

Prediction of the dynamic oscillation threshold of a clarinet model: comparison between analytical predictions and simulation results

Baptiste Bergeot, André Almeida, Christophe Vergez, Bruno Gazengel

► To cite this version:

Baptiste Bergeot, André Almeida, Christophe Vergez, Bruno Gazengel. Prediction of the dynamic oscillation threshold of a clarinet model: comparison between analytical predictions and simulation results. Stockholm Music Acoustics Conference, Jul 2013, Stockholm, Sweden. pp.5. hal-01023504

HAL Id: hal-01023504 https://hal.science/hal-01023504v1

Submitted on 13 Jul2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Prediction of the dynamic oscillation threshold of a clarinet model: Comparison between analytical predictions and simulation results

B. Bergeot^{*a,b,**}, A. Almeida^{*b,c*}, C. Vergez^{*a*} and B. Gazengel^{*b*}

^aLMA, CNRS UPR7051, Aix-Marseille Univ., Centrale Marseille, F-13402 Marseille Cedex 20, France

^bLUNAM Université, Université du Maine, UMR CNRS 6613, Laboratoire d'Acoustique, Avenue Olivier Messiaen, 72085 Le Mans Cedex 9, France ^c School of Physics, The University of New South Wales, Sydney UNSW 2052, Australia

> Proceeding of the Stockholm Music Acoustics Conference (SMAC) 30 July – 3 August 2013

KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

Abstract

Simple models of clarinet instruments based on iterated maps have been used in the past to successfully estimate the threshold of oscillation of this instrument as a function of a constant blowing pressure. However, when the blowing pressure gradually increases through time, the oscillations appear at a much higher value than what is predicted in the static case. This is known as bifurcation delay, a phenomenon studied in [1] for a clarinet model. In numerical simulations the bifurcation delay showed a strong sensitivity to numerical precision.

This paper presents an analytical estimation of the bifurcation delay of the simplified clarinet model taking into account the numerical precision of the computer. The model is then shown to correctly predict the bifurcation delay in numerical simulations.

1 Introduction

The oscillation threshold of the clarinet has been extensively studied in the literature [2, 3] assuming that the blowing pressure is constant. In this context, the *static* oscillation threshold γ_{st} is defined as the minimum value of the blowing pressure for which there is a periodic oscillating regime. This value of the threshold is obtained by applying a constant blowing pressure, allowing enough time to let the system reach a permanent regime (either static or strictly periodic), and repeating the procedure for other constant blowing pressures. Most studies using iterated maps are restricted to static cases, even if transients are observed. They focus on the asymptotic amplitude regardless of the history of the system and of the history of the control parameter.

A recent article [1] studied the behavior of a clarinet model when the blowing pressure increases linearly. The model starts its oscillations for a much higher value of the blowing pressure than the *static* oscillation threshold. An analytical expression of this dynamical threshold has been derived and its properties studied: the dynamic threshold does not depend on the increase rate of the blowing pressure (for sufficiently low increase rates), but is very sensitive to the value of the blowing pressure at which the increase is started.

The article [1] ends with a comparison between the analytical predictions and numerical simulations (Fig. 10 in [1]), revealing an important sensitivity to the precision used in numerical simulations. Indeed, numerical results only converge towards theoretical ones when the model is computed with hundreds or thousands of digits (i.e. approximating an infinitely precise simulation). Otherwise, the observations of

numerically simulated thresholds are far from the theoretical ones, they depend on the increase rate of the blowing pressure and are independent of the starting value of the blowing pressure. The conclusion is that theoretical results obtained in [1] cannot explain the behavior of the model simulated in the common double-precision of a modern CPU.

The aim of this paper is to explain and predict the start of the oscillations in simulations performed with the usual double-precision of computer CPUs (around 15 decimal debits). A model introduced in a journal article [1] is modified to predict the oscillation threshold in limited precisions. The expression of this oscillation threshold is given in section 3. In the same section, the theoretical thresholds (static and dynamic ignoring or taking into account the precision) are compared to the thresholds observed in numerical simulations. The influence of the speed at which the blowing pressure is increased is discussed, as well as that of the initial value of the blowing pressure. A similar analysis is made for the second control parameter of the model (related to opening of the embouchure at rest). The clarinet model and major results from [1] are first briefly recalled in section 2.

