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#### Abstract

Astract In this paper we consider generalized eigenvalue problems for a family of operators with a quadratic dependence on a complex parameter. Our model is $L(\lambda)=-\triangle+$ $(P(x)-\lambda)^{2}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ where $P$ is a positive elliptic polynomial in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ of degree $m \geq 2$. It is known that for $d$ even, or $d=1$, or $d=3$ and $m \geq 6$, there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), u \neq 0$, such that $L(\lambda) u=0$. In this paper, we give a method to prove existence of non trivial solutions for the equation $L(\lambda) u=0$, valid in every dimension $d \geq 1$. This is a partial answer to a conjecture in [12]. key words: semiclassical analysis, nonlinear eigenvalue problems, nonselfadjoint operators, trace formula.

\section*{Résumé}

Dans ce travail nous considérons un problème aux valeurs propres généralisé pour une famille d'opérateurs dépendant quadratiquement d'un paramètre complexe. Le modèle étudié concerne la famille $L(\lambda)=-\Delta+(P(x)-\lambda)^{2}$ dans $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ où $P$ un polynôme elliptique dans $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ de degré $m \geq 2$. Si $d$ est paire ou si $d=1$ ou $d=3$ et $m \geq 6$, on sait alors qu'il existe $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ et $u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), u \neq 0$, tels que $L(\lambda) u=0$. L'objet principal de cet article est de donner une méthode pour démontrer l'existence de solutions non triviales pour l'équation $L(\lambda) u=0$ pour toute dimension $d \geq 1$. On répond ainsi partiellement à une conjecture formulée dans [12].


## 1 Introduction

Let us introduce the following family of differential operators,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{P}(\lambda)=-\triangle_{x}+(P(x)-\lambda)^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\triangle_{x}$ is the Laplace operator in $\mathbb{R}_{x}^{d}, \lambda$ is a complex parameter, $P$ is a polynomial of degree $m \geq 2$ such that the leading homogeneous part $P_{m}$ of $P$ satisfies $P_{m}(x)>0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$ (in other words we say that $P$ is a positive-elliptic polynomial).

[^0]Such family of operators plays an important role when studying analytic smoothness of solutions of differential operators with multiple characteristics. In 1978, B. Helffer [11], motivated by G. Métivier' work [16], has considered the following hypoelliptic differential operator

$$
\mathcal{D}=D_{x_{1}}^{2}+\left(x_{1}^{2} D_{x_{2}}-D_{x_{3}}\right)^{2}
$$

where $D_{x}=i^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial_{x}}$ and conjectured that it is not hypoanalytic in a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (that means there exists $u$ non analytic in a neighborhood of 0 such that $\mathcal{D} u$ is analytic in a neighborhood of 0 ). He showed that if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $L(\lambda):=D_{t}^{2}+\left(t^{2}-\lambda\right)^{2}$ has a non trivial solution in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ then $\mathcal{D}$ is not hypoanalytic (for other examples see [12] and references there).

Quadratic families of operators with a complex parameter $\lambda$ also appear in the theory of damped oscillations for dissipative problems in mechanics [9, 14]. The mathematical model is a second order differential equation :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u^{\prime \prime}+B u^{\prime}+C u=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the unknown function $u$ is defined on $\mathbb{R}$ with values in some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $u^{\prime}=\frac{d u}{d t}$. Equation (1.2) is a model in mechanics for small oscillations of a continuum system in the presence of an impedence force [14].
Now looking for stationary solutions of (1.2), that means $u(t)=u_{0} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t}$, we have the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda^{2} A+\lambda B+C\right) u_{0}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So equation (1.3) is a non linear eigenvalue problem in the parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Existence of non null solutions for (1.3) is a non trivial problem. For $B \neq 0$ this problem is equivalent to a true non-selfajoint linear eigenvalue problem and non trivial stationary solutions for (1.3) does not always exist. Nevertheless, If suitable conditions on $A, B, C$ are satisfied, several authors, $[14,13,9,15]$ have proved that a total set of generalized eigenfunctions for (1.3) exist in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

Concerning our model, we have $L_{P}(\lambda)=-\triangle+P^{2}(x)-2 \lambda P(x)+\lambda^{2}$. In $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ the strength of the coefficient of $\lambda$ is of the same order as the operator $(-\triangle+P)^{1 / 2}$, so it seems difficult to use perturbation arguments.

The question we want to adress here is the following:
For any elliptic polynomial $P$ of degree $m \geq 2$, does there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u$ in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), u \neq 0$, such that $L_{P}(\lambda) u=0$ ?

For $d=1$, this was proved by Pham-Robert [17] for $m$ even. M. Christ have generalized this result for every $m \geq 2$ [6]. In [4] the authors have proven existence of non trivial solutions for $L_{P}$ when $1 \leq d \leq 3$, assuming that $m$ is large enough for $d=3$. Later, Helffer-Robert-Wang proved in [12] the following result.

Theorem 1.1 Assume that $d$ is even and that $P$ is a positive-elliptic polynomial of degree $m \geq 2$.
Then there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), u \neq 0$, such that $L_{P}(\lambda) u=0$.

The proof given in [12] shows that there exist an infinite number of such eigenvalues [20] located in the half-plane $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \Re \lambda \geq 0\}$. But it is not known if the generalized eigenfunctions span all the Hilbert space $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, excepted for $d=1$ [17].
For $d$ odd, $d \geq 3, m \geq 2$, the problem of existence of non zero solutions for $L_{P}$ is still open and it was conjectured in [12] that such solutions exist whatever the dimension $d$.
In this paper we prove that this is true for every elliptic polynomial if $d=3$ and for large classes of elliptic polynomials for $d=5,7$. We also discuss a numerical approach to prove that some coefficient in a semi-classical trace formula is not zero. For $d \geq 9$ we conjecture that this coefficient is not zero hence there exists an infinite number of nonlinear eigenvalues.

