

# Experimental Demonstration of the Carbamazepine Crystallization from Non-photochemical Laser-Induced Nucleation in Acetonitrile and Methanol

Aziza Ikni, Bertrand Clair, Philippe Scouflaire, S. Veesler, J.M. Gillet, Nouha El Hassan, Françoise Dumas, A. Spasojević-de Biré

# ► To cite this version:

Aziza Ikni, Bertrand Clair, Philippe Scouflaire, S. Veesler, J.M. Gillet, et al.. Experimental Demonstration of the Carbamazepine Crystallization from Non-photochemical Laser-Induced Nucleation in Acetonitrile and Methanol. Crystal Growth & Design, 2014, 14 (7), pp.3286-3299. 10.1021/cg500163c. hal-01053346

# HAL Id: hal-01053346 https://hal.science/hal-01053346v1

Submitted on 23 Sep 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

# Experimental demonstration of the carbamazepine crystallization from Non-Photochemical LASER-Induced Nucleation in acetonitrile and methanol

Journal:	Crystal Growth & Design
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Ikni, Aziza; Ecole Centrale Paris, Laboratoire Structures, Propriétés et Modélisation des Solides (SPMS), UMR CNRS 8580 Clair, Bertrand; Ecole Centrale Paris, Laboratoire Structures, Propriétés et Modélisation des Solides (SPMS), UMR CNRS 8580 Scouflaire, Philippe; Ecole Centrale Paris, Laboratoire "Energétique Moléculaire et Macroscopique, Combustion" (EM2C) UPR 288 Veesler, Stephane; CNRS, CINAM Gillet, Jean-Michel; Ecole Centrale Paris, Laboratoire Structures, Propriétés et Modélisation des Solides (SPMS), UMR CNRS 8580 El Hassan, Nouha; Ecole Centrale Paris, Laboratoire Structures, Propriétés et Modélisation des Solides (SPMS), UMR CNRS 8580 Dumas, Françoise; CNRS, Université Paris Sud, BioCIS Spasojevic - de Biré, Anne; Ecole Centrale Paris, Laboratoire Structures, Propriétés et Modélisation des Solides (SPMS), UMR CNRS 8580

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

Experimental demonstration of the carbamazepine crystallization from Non-Photochemical LASER-Induced Nucleation in acetonitrile and methanol

Aziza Ikni<sup>1,2</sup>, Bertrand Clair<sup>1,2</sup>, Philippe Scouflaire<sup>1,3</sup>, Stéphane Veesler<sup>4</sup>, Jean-Michel Gillet<sup>1,2</sup>, Nouha El Hassan<sup>1,2</sup>, Françoise Dumas<sup>5</sup>, Anne Spasojević-de Biré<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ecole Centrale Paris, Grande Voie des Vignes, 92295 Châtenay-Malabry, France

<sup>2</sup> CNRS, UMR 8580, Laboratory "Structures Propriétés et Modélisation des Solides" (SPMS), Grande Voie des Vignes, 92295 Châtenay-Malabry, France

<sup>3</sup>CNRS, UPR 288, Laboratory "Energétique Moléculaire et Macroscopique, Combustion" (EM2C), Grande Voie des Vignes, 92295 Châatenay-Malabry, France

<sup>4</sup> CNRS , UMR 7325, Laboratory "Centre Interdisciplinaire de Nanoscience de Marseille" (CINaM), Campus de Luminy, Case 913, 13288 Marseille, France

<sup>5</sup>CNRS, UMR 8076, Laboratory BIOCIS, Faculté de Pharmacie, Université Paris-Sud, 5, rue Jean-Baptiste Clément, 92296 Châtenay-Malabry, France

To be submitted Crystal Growth and Design

#### Abstract

The paper reports for the first time the crystallization of Carbamazepine (CBZ) molecule in two solvents (methanol, acetonitrile) using Non-Photochemical LASER-Induced Nucleation (NPLIN) technique. The metastable zone of CBZ is first determined experimentally for different temperatures in both solvents. Then, the prepared solutions are irradiated by a 532nm wavelength nano-second pulsed LASER and permitted to obtain CBZ crystals of forms I and III. The impact of LASER power and polarization (circular (CP) and linear (LP)) on the CBZ crystallization efficiency in both solvents is carried-out through experiments. According to the results, the crystallization efficiency is significantly higher in methanol than in acetonitrile and it is higher in solutions irradiated by CP LASER than LP LASER. Moreover, the irradiation of acetonitrile solution by a LP LASER results in CBZ form I and III, whereas CP LASER leads to CBZ form III crystals. An *ab initio* determination of the energy interaction of different pairs of CBZ has been carried-out that enables the explanation of the nucleation in acetonitrile for both polarizations. In methanol, only CBZ form III is obtained which is in agreement with the possibility of methanol to create non-covalent interactions preventing the CBZ form I and II nucleation.



# I- Introduction

Crystallization is an important separation and purification process employed to produce a wide variety of materials in industries. Crystallization plays a vital role in the pharmaceutical industry since it is a process that is used during final and intermediate stages of the manufacturing process of Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs). The operating conditions of the crystallization process determine the physical properties of the APIs such as the crystal purity, phase, shape, and size distribution. Moreover, these properties determine the efficiency of downstream operations, such as filtration, drying and formulation, and the product effectiveness, such as bioavailability and shelf-life. For pharmaceutical APIs that exhibit various phases or stereoisomers, the crystallization process also affects the phase produced and the extent of chiral separation.<sup>1</sup> Indeed, different phases of a drug substance can have different chemical and physical properties. These properties can affect the ability to process and/or manufacture the drug substance and the drug product, as well as on drug product stability, dissolution, and bioavailability. Therefore, polymorphism can affect the quality, safety, and efficacy of the drug product.<sup>2-6</sup> Many examples are reported in literature, see for instance the report on Ritonavir<sup>®</sup> and Rotigotine<sup>®, 6</sup> These works highlight the importance of polymorphism study during the process of manufacturing of drugs. All these examples clearly show that it is highly important to make the required polymorphic form, as the other form may not show the desired effects. Therefore, polymorphism control is an important step. Within this context, the work carried-out in this paper aims at demonstrating experimentally the crystallization of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) using the Non-Photochemical LASER-Induced Nucleation (NPLIN) technique.<sup>7</sup> The carbamazepine ( $C_{15}H_{12}N_2O$ , CBZ) molecule (figure 1a) was selected mainly because, in pharmaceutical research, it has been extensively studied as a model compound for solid-form discovery and control since it is known to form four different anhydrous phases (or 4 different polymorphs). The complete list

#### **Crystal Growth & Design**

of references, with the different nomenclature of these four CBZ polymorphs is reported by Grzesiak *et al.*<sup>8</sup> The lattice data for the four crystallographic forms are summarized in table S1 provided as supplementary materials. In addition to these four polymorphic forms, more than 60 solvates, hydrates and co-crystals, have been identified and characterized.<sup>9-10</sup> According to experimental<sup>8</sup> and computational results<sup>9</sup> the theoretical stability order of the four polymorphs at ambient temperature and at 0 K is form III > form IV > form II. The commercially available form is the form III. It can be crystallized from various solvents with high dielectric constants.

This paper begins by a bibliographical description of the works reported in literature and that deal with the crystallization mainly based on the NPLIN technique. It presents the possibility of obtaining CBZ crystals in methanol and acetonitrile using NPLIN technique. We show that CBZ nucleation is launched and controlled by the LASER power in the metastable zone and define the experimental conditions to produce CBZ crystals of good quality. We measure the CBZ metastable zone in methanol and acetonitrile at different temperatures: 5°C, 20°C, 30°C and 40°C. The solutions are irradiated by a 532 nm wavelength LASER. Moreover, different LASER power values and polarizations, linear and circular, are used to study the impact of LASER on crystallization efficiency as well as on polymorphic form. Finally, an *ab initio* determination of the energy interaction of different pairs of CBZ are performed in order to understand the behavior of the nucleation in acetonitrile within the two polarizations.

