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Abstract—This paper presents research works in the topics of fault analysis and fault tolerant control of an electric vehicle powered by an inverter-fed induction motor drive and the usual sensors. The considered failures are mainly measurement error due to faulty sensors and power inverter malfunctions. When sensor failure occurs, both software and hardware redundancies have been investigated. Software redundancy has been evaluated in case of speed sensor failure. Hardware redundancy has been used in the case of power inverter failures with a fault-tolerant 4-leg topology. This topology exploits the induction motor neutral accessibility for fault-tolerant purposes. The proposed fault-tolerant approach brings a significant improvement compared to the phase-leg topology. This paper also presents the experimental validation of an efficient reconfiguration mechanism (transition strategy) at sensor fault occurrence.

Index Terms—Electric vehicle, induction motor, inverter, fault-tolerant control, IGBT failure, hysteresis control, 3D SVPWM.

I. INTRODUCTION

Performance, efficiency, availability, reliability and safety are beside productivity, the main requirements in many industrial systems. To achieve these goals, monitoring and supervision are embedded in the electrical energy conversion chain. A monitoring task allows characterizing the operation mode, recording information, recognizing and indicating abnormalities. Supervision functions indicate the undesirable or not permitted states of the process, and take appropriate actions to maintain good behavior and avoid damage or accidents. Indeed, this is known as Fault-Tolerant Control (FTC) that is designed to detect, diagnose and isolate the faults and engage the appropriate control strategy to maintain the system operation.

Initially, FTC has been implemented in sensible applications such as aerospace, nuclear power, automotive, manufacturing and other process industries [1-3-38-39-40]. As a result, a significant amount of research on FTC systems was motivated by aircraft flight control system designs [4]. Moreover, in safety-critical nuclear power industries, interests in diagnostics and fault-tolerant control of nuclear power plants have been intensified [5].

Fault tolerance is no longer limited to high-end systems, but also to railway [6] and automobile applications [7]. It is gaining growing interest to increase the reliability and the continuous operation of electromechanical systems [3-8]. Indeed, extensive researches have been conducted towards fault-tolerant AC motor drives in industrial applications [9-10-41].

II. ELECTRIC VEHICLE POWERTRAIN COMPONENTS AND FAILURES

The electric propulsion system is the main component of an electric vehicle [11-12]. It’s composed mainly of (Fig. 1): an embedded energy source, energy Converters, a mechanical or electrical differential, a mechanical transmission and a control unit.

![Fig 1. Electric vehicle powertrain](image)

Faults or failures can affect all the components of the system: motors, power converters, sensors or wires and connectors.

A. Electrical motor failures

The electrical motor is the principal element of the electric propulsion system. Manufacturers of electric vehicles use DC or AC motor such as induction motor (IM), permanent magnet synchronous motor (PMSM) and switched reluctance motor (SRM) [13-14].

The failures in the electric motor can have various origins:
 Failures related to wrong design and/or weak dimensioning which lead to a premature degradation,

- Failures related to the exploitation (frequent overloads, violent transients or severe environment) that can lead to faults and also a premature degradation.

In AC motors, faults can be located in the stator or the rotor [15-16]. In the stator, the main faults (Fig. 2) concern the windings such as insulation fault, winding turn-to-turn short circuit, winding-to-ground fault and phase-to-phase short circuit [42-43]. In the rotor, the failures concern the magnetic circuit or the mechanical parts such as bearings [17-44].

**B. Sensors failures**

A sensor is a device transforming the state of a physical quantity observed into a usable quantity. In electric motor control two types of sensors are used:

- Current and voltage sensors
- Position or speed sensors

The performance of the system can be measured by the health of the sensors used in the process control. Sensor faults can be partial or total. In case of total failure such as the loss of contact with the ground or a cut of an electric wire, the sensor gives no information or an information which does not correspond to the true value of the measured variable. In case of partial failure, the sensor delivers a signal at least adequate to the true value of the measured variable. In this study, two types of sensors are treated: speed and current sensors.

1) **Speed and position sensor failures**: The main position and speed sensors used in industry are [18]: tachometer generator, incremental encoder, and absolute encoder.

The most used in industry are incremental and absolute encoders. The position measurement range and resolution depend on the number N of bits.

The main advantage of the absolute encoder is that it provides the absolute position information, while the incremental encoder gives a relative position (relative to an initial position). When the power supply is subject to cuts or when the transmission is sensitive to noise, an absolute encoder is recommended.

In general the encoder can exhibit the following failure conditions:

- Intermittent sensor connection,
- Complete sensor outage,
- DC bias in sensor measurement, and
- Sensor gain drop.

The most severe failures are the first two ones as they lead to momentary or complete lack of information. This will obviously lead to instabilities in closed-loop control if no remedial actions are undertaken [19]-[20].

