



On the homology of the double cobar construction of a double suspension

Alexandre Quesney

► To cite this version:

Alexandre Quesney. On the homology of the double cobar construction of a double suspension. 2014.
hal-01010468

HAL Id: hal-01010468

<https://hal.science/hal-01010468>

Preprint submitted on 20 Jun 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the homology of the double cobar construction of a double suspension

Alexandre Quesney *

Abstract

The double cobar construction of a double suspension comes with a Connes-Moscovici structure, that is a homotopy G-algebra (or Gerstenhaber-Voronov algebra) structure together with a particular BV-operator up to a homotopy. We show that the homology of the double cobar construction of a double suspension is a free BV-algebra. In characteristic two, a similar result holds for the underlying 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebra. These facts rely on a formality theorem for the double cobar construction of a double suspension.

Introduction

For $n \geq 1$, the n -fold loop spaces (of $SO(n)$ -spaces) are characterized by the (framed) little n -discs operad. In case $n = 1$, the cobar construction provides an algebraic model for the chain complex of loop spaces; it can be iterated to form the double cobar construction that provides a model for the chain complex of 2-fold loop spaces.

We are interested in further algebraic structures on the double cobar construction encoded by operads that are either equivalent to the chain little 2-discs operad, or equivalent to the chain *framed* little 2-discs operad in the case of 2-fold loop spaces of S^1 -spaces. Recall that the homology operad of the little 2-discs operad is the Gerstenhaber operad and that the homology operad of the framed little 2-discs operad is the Batalin-Vilkovisky operad.

Let $\Omega^2 Y$ be the double loop space of a pointed topological space Y . Then its rational homology $H_*(\Omega^2 Y)$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra:

- the graded commutative product is the Pontryagin product $- \cdot -$;
- the degree 1 Lie bracket is the Browder bracket $\{-; -\}$;
- they are compatible in the following way:

$$(0.1) \quad \{a; b \cdot c\} = \{a; b\} \cdot c + (-1)^{(|a|+1)|b|} b \cdot \{a; c\},$$

for all homogeneous $a, b, c \in H_*(\Omega^2 Y)$.

*Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean-Leray, 2 rue de la Houssinière - BP 92208 F-44322 Nantes Cedex 3, France. E-mail address: alexandre.quesney@univ-nantes.fr

If Y is endowed with an action of the circle S^1 (preserving the base point) then the double loop space $\Omega^2 Y = \text{Hom}(S^2, Y)$ is also an S^1 -space with the following action:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta : S^1 \times \text{Hom}(S^2, Y) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(S^2, Y) \\ (g, \phi) &\mapsto (g \cdot \phi)(s) := g \cdot \phi(g^{-1} \cdot s),\end{aligned}$$

where the circle S^1 acts on the 2-sphere S^2 by the base point-preserving rotations. This action induces (together with the Pontryagin product) a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure $(H_*(\Omega^2 Y), \Delta)$, [Get94].

In [Que13] the author shows that the double cobar construction of a double suspension is endowed with a Connes-Moscovici structure. Essentially it is a homotopy G -algebra structure together with the boundary operator defined by A. Connes and H. Moscovici in [CM00], that is a BV-operator up to a homotopy. This can be seen as a first step for obtaining a strong homotopy BV-algebra structure on the double cobar construction.

Our main structural results are the followings.

Theorem (5.3). *Let the coefficient field be \mathbb{Q} . Then $\Omega^2 C_*(\Sigma^2 X)$ has a Connes-Moscovici structure. The induced BV-algebra structure $(H_*(\Omega^2 C_*(\Sigma^2 X)), \Delta_{CM})$ is free on the reduced homology $H_*^+(X)$.*

Therefore the Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras $(H_*(\Omega^2 C_*(\Sigma^2 X)), \Delta_{CM})$ and $(H_*(\Omega^2 |\Sigma^2 X|), \Delta)$ are isomorphic.

In characteristic 2 the homology of double loop spaces is 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebra (see Definition 3.2). We obtain

Theorem (4.1). *Let the coefficient field be \mathbb{F}_2 . Then $\Omega^2 C_*(\Sigma^2 X)$ has a Connes-Moscovici structure. The induced 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebra structure on $H_*(\Omega^2 C_*(\Sigma^2 X))$ is free on the reduced homology $H_*^+(X)$.*

Therefore the restricted Gerstenhaber algebras $H_*(\Omega^2 C_*(\Sigma^2 X))$ and $H_*(\Omega^2 |\Sigma^2 X|)$ are isomorphic.

The two previous theorems use the following formality theorem.

Let us mention that what we call a homotopy BV-algebra cf. [Que13] has to be understood as a homotopy G -algebra with a BV-operator up to a homotopy. Such a structure has the Connes-Moscovici structure as an archetype.

Theorem (2.2). *Let \mathbb{k} be any coefficient field. Let C be a homotopy G -coalgebra with no higher structural co-operations and such that any element of C^+ is primitive for the coproduct. Then $\Omega^2 C$ and $\Omega^2 H_*(C)$, endowed with the Connes-Moscovici structure, are quasi-isomorphic as homotopy BV-algebras.*

The main example of such a C is the simplicial chain complex of a double suspension.

The paper is organized as follows.

In the first section: we fix notations, we recall both the cobar construction and what are homotopy G -(co)algebras. The main result is the Proposition 1.3, coming from [Que13], that claims the existence of the Connes-Moscovici structure on the double cobar construction of a double suspension. The second section is devoted to the formality theorem. The third section described the 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebras coming from the homology of a homotopy G -algebra. In the fourth section we prove that, in characteristic two, the homology of the double cobar construction of a double suspension is a 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebra. In the last section the coefficient field is \mathbb{Q} ; we prove that the homology of the double cobar construction of a double suspension is BV-algebra. In the appendix we write the relations among the structural co-operations of homotopy G -coalgebras.

1 A few algebraic structures on the cobar construction

This section does not contain new results. Here we recall a few algebraic structures on both the cobar and the double cobar constructions. The main result of this section shows that the double cobar construction of an *involutive* homotopy G -coalgebra has a *Connes-Moscovici structure*. Roughly speaking, a Connes-Moscovici structure is a *homotopy G -algebra* structure together with a BV-operator up to a homotopy. The terminology Connes-Moscovici structure refers to the boundary operator defined on the cobar construction of an involutive Hopf algebra in [CM00]; it is here the BV-operator.


The cobar construction is a functor

$$\Omega : DGC \rightarrow DGA$$

from the category of 1-connected differential graded coalgebras to the category of connected differential graded algebras. By a 1-connected dg-coalgebra C we mean a co-augmented co-unital (of co-unit ϵ) dg-coalgebra such that $C_n = 0$ if $n < 0$ or $n = 1$ and $C_0 = \mathbb{k}$. As a vector space, the cobar construction of a dg-coalgebra $(C, \epsilon, d_C, \nabla_C)$ is defined as the free tensor algebra $T(s^{-1}C^+)$. Here, s^{-1} is the usual desuspension, $(s^{-1}M)_n = M_{n+1}$, and C^+ is the reduced dg-coalgebra $C^+ = \text{Ker}(\epsilon)$. The differential d_Ω of the cobar construction ΩC is given as the unique derivation such that its restriction to $s^{-1}C^+$ is

$$d_\Omega(s^{-1}c) = -s^{-1}d_{C^+}(c) + (s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1})\nabla_{C^+}(c) \quad \forall c \in C^+.$$

The cobar construction of a dg-coalgebra C can be enriched with a Hopf dg-algebra structure for example when C is endowed with a *homotopy G -coalgebra* structure, cf. [Kad04]. In this case the resulting double cobar construction $\Omega^2 C = \Omega(\Omega C)$ has a structure of homotopy G -algebra, cf. [Kad05].



A homotopy G-coalgebra structure on a dg-coalgebra C is the data of a coproduct $\nabla : \Omega C \rightarrow \Omega C \otimes \Omega C$ such that:

- $(\Omega C, \nabla)$ is a (co-unitary) dg-bialgebra;
- ∇ satisfies the left-sided condition (6.7) defined in the appendix.

The coproduct ∇ being dg-algebra morphism it corresponds to a unique twisting cochain $E : C^+ \rightarrow \Omega C \otimes \Omega C$. We note

$$E^{i,j} : C^+ \rightarrow (C^+)^{\otimes i} \otimes (C^+)^{\otimes j}$$

its (i, j) -component. The left-sided condition (6.7) says exactly that $E^{i,j} = 0$ when $i \geq 2$. Both the coassociativity of ∇ and the compatibility with the differential d_Ω lead to relations among the $E^{1,k}$'s. For its particular importance, we distinguish the co-operation $E^{1,1}$ and we denote it by ∇_1 . In particular:

- ∇_1 is a chain homotopy for the cocommutativity of the coproduct of C ;
- the coassociativity of ∇_1 is controlled by the co-operation $E^{1,2}$.