# II- Brief bibliographic survey of unusual polymorphism and nucleation control techniques

Research on polymorphism and material properties of active drug compounds is an integral part of drug development. In this paper, we will not discuss the usual approach consisting in studying the effect of the solute concentration, temperature, medium of crystallization and

# **Crystal Growth & Design**

hydrodynamics on the crystallization and polymorphism<sup>2, 11</sup>, but we will focus on crystallization experiments based on the use of a LASER as an external field. It should be noted that other external fields were also reported in the literature such as ultrasound<sup>12-14</sup>, magnetic field and electric field<sup>15-18</sup>, etc. The main objective of using external fields is the nucleation control.<sup>19</sup> The impact of an externally applied field on the crystal growth in solutions were highlighted by Voss<sup>20</sup> and Oxtoby.<sup>21</sup> This field influences nucleation, through molecular orientation, as well as density fluctuation. The most documented technique is the light induced nucleation technique that can be subdivided into two categories: The Photochemical Light-Induced Nucleation (PLIN) and the Non Photochemical LASER-Induced Nucleation (NPLIN). In PLIN experiments, the light has sufficient energy to cause ionization or to create radicals that subsequently react to produce nucleation centers<sup>22</sup>, whereas in the NPLIN experiments the LASER is at wavelength in the non-absorbing zone of the solute molecule.<sup>7</sup> In the following, we will give an overview of the works reported in literature for both categories.

#### **Photochemical Light-Induced Nucleation**

PLIN technique is a nucleation process, which is accompanied by a chemical reaction induced by light. This was first reported in solution by Tyndall<sup>23</sup> and then in the vapor phase as LASER snow.<sup>20</sup> Then, many papers were reported in the literature as detailed in this section. Indeed, Okutsu *et al.*<sup>21</sup> found an effect of LASER radiation on the nucleation of benzophenone in ethanol/water mixed solution. The irradiation of supersaturated solutions results in the formation benzopinacol precipitants, which is related to photochemistry phenomena. The obtained crystal was composed of benzopinacol produced from excited benzophenone through a benzophenone ketyl radical. Later, Okutsu *et a.l*<sup>24</sup> showed that the irradiation of a metastable supersaturated lysozyme solutions by a Xenon lamp induce nucleation. It was observed that irradiation of lysozyme molecules in solution enhances the

#### **Crystal Growth & Design**

attractive interaction between molecules in solution. They also observed that there is an irradiation time beyond which the protein is denatured. Veesler *et a.l.*<sup>25</sup> proposed seeding non-irradiated solutions of lysozyme with limited amounts of irradiated lysozyme solution, in order to avoid denaturation of protein by excessive irradiation. Moreover, it was demonstrated by absorption experiments that the irradiation of lysozyme<sup>25</sup>, thaumatin<sup>26</sup> and Ribonuclease<sup>27</sup> produces photochemical intermediate, neutral radicals, which enhance nucleation.

However, the fact that the PLIN involves a chemical reaction and a formation of radicals could be non-desirable in some cases. This limitation can be overcome through the use of the NPLIN technique as explained hereafter.

#### Non-Photochemical LASER-Induced Nucleation

In this case, the LASER wavelength is chosen, in order not to be absorbed by the solution and therefore the nucleation is not accompanied by a photochemical reaction. The NPLIN was first observed by Tam *et al.*<sup>28</sup> They worked on vapors of Cesium with small amount of hydrogen, and observed that upon irradiation by a LASER, some particles appeared, which they identified as cesium-hybrid crystals. More recently Garetz *et al.*<sup>29, 30</sup> have reported experimental results showing that polymorphism control can be achieved through NPLIN. The first experiments were done with supersaturated urea solutions.<sup>7</sup> From the analysis of the obtained results, they suggested that the optical Kerr effect was responsible for the alignment of molecules due to the electric field. Since then, extensive research works were carried-out in this area and numerous papers were reported in literature as summarized on table 1. Some of these papers do not use the NPLIN term for defining their experiments. In this paper, we use the acronym NPLIN for all the experiments in which a supersaturated solution irradiated using LASER results in the formation of a crystalline compound without change of its chemical formula.

### **Crystal Growth & Design**

These works can be decomposed into four main categories: small organic molecules, inorganic molecules, protein molecules and miscellaneous. These categories are briefly described hereafter. For all these categories, the LASER employed to enhance nucleation is either of pulsed or continuous type and could be focalized or not. In the case of pulsed type LASER, the LASER pulse duration is either of the nano-second range, femto-second or pico-second range. An analysis of the experimental setups used in these experiments has been described by Clair *et al.*<sup>31</sup>

# Small organic molecules

Glycine<sup>29-30,32-36</sup>, urea<sup>7,37</sup>, L-histidine<sup>38</sup>, 4-(dimethylamino)-*N*-methyl-4-stilbazolium tosylate (DAST)<sup>39,40</sup> and glacial acetic acid<sup>41</sup> molecules have been crystallized through NPLIN method.

Garetz *et al.*<sup>30</sup> demonstrated experimentally the nucleation of glycine in aqueous supersaturated solutions using nano second unfocalized pulsed LASER. The paper reports that the control of polymorphism of glycine was achieved through the control of laser polarization (linear polarization (LP) or circular polarization (CP)) in a specific range (1.46 - 1.55) of supersaturated solution. According to their conclusions, the LP LASER results in  $\gamma$  polymorph and the CP LASER leads to  $\alpha$  polymorph, which is called switch window. The interpretation provided for the nucleation is based on the reorientation of the molecules under an intense electromagnetic field and the crystallization is triggered by optical Kerr effect. Rungsimanon *et al.*<sup>33</sup> studied the crystallization of glycine in unsaturated heavy water solution by irradiating the solution with a LP continuous and focalized LASER type at 1064 nm wavelength. The obtained crystal is of  $\gamma$  form. The control mechanism was explained in terms of a local variation of a supersaturation value induced by LASER trapping of the liquid-like clusters and the subsequent temperature increase. According to the paper, this form cannot be obtained by the classical crystallization techniques. Yuyama *et al.*<sup>34</sup> demonstrated that under

#### **Crystal Growth & Design**

specific solution conditions: supersaturated or undersaturated or saturated and under specific LASER polarization, it is possible to achieve a selective crystalization of  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  polymorphs of glycine. According to their results, supersaturated/saturated solutions irradiated by a low power CP LASER enhances  $\gamma$  crystals formation while for unsaturated solution LP LASER at a specific power (1.4 W) increases the probability of  $\gamma$  crystals formation. Recently Liu *et al.*<sup>36</sup> have demonstrated a femtosecond LASER-induced crystallization of glycine in its aqueous supersaturated solution. They found that crystals' morphology of prepared by cavitations bubble formation upon the femto-second irradiation into solution depends on the LASER repetition rate. Lower repetition rate of femto-second LASER irradiation tends to result in single crystal formation. Moreover, they found an increase in crystallization probability at air/solution interface, which means an important interplay between molecular adsorption and spatial limitation of mechanical stress induced by bubble formation and then cavitations. However, the authors did not give information about the obtained polymorphic form.

Sun *et al.*<sup>38</sup> reported polarization switching of polymorphs in LASER irradiated L-histidine supersaturated solutions in the range 1.4-1.6. CP laser leads to orthorhombic A polymorph, whereas LP laser leads to a mixture of both orthorhombic A and orthorhombic B.

Regarding DAST, Hosokawa *et al.*<sup>40</sup> demonstrated experimentally the efficiency of femtosecond LASER pulses on the crystallization of supersaturated methanol solutions of DAST.

# Small inorganic molecules

The application of the NPLIN technique to the inorganic molecules has been demonstrated, for the first time, by Alexander *et al.*<sup>42-45</sup> on the crystallization of KCl. Later, other works were reported in the literature concerning  $\text{KBr}^{45}$ ,  $\text{NaClO}_3^{46}$ ,  $\text{KMnO}_4$  and  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4^{47}$  and  $\text{KNO}_3$ .<sup>48</sup> According to Alexander *et al.*<sup>42</sup> a single, 7 ns pulse of near-infrared (1064 nm)

LASER light is sufficient to grow a single crystal of supersaturated aqueous potassium chloride in the range 1.05-1.10. Moreover, the nucleation is likely enhanced through the isotropic electronic polarization of subcritical KCl clusters by LASER light and a decrease in the free-energy surface on which a small proportion of the clusters become supercritical. The work reported by Duffus *et al.*<sup>43</sup> on the same molecule in agarose gel permitted a spatial control of crystal nucleation using NPLIN and demonstrated that the NPLIN efficiency depends on the peak power density of the LASER pulse and not on the total energy delivered to the solution. Ward *et al.*<sup>45</sup> studied the impact of both LASER wavelength as well as temperature on the NPLIN of KCl and KBr from supersaturated aqueous solutions. They reported that solutions irradiated with 532 nm pulses resulted in a lower threshold power to nucleation than 1064 nm. Ward *et al.*<sup>46</sup> have also investigated NPLIN in molten sodium chlorate (NaClO<sub>3</sub>). They demonstrated a propensity towards nucleation of the same enantiomorph (levorotatory or dextrorotatory) of the cubic phase that was used prior to melting. The nucleation mechanism is most likely heterogeneous.