2 State of the art

2.1 Clarinet Model

This model divides the instrument into two elements: the exciter and the resonator. The exciter is modeled by a nonlinear function F also called nonlinear characteristic of the exciter, which relates the pressure applied to the reed p(t) to the flow u(t) through its opening. The resonator (the bore of the instrument) is described by its reflection function r(t). p and u are two non-dimensional state variables that are sufficient to describe the state of the instrument.

The solutions p(t) and u(t) depend on the control parameters: γ proportional to the mouth pressure P_m according to

$$\gamma = \frac{P_m}{P_M} = \frac{P_m}{kH} \tag{1}$$

where P_M represents the pressure needed to close the reed entrance (also used to normalize the pressure p(t)) a product of 1/k the acoustic compliance of the reed and H its distance to the lay at rest. The other parameter is ζ which is related to the opening of the embouchure at rest according to the formula

$$\zeta = Z_c U_A / P_M = Z_c w H \sqrt{\frac{2}{\rho P_M}}.$$
 (2)

Here, Z_c is the characteristic impedance at the input of the bore, *w* the effective width of the reed, and U_A the maximum flow admitted by the reed valve. For most of the analysis below, this parameter is fixed at 0.5, a typical value observed in musicians, but the analysis can easily be reproduced for other values of ζ . The nonlinear characteristic is provided by the Bernoulli equation describing the flow in the reed channel [4, 5].

The model is extremely simplified by considering a straight resonator in which the eventual losses are independent of frequency. In the current work, losses are neglected in all calculations. The reed is considered as an ideal spring [6, 7, 8, 9, 3, 10]. With these assumptions, the reflection function becomes a simple delay with sign inversion. Using the variables p^+ and p^- (outgoing and incoming pressure waves respectively) instead of the variables p and u, the system can be simply described by an iterated map [6]:

$$p_n^+ = G(p_{n-1}^+, \gamma).$$
 (3)

An explicit expression for this function is given by Taillard [11] for $\zeta < 1$. This function depends on the control parameters γ and ζ . The time step *n* corresponds to the round trip time $\tau = 2l/c$ of the wave with velocity *c* along the resonator of length *l*.

Using the universal properties of the iterated maps [12, 13], useful information about the instrument behavior can be drawn from the study of the iteration function. So far, these studies come from the *static* bifurcation theory, which assumes that the control parameter γ is constant. For instance, it is possible to determine the steady state of the system as a function of the parameter γ , and to plot a bifurcation diagram shown in figure 1. When no losses are considered, the oscillation threshold γ_{st} is:

$$\gamma_{st} = \frac{1}{3},\tag{4}$$

For all values of the control parameter γ below γ_{st} the series p_n^+ converges to a single value p^{+*} corresponding to the fixed point of the function *G*, i.e. the solution of $p^{+*} = G_{\gamma}(p^{+*})$. When the control parameter γ exceeds γ_{st} the fixed point of *G* becomes unstable and the steady state becomes a 2-valued oscillating regime. Figure 1 shows an example of the bifurcation diagram with respect to the variable p^+ .



Figure 1: Graphical representation of the static bifurcation diagram for $\zeta = 0.5$. In gray, the stable solutions, in dashed black, the non-oscillating solution.

An iterated map approach can be used to predict the asymptotic (or *static*) behavior of an ideal clarinet as a function of a constant mouth pressure. This procedure avoids the phenomenon of *bifurcation delay* which is observed in numerical simulations when the control parameter γ is increased.

2.2 Slowly time-varying mouth pressure

2.2.1 Dynamic bifurcation

A control parameter γ increasing linearly with time is taken into account by replacing eq. (3) by eqs. (5a) and (5b):

$$\begin{cases} p_n^+ = G\left(p_{n-1}^+, \gamma_n\right) \tag{5a}$$

$$(\gamma_n = \gamma_{n-1} + \epsilon.$$
 (5b)

The parameter γ is assumed to increase slowly, hence ϵ is considered arbitrarily small ($\epsilon \ll 1$). When the series p_n^+ is plotted with respect to parameter γ_n the resulting curve can be interpreted as a *dynamic* bifurcation diagram and it can be compared to the *static* bifurcation diagram (fig. 2).

