

Persistence exponent for random processes in Brownian scenery

Fabienne Castell, Nadine Guillotin-Plantard, Frederique Watbled

▶ To cite this version:

Fabienne Castell, Nadine Guillotin-Plantard, Frederique Watbled. Persistence exponent for random processes in Brownian scenery. 2014. hal-01017142v1

HAL Id: hal-01017142 https://hal.science/hal-01017142v1

Preprint submitted on 1 Jul 2014 (v1), last revised 16 Feb 2015 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

PERSISTENCE EXPONENT FOR RANDOM PROCESSES IN BROWNIAN SCENERY

FABIENNE CASTELL, NADINE GUILLOTIN-PLANTARD, AND FRÉDÉRIQUE WATBLED

ABSTRACT. We consider the one-sided exit problem for random processes in Brownian scenery, that is the asymptotic behaviour for large T, of the probability

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\Delta_t\leq 1\Big]$$

where

$$\Delta_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t(x) \, dW(x).$$

Here $W = \{W(x); x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a two-sided standard real Brownian motion and $\{L_t(x); x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0\}$ is the local time of some self-similar random process with stationary increments, independent from the process W.

1. Introduction

Let $W = \{W(x); x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a standard two-sided real Brownian motion and $Y = \{Y(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a real-valued self-similar process of index $\gamma \in (0,2)$ (i.e. for any c > 0, $\{Y(ct), t \geq 0\} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{c^{\gamma}Y(t), t \geq 0\}$), with stationary increments. When it exists, we will denote by $\{L_t(x); x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0\}$ a version of the local time of the process $\{Y(t); t \geq 0\}$. The process L satisfies the occupation density formula: for each bounded measurable function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and for each $t \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^t f(Y(s))ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)L_t(x)dx. \tag{1}$$

The processes W and Y are defined on the same probability space and are assumed to be independent. We consider the random process in Brownian scenery $\{\Delta_t; t \geq 0\}$ defined as

$$\Delta_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t(x) \, dW(x).$$

The process Δ is itself a h-self-similar process with stationary increments, with

$$h := 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}.$$

This process can be seen as a mixture of Gaussian processes, but it is neither Gaussian nor Markovian. It appeared independently in the mathematical literature (see [13],[3]), and in the physics literature (see [17]) where it was originally introduced to model diffusion in layered white-noise velocity field. Note indeed that Δ_t can formally be written as $\int_0^t \dot{W}(Y(s))ds$. Thus, it describes the horizontal motion of a tracer particle in a (1+1)-dimensional medium, where the motion of the particle along the vertical direction y is described by the process Y, while along the horizontal direction, the particle is driven by the white noise velocity field $\dot{W}(y)$, that depends only on the vertical coordinate y. The process $\{\Delta_t; t \geq 0\}$ provides a simple example

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 60F05; 60F17; 60G15; 60G18; 60K37.

Key words and phrases. Random scenery, First passage time, One-sided barrier problem, One-sided exit problem, Survival exponent, Persistence.

This research was supported by the french ANR project MEMEMO2 2010 BLAN 0125.

of anomalous super-diffusion, being for instance of order $t^{3/4}$ when Y is a Brownian motion. In this paper, we are interested in the *persistence probability* of the process Δ , i.e. the asymptotic behaviour of

$$\mathcal{F}(T) := \mathbb{P}\Big[\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \Delta_t \le 1\Big]$$

as $T \to +\infty$. The study of the one-sided supremum process of random processes is a classical issue in probability. One usually gets polynomial decay of the persistence probability: $\mathcal{F}(T) \simeq T^{-\theta}$ for a non-negative θ , often called persistence exponent, or survival exponent. Classical examples where this exponent can be computed, include random walks or Lévy processes, and we refer the reader to the recent survey paper [1] for an account of models where the persistence exponent is known. However, there are relevant physical situations where this exponent remains unknown (see for instance [15]). The persistence exponent of the Brownian motion in Brownian scenery was studied by Redner [19, 20], and Majumdar [16]. Based on physical arguments, numerical simulations and analogy with Fractional Brownian Motion, Redner and Majumdar conjectured the value of the persistence exponent. In [6], their conjecture was proved up to logarithmic factors, when the process Y is a stable Lévy process with index $\delta \in (1,2]$. The proof of [6] depends heavily on the increments independence of the process Y and the question raises if it is possible to compute the persistence exponent without it. The aim of this paper is to answer this question, and to provide assumptions on Y allowing to compute the persistence exponent of the random process in Brownian scenery.