## **Proteins molecules**

Many experimental studies were reported in literature; the most studied protein is hen eggwhite lysozyme (HEWL). The first report of the NPLIN of proteins molecule was by Adachi *et al.*<sup>49</sup> They used a focused femto-second laser pulses of 780 nm in order to induce nucleation of HEWL. It was observed that the number of nucleated HEWL crystals strongly depends on LASER irradiation conditions. Indeed, the generated crystals increased in number as the irradiated LASER pulses number increased. The following reported works focused on the nucleation of the same protein in an aqueous solution <sup>50,51,56</sup> or in gel solution media.<sup>52-53, <sup>57</sup> To study the nucleation of HEWL in a solution, Lee *et al.*<sup>50</sup> employed unfocalised nano second and pico second pulsed LASER, instead of a focused femto second pulsed LASER. They noticed that NPLIN in lysozyme solutions was more effective with shorter aging time,</sup>

### **Crystal Growth & Design**

532 nm wavelength, higher peak intensity, and shorter pulse duration. According to their conclusions, the nucleation mechanism could be attributed to electric-field-induced reorganization in conjunction with the increased concentration fluctuations. Tsuboi *et al.*<sup>51</sup> demonstrated the nucleation of lysozyme in heavy water by photon pressure generated by a continuous wavelength 1064 nm LASER beam during 1-2 h. They observed lysozyme molecular aggregates. For the sake of understanding the nucleation mechanism of proteins, the solution is replaced by a gel.<sup>53</sup> In free solution, when an external field is applied its effect is counteracted by re-homogenizing the solution by convection; therefore different authors<sup>53,55</sup> proposed the use of a gel medium (polyethylene glycol, PEG 6000 or agarose gel) to suppress clusters, nuclei and crystals diffusion in crystallization experiments in presence of external fields. According to Nakamura *et al.*<sup>53</sup> the femto-second laser-induced shockwave and cavitation bubble generation processes are key factors for crystallization. Later, similar experimental works<sup>54,58</sup> confirmed the provided explanation for the nucleation mechanism in these experimental conditions.

# Miscellaneous

This category concerns NPLIN of liquid crystals or gas bubbles. For instance, Sun *et al.*<sup>60</sup> studied a single-component liquid crystalline phase transition in supercooled 40-n-pentyl-4cyanobiphenyl. According to their results, the nematic director showed a tendency to align with the linear polarization vector of the LASER, and the authors concluded that the order is transferred by enhancing fluctuations in the nematic phase in the direction of the electric field of the LASER. Moreover Knott *et al.*<sup>61</sup> demonstrated that laser pulses of similar duration, intensity, and wavelengths can induce the nucleation of CO<sub>2</sub> bubbles in carbonated water. They have shown that the threshold pulse energy to induce CO<sub>2</sub> decreases with increasing solution supersaturation. From the above overview, summarized in table 1, we can note that most of the reported works have dealt with nucleation of molecules in aqueous supersaturated solutions. The work presented in this paper addresses the nucleation of organic molecule in organic solvents.

#### **III-** Material, method and experiments

#### **III-1- Material**

Commercial carbamazepine (>99%, form III powder) was obtained from Enzo Life Sciences company and stored at 4° C. Absolute methanol (>99,8%) and acetonitrile (>99.9%), purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) were used as the crystallization solvents.

# III-2- LASER irradiation bench set-up and parameters

An overview of the home-made set-up that we specially developed for the NPLIN experiments is given in figure 2. An annular LASER light beam is generated by a Quantaray<sup>®</sup>Q-switched Nd:YAG LASER, producing a 10 pulses *per* second train of linearlypolarized 7-ns LASER pulses at 532 nm. The irradiation duration time control is achieved using a shutter Thor Lab<sup>®</sup> (SC05 / SH 10). The reduction of the LASER beam diameter could be done through two types of equipments a laboratory made telescope with a Nitrogen gas circulation for avoiding plasma discharge at the focal point and a commercial condenser (HEBX-3X from Melles Griot<sup>®</sup>). These equipments permit to reduce the beam size from 7 to 3.5 mm. The linearly polarized light is converted to circular polarization by sending it through a Glan polarizer followed by a Quarter Wave plate. A mirror is employed to reflect the beam by 90° in order to direct it towards the HPLC tube. The LASER power was measured both right after the laser source by making use of a mirror that reflects a portion of the LASER beam and its intensity measured using a Wattmeter (QE25LB-S-MB). More details on the experimental set up can be found in Clair *et al.*<sup>31</sup>

After preparing the solutions in the tubes and then placing them on the thermostatic sample holder by a circulating bath at 20°C, we adjust the different parameters of the LASER used to

#### **Crystal Growth & Design**

irradiate the tubes. The sample holder is then set to rotation in order to irradiate the 90 tubes one by one by the LASER beam. However, it should be noted that for the CP LASER, the power intensity is limited to 0.35 GW/cm<sup>2</sup>. Each tube is observed under an inverted microscope, and microphotographs are taken using a CCD camera connected to the microscope at regular time intervals in order to follow the evolution of the crystallization. The different microphotographs were then digitally stored in a computer for further analysis.

# III-3- The CBZ metastable zone and spontaneous nucleation

In order to demonstrate the impact of LASER radiation on the formation of crystals, it is necessary to start by determining the CBZ metastable zone for a given solvent. The potentiality to realize thermodynamically metastable states is a characteristic feature of the first order phase transition.<sup>62</sup> Starting from the initial phase, supersaturating the solution during a time interval  $t_i$  leads to the formation of a new phase materialized by the appearance of crystals. The time interval  $t_i$  separating these two phases is referred to as the induction time and is used as a measure of the ability of the system to remain in a metastable equilibrium. It can therefore be used to determine the metastability limit of the initial phase. Indeed, it allows us to determine the critical supersaturation coefficient ( $\beta_c$ ) below which the initial phase can stay long enough without losing its metastability.

The determination of the metastable zone of the studied carbamazepine (form III) in methanol and acetonitrile solutions follows the above-described procedure. To that end, the experimental setup used for screening crystallization conditions and to investigate solution mediated phases transitions of an active pharmaceutical ingredient is described by Detoisien *et al.*<sup>11</sup> It is composed of multi-well setup (ANACRISMAT, France) that consists of a two dimensional (X and Y) motorized stage mounted on a Nikon<sup>®</sup> (Eclipse TE2000-U) inverted optical microscope used in conjunction with an adapted Peltier temperature control unit ( $\pm 0.1$  °C). The motorized stage designed to carry two blocks of 12, 24 or 48 well according to the

## **Crystal Growth & Design**

vials used. We used standard HPLC glass vial (diameter 12 mm) with 1 ml of solvent in which crystals of carbamazepine could be observed. Sequential image acquisitions are performed automatically and periodically (from minutes to hours), by using a digital camera.

We carried-out a series of experiments that consist in preparing different solutions at different supersaturation coefficients  $\beta$ , stored and observed at different temperatures (5°C, 20°C, 30°C and 40°C). The experimental conditions are summarized in Table 2. The supersaturation coefficient  $\beta$  is defined by (C/C<sub>s</sub>\*100%), where C is the solution concentration and C<sub>s</sub> is the solubility at a given temperature ranging from 5 to 45°C.<sup>63</sup> The observation of the nucleation in the different prepared solutions at different instants using microscope allowed us to measure the time required for crystals to appear in each sample. This time was noted as the induction time (t<sub>i</sub>) for each sample. Consequently, we considered the critical supersaturation coefficient  $\beta_c$  to be the concentration value under which the solutions did not crystallize after a time sufficiently long enough t<sub>i</sub>. The schematic illustration of the method used to determine the metastable zone of CBZ with in situ microscopy is given in Figure 3a. In order to study the spontaneous nucleation efficiency as function of supersaturation coefficient values are always chosen within the range of the values that were used above and permitted to determine the metastable zone width.

#### **III-4-** Supersaturated solutions preparation for NPLIN

Four sets of experiments were performed using a total of 360 supersaturated solutions irradiated with a LASER at 532 nm of linear and circular polarizations respectively. For each set, three different concentrations were prepared. For each solution concentration, 30 identical glass tubes were prepared at 20 °C. The 30 glass tubes were decomposed into three groups. Each group of glass tubes is irradiated using different laser energies.