We refer to the appendix for the complete relationship among these co-operations.

Dually, a homotopy G-algebra structure on a dg-algebra A is the data of a product $\mu : \mathcal{B} A \otimes \mathcal{B} A \rightarrow \mathcal{B} A$ on the bar construction (see [Kad05]) such that:

- $(\mathcal{B} A, \mu)$ is a (unital) dg-bialgebra;
- μ satisfies a right-sided condition, see [LR10, 3.1 and 3.2].

The product μ being dg-coalgebra morphism it corresponds to a unique twisting cochain $E : \mathcal{B} A \otimes \mathcal{B} A \rightarrow A^+$. We note

$$E_{i,j} : (A^+)^{\otimes i} \otimes (A^+)^{\otimes j} \rightarrow A^+$$

its (i, j) -component. The right-sided condition [LR10, 3.1] says exactly that $E_{i,j} = 0$ when $i \geq 2$. The associativity of μ and the compatibility with the differential $d_{\mathcal{B}}$ of the bar construction leads to relations among the $E_{k,1}$'s. We distinguish the operation $E_{1,1}$ and we denote it by \cup_1 . In particular:

- \cup_1 is a chain homotopy for the commutativity of the product of A ;
- the associativity of \cup_1 is controlled by the operation $E_{1,2}$;

- \cup_1 is a left derivation for the product but it is a right derivation up to homotopy for the product.

We refer to [Que13] for explicit relations among the operations, or to [Kad05] in characteristic two. However, for later use, let us write precisely the three previous facts in characteristic two:

$$(1.1) \quad ab - ba = (\bar{\partial}\cup_1)(a \otimes b),$$


$$(1.2) \quad (a \cup_1 b) \cup_1 c - a \cup_1 (b \cup_1 c) = E_{1,2}(a; b, c) + E_{1,2}(a; c, b),$$

$$(1.3) \quad ab \cup_1 c - a(b \cup_1 c) - (a \cup_1 c)b = 0,$$

$$(1.4) \quad a \cup_1 bc - (a \cup_1 b)c - b(a \cup_1 c) = \bar{\partial}E_{1,2}(a \otimes b \otimes c),$$

for all $a, b, c \in A^+$ and where, for $n \geq 1$, $\bar{\partial}(f) = d_A f - f d_{A^{\otimes n}}$ is the usual differential of $\text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A)$.

A. Connes and H. Moscovici [CM00, (2.21)] defined a boundary operator on the cobar construction of an involutive Hopf algebra (that is a Hopf algebra with an involutive antipode). This operator, named the Connes-Moscovici operator, induces a BV-algebra structure on the homology of such a cobar construction, [Men04]. In [Que13] the author showed that the cobar construction of an involutive Hopf dg-algebra \mathcal{H} is endowed with the *Connes-Moscovici structure*. The latter lifts the BV-algebra structure obtained by L. Menichi [Men04] on $H_*(\Omega\mathcal{H})$. Moreover, the homotopy G-coalgebras such that the antipode on the resulting cobar construction is involutive was characterized as the *involutive* homotopy G-coalgebras.



Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{H} be an involutive Hopf dg-algebra \mathcal{H} . The Connes-Moscovici structure on the cobar construction $\Omega\mathcal{H}$ is the homotopy G-algebra structure $(\Omega\mathcal{H}, \cup_1, \{E_{1,k}\}_{k \geq 2})$ given in [Kad05] together with the Connes-Moscovici operator $\Delta_{CM} : \Omega\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \Omega\mathcal{H}$.

It satisfies the following properties:

$$(1.5) \quad \Delta_{CM}^2 = 0;$$

$$(1.6) \quad (-1)^{|a|} (\Delta_{CM}(ab) - \Delta_{CM}(a)b - (-1)^{|a|} a \Delta_{CM}(b)) = \{a; b\} + \bar{\partial}H(a; b),$$

for all homogeneous elements $a, b \in \Omega\mathcal{H}$, where H is a chain homotopy of degree 2 and $\{a; b\} := a \cup_1 b - (-1)^{(|a|+1)(|b|+1)} b \cup_1 a$.

The example of homotopy G-coalgebras C that we consider is the simplicial chain complex of a simplicial set.

Given a pointed simplicial set X , we denote by $C_*(X)$ the simplicial chain complex on X . The Alexander-Whitney coproduct makes $C_*(X)$ into a dg-coalgebra. We denote by $|X|$ a geometric realization of X .

H.-J. Baues [Bau81, Bau98] constructed a coproduct

$$\nabla_0 : \Omega C_*(X) \rightarrow \Omega C_*(X) \otimes \Omega C_*(X)$$

on the cobar construction $\Omega C_*(X)$ when the 1-skeleton of $|X|$ is a point. It satisfies:

- $(\Omega C_*(X), \nabla_0)$ is a Hopf dg-algebra;
- $H_*(\Omega^2 C_*(X)) \cong H_*(\Omega^2 |X|)$ when the 2-skeleton of $|X|$ is a point.

This coproduct corresponds to a homotopy G-coalgebra structure on $C_*(X)$.

Definition 1.2. A homotopy G-coalgebra $(C, \nabla_1, \{E^{1,k}\}_{k \geq 2})$ is reduced if ∇_1 and $E^{1,k}$ for all $k \geq 2$ are zero.

Proposition 1.3. [Que13, Theorem 4.6] Let $\Sigma^2 X$ be a double simplicial suspension. Then

- Baues' coproduct determines a reduced (hence involutive) homotopy G-coalgebra structure $C_*(\Sigma^2 X)$;
- the double cobar construction $\Omega^2 C_*(\Sigma^2 X)$ has the Connes-Moscovici structure.

The Alexander-Whitney coproduct on $C_*(\Sigma^2 X)$ is primitive, that is all the elements of $C_*(\Sigma^2 X)$ are primitive for the coproduct. In fact this is already the case for a suspension ΣX .

2 Formality theorem

In order to prove a formality theorem for the double cobar construction endowed with the Connes-Moscovici structure we need to specify the category of what we call the homotopy BV-algebras.

Definition 2.1. A homotopy BV-algebra is a homotopy G-algebra $(A, \cup_1, \{E_{1,k}\}_{k \geq 2})$ with a degree 1 operator Δ satisfying:

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta^2 = 0;$$

$$(2.2) \quad (-1)^{|a|} (\Delta(ab) - \Delta(a)b - (-1)^{|a|} a\Delta(b)) = \{a; b\} + \bar{\partial}H(a; b),$$

for all homogeneous elements $a, b \in A$, where H is a chain homotopy of degree 2 and $\{a; b\} := a \cup_1 b - (-1)^{(|a|+1)(|b|+1)} b \cup_1 a$.

An ∞ -morphism between two homotopy BV-algebras A and A' is defined as follows. It is:

- a morphism of dg-bialgebras $f : \mathcal{B} A \rightarrow \mathcal{B} A'$ between the associated bar constructions (i.e. an ∞ -morphism of homotopy G-algebras), its components are $f_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A', n \geq 1$; and,
- the linear map $f_1 : A \rightarrow A'$ commutes with the BV-operators, i.e. $f_1 \Delta = \Delta f_1$.

Here we prove that the double cobar construction of a reduced homotopy G-coalgebra is formal as homotopy BV-algebra in the following sense:

Theorem 2.2. *Let \mathbb{k} be any coefficient field. Let C be a reduced (hence involutive) homotopy G-coalgebra with a coproduct such that all the elements of C^+ are primitive. Then $\Omega^2 C$ and $\Omega^2 H_*(C)$, endowed with the Connes-Moscovici structure, are quasi-isomorphic as homotopy BV-algebras.*

This theorem relies on the homotopy transfer theorem (HTT for short) for A_∞ -coalgebras.

