 3. Pitman's walk on the graph $\tilde{G}$
Theorem 6.5. The limit as $q \rightarrow+\infty$ of the processes $d\left(o, S_{n}\right), n \geq 0$, and $d\left(o, W_{n}^{(0)}\right), n \geq 0$, have the same law as

$$
2 \max _{0 \leq k \leq n} \Sigma_{k}-\Sigma_{n}, n \geq 0,
$$

where $\Sigma_{n}$ is the simple symmetric random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$.
By Proposiiton 6.2, we recover the following theorem of Pitman.
Corollary 6.6 ([35]). The process $2 \max _{0 \leq k \leq n} \Sigma_{k}-\Sigma_{n}, n \geq 0$, is the discrete Bessel(3) Markov chain.

## 7. Appendix : Asymptotics of spherical functions in rank one

We describe the needed asymptotic behaviour of spherical functions on $S O(1, q), S U(1, q)$ and $S p(1, q)$ when $q \rightarrow+\infty$. We adapt Shimeno [37] to this setting (which only considers the real split case) and Oshima and Shimeno [32]. The advantage of this approach is that it is adapted to higher rank cases. The details of the computations are quite long but straightforward. Therefore we only indicate the main points of the proof where they differ from [37].

We adapt the notions of 5.1 to the rank one case. In this case there are at most two roots, $\alpha$ and $2 \alpha$ where $\alpha(r)=r$ when $r \in \mathfrak{a}=\mathbb{R}$. Their multiplicity are $\left(m_{\alpha}, m_{2 \alpha}\right)=(q-1,0)$ for $S O(1, q),(2(q-1), 1)$ for $S U(1, q)$ and $(4(q-1), 3)$ for $S p(1, q)$. Let

$$
\varrho_{q}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{\alpha}+2 m_{2 \alpha}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{q}(r)=\left(e^{r}-e^{-r}\right)^{m_{\alpha}}\left(e^{2 r}-e^{-2 r}\right)^{m_{2 \alpha}} . \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (see (5.1))

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{C M S} & =\delta_{q}^{1 / 2} \circ\left\{\Delta_{R}+\left(\rho_{q}, \rho_{q}\right)\right\} \circ \delta_{q}^{-1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}-\frac{m_{\alpha}\left(m_{\alpha}+2 m_{2 \alpha}-2\right)}{\sinh ^{2} r}-\frac{m_{2 \alpha}\left(m_{2 \alpha}-2\right)}{\sinh ^{2} 2 r}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the spherical function $\varphi_{\lambda}$ satisfies

$$
\Delta_{R} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}=\left(\lambda^{2}-\varrho_{q}^{2}\right) \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}
$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(r)=\varphi_{\lambda}(D(r)), r \in \mathbb{R}$, therefore,

$$
H_{C M S}\left(\delta_{q}^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\right)=\lambda^{2} \delta_{q}^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}
$$

There exists a unique function $\Psi_{C M S}(\lambda, q, r), r \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{C M S} \Psi_{C M S}=\lambda^{2} \Psi_{C M S} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{C M S}(\lambda, q, r)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_{n}(\lambda, q) e^{(\lambda-n) r}, \quad b_{0}(\lambda, q)=1 \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see $[37,(17)])$. When $q \rightarrow+\infty$,

$$
\lim H_{C M S}\left(r+\log m_{\alpha}\right)=H_{T}
$$

where $H_{T}$ is the Toda type Hamiltonian

$$
H_{T}=\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}-e^{-2 r}
$$

There is also a unique function $\Psi_{\mathrm{T}}(\lambda, r), r \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$
H_{T} \Psi_{T}=\lambda^{2} \Psi_{T}
$$

of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{T}(\lambda, r)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_{n}(\lambda) e^{(\lambda-n) r}, \quad b_{0}(\lambda)=1 \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

([37], notice that this is the function denoted $\Psi_{T}(-\lambda,-r)$ in [37]).
Lemma 7.1. If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$ and $2 \lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}^{*}$, then

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{m_{\alpha}^{\lambda}} \Psi_{C M S}\left(\lambda, q, r+\log m_{\alpha}\right)=\Psi_{T}(\lambda, r)
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of Proposition 1 in [37].
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(q)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m_{\alpha}}{2}\right)^{2}}{\Gamma\left(m_{\alpha}\right) 2^{1+3 m_{2 \alpha} / 2}} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{q}(\lambda, r)=\alpha(q)\left(\delta_{q}^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\right)\left(\log m_{\alpha}+r\right)-K_{\lambda}\left(e^{-r}\right) \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 7.2. Uniformly on $\lambda$ in a small neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}$, $\lambda g_{q}(\lambda, r)$ and its derivatives in $\lambda$ converge to 0 as $q \rightarrow+\infty$.

