

# A N-uniform quantitative Tanaka's theorem for the conservative Kac's N-particle system with Maxwell molecules.

Mathias Rousset

### ▶ To cite this version:

Mathias Rousset. A N-uniform quantitative Tanaka's theorem for the conservative Kac's N-particle system with Maxwell molecules. 2014. hal-01020012v1

# HAL Id: hal-01020012 https://hal.science/hal-01020012v1

Preprint submitted on 7 Jul 2014 (v1), last revised 26 Jul 2014 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# A N-uniform quantitative Tanaka's theorem for the conservative Kac's N-particle system with Maxwell molecules

Mathias Rousset<sup>\*†</sup>

July 7, 2014

#### Abstract

This paper considers the space homogenous Boltzmann equation with Maxwell molecules and arbitrary angular distribution. Following Kac's program, emphasis is laid on the the associated conservative Kac's stochastic N-particle system, a Markov process with binary collisions conserving energy and total momentum. An explicit Markov coupling (a probabilistic, Markovian coupling of two copies of the process) is constructed, using simultaneous collisions, and parallel coupling of each binary random collision on the sphere of collisional directions. The euclidean distance between the two coupled systems is almost surely decreasing with respect to time, and the associated quadratic coupling creation (the time variation of the averaged squared coupling distance) is computed explicitly. Then, a family (indexed by  $\delta > 0$ ) of N-uniform "weak" coupling / coupling creation inequalities are proven, that leads to a N-uniform power law trend to equilibrium of order  $\sim_{t\to+\infty} t^{-\delta}$ , with constants depending on moments of the velocity distributions strictly greater than  $2(1+\delta)$ . The case of order 4 moment is treated explicitly, achieving Kac's program without any chaos propagation analysis. Finally, two counter-examples are suggested indicating that the method: (i) requires the dependance on > 2-moments, and (ii) cannot provide contractivity in quadratic Wasserstein distance in any case.

#### Contents

1	Notation and precise results	11
	1.1 Kinetic theory	 11
	1.2 Coupling	 14
	1.3 Results	 17
<b>2</b>	Simultaneous Parallel Coupling	19
	2.1 Parallel coupling of collisions	 19
	2.2 Coupled spherical coordinates	 20
	2.3 Contractivity of spherical couplings	 21
3	The special inequality and its sharpness	22
	3.1 The special inequality	 22
	3.2 Two counter-examples	 24

\*INRIA Paris-Rocquencourt, Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, B.P. 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger \mathrm{Universit\acute{e}}$  Paris-Est, CERMICS (ENPC), 6-8 Avenue Blaise Pascal, Cité Descartes , F-77455 Marne-la-Vallée

4	Proofs			
	4.1	Applying Hölder's inequality	26	
	4.2	Moments of a Wishart's eigenvalues ratio	28	
	4.3	Proof of Theorem 1.6	29	
	4.4	Order 4 moment control	31	
	4.5	Proof of Proposition 1.7	32	

#### Introduction

#### Kac's particle system

The Kac's conservative N-particle system is a Markov stochastic process describing the evolution of the velocities in  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^N$  of N particles subject to random binary elastic collisions. The latter satisfies: (i) independence with respect to possible positions of particles (space homogeneity); (ii) conservation of momentum and kinetic energy (elasticity). Assuming propagation of chaos (asymptotic independence of subsets of particles), the formal  $N \to \infty$  limit of the probability distribution of a single velocity satisfies the classical Boltzmann kinetic non-linear equation, in its space homogenous simplified form. When the collision rate is constant, in particular independent of the relative speed of particle pairs, we speak of Maxwell molecules.

A classical problem consists in quantifying the speed at which the probability distribution of the latter process converges towards its large time limit. The latter limiting, invariant probability, is the uniform distribution on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{Nd-d-1}$  defined by the conservation of momentum and energy.

#### Context

Let us recall standard strategies for probability flows solutions of evolution (linear or nonlinear) equations defined by a reversible Markovian mechanism.

(i) The *(relative) entropy dissipation* method. Denote  $t \mapsto \pi_t$  a probability flow with state space E, expected to converge to  $\pi_{\infty}$ . The entropy method computes the variation of the relative entropy

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \underbrace{\int_{E} \frac{\mathrm{d}\pi_{t}}{\mathrm{d}\pi_{\infty}} \ln \frac{\mathrm{d}\pi_{t}}{\mathrm{d}\pi_{\infty}} \mathrm{d}\pi_{\infty}}_{E(\pi_{t})} = -D(\pi_{t}) \leq 0,$$

and try to obtain exponential convergence to equilibrium by obtaining a so-called *modified log-Sobolev inequality* of the form

$$E(\pi) \le \frac{1}{2c_{\rm ls}} D(\pi) \qquad \forall \pi \in \mathcal{P}(E),$$
 (0.1)

for some constant  $c_{\rm ls} > 0$ . When  $t \mapsto \pi_t$  is the distribution flow of a reversible diffusion on a Riemannian manifold, the famous curvature condition  $CD(c_{\rm cd}, \infty)$  of Bakry and Emery (a mixture of strong convexity of the diffusion drift's potential, and uniform positive curvature of the metric, with lower bound  $c_{\rm cd} > 0$ , see [4] and references therein) yields such an exponential convergence by proving the inequality  $0 < c_{\rm cd} \leq c_{\rm ls}$ . The latter is obtained using the inequality

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}D(\pi_t) \le -2c_{\mathrm{cd}}D(\pi_t),$$

and integrating through time. This topic has received considerable interest recently, due to the following gradient's flow interpretation: the probability flow of a reversible diffusion on a manifold is in fact a gradient flow of the relative entropy  $E(\pi)$  with respect to the probability metric given by the quadratic Wasserstein distance  $W_2$  (see the monographs [38, 1]). This has led to the interpretation of  $c_{cd}$  has a uniform displacement convexity constant, and yielded a conceptual explanation for the inequality  $0 < c_{cd} \leq c_{ls}$ .

(ii) The weaker  $(0 < c_{\rm ls} \le c_{\rm sg})$  spectral gap method which computes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \underbrace{\int_{E} \left(1 - \frac{\mathrm{d}\pi_t}{\mathrm{d}\pi_\infty}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}\pi_\infty}_{E_2(\pi_t)} = -D_2(\pi_t) \le 0$$

and try to obtain exponential convergence to equilibrium by obtaining a so-called *spectral* gap inequality of the form

$$E_2(\pi) \le \frac{1}{c_{\rm sg}} D_2(\pi) \qquad \forall \pi \in \mathcal{P}(E).$$

When  $t \mapsto \pi_t$  is the flow of a reversible Markov process, the latter is indeed the spectral gap of  $D_2$  (the so-called Dirichlet form) seen as a self-adjoint operator in  $\mathbb{L}_2(E, \pi_{\infty})$ .

(iii) The *Markov coupling* method, which amount to construct an explicit Markov coupling, a probabilistic coupling of two copies of the Markov process of interest which is itself again Markov:

$$t \mapsto (U_t, V_t) \in E \times E.$$

If the latter coupling contracts with respect to some distance in an average  $L_p$  sense:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbb{E}\left(d(U_t, V_t)^p\right)^{1/p} \le -c_p \mathbb{E}\left(d(U_t, V_t)^p\right)^{1/p}$$

for any initial condition, then the method yields an upper bound on the contractivity (with constant  $c_{w_p} \ge c_p > 0$ ) with respect to the related probability Wasserstein distance  $W_p$ . Exponential trend to equilibrium follows. Here again, for reversible diffusion on a manifold, the curvature condition  $CD(c_{cd}, \infty)$  is typically required (see [40] and references therein) to construct such a **contractive coupling using parallel transport**. Using again the gradient's flow interpretation, the  $CD(c_{cd}, \infty)$  condition is essentially equivalent to contractivity in quadratic Wasserstein distance  $c_{cd} = c_{w_2}$ , (see for instance [39, 1]).

#### Remark 0.1.

- (i) Up to our knowledge, there is no such settled general theory for jump processes, yielding inequalities analogous to  $c_{cd} = c_{w_2} \leq c_{ls}$ , (see however [31, 26] and related papers for recent approaches). This is of importance in our context, since space homogenous Boltzmann's collisions are reversible **jump** processes, and an the type of angular distribution ("small jumps") is known to influence the trend to equilibrium (see discussion below).
- (ii) In the context of N-particle systems, such methods may be used either on the particle system (and one may look for N-uniform constants), or directly on the non-linear mean-field (here, kinetic) equation, seen as the formal  $N = +\infty$  case.

(iii) In practice, some more or less weakened versions of the above inequalities, especially of the modified log-Sobolev ("entropy / entropy dissipation") inequality are obtained. They are of the form:

$$E(\pi)^{1+1/\delta} \le \frac{1}{2c_{\mathrm{ls},\delta}(\pi)} D(\pi) \qquad \forall \pi \in \mathcal{P}(E),$$
(0.2)

and yields **algebraic or power law trends** of order  $t^{-\delta}$ , for  $\delta \in ]0, +\infty]$  ( $\delta = +\infty$  formally stands for the exponential case).  $c_{\mathrm{ls},\delta}(\pi)$  is typically **dependent on moments** of  $\pi$ . A priori moment propagation estimates on the probability flow have to be obtained separately in order to get quantitative convergence to equilibrium. In particular, in kinetic theory, "Cercignani's conjecture" refers to the case  $c_{\mathrm{ls},\delta=+\infty}(\pi) > 0$ , where the type of dependence with respect to  $\pi$  (moments, regularity) is known to be propagated by the probability flow. Usually, probabilists speak of modified log-Sobolev inequalities when  $c_{\mathrm{ls}}$  is unconditionally bounded below (independent of  $\pi$ ).

The mathematical literature studying the convergence to equilibrium of the space homogenous Boltzmann kinetic equation, and its related Kac's conservative N-particle system is extremely vast, and we refer to the classical reviews [14, 36]. In the present work, the so-called Boltzmann collision kernel (the Markov generator defining random collisions on the sphere defined by the conservation laws of a pair velocities) will be denoted with Carleman's representation

$$b(v - v_*, \mathrm{d}n'_v) \equiv \mathrm{unif}_{\theta}(n_v, \mathrm{d}n'_v)\beta(|v - v_*|, \mathrm{d}\theta), \qquad (0.3)$$

where in the above  $(v, v_*) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  are the incoming (pre-collisional) velocities of a pair particle,  $(n_v, n'_v) = \left(\frac{v-v_*}{|v-v_*|}, \frac{v'-v'_*}{|v'-v'_*|}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  are respectively the pre- and post-collisional directions,  $n_v \cdot n'_v = \cos \theta$  defines the scattering angle  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ , and  $\operatorname{unif}_{\theta}(n_v, dn'_v)$  is the uniform probability distribution on the sphere defined by a prescribed scattering angle. In [15], a review is provided about the different types of collisions classifying the possible large time behaviors. Following their convention, one can introduce two parameters  $(\gamma, \nu) \in [-d, +\infty[\times] - \infty, 2]$ and assume that

$$\beta(|v-v_*|, \mathrm{d}\theta) \sim |v-v_*|^{\gamma} \theta^{-\nu-1} \mathrm{d}\theta,$$

in the limit where  $|v - v_*| \to +\infty$ , as well as  $\theta \to 0^+$ . From physical scattering theory, the case  $\gamma < 0$  is often called "soft potential", while  $\gamma > 0$  is called "hard potential" and  $\gamma = 0$  is called "Maxwell molecules". The case  $\nu \leq 0$  corresponds usually to bounded kernels and is called "angular cut-off". The case  $\nu \in ]0, 2[$  corresponds to Levy generators associated to fractional pseudo-differential operators, while formally the case  $\nu = 2$  is the diffusive case, the Boltzmann operator becoming proportional to the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere of collisional directions, also called the "Landau operator". Finally, we also mention the important Kac's "caricature" case, where d = 1 and momentum is not conserved; the latter is usually considered with Maxwell molecules and angular cut-off:  $\nu < 0, \gamma = 0$ .

#### Literature

Entropy method,  $N = +\infty$ . First, the most studied method for trend to equilibrium in kinetic theory is by far the entropy method, in the case of the kinetic  $(N = +\infty)$  equation. Some famous counterexamples (see [6, 5, 37]) have shown that a weak entropy-entropy

dissipation inequality of the form (0.2) (called "Cercignani's conjecture" in kinetic theory) cannot not hold for  $\delta = +\infty$  (*i.e.*  $c_{\mathrm{ls},\delta=+\infty}(\pi) = 0$ ), even when restricting to reasonable conditions on  $\pi$  (moments, regularity,...). This counter-example contains several physically realistic collisions (for instance  $\nu < 0, \gamma < 2$ , which includes Maxwell molecules in the nondiffusive case, of interest here). In fact, it has been conjectured in [15] from rigorous proofs in meaningful particular examples (for instance the Landau case  $\gamma = 0, \nu = 2$  in [16]), that a necessary and sufficient criteria such that a modified log-Sobolev inequality holds is the following:  $c_{\mathrm{ls}} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \gamma + \nu^+ \ge 2$ . The latter suggests a common contribution of the probability of high energy collisions ( $\gamma$  large), and of small scattering angle ( $\nu$  large).

Meanwhile, many studies have been developped in the cases where exponential entropy convergence is known to fail, say  $c_{\rm ls} = 0$ . Some weakened versions of the "entropy / entropy dissipation" analysis of the form (0.2) (here is a sample: [13, 9, 5, 35, 37]) in order to obtain algebraic or power law trends with some a priori estimates on  $\pi$  that has to be obtained seperately.

# These facts motivates the power-law behavior and moment dependence in the Maxwell case with angular cut-off ( $\gamma = 0, \nu < 0$ ), which are obtained in the present paper.