(H1): There exists a version $\{L_t(x); x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0\}$ of the local time of Y;

(H2): Y is a self-similar process of index $\gamma \in (0,2)$;

(H3): Y has stationary increments;

(H4): Let $V_1 := \int L_1^2(x) dx$ be the self-intersection local time of Y. There exist a real number $\alpha > 1$, and positive constants C, c such that for any $t \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[V_1 \ge t\right] \le C \exp(-ct^{\alpha});$$

(H5): There exist a real number $\beta > 0$, and positive constants C, c such that for any t > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[V_1 \le t\right] \le C \exp(-ct^{-\beta}).$$

Our main result is the following one.

Theorem 1. Assume (H1) to (H5) hold. There exists a constant c > 0, such that for large enough T,

$$T^{-\gamma/2}(\ln T)^{-c} \le \mathbb{P}\Big[\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \Delta_t \le 1\Big] \le T^{-\gamma/2}(\ln T)^{+c}.$$
 (2)

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides three examples of processes satisfying (H1) to (H5), including the stable Lévy process with index $\delta \in (1,2]$, and thus generalizing the result of [6]. Section 3 states some useful properties of the process Δ . Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.

2. Some examples

2.1. A sufficient condition for (H5). In this section we assume that (H1) holds and we provide a sufficient condition on $\{Y(t), t \geq 0\}$ allowing to check (H5). Let us first compare V_1 with $\max_{s \in [0,1]} |Y(s)|$. By noting that

$$1 = \int_{\{|x| \le \max_{s \in [0,1]} |Y(s)|\}} L_1(x) dx \le V_1^{1/2} \sqrt{2 \max_{s \in [0,1]} |Y(s)|},$$

we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2 \max_{s \in [0,1]} |Y(s)|} \le V_1. \tag{3}$$

Lemma 2.1. Assume that (H1) holds and that there exist positive constants C and c, and a real number $\beta > 0$ such that for all t > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]}|Y(s)|\geq t\right]\leq C\exp\left(-ct^{\beta}\right).$$

Then, (H5) holds true.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of (3) that for all t > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[V_1 \leq t\right] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s \in [0,1]} |Y(s)| \geq \frac{1}{2t}\right] \leq C \exp(-c2^{-\beta}t^{-\beta}).$$

2.2. **Stable Lévy processes.** A process $Y = \{Y(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a strictly stable Lévy process with index $\delta \in (1,2]$ if it is a process with stationary and independent increments, such that Y(0) = 0 and for any $t \geq 0$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{iuY(t)}] = \exp\left\{-c|u|^{\delta}t\left(1 + i\zeta \operatorname{sgn}(u)\tan(\pi\delta/2)\right)\right\},\tag{4}$$

where $\zeta \in [-1, 1]$, and c is a positive scale parameter.

Lemma 2.2. The stable Lévy process with index $\delta \in (1,2]$ satisfies (H1) to (H5) with $\gamma = \frac{1}{\delta}$, $\alpha = \delta$, and $\beta = \frac{\delta}{2\delta - 1}$.

Proof. It is immediate that the Lévy process satisfies (H2) and (H3). A continuous version of the local time exists since $\delta > 1$ (this was proved by Boylan [4]), so it satisfies (H1). Moreover, Corollary 5.6 in [14] states that there exist positive constants C and ξ s.t. for every t > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}[V_1 \ge t] \le Ce^{-\xi t^{\delta}},$$

which gives (H4). To prove (H5) we let $V_t := \int L_t^2(x) dx$ be the self-intersection local time of Y up to time t for $t \ge 0$, and we show that there exists l > 0 such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[V_t \le 1\right] \le e^{-lt} \text{ for } t \text{ large enough.} \tag{5}$$

As $\{V_t, t \geq 0\}$ is self-similar of index $\frac{2\delta-1}{\delta}$, (5) implies that for every positive ε small enough,

$$\mathbb{P}[V_1 \le \varepsilon] = \mathbb{P}[V_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{\delta}{2\delta - 1}}} \le 1] \le e^{-l\varepsilon^{-\frac{\delta}{2\delta - 1}}},$$

which gives (H5). To prove (5) we show that the function defined on \mathbb{R}^+ by

$$f(t) = \log \mathbb{P}[V_t \le 1]$$

is subadditive. Let us fix s, t in $[0, +\infty)$. We consider the process $Y^{(s)} := \{Y_u^{(s)}, u \ge 0\}$ defined by

$$Y_{u}^{(s)} = Y_{u+s} - Y_{s},$$

its local time $\{L_u^{(s)}(x); x \in \mathbb{R}, u \geq 0\}$, and its self-intersection local time $\{V_u^{(s)}, u \geq 0\}$. Note that

$$L_{t+s}(x) = L_s(x) + L_t^{(s)}(x - Y_s).$$

Hence

$$V_{t+s} \ge V_s + V_t^{(s)}.$$

The process Y being a Lévy process, $V_t^{(s)}$ and V_s are independent and $V_t^{(s)}$ has the same law as V_t . Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}[V_{t+s} \le 1] \le \mathbb{P}[V_s \le 1; V_t^{(s)} \le 1] = \mathbb{P}[V_s \le 1] \mathbb{P}[V_t \le 1].$$

Thus f is subadditive, which implies that $\frac{f(t)}{t}$ converges, as $t \to +\infty$, towards a limit $-l = \inf_{t>0} \frac{f(t)}{t}$ (see [11]). It remains to show that l is strictly positive. As -l is less or equal than f(1), it is enough to show that $\mathbb{P}[V_1 > 1] > 0$. But thanks to (3) and Proposition 10.3 of [9],

$$\mathbb{P}[V_1 > 1] \ge \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s \in [0,1]} |Y(s)| < \frac{1}{2}\right] > 0,$$

and this concludes the proof of (5).