The latter transfers the A_∞ -coalgebra structure along a contraction:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & p & \\ \curvearrowright \nu & (C, d) & \xrightarrow{\quad} (H, d) \\ & \xleftarrow{\quad} i & \end{array}$$

where p and i are chain maps and ν is a chain homotopy such that:

$$\begin{aligned} pi &= Id \\ ip - Id &= dv + vd \\ pv = vi = \nu^2 &= 0 \text{ (Gauge conditions).} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.3 (Transfer Theorem). *[Gug82] Let (C, d, ∇) be a connected dg-coalgebra such that $C_1 = 0$, and (H, d) a dg-vector space. We suppose them related by a contraction as above. Then there exists on H an A_∞ -coalgebra structure (H, ∂_i) , and also an A_∞ -quasi-isomorphism*

$$f : (C, d, \nabla) \rightarrow (H, \partial_i).$$

The ∂_i are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0 &= d_H \\ \partial_1 &= (p \otimes p) \nabla i \\ \partial_2 &= p^{\otimes 3} (\nabla \nu \otimes Id - Id \otimes \nabla \nu) \nabla i, \end{aligned}$$

and more generally, for $i \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_{i-1} \leq i-1 \\ 0 \leq k_{i-2} \leq i-2 \\ \dots \\ 0 \leq k_1 \leq 1}} \pm p^{\otimes i+1} (Id^{\otimes k_{i-1}} \otimes \nabla \nu \otimes Id^{\otimes i-1-k_{i-1}}) (Id^{\otimes k} \otimes \nabla \nu \otimes Id^{\otimes i-1-k}) \dots \\ \dots (\nabla \nu \otimes Id - Id \otimes \nabla \nu) \nabla i. \end{aligned}$$

The quasi-isomorphism f is equivalent to a twisting cochain $\tau : C \rightarrow \Omega H$ with components $\tau = \sum_{i \geq 0} \tau_i$ where $\tau_i : C \rightarrow H^{\otimes i+1}$ is:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_0 &= p \\ \tau_1 &= (p \otimes p) \nabla v \\ \tau_2 &= p^{\otimes 3} (\nabla v \otimes Id - Id \otimes \nabla v) \nabla v\end{aligned}$$

and more generally, for $i \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_i &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_{i-1} \leq i-1 \\ 0 \leq k_{i-2} \leq i-2 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \leq k_1 \leq 1}} \pm p^{\otimes i+1} (Id^{\otimes k_{i-1}} \otimes \nabla v \otimes Id^{\otimes i-1-k_{i-1}}) (Id^{\otimes k} \otimes \nabla v \otimes Id^{\otimes i-1-k}) \dots \\ &\quad \dots (\nabla v \otimes Id - Id \otimes \nabla v) \nabla v.\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. The chain complex C is formal as dg-coalgebra. More precisely, over a field we have a contraction (cf. [Gug82]),

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & p & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \begin{array}{c} v \\ \curvearrowright \end{array} & (C, d) & \xrightarrow{\quad} (H_*(C, d), 0) \\ & \curvearrowleft i & \end{array}$$

where, p and i are chain maps and v is a chain homotopy such that:

$$(2.3) \quad pi = Id$$

$$(2.4) \quad ip - Id = dv + vd$$

$$(2.5) \quad pv = vi = v^2 = 0 \text{ (Gauge conditions).}$$

The transfer theorem for A_∞ -coalgebra [Gug82] transfers the dg-coalgebra structure (seen as an A_∞ -coalgebra) from C to $H_*(C, d)$. Since the coproduct of C is primitive, the obtained A_∞ -coalgebra structure $(H_*(C, d), \delta_i)$ is reduced to the only coproduct

$$H(\nabla_C) : H_*(C, d) \rightarrow H_*(C, d) \otimes H_*(C, d).$$

Moreover, $H(\nabla_C)$ is primitive. Indeed, the HTT provides a differential (which is also a derivation) $\delta : \Omega H_*(C, d) \rightarrow \Omega H_*(C, d)$. This one is given by $\delta = \sum_{i \geq 1} \delta_i$ with $\delta_i(H_*(C, d)) \subset (H_*(C, d))^{\otimes i+1}$. Let us denote $H_*(C, d)$ by H . Explicitly, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_0 &= d_H = 0 \\ \delta_1 &= (p \otimes p) \nabla_C i = \nabla_H \\ \delta_2 &= p^{\otimes 3} (\nabla_C v \otimes Id - Id \otimes \nabla_C v) \nabla_C i,\end{aligned}$$

and more generally, for $i \geq 2$,

$$\delta_i = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_{i-1} \leq i-1 \\ 0 \leq k_{i-2} \leq i-2 \\ \dots \\ 0 \leq k_1 \leq 1}} \pm p^{\otimes i+1} (Id^{\otimes k_{i-1}} \otimes \nabla_C v \otimes Id^{\otimes i-1-k_{i-1}}) (Id^{\otimes k} \otimes \nabla_C v \otimes Id^{\otimes i-1-k}) \dots \\ \dots (\nabla_C v \otimes Id - Id \otimes \nabla_C v) \nabla_C i.$$

In our case, ∇_C is primitive, and since v satisfies the gauge conditions, then only δ_1 is not zero. Moreover, let us write the twisting cochain $\tau : C \rightarrow \Omega H$ provided by the HTT. Its components $\tau = \sum_{i \geq 0} \tau_i$ where $\tau_i : C \rightarrow H^{\otimes i+1}$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0 &= p \\ \tau_1 &= (p \otimes p) \nabla_C v \\ \tau_2 &= p^{\otimes 3} (\nabla_C v \otimes Id - Id \otimes \nabla_C v) \nabla_C v \end{aligned}$$

and more generally, for $i \geq 2$,

$$\tau_i = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_{i-1} \leq i-1 \\ 0 \leq k_{i-2} \leq i-2 \\ \dots \\ 0 \leq k_1 \leq 1}} \pm p^{\otimes i+1} (Id^{\otimes k_{i-1}} \otimes \nabla_C v \otimes Id^{\otimes i-1-k_{i-1}}) (Id^{\otimes k} \otimes \nabla_C v \otimes Id^{\otimes i-1-k}) \dots \\ \dots (\nabla_C v \otimes Id - Id \otimes \nabla_C v) \nabla_C v$$

Thus it is reduced to

$$\tau_0 = p : C \rightarrow H.$$

Thus, p is an A_∞ -coalgebra morphism, i.e. Ωp is dg-algebra morphism. Its components $(\Omega p)_n$ on $(s^{-1} C)^{\otimes n}$ are given by:

$$(\Omega p)_n = s^{-1} p s \otimes s^{-1} p s \otimes \dots \otimes s^{-1} p s.$$

We obtain the contraction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Omega p & \\ \Gamma \curvearrowright & (\Omega C, d) & \xrightarrow{\quad} (\Omega H, 0) \\ & \xleftarrow{\quad \Omega i & \end{array}$$

where,

$$(2.6) \quad \Omega p \Omega i = Id$$

$$(2.7) \quad \Omega i \Omega p - Id = d\Gamma + \Gamma d$$

$$(2.8) \quad \Omega p \Gamma = \Gamma \Omega i = \Gamma^2 = 0 \text{ (Gauge conditions),}$$

and where Γ is defined by its restrictions Γ_n to $(s^{-1} C)^{\otimes n}$:

$$(\Gamma)_n = \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_{s+1} = n-s \\ 1 \leq s \leq n}} \pm Id^{\otimes k_1} \otimes (s^{-1} v s) \otimes Id^{\otimes k_2} \otimes (s^{-1} \bar{\partial} v s) \otimes Id^{\otimes k_3} \otimes (s^{-1} \bar{\partial} v s) \otimes Id^{\otimes k_4} \\ \otimes \dots \otimes (s^{-1} \bar{\partial} v s) \otimes Id^{\otimes k_{s+1}},$$

where $\bar{d}\nu := d\nu + \nu d$. Equivalently, setting $\Gamma_1 := s^{-1}\nu s$, we have:

$$\Gamma_n = Id \otimes \Gamma_{n-1} \pm s^{-1}\nu s \otimes \bar{d}\Gamma_{n-1} \pm s^{-1}\nu s \otimes Id^{\otimes n-1}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

The homotopy G-coalgebra structure of C endowed ΩC with a dg-coalgebra structure; we set $(\Omega C, d, \nabla_0)$ the obtained dg-coalgebra. This dg-coalgebra structure on ΩC , seen as A_∞ -coalgebra structure, transfers to an A_∞ -coalgebra structure on ΩH , which is A_∞ -equivalent to the dg-coalgebra $(\Omega C, d, \nabla_0)$. Let us show that the obtained A_∞ -coalgebra structure $(\Omega H_*(\Sigma^2 X), \partial_i)$ is reduced to the coproduct $H_*(\nabla_0)$. The higher coproducts

$$\partial_i : \Omega H \rightarrow (\Omega H)^{\otimes i+1},$$

are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0 &= 0 \\ \partial_1 &= (\Omega p \otimes \Omega p) \nabla_0 \Omega i = H_*(\nabla_0) \\ \partial_2 &= (\Omega p)^{\otimes 3} (\nabla_0 \Gamma \otimes Id - Id \otimes \nabla_0 \Gamma) \nabla_0 \Omega i \end{aligned}$$

and more generally, for $i \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_{i-1} \leq i-1 \\ 0 \leq k_{i-2} \leq i-2 \\ \dots \\ 0 \leq k_1 \leq 1}} \pm (\Omega p)^{\otimes i+1} (Id^{\otimes k_{i-1}} \otimes \nabla_0 \Gamma \otimes Id^{\otimes i-1-k_{i-1}}) (Id^{\otimes k} \otimes \nabla_0 \Gamma \otimes Id^{\otimes i-1-k}) \dots \\ &\quad \dots (\nabla_0 \Gamma \otimes Id - Id \otimes \nabla_0 \Gamma) \nabla_0 \Omega i. \end{aligned}$$

Let us remark that: each term of Γ has at least one operation ν ; the coproduct ∇_0 , being a shuffle-coproduct, is the composition of deconcatenations followed by permutations. Thus, each term of $\nabla_0 \Gamma$ has the operation ν . Then, because of the gauge condition $p\nu = 0$, the post-composition by Ωp vanishes each term of $\nabla_0 \Gamma$.