The origin of these failures can be also mechanical (slipping between the sensor and the rotor axle) and electrical (the cut of the power supply of the sensor, failure of the conditioning part of the signal). All these failures can be significant factors to destabilize the motor control.

The mathematical representation of a speed sensor (encoder) can be given by [21]:

$$ \omega_m^m = (1 - \gamma) \omega_m^\text{ref} \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 $$

$$ \omega_m^m : \text{the measured mechanical speed of the motor.} $$

$$ m \text{ indicates the measured or estimated values.} $$

In control system, speed varies according to the amplitude of encoder failure. If $\gamma = 1$, the control generates a positive torque which leads to destabilization.

If $0 < \gamma < 1$, the motor speed does not follow the reference speed and it increases with a value of $(1 + \gamma) \omega^\text{ref}$. 

2) **Current and voltage sensor failures**: In general, the current sensors used by the control are Hall Effect type.

In electric vehicle powertrain, three converters are envisaged: AC-DC converter (Rectifier), DC-DC converter (Chopper), and DC-AC converter (Inverter).

The main faults of the current sensor are: Gain variation, Offset, Saturation, Noise, Open- circuit and intermittent disconnection of circuit [21-45].

An offset failure can produce torque ripples at a frequency equal to the power supply frequency.

A gain variation in the current sensor produces a torque ripple at twice the supply frequency.

**C. Power inverter failures**

In electric vehicle powertrain, three converters are envisaged: AC-DC converter (Rectifier), DC-DC converter (Chopper), and DC-AC converter (Inverter).

In industry, AC motors are usually fed with 2-level inverter built with six bidirectional power switches (IGBT or MOSFET).

Figure 3 summarizes potential faults that could afflict a power inverter. Two common types of power converter faults...
that were investigated are transistor gate-drive open fault or IGBT open-circuit switch fault (F₁), and IGBT short-circuit switch fault (F₂), as depicted in Fig. 3. These two faults can lead to catastrophic failures of the drive or to significantly degraded performances. It is therefore crucial to detect and diagnose these two faults so as to take the appropriate remedial action to mitigate the fault effects [22-23].
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**Fig. 3. Potential inverter faults.**

It is estimated that about 38% of the faults in variable-speed ac drives in industry are due to failures of power devices [22], [24-25]. This percentage will be higher if control circuit failures are taken into account. They may consist of faults such as inverter intermittent misfiring due to defects in control circuit elements or electromagnetic interference that results in gate-drive open faults, and consequently leads to IGBT open-circuit.

Although IGBTs are rugged, they suffer failures due to excess electrical and thermal stress that are experienced in harsh environments such as in automotive applications. IGBT failures can be broadly categorized as open-circuit faults, short-circuit faults, and intermittent gate-misfiring faults [22].

1) **Open-circuit Failure:** These faults can be provided by the failure of the grid. They produce a loss of the current reversibility in the power transistor. In this case, the induction motor currents lose negative or positive alternation and therefore become non sinusoidal.

The high ripples in the speed and torque can lead to mechanic vibration and therefore reduce the lifetime of these components [46].

2) **Short-circuit failure:** These failures are more critical because the commutation cell of the power inverter can be definitively short-circuited [19]. In this case, the induction motor currents have high values. Only the internal resistance of the circuit formed of the commutation cells and the induction motor limits these values. The current of short-circuit can reach excessive amplitudes in a short time.

The motor currents provide a strongly oscillating torque with an average value that can lead to rotor breakage.

III. **FAULT-TOLERANT CONTROL OF EV POWERTRAIN**

In event of failure, repetitive and frequent maintenance is rarely a solution approved by manufacturers. The system is usually turned off during maintenance, and cannot produce to satisfy the growing demands. For this, many researches are developed to find solutions to ensure the availability of the system. These solutions deal with the development of algorithms and techniques ensuring the continuity of the operation system in the case of failures. They called: *Fault tolerant control* [47].

Fault Tolerant Control (FTC) approaches are divided into two classes: passive and active approaches. Passive methods are based on robust control techniques. Active approaches are generally divided into subclasses: Faults Accommodation and system Reconfiguration.

A. **EV Electric Drive Active Fault-Tolerant Control**

An active fault-tolerant approach, as illustrated by Fig.4, has been adopted. To achieve this goal, a reorganizing controller will adopt the best control methodology depending on the available feedback and operational hardware [8]. This controller comprises two parts: failure detection and fallback strategy. While the first part monitors the status of the sensors, the second one will engage the appropriate control strategy according to the fault severity [7].

B. **Redundancy And The Key of EV AFTC!**

Redundancy is the key in any fault-tolerant system. Redundancy can be categorized into two types; direct (hardware) and analytical (software).

In the event of material failures, the use of software and hardware redundancies can be an effective approach to increase the system reliability. The reconfiguration system based on these components need a management or voting algorithm in order to choose between the inputs (software or hardware versions), the correct output of the system.