2.3. Fractional Brownian motion. The Fractional Brownian motion of Hurst index $H \in (0,1)$ is the real centered Gaussian process $\{B_H(t), t \geq 0\}$ with covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}[B_H(t)B_H(s)] = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}).$$

Lemma 2.3. The Fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $H \in (0,1)$ satisfies (H1) to (H5) with $\gamma = H$, $\alpha = 1/H$, $\beta = 2$.

Proof. It follows readily from the definition that B_H is self-similar with index H and has stationary increments. The existence of a jointly continuous version of its local time process for $H \in (0,1)$ is a classical fact (see for instance paragraph 22 in [10]). Theorem 1 of [12] asserts that there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for every $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda V_1^{1/H}}\right] < \infty \,, \tag{6}$$

which implies (H4) with $\alpha = 1/H$. Finally, (H5) follows from Lemma 2.1 and Fernique's estimation ([8], Theorem 4.1.1): there exists $c_F > 0$ such that for any $x \ge \sqrt{5}$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\max_{s \in [0,1]} |B_H(s)| \ge c_F x] \le 10 \int_{r}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{v^2}{2}} dv.$$
 (7)

This implies (H5) with $\beta = 2$.

2.4. **Iterated Brownian motion.** Let $\{B(x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a two-sided real standard Brownian motion, and let $\{\tilde{B}(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a real standard Brownian motion, independent of $\{B(x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. The iterated Brownian motion is the process $\{Y(t), t \geq 0\}$ defined by

$$Y(t) = B(\tilde{B}(t))$$
.

Lemma 2.4. The iterated Brownian motion satisfies (H1) to (H5) with $\gamma = 1/4$, and $\alpha = \beta = 4/3$.

Proof. The self-similarity and increments stationarity of the iterated Brownian motion are direct consequences of the self-similarity and increments independence of the Brownian motion. The existence and joint continuity of the local times of iterated Brownian motion were proved in

[5, 7]. Let us prove (H5). For x > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]}|Y(s)|\geq x\right] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]}\left|\tilde{B}(s)\right|\geq x^{2/3}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{|u|\leq x^{2/3}}|B(u)|\geq x\right] \\
\leq \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]}\left|\tilde{B}(s)\right|\geq x^{2/3}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{u\in[0,x^{2/3}]}|B(u)|\geq x\right] \\
\leq 2\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]}|B(s)|\geq x^{2/3}\right] \\
\leq 4\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]}|B(s)\geq x^{2/3}\right] = 4\mathbb{P}\left[|B(1)|\geq x^{2/3}\right]. \tag{8}$$

This proves (H5) with $\beta = 4/3$ using Lemma 2.1.

To prove (H4), we use the uniform norm on the local times of iterated Brownian motion proved in Lemma 4 of [21]: there exists a constant K > 0 such that for any even integer n,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\left[(L_1(x))^n \right] \le K^n (n!)^{3/4} \,. \tag{9}$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}} L_1(x) dx = 1$, Hölder's inequality implies that for any integer n,

$$V_1^n = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} L_1(x)^2 dx\right)^n \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_1(x)^{n+1} dx = \int_{-\max_{s \in [0,1]} |Y(s)|}^{\max_{s \in [0,1]} |Y(s)|} L_1(x)^{n+1} dx.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[V_{1}^{n}\right] \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\left[L_{1}(x)^{n+1}\mathbf{1}_{|x|\leq \max_{s\in[0,1]}|Y(s)|}\right] dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[L_{1}(x)^{2(n+1)}\right]} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]}|Y(s)|\geq|x|\right]} dx$$

$$\leq K^{n+1} \left((2n+2)!\right)^{3/8} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,1]}|Y(s)|\geq|x|\right]} dx, \tag{10}$$

where we used (9) in the last inequality. By (8), the integral in (10) is finite. We deduce then from Stirling's formula that there exits a constant C > 0 such that for any integer n,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[V_1^n\right] \le C^n n^{\frac{3}{4}n} \,.$$

Hence, for any t > 0, and any integer n,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[V_1 \ge t\right] \le t^{-n} C^n n^{\frac{3}{4}n}.$$

Optimizing over the values of n leads to take $n = \left[e^{-1} \left(\frac{t}{C}\right)^{4/3}\right]$, so that $t^{-n}C^n n^{\frac{3}{4}n} \simeq \exp(-\frac{3}{4e} \left(\frac{t}{C}\right)^{4/3})$ for large t. This proves that the iterated Brownian motion satisfies (H4) with $\alpha = 4/3$.

