



HAL
open science

Asymptotic expansion for nonlinear eigenvalue problems

Fatima Aboud, Didier Robert

► **To cite this version:**

Fatima Aboud, Didier Robert. Asymptotic expansion for nonlinear eigenvalue problems. Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 2010, 93 (2), pp.149-162. hal-01015315

HAL Id: hal-01015315

<https://hal.science/hal-01015315>

Submitted on 27 Jun 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Asymptotic expansion for nonlinear eigenvalue problems

Fatima Aboud and Didier Robert*

*Département de Mathématiques, Laboratoire Jean Leray, CNRS-UMR 6629,
Université de Nantes, 2 rue de la Houssinière, 44322 NANTES Cedex 03, France
fatima.aboud@univ-nantes.fr, didier.robert@univ-nantes.fr*

Astract

In this paper we consider generalized eigenvalue problems for a family of operators with a quadratic dependence on a complex parameter. Our model is $L(\lambda) = -\Delta + (P(x) - \lambda)^2$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where P is a positive elliptic polynomial in \mathbb{R}^d of degree $m \geq 2$. It is known that for d even, or $d = 1$, or $d = 3$ and $m \geq 6$, there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $u \neq 0$, such that $L(\lambda)u = 0$. In this paper, we give a method to prove existence of non trivial solutions for the equation $L(\lambda)u = 0$, valid in every dimension $d \geq 1$. This is a partial answer to a conjecture in [12].

key words: semiclassical analysis, nonlinear eigenvalue problems, nonselfadjoint operators, trace formula.

Résumé

Dans ce travail nous considérons un problème aux valeurs propres généralisé pour une famille d'opérateurs dépendant quadratiquement d'un paramètre complexe. Le modèle étudié concerne la famille $L(\lambda) = -\Delta + (P(x) - \lambda)^2$ dans $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ où P un polynôme elliptique dans \mathbb{R}^d de degré $m \geq 2$. Si d est paire ou si $d = 1$ ou $d = 3$ et $m \geq 6$, on sait alors qu'il existe $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ et $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $u \neq 0$, tels que $L(\lambda)u = 0$. L'objet principal de cet article est de donner une méthode pour démontrer l'existence de solutions non triviales pour l'équation $L(\lambda)u = 0$ pour toute dimension $d \geq 1$. On répond ainsi partiellement à une conjecture formulée dans [12].

1 Introduction

Let us introduce the following family of differential operators,

$$L_P(\lambda) = -\Delta_x + (P(x) - \lambda)^2 \tag{1.1}$$

where Δ_x is the Laplace operator in \mathbb{R}^d , λ is a complex parameter, P is a polynomial of degree $m \geq 2$ such that the leading homogeneous part P_m of P satisfies $P_m(x) > 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ (in other words we say that P is a positive-elliptic polynomial).

*corresponding author

Such family of operators plays an important role when studying analytic smoothness of solutions of differential operators with multiple characteristics. In 1978, B. Helffer [11], motivated by G. Métivier' work [16], has considered the following hypoelliptic differential operator

$$\mathcal{D} = D_{x_1}^2 + (x_1^2 D_{x_2} - D_{x_3})^2,$$

where $D_x = i^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and conjectured that it is not hypoanalytic in a neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^3 (that means there exists u non analytic in a neighborhood of 0 such that $\mathcal{D}u$ is analytic in a neighborhood of 0). He showed that if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $L(\lambda) := D_t^2 + (t^2 - \lambda)^2$ has a non trivial solution in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ then \mathcal{D} is not hypoanalytic (for other examples see [12] and references there).

Quadratic families of operators with a complex parameter λ also appear in the theory of damped oscillations for dissipative problems in mechanics [9, 14]. The mathematical model is a second order differential equation :

$$Au'' + Bu' + Cu = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where the unknown function u is defined on \mathbb{R} with values in some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and $u' = \frac{du}{dt}$. Equation (1.2) is a model in mechanics for small oscillations of a continuum system in the presence of an impedance force [14].

Now looking for stationary solutions of (1.2), that means $u(t) = u_0 e^{\lambda t}$, we have the following equation

$$(\lambda^2 A + \lambda B + C)u_0 = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

So equation (1.3) is a non linear eigenvalue problem in the parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Existence of non null solutions for (1.3) is a non trivial problem. For $B \neq 0$ this problem is equivalent to a true non-selfadjoint linear eigenvalue problem and non trivial stationary solutions for (1.3) does not always exist. Nevertheless, If suitable conditions on A, B, C are satisfied, several authors, [14, 13, 9, 15] have proved that a total set of generalized eigenfunctions for (1.3) exist in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Concerning our model, we have $L_P(\lambda) = -\Delta + P^2(x) - 2\lambda P(x) + \lambda^2$. In \mathbb{R}^d the strength of the coefficient of λ is of the same order as the operator $(-\Delta + P)^{1/2}$, so it seems difficult to use perturbation arguments.

The question we want to adress here is the following :

For any elliptic polynomial P of degree $m \geq 2$, does there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and u in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $u \neq 0$, such that $L_P(\lambda)u = 0$?

For $d = 1$, this was proved by Pham-Robert [17] for m even. M. Christ have generalized this result for every $m \geq 2$ [6]. In [4] the authors have proven existence of non trivial solutions for L_P when $1 \leq d \leq 3$, assuming that m is large enough for $d = 3$. Later, Helffer-Robert-Wang proved in [12] the following result.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that d is even and that P is a positive-elliptic polynomial of degree $m \geq 2$.*

Then there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $u \neq 0$, such that $L_P(\lambda)u = 0$.

The proof given in [12] shows that there exist an infinite number of such eigenvalues [20] located in the half-plane $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \Re \lambda \geq 0\}$. But it is not known if the generalized eigenfunctions span all the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, excepted for $d = 1$ [17].

For d odd, $d \geq 3$, $m \geq 2$, the problem of existence of non zero solutions for L_P is still open and it was conjectured in [12] that such solutions exist whatever the dimension d .