Spectral gap and Wild's expansion,  $N = +\infty$ . For the case of interest in the present paper (Maxwell molecules, jump kernels: ( $\gamma = 0, \nu < 0$ )), an expansion method, known as Wild's expansion, enables to give precise estimates using some refined form of the central limit theorem. It has been shown in [12], that arbitrary high moments of a velocity distribution necessarily lead to arbitrary slow decay to equilibrium (in  $L^1$ ). In [12, 18, 19, 20] a full theory of convergence to equilibrium for Maxwell molecules is then developed using Wild's method, showing that the convergence is essentially exponential with rate given by the spectral gap, but requires some moment and regularity condition on the initial condition, and a constant which is sub-optimal for short time.

In [29], the case of hard potentials is treated with a spectral method that essentially prove exponential convergence with rate given by the spectral gap of the linearized near equilibrium equation, and rely on moment creation in the case of hard potentials.

These facts motivates moment dependence found in the present paper, but suggests that the associated power law behavior is sub-optimal for very large times.

Spectral gap method  $N < +\infty$ . Direct studies of the trend of equilibrium of the Kac's N-particle system have been undertaken [17, 10, 11, 30]. The main striking feature of the latter list is the **difficulty to achieve the so-called "Kac's program" for large time behavior** (see [28]): obtaining a scalable (N-uniform) analysis of the trend to equilibrium of the N-particle system. A famous result (see [10, 11]) exactly computes the spectral gap for Maxwell molecules ( $\gamma = 0$ ), and proves that the latter is N-uniform ( $\lim_{N\to+\infty} c_{N,sg} > 0$ ). However, the  $L_2((\mathbb{R}^d)^N, \pi_{\infty})$ -norm used in the spectral gap case, is usually thought to be an unsatisfactory N-scalable measure of trend to equilibrium (it is rather associated to the *linearized* kinetic equation for  $N = +\infty$ , see the last section of [37] for a longer discussion). By extensivity of entropy, the modified log-Sobolev constant  $c_{N,ls}$  is believed to be a more reliable quantity.

Entropy method,  $N < +\infty$ . According to [37], it is conjectured (and partially proven in the case of Kac's caricature) that the modified log-Sobolev constant of Kac's N-particle system, at least for  $\nu < 0$ , is of order  $c_{N,\text{ls}} \sim N^{-1+\gamma/2}$ . This is of special interest in the (unphysical) case  $\gamma = 2$ , since it shows that a modified log-Sobolev inequality holds, similarly to the kinetic equation ( $N = +\infty$ ). In general (and in particular for the diffusive, Landau case with Maxwell molecules, or more generally for  $\gamma + \nu^+ \ge 2$ ), the large N behavior of the modified log-Sobolev constant of the Kac's particle system is an open problem.

Coupling method,  $N \leq +\infty$ . The use of explicit coupling methods to study the trend to equilibrium of Markov processes (or Markov chains) is now a classical topic on its own, especially for discrete models (see *e.g.* the classical textbook [25]). It is also a well-established topic for continuous models, as well as for non-linear partial differential equations that have an interpretation in terms of a Markovian mechanism. For the granular media equation (diffusive particles interacting through a smooth pairwise potential), and its related *N*-particle system, Markov coupling can give exponential trend to equilibrium, by using a "strong coupling/coupling creation inequality" (see for instance [27, 7, 8], using  $CD(c_{N,cd}, \infty)$ -type convexity assumptions on potentials, with  $\lim_{N\to+\infty} c_{N,cd} > 0$ ). For the Kac's *N*-particle system of kinetic theory, the only paper known to us quantitatively using a Markov coupling is in [30]. In the latter, the (almost optimal, and not *N*-uniform) **estimate**  $(c_{N,w2} \sim 1/(N \ln N))$  **is obtained for Kac's caricature**, in accordance with the result cited in [37]:  $c_{N,ls} \sim 1/N$ .

Quantitative propagation of chaos. Finally in [28], the authors have reversed the point of view of Kac's program, and proved indirectly the trend to equilibrium of Kac's N-particle system by pulling-back the long time stability of the kinetic (mean-field  $N = +\infty$  limit) equation using uniform in time propagation of chaos.

#### Motivation of the paper.

The goal of this paper is to develop on the Kac's particle system with Maxwell molecules a "weak approach" of the (quadratic) coupling method, uniformly in the number of particles N. The latter results extend in spirit the classical paper by Tanaka [33], where the quadratic Wasserstein distance between the solution of the kinetic equation with Maxwell collisions and the equilibrium Gaussian distribution (the Mawellian) is shown to be decreasing through time, with a similar coupling argument, but without quantitative analysis. In a sense, the analysis in the present paper makes Tanaka's argument quantitative (with respect to time), and available for the Kac's N-particle system.

More precisely, we will obtain power law trends to equilibrium with respect to a permutation symmetrized version of the quadratic Wasserstein distance, and upon estimates on higher moments of the velocity distribution. Up to our knowledge, this is the first time this type of estimate is obtained directly on the Kac's particle systems. Such results are similar in spirit to the classical results mentioned above ([13, 9, 5, 35, 37]) that are obtained with entropy methods using weakened "entropy/entropy creation" inequalities. Yet, the coupling method has some noticeable specificities:

- (i) Maxwell restriction. Because it requires coupling of simultaneous collisions, the analysis is restricted to Maxwell molecules ( $\gamma = 0$ ).
- (ii) Angular condition The analysis is independent of the scattering angular distribution  $\nu \in ]-\infty, 2].$
- (iii) Particle system size The analysis is independent of the particle system size N. It can work similarly for the kinetic equation  $N = +\infty$ .
- (iv) A priori estimates The analysis depends only on higher > 2 moments of velocity distributions, and **not on regularity estimates**. Moments are known to exactly propagates through time (finite and infinite moments remain so, see the classical paper [24]) for the

 $N = +\infty$  kinetic equation with Maxwell molecules, but unfortunately, not so directly for the Kac's N-particle system. We will use the easiest case of order 4 moments.

(v) Constants Constants explicitable.

We finally also suggest some negative results in the form of two counterexamples to stronger versions of "coupling/coupling creation inequalities". Although similar in spirit, the latter have a different interpretation as compared to the counterexamples to Cercignani's conjecture (see [6, 37]) in the entropy context: they provide information on the limitation of the specific choice of the coupling, but not directly on the trend to equilibrium of the model. Here are the counterexamples:

- (i) Velocity distributions with sufficiently heavy tails can make the coupling creation vanish. This first counterexample shows that the obtained "coupling/coupling creation inequality" must involve some higher order (say, > 2) velocity distribution moments.
- (ii) There exists a continuous perturbation of the identity coupling at equilibrium for which however the coupling creation is sub-linearly smaller than the coupling itself. This second type of counterexample shows that even with moment restrictions, a *sub-exponential trend is unavoidable*.

As discussed above, the latter facts are consistent with known power law behaviors depending on moment conditions (see again [12]) in the angular cut-off case ( $\nu < 0, \gamma = 0$ ). However the sub-optimality of the considered coupling may be conjectured in the diffusive Landau case ( $\nu = 2, \gamma = 0$ ), where exponential convergence with a log-Sobolev gap ( $c_{ls} > 0$ ) is known to occur (see again [16]).

#### Summary of results

Here, and in the rest of the paper, the following notation is used

$$\langle o(u, v, u_*, v_*) \rangle_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n_1, n_2 = 1}^N o(u_{(n_1)}, u_{(n_1)}, u_{(n_2)}, v_{(n_2)}),$$

in order to account for averages over particles of a two-body observable  $o: (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ . The Markov coupling of Kac's conservative N-particle system is a Markov process denoted

$$t \mapsto (U_t, V_t) \equiv (U_{t,(1)}, V_{t,(1)}, \dots, U_{t,(N)}, V_{t,(N)}) \in \left(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d\right)^N.$$

 $U_t \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$  and  $V_t \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$  both satisfying the same normalized conservation laws:

$$\begin{cases} \langle V_t \rangle_N = 0 \quad \text{a.s.} & \text{[centered momenta]} \\ \langle |V_t|^2 \rangle_N = 1 \quad \text{a.s..} & \text{[normalized energy]} \end{cases}$$
(0.4)

Throughout the paper, we will denote the associated probability distributions of the particle system:

$$\pi_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Law}\left(V_t\right) \in \mathcal{P}\left((\mathbb{R}^d)^N\right), \quad \pi_{c,t} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Law}\left(U_t, V_t\right) \in \mathcal{P}\left(\left(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d\right)^N\right),$$

and assume that  $t \mapsto U_t$  is always taken to be distirbuted according to the equilibrium stationary distribution, given by the uniform distribution on the sphere defined by the conservation laws:

Law 
$$(U_t) = \pi_{\infty} = \operatorname{unif}_{\mathbb{S}^{Nd-d-1}}.$$

We also assume that the Kac's N-particle systems are constructed from a Boltzmann kernel of the type (0.3) with **Maxwell molecules** ( $\gamma = 0$ ), and we will use Levy's normalization on scattering angular distribution

$$\int_0^{\pi} \sin^2 \theta \beta(\mathrm{d}\theta) = 1. \tag{0.5}$$

The Markov dynamics of the coupled particle system can be described without ambiguity with the related *master equation* 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\pi_{c,t} = \mathcal{L}_{c,N}^*\pi_{c,t},$$

where \* refers to duality between measures and test functions. In the above, the dynamics generator is of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{c,N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{1 \le n \ne m \le N} L_{c,(n,m)},\tag{0.6}$$

where the two-body coupled generator  $L_{c,(n,m)}$  is a **coupled** collision operator acting on the particle pair (n,m) for  $n \neq m$ , and defined when acting on test functions  $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}((\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^2)$  by:

$$L_{c}(\psi)(u,v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times [0,\pi]} \left( \psi(u',v') - \psi(u,v) \right) \operatorname{unif}_{c,\theta}(n_{u},n_{v},\mathrm{d}n'_{u}\mathrm{d}n'_{v}) \,\beta(\mathrm{d}\theta).$$
(0.7)

In the above, the parallel spherical coupling  $\operatorname{unif}_{c,\theta}$  is precisely defined in point (*iii*) of Definition 0.3 below.

#### Remark 0.2.

(i) Due to the lack of smoothness of  $\operatorname{uni}_{c,\theta}(n_u, n_v; \mathrm{d}n'_u \mathrm{d}n'_v)$  (there is a singularity on the extremity set  $\{n_u, n_v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} | n_u = -n_v\}$ ), there is a difficulty to define rigorously the coupled system without angular cut-off:

$$b_0 = \int_{[0,\pi]} \beta(\mathrm{d}\theta) < +\infty.$$

However, the analysis of the present paper is independent of the latter cut-off value  $b_0$ , and the proofs will be carried out for arbitrary angular singularity, using a continuity argument.

(ii) By construction, such contracting Markov couplings **cannot satisfy detailed balance** (time symmetry is broken to obtain a contractive map) on the product space  $\mathbb{L}^2((\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^N)$ , so that there is no simple way (at least known to us) to write a dual (to the master equation (0.7)) kinetic equation on the density of  $\pi_{c,t}$ . The latter coupling can be defined without ambiguity by requiring **simultaneous collisions, and parallel coupling of each collision**. This is specified using the following set of rules.

**Definition 0.3** (Simultaneous parallel coupling). The Simultaneous Parallel Coupling between  $t \mapsto U_t$  and  $t \mapsto V_t$  is obtained by the following set of rules:

- (i) Collision times and collisional particles are the same (simultaneous collisions), as implied by (0.6).
- (ii) For each collision, the scattering angles  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  of are the same, as implied by (0.7).
- (iii) For each coupled collision, the post-collisional directions  $n'_u \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  and  $n'_v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  are coupled using the elementary rotation along the great circle (the geodesic) of  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  joining  $n_u$  and  $n_v$ . The resulting coupled probability is denoted

$$\operatorname{unif}_{c,\theta}\left(n_u, n_v; \mathrm{d}n'_u \mathrm{d}n'_v\right)$$

Since the post-collisional directions  $(n'_u, n'_v)$  are obtained using **parallel coupling on a sphere**, a strictly (under the crucial assumption that  $d \ge 3$ ) positively curved manifold, the latter coupling is bound to be almost surely decreasing, in the sense that for any initial condition and  $0 \le t \le t + h$ 

$$\left\langle \left| U_{t+h} - V_{t+h} \right|^2 \right\rangle_N \le \left\langle \left| U_t - V_t \right|^2 \right\rangle_N$$
 a.s..

We then compute the *quadratic coupling creation* defined by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbb{E}\left\langle |U_t - V_t|^2 \right\rangle_N = -\mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{C}_2\left(U_t, V_t\right)\right) \le 0,$$

where the "two-body coupling creation" functional satisfies

$$C_2(u,v) = \frac{d-2}{2d-2} \left\langle |u-u_*| \, |v-v_*| - (u-u_*) \cdot (v-v_*) \right\rangle_N \ge 0, \tag{0.8}$$

and can be interpreted as a degree of alignment between the velocity difference  $v - v_* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and its coupled counterpart  $u - u_* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

In order to relate the coupling and the coupling creation, we have introduced in the present paper an original general sharp inequality, proved using brute force calculation: for any vectors  $u \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$  and  $v \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$  both satisfying the normalized conservation laws (0.4), it holds

$$1 - \langle u \cdot v \rangle_N^2 \le \min\left(\kappa_{\langle u \otimes u \rangle_N}, \kappa_{\langle v \otimes v \rangle_N}\right) \\ \times \left\langle |u - u_*|^2 |v - v_*|^2 - \left((u - u_*) \cdot (v - v_*)\right)^2 \right\rangle_N, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathbb{S}^{Nd - d - 1}.$$

$$(0.9)$$

In the above, the spectral quantity

$$\kappa_S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(1 - \lambda_{\max}(S)\right)^{-1} \in \left[\frac{d}{d-1}, +\infty\right] \tag{0.10}$$

is defined with the spectral radius  $\lambda_{\max}(S) \leq 1$  of a positive trace 1 symmetric matrix. It is finite if and only if S is of rank at least 2 (non-alignement condition). Note that if  $\langle u \cdot v \rangle_N \geq 0$ , then the coupling distance satisfies  $\langle |u - v|^2 \rangle_N \leq 2 \left(1 - \langle u \cdot v \rangle_N^2\right)$ . The equality case in (0.9) is achieved (sharpness) under some strong isotropy and co-linear coupling conditions, detailed in Section 3.1.