3. Auxiliary statements on $(\Delta_t, t \geq 0)$

For a certain class of stochastic processes $\{X_t; t \geq 0\}$ (to be specified below), Molchan [18] proved that the asymptotic behavior of

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}X_t\leq 1\Big]$$

is related to the quantity

$$I(T) := \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T e^{X_t} \ dt\right)^{-1}\right].$$

We refer to [2] where the relationship between both quantities is clearly explained as well as the heuristics.

Theorem 3.1 (Statement 1, [18]). Let $\{X_t; t \geq 0\}$ be a continuous process, self-similar with index $\gamma > 0$, with stationary increments s.t. for every $\theta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\theta\max_{t\in[0,1]}|X_t|\Big)\Big]<+\infty.$$

Then, as $T \to +\infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T e^{X_t} dt\right)^{-1}\right] = HT^{-(1-\gamma)} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\max_{t \in [0,1]} X_t\right] + o(1)\right).$$

By applying this result to our random process Δ we get

Proposition 3.2. Assume (H1) to (H4) hold. For any $\gamma \in (0,2)$, as $T \to +\infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T e^{\Delta_t} \ dt\right)^{-1}\right] = \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) T^{-\gamma/2} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\max_{t \in [0,1]} \Delta_t\right] + o(1)\right).$$

Before proving Proposition 3.2 we first establish three useful inequalities concerning the process $\{\Delta_t, t \geq 0\}$. First we show that the process satisfies the Kolmogorov-Centsov criterion, which allows us to assume that its sample paths are continuous (Lemma 3.3). Then we show that the process satisfies a maximal inequality (Lemma 3.4), and next we provide an exponential upper tail bound for Δ_1 (Lemma 3.5).

Lemma 3.3. Assume (H1) to (H4) hold. Then for every $a \ge 1$,

$$(\mathbb{E}|\Delta_t - \Delta_s|^a)^{1/a} = C(a)|t - s|^h, \ t, s \ge 0$$
(11)

where $C(a) \leq ca^{\nu}$ with $\nu := \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$. In particular the process satisfies the Kolmogorov-Centsov criterion of continuity.

Proof. The increments of the process Δ being stationary, by self-similarity, we have for every $t, s \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|\Delta_t - \Delta_s|^a] = |t - s|^{ha} \mathbb{E}[|\Delta_1|^a]$$

$$\leq |t - s|^{ha} \mathbb{E}[|\Delta_1|^{2([a]+1)}]^{a/(2([a]+1))}$$

From the formula of the even moments of a centered Gaussian law, we can derive the even moments of the random variable Δ_1 , namely, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1^{2m}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1^{2m}|Y]\right] = \mathbb{E}[V_1^m] \frac{(2m)!}{2^m m!}.$$

First of all, from Stirling's formula, for m large enough, we have

$$\frac{(2m)!}{2^m m!} \leq C \Big(\frac{2}{e}\Big)^m m^m$$

for some constant C > 0. Moreover, we deduce from (H4) that

$$\mathbb{E}[V_1^m] = \int_0^{+\infty} mx^{m-1} \mathbb{P}[V_1 \ge x] dx$$

$$\le \int_0^{+\infty} Cmx^{m-1} \exp(-cx^{\alpha}) dx = \frac{Cm}{\alpha} c^{-m/\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{\alpha}\right). \tag{12}$$

It is now easy to derive (11). In particular taking $a > \frac{1}{h}$ we get the Kolmogorov-Centsov criterion of continuity.

Lemma 3.4. Assume (H1) holds. Let $T, x \ge 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}[\max_{s \in [0,T]} \Delta_s \ge x | Y] \le 2\mathbb{P}[\Delta_T \ge x | Y],\tag{13}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{s\in[0,T]}\Delta_s \ge x\right] \le 2\mathbb{P}[\Delta_T \ge x]. \tag{14}$$

Proof. Conditionally to the process Y, the process $(\Delta_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a centered Gaussian process on \mathbb{R} with covariance function

 $\mathbb{E}\left[\Delta_s \Delta_t | Y\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_s(x) L_t(x) dx.$

Moreover for any $t \geq s \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Delta_t^2|Y\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta_s^2|Y\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[(\Delta_t - \Delta_s)^2|Y\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (L_t^2(x) - L_s^2(x) - (L_t(x) - L_s(x))^2) dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2L_s(x)(L_t(x) - L_s(x)) dx \ge 0,$$

hence applying Proposition 2.2 in [14], we deduce the inequality (13). By integrating we obtain the maximal inequality (14). \Box