#### **Crystal Growth & Design**

According to the results obtained in the previous section and the determination of the critical surpersaturation  $\beta_{c,T,S}(t)$ , the prepared supersaturated solutions in methanol and acetonitrile had coefficient  $\beta$  values of 110%, 120% and 130%. In the case of methanol, 72.82 mg, 79.44 mg, 86.06 mg, of CBZ were respectively weighed out and taken in each of the glass. In the case of acetonitrile, 38.72 mg, 42.24 mg, 45.76 mg were used. For each solution, 1ml was added to each tube. We used classical chromatography tubes that were adapted to fit our experimental needs.<sup>32</sup> The heating dissolution method was then used by placing the tubes altogether in a bath (carrousel holder) for about 15 hours at 50 °C. After complete dissolution, the solutions were cooled-down to 20 °C and then were aged from 1 to 2 days before irradiation by LASER light at 20 °C. This ageing time permits the formation of clusters within the solutions, thus increasing the probability of nucleation. The requirement for sample aging was reinforced by subsequent work on glycine <sup>29–30,32</sup> and L-histidine.<sup>38</sup>

Moreover, proper experimental conditions were adopted in order to avoid photochemical reaction resulting orange coloration (see section IV-2).

# **III-5- CBZ crystals characterization**

The characterization of the obtained crystals forms was done both by Single crystal X ray Diffraction ((Bruker<sup>®</sup> Diffraction D8 system at room temperature) and by morphology observation under microscope (SEM).

#### **III-6- CBZ theoretical calculations**

In order to obtain a good and coherent estimate of the interaction energy for each pair of molecules, a full *ab initio* computation was carried out using the same quantum chemistry model within the functional density theory framework (M06-2X/cc-PVTZ), available from the Gaussian09 software.<sup>64</sup>

The choice for the M06-2X hybrid functional<sup>65</sup> was made in order to ensure a reliable account for both short range and diffuse type interactions within and between rather remote molecules. The correlation consistent triple zeta basis set<sup>66</sup> is known to be a good compromise

between cpu time to be devoted to each computation and the flexibility that is required for giving a sufficient freedom for the electrons to reproduce the subtleties imposed by the chemical bonds formations. For each crystalline form, the molecular geometries were taken from experimental results. However, in many cases, because the published structures were obtained by classical single crystal X-ray diffraction, the position of Hydrogen atoms had to be determined from *ab initio* optimizations. Such an additional step is expected to be of significant importance as many components of the interaction energies can safely be assumed to rely on hydrogen interplay.

The computation of the interaction energy between two molecules A and B is of course obtained from the subtraction  $\Delta E = E_{A-B} - (E_A + E_B)$ , where  $E_{A-B}$  is the energy for the pair system, while  $E_A$  and  $E_B$  are the respective energies of isolated molecule A and molecule B. All the geometries are here kept frozen as the purpose is to evaluate the interaction energy, not the bonding energy. In that respect, it should be noted that some pairs were found to be not bonded, i.e. the energy for the A-B system was higher than the sum of the energies for each optimized subsystem.

However, if the interaction energy were to be estimated from the above mentioned subtraction, a significant spurious bias would be introduced from the basis set superposition error (BSSE) effect. This is well documented <sup>67</sup> and it is here sufficient to remind the reader that, because the chosen (limited) basis set cannot account for all possible electrons behaviors, electrons in system A-B are therefore offered more degree of freedom than in isolated subsystems A or B. The reason is simply that each basis function is centered on a nucleus, and, as such, for a given quantum chemistry model, the more atoms, the richer the basis set, the larger it spans the configuration space. BSSE effects are thus very well known for affecting in a large part the estimate in bonding energies and, in our case, interaction energies: part of the difference in energies between A-B and (A,B) resulting from a mere change in the

#### **Crystal Growth & Design**

basis set. In order to overcome that issue, one is thus led to compute each subsystem (isolated molecules) using the basis set pertaining to the full system. The interaction energy is now estimated using  $\Delta E^{AB} = E^{AB}_{A-B} - (E^{AB}_{A} + E^{AB}_{B})$ , where the superscript now indicates that the full A-B basis set is used for each subsystem. In the case of bonding computations, this calculation is the first step of a method referred to as the "counterpoise correction".

# IV Results and discussion

#### IV-1- CBZ metastable zone and spontaneous nucleation

Figure 3b shows the solubility curves of CBZ (form III) in methanol and acetonitrile reported in the literature<sup>63</sup> as well as metastable zone that we determined experimentally. As reported in Figure 3b, the critical supersaturation  $\beta_c$  coefficient for the CBZ at 20 °C is about 130 % in methanol and about 140 % in acetonitrile leading to  $\beta_{c,20, \text{ methanol}}$  (72) = 130 % and  $\beta_{c,20, \text{ acetonitril}}$  (72) = 140 %, the absence of crystals were observed during an induction time of 72 hours. It should be noted that the metastable zone for acetonitrile is determined, following the protocol described above for the case of methanol. The width of the metastable zone is higher in acetonitrile than in methanol. Based on these experimental results, for a supersaturation lower than  $\beta_{c,20, \text{ methanol}}$  (72) the solution could be considered as metastable. Therefore, for NPLIN experiments, all the supersaturated solutions were prepared with a supersaturation coefficient lower than 130 %. As a result, any crystallization from the solution in these conditions under irradiation by an external field (LASER in this case) could be taken as being due to LASER and therefore LASER can be considered as the cause of the induced nucleation. It should be noted that, for each experiment, new HPLC tubes for our experiments were used in order to avoid any heterogeneous or seeded nucleation.

After determining the metastable zone width, we have evaluated the impact of the solvent on the spontaneous nucleation efficiency. To that end, different supersaturated solutions in two solvents (methanol and acetonitrile) were prepared and stored in an environment at 20 °C for the same period of time but sufficiently long to allow nucleation to begin (> 72 h). Figure 4 shows the fraction of tubes that have crystallized as a function of supersaturation coefficient. The results clearly show that CBZ nucleation is more important in methanol than in acetonitrile. This is in agreement with the higher solubility of CBZ in methanol (64 mg/ml) as compared to that in acetonitrile (35.2 mg/ml) at 20 °C.<sup>63</sup> The influence of solubility on the nucleation rate can be explained by the classical nucleation theory.<sup>69</sup> The classical nucleation equation predicts that under constant supersaturation the rate of nucleation is faster in systems with higher solubility. In both solvents the CBZ crystal is of form III as identified by its morphology and habit. These results characterize the spontaneous nucleation of CBZ in acetonitrile and methanol and will be used for the purpose of comparison with the case of NPLIN.

#### **IV-2-** Photochemical reaction inhibition

During the experimental preliminary study, it was observed that CBZ solutions yield an orange coloration upon exposition to the pulsed nanosecond LASER with wavelength of 532 nm, and an energy density in the range (0.3-0.45 GW/cm<sup>2</sup>). The hypothesis behind the orange coloration is a photochemical reaction. Consequently, in order to showcase the impact of LASER energy as well as the polarization on the nucleation efficiency, it is necessary to exclude any possibility of nucleation due to photochemical reactions. This has been carried-out by first characterizing the photo-chemical reaction. One notices a yellow-orange solution coloration as shown in Figure 5. A spectroscopy-IR characterization allows to identify the nature of the chemical compound responsible for the coloration. The obtained spectra are provided in the supplementary materials part. According to the results of the characterization of the CBZ in methanol solution and works reported in the literature<sup>8,70</sup>, Iminostilbene (IMS) is the compound responsible for the coloration (Figure 1b). The analysis of the chemical

#### **Crystal Growth & Design**

formulas of both CBZ and IMS indicates similarities between the two chemical structures except that, in the IMS molecule, CONH<sub>2</sub> is substituted by a Hydrogen atom. This can be explained by the fact that the bond  $N-CONH_2$  is broken due to LASER irradiation. Furthermore, according to the works reported in the literature<sup>71</sup>, the binding energy of the N- $CONH_2$  bond is the weakest in the CBZ molecule. The hypothesis made regarding the origin of the hydrogen atom is either due to the presence of water molecules within the solvent or in the ambient atmosphere through hydrolysis<sup>72</sup> or from the solvent itself (methanol) through photolysis.<sup>73</sup> To reduce the probability of generating IMS, strict anhydrous conditions were respected when preparing the solutions mainly by working in an inert atmosphere and by a pretreatment of the solvents with molecular sieves.<sup>74</sup> This is achieved by developing a specific experimental bench composed of three main blocs: gloves box, argon gas bottle and vacuum pump. The schematic diagram of the bench is detailed in the supplementary materials part. The hypotheses regarding the origin of the transformation of CBZ to IMS, and thus the presence of a Hydrogen in the solution, were validated by repeating the previous experiments respecting strict anhydrous conditions as described and by observing the absence of solution color change after laser irradiation. It has to be noticed that IMS is known as one of the most important metabolite of CBZ.75-76

# **IV-3- CBZ nucleation and crystallization from NPLIN**

#### a) CBZ polymorphs and induction time reduction

Crystal habit of CBZ, grown from pure methanol or acetonitrile under different conditions, is observed under the microscope and are shown in Figure 6. In the case of acetonitrile, the CBZ habits are either of needle-like or prism as shown in Figures 6a and 6b, respectively. The obtained habits were also confirmed by using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Figure 7). According to the works reported in<sup>77,78</sup>, a needle-like habit could be of polymorph I or II while prism one is of polymorph III. For more precise identification, Single X-ray crystal diffraction of both forms are compared to that reported in the Cambridge Structure Database

(CSD).<sup>8,69</sup> Results are given in table 3 and confirm that needle-like habit corresponds to polymorph I and prism habit to polymorph III.