This work was supported by the program ANR 08-BLAN-00228, NONAa, Research French Ministry.

## 2 Nonlinear eigenvalue problems

In this section we recall some known properties concerning nonlinear eigenvalue problems. For more details we refer to [10, 15, 20].
Let us consider the quadratic family of operators $L(\lambda)=L_{0}+\lambda L_{1}+\lambda^{2}$ where $L_{0}, L_{1}$ are operators in an Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} . L_{0}$ is assumed to be self-adjoint, positive, with a domain $D\left(L_{0}\right)$ and $L_{1}$ is $\sqrt{L_{0}}$-bounded. Moreover $L_{0}^{-1 / 2}$ is in a Schatten class $\mathcal{C}^{p}(\mathcal{H})$ for some real $p>0$.
The following results are well known.
Theorem 2.1 $L(\lambda)$ is a family of closed operators in $\mathcal{H}$. $\lambda \mapsto L^{-1}(\lambda)$ is meromorphic in the complex plane.
The poles $\lambda_{j}$ of $L^{-1}(\lambda)$, with multiplicity $m\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$, coïncide with the eigenvalues with the same multiplicities, of the matrix operator $\mathcal{A}_{L}$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \times D\left(L_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$, with domain $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{L}\right)=D\left(L_{0}\right) \times D\left(L_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$ where

$$
\mathcal{A}_{P}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathbb{I}  \tag{2.4}\\
-L_{0} & -L_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let us denote $\operatorname{Sp}[L]$ the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}_{L}$ (which coïncide with the poles of $\left.L^{-1}(z)\right)$.

Remark 2.2 It may happens that $S p[L]$ is empty. The following one dimensional example is interesting and was discussed in [17, 5, 6].

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{m, g}(\lambda)=-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\left(x^{m}-\lambda\right)^{2}+g x^{m-1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $m \geq 2, m$ even, $L_{m, 0}$ has infinity many eigenvalues but $L_{m, m}$ has no eigenvalue. The last statement is a consequence of the factorization

$$
L_{m, m}(\lambda)=\left(x^{m}-\lambda+\frac{d}{d x}\right)\left(x^{m}-\lambda-\frac{d}{d x}\right)
$$

So, we can compute all solutions for the equation $L_{m, m}(\lambda) u=0$ and see that a non-null solution $u$ is never bounded on $\mathbb{R}$.
But if $m$ is odd, $L_{m, m}(\lambda) u=0$, has infinity many eigenvalues on the imaginary axis [6].
On the other side there exist sufficient general conditions to have $\operatorname{Sp}[L] \neq \emptyset$ [10, 15]. Unfortunately these conditions are not fulfilled for our example $L(\lambda)=$ $-\triangle_{x}+(P(x)-\lambda)^{2}$ when $d \geq 2$.

The following formula appears for the first time in [2] and will be very useful for our purpose.
Theorem 2.3 For $k$ large enough $(k \in \mathbb{N}, k>p)$ and for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \operatorname{Sp}[L]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{A}_{L}-z\right)^{-k-1}=\frac{-1}{k!} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{d^{k}}{d z^{k}}\left(L(z)^{-1} L^{\prime}(z)\right)\right] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each above operators are trace class.
Using Lidskii' Theorem [10] and (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}[L]} m(\lambda)(\lambda-z)^{-k-1}=\frac{-1}{k!} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{d^{k}}{d z^{k}}\left(L(z)^{-1} L^{\prime}(z)\right)\right] . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m(\lambda)$ is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda$.
As it was nicely remarked in the paper [4], a sufficient condition for $\operatorname{Sp}[L] \neq \emptyset$ is that the r.h.s in (2.7) is not zero. To check this property a natural method is to introduce parameters and use semiclassical analysis.
In [12] the authors also use Lidskii' theorem and semi-classical analysis on the matrix system $\mathcal{A}_{L}$. Here we consider more directly the scalar family of operators $L(z)$ where computations are easier even if the dependence in $z$ is nonlinear.

## 3 Semiclassical parametrix

For simplicity we assume here that $P$ is homogeneous of degree $m \geq 2$ and $P(x)>0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, x \neq 0$. By the scaling transformation $x=\tau^{1 / m} y$ with $\hbar=\tau^{-(m+1) / m}$ and $z=\frac{\lambda}{\tau}$ we can see that $L(\lambda)$ is unitary equivalent to the semiclassical Hamiltonian $\tau^{2} \hat{L}(z)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{L}(z)=-\hbar^{2} \triangle_{x}+(P(x)-z)^{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\hat{L}(z)$ is the $\hbar$-Weyl operator with the symbol $L(z, x, \xi)=\xi^{2}+(P(x)-z)^{2}$. For semiclassical analysis tools and $\hbar$-Weyl quantization we refer to [19]. Here we use the notation $\hat{H}$ for the $\hbar$-Weyl quantization of the symbol $H$ or for convenience, $\hat{H}=O p_{\hbar}^{w}(H)$. Let us recall the following definition. For a temperate symbol, possibly $\hbar$-dependent, $H(x, \xi, \hbar)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H} \psi(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi \hbar)^{n}} \iint e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi / \hbar} H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi, \hbar\right) \psi(y) d y d \xi \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall \psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Using some semiclassical operator calculus, we can construct a good parametrix for $\hat{L}(z)^{-1}$ for $z \in \Lambda$ where $\Lambda$ is the sector

$$
\Lambda=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C},|z| \geq r_{0}, \pi / 2+\delta<\arg (z)<3 \pi / 2-\delta\right\} ; r_{0}>0, \delta>0
$$