**Remark 0.4.** The inequality (0.9) can be interpreted as a way to bound from above the euclidean distance  $\langle |u-v|^2 \rangle_N$  with a quadratic average of **alignement** between the velocity difference  $v - v_* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $u - u_* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

It is then of interest to compare that the alignment functional in the right hand side of (0.9), and the coupling creation functional (0.8). They differ by a weight of the form  $|u - u_*| |v - v_*|$  which implies that the strong "coupling/coupling creation" constant

$$c_{2,N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{u,v \in \mathbb{S}^{Nd-d-1}} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(u,v\right)}{2\left\langle \left|u-v\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{N}}$$

is degenerated when the number of particles becom large:  $\lim_{N\to+\infty} c_{2,N} = 0$  (see the counterexamples of Section 3.2 for more details). However, a direct Hölder inequality yields some weaker power law versions (see details in Section 1.3) for any  $\delta > 0$ , of the form

$$c(\delta, u, v) \leq \frac{C_2(u, v)}{2\left\langle |u - v|^2 \right\rangle_N^{1 + 1/2\delta}},\tag{0.11}$$

where the constant  $c(\delta, u, v)$  can be lower bounded by (*N*-averaged) moments of the velocity distributions, of any order strictly greater then  $2(1 + \delta)$ . Additional control on the positive correlation condition  $\langle u \cdot v \rangle_N \geq 0$ , and on the isotropy of  $\langle u \otimes u \rangle_N$  are required by the inequality (0.9).

Upon a priori control of such moments, this leads to a **power law trend to equilibrium** of the Kac's system distribution, of order  $\underset{t\to+\infty}{\sim} t^{-\delta}$ . The trend to equilibrium is obtained with respect to a permutation symmetrized quadratic Wasserstein distance defined by the quotient distance on  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^N$  Sym<sub>N</sub>:  $d_{\text{sym}}(u, v) = \text{def inf}_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_N} \left( \left\langle \left| u - v_{\sigma(\cdot)} \right|^2 \right\rangle_N \right)^{1/2}$ . The latter is natural for exchangeable distributions, and necessary to handle the positive correlation assumption  $\langle u \cdot v \rangle_N \geq 0$ .

The case of order 4-moments is finally treated explicitly, and sub-linear trends are estimated. For any  $0 < \delta < 1$ , we prove that:

$$d_{\text{sym},W_2}(\pi_t,\pi_\infty) \le \left( d_{\text{sym},W_2}(\pi_0,\pi_\infty)^{-1/\delta} + c_{\delta}(t-t_*)^+ \right)^{-\delta},$$

where the cut-off time satisfies depends logarithmically on the initial order 4:

$$t_* = 2\left(\ln\left(\frac{d}{d+2}\mathbb{E}\left\langle |V_0|^4 \right\rangle_N - 1\right)\right)^+$$

and  $c_{\delta} > 0$  is explicitly computable. For instance, we find that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 1} \lim_{d \to +\infty} \lim_{N \to +\infty} c_{\delta,N} \ge 10^{-3},$$

which although sub-optimal, is not unreasonably small.

#### Contents

In Section 1, we recall some notation and basic concepts related to kinetic theory and probabilistic couplings for Markov particle systems. We then detail the results of the present work.

In Section 2, the parallel, spherical coupling of interest is detailed, together with the precise calculation of the associated quadratic coupling creation.

In Section 3, the special inequality between coupling distance and colinearity of coupled pairs is proven.

In Section 4, some details of proofs are given.

#### 1 Notation and precise results

#### 1.1 Kinetic theory

As usual, the velocities of a pair of collisional particles are denoted

$$(v, v_*) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and the post-collisional quantities are denoted by adding the superscript '. All particles are assumed to have the same mass so that the conservation of momentum imposes

$$v' + v'_* = v + v_*,$$

and conservation of energy imposes

$$|v'|^2 + |v'_*|^2 = |v|^2 + |v_*|^2.$$

As a consequence, the relative speed is also conserved

$$|v' - v'_*| = |v - v_*|.$$

The post-collisional velocities of a particle pair are thus given by the standard collision mapping

$$\begin{cases} v' = \frac{1}{2}(v + v_*) + \frac{1}{2} |v - v_*| n'_v, \\ v'_* = \frac{1}{2}(v + v_*) - \frac{1}{2} |v - v_*| n'_v, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where

$$(n_v, n'_v) = \left(\frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|}, \frac{v' - v'_*}{|v' - v'_*|}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$$

denote the pre-collisional/post-collisional directions. The scattering or deviation angle  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  of the collision is then uniquely defined as the half-line angle between the pre-collisional and the post-collisional directions:

$$\cos\theta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n'_v \cdot n_v.$$

The binary collisions are then specified by the following operator (a Markov generator) acting on test functions  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}((\mathbb{R}^d)^2)$ :

$$L(\varphi)(v,v_*) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times [0,\pi]} \left( \varphi(v',v'_*) - \varphi(v,v_*) \right) b(v-v_*,\mathrm{d}n'_v), \tag{1.2}$$

where in the above the *Boltzmann collision kernel* b can be decomposed as

$$b(v - v_*, \mathrm{d}n'_v) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \int_{\theta \in [0, \pi]} \mathrm{unif}_{\theta}(n_v, \mathrm{d}n'_v) \,\beta(|v - v_*|, \mathrm{d}\theta),$$

with (i)  $\beta(|v - v_*|, d\theta)$  the angular collisional kernel, a positive measure on  $[0, \pi]$  satisfying the Levy normalization condition (0.5), and (ii) unif<sub> $\theta$ </sub> is the uniform probability distribution on the sphere of collisional directions  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  with prescribed scattering (or deviation) angle  $\theta$ . More formally:

$$\operatorname{unif}_{\theta}(n_{v}, \mathrm{d}n'_{v}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \operatorname{unif}_{\left\{n'_{v} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \mid n_{v} \cdot n'_{v} = \cos \theta\right\}} \left(\mathrm{d}n'_{v}\right), \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\operatorname{unif}_S$  denotes the uniform probability distribution on a sphere S in euclidean space. The introduction of  $\operatorname{unif}_{\theta}$  will be convenient to describe the coupled collision  $\operatorname{unif}_{c,\theta}$ .

By construction,  $\operatorname{unif}_{\theta}$  satisfies the *detailed balance condition* (micro-reversibility) with invariant probability the uniform distribution on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . Formally:

$$\mathrm{d}n_{v}\mathrm{unif}_{\theta}(n_{v},\mathrm{d}n'_{v}) = \mathrm{d}n'_{v}\mathrm{unif}_{\theta}(n'_{v},\mathrm{d}n_{v}) \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right),$$

where we implicitly define  $dn_v = \text{unif}_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(dn_v)$ . It is convenient to keep in mind that (1.1) extends by measure decomposition to the following version of detailed balance in the euclidean ambient space

$$\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}v_*\mathrm{unif}_{\theta}(n_v,\mathrm{d}n_v') = \mathrm{d}v'\mathrm{d}v'_*\mathrm{unif}_{\theta}(n'_v,\mathrm{d}n_v)$$

as (unbounded) positive measures in  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ; by a tensorization argument, the latter yields *reversibility* (see below) of the conservative Kac's particle system.

The conservative Kac's N-particle system is then defined as a Markov process

$$t \mapsto V_t \equiv (V_{t,(1)}, \dots, V_{t,(N)}) \in \left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)^N, \tag{1.4}$$

whose probability distribution is described without ambiguity with the related master equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\pi_t = \mathcal{L}_N^* \pi_t,\tag{1.5}$$

holding on the probability distribution flow:

$$\pi_t \equiv \pi_t^N \left( \mathrm{d}v_{(1)} \dots \mathrm{d}v_{(N)} \right) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{Law}(V_t \equiv \left( V_{t,(N)}, \dots, V_{t,(N)} \right)) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{sym}}((\mathbb{R}^d)^N) \quad t \ge 0,$$
(1.6)

where  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sym}}((\mathbb{R}^d)^N)$  denotes permutation symmetric probability distributions (assuming the initial condition  $\pi_0$  is already permutation symmetric). Each of the  $V_{t,(n)}$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq N$  represent the velocity of a physical particle, the whole system of particles being subject to the random binary elastic collisions.

For arbitrary  $N \geq 2$ , the Markov generator in  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^N$  have the following structure:

$$\mathcal{L}_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{1 \le n \ne m \le N} L_{(n,m)},\tag{1.7}$$

where  $L_{(n,m)}$  is the Markov generator (1.2) with state space  $(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^2$ , the subscript (n,m) denoting the action on the corresponding pair of particles. The  $\frac{1}{N}$  scaling in (1.7) can be physically understood by stating that each individual particle is subject to a collision mechanism with O(1) rate and a uniformly picked other particle. For elastic collisions, we have that  $L(\varphi)(v, v_* = v) = 0$ , so that if we consider test functions  $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}((\mathbb{R}^d)^N)$  of average type:

$$\psi(v) = \langle \varphi(v) \rangle_N,$$

we get, thanks to the factor 1/2N in (1.7):

$$\mathcal{L}\psi(v) = \frac{1}{2} \langle L\left((v, v_*) \mapsto \varphi(v) + \varphi(v_*)\right) \rangle_N.$$
  
=  $\langle L\left(\varphi \otimes \mathbb{I}\right) (v, v_*) \rangle_N.$ 

By construction, the process (1.4) satisfies the physical conservation laws of momentum and energy, that will be taken centered and normalized according to (0.4) throughout the paper. Moreover, the fundamental detailed balance condition (1.1) implies detailed balance at the level of the particle system. More precisely:

(i) The unique stationary probability distributions is given by the sphere of conservation laws:

$$\pi_{\infty} = \operatorname{unif}_{\mathbb{S}^{d(N-1)-1}}(\mathrm{d}v_{(1)}\ldots\mathrm{d}v_{(N)}) \in \mathcal{P}((\mathbb{R}^d)^N);$$

where we have implicitly define the unit sphere with normalization condition (0.4)

$$\mathbb{S}^{d(N-1)-1} = \left\{ v \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N \mid \langle v \rangle_N = 0, \left\langle |v|^2 \right\rangle_N = 1 \right\}.$$

(ii)  $\pi_{\infty}$  is in fact an *equilibrium*, in the sense that the process in stationary distribution is time reversible

$$\operatorname{Law}(V_0) = \pi_{\infty} \Rightarrow \operatorname{Law}(V_t, 0 \le t \le T) = \operatorname{Law}(V_{T-t}, 0 \le t \le T) \quad \forall T > 0.$$

(iii) Equivalently to (*ii*), on has  $\mathcal{L}_N^* = \mathcal{L}_N$  in the sense of self-adjointness in the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{L}^2\left((\mathbb{R}^d)^N, \mathrm{d}v_{(1)} \dots \mathrm{d}v_{(N)}\right)$ , or alternatively in  $\mathbb{L}^2\left(\mathbb{S}^{d(N-1)-1}\right)$ .

Let us also recall that the latter process can be constructed explicitly in the case of Maxwell molecules  $(\beta(|v - v_*|, d\theta) \equiv \beta(d\theta))$ , and angular cut-off  $(\int_{[0,\pi]} \beta(d\theta) < +\infty)$ :

- (i) Each particle perform a collision with a fixed rate  $b_0 := \int_{[0,\pi]} \beta(d\theta)$ , and with a uniformly randomly chosen other particle.
- (ii) The scattering angle of each collision is independently sampled according to the probability defined by  $\beta(d\theta)/b_0$ .
- (iii) The random post-collisional directions  $n'_v$  is uniformly sampled with  $\operatorname{unif}_{\theta}$  and scattering angle prescribed by (*ii*).

The general angular collisions can then be obtained (rigorously, see [21]) as the limit of the latter.

If we denote the probability  $\pi_t$  as a (generalized) probability density function with reference measure  $dv_{(1)} \dots dv_{(N)}$ 

$$\pi_t \equiv f_t(v_{(1)} \dots v_{(N)}) \mathrm{d} v_{(1)} \dots \mathrm{d} v_{(N)},$$

then the detailed balance conditions yields the usual explicit dual kinetic equation, for any  $v \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}f_t(v) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n,m=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times [0,\pi]} \left( f_t(v') - f_t(v) \right) b\left( n_{v_{(n,m)}}, \mathrm{d}n'_{v_{(n,m)}} \right) \\ = \mathcal{L}_N f_t(v),$$

where in the above, the subscript  $v_{(n,m)} = (v_{(n)}, v_{(m)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  refers to the corresponding pair of particles.