Because of the time variation of γ , the system (5) is subject to the phenomenon of bifurcation delay [14, 15]: the bifurcation point is shifted from the *static oscillation threshold* γ_{st} [3] to the *dynamic oscillation threshold* γ_{dt} [1]. The difference $\gamma_{dt} - \gamma_{st}$ is called the *bifurcation delay*.

The techniques used in dynamic bifurcation theory are now required to properly analyze the system. Article [1] provides an analytical study of the dynamic flip bifurcation of the clarinet model (i.e. system (5)) based on a generic method given by Baesens [14]. The main results of this study, leading to a theoretical estimation of the dynamic oscillation threshold of the clarinet are recalled below.

2.2.2 Dynamic oscillation threshold of the clarinet model without noise

A possible theoretical estimation of the dynamic oscillation threshold consists in identifying the value of γ for which the orbit of the series p_n^+ escapes from a neighborhood of arbitrary distance of an *invariant curve* $\phi(\gamma, \epsilon)$. More precisely, the dynamic oscillation threshold is reached when the



Figure 2: Comparison between *static* and *dynamic* bifurcation diagram as functions of γ_n . $\epsilon = 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$, $\zeta = 0.5$ and the numerical precision is equal to 100 (figure 2(a)) and 15 (figure 2(b)) decimal digits. The thresholds γ_{st} , γ_{dt}^{th} and γ_{dt}^{num} are represented.

distance between the orbit and the invariant curve becomes equal to ϵ .

The invariant curve (i.e. invariant under the mapping (5), described for example in [16]) can be seen as the equivalent of a fixed point in static regimes, functioning as an attractor for the state of the system. It satisfies the following equation:

$$\phi(\gamma, \epsilon) = G\left(\phi(\gamma - \epsilon, \epsilon), \gamma\right). \tag{6}$$

The procedure to obtain the theoretical estimation γ_{dt}^{th} of the dynamic oscillation threshold is as follows: a theoretical expression of the invariant curve is found for a particular (small) value of the increase rate ϵ (i.e. $\epsilon \ll 1$). The system (5) is then expanded into a first-order Taylor series around the invariant curve and the resulting linear system is solved analytically. Finally, γ_{dt}^{th} is derived from the analytic expression of the orbit.

The analytic estimation of the dynamic oscillation threshold γ_{dt}^{th} is defined in [1]:

$$\int_{\gamma_0+\epsilon}^{\gamma_{dt}^{h}+\epsilon} \ln\left|\partial_x G\left(\phi(\gamma'-\epsilon),\gamma'\right)\right| d\gamma' = 0, \tag{7}$$

where γ_0 is the initial value of γ (i.e. the starting value of the linear ramp). The main properties of γ_{dt}^{th} are (Fig. 6 of [1]):

- γ_{dt}^{th} does not depend on the slope of the ramp ϵ (provided ϵ is small enough)
- γ_{dt}^{th} depends on the initial value γ_0 of the ramp.

Numerical simulations: the precision 3 cannot be ignored

3.1 **Problem statement**

The above theoretical prediction ignores the round-off errors of the computer. The bifurcation delays γ_{dt}^{num} observed in simulations¹ are seen to converge to the theoretical ones for very high numerical precision, typically when hundreds or thousands digits are considered in the simulation (cf. figure 2(a) where a precision² of 100 was used). However, in standard double-precision arithmetic (precision close to 15 decimals), theoretical predictions of the dynamic bifurcation point γ_{dt}^{th} are far from the thresholds γ_{dt}^{num} observed in numerical simulations. An example is shown in figure 2(b). In particular, the numerical bifurcation threshold depends on the slope ϵ , unlike the theoretical predictions γ_{dt}^{th} . Moreover, the dependence of the bifurcation point on the initial value γ_0 is lost over a wide range of γ_0 .