Theorem 3.1 There exists a semiclassical symbol $K^{(\hbar)}(z), z \in \Lambda, 0<\hbar<1$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
K^{(\hbar)}(z ; x, \xi) & \asymp \sum_{j \geq 0} \hbar^{2 j} K_{2 j}(z ; x, \xi), \\
\hat{L}(z)^{-1} & =O p_{\hbar}^{w}\left(K_{\hbar}(z)\right) . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover the asymptotic expansion has the following meaning: for every $N \geq 1$ we have

$$
\hat{L}(z) \cdot O p_{\hbar}^{w}\left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq N} \hbar^{2 j} K_{2 j}(z)\right)=\mathbb{I}+\hbar^{2 N+2} O p_{\hbar}^{w}\left(R_{2 N}^{(\hbar)}(z)\right)
$$

where the symbol $R_{2 N}^{(\hbar)}(z)$ satisfies the following estimates:
for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta}\left(R_{2 N}^{(\hbar)}(z ; x, \xi)\right)\right| \leq C(N, \alpha, \beta) \frac{\mu(x, \xi)^{2 m}+|z| \mu(x, \xi)^{m}}{\mu(x, \xi)^{2 m}+|z|^{2}} \mu(x, \xi)^{-2 N-|\alpha|-|\beta|} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(N, \alpha, \beta)$ is uniform in $z \in \Lambda$ and where $\mu(x, \xi)=\left(1+|x|^{2 m}+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$.
Sketch of proof. The method to get such result is standard and was used many times to construct parametrix of elliptic pseudo-differential operators [21]. Usually the $z$-dependence is linear but here it is quadratic. Moreover here we need accurate estimates for the remainder term in the product of pseudodifferential operators depending on parameters. The necessary estimates for $R_{2 N}^{(\hbar)}(z ; x, \xi)$ are established using the technics coming from the papers $[7,3]$. An other difficulty here is that we shall need to compute the symbols $K_{2 j}$ for $j$ large enough. This computations are not easy, so we have to be explicite as far as possible.
Using the product formula for $\hbar$-pseudodifferential operators, we get at the initial step:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0}(z ; x, \xi)=\frac{1}{L(z ; x, \xi)}=\frac{1}{|\xi|^{2}+(P(x)-z)^{2}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the induction formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2 j}=-K_{0}\left(\sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq j-1} \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=2(j-\ell)} \Gamma(\alpha, \beta) \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{x}^{\beta} L(z) \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} K_{2 \ell}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{(-1)^{|\beta|}}{2^{2(j-\ell)} \alpha!\beta!}$. Let us compute $K_{2}$ and $K_{4}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{2} & =\frac{L_{2}(z)}{L^{3}(z)}+\frac{L_{3}(z)}{L^{4}(z)} \\
L_{2}(z) & =(P(x)-z) \triangle P(x)+|\nabla P(x)|^{2}, \\
L_{3}(z) & =-2\left[(P(x)-z) D^{2} P(x) \xi \cdot \xi+(\nabla P(x) \cdot \xi)^{2}+(P(x)-z)^{2}|\nabla P(x)|^{2}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D^{2} P(x)$ is the Hessian matrix of $P$ in variable $x$.
Now using (3.13) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{4}=-K_{0}\left\{\sum_{|\beta|=4} \Gamma(0, \beta) \partial_{x}^{\beta} L(z) \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} K_{0}+\sum_{|\alpha|=2} \Gamma(\alpha, 0) \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} L(z) \partial_{x}^{\alpha} K_{2}\right. \\
&\left.\left.\sum_{|\beta|=2} \Gamma(0, \beta) \partial_{x}^{\beta} L(z) \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} K_{2}\right)\right\} . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

By induction on $j$, we easily get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2 j}(z ; x, \xi)=\sum_{j+1 \leq k \leq 3 j} \frac{Q_{k}^{2 j}(x, P-z, \xi)}{L(z ; x, \xi)^{k+1}}, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Q_{k}^{2 j}(x, P-z, \xi)$ is a polynomial in $((P-z), \xi)$, with a total degree $\leq k-2$, with coefficients depending on derivatives of $P(x)$.
The following lemma will be useful later. Let us denote val $\left[Q_{k}^{2 j}\right]$, the valuation of $Q_{k}^{2 j}$ as a polynomial in $P-z, \xi$. Let us recall the definition of valuation. Denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the ideal with generators $\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{d}, P-z$, in the $\operatorname{ring} C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi} \times \mathbb{R}_{x}\right)$. If $Q \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi} \times \mathbb{R}_{x}\right), \operatorname{val}[Q]$ is the biggest integer $p$ such that $Q \in \mathcal{I}^{p}$.

Lemma 3.2 We have

$$
\operatorname{val}\left[Q_{k}^{2 j}\right] \geq 2(k-1-2 j), \text { for } 2 j+2 \leq k \leq 3 j, \text { and } j \geq 1
$$

Proof. This is easily proved by induction on $j$, using (3.15) and the following formula. Let $Q$ and $L$ be smooth functions in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, a multiindex $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\alpha}\left(\frac{Q}{L^{k+1}}\right)=\sum C\left(\mu_{j}, \gamma_{k}\right) \frac{\partial^{\alpha-\gamma} Q\left(\partial^{\gamma_{1}} L\right)^{\mu_{1}} \cdots\left(\partial^{\gamma_{\ell}} L\right)^{\mu_{\ell}}}{L^{\mu+k+1}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the sum we have the conditions, $\gamma_{j} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, \mu_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \leq \alpha, \mu_{1}+\cdots \mu_{\ell}=\mu$, $\mu_{1}\left|\gamma_{1}\right|+\cdots+\mu_{\ell}\left|\gamma_{\ell}\right|=|\gamma|$.
Let us recall that $\gamma \leq \alpha$ means that $\gamma_{j} \leq \alpha_{j}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq d$.
Remark 3.3 The parametrix computed above is enough to get qualitative informations. Quantitative informations are much more difficult to get except for the first orders $(j=0,1)$. When $j$ is larger it is not so easy to compute explicitely the terms $Q_{k}^{2 j}(x, P-z, \xi)$.