In this paper we prove that this is true for every elliptic polynomial if $d = 3$ and for large classes of elliptic polynomials for $d = 5, 7$. We also discuss a numerical approach to prove that some coefficient in a semi-classical trace formula is not zero. For $d \geq 9$ we conjecture that this coefficient is not zero hence there exists an infinite number of nonlinear eigenvalues.

This work was supported by the program ANR 08-BLAN-00228, NONAa, Research French Ministry.

2 Nonlinear eigenvalue problems

In this section we recall some known properties concerning nonlinear eigenvalue problems. For more details we refer to [10, 15, 20].

Let us consider the quadratic family of operators $L(\lambda) = L_0 + \lambda L_1 + \lambda^2$ where L_0, L_1 are operators in an Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . L_0 is assumed to be self-adjoint, positive, with a domain $D(L_0)$ and L_1 is $\sqrt{L_0}$ -bounded. Moreover $L_0^{-1/2}$ is in a Schatten class $\mathcal{C}^p(\mathcal{H})$ for some real $p > 0$.

The following results are well known.

Theorem 2.1 *$L(\lambda)$ is a family of closed operators in \mathcal{H} .*

$\lambda \mapsto L^{-1}(\lambda)$ is meromorphic in the complex plane.

The poles λ_j of $L^{-1}(\lambda)$, with multiplicity $m(\lambda_j)$, coincide with the eigenvalues with the same multiplicities, of the matrix operator \mathcal{A}_L in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \times D(L_0^{1/2})$, with domain $D(\mathcal{A}_L) = D(L_0) \times D(L_0^{1/2})$ where

$$\mathcal{A}_P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I} \\ -L_0 & -L_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

Let us denote $\text{Sp}[L]$ the eigenvalues of \mathcal{A}_L (which coincide with the poles of $L^{-1}(z)$).

Remark 2.2 *It may happens that $\text{Sp}[L]$ is empty. The following one dimensional example is interesting and was discussed in [17, 5, 6].*

$$L_{m,g}(\lambda) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (x^m - \lambda)^2 + gx^{m-1}. \quad (2.5)$$

For every $m \geq 2$, m even, $L_{m,0}$ has infinity many eigenvalues but $L_{m,m}$ has no eigenvalue. The last statement is a consequence of the factorization

$$L_{m,m}(\lambda) = \left(x^m - \lambda + \frac{d}{dx}\right) \left(x^m - \lambda - \frac{d}{dx}\right).$$

So, we can compute all solutions for the equation $L_{m,m}(\lambda)u = 0$ and see that a non-null solution u is never bounded on \mathbb{R} .

But if m is odd, $L_{m,m}(\lambda)u = 0$, has infinity many eigenvalues on the imaginary axis [6].

On the other side there exist sufficient general conditions to have $\text{Sp}[L] \neq \emptyset$ [10, 15]. Unfortunately these conditions are not fulfilled for our example $L(\lambda) = -\Delta_x + (P(x) - \lambda)^2$ when $d \geq 2$.

The following formula appears for the first time in [2] and will be very useful for our purpose.

Theorem 2.3 For k large enough ($k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > p$) and for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{Sp}[L]$, we have

$$\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}_L - z)^{-k-1} = \frac{-1}{k!} \text{Tr}\left[\frac{d^k}{dz^k}(L(z)^{-1}L'(z))\right], \quad (2.6)$$

where each above operators are trace class.

Using Lidskii' Theorem [10] and (2.6), we get

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \text{Sp}[L]} m(\lambda)(\lambda - z)^{-k-1} = \frac{-1}{k!} \text{Tr}\left[\frac{d^k}{dz^k}(L(z)^{-1}L'(z))\right]. \quad (2.7)$$

where $m(\lambda)$ is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue λ .

As it was nicely remarked in the paper [4], a sufficient condition for $\text{Sp}[L] \neq \emptyset$ is that the r.h.s in (2.7) is not zero. To check this property a natural method is to introduce parameters and use semiclassical analysis.

In [12] the authors also use Lidskii' theorem and semi-classical analysis on the matrix system \mathcal{A}_L . Here we consider more directly the scalar family of operators $L(z)$ where computations are easier even if the dependence in z is nonlinear.

3 Semiclassical parametrix

For simplicity we assume here that P is homogeneous of degree $m \geq 2$ and $P(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $x \neq 0$. By the scaling transformation $x = \tau^{1/m}y$ with $\hbar = \tau^{-(m+1)/m}$ and $z = \frac{\lambda}{\tau}$ we can see that $L(\lambda)$ is unitary equivalent to the semiclassical Hamiltonian $\tau^2 \hat{L}(z)$ where

$$\hat{L}(z) = -\hbar^2 \Delta_x + (P(x) - z)^2. \quad (3.8)$$

$\hat{L}(z)$ is the \hbar -Weyl operator with the symbol $L(z, x, \xi) = \xi^2 + (P(x) - z)^2$. For semiclassical analysis tools and \hbar -Weyl quantization we refer to [19]. Here we use the notation \hat{H} for the \hbar -Weyl quantization of the symbol H or for convenience, $\hat{H} = \text{Op}_\hbar^w(H)$. Let us recall the following definition. For a temperate symbol, possibly \hbar -dependent, $H(x, \xi, \hbar)$, we have

$$\hat{H}\psi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \int \int e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi/\hbar} H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi, \hbar\right) \psi(y) dy d\xi, \quad (3.9)$$

$\forall \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Using some semiclassical operator calculus, we can construct a good parametrix for $\hat{L}(z)^{-1}$ for $z \in \Lambda$ where Λ is the sector

$$\Lambda = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| \geq r_0, \pi/2 + \delta < \arg(z) < 3\pi/2 - \delta\}; \quad r_0 > 0, \delta > 0.$$