Finally, one says that weak propagation of chaos holds, if for any time  $t \ge 0$ , the marginal distribution of k given particles of the above particle system (k being fixed) is converging (in probability distribution) to a product measure when  $N \to +\infty$  (independence). Under this assumption, the large N limit of the one body marginal distribution  $\pi_t \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of the particle system satisfies an evolution equation in closed form with a quadratic non-linearity given by:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi \,\mathrm{d}\pi_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} L\left(\varphi \otimes \mathbb{I}\right) \,\mathrm{d}\pi_t \otimes \mathrm{d}\pi_t, \tag{1.8}$$

where in the above  $\varphi$  is a test function of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The latter can be easily derived from the master equation (1.5), by choosing tests functions in  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^N$  of the form  $\langle \varphi(v) \rangle_N$  with  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The dual kinetic equation of the non-linear equation (1.8) is the famous Boltzmann equation in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with Maxwell collision kernel b. The usual expression on the one particle velocity density, denoted  $f_t(v) dv \equiv \pi_t(dv)$ , is then:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}f_t(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left( f_t(v')f_t(v'_*) - f_t(v)f_t(v_*) \right) \mathrm{d}v_* \, b(n_v, \mathrm{d}n'_v).$$
(1.9)

#### 1.2 Coupling

Let (E, d) denote a Polish state space  $(E := (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$  euclidean with  $d(u, v) := \langle |u - v|^2 \rangle_N$  in the present paper). We say that a time-homogenous Markov process in the product space

$$t \mapsto (U_t, V_t) \in E \times E,$$

is a *Markov coupling*, if the marginal probability distribution of the two processes  $t \mapsto U_t \in E$ and  $t \mapsto V_t \in E$  are two instances of the same Markov dynamics, with possibly different initial distributions. We will be interested in weakly contracting couplings, where the coupling distance is almost surely decreasing:

$$d(U_{t+h}, V_{t+h}) \le d(U_t, V_t)$$
 a.s.,  $\forall t, h \ge 0,$  (1.10)

and will especially consider quadratic coupling creation defined by:

$$\mathcal{C}_2(u,v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \bigg|_{t=0} \mathbb{E}_{(U_0,V_0)=(u,v)} \left( d(U_t,V_t)^2 \right) \ge 0.$$

From an analytic point of view, if  $\mathcal{L}_c$  denotes the Markov generator of the coupled process  $t \mapsto (U_t, V_t)$ , and  $\mathcal{L}$  the Markov generator of the marginal process  $t \mapsto U_t$  (or  $t \mapsto V_t$ ) it is useful to keep in mind that:

(i) Coupling amounts to consider the compatibility condition: for any  $(u, v) \in E \times E$ , and  $\varphi$  a test function:

$$\mathcal{L}_c(\varphi \otimes \mathbb{I})(u, v) = \mathcal{L}(\varphi)(u), \quad \mathcal{L}_c(\mathbb{I} \otimes \varphi)(u, v) = \mathcal{L}(\varphi)(v).$$

- (ii) Couplings generators  $\mathcal{L}_c$  invariant by permutation of the role of the two variables  $(u, v) \in E^2$  are called "symmetric" (if  $\varphi(u, v) = \varphi(v, u)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_c(\varphi)(u, v) = \mathcal{L}_c(\varphi)(v, u)$ ). We will only use symmetric couplings, although this fact is unimportant in the analysis.
- (iii) The quadratic coupling creation functional can be defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_{2}(u,v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\mathcal{L}_{c}\left(d^{2}\right)(u,v).$$
(1.11)

In the most favorable situation, one can expect a contractive coupling / coupling creation inequality with constant  $0 < c_2 < +\infty$ :

$$d(u,v)^2 \le \frac{1}{2c_2} C_2(u,v), \quad \forall (u,v) \in E^2.$$
 (1.12)

The latter leads to contractivity with respect to the quadratic Wasserstein distance ( $c_2 \leq c_{w_2}$  with the notation of the introduction):

$$d_{W_2}(\pi_{1,t},\pi_{2,t}) \le d_{W_2}(\pi_{1,0},\pi_{2,0}) e^{-c_2 t},$$
(1.13)

where in the above  $t \mapsto (\pi_{1,t}, \pi_{2,t})$  are two probability flows solution of the master equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\pi_t = \mathcal{L}^*\pi_t$$

and the quadratic Wasserstein distance is as usual defined by

$$d_{W_2}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\pi_1, \pi_2)} \left( \int_{E^2} d(u, v)^2 \pi(\mathrm{d}u, \mathrm{d}v) \right)^{1/2}, \tag{1.14}$$

 $\Pi(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  denoting the set of all possible couplings with marginal distributions  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ . Finally, if  $\pi_{\infty} \in \mathcal{P}(E)$  denotes a stationary probability distribution for  $\mathcal{L}$ , then (1.13) yields exponential convergence of the flow  $t \mapsto \pi_t$  towards  $\pi_{\infty}$  with respect to Wasserstein distance.

In the context of the present paper, contractivity estimates as (1.13) are too strong too hold. We will seek for a *power law trend to equilibrium* in the form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^+}{\mathrm{d}t} d_{W_2}\left(\pi_t, \pi_\infty\right) \le -c_\delta\left(\pi_t\right) d_{W_2}\left(\pi_t, \pi_\infty\right)^{1+1/\delta}.$$

or equivalently

$$d_{W_2}\left(\pi_t, \pi_\infty\right) \le \left(d_{W_2}\left(\pi_0, \pi_\infty\right)^{-1/\delta} + \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^t c_\delta\left(\pi_s\right) \mathrm{d}s\right)^{-\delta},$$

where in the above we denote

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^+}{\mathrm{d}t}x \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \liminf_{h \to 0^+} \frac{x_{t+h} - x_t}{h}.$$

#### Remark 1.1.

- (i) The limit  $\delta \to +\infty$  gives back the exponential trend (1.13).
- (ii) The present paper will compute precise estimates of  $c_{\delta}(\pi)$  in terms of moments of  $\pi$  of order  $2q(1+\delta)$ , for any q > 1.

Consider now the case of an exchangeable (particle permutation symmetric) N-particle system as a random vector  $U \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ , where  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is euclidean. Strictly speaking, the state space is obtained by quotienting out the symmetric group  $\operatorname{Sym}_N$ :

$$E := (\mathbb{R}^d)^N / \operatorname{Sym}_N,$$

or equivalently considering the subset of empirical distributions:

$$E := \mathcal{P}_N(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ \pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \, | \, \exists u \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N, \quad \pi = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{u_{(n)}} \right\}.$$

The former can be endowed with the associated orbifold distance

$$d_{\rm sym}(u,v) \stackrel{\rm def}{=} \inf_{\sigma \in {\rm Sym}_N} \left( \left\langle \left| u - v_{\sigma(.)} \right|^2 \right\rangle_N \right)^{1/2},$$

which is by definition equivalently the quadratic Wasserstein distance induced by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ :

$$d_{\rm sym}(u,v) = d_{W_2,\mathcal{P}_N}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{u_{(n)}}, \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{v_{(n)}}\right).$$

This leads to the following definition.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{P}_{sym}((\mathbb{R}^d)^N) \simeq \mathcal{P}((\mathbb{R}^d)^N/\operatorname{Sym}_N)$  the set of symmetric (exchangeable) probabilities of  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ . The "two-step" or "symmetric" quadratic Wasserstein distance on  $\mathcal{P}_{sym}((\mathbb{R}^d)^N)$  denoted  $d_{W_2,sym}$  is defined as the usual quadratic Wasserstein distance (1.14) on the quotient space  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^N/\operatorname{Sym}_N$  endowed with the distance  $d_{sym}$ .

However, in the present paper, the Markov couplings of two particle systems in  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ won't be constructed on the product space

$$\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)^N$$
/Sym<sub>N</sub> ×  $\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)^N$ /Sym<sub>N</sub>,

but on the non-quotiented space  $(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^N$ . The generator  $\mathcal{L}_{c,N}$  of the coupled system conserve permutation invariance only *globally*, and the exchangeability of particle will be broken at the initial coupling (see the proof in Section (4.3)). This corresponds to the intuitive picture of pairing particles of two exchangeable sets once and for all.

More precisely, we will use the symmetrized Wasserstein distance by picking an initial condition as follows

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{sym}((\mathbb{R}^d)^N)$  be two exchangeable probabilities. Then there exists a random variable representation  $(U_0, V_0, \Sigma) \in (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^N \times Sym_N$  with  $Law(U_0) = \pi_1$ ,  $Law(V_0) = \pi_2$  such that:

(i) 
$$d_{W_{2},\text{sym}}(\pi_{1},\pi_{2})^{2} = \mathbb{E}\left\langle \left| U_{0} - V_{0,\Sigma(.)} \right|^{2} \right\rangle_{N}$$

(ii) If  $V_0$  is almost surely centered ( $\langle V_0 \rangle_N = 0$  a.s.), then  $\langle U_0 \cdot V_{0,\Sigma(.)} \rangle_N \ge 0$  a.s..

*Proof.* First, (*i*). Since  $\operatorname{Sym}_N$  is finite,  $((\mathbb{R}^d)^N / \operatorname{Sym}_N, d_{\operatorname{sym}})$  is a Polish quotient metric space, so that existence of an optimal coupling is known to hold (see [38]). Then an exchangeable representative  $V_0$  of the quotient can be picked uniformly at random, and then  $\Sigma$  can be defined such that:

$$\left\langle \left| U - V_{\Sigma(.)} \right|^2 \right\rangle_N = \inf_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}_N} \left\langle \left| U_0 - V_{0,\sigma(.)} \right|^2 \right\rangle_N$$
 a.s.

Second, (*ii*). By the centering assumption on  $V_0$ :

$$\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}_N} \left\langle U_0 \cdot V_{0,\sigma(\,.\,)} \right\rangle_N = 0,$$

and the result follows by definition of quotient metric  $d_{\text{sym}}$ .

#### 1.3 Results

We can now detail the results of the present paper.

We first give the special inequality that will enable to derive coupling / coupling creation inequalities.

**Theorem 1.4.** Denote  $\kappa_S =^{\text{def}} (1 - \lambda_{\max}(S))^{-1} \in [d/(d-1), +\infty]$  where  $\lambda_{\max}(S)$  is the maximal eigenvalue of a trace 1 symmetric positive matrix S. Let  $(U, V) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  be a couple of centered and normalized (with  $\mathbb{E} |U|^2 = \mathbb{E} |V|^2 = 1$ ) random variables in euclidean space. Let  $(U_*, V_*) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  be an i.i.d. copy. Then the following inequality holds:

$$1 - \mathbb{E} (U \cdot V)^{2} \leq \min \left( \kappa_{\mathbb{E}(U \otimes U)}, \kappa_{\mathbb{E}(V \otimes V)} \right) \mathbb{E} \left( |U - U_{*}|^{2} |V - V_{*}|^{2} - \left( (U - U_{*}) \cdot (V - V_{*}) \right)^{2} \right).$$
(1.15)

Note that  $\min \left(\kappa_{\mathbb{E}(U \otimes U)}, \kappa_{\mathbb{E}(V \otimes V)}\right) < +\infty$  if and only if either  $E(U \otimes U)$  or  $E(V \otimes V)$  have rank at least 2 (i.e. are not degenerate on a line).

Moreover, a sufficient condition for the equality case in (1.15) is given by the following isotropy and co-linear coupling conditions

(i) 
$$\frac{U}{|U|} = \frac{V}{|V|}$$
 a.s..

(*ii*) Either  $\mathbb{E}(U \otimes V) = \mathbb{E}(U \otimes U) = \frac{1}{d} \mathrm{Id}$  or  $\mathbb{E}(U \otimes V) = \mathbb{E}(V \otimes V) = \frac{1}{d} \mathrm{Id}$ .

#### Remark 1.5.

(i) If  $\mathbb{E}(U \cdot V) \ge 0$  (positive correlation condition), then

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\left|U-V\right|^{2}\right) \leq 1-\mathbb{E}\left(U\cdot V\right)^{2}.$$

(ii) Inequality (1.15) controls the averaged square coupling distance |U - V| with the average parallelogram area spanned by the pair  $(U - U_*, V - V_*)$ .

(iii) The key point to obtain Theorem 1.6 below is to apply inequality (1.15) using the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P}) \equiv ([1, N], \langle . \rangle_N)$ . This yields an inequality of the form (0.11).

We thus obtain the main theorem on the power law trend to equilibrium with respect to the quadratic Wassertsein distance:

**Theorem 1.6.** Let  $t \mapsto V_t \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$  any Kac's conservative particle system with Maxwell molecules and normalization conditions (0.4)-(0.5). Denote  $\pi_t = ^{\text{def}} \text{Law}(V_t)$ . For any  $\delta > 0, q > 1$ , the following trend to equilibrium holds:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^+}{\mathrm{d}t} d_{\mathcal{W}_2,\mathrm{sym}}(\pi_t,\pi_\infty) \le -c_{\delta,q,N}(\pi_t) \, d_{\mathcal{W}_2,\mathrm{sym}}(\pi_t,\pi_\infty)^{1+1/\delta},$$

where in the above

$$c_{\delta,q,N}\left(\pi_{t}\right) = k_{\delta,q,N} \mathbb{E}\left(\left\langle \left|V_{t}\right|^{2q(1+\delta)}\right\rangle\right)^{-1/2q\delta} > 0,$$

with  $k_{\delta,q,N}$  a numerical constant (independent of the initial condition and of the angular kernel) satisfying  $\liminf_{N\to+\infty} k_{\delta,q,N} > 0$ , and explicitly bounded below (NB:  $k_{\delta,q,N} \to 0$  polynomially when  $q \to 1$ ).

The moment can be explicitly estimated, uniformly in N, in the case of order 4 moments.

**Proposition 1.7.** Consider the case  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $2q(1 + \delta) = 4$ , in Theorem 1.6. We have the lower bound estimate:

$$d_{W_2}(\pi_t, \pi_{\infty}) \le \left( d_{W_2}(\pi_0, \pi_{\infty})^{-1/\delta} + c_{N,\delta}(t - t_*)^+ \right)^{-\delta}.$$

where the cut-off time depends logarithmically on the initial radial order 4 moment and is defined by:

$$t_* = 2\left(\ln\left(\frac{d}{d+2}\mathbb{E}\left\langle |V_0|^4\right\rangle_N - 1\right)\right)^+.$$

and  $c_{\delta,N}$  is a numerical constant (independent of the initial condition and of the angular kernel) satisfying  $\liminf_{N\to+\infty} c_{N,\delta} > 0$  and explicitly bounded below<sup>1</sup>.

**Remark 1.8.** Finally, note that similar results as Theorem 1.6 and Proposition 1.7, with the same constants, can be obtained directly on the associated non-linear kinetic equation. The sketch of proof is the following.

- 1. Construct a coupled non-linear equation in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  with kernel defined from  $\operatorname{unif}_{c,\theta}$ , and under angular cut-off (using, say, total variation distance).
- 2. Prove the analogue of Theorem 1.6 using the usual Wasserstein  $d_{W_2}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- 3. Prove the continuity (in Wasserstein distance) of the solution non-coupled kinetic equation with respect to the angular cut-off parameter (this is done in Section 5 of [34], and typically requires an appropriate uniqueness theory).
- 4. Prove uniform (with respect to the angular cut-off parameter) control on higher moments. For Maxwell molecules, explicit computations of the latter can be carried out (see the classical paper [24]).