Proof. Using the Harish Chandra spherical function expansion of $\varphi_{\lambda}$ (see [18, Theorems IV.5.5 and IV.6.4] or [16]), when $\lambda$ is for instance in the ball $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} ;|\lambda| \leq 1 / 4\}$ and $\lambda \neq 0$,

$$
\delta_{q}^{1 / 2}(r) \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}(r)=c(\lambda) \Psi_{C M S}(\lambda, q, r)+c(-\lambda) \Psi_{C M S}(-\lambda, q, r)
$$

where

$$
c(\lambda)=\frac{2^{\frac{1}{2} m_{\alpha}+m_{2 \alpha}-\lambda} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{\alpha}+m_{2 \alpha}+1\right)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} m_{\alpha}+1+\lambda\right)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} m_{\alpha}+m_{2 \alpha}+\lambda\right)\right)}
$$

It follows from Lemma 7.1 that

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \alpha(q) c(\lambda) \frac{1}{m_{\alpha}^{\lambda}} \Psi_{C M S}\left(\lambda, q, r+\log m_{\alpha}\right)=\Gamma(\lambda) 2^{\lambda-1} \Psi_{T}(\lambda, r)
$$

Hence
$\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \alpha(q)\left(\delta_{q}^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}\right)\left(r+\log m_{\alpha}\right)=\Gamma(\lambda) 2^{\lambda-1} \Psi_{T}(\lambda, r)+\Gamma(-\lambda) 2^{-\lambda-1} \Psi_{T}(-\lambda, r)$.
The limit can be expressed in terms of the Whittaker function for $S l(2, \mathbb{R})$ as in [37, Theorem 3]. Due to the relation between Whittaker and Macdonald functions (see, e.g., Bump [6]), one finds that this limit is $K_{\lambda}\left(e^{-r}\right)$, thus $g_{q}(\lambda, r)$ tends to 0 when $q \rightarrow+\infty$.

Now we remark that, for $r$ fixed, the functions $\lambda g_{q}(\lambda, r)$ are analytic functions in $\lambda$ in the ball $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} ;|\lambda| \leq 1 / 4\}$ which are uniformly bounded in $q$ by the computations of [37, Proposition 1]. Notice that we have to multiply by $\lambda$ to avoid the singularity at 0 of the Harish Chandra $c$ function. The uniform convergence of $\lambda g_{q}(\lambda, r)$ and its derivatives in $\lambda$ thus follows from Montel's theorem.

Corollary 7.3. As $q \rightarrow+\infty, g_{q}(\lambda, r)$ and all its derivatives converge to 0 at $\lambda=0$.

Proof. This follows from the proposition and the fact that

$$
\frac{d^{n}}{d \lambda^{n}} g_{q}(\lambda, r)_{\{\lambda=0\}}=\frac{1}{n+1} \frac{d^{n+1}}{d \lambda^{n+1}}\left(\lambda g_{q}(\lambda, r)\right)_{\{\lambda=0\}}
$$

Remark 7.4. A small mistake in the constant in [37, Example 1] is corrected in [32].