Lemma 3.5. Assume (H1) and (H4) hold. There exist C > 0 and $\delta > 0$ such that for any x > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}[\Delta_1 \ge x] \le C \exp(-\delta x^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}). \tag{15}$$

Proof. Conditionally to the process Y, the random variable Δ_1 is a real centered Gaussian variable with variance V_1 . For each $u \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{u}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds.$$

Then for x > 0 and $\theta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\Delta_1 \ge x] = \int_0^{+\infty} \Phi(xz^{-1/2}) \mathbb{P}_{V_1}(dz) = \int_0^{x^{\theta}} \Phi(xz^{-1/2}) \mathbb{P}_{V_1}(dz) + \int_{x^{\theta}}^{+\infty} \Phi(xz^{-1/2}) \mathbb{P}_{V_1}(dz)$$

$$\leq \Phi(x^{1-\frac{\theta}{2}}) + \mathbb{P}[V_1 \ge x^{\theta}],$$

where we used that the function $z \mapsto \Phi(xz^{-1/2})$ is non decreasing with values in [0, 1].

Using (H4) and the classical inequality

$$\Phi(u) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}u}e^{-u^2/2}$$
 for every $u > 0$,

and choosing $\theta = \frac{2}{1+\alpha}$, we obtain that for x large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}[\Delta_1 \ge x] \le \frac{x^{-\alpha/(1+\alpha)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} + Ce^{-cx^{2\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} \le Ce^{-\delta x^{2\alpha/(1+\alpha)}},$$

with $\delta = \min(c, 1/2)$. This implies (15) for every x > 0.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. It follows easily from assumptions (H1), (H2), (H3), that the process $\{\Delta_t; t \geq 0\}$ is self-similar with index $h := 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}$, with stationary increments. By Lemma 3.3 we can assume that it is continuous. Hence, it is enough to prove that for every $\theta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\theta \max_{t \in [0,1]} |\Delta_t|\Big)\Big] < +\infty. \tag{16}$$

Let $\theta > 0$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\theta \max_{t \in [0,1]} |\Delta_t|\Big)\Big] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\Big[\exp\big(\theta \max_{t \in [0,1]} |\Delta_t|\big) \ge \lambda\Big] d\lambda$$
$$\le 2 + \int_2^\infty \mathbb{P}\Big[\max_{t \in [0,1]} |\Delta_t| \ge \frac{\ln(\lambda)}{\theta}\Big] d\lambda.$$

Since the process $\{\Delta_t; t \geq 0\}$ is symmetric and satisfies the maximal inequality (14) of Lemma 3.4,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\max_{t\in[0,1]}|\Delta_t| \ge \frac{\ln(\lambda)}{\theta}\Big] \le 2 \mathbb{P}\Big[\max_{t\in[0,1]}\Delta_t \ge \frac{\ln(\lambda)}{\theta}\Big]$$

$$< 4 \mathbb{P}[\Delta_1 > (\ln\lambda)/\theta].$$

We apply the inequality (15) of Lemma 3.5, and since the function $\lambda \to \exp(-\delta((\ln \lambda)/\theta)^{2\alpha/(1+\alpha)})$ is integrable at infinity for any $\alpha > 1$, the assertion (16) follows.

4. Proof of Theorem 1

Aurzada's proof of the lower bound of $\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T)}B_H(t)\leq 1\right]$ (see [2]), rests on both following arguments: the Fractional Brownian motion satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, and it satisfies the inequality (valid for a large enough)

$$(\mathbb{E}|B_H(t) - B_H(s)|^a)^{1/a} = C(a)|t - s|^H, \ t, s \ge 0 \tag{17}$$

with $C(a) \leq ca^{\nu}$, for some c and $\nu > 0$. Our random process Δ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, and we showed in Lemma 3.3 that it satisfies the inequality (17). Therefore the proof of Aurzada ([2]) allows us to derive the lower bound in Theorem 1.

As in [18] and [2], the main idea in the proof of the upper bound in (2), is to bound I(T) from below by restricting the expectation to a well-chosen set of paths.