Therefore only the co-operation $H_*(\nabla_0)$ is non trivial.

The same argument shows that the A_∞ -quasi-isomorphism between the dg-coalgebras $(\Omega C, d, \nabla_0)$ and $(\Omega H, 0, H_*(\nabla_0))$ is strict. Indeed, the twisting cochain

$$\tau : \Omega C \rightarrow \Omega^2 H,$$

with components $\tau_i : \Omega C \rightarrow (\Omega H)^{\otimes i+1}$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0 &= \Omega p \\ \tau_1 &= (\Omega p \otimes \Omega p) \nabla_0 \Gamma \\ \tau_2 &= (\Omega p)^{\otimes 3} (\nabla_0 \Gamma \otimes Id - Id \otimes \nabla_0 \Gamma) \nabla_0 \Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

and more generally, for $i \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_{i-1} \leq i-1 \\ 0 \leq k_{i-2} \leq i-2 \\ \dots \\ 0 \leq k_1 \leq 1}} \pm (\Omega p)^{\otimes i+1} (Id^{\otimes k_{i-1}} \otimes \nabla_0 \Gamma \otimes Id^{\otimes i-1-k_{i-1}}) (Id^{\otimes k} \otimes \nabla_0 \Gamma \otimes Id^{\otimes i-1-k}) \dots \\ &\quad \dots (\nabla_0 \Gamma \otimes Id - Id \otimes \nabla_0 \Gamma) \nabla_0 \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, the double cobar construction $\Omega^2 C$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\Omega^2 H$, as homotopy BV-algebras. \square

3 The 2-restricted G-algebras

In this section the field of coefficients is \mathbb{F}_2 .

Definition 3.1. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. A 2-restricted Lie algebra of degree r is a connected graded vector space L endowed with a Lie bracket of degree r ,

$$[-; -]_r : L_j \otimes L_k \rightarrow L_{j+k+r}, \quad j, k \geq 0,$$

and a restriction,

$$\xi_r : L_n \rightarrow L_{2n+r},$$

satisfying:

1. $[-; -]_r$ is symmetric;
2. $\xi_r(kx) = k^2 \xi_r(x)$;
3. $[x; [y; z]_1]_r + [y; [z; x]_r]_r + [z; [x; y]_r]_r = 0$;
4. $[\xi(x); y]_r = [x; [x; y]_r]_r$; and,
5. $\xi_r(x + y) = \xi_r(x) + [x; y]_1 + \xi_r(y)$,

for all homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in L$.

Definition 3.2. A 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebra is a degree one 2-restricted Lie algebra, G , and a graded symmetric algebra such that:

1. $[x, yz]_1 = [x, y]_1 z + y[x, z]_1$;
2. $\xi_1(xy) = x^2 \xi_1(y) + \xi_1(x) y^2 + x[x; y]_1 y$,

for all homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in G$.

Proposition 3.3. *The homology $H_*(A, d)$ of a homotopy G-algebra $(A, d, \cdot, \cup_1, \{E_{1,k}\}_{k \geq 2})$ over \mathbb{F}_2 is a 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebra. The restriction is induced by the map $x \mapsto x \cup_1 x$.*

Remark 3.4. The restriction ξ_1 is a part of Dyer-Lashof operations ξ_1, ζ_1 [Coh76] in prime characteristic. In [Tou06] V. Turchin gives explicit formulas for the operations in homotopy G-algebras inducing the Dyer-Lashof operations in homology. He showed via a different method that, in prime characteristic p , a homotopy G-algebra A is, in particular, a p -restricted Lie algebra of degree 1.

Proof. The bracket $[x; y]_1 = x \cup_1 y + y \cup_1 x$ together with the multiplication of A define a Gerstenhaber algebra structure on $H_*(A)$, [Kad05]. We set,

$$\xi_1(x) := x \cup_1 x,$$

for all $x \in A$. To alleviate notation, let us remove temporarily the index 1 and write ξ_1 as ξ . It suffices to check that ξ induces a homological map satisfying the properties of a restriction. First, we show the properties 4 and 5 from Definition 3.1 are satisfied on A , next, we show that the property 2 from Definition 3.2 is satisfied up to homotopy.

We show the equality $[\xi(x); y]_1 = [x; [x; y]_1]_1$. We have

(3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} [x; [x; y]_1]_1 &= x \cup_1 (x \cup_1 y + y \cup_1 x) + (x \cup_1 y + y \cup_1 x) \cup_1 x \\ &= x \cup_1 (x \cup_1 y) + x \cup_1 (y \cup_1 x) + (x \cup_1 y) \cup_1 x + (y \cup_1 x) \cup_1 x. \end{aligned}$$

From the equation (1.2), the two first terms satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} x \cup_1 (x \cup_1 y) &= (x \cup_1 x) \cup_1 y + E_{1,2}(x; x, y) + E_{1,2}(x; y, x); \\ x \cup_1 (y \cup_1 x) &= (x \cup_1 y) \cup_1 x + E_{1,2}(x; y, x) + E_{1,2}(x; x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$x \cup_1 (x \cup_1 y) + x \cup_1 (y \cup_1 x) = (x \cup_1 x) \cup_1 y + (x \cup_1 y) \cup_1 x.$$

Similarly, the last term from (3.1) reads:

$$(y \cup_1 x) \cup_1 x = y \cup_1 (x \cup_1 x) + E_{1,2}(y; x, x) + E_{1,2}(y; x, x) = y \cup_1 (x \cup_1 x).$$

Thus,

$$[x; [x; y]_1]_1 = (x \cup_1 x) \cup_1 y + y \cup_1 (x \cup_1 x) = \xi(x) \cup_1 y + y \cup_1 \xi(x) = [\xi(x); y]_1.$$

The following equality $\xi(x + y) = \xi(x) + [x; y]_1 + \xi(y)$ is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad \xi(x + y) &= (x + y) \cup_1 (x + y) \\ &= x \cup_1 x + y \cup_1 x + x \cup_1 y + y \cup_1 y \\ &= \xi(x) + [x; y]_1 + \xi(y). \end{aligned}$$

The equality $\xi(xy) = x^2 \xi(y) + \xi(x) y^2 + x[x; y]_1 y$ is satisfied up to homotopy on the chain complex A . This is a consequence of the equations (1.3) and (1.4). Denoting by \sim the homotopy relation, we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(xy) &= xy \cup_1 xy \\ &= x(y \cup_1 xy) + (x \cup_1 xy)y \\ &\sim x^2(y \cup_1 y) + x(y \cup_1 x)y + x(x \cup_1 y)y + (x \cup_1 x)y^2 \\ &\sim x^2 \xi(y) + x[x; y]_1 y + \xi(x)y^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that ξ induces a restriction on the homology $H_*(A)$. From the equation (1.1) the operation \cup_1 is a homotopy for the commutativity of the product. In particular,

$$d(x \cup_1 x) + dx \cup_1 x + x \cup_1 dx = xx + xx = 0, \text{ for all } x \in A.$$

Thus, if $x \in A$ is a cycle,

$$d\xi(x) = d(x \cup_1 x) = dx \cup_1 x + x \cup_1 dx = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

If $y = dx \in A$ is a boundary, then

$$\xi(y) = y \cup_1 y = dx \cup_1 dx = d(dx \cup_1 x) + d^2x \cup_1 x + dx \cdot x + xdx = d(dx \cup_1 x) + d(x^2),$$

is a boundary. Thus, for a cycle $x \in A$ and an element $y \in A$, we obtain from (3.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(x + dy) &= \xi(x) + [x; dy]_1 + \xi(dy) \\ &= \xi(x) + d[x; y]_1 + [dx; y]_1 + d(dy \cup_1 y) \\ &= \xi(x) + d[x; y]_1 + d(dy \cup_1 y) + d(y^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ξ induces a restriction in homology. \square

Remark 3.5. The above proposition also results from the following fact. Recall that for a homotopy G-algebra A the bar construction $\mathcal{B}A$ is a dg-bialgebra. Then, by composition with the restriction morphism $\mathcal{L}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{AS}$, the bar construction $\mathcal{B}A$ is a p -restricted Lie algebra (of degree 0). The projections on A of both the bracket and the restriction of $\mathcal{B}A$ correspond respectively to the bracket and the restriction described in [Tou06]. The latter operations make A into a p -restricted Lie algebra of degree 1. In other words, the Lie bracket $[-; -]_0$ and the restriction ξ_0 on $\mathcal{B}A$ give, after projection, the degree one Lie bracket $[-; -]_1$ and the restriction ξ_1 on A , respectively.