Theorem 3.1 *There exists a semiclassical symbol $K^{(\hbar)}(z)$, $z \in \Lambda$, $0 < \hbar < 1$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} K^{(\hbar)}(z; x, \xi) &\asymp \sum_{j \geq 0} \hbar^{2j} K_{2j}(z; x, \xi), \\ \hat{L}(z)^{-1} &= Op_{\hbar}^w(K_{\hbar}(z)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Moreover the asymptotic expansion has the following meaning: for every $N \geq 1$ we have

$$\hat{L}(z).Op_{\hbar}^w \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq N} \hbar^{2j} K_{2j}(z) \right) = \mathbb{I} + \hbar^{2N+2} Op_{\hbar}^w (R_{2N}^{(\hbar)}(z))$$

where the symbol $R_{2N}^{(\hbar)}(z)$ satisfies the following estimates :
for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ we have

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \left(R_{2N}^{(\hbar)}(z; x, \xi) \right) \right| \leq C(N, \alpha, \beta) \frac{\mu(x, \xi)^{2m} + |z| \mu(x, \xi)^m}{\mu(x, \xi)^{2m} + |z|^2} \mu(x, \xi)^{-2N - |\alpha| - |\beta|}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $C(N, \alpha, \beta)$ is uniform in $z \in \Lambda$ and where $\mu(x, \xi) = (1 + |x|^{2m} + |\xi|^2)^{1/2m}$.

Sketch of proof. The method to get such result is standard and was used many times to construct parametrix of elliptic pseudo-differential operators [21]. Usually the z -dependence is linear but here it is quadratic. Moreover here we need accurate estimates for the remainder term in the product of pseudo-differential operators depending on parameters. The necessary estimates for $R_{2N}^{(\hbar)}(z; x, \xi)$ are established using the technics coming from the papers [7, 3]. An other difficulty here is that we shall need to compute the symbols K_{2j} for j large enough. This computations are not easy, so we have to be explicite as far as possible.

Using the product formula for \hbar -pseudodifferential operators, we get at the initial step:

$$K_0(z; x, \xi) = \frac{1}{L(z; x, \xi)} = \frac{1}{|\xi|^2 + (P(x) - z)^2} \quad (3.12)$$

and the induction formula

$$K_{2j} = -K_0 \left(\sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq j-1} \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| = 2(j-\ell)} \Gamma(\alpha, \beta) \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta L(z) \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_x^\alpha K_{2\ell} \right) \quad (3.13)$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|}}{2^{2(j-\ell)}\alpha!|\beta|!}$. Let us compute K_2 and K_4 .

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &= \frac{L_2(z)}{L^3(z)} + \frac{L_3(z)}{L^4(z)}, \\ L_2(z) &= (P(x) - z)\Delta P(x) + |\nabla P(x)|^2, \\ L_3(z) &= -2[(P(x) - z)D^2P(x)\xi \cdot \xi + (\nabla P(x) \cdot \xi)^2 + (P(x) - z)^2|\nabla P(x)|^2], \end{aligned}$$

where $D^2P(x)$ is the Hessian matrix of P in variable x .

Now using (3.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_4 &= -K_0 \left\{ \sum_{|\beta|=4} \Gamma(0, \beta) \partial_x^\beta L(z) \partial_\xi^\beta K_0 + \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \Gamma(\alpha, 0) \partial_\xi^\alpha L(z) \partial_x^\alpha K_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{|\beta|=2} \Gamma(0, \beta) \partial_x^\beta L(z) \partial_\xi^\beta K_2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

By induction on j , we easily get that

$$K_{2j}(z; x, \xi) = \sum_{j+1 \leq k \leq 3j} \frac{Q_k^{2j}(x, P-z, \xi)}{L(z; x, \xi)^{k+1}}, \quad (3.15)$$

$Q_k^{2j}(x, P-z, \xi)$ is a polynomial in $((P-z), \xi)$, with a total degree $\leq k-2$, with coefficients depending on derivatives of $P(x)$.

The following lemma will be useful later. Let us denote $\text{val}[Q_k^{2j}]$, the valuation of Q_k^{2j} as a polynomial in $P-z, \xi$. Let us recall the definition of valuation. Denote by \mathcal{I} the ideal with generators $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d, P-z$, in the ring $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\xi \times \mathbb{R}_x)$. If $Q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\xi \times \mathbb{R}_x)$, $\text{val}[Q]$ is the biggest integer p such that $Q \in \mathcal{I}^p$.

Lemma 3.2 *We have*

$$\text{val}[Q_k^{2j}] \geq 2(k-1-2j), \quad \text{for } 2j+2 \leq k \leq 3j, \text{ and } j \geq 1.$$

Proof. This is easily proved by induction on j , using (3.15) and the following formula. Let Q and L be smooth functions in \mathbb{R}^n , a multiindex $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then we have

$$\partial^\alpha \left(\frac{Q}{L^{k+1}} \right) = \sum C(\mu_j, \gamma_k) \frac{\partial^{\alpha-\gamma} Q (\partial^{\gamma_1} L)^{\mu_1} \dots (\partial^{\gamma_\ell} L)^{\mu_\ell}}{L^{\mu+k+1}} \quad (3.16)$$

where in the sum we have the conditions, $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\mu_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma \leq \alpha$, $\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_\ell = \mu$, $\mu_1|\gamma_1| + \dots + \mu_\ell|\gamma_\ell| = |\gamma|$.

Let us recall that $\gamma \leq \alpha$ means that $\gamma_j \leq \alpha_j$ for every $1 \leq j \leq d$. \square

Remark 3.3 *The parametrix computed above is enough to get qualitative informations. Quantitative informations are much more difficult to get except for the first orders ($j = 0, 1$). When j is larger it is not so easy to compute explicitly the terms $Q_k^{2j}(x, P-z, \xi)$.*

Remark 3.4 *It is not difficult to extend the above results when the elliptic polynomial $P(x)$ has lower terms: $P = P_m + P_{m-1} + \cdots + P_1 + P_0$ where P_j is homogeneous with degree j and $P_m(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. Then we have*

$$P(\tau^{1/m}y) = \tau P^{(\varepsilon)}(y)$$

with $\varepsilon = \tau^{-1/m} = \hbar^{1/(m+1)}$ and $P^{(\varepsilon)}(y) = P_m(y) + \varepsilon P_{m-1}(y) + \cdots + \varepsilon^m P_0(y)$. So $P^{(\varepsilon)}$ is a uniform elliptic family of polynomials and we can easily see that the constructions in (3.11) are uniform in the small parameter ε .