For the sake of estimating the induction time after LASER solution irradiation, we depicted in Figure 8, the evolution of solution nucleation as a function of the time. According to the results given in Figure 8, 20 min were necessary for nucleation to occur (dark dots). Simultaneously, a sample tube containing the same solution and prepared in the same conditions but not exposed to LASER required more than 72 hours for the nucleation to begin. Despites the ageing time (24 to 48 h) the exposed solutions remain in a metastable state, while spontaneous nucleation occurs after 72h. These results clearly demonstrate that the energy brought by LASER to the irradiated solution fosters nucleation and greatly reduces the induction time. Moreover, it confirms that LASER beam power acts upon the primary nucleation. It should be noticed that the nucleation occurs at the bottom of tubes.

# b) Impact of irradiation energy and laser polarization on the nucleation efficiency

The dependence of nucleation efficiency on LASER intensity is based on the preparation of a large number of identical samples in strictly controlled conditions that would minimize the variations between samples.<sup>60,78</sup> We have exposed *N* samples to a given LASER intensity, and we count the number of nucleated samples at this LASER intensity. If *n* samples nucleate, the nucleation fraction is then given by n/N.

The nucleation efficiency depends on the supersaturation coefficient  $\beta$  as well as on the laser irradiation energy density. Indeed, this is confirmed by the curves drawn in Figure 9 that give the nucleation fraction as function of energy density for different supersaturation coefficient values and LASER polarization. One can easily remark that, for both solvents and polarization types (linear and circular), the higher  $\beta$  (within the metastable zone) and the irradiation energy density, the higher the fraction of nucleated solution. Moreover, for both solvents, the nucleation efficiency is slightly higher with CP LASER than LP LASER.

#### **Crystal Growth & Design**

However, by comparing the solvent effect on CBZ nucleation, one can notice that the nucleation (for  $\beta = 110\%$ ) starts at a higher irradiation energy density level for acetonitrile. This observation confirms the importance of solubility on nucleation: the higher the solubility the easier the nucleation as pointed out previously.

# c) Impact of laser polarization on CBZ polymorphs nucleated

For three different values of  $\beta$  in acetonitrile and methanol and for linear and circular LASER polarizations, we represented in Figure 10 the number of tubes that resulted in CBZ polymorph of type I or III. The analysis of these graphs indicates that in acetonitrile, the polymorph type is influenced by the LASER polarization. In the case of LP crystals nucleated are mixtures of polymorphs I or III, but, in the case of CP, crystals nucleated are mainly the polymorph III. In the case of the methanol, the resulting polymorph is of form III and it is laser polarization independent. The identification has been done by Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction for some crystals and extended to all sample by optical identification of the habit.

It should be noticed that CBZ molecule is the first organic drug molecule for which we demonstrate that the LASER polarization has an impact on the crystal polymorphic form; LASER polarization impact has been reported small organic molecules such as glycine and histidine. According to Sun *et al* <sup>32</sup> the polymorphism of glycine was absolutely controlled by switching the LASER polarization in a specific range of supersaturation values (1.46–1.55), leading to  $\gamma$ -polymorph and  $\alpha$ -polymorph, for LP and CP respectively. Outside of this supersaturation window, different polarizations yield the same polymorph. Yuyama *et al*.<sup>34</sup> demonstrated that under specific solution conditions: supersaturated or undersaturated or saturated and under specific LASER polarization, it is possible to achieve a selective fabrication of  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  polymorphs of glycine. According to their results, for the supersaturated solutions, the probability of  $\gamma$ -crystal preparation on the CP LASER irradiation became higher at lower power compared to the LP one. Conversely, in the

unsaturated solution, the LP laser irradiation provided the higher preparation probability of  $\gamma$ form crystal compared to the CP one. Polarization switching has also been reported for Lhistidine.<sup>38</sup>

# V- Some insight on the putative polarization switching nucleation mechanism

One of the hypothesis of the NPLIN polarization switching is based on the pre-existence of molecular clusters in the supersaturated solution. The provided explanation supposes that the pre-existing clusters of rod-like type preferentially crystallize with a LP LASER beam while a disk-like behavior will interact with a CP LASER beam.<sup>32</sup> In order to estimate the symmetry of the pre-existing clusters, we have to make the following assumptions: i) the symmetry of the pre-clusters could be predicted through the symmetry of the final crystal packing; ii) the nucleation is driven by the non-covalent bonds present in the final polymorphs; iii) the relative intensity of these non-covalent bonds in the final polymorphs is considered as the major criterion for predicting the symmetry of the pre-clusters.

We have identified in three CBZ polymorphs, I, II and III, the different pairs of molecules formed by a CBZ molecule chosen as being a center molecule and each neighbor molecule is linked by a non covalent bond (hydrogen bond or a short contact) to this center molecule. We have excluded the CBZ IV according to the crystal habits observed after NPLIN nucleation which differ from those observed by Lang *et al.*<sup>79</sup> Pairs of molecules, symmetry cards and numbering are given in Figures S4, S5 and S6. For each pair, we have calculated the theoretical interaction energy as defined in section III-6 (reported in Table S2). For CBZ III, the interaction energy determined from an experimental charge density previously conducted by El Hassan *et al.*<sup>70</sup> is also provided. Figure 11 represents the different arrangements as a function of the interaction energy value. CBZ polymorph I presents four independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. A careful examination of the equivalent pairs permits to reduce the number of independent pairs from 28 to 16. Only the average value of the

#### **Crystal Growth & Design**

interaction energy calculated over the four molecules of the asymmetric unit of CBZ I is given in Figure 11. As the energy decreases, one can observe different behaviors. For an energy interaction < -64 kJ.mol<sup>-1</sup>, the three polymorphs exhibit dimer clusters. At that energy level one can consider that there is no difference between the three polymorphs. For an interaction energy < -39 kJ.mol<sup>-1</sup> CBZ III presents a 1-D symmetry (rod-like) which means that, using the first two strongest interactions, the clusters formed in the supersaturated solution would look as a chain. When considering an energy interaction < -28 kJ.mol<sup>-1</sup>, CBZ I presents a 1-D symmetry using the first two strongest interactions. For an energy interaction < -23 kJ.mol<sup>-1</sup>, CBZ III is of 3-D symmetry that takes into account the first four interactions.

It appears therefore that at an energy < -23 kJ.mol<sup>-1</sup> CBZ I and CBZ II exhibit a more rodlike behavior than CBZ III. These results seem to be in agreement with the polarization switching observed with the acetonitrile solvent. The aforementioned analysis relies on the assumption that the nucleation is driven by the non-covalent interactions existing in the final crystal. This assumption makes use of the reported work on the nucleation theory based on the bond orientational order.<sup>80</sup>

As reported in Figure 10, the CBZ nucleation through NPLIN technique in methanol solvent gives only the polymorphic form III. We have examined the non-covalent interactions which exist between a CBZ molecule and a fragment C $\equiv$ N and OH *via* the use of the CSD.<sup>81</sup> Omong 59 structures including true polymorphs, hydrate, solvate and cocrystals (70 hints) None of them shows a non-covalent interaction such as (NH...N, CH...N) with nitrile moiety, while CH...O, NH...O and OH...O are present in a dozen of structures (figure S6). Therefore in the CBZ supersaturated solution in methanol, the solvent could easily make short contacts enabling the formation of form I or II. Methanol solvent could be seen as a crystallization

inhibitor of CBZ I. As shown in Figure 11, form III could easily crystallize through its  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction.