Definition 3.6. A morphism of 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebras is a degree 0 linear map compatible with the product, the bracket and the restriction.

Definition 3.7. An ∞ -morphism of homotopy G-algebras A and A' is a morphism of unital dg-bialgebras $f : \mathcal{B}A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}A'$.

Such a morphism is a collection of maps $f_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A'$, $n \geq 1$ satisfying some relations, see [Que13, Appendix Definition 4.17] for details. In particular,

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{\partial}f_1 = 0;$$

$$(3.4) \quad f_1(x) \cup_1 f_1(y) + f_1(x \cup_1 y) = f_2(x; y) + f_2(y; x) \text{ for all } x, y \in A.$$

An ∞ -morphism of homotopy G-algebras induces a morphism of Gerstenhaber algebras in homology, see [Que13, Appendix, Proposition 4.20]. A direct check shows the compatibility of the ∞ -morphisms with the restriction:

Proposition 3.8. *An ∞ -morphism of homotopy G -algebras induces a morphism of 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebras in homology.*

Proof. Take $y = x$ in the equation (3.4), this gives:

$$f_1(\zeta_1(x)) = \zeta_1(f_1(x)).$$

□

Now we define the free 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebra.

Let L_{1r} be a 2-restricted Lie algebra of degree 1. Then the free graded commutative algebra on L_{1r} , $S(L_{1r})$ is a 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebra. Indeed, the Poisson identity

$$[xy; z]_1 = x[y; z]_1 + [x; z]_1 y,$$

is equivalent to the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} ad_1(x) &:= [-; x]_1 : S(L_{1r}) \rightarrow S(L_{1r}) \\ y &\mapsto [y; x]_1 \end{aligned}$$

is a derivation of degree +1 for the algebra $(S(L_{1r}), \cdot)$. Let us consider a basis of L_{1r} as k -vector space. It is sufficient to extend the application $ad_1(l) : L_{1r} \rightarrow L_{1r} \subset S(L_{1r})$ as a derivation on $S(L_{1r})$ for all $l \in L_{1r}$, and next to extend $[x; -]_1 : L_{1r} \rightarrow S(L_{1r})$ for all $x \in S(L_{1r})$.

The restriction is extended via the property 2 from Definition 3.2.

Let V be a dg-vector space with $V_0 = 0$. If $L_{1r}(V)$ denotes the free degree one 2-restricted Lie algebra on V , then $S(L_{1r}(V))$ is the free 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebra on V .

4 The homology of the double cobar construction of a double suspension over \mathbb{F}_2

Theorem 4.1. *Let the coefficient field be \mathbb{F}_2 . Then $\Omega^2 C_*(\Sigma^2 X)$ has a Connes-Moscovici structure. The induced 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebra structure on $H_*(\Omega^2 C_*(\Sigma^2 X))$ is free on the reduced homology $H_*^+(X)$.*

It was proved in [Coh76] that $H_*(\Omega^2 \Sigma^2 Y)$ is the free 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebra on $H_*^+(Y)$, then we obtain

Corollary 4.2. *The restricted Gerstenhaber algebras $H_*(\Omega^2 C_*(\Sigma^2 X))$ and $H_*(\Omega^2 |\Sigma^2 X|)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof of Theorem 4.1. From Proposition 1.3 and the formality Theorem 2.2 the homotopy G -algebras $\Omega^2 C_*(\Sigma^2 X)$ and $\Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X)$ are quasi-isomorphic. Therefore, it remains to prove that the structure of homotopy G -algebra on the double cobar construction $\Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X)$ induces the free 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebra on $H_*^+(X)$.

We denote by $[-; -]_1$ the Gerstenhaber bracket on $H_*(\Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X))$,

$$[x; y]_1 = x \cup_1 y + y \cup_1 x,$$

and we denote by ζ_1 the restriction,

$$\zeta_1(x) = x \cup_1 x,$$

for all $x \in H_*(\Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X))$.

We have an inclusion $\iota : H_*^+(X) \cong s^{-2} H_*^+(\Sigma^2 X) \hookrightarrow H_*(\Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X))$. Thus, from the freeness of the functors S and L_{1r} , we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SL_{1r}(H_*^+(X)) & \xrightarrow{SL_{1r}(\iota)} & H_*(\Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X)) \\ \uparrow i & \nearrow \iota & \\ H_*^+(X) & & \end{array}$$

where $SL_{1r}(\iota)$ is a morphism of 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebras. It remains to show that $SL_{1r}(\iota)$ is an isomorphism. To do this, we construct two spectral sequences \bar{E} and E , and a morphism $\Phi : E \rightarrow \bar{E}$ of spectral sequences satisfying:

$$TH_*^+(\Sigma X) \otimes SL_{1r}H_*^+(X) = E^2 \xrightarrow{T(\iota) \otimes S(L_{1r}(\iota))} \bar{E}^2 = H_*(\Omega H_*(\Sigma^2 X)) \otimes H_*(\Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X)).$$

We conclude by mean of the comparison theorem [ML95, Theorem 11.1 p.355], using that both $T(\iota)$ and Φ^∞ are isomorphisms.

Let us consider the application

$$\pi : \Omega H_*(\Sigma^2 X) \otimes \Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X) \rightarrow \Omega H_*(\Sigma^2 X)$$

defined by $\pi(x \otimes y) = \epsilon(y)x$, where ϵ is the augmentation of $\Omega H_*(\Sigma^2 X)$. The total space

$$\mathcal{E} := \Omega H_*(\Sigma^2 X) \otimes \Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X)$$

is endowed with a differential d and a contracting homotopy s defined as in [AH56, Axioms (R1),(R2),(D1),(D2)], see below for the details. The total space is acyclic [AH56, Lemme 2.3]. We set

$$B = \Omega H_*(\Sigma^2 X)$$

and

$$A = \Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X)$$

so that $\mathcal{E} = B \otimes A$. We define a filtration of \mathcal{E} as

$$F_r \mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{p \leq r} B_p \otimes A.$$

Following [AH56], we get a spectral sequence \overline{E}^r associated to this filtration. The abutment is the homology of \mathcal{E} , the second page is

$$\overline{E}_{p,q}^2 = H_p(\Omega H_*(\Sigma^2 X)) \otimes H_q(\Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X)).$$

The total space being acyclic we have $\overline{E}_{0,0}^\infty = \mathbb{F}_2$, $\overline{E}_{p,q}^\infty = 0$ if $(p, q) \neq (0, 0)$. The following spectral sequence of dg-algebras is used by F. Cohen [Coh76, III,p.228] as a model for the Serre spectral sequence associated to the paths fibration,

$$\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X \rightarrow P\Omega^n \Sigma^{n+1} X \rightarrow \Omega^n \Sigma^{n+1} X, \quad n > 0.$$

He shows that the homology $H_*(\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X)$ is the free 2-restricted Gerstenhaber algebra of degree n on $H_*^+(X)$.

We defined the spectral sequence E^r . Its second page is given by

$$E_{p,q}^2 = (T(H_*^+(\Sigma X)))_p \otimes (S(L_{1r}(H_*^+(X))))_q,$$

the differentials are defined such that E^r is a spectral sequence of dg-algebras whose transgressions are


$$\begin{aligned} t(\Sigma x) &= x \\ t(\xi_0^k(\Sigma x)) &= \xi_1^k(x) \\ t(ad_0(\Sigma x_1) \cdots ad_0(\Sigma x_{k-1})(\Sigma x_k)) &= ad_1(x_1) \cdots ad_1(x_{k-1})(x_k), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\Sigma x, \Sigma x_1, \dots, \Sigma x_k \in H_*^+(\Sigma X)$, where:

- $\xi_0(x) = x^2$ is the restriction of $TH_*^+(\Sigma X)$;
- ξ_1 is the restriction of $SL_{1r}(H_*^+(X))$;
- $[-; -]_0$ is the Lie bracket of $TH_*^+(\Sigma X)$;
- $[-; -]_1$ is the Gerstenhaber bracket of $SL_{1r}H_*^+(X)$; and,
- $ad_j(x)(y) = [y; x]_j$ for $j = 0$ or 1 .