4 A trace formula

Recall that $\text{Sp}[L]$ denote the generalized eigenvalues of the quadratic family $L(z)$, m_λ is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue λ . Let f an holomorphic function in Λ such that

$$|f(z)| \leq C(1 + |z|)^{-\mu}, \quad \forall z \in \Lambda. \quad (4.17)$$

For our applications we shall choose $f(z) = (z + \lambda)^{-\mu}$, for a suitable parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let be Γ a complex contour in Λ defined as follows.

$$\Gamma = \{re^{\pm i\theta_0}, r \geq r_0\} \cup \{r_0 e^{i\theta}, \theta_0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi - \theta_0\},$$

where $r_0 > 0$ and $\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta_0 < \pi$.

Proposition 4.1 *Assume that $\mu > \frac{d(m+1)}{m}$. Then $f(\mathcal{A}_L)$ is a trace class operator and we have*

$$\text{Tr}(f(\mathcal{A}_L)) = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Sp}[L]} m(\lambda) f(\lambda) = \text{Tr} \left[\oint_{\Gamma} \hat{L}(z)^{-1} \hat{L}'(z) f(z) dz \right], \quad (4.18)$$

where $\oint_{\Gamma} F(z) dz = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma} F(z) dz$ (contour integral in the complex plane).

Proof. This a direct consequence of the Cauchy integral formula and Theorem 2.6. \square

Theorem 4.2 *For f as above, for every $d \geq 1$ we have in the semiclassical regime $\hbar \searrow 0$, modulo $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^{+\infty})$,*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \text{Sp}[L]} m(\lambda) f(\lambda) \asymp \sum_{j \geq 0} C_{2j}^{(d)}(f) \hbar^{2j-d}. \quad (4.19)$$

If d is odd,

$$C_0^{(d)}(f) = 0 \quad (4.20)$$

and for d even,

$$C_0^{(d)}(f) = 2(-1)^{d/2} (2\pi)^{-d} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f(P(x) + |\eta|) dx d\eta. \quad (4.21)$$

For the other terms ($j \geq 1$) we have the following qualitative information

$$C_{2j}^{(d)}(f) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} A_{2j,k}(x) f^{(k)}(P(x)) dx, \quad (4.22)$$

where $A_{2j,k}(x)$ are polynomials in $\partial_x^\gamma P(x)$, $|\gamma| \leq 2j$ and n_j depends on j . Moreover if d is odd, then we have

$$\boxed{C_{2j}^{(d)}(f) = 0 \text{ for } d \geq 4j + 1}. \quad (4.23)$$

Proof. The asymptotic expansion (4.19) is a direct consequence of (3.11) and of usual properties of trace operation for Weyl quantization.

Let us compute $C_0^{(d)}(f)$. We have the integral formula:

$$C_0^{(d)}(f) = - \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{2(P(x) - z)}{|\xi|^2 + (P(x) - z)^2} f(z) dz d\xi \tilde{d}x,$$

where $\tilde{d}x = (2\pi)^{-d} dx$. By the residue theorem we get

$$C_0^{(d)}(f) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} [f(P(x) + i|\xi|) + f(P(x) - i|\xi|)] d\xi \tilde{d}x.$$

For $a > 0$ we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (f(P(x) + a|\xi|)) d\xi \tilde{d}x = a^{-d} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (f(P(x) + |\xi|)) d\xi \tilde{d}x.$$

So by analytic extension and evaluation at $a = i$ we get formula (4.20) and (4.21). In particular we see that for d even, there exists f satisfying (4.17) such that $C_0^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$.

For $j \geq 1$, using (3.15), we have

$$C_{2j}^{(d)}(f) = \iint_{\Gamma} \sum_{j+1 \leq k \leq 3j} \frac{2(P(x) - z) Q_k^{2j}(x, P(x) - z, \xi)}{L(z; x, \xi)^{k+1}} f(z) dz d\xi \tilde{d}x. \quad (4.24)$$

Let us now prove that $C_{2j}^{(d)}(f) = 0$, for $4j + 1 \leq d$.

To do that it is convenient to introduce the following integral, for $u > 0, v > 0$,

$$J_{k,\nu} f(u, v) = \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{(v - z)^\nu}{(u + (v - z)^2)^{k+1}} f(z) dz. \quad (4.25)$$

We have easily

$$J_{k,\nu} f(u, v) = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{\partial^k J_{0,\nu} f}{\partial u^k}(u, v). \quad (4.26)$$

And using the residue theorem, we get

$$J_{0,\nu} f(u, v) = \frac{i^{\nu-1} u^{(\nu-1)/2}}{2} \left((-1)^{\nu+1} f(v + i\sqrt{u}) + f(v - i\sqrt{u}) \right). \quad (4.27)$$

From (4.26) and (4.27) we can compute $J_{k,\nu}f(u, v)$.

To prove that $C_{2j}^{(d)}(f) = 0$ for $d \geq 4j + 1$, we shall prove that each term in the sum (4.24) vanishes, after integration in z and ξ .