The details are left for future work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>NB: for instance, we found  $\lim_{\delta \to 1} \lim_{d \to +\infty} \lim_{N \to +\infty} c_{\delta,N} > 10^{-3}$ .

#### 2 Simultaneous Parallel Coupling

#### 2.1 Parallel coupling of collisions

A coupled collision can then be described by expressing the post-collisional velocities  $(u', u'_*, v', v'_*) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \times \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  using coupled collision parameters. It is sufficient in order to obtain the above coupling to express, using the *same* collision random parameters, the collision and post-collisional directions  $(n_u, n'_u, n_v, n'_v) \in (\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^2 \times (\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^2$ . This is done using parallel coupling. We state without proof (the reader may resort to a simple drawing here) two equivalent elementary descriptions of the parallel coupling on the sphere. The latter *parallel spherical coupling*.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(n_u, n_v) \in (\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^2$  satisfying  $n_u \neq -n_v$ . There is a unique rotation of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  denoted

$$n'_u \mapsto n'_v = \operatorname{coupl}_{n_u, n_v}(n'_u) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$

called spherical parallel coupling, satisfying  $n'_u = n'_v$  if  $n_u = n_v$ , and equivalently defined as follows for  $n_u \neq n_v$ .

- (i)  $n'_v$  is obtained from  $n'_u$  by performing the elementary rotation in  $\text{Span}(n_u, n_v)$  bringing  $n_u$  to  $n_v$ .
- (ii) Denote by  $t_u$  a tangent vector of  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  at base point  $n_u$  of a geodesic of length  $\theta$  bringing  $n_u$  to  $n'_u$ . Generate  $t_v$  from  $t_u$  by using parallel transport in  $\text{Span}(n_u, n_v)$  from base point  $n_u$  to base point  $n_v$ . Generate  $n'_v$  as the endpoint of the geodesic of length  $\theta$  and tangent to  $t_v$  at base point  $n_v$ .

Moreover, it satisfies by construction the symmetry condition

$$\operatorname{coupl}_{n_v,n_u} = \operatorname{coupl}_{n_u,n_v}^{-1}.$$
(2.1)

If  $n_u = -n_v$  and  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , then we will denote by  $\operatorname{coupl}_{n_u,n_v}^{\sigma}$  the unique rotation of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying (i) - (ii) above, but with an elementary rotation, or a geodesic taken in the plane  $\operatorname{Span}(n_u, \sigma) = \operatorname{Span}(n_v, \sigma)$ .

It is necessary to keep in mind that the full mapping  $(n_u, n_v) \mapsto \operatorname{coupl}_{n_u, n_v}$  is smooth, except at a singularity on the extremity set  $\{n_u, n_v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} | n_u = -n_v\}$ . This fact has already been pointed out ([33, 23, 22]) in slightly different contexts, and causes difficulty in order to define uniquely regular Levy generators and associated kinetic non-linear equations. However, we will avoid such technical issues by considering angular cut-off, and we will consider coupled Levy or diffusive generators only at the formal level.

Anyway, it is possible to define a coupled probability transition by randomly generating the coupling geodesic when  $n_u = -n_v$ . This yields:

**Definition 2.2.** The spherical parallel coupling of a random collision with deviation angle  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  is defined by the following probability transition on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ :

$$\operatorname{unif}_{c,\theta}(n_u, n_v, \mathrm{d}n'_u \mathrm{d}n'_v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left( \mathbb{I}_{n_u \neq -n_v} \delta_{\operatorname{coupl}_{n_u, n_v}(n'_u)}(\mathrm{d}n'_v) + \mathbb{I}_{n_u = -n_v} \delta_{\operatorname{coupl}_{n_u, n_v}(n'_u)}(\mathrm{d}n'_v) \operatorname{unif}(\mathrm{d}\sigma) \right) \times \operatorname{unif}_{\theta} \left( n_u, \mathrm{d}n'_u \right),$$

$$(2.2)$$

Lemma 2.3. The probability transition (2.2) verifies the symmetry condition

$$\operatorname{unif}_{c,\theta}(n_u, n_v, \operatorname{d} n'_u \operatorname{d} n'_v) = \operatorname{unif}_{c,\theta}(n_v, n_u, \operatorname{d} n'_v \operatorname{d} n'_u).$$

$$(2.3)$$

It is thus a symmetric Markov coupling of the uniform probability transition  $unif_{\theta}(n, dn')$ .

*Proof.* By construction,  $\operatorname{coupl}_{n_u,n_v}$  and  $\operatorname{coupl}_{n_u,\sigma}$  are isometries. On the other hand, by isotropy, for any isometry R and vector  $n_v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  we have  $R^{-1}\operatorname{unif}_{\theta}(Rn_v, .) = \operatorname{unif}_{\theta}(n_v, .)$ . Finally, the symmetry condition (2.1) yields (2.3).

#### 2.2 Coupled spherical coordinates

We give a special description of the isotropic probability transition with scattering angle  $\theta$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\theta \in [0,\pi]$  be given, as well as  $(n_v, m_v)$  two orthonormal vectors in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . Consider the spherical change of variable

$$n'_{v} = \cos\theta \, n_{v} + \sin\theta \cos\varphi \, m_{v} + \sin\theta \sin\varphi \, l \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$$
(2.4)

where  $\varphi \in [0, \pi]$  is an azimuthal angle and  $l \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is such that  $(n_v, m_v, l)$  is an orthonormal triplet. Then the image by the transformation (2.4) of the probability distribution

$$\sin^{d-3}\varphi \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{w_{d-3}} \mathrm{Unif}_{(n_v, m_v)^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(\mathrm{d}l), \qquad (2.5)$$

is the isotropic probability transition  $\operatorname{unif}_{\theta}(n_v, \mathrm{d}n'_v)$  with initial state  $n_v$  and scattering angle  $\theta$  ( $w_{d-3}$  denotes the Wallis integral normalization). In particular, the latter does not depend on the choice of  $m_v$ .

Proof.  $\operatorname{unif}_{\theta}(n_v, \mathrm{d}n'_v)$  is defined as the uniform distribution induced by the euclidean structure on the submanifold of  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  defined by  $n'_v \cdot n_v = \cos \theta$ . Moreover the expression of volume elements in (hyper)spherical coordinates implies that for any  $m_v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , the vector  $\cos \varphi m_v + \sin \varphi l \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is distributed (under (2.5)) uniformly in the d-2-dimensional sphere  $n_v^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . The result follows.

Of course in the above, only the scattering angle  $\theta$  has an intrinsic physical meaning, the azimuthal angle  $\varphi$  being dependent of the arbitrary choice of the pair  $(m_v, l)$ . This leads to the core analysis of a spherical coupling.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $(n_u, n_v) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  be given. A pair  $(n'_u, n'_v) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is spherically coupled (the spherical coupling mapping is defined in Definition 2.1), in the sense that  $n'_v = \operatorname{coupl}_{n_u,n_v}(n'_u)$  if  $n_u \neq n_v$  and  $n'_v = \operatorname{coupl}_{n_u,\sigma}(n'_u)$  for some  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  otherwise, if and only if

$$\begin{cases} n'_{u} = \cos\theta \, n_{u} + \sin\theta \cos\varphi \, m_{u} + \sin\theta \sin\varphi \, l, \\ n'_{v} = \cos\theta \, n_{v} + \sin\theta \cos\varphi \, m_{v} + \sin\theta \sin\varphi \, l, \end{cases}$$
(2.6)

where in the above  $(n_u, m_u, l)$  and  $(n_v, m_v, l)$  are both orthonormal sets of vectors such that  $(n_u, m_u)$  and  $(n_v, m_v)$  belong to the same plane have the same orientation with respect to l. Note that if  $n_u \neq n_v$ , the pair  $(m_u, m_v)$  and the angle  $\varphi$  are defined uniquely up to a common involution (a change of sign of the vectors and the reflexion  $\varphi \to \pi - \varphi$ ). *Proof.* Assume  $n_u \neq n_v$ . Denote by  $R_\theta$  the unique elementary rotation bringing  $n_u$  to  $n_v$ . By construction  $R_\theta m_u = m_v$ , and  $R_\theta l = l$ 

This immediately implies that the coupled probability transition  $\operatorname{unif}_{c,\theta}(n_u, n_v, \mathrm{d}n'_u \mathrm{d}n'_v)$  is the image using the mapping (2.6) above of the uniform probability described in  $(\varphi, l)$ -variables and given by (2.5).

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $(n_u, n_v) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  be given. If  $n_u = -n_v$  pick  $(m_u, m_v)$  in the plane  $\operatorname{Span}(n_u, n_v, \sigma)$  for some  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . Then the image under the mapping (2.6) of the probability distribution

$$\sin^{d-3}\varphi \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{w_{d-3}} \mathrm{unif}_{(n_v,m_v)^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(\mathrm{d}l) \mathrm{unif}(\mathrm{d}\sigma),$$

is given by  $\operatorname{unif}_{c,\theta}(n_u, n_v, \mathrm{d}n'_u \mathrm{d}n'_v)$ .

#### 2.3 Contractivity of spherical couplings

We can first calculate the quadratic contractivity ("coupling creation") equation satisfied by parallel spherical couplings.

**Lemma 2.7.** Consider coupled collisional and post-collisional velocities  $(u, u_*, v, v_*) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \times \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , and a parallel spherical coupling using the coordinate expression of Lemma 2.5. Then we have:

$$|u' - v'|^{2} + |u'_{*} - v'_{*}|^{2} - |u - v|^{2} - |u_{*} - v_{*}|^{2} = -\sin^{2}\theta\sin^{2}\varphi\left(|u - u_{*}||v - v_{*}| - (u - u_{*})\cdot(v - v_{*})\right) \le 0.$$
(2.7)

*Proof.* We use the following change of variable:

$$\begin{cases} s_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} (v + v_*) \\ d_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} (v - v_*) \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} v = s_v + d_v \\ v_* = s_v - d_v \end{cases}$$

First remark that

$$|u - v|^{2} + |u_{*} - v_{*}|^{2} = |s_{u} - s_{v} + d_{u} - d_{v}|^{2} + |s_{u} - s_{v} - d_{u} + d_{v}|^{2}$$
  
= 2 |s\_{u} - s\_{v}|^{2} + 2 |d\_{u} - d\_{v}|^{2} (2.8)

Developing the left hand side of (2.7), and using the conservation laws (s' = s and |d'| = |d|), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u' - v'|^2 + |u'_* - v'_*|^2 - |u - v|^2 - |u_* - v_*|^2 \\ &= 2 |d'_u - d'_v|^2 - 2 |d_u - d_v|^2 \\ &= -(u' - u'_*) \cdot (v' - v'_*) + (u - u_*) \cdot (v - v_*) \\ &= -|u - u_*| |v - v_*| \left(n'_u \cdot n'_v - n_u \cdot n_v\right) \end{aligned}$$

Next, we expand  $n'_u \cdot n'_v$  using (2.6) and obtain:

 $n'_{u} \cdot n'_{v} = (\cos\theta \, n_{u} + \sin\theta \cos\varphi \, m_{u}) \cdot (\cos\theta \, n_{v} + \sin\theta \cos\varphi \, m_{v}) + \sin^{2}\theta \sin^{2}\varphi.$ 

Next by construction,  $(m_u, m_v)$  is obtained from a  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ -rotation of  $(n_u, n_v)$ , so that  $n_u \cdot n_v = m_u \cdot m_v$  and  $n_u \cdot m_v = -m_u \cdot n_v$  and

$$n'_{u} \cdot n'_{v} = \left(\cos^{2}\theta + \sin^{2}\theta\cos^{2}\varphi\right) n_{u} \cdot n_{v} + \sin^{2}\theta\sin^{2}\varphi.$$

Using  $1 = \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \varphi + \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \varphi$  we obtain

$$n'_u \cdot n'_v - n_u \cdot n_v = -\sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \varphi \left( n_u \cdot n_v - 1 \right),$$

and the result follows.

We can finally compute the coupling creation functional for the coupled Kac's particle system.

**Lemma 2.8.** Consider the coupled Kac's particle particle system as defined by (0.6)-(0.7). Then the coupling creation functional  $C_2$  is given by (0.8).

Proof. By definition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{2}(u,v) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle L_{c} \left( (u,v,u_{*},v_{*}) \mapsto |u-v|^{2} + |u_{*}-v_{*}|^{2} \right) \right\rangle_{N} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{[0,\pi]^{2}} \sin^{2}\theta \sin^{2}\varphi \,\beta(\mathrm{d}\theta) \, \frac{\sin^{d-3}\varphi \mathrm{d}\varphi}{w_{d-3}} \left\langle |u-u_{*}| \, |v-v_{*}| - (u-u_{*}) \cdot (v-v_{*}) \right\rangle_{N} \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows from the well known formula for Wallis integrals  $w_{d-1}/w_{d-3} = (d - 2)/(d-1)$ .

#### 3 The special inequality and its sharpness

#### 3.1 The special inequality

If  $(Z_1, Z_2)$  are two centered random vectors, we will use the notation

$$C_{Z_1,Z_2} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathbb{E} \left( Z_1 \otimes Z_2 \right).$$

Let (U, V) be two centered random vectors in a Euclidean space, and  $(U_*, V_*)$  an i.i.d. copy. The goal is to bound from above the quadratic *coupling distance*  $\mathbb{E}\left(|U-V|^2\right)$  with the following alignment average

$$\mathbb{E}\left(|U - U_*|^2 |V - V_*|^2 - ((U - U_*) \cdot (V - V_*))^2\right).$$

The latter can be interpreted as the average parallelogram squared area spanned by the two vector differences  $U - U_*$  and  $V - V_*$ .