Moreover, the fact that one have crystallized form III and form I is coherent with the relative stability of the first two most stable forms of CBZ.

#### **VI-** Conclusion

The work presented in this paper demonstrates for the first time the application of NPLIN on drugs. The experimental work focused on the nucleation of CBZ molecules in methanol and in acetonitrile. The metastable zone of the CBZ was determined at different temperatures in both solvents and different supersaturated solutions were used. The nucleation evolution of both solutions after irradiation by LASER beam of different energy density levels was monitored by depicting photographs of the observed tube at regular time intervals. Irradiating the solutions by LASER permitted to launch nucleation in the metastable zone and to reduce the induction time from 72 h to about 20 min. The nucleation efficiency depends both on the supersaturation coefficient as well as on the LASER energy density. It was indeed found that the nucleation efficiency increases with the energy density carried by the LASER beam. Moreover, the CP LASER beam polarization leads to a slightly higher nucleation efficiency than the LP polarization. The LASER polarization affects the type of resulting polymorph. The irradiation of acetonitrile by CP laser results in form III crystal while LP laser produces form I and form III. The theoretical interaction energy were calculated and permitted to check that the CBZ I is of rod-like type. This is in agreement with the reported explanation supposing that the pre-existing clusters of rod-like type preferentially crystallize with a LP LASER beam while a disk-like behavior interact with a CP LASER beam.<sup>32</sup>

#### **Supplementary Material**

#### **Crystal Growth & Design**

Details of the lattice data for the four anhydrous polymorphic forms of CBZ extracted from the Cambridge Database (CSD). Theoretical and experimental interaction energy (KJ.mol-1) data for CBZ polymorph III, I and II. Symmetry card and non covalent interaction label for CBZ polymorph I, II and III. FT-IR spectra of anhydrous CBZ polymorph III and Iminostilbene. A schematic diagram representation of the experimental bench. Pairs of molecules used in the calculation of CBZ I, CBZ II, and CBZ III. Results on the non-covalent interaction in the structures reported in the CSD and that contain a CBZ molecule. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <a href="http://pubs.acs.org">http://pubs.acs.org</a>.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would thank Pr Nour Eddine Ghermani (Institut Gallien, University Paris-Sud) for Single Crystal Diffraction measurements and constructive discussion. This project has been funded through an ANR P2N project NPLIN\_4\_drug.

#### **Bibliographic references**

[1] Shekunov, B.Y.; York, P. J. Cryst. Growth. 2000, 211, 122–136.

[2] Mangin, D.; Puel, F.; Veesler, S. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2009, 13, 1241-1253

[3] Rodriguez-Spong B., Price C., Jayasankar A., Matzger A., J., Rodriguez-hornedo N. Adv

Drug Deliver Rev, 2004, 56, 241-274

[4] Huang, L.F.; Tong, W. Adv Drug Deliver Rev. 2004, 56, 241-274.

[5] Price, S.L. Adv Drug Deliver Rev. 2004, 56, 301-319.

[6] Chemburkar, S.R.; Baue, r J.; Deming, K.; Spiwek, H.; Patel, K.; Morris, J.; Henry, R.;
Spanton, S.; Dziki, W.; Porter, W.; Quick, J.; Bauer P.; Donaubauer, J.; Narayanan, B.A.;
Soldani, M.; Riley, D.; McFarland, K. *Org. Proc. Res. Dev.* 2000, *4*, 413–417.

[7] Garetz, B., A., Aber, J., E., Goddard, N., L., Young, R., G., Myerson, A., S. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3475 - 3476

[8] Grzesiak, A.L.; Lang, M.; Kim, K.; Matzger, A.J. J. Pharm. Sci. 2003, 92, 2261-2271.

[9] Florence, A.J.; Johnston, A.; Price, S.L.; Nowell, H.; Kennedy, A.R.; Shankland, N. J. *Pharm. Sci.* **2006**, *95*, 1918-1930.

[10] Lohani, S.; Zhang, Y. G.; Chyall, L. J.; Mougin-Andres, P. F.; Muller, X.; Grant, D. J.
 W.Acta Crystallogr. Sect. E. 2005, 61, 1310-1312.

[11] Detoisien, T.; Forite, M.; Taulelle, P.; Teston, J.; Colson, D.; Klein, J.P.; Veesler, S. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2009**, *13*, 1338–1342.

[12] Virone, C.; Kramer, H. J. M.; Van Rosmalen, G. M.; Stoop, A. H.; Bakker, T. W. J. *Cryst. Growth* **2006**, *294*, 9. [13] Ruecroft, G.; Hipkiss, D.; Ly, T.; Maxted, N.; Cains, P. W. Org. Process Res. Dev.2005, 9, 923.

[14] Lyczko, N.; Espitalier, F.; Louisnard, O.; Schwartzentruber, J. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2002, 86, 233.

[15] Taleb, M.; Didierjean, C.; Jelsch, C.; Mangeot, J. P.; Capelle, B.; Aubry, A. J. Cryst. *Growth* **1999**, 200, 575.

[16] Moreno, A.; Sazaki, G. J. Cryst. Growth, 2004, 264, 438.

[17] Penkova, A.; Gliko, O.; Dimitrov, I. L.; Hodjaoglu, F. V.; Nanev, C.; Vekilov, P. G. J.*Cryst. Growth*, **2005**, 275, e1527.

[18] Hammadi, Z.; Veesler, S. Prog Biophys Mol Biol 2009, 101, 38-44.

[19] Revalor, E.; Hammadi , Z.; Astier , J.P.; Grossier, R.; Garcia, E, Hoff, C.; Furuta, K.;Okustu , T.; Morin, R.; Veesler S. J. Cryst. Growth, 2010, 310, 939-946.

[20] Voss, D. Science 1996, 274, 1325.

[21] Oxtoby, D.W. Nature 2002, 420, 277–278.

[22] Okutsu, T.; Nakamura, K.; Haneda, H.; Hiratsuka, H. *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2004, *4*, 113-115.

[23] Tyndall, J. Philos. Mag. 1896, 37, 384.

[24] Okutsu, T.; Furuta, K.; Terao, T.; Hiratsuka, H.; Yamano, A.; Ferté, N.; Veesler, S. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2005**, *5*, 1393–1398.

[25] Veesler, S.;Furuta, K.; Horiuchi, H.; Hiratsuka, H.; Ferte´, N.; Okutsu, T. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2006**, *6*, 1631–1635.

[26] Okutsu, T.; Furuta, K. K.; Watanebe, I.; Mori, H.; Obi, K.; Horota, K.; Horiuchi, H.;

Sazaki, G.; Veesler, S.; Hiratsuka, H.J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem. 2007, 190, 88-93.

[27] Furuta, K.; Horiuchi, H.; Hiratsuka, H.; Okutsu, T. *Cryst.Growth Des.* **2008**, *8*, 1886-1889.

[28] Tam, A.; Moe, G.; Happer, W. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1975, 35, 1630–1633.

[29] Zaccaro, J.; Matic, J.; Myerson, A.S.; Garetz, B., A. Cryst. Growth Des. 2001, 1, 5-8.

[30] Garetz, B. A.; Matic, J. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2002, 89, 175501.

[31] Clair, B.; Ikni, A.; Scouflaire, P.; Quemener, V.; Spasojević-de Biré, A. submitted to J.App. Cryst. 2014

[32] Sun, X.; Garetz, B.; A. Cryst. Growth Des. 2006, 6, 684-689.

[33] Rungsimanon, T.; Yuyama, K.;Sugiyama, T.; Masuhara, H. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2010**, *10*, 4686-4688.

[34] Yuyama, K.; Rungsimamon, T.; Sugiyama, T.; Masuhara, H. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2012**, *12*, 2427 - 2434.

[35] Uwada, T.; Fuji, S.; Sugiyama, T.; Usman, A.; Miura, A.; Masuhara, H.; Kanaizuka, K.; Haga, M. *Appl Mater Interfaces*, **2012**, *4*, 1158-1163.

[36] Liu, T. H.; Uwada, T.; Sugiyama, T.; Usman, A.; Hosokawa, Y.; Masuhara, H.; Chiang, T. W.; Chen, C. J. *J. Cryst. Growth*, **2013**, *366*, 101-106.

[37] Matic, J.; Sun, X.; Garetz, B. A. Cryst. Growth Des. 2005, 5, 1565-1567.

[38] Sun, X.; Garetz, B., A.; Myerson, A. S. Cryst. Growth Des. 2008, 8, 1720-1722.