Remark 3.4 It is not difficult to extend the above results when the elliptic polynomial $P(x)$ has lower terms: $P=P_{m}+P_{m-1}+\cdots P_{1}+P_{0}$ where $P_{j}$ is homogeous with degree $j$ and $P_{m}(x)>0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$. Then we have

$$
P\left(\tau^{1 / m} y\right)=\tau P^{(\varepsilon)}(y)
$$

with $\varepsilon=\tau^{-1 / m}=\hbar^{1 /(m+1)}$ and $P^{(\varepsilon)}(y)=P_{m}(y)+\varepsilon P_{m-1}(y)+\cdots+\varepsilon^{m} P_{0}(y)$. So $P^{(\varepsilon)}$ is a uniform elliptic family of polynomials and we can easily see that the constructions in (3.11) are uniform in the small parameter $\varepsilon$.

## 4 A trace formula

Recall that $\operatorname{Sp}[L]$ denote the generalized eigenvalues of the quadratic family $L(z), m_{\lambda}$ is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda$. Let $f$ an holomorphic function in $\Lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq C(1+|z|)^{-\mu}, \quad \forall z \in \Lambda \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For our applications we shall choose $f(z)=(z+\lambda)^{-\mu}$, for a suitable parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let be $\Gamma$ a complex contour in $\Lambda$ defined as follows.

$$
\Gamma=\left\{r \mathrm{e}^{ \pm i \theta_{0}}, r \geq r_{0}\right\} \cup\left\{r_{0} \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}, \theta_{0} \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi-\theta_{0}\right\}
$$

where $r_{0}>0$ and $\frac{\pi}{2}<\theta_{0}<\pi$.
Proposition 4.1 Assume that $\mu>\frac{d(m+1)}{m}$. Then $f\left(\mathcal{A}_{L}\right)$ is a trace class operator and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(f\left(\mathcal{A}_{L}\right)\right)=\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}[L]} m(\lambda) f(\lambda)=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\oint_{\Gamma} \hat{L}(z)^{-1} \hat{L}^{\prime}(z) f(z) d z\right] \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\oint_{\Gamma} F(z) d z=\frac{1}{2 i \pi} \int_{\Gamma} F(z) d z$ (contour integral in the complex plane).
Proof. This a direct consequence of the Cauchy integral formula and Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 4.2 For $f$ as above, for every $d \geq 1$ we have in the semiclassic regime $\hbar \searrow 0$, modulo $\mathcal{O}\left(\hbar^{+\infty}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}[L]} m(\lambda) f(\lambda) \asymp \sum_{j \geq 0} C_{2 j}^{(d)}(f) \hbar^{2 j-d} . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $d$ is odd,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}^{(d)}(f)=0 \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $d$ even,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}^{(d)}(f)=2(-1)^{d / 2}(2 \pi)^{-d} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2 d}} f(P(x)+|\eta|) d x d \eta \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the other terms $(j \geq 1)$ we have the following qualitative information

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2 j}^{(d)}(f)=\sum_{0 \leq k \leq n_{j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} A_{2 j, k}(x) f^{(k)}(P(x)) d x \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{2 j, k}(x)$ are polynomials in $\partial_{x}^{\gamma} P(x),|\gamma| \leq 2 j$ and $n_{j}$ depends on $j$. Moreover if d is odd, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2 j}^{(d)}(f)=0 \text { for } d \geq 4 j+1 \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The asymptotic expansion (4.19) is a direct consequence of (3.11) and of usual properties of trace operation for Weyl quantization.
Let us compute $C_{0}^{(d)}(f)$. We have the integral formula:

$$
C_{0}^{(d)}(f)=-\oint_{\Gamma} \frac{2(P(x)-z)}{|\xi|^{2}+(P(x)-z)^{2}} f(z) d z d \xi \tilde{d} x
$$

where $\tilde{d} x=(2 \pi)^{-d} d x$. By the residue theorem we get

$$
C_{0}^{(d)}(f)=\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}}[f(P(x)+i|\xi|)+f(P(x)-i|\xi|)] d \xi \tilde{d} x
$$

For $a>0$ we have

$$
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(f(P(x)+a|\xi|) d \xi \tilde{d} x=a^{-d} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}}(f(P(x)+|\xi|) d \xi \tilde{d} x .\right.
$$

So by analytic extension and evalution at $a=i$ we get formula (4.20) and (4.21). In particular we see that for $d$ even, there exists $f$ satisfying (4.17) such that $C_{0}^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$.

For $j \geq 1$, using (3.15), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2 j}^{(d)}(f)=\iint \oint_{\Gamma_{j+1 \leq k \leq 3 j}} \sum_{j} \frac{2(P(x)-z) Q_{k}^{2 j}(x, P(x)-z, \xi)}{L(z ; x, \xi)^{k+1}} f(z) d z d \xi \tilde{d} x . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now prove that $C_{2 j}^{(d)}(f)=0$, for $4 j+1 \leq d$.
To do that it is convenient to introduce the following integral, for $u>0, v>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{k, \nu} f(u, v)=\oint_{\Gamma} \frac{(v-z)^{\nu}}{\left(u+(v-z)^{2}\right)^{k+1}} f(z) d z \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{k, \nu} f(u, v)=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k} J_{0, \nu} f}{\partial u^{k}}(u, v) \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