The main computation is based on a brute force expansion and a general trace inequality applied to the full covariance

$$C_{(U,V),(U,V)} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{U,U} & C_{U,V} \\ C_{V,U} & C_{V,V} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The trace inequality is detailed in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let U and V be two centered random vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{U,U}C_{V,V}\right) - \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{U,V}C_{V,U}\right) \le \min\left(\frac{\lambda_{\max}\left(C_{U,U}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{U,U}\right)}, \frac{\lambda_{\max}\left(C_{V,V}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{V,V}\right)}\right) \left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{U,U}\right)\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{V,V}\right) - \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{U,V}\right)^{2}\right)$$

where  $\lambda_{max}(.)$  denotes the spectral radius (maximal eigenvalue) of a symmetric non-negative operator. Moreover, the equality case holds if (sufficient condition) either  $C_{U,U}$  and  $C_{U,V}$  or  $C_{V,V}$  and  $C_{U,V}$  are co-linear to the identity matrix.

*Proof.* First assume that  $C_{U,U}$  has only strictly positive eigenvalues. In an orthonormal basis where  $C_{U,U}$  is diagonal, we have the expression, for  $i, j, k \in [\![1, d]\!]$ :

$$\operatorname{Tr} \left( C_{U,U}C_{V,V} - C_{U,V}C_{V,U} \right) = \sum_{i} C_{U,U}^{i,i}C_{V,V}^{i,i} - \sum_{j,k} C_{U,V}^{j,k}C_{V,U}^{k,j},$$
$$= \sum_{i} \left( C_{U,U}^{i,i}C_{V,V}^{i,i} - \left( C_{U,V}^{i,i} \right)^2 \right) \underbrace{-\sum_{j \neq k} \left( C_{U,V}^{j,k} \right)^2}_{\leq 0}.$$

Then, by definition of the maximal eigenvalue of  $C_{U,U}$ :

$$\sum_{i} C_{U,U}^{i,i} C_{V,V}^{i,i} - \left(C_{U,V}^{i,i}\right)^2 \le \lambda_{\max} \left(C_{U,U}\right) \left(\operatorname{Tr} \left(C_{V,V}\right) - \sum_{i} \frac{\left(C_{U,V}^{i,i}\right)^2}{C_{U,U}^{i,i}}\right),$$

so that by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\left(\sum_{i} C_{U,V}^{i,i}\right)^2 \le \left(\sum_{i} \frac{\left(C_{U,V}^{i,i}\right)^2}{C_{U,U}^{i,i}}\right) \times \left(\sum_{i} C_{U,U}^{i,i}\right),$$

and we eventually get

$$\operatorname{Tr} \left( C_{U,U} C_{V,V} - C_{U,V} C_{V,U} \right) \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max} \left( C_{U,U} \right)}{\operatorname{Tr} \left( C_{U,U} \right)} \left( \operatorname{Tr} \left( C_{V,V} \right) \operatorname{Tr} \left( C_{U,U} \right) - \operatorname{Tr} \left( C_{U,V} \right)^2 \right).$$

. .

The general case of degenerate eigenvalues is obtained by density.

The expansion of the average square paralellogram area is detailed in the next lemma.  
**Lemma 3.2.** Let U and V be two centered random vector in 
$$\mathbb{R}^d$$
. Let  $(U_*, V_*)$  be a *i.i.d.* copy.  
Then we have the following decomposition:

$$\mathbb{E}\left(|U - U_{*}|^{2}|V - V_{*}|^{2} - ((U - U_{*}) \cdot (V - V_{*}))^{2}\right) = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left(|U|^{2}|V|^{2} - (U \cdot V)^{2}\right)}_{\geq 0} + \underbrace{\operatorname{Tr}\left((C_{U,V} - C_{V,U})\left(C_{V,U} - C_{U,V}\right)\right)}_{\geq 0} + 2 \underbrace{\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{U,U}\right)\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{V,V}\right) - \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{U,V}\right)^{2} - \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{U,U}C_{V,V}\right) + \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{U,V}C_{V,U}\right)\right)}_{\geq} \underbrace{\left(\operatorname{Lemma } 3.1\right)\left(1 - \min\left(\frac{\lambda_{\max}\left(C_{U,U}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{U,U}\right)}, \frac{\lambda_{\max}\left(C_{V,V}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{V,V}\right)}\right)\right)\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{U,U}\right)\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{V,V}\right) - \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{U,V}\right)^{2}\right)}_{(3.1)}\right)\right)}_{(3.1)}$$

*Proof.* Before computing terms, recall that if M, N are two square matrices, then

$$\operatorname{Tr}(MN) = \operatorname{Tr}(NM) = \operatorname{Tr}(M^T N^T) = \operatorname{Tr}(N^T M^T).$$

Let us expand the alignment functional (the left hand side of (3.1)). We have first,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(|U - U_*|^2 |V - V_*|^2\right) = 2\mathbb{E}\left(|U|^2 |V|^2\right) + 2\mathbb{E}\left(|U|^2\right)\mathbb{E}\left(|V|^2\right) + 4\mathbb{E}\left(U \cdot U_* V \cdot V_*\right) + 8 \times 0$$
  
=  $2\mathbb{E}\left(|U|^2 |V|^2\right) + 2\text{Tr}\left(C_{U,U}\right)\text{Tr}\left(C_{V,V}\right) + 4\text{Tr}\left(C_{U,V}C_{V,U}\right),$ 

and second,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left((U-U_*)\cdot(V-V_*)\right)^2\right)$$
  
=2\mathbb{E}\left(U\cdot V^2\right) + 2\mathbb{E}\left(U\cdot V\right)^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\left(U\cdot V\_\*^2\right) + 2\mathbb{E}\left(U\_\*\cdot V U\cdot V\_\*\right) + 8\times 0  
=2\mathbb{E}\left(U\cdot V^2\right) + 2\mathbf{Tr}\left(C\_{U,V}\right)^2 + 2\mathbf{Tr}\left(C\_{U,V}U\right) + 2\mathbf{Tr}\left(C\_{U,V}^2\right).

On the other hand,

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(C_{U,V} - C_{V,U}\right)\left(C_{V,U} - C_{U,V}\right)\right) = -2\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{U,V}^{2}\right) + 2\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{U,V}C_{V,U}\right),$$

and the result then follows.

r

Two remarks.

**Remark 3.3.** For normalized  $(\mathbb{E}(|U|^2) = \mathbb{E}(|V|^2) = 1)$  random vectors,  $\operatorname{Tr}(C_{U,U}) = \operatorname{Tr}(C_{V,V}) = 1$ , and

Tr 
$$(C_{U,U})$$
 Tr  $(C_{V,V})$  – Tr  $(C_{U,V})^2 = 1 - \mathbb{E} (U \cdot V)^2$ .

Then Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 immediately yield Theorem 1.4.

**Remark 3.4.** Assuming that  $\operatorname{Tr}(C_{V,V}) = 1$  and  $C_{U,U} = \frac{1}{d}$  Id (isotropy), then (3.1) becomes

$$1 - \mathbb{E} \left( U \cdot V \right)^2 \le \frac{d}{d-1} \mathbb{E} \left( \left| U - U_* \right|^2 \left| V - V_* \right|^2 - \left( \left( U - U_* \right) \cdot \left( V - V_* \right) \right)^2 \right)$$
(3.2)

Moreover, a sufficient condition for equality in (3.2) is given by strongly istropic distributions with co-linear coupling, defined by the fact that the lengths  $(|U|, |V|) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$  are independent of the identically coupled and uniformly distributed direction  $\frac{U}{|U|} (= \frac{V}{|V|}$  a.s.).

#### 3.2 Two counter-examples

Let us finally present two negative results, that demonstrates, in coupling / coupling creation inequalities, the **necessity of sub-exponential estimates** on the one hand, and the **necessity to resort on higher moments of velocity distributions** on the other hand. We give the counter-examples in the form lemmas, with proofs. In both cases, we consider a coupled distribution in the form of random variables

$$(U,V) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad U \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{d} \mathrm{Id}), \quad \mathbb{E}V = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}|V|^2 = 1.$$
 (3.3)

 $(U_*, V_*)$  is an i.i.d. copy.

**Lemma 3.5** (The necessity of higher order moments). Let (3.3) holds. Denote the moment of order 1 < q < 2:

$$m_q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E} \left( |V|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{m_q \to 0} \mathbb{E} \left( |U - V|^2 \right) = 2\\ \lim_{m_q \to 0} \mathbb{E} \left( |U - U_*| |V - V_*| - (U - U_*) \cdot (V - V_*) \right) = 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Hölder inequality implies that  $\lim_{m_q\to 0} \mathbb{E}\left(|V-U|^2\right) = 2 \neq 0$ . Moreover, we have, with again Hölder inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(|U - U_*| |V - V_*| - (U - U_*) \cdot (V - V_*)\right) \le 2\mathbb{E}\left(|U - U_*| |V - V_*|\right)$$
$$\le \mathbb{E}^{1/p}\left(|U - U_*|^p\right) \mathbb{E}^{1/q}\left(|V - V_*|^q\right) \xrightarrow[m_q \to +\infty]{} 0.$$

Lemma 3.6 (The necessity of sub-exponential rates). Let (3.3) holds. Consider the co-linear coupling

$$\frac{V}{|V|} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{U}{|U|} \qquad \text{a.s.},$$

with moreover the following radial coupling perturbation on some interval  $0 < r_{-} < r_{+} < +\infty$ :

$$|V| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |U| \, \mathbb{I}_{|U| \notin [r_{-}, r_{+}]} + \mathbb{E}^{1/2} \left( |U|^{2} \mid |U| \in [r_{-}, r_{+}] \right) \, \mathbb{I}_{|U| \in [r_{-}, r_{+}]}$$

Then (i) the moments of Law(V) are uniformly bounded in  $r_-, r_+$ ; (ii) we have the following degeneracy of the coupling - coupling creation estimate

$$\lim_{r_{-} \to +\infty} \lim_{r_{+} \to r_{-}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(|U - U_{*}| |V - V_{*}| - (U - U_{*}) \cdot (V - V_{*})\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(|V - U|^{2}\right)} = 0$$

*Proof.* First, for such isotropic (U is normally distributed) and co-linear couplings, the key inequality (1.15) is in fact an equality. Denoting:

$$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{(U - U_*) \cdot (V - V_*)}{|U - U_*| |V - V_*|},$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}(r_{-},r_{+}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(|U-U_{*}||V-V_{*}|-(U-U_{*})\cdot(V-V_{*})\right)}{E\left(|V-U|^{2}\right)} \leq \frac{2d-2}{d} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(|U-U_{*}||V-V_{*}||(1-A)\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(|U-U_{*}|^{2}|V-V_{*}|^{2}(1-A^{2})\right)}$$

Since  $|A| \leq 1$  and A = 1 when both  $|U| \notin [r_-, r_+]$  and  $|U_*| \notin [r_-, r_+]$ , we have

$$(1-A) \le (1-A^2) \left( \mathbb{I}_{|U| \in [r_-, r_+]} + \mathbb{I}_{|U_*| \in [r_-, r_+]} \right) \quad \text{a.s.,} \\ 2(1-A^2) \ge (1-A^2) \left( \mathbb{I}_{|U| \in [r_-, r_+]} + \mathbb{I}_{|U_*| \in [r_-, r_+]} \right) \quad \text{a.s.,}$$

and the smoothness of Gaussian density yields

$$\lim_{r_+ \to r_-} \mathcal{R}(r_-, r_+) \le \frac{4(d-1)}{d} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left( |R_U - U_*| |R_U - U_*| \right)}{\mathbb{E}\left( |R_U - U_*|^2 |R_U - U_*|^2 \right)},$$

where  $R_U$  is distributed uniformly on the sphere with radius  $r_-$  and is independent of  $U_*$ . In the limit  $r_- \to +\infty$ , dominated convergence implies  $\lim_{r_+\to r_-} \mathcal{R}(r_-, r_+) = O(r_-^{-2})$ , hence the result.

#### 4 Proofs

#### 4.1 Applying Hölder's inequality

In this section, we prepare the trend to equilibrium analysis. For this puppose, we will apply Hölder's inequality two times to the special inequality (1.15); a first time with respect to particle averaging  $\langle . \rangle_N$ , and second time with respect to the expectation  $\mathbb{E}(.)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $(u,v) \in (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^N$  satisfying the centering and normalization condition (0.4)  $(\langle u \rangle_N = \langle v \rangle_N = 0 \text{ and } \langle |u|^2 \rangle_N = \langle |v|^2 \rangle_N = 1)$ ; as well as the positive correlation assumption  $\langle u \cdot v \rangle_N \geq 0$ . For any  $\delta > 0$ , and p, q > 1 with 1/p + 1/q = 1, we have the inequality:

$$c_{\delta,p}(u,v) \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{C}_2(u,v)}{\left\langle |u-v|^2 \right\rangle_N^{1+1/2\delta}},$$

with

$$c_{\delta,p}(u,v) = k_{1,\delta} \min\left(\kappa_{\langle u \otimes u \rangle_N}, \kappa_{\langle v \otimes v \rangle_N}\right)^{-1-1/2\delta} \left\langle |u-u_*|^{2p(1+\delta)} \right\rangle_N^{-1/2p\delta} \left\langle |v-v_*|^{2q(1+\delta)} \right\rangle_N^{-1/2q\delta},$$

and constant

$$k_{1,\delta} = 2^{-3-1/2\delta} \frac{d-2}{d-1} \frac{(1+\delta)^{1+1/\delta}}{(1+2\delta)^{1+1/2\delta}}$$

Note that  $c_{\delta,p}(u,v) = 0$  if and only if  $\langle u \otimes u \rangle_N$  and  $\langle v \otimes v \rangle_N$  are of (minimal) rank 1.