Conditionally to Y, the process $\{\Delta_t; t \geq 0\}$ is a centered Gaussian process such that for every $0 \leq t < s$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_t \Delta_s | Y] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t(x) L_s(x) \, dx \ge 0,$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_t(\Delta_s - \Delta_t) | Y] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t(x) (L_s(x) - L_t(x)) \, dx \ge 0,$$

since $t \to L_t(x)$ is a.s. increasing for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows then from Slepian's lemma, that for every $0 \le u < v < w$ and every real numbers a, b,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[u,v]}\Delta_{t}\leq a, \sup_{t\in[v,w]}\Delta_{t}\leq b\Big|Y\right] \geq \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[u,v]}\Delta_{t}\leq a\Big|Y\right] \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[v,w]}\Delta_{t}\leq b\Big|Y\right] \tag{18}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[u,v]}\Delta_{t}\leq a, \sup_{t\in[v,w]}(\Delta_{t}-\Delta_{v})\leq b\Big|Y\right] \geq \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[u,v]}\Delta_{t}\leq a\Big|Y\right] \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[v,w]}(\Delta_{t}-\Delta_{v})\leq b\Big|Y\right].$$
(19)

Let $a_T = (\ln T)^a$, where a > 0 will be chosen later; let $\alpha_T := \frac{\ln T}{1 + \ln T}$, which belongs to (0, 1) and set $\beta_T = \sqrt{V_{a_T}}$ where $V_{a_T} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_{a_T}^2(x) dx$. Let us define the random function

$$\phi(t) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{for } 0 \le t < a_T, \\ 1 - \beta_T & \text{for } a_T \le t \le T, \end{array} \right.$$

which is Y-measurable. Clearly, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T e^{\Delta_t} dt\right)^{-\alpha_T} \middle| Y\right] \ge \left(\int_0^T e^{\phi(t)} dt\right)^{-\alpha_T} \mathbb{P}\left[\forall t \in [0, T], \Delta_t \le \phi(t) \middle| Y\right].$$

By Slepian's lemma (see (18)), we have

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\forall t \in [0,T], \Delta_t \leq \phi(t) \Big| Y \Big] \geq \mathbb{P}\Big[\forall t \in [0,a_T], \Delta_t \leq 1 \Big| Y \Big] \, \mathbb{P}\Big[\forall t \in [a_T,T], \Delta_t \leq 1 - \beta_T \Big| Y \Big].$$

Remark that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\Big[\forall t \in [a_T, T], \Delta_t \leq 1 - \beta_T \Big| Y \Big] & \geq & \mathbb{P}\Big[\Delta_{a_T} \leq -\beta_T; \forall t \in [a_T, T], \Delta_t - \Delta_{a_T} \leq 1 \Big| Y \Big] \\ & \geq & \mathbb{P}\Big[\Delta_{a_T} \leq -\beta_T \Big| Y \Big] \mathbb{P}\Big[\forall t \in [a_T, T], \Delta_t - \Delta_{a_T} \leq 1 \Big| Y \Big], \end{split}$$

by Slepian's lemma (see (19)). Note that

$$\mathbb{P}[\Delta_{a_T} \le -\beta_T | Y] = \Phi(\beta_T V_{a_T}^{-1/2}) = \Phi(1).$$

Moreover, it is easy to check that when T goes to infinity,

$$\int_{0}^{T} e^{\phi(t)} dt = O(a_T + Te^{-\beta_T}).$$

In the following C is a constant whose value may change but does not depend on T. Then we can write that for T large enough

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{\Delta_{t}} dt\right)^{-\alpha_{T}} \middle| Y\right] \geq C(a_{T} + Te^{-\beta_{T}})^{-\alpha_{T}} \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, a_{T}]} \Delta_{t} \leq 1 \middle| Y\right] \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t \in [a_{T}, T]} (\Delta_{t} - \Delta_{a_{T}}) \leq 1 \middle| Y\right]. \tag{20}$$

Next we use the maximal inequality (13) of Lemma 3.4 to write

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[0,a_T]}\Delta_t\leq 1\big|Y\big]=1-\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[0,a_T]}\Delta_t\geq 1\big|Y\big]\geq 1-2\mathbb{P}\big[\Delta_{a_T}\geq 1\big|Y\big]=\mathbb{P}[|Z|\leq V_{a_T}^{-1/2}|Y]$$

where Z is a Gaussian variable $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ independent of Y, from which we deduce that there exists a constant c>0 such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,a_T]}\Delta_t \le 1\big|Y\right] \ge c\min(V_{a_T}^{-1/2},1). \tag{21}$$

Injecting (21) into (20) we get that for T large enough.

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t \in [a_T, T]} (\Delta_t - \Delta_{a_T}) \le 1 \middle| Y\right] \le C \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T e^{\Delta_t} dt\right)^{-\alpha_T} \middle| Y\right] (a_T + Te^{-V_{a_T}^{1/2}})^{\alpha_T} \max(V_{a_T}^{1/2}, 1).$$