Suppose first that $j + 1 \leq k \leq 2j + 1$. We have

$$Q_k^{2j}(x, P(x) - z, \xi) = \sum_{\nu, \gamma} R_{\nu, \gamma}(x)(P(x) - z)^\nu \xi^\gamma.$$

Hence

$$\int \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{2(P(x) - z)Q_k^{2j}(x, P(x) - z, \xi)}{L(z; x, \xi)^{k+1}} f(z) dz d\xi$$

is a sum of integrals like

$$I_\nu^k(f)(x, \xi) = \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{(P(x) - z)^\nu}{L(z; x, \xi)^{k+1}} f(z) dz.$$

By integration by parts in z we have

$$I_{\nu+1}^k(f) = \frac{\nu}{2k} I_{\nu-1}^{k-1}(f) - \frac{1}{2k} I_\nu^{k-1}(f'). \quad (4.28)$$

So, we can assume that $\nu = 0$. But we have

$$I_0^\ell(g)(x, \xi) = \frac{(-1)^\ell}{\ell!} \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial u^\ell} J_{0,\nu}g(u, P(x))|_{u=|\xi|^2}.$$

So we have $I_0^\ell(g)(x, \xi) = \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{2-2\ell})$ near $\xi = 0$. Now we remark that for $\ell \leq 2j + 1$ and $d \geq 4j + 1$ we have $\ell < \frac{d}{2} + 1$, hence $\xi \mapsto I_0^\ell(g)(x, \xi)$ is integrable and, using the analytic dilation argument already used for $j = 0$, we get $I_0^\ell(g)(x, \xi) = 0$, hence

$$\int \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{2(P(x) - z)Q_k^{2j}(x, P(x) - z, \xi)}{L(z; x, \xi)^{k+1}} f(z) dz d\xi = 0.$$

Now, assume that $2j + 2 \leq k \leq 3j$. Using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$Q_k^{2j}(x, P(x) - z, \xi) = \sum_{\nu+|\gamma| \geq 2(2k-1-2j)} R_{\nu, \gamma}(x)(P(x) - z)^\nu \xi^\gamma.$$

As above, we integrate by parts in z to have the possibility to put ν at 0 and then we use ξ^γ to decrease the order of the singularity in ξ as far as possible (integrability near $\xi = 0$) of $\oint_{\Gamma} \frac{Q_k^{2j}}{L^{k+1}} dz$. We conclude by the analytic dilation argument. \square

So we have proven the last statement of Theorem 4.2.

We conjecture that the next following terms are not 0; more precisely we claim:

Conjecture: For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \geq 1$, there exists f satisfying (4.17) such that we have we have

$$C_{2j}^{(4j-1)}(f) \neq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad C_{2j}^{(4j-3)}(f) \neq 0 \quad (4.29)$$

In the following sections we shall check this conjecture for $d = 1, 3$ and we shall compute analytic formula for $C_4^{(5)}(f)$ and $C_4^{(7)}(f)$. Unfortunately, these analytic expressions have many terms and it is not obvious that $C_4^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$ for $d = 5, 7$, for every elliptic polynomial P . We shall see that this is true for convex polynomials for $d = 7$ and satisfying a technical condition if $d = 5$. Moreover we get, using numerical computations for particular non-convex polynomials P , that $C_4^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$.

As we shall see in the next section, the property $C_{2j}^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$ gives easily a lower bounds on the density of eigenvalues.

Remark 4.3 *Following Remark 3.4 we can extend our results to polyhomogeneous polynomials $P = P_m + P_{m-1} + \dots + P_1 + P_0$. To follow the dependence in the coefficients, we note $C_{2j}(f, P)$ the coefficient $C_{2j}(f)$ with polynomial P .*

In particular we have $C_{2j}^{(d)}(f, P^{(\varepsilon)}) = 0$, for $d \geq 4j + 1$ and for every ε small enough. We have used the notations of Remark 3.4.

Assume now that $d = 4j_0 - 3$ or $d = 4j_0 - 1$, $j_0 \geq 1$. Then using a Taylor expansion in ε , computed for $\varepsilon = \hbar^{1/(m+1)}$, we get

$$C_{2j}(f, P^{(\hbar^{1/(m+1)})}) \asymp \sum_{k \geq 0} \gamma_k \hbar^{k/(m+1)}, \quad (4.30)$$

in particular if $\gamma_0 = C_{2j_0}^{(d)}(f, P_m) \neq 0$ then from Remark 3.4 we get that $C_{2j_0}^{(d)}(f, P) \neq 0$. So it is enough to prove the conjecture for homogeneous polynomials P .

5 Estimate the density of eigenvalues

First of all let us remark that the nonlinear spectrum $\text{Sp}[\hat{L}]$ of \hat{L} is included in the two quarters $\{z \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(z) \geq 0, \pm \Im(z) > 0\}$.

On one side, it is easy to see that if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $L(\lambda)u = 0$ then $u = 0$. On the other side, if $\Re(\lambda) < 0$ and $L(\lambda)u = 0$, computing $\Im(\langle L(\lambda)u, u \rangle)$ we conclude that $u = 0$.

Let us denote by $N_{\hbar}(R) = \#\{z \in \text{Sp}[\hat{L}]; |z| \leq R\}$ and $N(R) = N_{\hbar=1}(R)$.

Proposition 5.1 *For every real μ , $\mu > d(m+1)/m$, there exists $C_k > 0$ such that*

$$N_{\hbar}(R) \leq C_k R^{\mu} \hbar^{-d}, \quad \forall R \geq 1, \forall \hbar \in]0, 1]. \quad (5.31)$$

If $C_{2j}^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$ with $d > 2j$, then for every $r > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $c_{\varepsilon, r} > 0$ such that

$$N_{\hbar}(r\hbar^{-\varepsilon}) \geq c_{\varepsilon, r} \hbar^{-\delta}, \quad \forall \hbar \in]0, 1], \quad (5.32)$$

where $\delta = d - 2j$. Moreover if $j = 0$ (d even) then the estimates is valid with $\varepsilon = 0$. So that, in even dimension, for every $R > 0$, $N_{\hbar}(R)$ behaves like \hbar^{-d} .

Proof. The proof of (5.31) is a direct consequence of Weyl-Ky-Fan inequality [20].