*Proof.* We first apply (1.15) on the pair (u, v) with respect to the probability space generated by the particle averaging operator  $\langle \rangle_N$ . We obtain using the positive correlation condition  $\langle u \cdot v \rangle_N \ge 0$ :

$$\min\left(\kappa_{\langle u \otimes u_* \rangle_N}, \kappa_{\langle v \otimes v_* \rangle_N}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \left\langle |u - v|^2 \right\rangle_N \le \left\langle |u - u_*|^2 |v - v_*|^2 - ((u - u_*) \cdot (v - v_*))^2 \right\rangle_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I.$$

Next, let us denote for  $\varepsilon \in \{+1, -1\}$ 

$$\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon} = |u - u_*| |v - v_*| + \varepsilon (u - u_*) \cdot (v - v_*)$$

and introduce  $b = 1 + 2\delta$ ,  $a = 1 + 1/2\delta$  so that 1/a + 1/b = 1. Using Hölder inequality yields

$$I = \langle \mathcal{A}_{+} \mathcal{A}_{-} \rangle_{N} = \left\langle \mathcal{A}_{+} \mathcal{A}_{-}^{1/b} \mathcal{A}_{-}^{1/a} \right\rangle_{N} \leq \left\langle \mathcal{A}_{+}^{b} \mathcal{A}_{-} \right\rangle_{N}^{1/b} \left\langle \mathcal{A}_{-} \right\rangle_{N}^{1/a}.$$

The elementary (sharp) inequality

$$(1+\theta)(1-\theta)^{1/b} \le b\left(\frac{2}{b+1}\right)^{1/b+1} \quad \forall \theta \in [-1,1],$$

used for  $\theta = (u - u_*) \cdot (v - v_*) / |u - u_*| |v - v_*|$  then yields

$$I \le b \left(\frac{2}{b+1}\right)^{1/b+1} \left\langle |u - u_*|^{1+b} |v - v_*|^{1+b} \right\rangle_N^{1/b} \left\langle \mathcal{A}_- \right\rangle_N^{1/a}.$$

Finally, remarking that  $b\left(\frac{2}{b+1}\right)^{1/b+1} = \frac{1+2\delta}{(1+\delta)^{\frac{2+2\delta}{1+2\delta}}}$ , and applying again Hölder inequality with 1/p + 1/q = 1 yields

$$I^{b=1+2\delta} \leq \frac{(1+2\delta)^{1+2\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{2+2\delta}} \left\langle |u-u_*|^{2p(1+\delta)} \right\rangle_N^{1/p} \left\langle |v-v_*|^{2q(1+\delta)} \right\rangle_N^{1/p} \left\langle \mathcal{A}_- \right\rangle_N^{b/a=2\delta}.$$

The result follows.

The latter lemma implies the following corollary on random vectors with positive correlations.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $(U, V) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$  satisfying the centering and normalization condition (conservation laws) (0.4), as well as the positive correlation assumption  $\langle U \cdot V \rangle_N \geq 0$  a.s.. Denote Law $(U) = \pi_1$ , Law $(V) = \pi_2$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  and q > 1 be given. We have:

$$c_{\delta,p}(\pi_1,\pi_2) \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbb{E} \,\mathcal{C}_2\left(U,V\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(\left\langle |U-V|^2 \right\rangle_N\right)^{1+1/2\delta}},\tag{4.1}$$

where in the above:

$$c_{\delta,p}(\pi_1,\pi_2) = k_{2,\delta} \mathbb{E} \left( \kappa_{\langle U \otimes U \rangle_N}^{p(1+2\delta)} \left\langle \left| \frac{U - U_*}{\sqrt{2}} \right|^{2p(1+\delta)} \right\rangle_N \right)^{-1/2p\delta} \mathbb{E} \left( \left\langle |V|^{2q(1+\delta)} \right\rangle_N \right)^{-1/2q\delta}$$

with constant

$$k_{2,\delta} = 2^{-9/2 - 2/\delta} \frac{d-2}{d-1} \frac{(1+\delta)^{1+1/\delta}}{(1+2\delta)^{1+1/2\delta}}.$$

*Proof.* Hölder's inequality with 1/p + 1/q = 1 implies

$$\mathbb{E}\left(d(U,V)^2\right) \le \mathbb{E}\left(d(U,V)^{2q}\mathcal{C}_2(U,V)^{-q/p}\right)^{1/q} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{C}_2(U,V)\right)^{1/p}$$

Then the result follows by taking  $p = 1 + 1/2\delta$  and  $q = 1 + 2\delta$ , together with the standard inequality for  $n \ge 1$ :

$$|v - v_*|^n \le 2^{n-1} (|v|^n + |v_*|^n).$$

#### 4.2 Moments of a Wishart's eigenvalues ratio

In this section, we detail an estimate of the moments of the random condition number  $\kappa(\langle U \otimes U \rangle_N) = (1 - \lambda_{\max}(\langle U \otimes U \rangle_N))^{-1}$ , when U is distributed uniformly on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{dN-d-1}$  defined by the centering and normalization condition used in the present paper:  $\langle U \otimes U \rangle_N = 0$ , and  $\operatorname{Tr}(\langle U \otimes U \rangle_N) = 1$ .

We start with a well-known result from random matrix theory:

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $U \sim \operatorname{unif}_{\mathbb{S}^{dN-d-1}}$ , the uniform probability distribution on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{dN-d-1}$ defined by  $\langle U \otimes U \rangle_N = 0$ , and  $\operatorname{Tr} (\langle U \otimes U \rangle_N) = 1$ . The order statistics  $0 \leq L_1^N \leq \ldots \leq L_d^N \leq 1$  of the eigenvalues of  $\langle U \otimes U \rangle_N$  are distributed according to

$$\frac{1}{Z(N-1,d)} \prod_{i=1}^{d} l_i^{(N-2-d)/2} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le d} (l_j - l_i) \mathbb{I}_{0 \le l_1 \le \dots \le l_d \le 1} \operatorname{vol}_{l_1 + \dots + l_d = 1}(\mathrm{d}l),$$
(4.2)

where vol is induced by the canonical euclidean structure of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and the normalization constant satisfies:

$$Z(N-1,d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi^{d/2} \Gamma\left((N-1)d/2\right) / \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \Gamma\left((d-i)/2\right) \Gamma\left((N-1-i)/2\right) .$$

*Proof.* Step (i). The point is to rewrite the distribution of  $\langle U \otimes U \rangle_N$  as a rescaled Wishart distribution, using a sample co-variance matrix associated to normal idependent random variables. For this purpose, denote:

$$(G_{(1)}, \cdots, G_{(N)}) \sim \mathcal{N}(d, N),$$

a normal random matrix of size  $d \times N$  with centered and normalized i.i.d. entries. Then Cochran's theorem ensures that

$$(G_{(1)} - \langle G \rangle_N, \cdots, G_{(N)} - \langle G \rangle_N),$$

is a normal vector with identity co-variance in the sub-vector space defined by  $\langle g \rangle_N = 0$ , so that

$$\frac{1}{\left\langle \left|G - \left\langle G \right\rangle_{N}\right|^{2} \right\rangle_{N}} (G_{(1)} - \left\langle G \right\rangle_{N}, \cdots, G_{(N)} - \left\langle G \right\rangle_{N}) \in \mathbb{S}^{dN-d-1},$$

is uniformly distributed.

On the other hand,  $\langle (G - \langle G \rangle_N) \otimes (G - \langle G \rangle_N) \rangle$  (called a sample co-variance of a multivariate normal distribution), and is well-known to be distributed according to a Wishart distribution of dimension d with N - 1 degrees of freedom, denoted  $\mathcal{W}_d(N - 1)$  see for instance [3].

As a consequence, the spectrum of  $\langle U \otimes U \rangle_N$ ,  $0 \leq L_1^N \leq \ldots \leq L_d^N \leq 1$ , is distributed according to

$$0 \le \frac{M_1^N}{\sum_i M_i^N} \le \dots \le \frac{M_d^N}{\sum_i M_i^N} \le 1,$$

where  $0 \le M_1^N \le \ldots \le M_d^N \le 1$  is the spectrum of a Wishart distribution  $\mathcal{W}_d(N-1)$ .

Step (ii). The spectrum of Wishart distributions, and related quantities, can be computed explicitly. It is a classical topic of random matrix theory (see e.g. [3, 2, 32].

This leads to the following property

**Lemma 4.4.** For any  $p \ge 1$ , and  $L_d^N$  as in Lemma 4.3. Then,

$$\frac{d-1}{d} \ge \mathbb{E}\left((1-L_d^N)^{-p}\right)^{-1/p} \underset{N \to +\infty}{\sim} \frac{d-1}{d}$$

Proof. By Jensen's inequality

$$(1 - L_d^N)^{-p} = (L_2^N + \dots + L_d^N)^{-p} \le \frac{1}{(d-1)^p} \prod_{\substack{i=2\\ = (L_d^N)^{p/(d-1)} \prod_{i=1}^d (L_i^N)^{-p/(d-1)}}},$$

so that, using the explicit formula (4.2),

$$\mathbb{E}\left((1-L_d^N)^{-p}\right) \le \frac{Z(N_{\text{eff}},d)}{Z(N,d)} \mathbb{E}\left((L_d^{N_{\text{eff}}})^{p/(d-1)}\right),$$

where  $N_{\rm eff} = N - 2p/(d-1)$  is an effective sample size. Then by dominated convergence

$$\mathbb{E}\left((L_d^{N_{\text{eff}}})^{p/(d-1)}\right) \underset{N \to +\infty}{\to} d^{-p/(d-1)};$$

and by Stirling's formula:

$$\frac{\Gamma\left((N-1)d/2\right)}{\Gamma\left((N_{\rm eff}-1)d/2\right)} \mathop{\sim}_{N \to +\infty} \left((N-1)d/2\right)^{(N-N_{\rm eff})d/2},$$

as well as

$$\frac{\Gamma\left((N-1)/2 - i/2\right)}{\Gamma\left((N_{\text{eff}} - 1)/2 - i/2\right)} \underset{N \to +\infty}{\sim} \left((N-1)/2\right)^{(N-N_{\text{eff}})/2},$$

so that

$$\frac{Z(N_{\text{eff}}-1,d)}{Z(N-1,d)} \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{} d^{pd/(d-1)}.$$

The result follows.

#### 4.3 Proof of Theorem 1.6

Step 1: Initial condition. Let  $\pi_t$  denotes the distribution of the Kac's particle system at time  $t \ge 0$ . We fix  $t \ge 0$ , and following Lemma 1.3, we take as a new initial condition

$$\left(\widetilde{U}_{t,(1)},\widetilde{V}_{t,(1)},\ldots,\widetilde{U}_{t,(N)},\widetilde{V}_{t,(N)}\right) = \left(U_{t,(\Sigma(1))},V_{t,(\Sigma(1))},\ldots,U_{t,(\Sigma(N))},V_{t,(\Sigma(N))}\right) \in (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^N,$$

where  $(U_t, V_t, \Sigma) \in (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^N \times \operatorname{Sym}_N$  is a representative of the  $d_{\operatorname{sym}, W_2}$ -optimal coupling between  $\pi_{\infty} = \operatorname{Law}(U_t)$  and  $\pi_t = \operatorname{Law}(V_t)$ . We denote  $\tilde{\pi}_t = \operatorname{Law}(\tilde{V}_t)$  and remark that although  $\pi_t = \tilde{\pi}_t$ , the distributions  $\tilde{\pi}_t$  and  $\pi_t$  have the same permutation invariant moments or observables. Finally, Lemma 1.3 implies the positive correlation assumption  $\left\langle \tilde{U}_t \cdot \tilde{V}_t \right\rangle_N \geq 0$  a.s..

Step 2: Propagation. Let  $b_0 = \int \beta(d\theta) < +\infty$  a given angular cut-off. Consider the solution  $h \mapsto (\tilde{U}_{t+h}, \tilde{V}_{t+h})$  of the coupled Kac's particle system with the initial condition  $(\tilde{U}_t, \tilde{V}_t)$  and angular cut-off  $b_0$ . We get:

$$d_{\mathrm{sym},W_2}(\pi_t,\pi_\infty)^2 = \mathbb{E}\left\langle \left| \widetilde{U}_t - \widetilde{V}_t \right|^2 \right\rangle_N = \mathbb{E}\left\langle \left| \widetilde{U}_{t+h} - \widetilde{V}_{t+h} \right|^2 \right\rangle_N + \int_0^h \mathbb{E}\left( \mathcal{C}_2\left( \widetilde{U}_{t+h'}, \widetilde{V}_{t+h'} \right) \right) \mathrm{d}h'.$$

Next, by definition of the Wasserstein distance:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\langle \left| \widetilde{U}_{t+h} - \widetilde{V}_{t+h} \right|^2 \right\rangle_N \ge d_{W_2}^2 \left( \widetilde{\pi}_{t+h}, \pi_\infty \right);$$

Now, since the coupling distance is almost surely decreasing (and energy is conserved), the positive correlation condition propagtes so that

$$\left\langle \widetilde{U}_{t+h'} \cdot \widetilde{V}_{t+h'} \right\rangle_N \ge 0$$
 a.s.  $\forall h' \ge 0,$ 

and we can apply Lemma 4.2 to get

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(\widetilde{U}_{t+h'},\widetilde{V}_{t+h'}\right)\right) \geq 2c_{\delta,q,N}(\widetilde{\pi}_{t+h'},\pi_{\infty})\left(\mathbb{E}\left\langle\left|\widetilde{U}_{t+h'}-\widetilde{V}_{t+h'}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{N}\right)^{1+1/2\delta}\right)$$
$$\geq 2c_{\delta,q,N}(\widetilde{\pi}_{t+h'},\pi_{\infty})d_{W_{2}}\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{t+h},\pi_{\infty}\right)^{2+1/\delta}.$$

Then, it is possible take the limit  $b_0 \to +\infty$  of vanishing cut-off in the above inequality. Indeed, it is known (see for instance [21]) that any well-defined Makov process (including diffusion and Levy processes) on a manifold can be approximated by a bounded jump process, in the sense of convergence of distribution on trajectory (Skorokhod) space. As a consequence, we can assume that if  $\tilde{\pi}_t$  is a solution without angular cut-off, it is possible to construct a sequence  $\tilde{\pi}_t^{b_{0,n}}$  with angular cut-off such that  $\tilde{\pi}_t^{b_{0,n}} \to \tilde{\pi}_t$  in distribution. Since the Wasserstein distance  $d_{W_2}$  metrizes weak convergence, the state space  $\mathbb{S}^{Nd-N-1}$  is compact, and moments are continuous observables, it is possible to remove the angular cut-off in the above inequality.