As a conclusion, because they ignore the round-off errors of the computer, theoretical results obtained in [1] fail to predict the behavior of numerical simulations carried out at usual numerical precision. In particular this is problematic when studying the behavior of a synthesis model, or simply when trying to understand the large delays in the threshold of oscillation in simulations of real systems. Following a general method given by Baesens [14], we show in section 3.2 how the dynamic oscillation thresholds of simulations with finite precision can be analytically predicted.

3.2 Theoretical estimation of the dynamic oscillation threshold in presence of noise

Following usual modeling of quantization as a uniformly distributed random variable [17], round-off errors of the computer are introduced as ξ_n (referred as an additive white noise). Therefore, system (5) becomes:

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_n^+ = G\left(p_{n-1}^+, \gamma_n\right) + \xi_n & (8a)\\ \gamma_n = \gamma_{n-1} + \epsilon, & (8b) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=\gamma_{n-1}+\epsilon,$$
 (8b)

¹In simulations, γ_{dt}^{nu} *^m* is estimated as the value for which the distance between the simulated orbit and the invariant curve becomes equal to ϵ . ²The choice of the precision is possible using *mpmath*, the arbitrary precision library of Python.

where ξ_n is a white noise with an expected value equal to zero (i.e. $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n] = 0$) and variance σ defined by:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\xi_m \xi_n\right] = \sigma^2 \delta_{mn},\tag{9}$$

where δ_{mn} is the Kronecker delta. The definition of the expected value \mathbb{E} is provided in [18]. Equations (8) are used for the analytic study. In later sections, the results of this analytical study will be compared to numerical simulations of the system (5) using a numerical precision of 15 decimals. As a consequence, the noise level σ will be equal to 10^{-15} .

The method to obtain the theoretical estimation of the dynamic oscillation threshold which take into account the precision (noted $\hat{\gamma}_{dt}^{th}$) is the same as to obtain γ_{dt}^{th} (cf. section 2.2.2). In addition, because of the noise the bifurcation delay is reduced so that the dynamic oscillation threshold γ_{dt} is assumed to be close³ to the static oscillation threshold γ_{st} . Using this approximation, the expression of $\hat{\gamma}_{dt}^{th}$ is:

$$\hat{\gamma}_{dt}^{th} = \gamma_{st} + \sqrt{-\frac{2\epsilon}{K} \ln\left[\left(\frac{\pi}{K}\right)^{1/4} \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon^{5/4}}\right]},\tag{10}$$

which is the theoretical estimation of the dynamic oscillation threshold of the stochastic systems (8) (or of the system (5) when it is computed using a finite precision). *K* is a constant that depends on the slope of $\partial_x G(p^+(\gamma), \gamma)$, the derivative of the iteration function at the fixed point.

A summary table of different notations of the oscillation thresholds is provided in table 1.

Table of Notation	
γ_{st}	static oscillation threshold
γ_{dt}^{th}	theoretical estimation of the dynamic os-
ar i	cillation threshold of the clarinet model
	without noise
$\hat{\gamma}^{th}_{dt}$	theoretical estimation of the dynamic os-
	cillation threshold in presence of noise
γ_{dt}^{num}	dynamic oscillation threshold calculated
	on numerical simulations

Table 1: Table of notation for thresholds of oscillation.

3.3 Benchmark of theoretical estimators for the dynamic oscillation threshold

This section compares the theoretical estimation of the dynamic oscillation threshold $\hat{\gamma}_{dt}^{th}$ for a standard deviation $\sigma = 10^{-15}$ with the thresholds observed in numerical simulations using the regular 64-bit double-precision of a CPU (about 15 decimal digits). The comparison is carried out as a function of the increase rate (ϵ) of the blowing pressure, the initial value γ_0 and the embouchure parameter ζ . The estimations of the theory with noise ($\hat{\gamma}_{dt}^{th}$) are plotted simultaneously with γ_{st} and γ_{dt}^{th} .