And using the residue theorem, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0, \nu} f(u, v)=\frac{i^{\nu-1} u^{(\nu-1) / 2}}{2}\left((-1)^{\nu+1} f(v+i \sqrt{u})+f(v-i \sqrt{u})\right) . \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.26) and (4.27) we can compute $J_{k, \nu} f(u, v)$.
To prove that $C_{2 j}^{(d)}(f)=0$ for $d \geq 4 j+1$, we shall prove that each term in the sum (4.24) vanishes, after integration in $z$ and $\xi$.
Suppose first that $j+1 \leq k \leq 2 j+1$. We have

$$
Q_{k}^{2 j}(x, P(x)-z, \xi)=\sum_{\nu, \gamma} R_{\nu, \gamma}(x)(P(x)-z)^{\nu} \xi^{\gamma}
$$

Hence

$$
\int \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{2(P(x)-z) Q_{k}^{2 j}(x, P(x)-z, \xi)}{L(z ; x, \xi)^{k+1}} f(z) d z d \xi
$$

is a sum of integrals like

$$
I_{\nu}^{k}(f)(x, \xi)=\oint_{\Gamma} \frac{(P(x)-z)^{\nu}}{L(z ; x, \xi)^{k+1}} f(z) d z
$$

By integration by parts in $z$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\nu+1}^{k}(f)=\frac{\nu}{2 k} I_{\nu-1}^{k-1}(f)-\frac{1}{2 k} I_{\nu}^{k-1}\left(f^{\prime}\right) . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we can assume that $\nu=0$. But we have

$$
I_{0}^{\ell}(g)(x, \xi)=\left.\frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{\ell!} \frac{\partial^{\ell}}{\partial u^{\ell}} J_{0, \nu} g(u, P(x))\right|_{u=|\xi|^{2}}
$$

So we have $I_{0}^{\ell}(g)(x, \xi)=\mathcal{O}\left(|\xi|^{2-2 \ell}\right)$ near $\xi=0$. Now we remark that for $\ell \leq 2 j+1$ and $d \geq 4 j+1$ we have $\ell<\frac{d}{2}+1$, hence $\xi \mapsto I_{0}^{\ell}(g)(x, \xi)$ is integrable and, using the analytic dilation argument already used for $j=0$, we get $I_{0}^{\ell}(g)(x, \xi)=0$, hence

$$
\int \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{2(P(x)-z) Q_{k}^{2 j}(x, P(x)-z, \xi)}{L(z ; x, \xi)^{k+1}} f(z) d z d \xi=0 .
$$

Now, assume that $2 j+2 \leq k \leq 3 j$. Using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
Q_{k}^{2 j}(x, P(x)-z, \xi)=\sum_{\nu+|\gamma| \geq 2(2 k-1-2 j)} R_{\nu, \gamma}(x)(P(x)-z)^{\nu} \xi^{\gamma}
$$

As above, we integrate by parts in $z$ to have the possibility to put $\nu$ at 0 and then we use $\xi^{\gamma}$ to decrease the order of the singularity in $\xi$ as far as possible (integrability near $\xi=0$ ) of $\oint_{\Gamma} \frac{Q_{k}^{2 j}}{L^{k+1}} d z$. We conclude by the analytic dilation argument.

So we have proven the last statement of Theorem 4.2.
We conjecture that the next following terms are not 0 ; more precisely we claim:
Conjecture: For every $j \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq 1$, there exists $f$ satisfying (4.17) such that we have we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2 j}^{(4 j-1)}(f) \neq 0, \text { and } C_{2 j}^{(4 j-3)}(f) \neq 0 \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following sections we shall check this conjecture for $d=1,3$ and we shall compute analytic formula for $C_{4}^{(5)}(f)$ and $C_{4}^{(7)}(f)$. Unfortunately, these analytic expressions have many terms and it is not obvious that $C_{4}^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$ for $d=5,7$, for every elliptic polynomial $P$. We shall see that this is true for convex polynomials for $d=7$ and satisfying a technical condition if $d=5$. Moreover we get, using numerical computations for particular non-convex polynomials $P$, that $C_{4}^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$.
As we shall see in the next section, the property $C_{2 j}^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$ gives easily a lower bounds on the density of eigenvalues.

Remark 4.3 Following Remark 3.4 we can extend our results to polyhomogeneous polynomials $P=P_{m}+P_{m-1}+\cdots P_{1}+P_{0}$. To follow the dependence in the coefficients, we note $C_{2 j}(f, P)$ the coefficient $C_{2 j}(f)$ with polynomial $P$.
In particular we have $C_{2 j}^{(d)}\left(f, P^{(\varepsilon)}\right)=0$, for $d \geq 4 j+1$ and for every $\varepsilon$ small enough. We have used the notations of Remark 3.4.
Assume now that $d=4 j_{0}-3$ or $d=4 j_{0}-1, j_{0} \geq 1$. Then using a Taylor expansion in $\varepsilon$, computed for $\varepsilon=\hbar^{1 /(m+1)}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2 j}\left(f, P^{\left(\hbar^{1 /(m+1)}\right)}\right) \asymp \sum_{k \geq 0} \gamma_{k} \hbar^{k /(m+1)} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

in particular if $\gamma_{0}=C_{2 j_{0}}^{(d)}\left(f, P_{m}\right) \neq 0$ then from Remark 3.4 we get that $C_{2 j_{0}}^{(d)}(f, P) \neq$ 0 . So it is enough to prove the conjecture for homogeneous polynomials $P$.

## 5 Estimate the density of eigenvalues

First of all let us remark that the nonlinear spectrum $\operatorname{Sp}[\hat{L}]$ of $\hat{L}$ is included in the two quarters $\{z \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(z) \geq 0, \pm \Im(z)>0\}$.
On one side, it is easy to see that if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $L(\lambda) u=0$ then $u=0$. On the other side, if $\Re(\lambda)<0$ and $L(\lambda) u=0$, computing $\Im(\langle L(\lambda) u, u\rangle)$ we conclude that $u=0$.