## References

[1] Anker, J. Ph., Bougerol, Ph., Jeulin, Th., The infinite Brownian loop on a symmetric space. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 18 (2002), no. 1, 41-97.
[2] Baudoin, F., Further exponential generalization of Pitman's $2 M-X$ theorem. Electron. Comm. Probab. 7 (2002), 37-46.
[3] Baudoin, F., O'Connell, N., Exponential functionals of Brownian motion and classone Whittaker functions. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 47 (2011), no. 4, 1096-1120.
[4] Biane, Ph., Introduction to random walks on noncommutative spaces. In Quantum potential theory. Lecture Notes in Math., no. 1954, Springer, Berlin (2008), 61-116.
[5] Bougerol, Ph., Exemples de théorèmes locaux sur les groupes résolubles. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Sect. Probab. Stat. 19 (1983), no. 4, 369-391.
[6] Bump, D., Automorphic Forms and Representations. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press (1998).
[7] Cannon, J. W., Floyd, W. J., Kenyon, R., Parry, W. R., Hyperbolic Geometry. In Flavors of Geometry, MSRI Publications, 31, (1997).
[8] Cartier, P., Fonctions harmoniques sur un arbre. Symposia Mathematica, Vol. IX , Academic Press, London, (1972), 203-270.
[9] Cartwright, D. I., Kaimanovich, V. A., Woess, W., Random walks on the affine group of local fields and of homogeneous trees. Ann. Inst. Fourier 44 (1994), no. 4, 12431288.
[10] Chhaibi, R., Littelmann path model for geometric crystals, Whittaker functions on Lie groups and Brownian motion. arXiv:1302.0902.
[11] Cowling, M., Gaudry, G., Giulini, S., Mauceri, G., Weak type ( 1,1 ) estimates for heat kernel maximal functions on Lie groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 323 (1991), no. 2, 637-649.
[12] Cowling, M., Giulini, S., Hulanicki, A. \& Mauceri, G., Spectral multipliers for a distinguished Laplacian on certain groups of exponential growth. Studia Math. 111 (1994), 103-121.
[13] Davies, E. B., Heat Kernel and Spectral Theory. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1989).
[14] Figa-Talamanca, A., Nebbia, C., Harmonic analysis and representation theory for groups acting on homogeneous trees. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 162. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1991).
[15] Franchi, J., Le Jan, Y., Hyperbolic Dynamics and Brownian Motion, An Introduction. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, (2012).
[16] Gangolli, R., Varadarajan, V. S., Harmonic Analysis of Spherical Functions on Real Reductive Groups. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 101. SpringerVerlag, Berlin, (1988).
[17] Guivarc'h Y., Ji L., Taylor J. C.: Compactifications of symmetric spaces, Progress in Mathematics, 156. Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, (1998).
[18] Helgason, S., Groups and Geometric Analysis. Integral Geometry, Invariant Differential Operators, and Spherical Functions. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 113. Academic Press, (1984).
[19] Hoogenboom, B., Spherical functions and invariant differential operators on complex Grassmann manifolds. Ark. Mat. 20 (1982), no. 1, 69-85.
[20] Inozemtsev, V. I., The finite Toda lattices. Comm. Math. Phys. 121 (1989), no. 4, 629-638.
[21] Ikeda, N., Watanabe, S., Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes. Second edition. North-Holland Mathematical Library, 24 (1989).
[22] Iozzi, A., Morris, D. W., Cartan-decomposition subgroups of $S U(2, n)$. J. Lie Theory 11 (2001), no. 2, 505-543.
[23] Knapp, A. W., Lie groups, beyond an introduction, Birkhäuser, Boston, (2002).
$[24] \mathrm{Lu}, \mathrm{Q} . \mathrm{K}$. . The heat kernels of non compact symmetric spaces. In Analysis and Geometry on Complex Homogeneous Domains, Faraut et al, Progress in mathematics, Vol.185, Birkhauser, (2000).
[25] Matsumoto, H., Ogura, Y., Markov or non-Markov property of $c M-X$ processes. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 56 (2004), 519-540.
[26] Matsumoto, H., Yor, M., A version of Pitman's $2 M-X$ theorem for geometric Brownian motions, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 328, Serie I (1999), 1067-1074.
[27] Matsumoto, H., Yor, M., An analogue of Pitman's $2 M-X$ theorem for exponential Wiener Functionals, Part I: A time-inversion approach, Nagoya Math. J., 159 (2000), 125-166.
[28] Matsumoto, H., Yor, M., An analogue of Pitman's $2 M-X$ theorem for exponential Wiener functionals, Part II: The role of the generalized inverse Gaussian laws. Nagoya Math. J., 162 (2001), 65-86.
[29] O'Connell, N., Directed polymers and the quantum Toda lattice. Ann. Probab. 40 (2012), no. 2, 437-458.
[30] Olshanetsky, M. A., Perelomov, A. M., Quantum systems connected with root systems and the radial parts of Laplace operators. Funct. Anal. Appl. 12, No. 2 (1978), 60-68.
[31] Olshanetsky, M. A., Perelomov, A. M., Quantum integrable systems related to Lie algebras. Phys. Rep. 94 (1983), no. 6, 313-404.
[32] Oshima, T., Shimeno, N., Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric functions and their specializations. In New viewpoints of representation theory and noncommutative harmonic analysis, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, B20 (2010), 129-162
[33] Pinchover, Y., Large time behaviour of the heat kernel and the behaviour of the Green function near criticality for nonsymmetric elliptic operators. J. Funct. Anal. 104 (1992), 54-70.
[34] Pinsky, R. G., Positive harmonic functions and diffusion, Cambridge Studies Advanced Math. 45, Cambridge University Press (1995).
[35] Pitman, J. W., One-dimensional Brownian motion and the three-dimensional Bessel process. Advances in Appl. Probability 7 (1975), no. 3, 511-526.
[36] Sawyer, P., Spherical functions on $S O(p, q) / S O(p) \times S O(q)$, Canad. Math. Bull. 42 (1999), no. 4, 486-498.
[37] Shimeno, N., A limit transition from the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric functions to the Whittaker functions associated with root systems, arXiv:0812.3773.
[38] Woess, W., Random walks on infinite graphs and groups, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 138, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
[39] Yor, M., Private communication (1999).
Laboratoire de Probabilités et modèles aléatoires, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4, Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, FRANCE

E-mail address: philippe.bougerol@upmc.fr


[^0]:    1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60J65, 60J27; Secondary 51M10, 53C35.