In figures 3 and 4, the various thresholds are plotted with respect to ϵ and to γ_0 respectively. Unlike γ_{dt}^{th} (or γ_{dt}^{num} calculated on simulations with very high precision, see [1]), here the main properties of γ_{dt}^{num} are:



Figure 3: Graphical representation of γ_{dt}^{num} with respect to the slope ϵ , for $\gamma_0 = 0$ and $\zeta = 0.5$. Results are compared to analytic *static* and *dynamic* thresholds: γ_{st} , γ_{dt}^{th} and $\hat{\gamma}_{dt}^{th}$.



Figure 4: Comparison between theoretical prediction of oscillation thresholds (dynamic without noise: γ_{dt}^{th} and with noise: $\hat{\gamma}_{dt}^{th}$, and static γ_{st}) and the dynamic threshold γ_{dt}^{num} . Various thresholds are plotted with respect to the initial condition γ_0 with $\epsilon = 3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ and $\zeta = 0.5$.

- γ_{dt}^{num} depends on the slope ϵ
- γ_{dt}^{num} does not depend on γ_0 over a wide range of γ_0 .

In both figures 3 and 4 we observe a good agreement between $\hat{\gamma}_{dt}^{th}$ and γ_{dt}^{num} . However, for large ϵ , in figure 3, and for γ_0 close to the static threshold γ_{st} , in figure 4, the theoretical threshold γ_{dt}^{th} for infinite precision is a better prediction of the dynamic threshold. Therefore, in this case, the round-off errors of the computer can be ignored.

In figure 5, thresholds are plotted with respect to the embouchure parameter ζ , showing that γ_{dt}^{num} decreases with ζ . In figure 5(a), two increase rates ϵ are used (10⁻⁴ and 10⁻³). These slopes are sufficiently small so that the curves for γ_{dt}^{th}

 $^{^{3}}$ This hypothesis could be questioned because according to figures 2(a), even in the presence of noise, the bifurcation delay can be large. However, this hypothesis is required to carry out calculations.



Figure 5: Comparison between theoretical prediction of oscillation thresholds (dynamic without noise: γ_{dt}^{th} and with noise: $\hat{\gamma}_{dt}^{th}$, and static γ_{st}) and the dynamic threshold γ_{dt}^{num} . Various thresholds are plotted with respect to the embouchure parameter ζ with $\gamma_0 = 0$ and (a) $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$ and 10^{-3} and (b) $\epsilon = 10^{-2}$.

overlap⁴ (except for small values of ζ). In these situations, the estimation with noise $\hat{\gamma}_{dt}^{th}$ predicts correctly the observed dynamic thresholds γ_{dt}^{num} and, as expected, the prediction is better for the slower increase rate ϵ .

The behavior of the system changes for larger ϵ (cf. figure 5(b) where $\epsilon = 10^{-2}$). First of all, for this value of the slope the dependence of γ_{dt}^{th} on ϵ appears. Moreover, as in figure 4, beyond the intersection between $\hat{\gamma}_{dt}^{th}$ and γ_{dt}^{th} the theoretical estimation for infinite precision, γ_{dt}^{th} , becomes a better prediction of the bifurcation delay.

4 Conclusions

In a simplified model of the clarinet, the threshold in mouth pressure above which the oscillations occur can be obtained using an iterated map approach. This threshold corresponds to 1/3 of the reed beating pressure, but when the mouth pressure is increased with time, the oscillations start at a much higher value than this static threshold. The dynamic threshold calculated with infinite precision is independent on the rate of increase, depending only on the starting value of the mouth pressure.

Numerical simulations performed using finite precision show very different results in that the dynamic threshold depends on the increase rate and not on the starting value of the mouth pressure. A modified dynamic bifurcation theory including the effect of a stochastic variation in mouth pressure can be derived to correctly approximate the dynamic threshold when the precision is limited.

With a precision of 10^{-15} , this theory is seen to match the simulations performed with double-precision, showing that the threshold is situated between the static threshold $\gamma = 1/3$ and the dynamic threshold (close to $\gamma \simeq 0.9$ for typical play-

ing conditions). This threshold increases with the rate of increase of mouth pressure ϵ , and does not depend on the initial condition γ_0 throughout most of the range below the static threshold. Although not shown here, the model can be applied to other values of precision. Because it is based on a stochastic analysis of the dynamic system, the model is expected to describe the behavior of the system subject for instance to turbulence noise.

acknowledgments

This work is part of the project SDNS-AIMV "Systèmes Dynamiques Non-Stationnaires - Application aux Instruments à Vent", sponsored by *Agence Nationale de la Recherche* (ANR).