![Image](406x288 to 423x305)

**Fig.4 Active fault-tolerant control scheme**

The voting algorithms are used to ensure the safety and security. They use hardware and software inputs (versions) to select the best input [26].
1) Hardware redundancy: It's called also direct redundancy. It consists in associating additional components in parallel with the main components. As an example, two or three sensors that measure the same quantity can be used. In normal operation, only one sensor is sufficient, however, two or three sensors are required to ensure reliable measurements in case of faults. The hardware redundancy concept can also be extended to the actuators.

In the case of sensors fault, figure 5 describes the fault-tolerant control scheme based on the hardware redundancy. In this context, in [36], three current and voltage sensors are used to ensure tolerance in event of faults as

![Voter](image)

**Fig. 5 Hardware redundancy**

The proposed sensor fault detection and post fault-tolerant control approach is evaluated for an electric vehicle using a 37-kW induction motor based powertrain. Simulations are carried-out using a European urban and extra urban driving cycle (ECE + URL) as speed reference. For that purpose, two sensor faults are introduced: a current sensor fault in phase a (an offset) at 2-sec and a speed sensor fault (a signal disconnection) at 7-sec (Fig. 9).

![Sensor 1, 2, 3, Voter](image)

**Fig. 6. EV FTC performances: Measured speed (red), estimated speed (blue), and reference speed (green).**

In case of inverter faults, 4-Leg Inverter Fault-Tolerant Control is presented in many works [37-48-49].

Fault tolerance for an induction motor drive can be accomplished by adding an extra inverter leg to a standard three-phase voltage source inverter configuration [28-31]. The extra leg is connected to the induction motor neutral point that requires a star-connected machine with an available neutral. The considered fault-tolerant inverter configuration considered is shown in Fig. 7.

![4-leg inverter neutral-connected induction motor fault-tolerant topology](image)

This new control structure will consist in modifying the control references taking into account the induction motor neutral current.

In embedded applications where mass and cost are critical issues, hardware redundancy is no longer acceptable. This motivated the development of the concept of “analytical redundancy” [27].

2) Software (analytical) redundancy: In this case, there is no additional hardware implemented. Their virtual counterparts built with mathematical models such as observers replace the components. For example, instead of having multiple sensors that measure the same signal, an observer that gives an estimate of the signals provides analytical redundancy. Because the analytical redundancy eliminates the requirements for extra hardware, weight and cost of the FDI system are significantly reduced. This is very useful in the case of critical systems such as satellites and spacecraft applications where energy saving and weight reduction are mandatory.

However, there are still challenging issues for AFTCS design regarding [32]:

- The overall fault-tolerant and redundant system architecture;
- Optimal configuration of hardware and software redundancy by trading off reliability specifications against the cost;
- How to design and implement a fault-tolerant controller to best utilize both types of redundancies in order to achieve design objectives,
- Stability assessment.

In the case of Software (analytical) redundancy, and in the proposed speed sensor FTC approach, the extended Kalman filter and the Luenberger observer (adaptive one) are adopted as speed observers. Indeed, they will be used to determine the induction motor speed and generate the residuals used by the voting algorithm.

Figure 8 describes the software redundancy principle used in this section.

For example, the FTC approach in [35] and in case of encoder failures, the proposed strategy reorganizes itself using the estimated speed given by the voting algorithm in the
entire speed range. Adopting a normal European urban driving cycle, Fig. 9 shows the FTC dynamic performances. In this case, the voting algorithm adopts the speed sensor output; at low speed it adopts the extended Kalman filter estimates (in case of failure); and at high speed it adopts the Luenberger observer estimates (in case of failure).

In this case, the voting algorithm adopts the speed sensor failures in the initialization of the PI controller of the second control strategy in case of speed sensor failure intended for EVs using induction motor-based powertrain. For that purpose, it has been used the voting algorithm in the control decision block that computes the most accurate speed information from the speed sensor and two virtual sensors (extended Kalman filter and a Luenberger observer).

For hardware redundancy, this paper describes fault-tolerant control of an induction motor-based EV experiencing sensor failures (current, voltage, and speed). In the case of power inverter failures, inverter fault-tolerant topology has been presented: 4-leg inverter topology. This topology exploits the induction motor neutral accessibility for fault-tolerant purposes. The 4-leg inverter topology has been adopted as a key candidate where the redundant leg is connected to the motor neutral.

Otherwise, this paper dealt with the experimental validation of a reconfiguration mechanism (transition strategy) for sensor fault-tolerant control in induction motor-based EVs. The reconfiguration strategy, whose main objective is to ensure short and smooth transitions when switching from a controller using a healthy sensor to another sensorless controller in case of a sensor failure, consisted in forcing synchronization between the controllers’ voltages by compensating for their phase difference at the switchover instant.
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