[39] Tsunesada, F.; Iwai, T.; Watanabe, T.; Adachi, H.; Yoshimura, M.; Mori, Y.; Sasaki, T.*J. Cryst. Growth* **2002**, *237*, 237-239.

[40] Hosokawa, Y.; Adachi, H.; Yoshimura, M.; Mori, Y.; Sasaki, T.; Masuhara, H. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2005**, *5*, 861-863.

[41] Ward, M.R.; McHugh, S.; Alexander, A. J. Phys. Chem. 2012, 14, 90-93.

[42] Alexander, A. J.; Camp, P. J. Cryst. Growth Des. 2009, 9, 958-963.

[43] Duffus, C.; Camp, P. J.; Alexander, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11676-11677.

[44] Ward, M. R.; Ballingall, I.; Costen, M.L.; McKendrick, K.G.; Alexander, A.J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2009, 481, 25-28.

[45] Ward, M.R.; Alexander, A. J. Cryst. Growth Des. 2012, 12, 4554-4561.

[46] Ward, M. R.; Copeland, G. W.; Alexander, A. J. J. Chem. Phys. 2011, 135, 114508-114516.

[47] Soare, A.; Dijkink, R.; Pascual, M. R.; Sun, C.; Cains, P. W.; Lohse, D.; Stankiewicz,A. I.; Kramer, H. J. M.; *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2011, *11*, 2311-2316.

[48] Jacob, J.A.; Sorgues, S.; Dazzi, A.; Mostafavi, M.; Belloni, J. Cryst. Growth Des.2012, 12, 5980-5985.

[49] Adachi, H.; Takano, K.; Hosokawa, Y.; Inoue, T.; Mori, Y.; Matsumura, H.;
Yoshimura, M.; Tsunaka, Y.; Morikawa, M.; Kanaya, S.; Masuhara, H.; Kai, Y.; Sasaki, T. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* 2003, 42, L798-L800.

[50] Lee, I.S.; Evans J.M.B.; Erdemir, D.; Lee, A. Y.; Garetz, B.A.; Myerson, A.S. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2008**, *8*, 4255-4261

[51] Tsuboi, Y.; Shoji, T.; Kitamura, N. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 2007, 46, L1234.

[52] Sugiyama, T.; Adachi ,; Masuhara, H. Chem. Lett. 2007, 36, 1480-1481.

[53] Nakamura, K.; Sora, Y.; Yoshikawa, H.Y.; Hosokawa, Y.; Murai, R.; Adachi, H.; Mori, Y.; Sasaki, T.; Masuhara, H. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2007**, *253*, 6425-6429.

[54] Yoshikawa, H.Y.; Murai, R.; Sugiyama, S.; Sazaki, G.; Kitatani, T.; Takahashi, Y.; Adachi, H.; Matsumura, H.; Murakami, S.; Inoue, T.; Takano, K.; Mori, Y. *J. Cryst. Growth*, **2009**, *311*, 956-959.

[55] Hammadi Z.; Astier J. P.; Morin R.; Veesler S. Cryst. Growth Des. 2009, 9, 3346-3347.

[56] Murai, R.; Yoshikawa, H. Y.; Takahashi, Y.; Maruyama, M.; Sugiyama, S.; Sazaki, G.;
Adachi, H.; Takano, K.; Matsumura, H.; Murakami, S.; Inoue, T.; Mori, Y. *Appl. Phys. Lett*, **2010**, *96*, 043702.

[57] Yennawar, N.; Denev, S.; Gopalan, V.; Yennawar, H. Acta Cryst. 2010, F66, 969-972.

[58] Murai, R.; Yoshikawa, H.Y.; Hasenka, H.; Takahashi, Y.; Maruyama, M.; Sugiyama,
S.; Adachi, H.; Takano, K.; Matsumura, H.; Murakami, S.; Inoue, T.; Mori, Y. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2011, *510*, 139-142.

[59] Nakayama, S.; Yoshikawa, H.Y.; Murai, R.; Kurata, M.; Maruyama, M.; Sugiyama, S.;
Aoki, Y.; Takahashi, Y.; Yoshimura, M.; Nakabayashi, S.; Adachi, H.; Matsumura, H.; Inoue,
T.; Takano, K.; Murakami, S.; Mori, Y. *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2013, *13*, 1491-1496.

[60] Sun, X.; Garetz, B. A. Phys. Rev. E, 2009, 79, 021701.

[61] Knott, B.C.; LaRue, J.L; Wodtke, A.M.; Doherty, M.F.; Peters, B. J. Chem. Phys. **2011**, *134*, 171102

#### **Crystal Growth & Design**

[63] O'Mahony, M. A.; Maher, A.; Croker, D. M.; Rasmuson, Å. C.; Hodnett, B. K. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2012**, *12*, 1925-1932.

[64] Gaussian 09, Revision D.01, Frisch, M.J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; G. E.;
Scuseria, Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson,
G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.;
Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.;
Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O. ; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A.;
Peralta, Jr. J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.;
Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.;
Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; J. B.;
Cross, Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.;
Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.;
Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.;
Farkas, Ö.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. *Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT*, 2009.

[65] Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D.G. Theor Chem. Acc. 2008, 120, 215-241

[66] Dunning, T. H. Jr., J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90, 1007-23.

[67] Boys, S. F.; Bernardi, F. Mol. Phys. 1970, 19, 553-566.

[68] Mullin, J. W. Crystallization. 4th ed.; Butterworth-Heinemann; Oxford, 2001.

[69] Kuramshina, G.M.; Mogi, T.; Takahashi, H. J. Mol. Struct. 2003, 661-662, 121-139.

[70] McNaught, A. D.; Wilkinson, A. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, 1997.

[71] El Hassan, N.; Ikni, A.; Gillet, J.M.; Spasojevic-de Biré, A.; Ghermani, N.E. Cryst. Growth Des. 2013, 13, 2887-2896.

[72] Buenker, R.J.; Olbrich, G.; Schuchmann, H.P.; Schurmann, B.I.; von Sonntag, C. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 4362-4368.

[73] Bradley, D.; Williams, G.; Lawton, M. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 8351-8354.

[74] Mathieu, O; Picot, MC; Gelisse, P; Breton, H; Demoly, P; Hillaire-Buys, D. *Pharmacol. Rep.* **2011**, *63*, 86-94.

[75] Dzodic, PL; Zivanovic, LJ; Protic, AD; Zecevic, ML; Jocic, BM J. AOAC Int. 2010, 93, 1059-1068.

[76] Rustichelli, C.; Gamberini, G.; Ferioli, V.; Gamberini, M.C.; Ficarra, R.; TommasiniS. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 2000, 23, 41-54.

[77] Ceolin, R.; Toscani, S.; Gardette, M.F.; Agafonov, V.; Dzyabchenko, A.; Bacheti, B. *J. Pharma. Sci.*, **1997**, *86*, 1062-1065.

[78] Spasojević - de Biré, A. International Innovation, 2013, 45-49.

[79] Lang, M.; KampF, J.W.; Matzger, A. J. J. Pharma.Sci., 2002, 91, 1186-1190.

[80] Russo, J.; Tanaka, H. AIP Conference Proceedings, 2013, 1518, 232-237.

[81] Allen, F. H. Acta Crystallogr., 2002, B58, 380-388,

# **Table captions**

**Table 1.** NPLIN on different compounds as reported in the literature. Laser type P = Pulsed LASER, CW = Continuous Wave LASER. Pulsation length : fs = femto-second, ps = pico-second, ns = nano-second, foc = focalized, nfoc = not focalized, LP = Linear Polarization, CP = Circular Polarization

**Table 2.** Experimental conditions for metastable zone width determination.

**Table 3.** Characterization of crystal obtained by laser irradiation of CBZ solutions by Single

 crystal X-ray diffraction.

# **Figures captions**

Figure 1. Molecular structure of Carbamazepine (a) and Iminostilbene (b)

Figure 2. Schematic representation of motorized LASER irradiation set-up

**Figure 3.** (a) Schematic illustration of the method used to determine the metastable zone of CBZ with in situ microscopy: CBZ solubility (blue curve); metastable limit zone (black curve); heat to complete dissolution (red arrow); cool to supersaturation (green arrow). Circles illustrate different solution concentrations tested at the chosen temperature: empty circles (not crystallized), filled circles (crystallized). (b) Metastable zone limit of carbamazepine in methanol (bleu symbols) and in acetonitrile (green symbols). Red symbols and black correspond respectively to solubility curves of CBZ in acetonitrile and methanol according to.<sup>63</sup>

**Figure 4.** Fraction of CBZ solution nucleated after t > 72 h as function of supersaturation coefficient in absence of LASER irradiation, red symbols correspond to acetonitrile and black symbols correspond to methanol at 20 °C.