Let us denote by $N_{\hbar}(R)=\#\{z \in \operatorname{Sp}[\hat{L}] ;|z| \leq R\}$ and $N(R)=N_{\hbar=1}(R)$.
Proposition 5.1 For every real $\mu, \mu>d(m+1) / m$, there exists $C_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.N_{\hbar}(R) \leq C_{k} R^{\mu} \hbar^{-d}, \quad \forall R \geq 1, \forall \hbar \in\right] 0,1\right] . \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $C_{2 j}^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$ with $d>2 j$, then for every $r>0, \varepsilon>0$ there exists $c_{\varepsilon, r}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.N_{\hbar}\left(r \hbar^{-\varepsilon}\right) \geq c_{\varepsilon, r} \hbar^{-\delta}, \quad \forall \hbar \in\right] 0,1\right] \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=d-2 j$. Moreover if $j=0$ (d even) then the estimates is valid with $\varepsilon=0$. So that, in even dimension, for every $R>0, N_{\hbar}(R)$ behaves like $\hbar^{-d}$.

Proof. The proof of (5.31) is a direct consequence of Weyl-Ky-Fan inequality [20].

We first remark that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $R_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that if

$$
-\pi / 2-\varepsilon \leq \arg z \leq \pi / 2+\varepsilon, \quad|\mu| \geq R_{\varepsilon}
$$

then we have

$$
|t+z|^{2} \geq(1-\varepsilon)\left(t^{2}+|z|^{2}\right)
$$

Let us choose $f(\lambda)=(\lambda+t)^{-\mu}$ with $k$ large enough $(\mu>d(m+1) / m)$ and $t>0$. We apply (4.19) to get the following inequalities

$$
C_{1} \hbar^{-\delta} \leq\left|\sum_{z \in S p[\hat{L}]}(t+z)^{-\mu}\right| \leq \sum_{z \in S p[\hat{L}]}|t+z|^{-\mu} \leq C_{2} \sum_{z \in S p[\hat{L}]}(t+|z|)^{-\mu}
$$

But for every $\mu, \mu_{1}$, large enough, such that $\mu-\mu_{1}$ is large enough, we have

$$
\sum_{\substack{z \in S p[\hat{L}] \\|z| \geq R}}(t+|z|)^{-\mu} \leq R^{-\mu_{1}} \sum_{z \in S p[\hat{L}]}(t+|z|)^{\mu_{1}-\mu}
$$

We choose now $R=r \hbar^{-\varepsilon}$ to get

$$
N_{\hbar}\left(r \hbar^{-\varepsilon}\right) \geq \sum_{|\mu| \leq R}(1+|\mu|)^{-k} \geq c_{\varepsilon, r} \hbar^{-\delta}
$$

The above results concern the semi-classical regime. Now we give estimates for $\hbar=1$ and high energy regime

Corollary 5.2 For $R \nearrow+\infty$ we have

$$
N(R)=\mathcal{O}\left(R^{d(m+1) / m}\right)
$$

If $C_{2 j}^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$ with $d-2 j>0$, then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exits $c_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
c_{\varepsilon} R^{\delta(m+1) / m-\varepsilon} \leq N(R)
$$

If $j=0$, the estimate is true with $\varepsilon=0$ and $c_{0}>0$.

## 6 1- $d$ and 3- $d$ cases

In this section we prove the following result.
Theorem 6.1 For $d=1,3$, there exists $f$ satisfying (4.17) such that for every $m \geq 2$, we have $C_{2}^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$. More precisely, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{2}^{(1)}(f) & =-\frac{1}{16} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(3)}(P(x)) P^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x  \tag{6.33}\\
C_{2}^{(3)}(f) & =-\frac{1}{48 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f^{\prime}(P(x))|\nabla P(x)|^{2} d x \tag{6.34}
\end{align*}
$$

We can choose $f(\lambda)=(\lambda+t)^{-\mu}$ with $\mu>d(m+1) / m$ and $t>0$.

Proof. We compute with the explicit form we got before for $K_{2}$. We have, for $d=1,3$,

$$
C_{2}^{d}(f, x)=-2 \oint_{\Gamma}(P-z) H_{2} d z
$$

where $H_{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} K_{2} d \xi$. But we have

$$
H_{2}=(P-z)^{d-5} \triangle P\left(b_{3}-2 b_{4,1}\right)+(P-z)^{d-6}|\nabla P|^{2}\left(b_{3}-2 b_{4}-2 b_{4,1}\right),
$$

hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{2}^{(1)}(f)= \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{8}{3} b_{4,1}-\frac{4}{3} b_{3,0}+\frac{2}{3} b_{4}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(3)}(P(x)) P^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x .  \tag{6.35}\\
& C_{2}^{(1)}(f)=-\frac{1}{16} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(3)}(P(x)) P^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x  \tag{6.36}\\
& C_{2}^{(3)}(f)= \frac{2}{(2 \pi)^{3}}\left(4 b_{4,1}-2 b_{4}-2 b_{3}\right) \int_{R^{3}} f^{\prime}(P(x))|\nabla P(x)|^{2} d x \tag{6.37}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}^{(3)}(f)=-\frac{1}{48 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f^{\prime}(P(x))|\nabla P|^{2}(x) d x \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have seen that for $d$ odd, $d \geq 5, C_{2}^{(d)}(f)=0$. So we have to compute $C_{4}^{(d)}(f)$ for $d=5,7$.