Then the r -th transgression $t = d^r : E_{r,0}^r \rightarrow E_{0,r-1}^r$ is extended to $d^r : E_{p,q}^r \rightarrow E_{p-r,q+r-1}^r$ as a derivation.

Let us investigate the example of one generator $\Sigma x \in H_n^+(\Sigma X)$ of degree n ; the spectral sequence is:



All the brackets are zero since $[\Sigma x; \Sigma x]_0 = 2(\Sigma x)^2 = 0$ and $[\tilde{\zeta}_0(\Sigma x); \Sigma x]_0 = [\Sigma x; [\Sigma x; \Sigma x]_0]_0$; it remains: the element Σx , the restriction $\tilde{\zeta}_0(\Sigma x) = (\Sigma x)^2$ and its iterations, plus the resulting products. This spectral sequence split into elementary spectral sequences on the transgressive elements $\Sigma x, \tilde{\zeta}_0(\Sigma x), \tilde{\zeta}_0^2(\Sigma x), \dots$ with differentials the corresponding transgression. Indeed, $T(\Sigma x)$ can be written additively as the exterior algebra on the transgressive elements $\Sigma x, \tilde{\zeta}_0^k(\Sigma x)$, $k \geq 1$. Also, $S(L_{1r}(x))$ can be written additively as polynomial algebra on the image of these transgressive elements $x, \tilde{\zeta}_1^k(x)$, $k \geq 1$. Then the elementary spectral sequences are of the form

$$\Lambda(y) \otimes P(t(y)),$$

where y run through the transgressive elements of $T(\Sigma x)$. Such a presentation of $T(\Sigma x)$ can be extended to $TH_*^+(\Sigma X)$ via the $[-; -]_0$ -elementary products. This presentation is due to P. J. Hilton [Hil55]. Let us consider the Lie algebra $L(H_*^+(\Sigma X))$ without restriction, and with bracket $[-; -]_0$. The $[-; -]_0$ -elementary products are defined as follows.

The elements $x \in H_*^+(\Sigma X)$ are the $[-; -]_0$ -elementary product of weight 1. Suppose that the $[-; -]_0$ -elementary products of weight j have been defined for $j < k$. Then, a product of weight k is an element $[x; y]_0$ such that:

1. x is a $[-; -]_0$ -elementary products of weight u ;
2. y is a $[-; -]_0$ -elementary products of weight v ;
3. $u + v = k$;
4. $x < y$, and if $y = [z; t]_0$ for z and t elementary, then $z \leq x$.

We order the generators $(\Sigma x_i)_i$ of $H_*^+(\Sigma X)$ by their dimension; the $[-; -]_0$ -elementary products are the elements

$$\Sigma x_k, \dots, [\Sigma x_k; \Sigma x_l]_0, \dots, [\Sigma x_j; [\Sigma x_k; \Sigma x_l]_0]_0, \dots$$

where $j < k < l$. From [Hil55] we obtain

$$L(H_*^+(\Sigma X)) \cong \text{span}\{y \mid y \text{ a } [-; -]_0 \text{ - elementary product}\},$$

as vector spaces. Denoting by p_j the $[-; -]_0$ -elementary products we have the isomorphism of vector spaces

$$TH_*^+(\Sigma X) \cong \bigotimes_j P(p_j),$$

where P denotes the polynomial algebra. To take into account the restriction, we write $P(p_j)$ as $\wedge_{k \geq 0} (p_j^{2^k})$ where \wedge denotes the exterior algebra¹. We obtain a decomposition into $[-; -]_0$ -elementary products and ζ_0 -elementary products,

$$TH_*^+(\Sigma X) \cong \bigotimes_j \bigwedge_{k \geq 0} (p_j^{2^k}).$$

On the other hand, we recall that $L_{1r} = s^{-1} L_r s$, that is $[x; y]_1 = s^{-1}[s x; s y]_0$ and $\zeta_1(x) = s^{-1} \zeta_0(s x)$. Then we obtain that

$$SL_{1r}(H_*^+(\Sigma X)) \cong S(\text{span}\{y, \zeta_1^k(y) \mid y \text{ is a } [-; -]_1 \text{ - elementary product, } k \geq 1\}).$$

Then the spectral sequence E decomposes into tensor products of elementary spectral sequences

$$\wedge(y) \otimes P(t(y)),$$

where y run through the set of $[-; -]_0$ -elementary products and ζ_0 -elementary products.

Thus the spectral sequence E converges to $E_{0,0}^\infty = \mathbb{F}_2$, $E_{p,q}^\infty = 0$ if $(p, q) \neq (0, 0)$.

Return to the application

$$T(\iota) \otimes S(L_{1r}(\iota)) : E^2 \rightarrow \overline{E}^2.$$

From the comparison theorem [ML95, Theorem 11.1 p. 355], it is sufficient to show that: the application $\Phi^2 := T(\iota) \otimes S(L_{1r}(\iota))$ induces morphism of spectral sequences $\Phi : E \rightarrow \overline{E}$; the morphism $T(\iota)$ is an isomorphism; and $\Phi^\infty : E^\infty \rightarrow \overline{E}^\infty$ is an isomorphism.

We show that the application Φ^2 :

$$TH_*^+(\Sigma X) \otimes SL_{1r}H_*^+(X) \xrightarrow{T(\iota) \otimes S(L_{1r}(\iota))} H_*(\Omega H_*(\Sigma^2 X)) \otimes H_*(\Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X))$$

¹To avoid any ambiguity, we recall that over \mathbb{F}_2 , the exterior algebra $\wedge V$ of a vector space V is defined as the tensor algebra TV quotiented by the ideal generated by $\{v \otimes v \mid v \in TV\}$.

induces a morphism of spectral sequences.

To do this, let us write with details the differential of $\mathcal{E} = B \otimes A$. The axioms (R1) and (R2) determine a contracting homotopy on \mathcal{E} :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(R1)} \quad & s(1) = 0, \quad s(s^{-1}x) = x, \quad s(x) = 0, & \text{for } s^{-1}x \in A \\ \text{(R2)} \quad & s(xy) = s(x)y + \epsilon(x)s(y), & \text{for } x \in \mathcal{E}, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

The axioms (D1) and (D2) determine the differential of \mathcal{E} :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(D1)} \quad & d(x) = (1 - sd)(s^{-1}x), & \text{for } x \in B \\ \text{(D2)} \quad & d(xy) = d(x)y + (-1)^{|x|}xd(y), & \text{for } x \in \mathcal{E}, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for all $x \in s^{-1}H_{p+1}^+(\Sigma^2 X) \subset B_p$, we obtain

$$d(x) = s^{-1}x \in s^{-2}H_{p+1}^+(\Sigma^2 X) \subset F_0\mathcal{E},$$

since the coproduct ∇_0 is primitive on $H_*(\Sigma^2 X)$. Thus, for such elements x of degree p , we have $d^r(x) = 0$ if $r < p$. Moreover, for all $x \in s^{-1}H_{p+1}^+(\Sigma^2 X) \subset \overline{E}_{p,0}^p \subset B_p$, we obtain

$$d^p(x) = s^{-1}x \in s^{-2}H_{p+1}^+(\Sigma^2 X) \subset \overline{E}_{0,p-1}^p \subset A_{p-1}.$$

More generally, the degree p elements in $B_p = \Omega H_*(\Sigma^2 X)_p$, which are (after desuspension) cycles for d_A , belong to $\overline{E}_{p,0}^p$. On the other hand, from the construction (see [Kad05, section 4]) of the operation

$$\cup_1 : \Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X) \otimes \Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X) \rightarrow \Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X),$$

the Gerstenhaber bracket $[-; -]_1 = \cup_1 \circ (1 - \tau)$ is compatible with suspensions, i.e. for all $x, y \in s^{-2}H_*(\Sigma^2 X) \subset s^{-1}\Omega H_*(\Sigma^2 X)$, we have $[x; y]_1 = s^{-1}[sx; sy]_0$. And similarly for the restriction $\zeta_1(x) = s^{-1}\zeta_0(sx)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t(ad_0(s^{-1}x_1) \cdots ad_0(s^{-1}x_{k-1})(s^{-1}x_k)) &= ad_1(x_1) \cdots ad_1(x_{k-1})(x_k) \\ t(\zeta_0^k(s^{-1}x)) &= \zeta_1^k(x), \end{aligned}$$

for all $s^{-1}x, s^{-1}x_1, \dots, s^{-1}x_k \in s^{-1}H_*(\Sigma^2 X)$. Finally, since Φ^2 is an algebra morphism, it commutes with the differentials. Therefore Φ is a morphism of spectral sequences.