**Figure 5.** CBZ in methanol solution color change after LASER irradiation (a) before irradiation (b) after irradiation.

**Figure 6**. Micrographs of crystal obtained by LASER irradiation of CBZ solutions, (a), (b) in acetonitrile and (c) in methanol, scale bar  $100 \,\mu m$ 

**Figure 7:** SEM micrographs of CBZ crystals produced by NPLIN in acetonitrile, (a) form III and (b) form I

## **Crystal Growth & Design**

**Figure 8:** *In situ* monitoring of nucleation progress after irradiation: CBZ in acetonitrile, supersaturation coefficient 130 %, LASER energy density 0.3 GW/cm<sup>2</sup>, LP, irradiation time 1 min, scale bar 100 μm

**Figure 9.** Impact of LASER energy and polarization on CBZ nucleation in both methanol and acetonitrile

Figure 10. Impact of supersaturation coefficient and LASER polarization on the CBZ nucleation and crystal forms.

**Figure 11.** Interaction energy (KJ.mol<sup>-1</sup>) for CBZ polymorphic form I, II and III versus the rank of the interaction energy (1 is the strongest interaction for each polymorph). The interaction type is indicated in blue in each range of interaction energy. The packing corresponding to the different range of interaction is given for each polymorph in decreasing order of stability. Symmetry of these packing is indicated in red. The green triangle corresponds to the average values over the four independent molecule of the asymmetric unit. For the form III with the interaction energy < -23 KJ.mole<sup>-1</sup> the projection along a, b and c axis is given in order to better demonstrate the 3-D character of the packing.

# Table 1

	Compound	Solvent	Year	Ref.	LASER type	λ (nm)	Polymorph control through laser polarization
	Urea	Water	1996	7	P, ns nfoc	1064	
			2005	37	P, ns,nfoc	1064	
			2001	28	P, ns nfoc	1064	
		Water	2002	29	P, ns,nfoc	1064	Supersaturated solutions: - γ polymorph (LP) - α polymorph (CP)
			2006	32	P, ns,nfoc	1064	
			2010	33	CW, foc	1064	
Organic	Glycine	Heavy Water	2012	34	CW, foc	1064	<ul> <li>Saturated/Supersaturated solutions</li> <li>CP laser irradiation enhances γ-polymorph,</li> <li>LP irradiation enhances α-polymorph.</li> <li>Unsaturated solutions:</li> <li>LP irradiation enhances γ-Polymorph,</li> <li>CP irradiation enhances α- polymorph.</li> </ul>
		Water	2012	35	CW, foc	1064	
			2013	36	P, fs, foc	780	
-	L-Histidine	Water	2008	38	P, ns,nfoc	532	Supersaturated solutions - Circularly polarized light favors the formation of polymorph A, - Linearly polarized light favors the formation of a mixture of polymorphs A and B.
	DAST	Water	2002	39	P, ns, foc	1064	
		Methanol	2005	40	P, fs, foc	800	
	Glacial acetic acid	-	2012	41	P, ns, nfoc	1064	
ic a o	KCl	Water	2009	42	P, ns,nfoc	1064	
Ir rg nj		agarose gel / water	2009	43	P, ns,nfoc	1064	

2	
3	
4	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
30	
31	
32	
33	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
41	
42	
43	
44 45	
40 46	
47	
48	
40	

			I			
		Water	2009	44	P, ns,nfoc	1064
	KCl & KBr	Water	2012	45	P, ns, nfoc	532 1064
	NaClO3	-	2011	46	P, ns, foc	1064
	(NH4)2SO4 & KMnO4	methanol / ethanol	2011	47	P, ns, foc	532
	KNO3	Water	2012	48	P, ns, foc	532
	Lysozyme	Sodium actetate buffer	2003	49	P, fs, foc	780
	Lysozyme /BPT	Sodium actetate buffer	2008	50	P, ns,nfoc	1064
	Lysozyme	Heavy Water/ Sodium actetate buffer/NaCl	2007	52	CW, foc	1064
		Sodium actetate buffer / Gel medium (PEG 6000)	2007	53	P, fs, foc	780
rotein	Lysozyme, Thaumatin	agarose gel / N-[2- acetamido]-2- iminodiacetic acid (ADA)	2009	54	P, fs, foc	780
d	Lysozyme	agarose gel / NaAc buffer	2010	56	P, fs, foc	780
	Lysozyme, Ribonuclease B, sorbitol dehydrogenase, Glucose dehydrogenase, Fructose dehydrogenase, myoglobin,	-	2010	57	P, ps, foc	532
	Lysozyme	Sodium actetate buffer	2011	58	P, fs, foc	780
		agarose gel / Sodium actetate buffer/NaCl	2013	59	P, fs, foc	780/ 800
Miscella neous	Supercooled 4- <i>n</i> -pentyl-4- cyanobiphenyl (5CB) liquid crystal	-	2012	60	P, ns, nfoc	1064

1 2 3 4	
5 6 7	Alkali-N
8 9 10 11	Carbon
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	

Alkali-Metal vapor	-					
		1975	26	CW, foc	589	
Carbonated water						
		2011	61			

Supersaturation coefficient (β%)	Carbamazepine concentration (mg. CBZ/ml. Acetonitrile)				Carb	amazepin mg. CBZ/i	e concentı ml. MeOF	ration I)
	at 5°C	at 20°C	at 30°C	at 40°C	at 5°C	at 20°C	at 30°C	at 40°C
110	24,6	38,7	52,8	71,2	47,8	72,8	98,2	132,8
120	26,8	42,2	57,6	77,7	52,2	79,4	107,1	144,9
130	29,1	45,7	62,4	84,2	56,5	86,1	116,1	157,0
140	31,3	49,2	67,2	90,7	60,9	92,6	125,0	169,1
150	33,6	52,8	72.0	97,2	65,2	99,3	133,9	181,2

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

Crystal tested by D8		Refcode from CSD CBMZPN01	1	Refcode from CSD CBMZPN11
Space group	$P2_1/n$	P2 <sub>1</sub> /n	P-1	P-1
a ( $\mathbf{A}^{\circ}$ ), $\alpha(^{\circ})$	7.533(4) ,90	7.537(1),90	5.275(5), 84.19(3)	5.1705(6), 84.124(4)
<b>b</b> ( <b>A</b> °) <b>B</b> (°)	11.154(6),	11.156(2),	20.720(14),	20,574(2),88,008(4)
<b>Β</b> ( <b>A</b> °), β(°)	92.81(2)	92.86(2)	87.88(3)	20.374(2), 88.008(4)
	12 206(7) 00	12 012(2) 00	22.383(17),	22 245(2) 85 187(4)
<b>c</b> ( <b>A</b> °), ⊔(°)	15.890(7),90	15.912(5),90	85.37(4)	22.243(2), 83.187(4)
Lattice	P Monoalinia	P Monoclinia	Trialinia	Trialinia
system	1 -101000000000000	1 -1010010011110	mennie	menne
Crystal Habit	Prisms	Prisms	Needle	Needle

 $\begin{array}{r} 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ \end{array}$ 







Figure 2







Page 45 of 62



(a) Before chemical reaction

Figure 5



(b) After photo-chemical reaction







Figure 6

Page 47 of 62

# **Crystal Growth & Design**



Figure 7



(a)

(b)



Figure 8

# **Crystal Growth & Design**



Figure 9



Figure10

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

Page 51 of 62

# Crystal Growth & Design











254x190mm (72 x 72 DPI)



254x190mm (72 x 72 DPI)



- 56 57 58 59
- 60



а

b

254x190mm (72 x 72 DPI)

 $\begin{array}{c}1\\2&3\\4&5\\6&7\\8&9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\end{array}$ 



# 254x190mm (72 x 72 DPI)





254x190mm (72 x 72 DPI)



254x190mm (72 x 72 DPI)





254x190mm (72 x 72 DPI)

Form I Form III

130

E Form III

130

120

120

ion coefficient (%)

on coefficient (%)



-40

 $\pi - \pi$ 

feet for

the states

1D

-50

-30

CH...O

0

CBZ III

CBZ I

A CBZ II

-10

CH...C

-20

3D

 $\pi - \pi$ 

1 and

1D

1D

254x190mm (72 x 72 DPI)



-70 5

4

3

2

1

ш

I

II

dimer

dimer

-60

NH...O

Ż

the

dimer