## $7 \quad 5-d$ and 7- $d$ cases

We have to compute in more details the term $K_{4}$ from (3.14). Recall that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{4}^{(d)}(f)=-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_{x}^{d}} \oint_{\Gamma}(P-z)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{d}} K_{4}(z ; x, \xi) d \xi\right) f(z) d z \tilde{d} x . \tag{7.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have to compute the following three integrals, depending on $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\beta}^{(1)} & =\Gamma(0 ; \beta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{2}+(P(x)-z)^{2}} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{|\xi|^{2}+(P(x)-z)^{2}}\right) d \xi ;|\beta|=4 \\
I_{\alpha}^{(2)} & =\Gamma(\alpha, 0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{2}+(P(x)-z)^{2}} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}\left(|\xi|^{2}\right) \partial_{x}^{\alpha} K_{2} d \xi ; \quad|\alpha|=2  \tag{7.40}\\
I_{\beta}^{(3)} & =\Gamma(0 ; \beta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{2}+(P(x)-z)^{2}} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} K_{2} d \xi ; \quad|\beta|=2 \tag{7.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the new variable $\eta$ such that $\xi=(P-z) \eta$ (plus an analytic extension), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\beta}^{(1)}=\frac{a(\beta)}{(P(x)-z)^{8-d}}, \quad a(\beta)=\frac{1}{16 \beta!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{1}{1+|\eta|^{2}} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{1+|\eta|^{2}}\right) d \eta \tag{7.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a(\beta) \neq 0$ only when $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{d}\right)$ is such that $\beta_{j}=4$ and $\beta_{k}=0$ for $k \neq j$ or $\beta_{j}=\beta_{k}=2, j \neq k$ and $\beta_{\ell}=0$ if $\ell \neq j, \ell \neq k$.
In the first case $a(\beta)=a_{1}$ and in the second case $a(\beta)=a_{2}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=\frac{1}{96} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\left(4 \eta_{1}^{2}-\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{6}} d \eta,  \tag{7.44}\\
& a_{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\eta_{1}^{2} \eta_{2}^{2}}{\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{6}} d \eta . \tag{7.45}
\end{align*}
$$

It is convenient to introduce the following notations.

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{d \eta}{\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{j}}, \quad b_{j, k}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\eta_{1}^{2 k} d \eta}{\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{j}}, \quad b_{j, k, \ell}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\eta_{1}^{2 k} \eta_{2}^{2 \ell} d \eta}{\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{j}} \tag{7.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that the integrals are finite. Of course these integrals can be computed with the Euler beta and gamma special functions (see appendix for more explicit expressions).
So we have $a_{1}=\frac{1}{6} b_{6,2}-\frac{1}{3} b_{5,1}+\frac{1}{96} b_{4}$ and $a_{2}=b_{6,1,1}$.
Using integration by parts, in $x$ or in $\xi$, we get the following formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{4}(f)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} C_{4}(f ; x) \tilde{d} x \tag{7.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{4}(f ; x)=C_{4,1}(f ; x)+C_{4,2}(f ; x)+C_{4,3}(f ; x) \tag{7.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{4,1}(f ; x) & =2 \oint_{\Gamma}(P-z) \sum_{|\beta|=4}\left(\partial_{x}^{\beta}(P-z)^{2} I_{\beta}^{(1)}\right) f(z) d z  \tag{7.49}\\
C_{4,2}(f ; x) & =2 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \Gamma(\alpha, 0) \oint_{\Gamma}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}\left(|\xi|^{2}\right) \partial_{x}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{P-z}{L(z)}\right) K_{2} d \xi\right) f(z)(\sqrt{\mathbb{R}} .50) \\
C_{4,3}(f ; x) & =2 \oint_{\Gamma}(P-z) \partial_{x}^{\beta} L(z) I_{\beta}^{(3)} f(z) d z \tag{7.51}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we have to compute each term. These computations are not difficult but they are very technical, so we do not give here all the details. They are performed in [1]. We use the notations :

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{j} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial_{x_{j}}}, \quad \partial_{j}^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial_{x_{j}}^{2}}, \quad \partial_{j, k}^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial_{x_{j}, x_{k}}^{2}}  \tag{7.52}\\
a_{1} & =\frac{1}{6} b_{6,2}-\frac{1}{3} b_{5,1}+\frac{1}{96} b_{4}  \tag{7.53}\\
a_{2} & =b_{6,1,1} \tag{7.54}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\mathrm{d}=5$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{4}(f ; x)=A_{0}(x) f(P(x))+A_{1}(x) f^{\prime}(P(x))+A_{2}(x) f^{\prime \prime}(P(x))+A_{3}(x) f^{(3)}(P(x)) \tag{7.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{0}(x)= & \frac{\pi^{3}}{24} \sum_{j} \partial_{j}^{4} P-\frac{\pi^{3}}{48} \sum_{j<k} \partial_{j}^{2} \partial_{k}^{2} P,  \tag{7.56}\\
A_{1}(x)= & -\frac{11 \pi^{3}}{480} \sum_{j}\left(\partial_{j}^{2} P\right)^{2}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{480} \sum_{j \neq k}\left(\partial_{j, k}^{2} P\right)^{2}  \tag{7.57}\\
& -\frac{\pi^{3}}{160}(\triangle P)^{2}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{240} \sum_{j \neq k}\left(\partial_{j}^{2} P\right)\left(\partial_{k}^{2} P\right), \\
A_{2}(x)= & \left.\frac{\pi^{3}}{96} \nabla P\right|^{2} \triangle P+\frac{\pi^{3}}{160} \sum_{j}\left(\partial_{j}^{2} P\right)\left(\partial_{j} P\right)^{2}  \tag{7.58}\\
& +\frac{\pi^{2}}{480} \sum_{j \neq k}\left(\partial_{j}^{2} P\right)\left(\partial_{k} P\right)^{2}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{240} \sum_{j \neq k}\left(\partial_{j, k}^{2} P\right)\left(\partial_{j} P\right)\left(\partial_{k} P\right), \\
A_{3}(x)= & -\frac{\pi^{3}}{576}|\nabla P|^{4}-\frac{\pi^{3}}{960} \sum_{j}\left(\partial_{j} P\right)^{4}-\frac{\pi^{3}}{960} \sum_{j \neq k}\left(\partial_{j} P\right)^{2}\left(\partial_{k} P\right)^{2} . \tag{7.59}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\mathrm{d}=7$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{4}(f ; x)=A_{0}(x) f(P(x))+A_{1} f^{\prime}(P(x)) \tag{7.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{0}(x)= & \frac{\pi^{4}}{120} \sum_{j}\left(\partial_{j}^{2} P\right)\left(\partial_{j} P\right)^{2}+\frac{\pi^{3}}{360} \sum_{j \neq k}\left(\partial_{j}^{2} P\right)\left(\partial_{k} P\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{\pi^{3}}{180} \sum_{j \neq k}\left(\partial_{j, k}^{2} P\right)\left(\partial_{j} P\right)\left(\partial_{k} P\right),  \tag{7.61}\\
A_{1}(x)= & -\frac{\pi^{4}}{240}|\nabla P|^{4}-\frac{\pi^{4}}{240} \sum_{j}\left(\partial_{j} P\right)^{4}-\frac{\pi^{3}}{240} \sum_{j \neq k}\left(\partial_{j} P\right)^{2}\left(\partial_{k} P\right)^{2} . \tag{7.62}
\end{align*}
$$