The morphisms Φ^∞ and $T(\iota)$ are clearly isomorphisms. This ends the proof. \square

5 The homology of the double cobar construction of a double suspension over \mathbb{Q}

In this section the coefficient field is \mathbb{Q} .


5.1 Free Gerstenhaber algebra structure

Theorem 5.1. *Let the coefficient field be \mathbb{Q} . Then $\Omega^2 C_*(\Sigma^2 X)$ has a Connes-Moscovici structure. The induced Gerstenhaber algebra structure on $H_*(\Omega^2 C_*(\Sigma^2 X))$ is free on the reduced homology $H_*(X)$.*


It was proved in [Coh76] that $H_*(\Omega^2 \Sigma^2 Y)$ is the free Gerstenhaber algebra on $H_*(Y)$, then we obtain

Corollary 5.2. *The Gerstenhaber algebras $H_*(\Omega^2 C_*(\Sigma^2 X))$ and $H_*(\Omega^2 |\Sigma^2 X|)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof of Theorem 5.1. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 4.1. We replace the functor L_{1r} by L_1 adjoint of the forgetful functor from the category of degree 1 Lie algebras to the category of graded vector spaces. The symmetric algebra $S(V)$ on a graded vector space V , is TV/I where I is the ideal generated by the elements $v \otimes w - (-1)^{|v||w|} w \otimes v$. Then $S(L_1(V))$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra; its bracket is $[v, w]_1 = vw - (-1)^{(|v|-1)(|w|-1)} wv$ for $v, w \in V$. The main difference is the decomposition of the model spectral sequence E . Indeed, on a generator $\Sigma x \in H_n^+(\Sigma X)$ of even degree n , the spectral sequence is:



On a generator $\Sigma x \in H_n^+(\Sigma X)$ of odd degree n , the spectral sequence is:



The spectral sequence E decomposes into elementary spectral sequences

$$P(y) \otimes S(t(y)) \text{ and } S(z) \otimes P(t(z))$$

where y and z run through the respectively even and odd degree $[-; -]_0$ -elementary products of $TH_*^+(\Sigma X)$. \square

5.2 BV-algebra structure

5.2.1 Free Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra

We fix a connected vector space V and an operator $\Delta : V_* \rightarrow V_{*+1}$ such that $\Delta^2 = 0$. We define the free Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra on (V, Δ) as

$$S(L_1(V \oplus \Delta(V))).$$

The operator Δ is extended as BV-operator via the formula

$$\Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)y + (-1)^{|x|}x\Delta(y) + (-1)^{|x|}[x; y]_1,$$

for all homogeneous elements $x, y \in L_1(V)$, and

$$\Delta([x; y]_1) = [\Delta(x); y]_1 + (-1)^{|x|-1}[x; \Delta(y)]_1,$$

for all homogeneous elements $x, y \in L_1(V)$.

If $\Delta : V \rightarrow V$ is trivial then $\Delta : S(L_1(V)) \rightarrow S(L_1(V))$ is the BV-operator given by the extension of the Gerstenhaber bracket. The resulting Batalin-Vilkovisky structure is called *canonical Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure* $S(L_1(V))$, cf. [TT00, Section 1.1].

Moreover, if the free Gerstenhaber algebra $S(L_1(V))$ is a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra such that the BV-operator is zero when it is restricted to V , then $S(L_1(V))$ is the canonical Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra.

5.2.2 Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra on the homology of a double loop space

Let us make explicit the Batalin-Vilkovisky structure given by E. Getzler [Get94] on $H_*(\Omega^2\Sigma^2X)$.

Let Y be a S^1 -space, that is a pointed topological space with base point $*$, endowed with an action of the circle S^1 such that $g \cdot * = *$ for all $g \in S^1$. E. Getzler shows [Get94] that the diagonal action of S^1 on $\Omega^2Y = \text{Hom}(S^2, Y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : S^1 \times \text{Hom}(S^2, Y) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(S^2, Y) \\ (g, \phi) &\mapsto (g \cdot \phi)(s) := g \cdot \phi(g^{-1} \cdot s), \end{aligned}$$

induces a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure on $H_*(\Omega^2Y)$. For a double suspension $Y = \Sigma^2X = S^2 \wedge X$, we endowed Y with the canonical action of S^1 on S^2 and the trivial action on X i.e. $g \cdot (s \wedge x) = (g \cdot s) \wedge x$ for all $g \in S^1$ et $s \wedge x \in S^2 \wedge X$. Then the adjunction $X \rightarrow \Omega^2\Sigma^2X$ is equivariant for the trivial action on X and the diagonal action on $\Omega^2\Sigma^2X$. As a consequence, cf. [GM08], $(H_*(\Omega^2\Sigma^2X), \Delta)$ is a canonical Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra, free on $H_*^+(X)$.

5.2.3 Homology of double cobar construction

Theorem 5.3. *Let the coefficient field be \mathbb{Q} . Then $\Omega^2C_*(\Sigma^2X)$ has a Connes-Moscovici structure. The induced BV-algebra structure on $(H_*(\Omega^2C_*(\Sigma^2X)), \Delta_{CM})$ is free on the reduced homology $H_*^+(X)$.*

As a consequence,

Corollary 5.4. *The Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras $(H_*(\Omega^2C_*(\Sigma^2X)), \Delta_{CM})$ and $(H_*(\Omega^2|\Sigma^2X|), \Delta)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof of Theorem 5.3. From Proposition 1.3 and the formality Theorem 2.2, $\Omega^2H_*(\Sigma^2X)$ has a Connes-Moscovici structure and its homology $H_*(\Omega^2H_*(\Sigma^2X))$ is a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra isomorphic to $H_*(\Omega^2C_*(\Sigma^2X))$.

On the other hand, the simplicial set X is seen as endowed with a trivial action of S^1 . Then the inclusion

$$\iota : (H_*^+(X), 0) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega^2H_*(\Sigma^2X)),$$

lifts to a unique morphism of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras,

$$SL(\iota) : S(L_1(H_*^+(X))) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega^2H_*(\Sigma^2X)),$$

with the usual commutative diagram.

Let us observe the operator

$$H(\Delta_{CM}) : H_*(\Omega^2H_*(\Sigma^2X)) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega^2H_*(\Sigma^2X)),$$

induced by the Connes-Moscovici operator $\Delta_{CM} : \Omega^2H_*(\Sigma^2X) \rightarrow \Omega^2H_*(\Sigma^2X)$. By construction we have (see [CM00, (2.22)]):

$$\Delta_{CM}|_{s^{-1}\Omega H_*(\Sigma^2X)} = 0 \quad \text{and a fortiori} \quad \Delta_{CM}|_{s^{-2}H_*^+(\Sigma^2X)} = 0.$$

Then

$$H(\Delta_{CM})|_{H_*^+(X)} = 0.$$

Moreover, from Theorem 5.1, the underlying Gerstenhaber algebra $H_*(\Omega^2 H_*(\Sigma^2 X))$ is the Gerstenhaber algebra, free on $H_*^+(X)$. This concludes the proof by the argument from section 5.2.1. \square

6 Appendix

In this appendix we write down the whole relations among the co-operations defining a Hirsch coalgebra. Homotopy G-coalgebras are particular Hirsch coalgebras.

Definition 6.1. A Hirsch coalgebra $(C, d, \nabla_C, E = \{E^{i,j}\})$ is the data of a co-product $\nabla_E : \Omega C \rightarrow \Omega C \otimes \Omega C$ on the cobar construction of the 1-connected dg-coalgebra (C, d, ∇_C) such that ΩC is a co-unital dg-bialgebra.

The coproduct ∇_E corresponds to a twisting cochain

$$E : s^{-1} C^+ \rightarrow \Omega C \otimes \Omega C.$$

The (i, j) -component is

$$E^{i,j} : s^{-1} C^+ \rightarrow (s^{-1} C^+)^{\otimes i} \otimes (s^{-1} C^+)^{\otimes j}.$$

To alleviate notation, we set $\overline{C} := s^{-1} C^+$.

Thus

$$E^{i,j} : \overline{C} \rightarrow \overline{C}^{\otimes i} \otimes \overline{C}^{\otimes j}.$$

The degree of $E^{i,j}$ is 0.