By integrating and using successively Hölder's inequality with $p_T = \frac{1}{\alpha_T}$, $\frac{1}{q_T} + \frac{1}{p_T} = 1$, Jensen's inequality, the inequality $(x+y)^{\alpha_T} \leq x^{\alpha_T} + y^{\alpha_T}$ for x,y>0, and Proposition 3.2, we get that for T large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[a_T,T]}(\Delta_t-\Delta_{a_T})\leq 1\big]$$

$$\leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{\Delta_{t}} dt \right)^{-1} \right]^{1/p_{T}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(a_{T}^{\alpha_{T}} \max(V_{a_{T}}^{1/2}, 1) + T^{\alpha_{T}} e^{-\alpha_{T} V_{a_{T}}^{1/2}} \max(V_{a_{T}}^{1/2}, 1) \right)^{q_{T}} \right]^{1/q_{T}} \\ \leq C T^{-\frac{\gamma}{2p_{T}}} \|f_{1} + f_{2}\|_{q_{T}} \leq C T^{-\frac{\gamma}{2p_{T}}} (\|f_{1}\|_{q_{T}} + \|f_{2}\|_{q_{T}}),$$

with

$$f_1 = a_T^{\alpha_T} \max(V_{a_T}^{1/2}, 1), \quad f_2 = T^{\alpha_T} e^{-\alpha_T V_{a_T}^{1/2}} \max(V_{a_T}^{1/2}, 1).$$

The lefthand term is greater than the quantity we want to bound from above, since by stationarity,

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[a_T,T]}(\Delta_t-\Delta_{a_T})\leq 1\big]=\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[0,T-a_T]}\Delta_t\leq 1\big]\geq \mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\Delta_t\leq 1\big].$$

Concerning the righthand term, we recall that $\alpha_T = \frac{1}{p_T} = \frac{\ln T}{1 + \ln T}$ and $\frac{1}{q_T} = 1 - \alpha_T = \frac{1}{1 + \ln T}$. Hence, when T goes to infinity, $T^{-\frac{\gamma}{2p_T}} \leq CT^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\Delta_t \le 1\right] \le CT^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}(\|f_1\|_{q_T} + \|f_2\|_{q_T}). \tag{22}$$

It remains to prove that $||f_1||_{q_T}$ and $||f_2||_{q_T}$ are bounded by logarithmic terms.

$$||f_1||_{q_T} = a_T^{\alpha_T} \mathbb{E} \left[V_{a_T}^{q_T/2} \mathbf{1}_{V_{a_T} \ge 1} + \mathbf{1}_{V_{a_T} \le 1} \right]^{1/q_T} \le a_T^{\alpha_T} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[V_{a_T}^{q_T} \right]^{1/(2q_T)} + 1 \right).$$

By (H2), $V_{a_T} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} a_T^{2-\gamma} V_1$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[V_{a_T}^{q_T}\right]^{1/(2q_T)} = a_T^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[V_1^{q_T}\right]^{1/(2q_T)} .$$

Recall from (12) that,

$$\mathbb{E}[V_1^m] \leq Cc^{-m/\alpha} \frac{m}{\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{\alpha}\right) \text{ for every } m \in \mathbb{N},$$

so that using Stirling's formula, it is easy to show that for T large enough

$$\mathbb{E}[V_1^{q_T}]^{1/2q_T} \le C(\ln T)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}.$$

We conclude that for T large enough

$$||f_1||_{q_T} \le C(\ln T)^{a(2-\frac{\gamma}{2})+\frac{1}{2\alpha}}.$$
 (23)

Let us now turn our attention to $||f_2||_{q_T}$.

$$||f_2||_{q_T} \le T^{\alpha_T} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-2q_T \alpha_T V_{a_T}^{1/2}} \right]^{1/2q_T} \mathbb{E} \left[\max(V_{a_T}, 1)^{q_T} \right]^{1/2q_T}$$

$$\le CT (\ln T)^{a(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}) + \frac{1}{2\alpha}} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-2q_T \alpha_T a_T^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \sqrt{V_1}} \right]^{1/2q_T}.$$

Let us note $\lambda_T = 2q_T \alpha_T a_T^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} = 2(\ln T)^{1+a(1-\frac{\gamma}{2})}$. Then using (H5),

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda_T V_1^{1/2}}\right] \le \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}\left[V_1 \le \frac{u^2}{\lambda_T^2}\right] e^{-u} du$$
$$\le C \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-c\frac{\lambda_T^{2\beta}}{u^{2\beta}}} e^{-u} du,$$

for some constants c and C. We perform the change of variable $u = \lambda_T^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}}v$ in the preceding integral. This yields

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda_T V_1^{1/2}}\right] &\leq C \lambda_T^{2\beta/(1+2\beta)} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_T^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}} \left(v + cv^{-2\beta}\right)} dv \\ &\leq C \lambda_T^{2\beta/(1+2\beta)} \int_1^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_T^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}} v} \, dv + C \lambda_T^{2\beta/(1+2\beta)} \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda_T^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}} cv^{-2\beta}} \, dv \\ &\leq C e^{-\lambda_T^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}}} + C e^{-c\lambda_T^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}}} \\ &\leq C e^{-c\lambda_T^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}}} \,, \end{split}$$

for some other constants c and C. This leads to

$$||f_2||_{q_T} \le CT(\ln T)^{a(1-\frac{\gamma}{2})+\frac{1}{2\alpha}}e^{-c(\ln T)^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}(1+a(1-\frac{\gamma}{2}))-1}}.$$