We first remark that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if

$$-\pi/2 - \varepsilon \leq \arg z \leq \pi/2 + \varepsilon, \quad |\mu| \geq R_\varepsilon$$

then we have

$$|t + z|^2 \geq (1 - \varepsilon)(t^2 + |z|^2).$$

Let us choose $f(\lambda) = (\lambda + t)^{-\mu}$ with k large enough ($\mu > d(m + 1)/m$) and $t > 0$. We apply (4.19) to get the following inequalities

$$C_1 \hbar^{-\delta} \leq \left| \sum_{z \in Sp[\hat{L}]} (t + z)^{-\mu} \right| \leq \sum_{z \in Sp[\hat{L}]} |t + z|^{-\mu} \leq C_2 \sum_{z \in Sp[\hat{L}]} (t + |z|)^{-\mu}$$

But for every μ, μ_1 , large enough, such that $\mu - \mu_1$ is large enough, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{z \in Sp[\hat{L}] \\ |z| \geq R}} (t + |z|)^{-\mu} \leq R^{-\mu_1} \sum_{z \in Sp[\hat{L}]} (t + |z|)^{\mu_1 - \mu}$$

We choose now $R = r\hbar^{-\varepsilon}$ to get

$$N_{\hbar}(r\hbar^{-\varepsilon}) \geq \sum_{|\mu| \leq R} (1 + |\mu|)^{-k} \geq c_{\varepsilon, r} \hbar^{-\delta}$$

□

The above results concern the semi-classical regime. Now we give estimates for $\hbar = 1$ and high energy regime

Corollary 5.2 *For $R \nearrow +\infty$ we have*

$$N(R) = \mathcal{O}(R^{d(m+1)/m}).$$

If $C_{2j}^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$ with $d - 2j > 0$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$c_\varepsilon R^{\delta(m+1)/m - \varepsilon} \leq N(R)$$

If $j = 0$, the estimate is true with $\varepsilon = 0$ and $c_0 > 0$.

6 1-d and 3-d cases

In this section we prove the following result.

Theorem 6.1 *For $d = 1, 3$, there exists f satisfying (4.17) such that for every $m \geq 2$, we have $C_2^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$. More precisely, we have*

$$C_2^{(1)}(f) = -\frac{1}{16} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(3)}(P(x)) P'(x)^2 dx \quad (6.33)$$

$$C_2^{(3)}(f) = -\frac{1}{48\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f'(P(x)) |\nabla P(x)|^2 dx \quad (6.34)$$

We can choose $f(\lambda) = (\lambda + t)^{-\mu}$ with $\mu > d(m + 1)/m$ and $t > 0$.

Proof. We compute with the explicit form we got before for K_2 . We have, for $d = 1, 3$,

$$C_2^d(f, x) = -2 \oint_{\Gamma} (P - z) H_2 dz,$$

where $H_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_2 d\xi$. But we have

$$H_2 = (P - z)^{d-5} \Delta P (b_3 - 2b_{4,1}) + (P - z)^{d-6} |\nabla P|^2 (b_3 - 2b_4 - 2b_{4,1}),$$

hence

$$C_2^{(1)}(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{8}{3} b_{4,1} - \frac{4}{3} b_{3,0} + \frac{2}{3} b_4 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(3)}(P(x)) P'(x)^2 dx. \quad (6.35)$$

$$\boxed{C_2^{(1)}(f) = -\frac{1}{16} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(3)}(P(x)) P'(x)^2 dx} \quad (6.36)$$

$$C_2^{(3)}(f) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3} (4b_{4,1} - 2b_4 - 2b_3) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f'(P(x)) |\nabla P(x)|^2 dx \quad (6.37)$$

and

$$\boxed{C_2^{(3)}(f) = -\frac{1}{48\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f'(P(x)) |\nabla P|^2(x) dx} \quad (6.38)$$

□

We have seen that for d odd, $d \geq 5$, $C_2^{(d)}(f) = 0$. So we have to compute $C_4^{(d)}(f)$ for $d = 5, 7$.

7 5-d and 7-d cases

We have to compute in more details the term K_4 from (3.14). Recall that we have

$$C_4^{(d)}(f) = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_x^d} \oint_{\Gamma} (P - z) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi^d} K_4(z; x, \xi) d\xi \right) f(z) dz \tilde{d}x. \quad (7.39)$$

We have to compute the following three integrals, depending on $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$I_\beta^{(1)} = \Gamma(0; \beta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2 + (P(x) - z)^2} \partial_\xi^\beta \left(\frac{1}{|\xi|^2 + (P(x) - z)^2} \right) d\xi; \quad |\beta| = 4,$$

$$I_\alpha^{(2)} = \Gamma(\alpha, 0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2 + (P(x) - z)^2} \partial_\xi^\alpha (|\xi|^2) \partial_x^\alpha K_2 d\xi; \quad |\alpha| = 2, \quad (7.40)$$

$$I_\beta^{(3)} = \Gamma(0; \beta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2 + (P(x) - z)^2} \partial_\xi^\beta K_2 d\xi; \quad |\beta| = 2. \quad (7.41)$$

$$(7.42)$$

Using the new variable η such that $\xi = (P - z)\eta$ (plus an analytic extension), we get

$$I_\beta^{(1)} = \frac{a(\beta)}{(P(x) - z)^{8-d}}, \quad a(\beta) = \frac{1}{16\beta!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1 + |\eta|^2} \partial_\eta^\beta \left(\frac{1}{1 + |\eta|^2} \right) d\eta. \quad (7.43)$$

$a(\beta) \neq 0$ only when $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$ is such that $\beta_j = 4$ and $\beta_k = 0$ for $k \neq j$ or $\beta_j = \beta_k = 2$, $j \neq k$ and $\beta_\ell = 0$ if $\ell \neq j, \ell \neq k$.

In the first case $a(\beta) = a_1$ and in the second case $a(\beta) = a_2$ where

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{96} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(4\eta_1^2 - (1 + |\eta|^2))^2}{(1 + |\eta|^2)^6} d\eta, \quad (7.44)$$

$$a_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\eta_1^2 \eta_2^2}{(1 + |\eta|^2)^6} d\eta. \quad (7.45)$$

It is convenient to introduce the following notations.

$$b_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{d\eta}{(1 + |\eta|^2)^j}, \quad b_{j,k} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\eta_1^{2k} d\eta}{(1 + |\eta|^2)^j}, \quad b_{j,k,\ell} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\eta_1^{2k} \eta_2^{2\ell} d\eta}{(1 + |\eta|^2)^j}. \quad (7.46)$$

where $j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that the integrals are finite. Of course these integrals can be computed with the Euler beta and gamma special functions (see appendix for more explicit expressions).