Let us make explicit the co-unit condition, the compatibility with the differential and the coassociativity of the coproduct.

6.0.4 The co-unit condition

The following relation $(\epsilon \otimes 1)\nabla_E = (1 \otimes \epsilon)\nabla_E = Id$ gives:

$$(6.1) \quad E^{0,1} = E^{1,0} = Id_{\overline{C}} \quad \text{and} \quad E^{0,k} = E^{k,0} = 0 \quad \text{for } k > 1.$$

6.0.5 The compatibility with the differential

We investigate the equation $(d_\Omega \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_\Omega)\nabla_E = \nabla_E d_\Omega$.

On the component $\overline{C}^{\otimes k} \otimes \overline{C}^{\otimes l} \subset \Omega C \otimes \Omega C$, we obtain:

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} d_{\overline{C}^{\otimes k} \otimes \overline{C}^{\otimes l}} E^{k,l} - E^{k,l} d_{\overline{C}} &= - \sum_{i+2+j=k} ((1^{\otimes i} \otimes \nabla_{\overline{C}} \otimes 1^{\otimes j}) \otimes 1^{\otimes l}) E^{k-1,l} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i+2+j=l} (1^{\otimes k} \otimes (1^{\otimes i} \otimes \nabla_{\overline{C}} \otimes 1^{\otimes j})) E^{k,l-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2=k \\ l_1+l_2=l}} \mu_{\Omega \otimes \Omega} (E^{k_1,l_1} \otimes E^{k_2,l_2}) \nabla_{\overline{C}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $k_1 + l_1 > 0$ and $k_2 + l_2 > 0$.

The terms $\mu_{\Omega \otimes \Omega}(E^{k_1, l_1} \otimes E^{k_2, l_2}) \nabla_{\overline{C}}$ read as follows. We set

$$E^{k, l}(a) = a^{|\overleftarrow{k}} \otimes a^{|\overrightarrow{l}} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{\overline{C}}(a) = a^1 \otimes a^2.$$

Then

$$\mu_{\Omega \otimes \Omega}(E^{k_1, l_1} \otimes E^{k_2, l_2}) \nabla_{\overline{C}} = \pm (a^{|\overleftarrow{k_1}} \otimes a^{|\overleftarrow{k_2}}) \otimes (a^{|\overrightarrow{l_1}} \otimes a^{|\overrightarrow{l_2}}).$$

On the component $\overline{C} \otimes \overline{C} \subset \Omega C \otimes \Omega C$ the equation (6.2) gives:

$$(6.3) \quad d_{\overline{C} \otimes \overline{C}} E^{1,1} - E^{1,1} d_{\overline{C}} = \nabla_{\overline{C}} + \tau \nabla_{\overline{C}};$$

that is, on $C^+ \otimes C^+$:

$$(6.4) \quad d_{C^+ \otimes C^+} E_+^{1,1} + E_+^{1,1} d_{C^+} = \nabla_{C^+} - \tau \nabla_{C^+},$$

where $E_+^{1,1} = (s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}) E_+^{1,1} s$.

Thus $E_+^{1,1}$ is a chain homotopy for the cocommutativity of the coproduct ∇_{C^+} .

We distinguish it from the co-operations $E^{i,j}$ and we denote it by ∇_1 as a dual analogue to the \cup_1 -product.

6.0.6 The coassociativity condition

On the component $\overline{C}^{\otimes i} \otimes \overline{C}^{\otimes j} \otimes \overline{C}^{\otimes k}$ we obtain:

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{i+j} \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_n = i \\ j_1 + \dots + j_n = j}} (\mu_{\Omega \otimes \Omega}^{(n)}(E^{i_1, j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes E^{i_n, j_n}) \otimes 1^{\otimes k}) E^{n, k} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{j+k} \sum_{\substack{j_1 + \dots + j_n = j \\ k_1 + \dots + k_n = k}} (1^{\otimes i} \otimes \mu_{\Omega \otimes \Omega}^{(n)}(E^{j_1, k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes E^{j_n, k_n})) E^{i, n}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $i = j = k = 1$, we have:

$$(E^{1,1} \otimes 1) E^{1,1} + (1 \otimes 1) E^{2,1} + (\tau \otimes 1) E^{2,1} = (1 \otimes E^{1,1}) E^{1,1} + (1 \otimes 1) E^{1,2} + (1 \otimes \tau) E^{1,2}.$$

That is,

$$(6.6) \quad (\nabla_1 \otimes 1) \nabla_1 - (1 \otimes \nabla_1) \nabla_1 = (1 \otimes (1 + \tau)) E^{1,2} - ((1 + \tau) \otimes 1) E^{2,1}.$$

The lack of coassociativity of ∇_1 is controlled by the co-operations $E^{1,2}$ and $E^{2,1}$.

Definition 6.2. A homotopy G-coalgebra $(C, d, \nabla_C, E^{i,1})$ is a Hirsch coalgebra such that $E^{i,j} = 0$ for $i \geq 2$.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $(C, d, \nabla_C, E^{i,j})$ be a Hirsch coalgebra. Then $E^{i,j} = 0$ for $i \geq 2$ is equivalent to the following left-sided condition:*

(6.7)

$\forall r \in \mathbb{N}, J_r := \bigoplus_{n \leq r} \overline{C}^{\otimes n}$ is a left co-ideal for the coproduct $\nabla_E : \Omega C \rightarrow \Omega C \otimes \Omega C$.

Proof. If J_r is a left co-ideal then $\nabla_E(J_r) \subset J_r \otimes \Omega C$. Then for $r = 1$, we have $\nabla_E(\overline{C}) = \sum_{i,j} E^{i,j}(\overline{C}) \subset \overline{C} \otimes \Omega C$. This implies that $E^{i,j} = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. Conversely, if $E^{i,j} = 0$ for $i \geq 1$, then

$$\nabla_E(c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_n) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_n} \mu_{\Omega}^{(n)}(E^{i_1, j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes E^{i_n, j_n})(c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_n)$$

belongs to $\bigoplus \overline{C}^{i_1 + \cdots + i_n} \otimes \Omega C$. Since $i_s \leq 1$ for $1 \leq s \leq n$, then $i_1 + \cdots + i_n \leq n$. \square

References

- [AH56] J. F. Adams and P. J. Hilton. On the chain algebra of a loop space. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 30:305–330, 1956.
- [Bau81] H. J. Baues. The double bar and cobar constructions. *Compositio Math.*, 43(3):331–341, 1981.
- [Bau98] Hans-Joachim Baues. The cobar construction as a Hopf algebra. *Invent. Math.*, 132(3):467–489, 1998.
- [CM00] Alain Connes and Henri Moscovici. Cyclic cohomology and Hopf algebra symmetry. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 52(1):1–28, 2000. Conference Moshé Flato 1999 (Dijon).
- [Coh76] Frederick Cohen. The homology of C_{n+1} -spaces, $n \geq 0$, in the homology of iterated loop spaces. *Lecture Notes in Math.*(Vol.533):207–351, 1976.
- [Get94] E. Getzler. Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and two-dimensional topological field theories. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 159(2):265–285, 1994.
- [GM08] Gerald Gaudens and Luc Menichi. Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and the J -homomorphism. *Topology Appl.*, 156(2):365–374, 2008.
- [Gug82] V. K. A. M. Gugenheim. On a perturbation theory for the homology of the loop-space. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 25(2):197–205, 1982.
- [Hil55] P. J. Hilton. On the homotopy groups of the union of spheres. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 30:154–172, 1955.
- [Kad04] T. Kadeishvili. Measuring the noncommutativity of DG-algebras. *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)*, 119(4):494–512, 2004. Topology and noncommutative geometry.

- [Kad05] T. Kadeishvili. On the cobar construction of a bialgebra. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 7(2):109–122, 2005.
- [LR10] Jean-Louis Loday and María Ronco. Combinatorial Hopf algebras. In *Quanta of maths*, volume 11 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 347–383. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [Men04] Luc Menichi. Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and cyclic cohomology of Hopf algebras. *K-Theory*, 32(3):231–251, 2004.
- [ML95] Saunders Mac Lane. *Homology*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the 1975 edition.
- [Que13] A. Quesney. Homotopy BV-algebra structure on the double cobar construction. *ArXiv e-prints (submitted)*, May 2013.
- [Tou06] Victor Tourtchine. Dyer-Lashof-Cohen operations in Hochschild cohomology. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 6:875–894 (electronic), 2006.
- [TT00] D. Tamarkin and B. Tsygan. Noncommutative differential calculus, homotopy BV algebras and formality conjectures. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology*, 6(2):85–100, 2000.