References

- B. Bergeot, C. Vergez, A. Almeida, and B. Gazengel. Prediction of the dynamic oscillation threshold in a clarinet model with a linearly increasing blowing pressure. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, pages 1–14, 2013.
- [2] J. Kergomard, S. Ollivier, and J. Gilbert. Calculation of the spectrum of self-sustained oscillators using a variable troncation method. *Acta. Acust. Acust.*, 86:665– 703, 2000.
- [3] J.P. Dalmont, J. Gilbert, J. Kergomard, and S. Ollivier. An analytical prediction of the oscillation and extinction thresholds of a clarinet. J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 118(5):3294–3305, 2005.
- [4] A. Hirschberg, R. W. A. Van de Laar, J. P. Maurires, A. P. J Wijnands, H. J. Dane, S. G. Kruijswijk, and

⁴cf. properties of γ_{dt}^{th} in section 2.2.2.

A. J. M. Houtsma. A quasi-stationary model of air flow in the reed channel of single-reed woodwind instruments. *Acustica*, 70:146–154, 1990.

- [5] A. Hirschberg. Aero-acoustics of wind instruments. In Mechanics of musical instruments by A. Hirschberg/ J. Kergomard/ G. Weinreich, volume 335 of CISM Courses and lectures, chapter 7, pages 291–361. Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [6] C. Maganza, R. Caussé, and F. Laloë. Bifurcations, period doublings and chaos in clarinet-like systems. *EPL* (*Europhysics Letters*), 1(6):295, 1986.
- [7] J. Kergomard. Elementary considerations on reedinstrument oscillations. In *Mechanics of musical instruments by A. Hirschberg/ J. Kergomard/ G. Weinreich*, volume 335 of *CISM Courses and lectures*, chapter 6, pages 229–290. Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [8] J. Kergomard, J. P. Dalmont, J. Gilbert, and P. Guillemain. Period doubling on cylindrical reed instruments. In *Proceeding of the Joint congress CFA/DAGA* 04, pages 113–114. Société Française d'Acoustique -Deutsche Gesellschaft für Akustik, 22nd-24th March 2004, Strasbourg, France.
- [9] S. Ollivier, J. P. Dalmont, and J. Kergomard. Idealized models of reed woodwinds. part 2 : On the stability of two-step oscillations. *Acta. Acust. united Ac.*, 91:166– 179, 2005.
- [10] A. Chaigne and J. Kergomard. Instruments à anche. In Acoustique des instruments de musique, chapter 9, pages 400–468. Belin, 2008.
- [11] P.A. Taillard, J. Kergomard, and F. Laloë. Iterated maps for clarinet-like systems. *Nonlinear Dyn.*, 62:253–271, 2010.
- [12] M. J. Feigenbaum. Quantitative universality for a class of nonlinear transformations. J. Stat. Phy., 19(1):25– 52, 1978.
- [13] M. J. Feigenbaum. The universal metric properties of nonlinear transformations. J. Stat. Phy., 21(6):669–706, 1979.
- [14] C. Baesens. Slow sweep through a period-doubling cascade: Delayed bifurcations and renormalisation. *Physica D*, 53:319–375, 1991.
- [15] A. Fruchard and R. Schäfke. Sur le retard à la bifurcation. In *International conference in honor of claude Lobry*, 2007.
- [16] A. Fruchard and R. Schäfke. Bifurcation delay and difference equations. *Nonlinearity*, 16:2199–2220, 2003.
- [17] Alan V Oppenheim, Ronald W Schafer, John R Buck, et al. *Discrete-time signal processing*, volume 2. Prentice-hall Englewood Cliffs, 1989.
- [18] Sheldon M. Ross. Introduction to Probability Models, 9ème édition, chapter 2 "Random variables". Academic Press, 2006.