We should like to use these formulas with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda)=(\lambda+t)^{-\mu}, \text { with } \mu>d(m+1) / m, t>0 \tag{7.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

to prove that $C_{4}^{(d)}(f)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} C_{4}(f ; x) \tilde{d} x \neq 0(d=5,7)$.
With $f$ like in (7.63) we can see easily that $C_{4}^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$ for the following polynomials:
(1) $P(x)=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \alpha_{j} x_{j}^{m}, \alpha_{j}>0,1 \leq j \leq d, d=5,7$.
(2) $P(x)=\sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq d} \alpha_{j, k} x_{j} x_{k}$, is a positive-definite quadratic form, $d=5,7$
(3) $d=7$ and $P$ is convex.
(4) $d=5, P$ is convex and satisfies the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq 5} \partial_{j}^{2} \partial_{k}^{2} P & \leq 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 5} \partial_{j}^{4} P  \tag{7.64}\\
\sum_{j \neq k}\left(\partial_{j, k}^{2} P\right)^{2} & \leq 11 \pi \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 5}\left(\partial_{j}^{2} P\right)^{2} \tag{7.65}
\end{align*}
$$

For elliptic and non-convex polynomials, we can check that $C_{4}^{(d)}(f) \neq 0, d=5,7$, for many examples with numerical computations, supporting our conjecture that for every elliptic polynomial $P, C_{4}^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$, if $d=5,7$ (see Appendix).

For $d=9,11$, it seems difficult to compute $C_{6}^{(d)}(f)$ by hand. We need help from symbolic and numerical computations to check our conjecture.

## A Appendix

## A. 1 Formulas for $b_{j, k, \ell}$

We assume $d \geq 3$ and $2 j-q>1$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{r^{q}}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{j}} d r=\frac{1}{2} B\left(\frac{q+1}{2}, j-\frac{q+1}{2}\right) \tag{A.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B(x, y)=\int_{0}^{1} t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} d t=\frac{\Gamma(x) \Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}
$$

So computing in polar coordinates,

$$
b_{j}(d)=\frac{\pi^{d / 2} \Gamma(j-d / 2)}{\Gamma(j)}
$$

Now, by elementary computations we get easily

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{j, 1}(d) & =\frac{1}{d}\left(b_{j-1}(d)-b_{j}(d)\right)  \tag{А.67}\\
b_{j, 2}(d) & =B\left(5 / 2, j-\frac{d+4}{2}\right) b_{j}(d-1)  \tag{A.68}\\
b_{j, 1,1}(d) & =\frac{1}{8} B\left(3, j-\frac{d+4}{2}\right) b_{j}(d-2) . \tag{A.69}
\end{align*}
$$

## A. 2 Numerical computations for $C_{4}(f)$

The following computations have been performed by Guy Moebs, Research Engineer, Laboratoire Jean-Leray, CNRS-University of Nantes.

The method used to compute multi-dimensional integrals is Monte-Carlo, with a cut-off of the domain to reduce it in a bounded domain fitting with the behaviour of the polynomial $P . P$ is choosen non-convex, because for this case we have no mathematical proof that $C_{4}(f) \neq 0$.
In each example, 100 simulations are computed with at least $10^{9}$ events. $f$ is choosen like in (7.63) with $t=1$ and $\mu>0$ large enough.
Example $1 d=5, P(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{j}{ }^{4}+\alpha x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2}{ }^{2}$

| $\alpha$ | $C_{4}(f)$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 1428 |
| 10 | 1515 |
| 100 | 9237 |
| 1000 | 235115 |

Example $2 d=7, P(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{j}{ }^{4}+\alpha x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2}{ }^{2}+\beta x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{4}{ }^{2}$

| $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $C_{4}(f)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 7 | 409 |
| 7 | 10 | 423 |
| 7 | 100 | 1806 |
| 7 | 1000 | 39646 |
| 10 | 10 | 434 |
| 10 | 100 | 1705 |
| 10 | 1000 | 36724 |
| 100 | 100 | 1755 |
| 100 | 1000 | 19587 |
| 1000 | 1000 | 18270 |

Example $3 d=5, P(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{j}{ }^{6}+\alpha x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2}{ }^{4}+\beta x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{4}{ }^{4}$

| $(\alpha, \beta)$ | $C_{4}(f)$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $(100,10)$ | 11732 |
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