So we have $a_1 = \frac{1}{6}b_{6,2} - \frac{1}{3}b_{5,1} + \frac{1}{96}b_4$ and $a_2 = b_{6,1,1}$.

Using integration by parts, in x or in ξ , we get the following formulas

$$C_4(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} C_4(f; x) \tilde{d}x \quad (7.47)$$

where

$$C_4(f; x) = C_{4,1}(f; x) + C_{4,2}(f; x) + C_{4,3}(f; x) \quad (7.48)$$

and

$$C_{4,1}(f; x) = 2 \oint_{\Gamma} (P - z) \sum_{|\beta|=4} \left(\partial_x^\beta (P - z)^2 I_\beta^{(1)} \right) f(z) dz, \quad (7.49)$$

$$C_{4,2}(f; x) = 2 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \Gamma(\alpha, 0) \oint_{\Gamma} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_\xi^\alpha (|\xi|^2) \partial_x^\alpha \left(\frac{P - z}{L(z)} \right) K_2 d\xi \right) f(z) dz. \quad (7.50)$$

$$C_{4,3}(f; x) = 2 \oint_{\Gamma} (P - z) \partial_x^\beta L(z) I_\beta^{(3)} f(z) dz. \quad (7.51)$$

Now we have to compute each term. These computations are not difficult but they are very technical, so we do not give here all the details. They are performed in [1]. We use the notations :

$$\partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad \partial_j^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}, \quad \partial_{j,k}^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \quad (7.52)$$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{6}b_{6,2} - \frac{1}{3}b_{5,1} + \frac{1}{96}b_4 \quad (7.53)$$

$$a_2 = b_{6,1,1} \quad (7.54)$$

For $\boxed{d=5}$ we get

$$C_4(f; x) = A_0(x)f(P(x)) + A_1(x)f'(P(x)) + A_2(x)f''(P(x)) + A_3(x)f^{(3)}(P(x)), \quad (7.55)$$

where

$$A_0(x) = \frac{\pi^3}{24} \sum_j \partial_j^4 P - \frac{\pi^3}{48} \sum_{j < k} \partial_j^2 \partial_k^2 P, \quad (7.56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(x) &= -\frac{11\pi^3}{480} \sum_j (\partial_j^2 P)^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{480} \sum_{j \neq k} (\partial_{j,k}^2 P)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi^3}{160} (\Delta P)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{240} \sum_{j \neq k} (\partial_j^2 P)(\partial_k^2 P), \end{aligned} \quad (7.57)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(x) &= \frac{\pi^3}{96} |\nabla P|^2 \Delta P + \frac{\pi^3}{160} \sum_j (\partial_j^2 P)(\partial_j P)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi^2}{480} \sum_{j \neq k} (\partial_j^2 P)(\partial_k P)^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{240} \sum_{j \neq k} (\partial_{j,k}^2 P)(\partial_j P)(\partial_k P), \end{aligned} \quad (7.58)$$

$$A_3(x) = -\frac{\pi^3}{576} |\nabla P|^4 - \frac{\pi^3}{960} \sum_j (\partial_j P)^4 - \frac{\pi^3}{960} \sum_{j \neq k} (\partial_j P)^2 (\partial_k P)^2. \quad (7.59)$$

For $\boxed{d=7}$ we get

$$C_4(f; x) = A_0(x)f(P(x)) + A_1 f'(P(x)), \quad (7.60)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(x) &= \frac{\pi^4}{120} \sum_j (\partial_j^2 P)(\partial_j P)^2 + \frac{\pi^3}{360} \sum_{j \neq k} (\partial_j^2 P)(\partial_k P)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi^3}{180} \sum_{j \neq k} (\partial_{j,k}^2 P)(\partial_j P)(\partial_k P), \end{aligned} \quad (7.61)$$

$$A_1(x) = -\frac{\pi^4}{240} |\nabla P|^4 - \frac{\pi^4}{240} \sum_j (\partial_j P)^4 - \frac{\pi^3}{240} \sum_{j \neq k} (\partial_j P)^2 (\partial_k P)^2. \quad (7.62)$$

We should like to use these formulas with

$$f(\lambda) = (\lambda + t)^{-\mu}, \quad \text{with } \mu > d(m+1)/m, t > 0, \quad (7.63)$$

to prove that $C_4^{(d)}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} C_4(f; x) \tilde{d}x \neq 0$ ($d = 5, 7$).

With f like in (7.63) we can see easily that $C_4^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$ for the following polynomials:

$$\textcircled{1} P(x) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \alpha_j x_j^m, \quad \alpha_j > 0, 1 \leq j \leq d, d = 5, 7.$$

- ② $P(x) = \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq d} \alpha_{j,k} x_j x_k$, is a positive-definite quadratic form, $d = 5, 7$
 ③ $d = 7$ and P is convex.
 ④ $d = 5$, P is convex and satisfies the inequalities

$$\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 5} \partial_j^2 \partial_k^2 P \leq 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 5} \partial_j^4 P \quad (7.64)$$

$$\sum_{j \neq k} \left(\partial_{j,k}^2 P \right)^2 \leq 11\pi \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 5} \left(\partial_j^2 P \right)^2 \quad (7.65)$$

For elliptic and non-convex polynomials, we can check that $C_4^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$, $d = 5, 7$, for many examples with numerical computations, supporting our conjecture that for every elliptic polynomial P , $C_4^{(d)}(f) \neq 0$, if $d = 5, 7$ (see Appendix).

For $d = 9, 11$, it seems difficult to compute $C_6^{(d)}(f)$ by hand. We need help from symbolic and numerical computations to check our conjecture.