We choose a such that $a(1-\frac{\gamma}{2})>1+\frac{1}{\beta}$. Then, $Te^{-c(\ln T)^{\frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}(1+a(1-\frac{\gamma}{2}))-1}}$ remains bounded, and when T goes to infinity, we get

$$||f_2||_{q_T} \le C(\ln T)^c, \tag{24}$$

for some constant $c > 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{2\alpha}$. From (22), (23) and (24) we deduce that for T large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\Delta_t\leq 1\big]\leq CT^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}(\ln T)^c,$$

with $c > \frac{\beta+1}{\beta} \frac{4-\gamma}{2-\gamma} + \frac{1}{2\alpha}$.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Yueyun Hu for stimulating discussions.

References

- [1] Aurzada, F.; Simon, T. Persistence probabilities & exponents. Lévy matters IV, Springer, to appear. Math arXiv:1203.6554.
- [2] Aurzada, F. On the one-sided exit problem for fractional Brownian motion. Electron. Commun. Probab., 16:392-404, 2011.
- [3] Borodin, A. N. A limit theorem for sums of independent random variables defined on a recurrent random walk. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 246(4):786-787, 1979.
- [4] Boylan, E. S. Local times for a class of Markoff processes. Illinois J. Math., 8:19–39, 1964.
- [5] Burdzy, K.; Khoshnevisan, D.; The level sets of iterated Brownian motion. Séminaire de Probabilités, XXIX, 231–236, Lecture Notes in Math., 1613, Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- [6] Castell, F.; Guillotin-Plantard, N.; Pène, F.; Schapira, Br. On the one-sided exit problem for stable processes in random scenery. Electron. Commun. Probab. 18(33):1–7, 2013.
- [7] Csáki, E.; Csörgó, M.; Földes, A; Révész, P. The local times of iterated Brownian motion. J. Theoret. Prob. 9(3):717–743, 1996.
- [8] Fernique, X. Régularité des trajectoires des fonctions aléatoires gaussiennes. In P.L. Hennequin, editor, Ecole d'été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour IV-1974, volume 480 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pages 1-96. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1975.
- [9] Fristedt, B. Sample functions of stochastic processes with stationary, independent increments. In Advances in probability and related topics, Vol. 3, pages 241–396. Dekker, New York, 1974.
- [10] Geman, D.; Horowitz, J. Occupation densities. Ann. Probab. 8:1–67, 1980.
- [11] Hammersley, J.M. Generalization of the fundamental theorem on subadditive functions Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 58(2):235–238, 1962.
- [12] Hu, Y.; Nualart D.; Song, J. Integral representation of renormalized self-intersection local times. J. Funct. Anal., 255(9):2507–2532, 2008.
- [13] Kesten, H.; Spitzer, F. A limit theorem related to a new class of self-similar processes. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 50:5–25, 1979.
- [14] Khoshnevisan, D., Lewis, T. M. A law of iterated logarithm for stable processes in random scenery. Stochastic Process. Appli., 74(1):89–121, 1998.
- [15] Majumdar, S. Persistence in nonequilibrium systems. Current Science 77 (3):370-375, 1999.

- [16] Majumdar, S. Persistence of a particle in the Matheron de Marsily velocity field. Phys. Rev. E 68, 050101(R), 2003.
- [17] Matheron, G.; de Marsily G. Is transport in porous media always diffusive? A counterexample. Water Resources Res. 16:901–907, 1980.
- [18] Molchan, G.M. Maximum of fractional Brownian motion: probabilities of small values. Comm. Math. Phys., 205(1):97–111, 1999.
- [19] Redner, S. Invited Symposium Contribution: Superdiffusion in Random Velocity Fields. Proceedings of the Bar-Ilan Conference in Condensed-Matter Physics, Physica A 168, 551, 1990.
- [20] Redner, S. Survival Probability in a Random Velocity Field. Phys. Rev., E56, 4967, 1997.
- [21] Xiao, Y.; Local times and related properties of multidimensional iterated Brownian motion. J. Theoret. Probab. 11(2):383–408, 1998.

AIX-MARSEILLE UNIVERSITÉ, CNRS, CENTRALE MARSEILLE, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE MARSEILLE, UMR 7373, 13 453 MARSEILLE. FRANCE.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address:$ fabienne.castell@univ-amu.fr

Institut Camille Jordan, CNRS UMR 5208, Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, 43, Boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne, France.

E-mail address: nadine.guillotin@univ-lyon1.fr

LMBA, Université de Bretagne Sud, Campus de Tohannic, BP 573, 56017 Vannes, France.

E-mail address: watbled@univ-ubs.fr