Finally, using the inequality

$$d_{\operatorname{sym},W_2}(\pi_{t+h},\pi_{\infty}) = d_{\operatorname{sym},W_2}(\widetilde{\pi}_{t+h},\pi_{\infty}) \le d_{W_2}(\widetilde{\pi}_{t+h},\pi_{\infty}),$$

we obtain the result:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^+}{\mathrm{d}t} d_{\mathrm{sym},W_2}^2\left(\pi_t,\pi_\infty\right) \le -c_{\delta,q,N}(\pi_t,\pi_\infty) d_{\mathrm{sym},W_2}^2\left(\pi_t,\pi_\infty\right).$$

#### Step 3: N-uniform control of the constant.

Let us introduce the notation

$$c_{\delta,q,N}(\pi_t,\pi_\infty) = k_{\delta,q,N} \mathbb{E}\left(\left\langle |V_t|^{2q(1+\delta)} \right\rangle_N\right)^{-1/2q\delta},$$

with

$$k_{\delta,q,N} = 2^{-9/2 - 2\delta} \frac{d-2}{d-1} \frac{(1+\delta)^{1+1/\delta}}{(1+2\delta)^{1+1/2\delta}} \times \mathbb{E} \left( \kappa_{\langle U \otimes U \rangle_N}^{p(1+2\delta)} \left\langle \left| \frac{U-U_*}{\sqrt{2}} \right|^{2p(1+\delta)} \right\rangle_N \right)^{-1/2p\delta}, \quad (4.3)$$

where U is distributed uniformly on sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{Nd-N-1}$ . Using the integrability property in Lemma 4.4, and the well-known convergence with large dimension of the uniform distribution on spheres towards Gaussian distributions, we obtain:

$$\lim_{N \to +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left( \kappa_{\langle U \otimes U \rangle_N}^{p(1+2\delta)} \left\langle \left| \frac{U - U_*}{\sqrt{2}} \right|^{2p(1+\delta)} \right\rangle_N \right)^{-1/2p\delta} = \left( \frac{d-1}{d} \right)^{1+1/2\delta} \mathbb{E} (|G_d|^{2p(1+\delta)})^{-1/2p\delta}.$$

where  $G_d$  a *d*-dimensional centered normal distribution with  $\mathbb{E}(|G_d|^2) = 1$ . The result follows.

#### 4.4 Order 4 moment control

In this section, the evolution of the radial order 4 moment of the Kac's particle system is calculated.

$$(v, v_*, v', v'_*) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^4$$

denotes a solution of the collision mapping (1.1), and we will use again the following quantities:

$$\begin{cases} n_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (v - v_*) / |v - v_*|, \\ 2s_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v + v_*, \\ 2d_v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v - v_*. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma (2.4), it is possible to: (i) pick  $(n_v, m_v) \in (S^{d-1})^2$ , an orthonormal pair such that  $s_v \in \text{Span}(n_v, m_v)$ ; (ii) consider spherical coordinates such that:

$$n'_v = \cos\theta n_v + \sin\theta\cos\varphi m_v + \sin\theta\sin\varphi l_v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$

where  $l_v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \cap \text{Span}(n_v, m_v)^{\perp}$ ; (iii) and write the collision kernel in  $(\theta, \varphi, l_v)$ -coordinates as:

$$b(n_v, \mathrm{d}n'_v) \equiv \mathrm{unif}_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \cap \mathrm{Span}(n_v, m_v)^{\perp}}(\mathrm{d}l_v) \sin^{d-3}(\varphi) \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{w_{d-3}} \beta(\mathrm{d}\theta).$$

**Lemma 4.5.** Under the normalized Levy condition (0.5), the post-collisional order 4 radial moment satisfies:

$$\Delta_4(v, v_*) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |v'|^4 + |v'_*|^4 - |v|^4 - |v_*|^4 b(n_v, \mathrm{d}n'_v) = -\frac{1}{4} \left( |v|^4 + |v_*|^4 \right) + \frac{d+1}{2(d-1)} |v|^2 |v_*|^2 - \frac{1}{d-1} \left( v \cdot v_* \right)^2.$$
(4.4)

*Proof.* Straightforward calculation (that can be double-checked using the stationarity under the Boltzmann kernel b of product Gaussian distributions of the form  $\mathcal{N}(dv) \otimes \mathcal{N}(dv_*)$ ). See also the classical paper [24] for a general treatment of moments for Maxwell molecules.

It then follows:

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $(V_t)_{t\geq 0} \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$  a centered and normalized conservative Kac's particle system. Then for any  $t \geq 0$ :

$$\mathbb{E}\left\langle |V_t|^4 \right\rangle_N \le e^{-t/2} \left( \mathbb{E}\left\langle |V_0|^4 \right\rangle_N - \frac{d+2}{d} \right) + \frac{d+2}{d}$$

Moreover, denoting (convention:  $\ln a = -\infty$  if a < 0):

$$t_* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2\left( \ln\left(\frac{d}{d+2}\mathbb{E}\left\langle |V_0|^4 \right\rangle_N - 1\right) \right)^+$$

then for any  $\gamma, t > 0$ :

$$\int_0^t \left( \mathbb{E} \left\langle |V_s|^4 \right\rangle_N \right)^{-\gamma} \mathrm{d}s \ge \left(\frac{2d+4}{d}\right)^{-\gamma} \left(t-t_*\right)^+.$$
(4.5)

*Proof.* The order 4 formula (4.4) implies that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathbb{E} \left\langle |V_t|^4 \right\rangle_N = \left\langle \Delta_4(V_t, V_{t,*}) \right\rangle_N$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left\langle |V_t|^4 \right\rangle_N + \frac{d+1}{2(d-1)} - \frac{1}{d-1} \mathbb{E} \underbrace{\operatorname{Tr} \left( \left\langle V_t \otimes V_t \right\rangle_N^2 \right)}_{\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{Id}) = d$$

$$\leq -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left\langle |V_t|^4 \right\rangle_N + \frac{d+2}{2d},$$

so that the first result follows by Gronwall's argument.

Now if  $t_* = 0$ , then  $\mathbb{E}\left\langle |V_0|^4 \right\rangle_N \leq \frac{2d+4}{d}$ . Otherwise, since  $e^{-t_*/2} \left( \mathbb{E}\left\langle |V_0|^4 \right\rangle_N - \frac{d+2}{d} \right) = \frac{d+2}{d}$  we obtain  $\mathbb{E}\left\langle |V_t|^4 \right\rangle_N \leq \frac{2d+4}{d}$ .

The result follows.

#### 4.5 Proof of Proposition 1.7

We can then apply Gronwall's lemma to Theorem 1.6 with the estimate (4.5). Since  $2\delta(1+p) = 4$ , we take  $\gamma = 1/2p\delta = 1/4 + 1/4\delta$ , and find:

$$c_{\delta,N} = k_{\delta,\frac{2}{1-\delta},N} \left(\frac{2d+4}{d}\right)^{-1/2-1/2\delta},$$

where in the above  $k_{\delta,\frac{2}{1-\delta},N}$  is defined by (4.3). The result follows.

#### References

- [1] Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Gigli, and Giuseppe Savaré. *Gradient flows: in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures.* Springer, 2006.
- [2] Greg W Anderson, Alice Guionnet, and Ofer Zeitouni. An introduction to random matrices. Number 118. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [3] Theodore Wilbur Anderson. An introduction to multivariate statistical analysis, volume 2. Wiley New York, 1958.
- [4] Dominique Bakry, Ivan Gentil, Michel Ledoux, et al. Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators. Springer, 2014.

- [5] AlexanderV. Bobylev and Carlo Cercignani. On the rate of entropy production for the boltzmann equation. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 94(3-4):603–618, 1999.
- [6] AV Bobylev. The theory of the nonlinear boltzmann equation for maxwell molecules. Mathematical physics reviews, 7:111, 1988.
- [7] François Bolley, Ivan Gentil, and Arnaud Guillin. Convergence to equilibrium in wasserstein distance for fokker-planck equations. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 2012.
- [8] François Bolley, Ivan Gentil, and Arnaud Guillin. Uniform convergence to equilibrium for granular media. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, pages 1–17, 2012.
- [9] EA Carlen and MC Carvalho. Strict entropy production bounds and stability of the rate of convergence to equilibrium for the boltzmann equation. *Journal of statistical physics*, 67(3-4):575–608, 1992.
- [10] Eric A Carlen, Maria C Carvalho, and Michael Loss. Determination of the spectral gap for kacś master equation and related stochastic evolution. Acta mathematica, 191(1):1–54, 2003.
- [11] Eric A Carlen, Jeffrey S Geronimo, and Michael Loss. Determination of the spectral gap in the kac's model for physical momentum and energy-conserving collisions. SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 40(1):327–364, 2008.
- [12] Eric A Carlen and Xuguang Lu. Fast and slow convergence to equilibrium for maxwellian molecules via wild sums. *Journal of statistical physics*, 112(1-2):59–134, 2003.
- [13] C Cercignani. H-theorem and trend to equilibrium in the kinetic theory of gases. Archiv of Mechanics, Archiwum Mechaniki Stosowanej, 34:231–241, 1982.
- [14] Carlo Cercignani. Mathematical methods in kinetic theory. Plenum Press New York, 1969.
- [15] Laurent Desvillettes, Clément Mouhot, Cédric Villani, et al. Celebrating cercignani's conjecture for the boltzmann equation. *Kinetic and related models*, 4(1):277–294, 2011.
- [16] Laurent Desvillettes and Cédric Villani. On the spatially homogeneous landau equation for hard potentials part ii: h-theorem and applications: H-theorem and applications. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 25(1-2):261–298, 2000.
- [17] Persi Diaconis and Laurent Saloff-Coste. Bounds for kacś master equation. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 209(3):729–755, 2000.
- [18] Emanuele Dolera, Ester Gabetta, and Eugenio Regazzini. Reaching the best possible rate of convergence to equilibrium for solutions of kac equation via central limit theorem. The Annals of Applied Probability, 19(1):186–209, 2009.
- [19] Emanuele Dolera and Eugenio Regazzini. The role of the central limit theorem in discovering sharp rates of convergence to equilibrium for the solution of the kac equation. The Annals of Applied Probability, 20(2):430–461, 2010.
- [20] Emanuele Dolera and Eugenio Regazzini. Proof of a mckean conjecture on the rate of convergence of boltzmann-equation solutions. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, pages 1–75, 2012.

- [21] S. N. Ethier and T. G. Kurtz. Markov Processes. Characterization and Convergence. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, 1985.
- [22] Nicolas Fournier and Stéphane Mischler. Rate of convergence of the nanbu particle system for hard potentials. arXiv preprint arXiv:1302.5810, 2013.
- [23] Nicolas Fournier and Clément Mouhot. On the well-posedness of the spatially homogeneous boltzmann equation with a moderate angular singularity. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 289(3):803–824, 2009.
- [24] E Ikenberry and C Truesdell. On the pressures and flux of energy in a gas according to {M} axwell\'s kinetic theory. J. Rat. Mech. Anal., 5, 1956.
- [25] David Asher Levin, Yuval Peres, and Elizabeth Lee Wilmer. Markov chains and mixing times. AMS Bookstore, 2009.
- [26] Jan Maas. Gradient flows of the entropy for finite markov chains. Journal of Functional Analysis, 261(8):2250–2292, 2011.
- [27] Florient Malrieu. Logarithmic sobolev inequalities for some nonlinear pde's. Stochastic processes and their applications, 95(1):109–132, 2001.
- [28] Stéphane Mischler and Clément Mouhot. About kac's program in kinetic theory. Comptes Rendus Mathematique, 349(23):1245–1250, 2011.
- [29] Clément Mouhot. Rate of convergence to equilibrium for the spatially homogeneous boltzmann equation with hard potentials. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 261(3):629–672, 2006.
- [30] Roberto Imbuzeiro Oliveira. On the convergence to equilibrium of kacś random walk on matrices. The Annals of Applied Probability, 19(3):1200–1231, 2009.
- [31] Yann Ollivier. Ricci curvature of markov chains on metric spaces. Journal of Functional Analysis, 256(3):810–864, 2009.
- [32] FJ Schuurmann, PR Krishnaiah, and AK Chattopadhyay. On the distributions of the ratios of the extreme roots to the trace of the wishart matrix. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 3(4):445–453, 1973.
- [33] Hiroshi Tanaka. Probabilistic treatment of the boltzmann equation of maxwellian molecules. Probability Theory and Related Fields, 46(1):67–105, 1978.
- [34] G Toscani and C Villani. Probability metrics and uniqueness of the solution to the boltzmann equation for a maxwell gas. *Journal of statistical physics*, 94(3-4):619–637, 1999.
- [35] G Toscani and C Villani. Sharp entropy dissipation bounds and explicit rate of trend to equilibrium for the spatially homogeneous boltzmann equation. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 203(3):667–706, 1999.
- [36] Cédric Villani. A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. *Handbook* of mathematical fluid dynamics, 1:71–74, 2002.

- [37] Cédric Villani. Cercignani's conjecture is sometimes true and always almost true. Communications in mathematical physics, 234(3):455–490, 2003.
- [38] Cédric Villani. Optimal transport: old and new, volume 338. Springer, 2008.
- [39] Max-K von Renesse and Karl-Theodor Sturm. Transport inequalities, gradient estimates, entropy and ricci curvature. Communications on pure and applied mathematics, 58(7):923–940, 2005.
- [40] Feng-Yu Wang. On estimation of the logarithmic sobolev constant and gradient estimates of heat semigroups. Probability theory and related fields, 108(1):87–101, 1997.