A Appendix

A.1 Formulas for $b_{j,k,\ell}$

We assume $d \geq 3$ and $2j - q > 1$. We have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^q}{(1+r^2)^j} dr = \frac{1}{2} B\left(\frac{q+1}{2}, j - \frac{q+1}{2}\right) \quad (A.66)$$

where

$$B(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}$$

So computing in polar coordinates,

$$b_j(d) = \frac{\pi^{d/2} \Gamma(j - d/2)}{\Gamma(j)}$$

Now, by elementary computations we get easily

$$b_{j,1}(d) = \frac{1}{d} (b_{j-1}(d) - b_j(d)) \quad (A.67)$$

$$b_{j,2}(d) = B\left(5/2, j - \frac{d+4}{2}\right) b_j(d-1) \quad (A.68)$$

$$b_{j,1,1}(d) = \frac{1}{8} B\left(3, j - \frac{d+4}{2}\right) b_j(d-2). \quad (A.69)$$

A.2 Numerical computations for $C_4(f)$

The following computations have been performed by Guy Moebs, Research Engineer, Laboratoire Jean-Leray, CNRS-University of Nantes.

The method used to compute multi-dimensional integrals is Monte-Carlo, with a cut-off of the domain to reduce it in a bounded domain fitting with the behaviour of the polynomial P . P is chosen non-convex, because for this case we have no mathematical proof that $C_4(f) \neq 0$.

In each example, 100 simulations are computed with at least 10^9 events. f is chosen like in (7.63) with $t = 1$ and $\mu > 0$ large enough.

Example 1 $d = 5$, $P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j^4 + \alpha x_1^2 x_2^2$

| α | $C_4(f)$ |
|----------|----------|
| 7 | 1 428 |
| 10 | 1 515 |
| 100 | 9 237 |
| 1000 | 235 115 |

Example 2 $d = 7$, $P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j^4 + \alpha x_1^2 x_2^2 + \beta x_3^2 x_4^2$

| α | β | $C_4(f)$ |
|----------|---------|----------|
| 7 | 7 | 409 |
| 7 | 10 | 423 |
| 7 | 100 | 1 806 |
| 7 | 1000 | 39 646 |
| 10 | 10 | 434 |
| 10 | 100 | 1 705 |
| 10 | 1000 | 36 724 |
| 100 | 100 | 1 755 |
| 100 | 1000 | 19 587 |
| 1000 | 1000 | 18 270 |

Example 3 $d = 5$, $P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j^6 + \alpha x_1^2 x_2^4 + \beta x_3^2 x_4^4$

| (α, β) | $C_4(f)$ |
|-------------------|----------|
| (100, 10) | 11 732 |

References

- [1] F. Aboud. Ph.D Thesis, University of Nantes, May 2009. To be published in <http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/>
- [2] K. Kh. Boimatov and A. G. Kostyuchenko. Trace formula for polynomial operators and its applications.
Translated from Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozhenia, Vol.25, No.4, p. 90-93, October-December (1991)

- [3] A. Bouzouina and D. Robert. Uniform semiclassical estimates for the propagation of quantum observables. *Duke Math. Journal*, Vol.111, No 2 p.223-252. (2002)
- [4] S. Chanillo, B. Helffer and A. Laptev. Nonlinear eigenvalues and analytic hypoellipticity. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, Vol 209 (2), p. 425-443 (2004).
- [5] M. Christ. Analytic hypoellipticity, representation of nilpotent groups and non-linear eigenvalue problem. *Duke Math. J.* p. 595-639. (1993)
- [6] M. Christ. Examples of analytic non-hypoellipticity of $\bar{\partial}_b$. *Commun. in PDE*, 19, p. 911-941 (1994).
- [7] M. Dauge. D. Robert, Weyl's formula for a class of pseudodifferential operators with negative order on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *Lecture Notes in Math*, n.1256, Springer-Verlag, P. 90-122 (1986)
- [8] N . Dunford and J.T. Schwartz. *Linear Operators*, Vol.2, Interscience Publ. (1963).
- [9] A. Friedman and M. Shinbrot. Nonlinear eigenvalue problems. *Acta Mathematica* **121** p. 77-128 (1968)
- [10] I. Gohberg and M.G. Krein. *Introduction à la théorie des opérateurs non nécessairement auto-adjoints*. Dunod, (1972).
- [11] B. Helffer. Remarques sur des résultats de G. Métivier sur le nonhypo-analyticité. *Séminaire de l'Université de Nantes*, exposé No.9, (1978-79).
- [12] B. Helffer, D. Robert and Xue Ping Wang. Semiclassical analysis of a non-linear eigenvalue problem and analytic hypoellipticity. *Algebra i Analiz*, 16, No. 1, p. 285-296 (2004).
- [13] M. V. Keldysh. On the completeness of the eigenfunctions of some classes of non self-adjoint linear operators. *Russian Math. Survey*, **26**, No.4, p. 15-44, (1971).
- [14] M.G. Krein and H. Langer. On the mathematical principles in the linear theory of damped oscillations of continua I. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, p. 364-399, vol 1/3, (1978).
- [15] A.S. Markus. *Introduction to the spectral theory of polynomial operator pencils*. Vol. 71, Translations of mathematical monographs. American Mathematical Society.
- [16] G. Métivier. Une classe d'opérateurs non hypoelliptiques analytiques. *Séminaire Goulaouic-Schwartz*, Exposé XII, (1978-1979).
- [17] Pham The Lai, D. Robert. Sur un problème aux valeurs propres non linéaire. *J. of Math.* Vol.36, p. 169 -186, (1980).

- [18] D. Robert. Propriétés spectrales d'opérateurs pseudodifférentiels. Comm. in P.D.E **3** p. 755-826, (1978).
- [19] D. Robert. *Autour de l'approximation semi-classique*. Progress in Mathematics n^o 68, Birkhäuser, (1987).
- [20] D. Robert. Nonlinear eigenvalues problems. Sociedade Brasileira de Matematica, matematica contemporanea, vol 26, (2004)
- [21] R. Seeley. Complex powers of an elliptic operators. Singular Integrals, Pro. Symposia Pure Math. **110**, A.M.S, p. 288-307, (1967).
- [22] B. Simon. *Trace ideals and their applications*. London Mathematical Society. Lecture Note Series 35. Cambridge University Press (1979).
- [23] J. Sjöstrand. A Trace Formula for resonances and application to semiclassical Schrödinger operators. Séminaire E.D.P, École Polytechnique, Exp. No.11, (1996-1997).