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#### Abstract

We obtain a necessary condition and a sufficient condition, both expressed in terms of Wiener type tests involving the parabolic $W_{q^{\prime}}^{2,1}$ - capacity, where $q^{\prime}=\frac{q}{q-1}$, for the existence of large solutions to equation $\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u^{q}=0$ in non-cylindrical domain, where $q>1$. Also, we provide a sufficient condition associated with equation $\partial_{t} u-$ $\Delta u+e^{u}-1=0$. Besides, we apply our results to equation: $\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+a|\nabla u|^{p}+b u^{q}=0$ for $a, b>0,1<p<2$ and $q>1$.
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## 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the problem of existence of large solutions to nonlinear parabolic equations with superlinear absorption in an arbitrary bounded open set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, $N \geq 2$. These are solutions $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{t} u-\Delta u+|u|^{q-1} u=0 \quad \\
& \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \inf _{O_{\delta}(x, t)} u=\infty \text { in } O,  \tag{1.1}\\
& \text { for all }(x, t) \in \partial_{p} O,
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+\operatorname{sign}(u)\left(e^{|u|}-1\right)=0 & \text { in } O,  \tag{1.2}\\
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \inf _{O \cap Q_{\delta}(x, t)} u=\infty & \text { for all }(x, t) \in \partial_{p} O,
\end{align*}
$$

where $q>1$ and $\partial_{p} O$ is the parabolic boundary of $O$, i.e, the set all points $X=(x, t) \in \partial O$ such that the intersection of the cylinder $Q_{\delta}(x, t):=B_{\delta}(x) \times\left(t-\delta^{2}, t\right)$ with $O^{c}$ is not empty for any $\delta>0$. By the maximal principle for parabolic equations we can assume that all solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) are positive. Henceforth we consider only positive solutions of the preceding equations.
In [22], we studied the existence and the uniqueness of solution of general equations in a cylindrical domain,

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+f(u) & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty),  \tag{1.3}\\
u=\infty & \text { in } \partial_{p}(\Omega \times(0, \infty)),
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]where $\Omega$ is a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $f$ is a continuous real-valued function, nondecreasing on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $f(0) \geq 0$ and $f(a)>0$ for some $a>0$. In order to obtain the existence of a maximal solution of $\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+f(u)=0$ in $\Omega \times(0, \infty)$ there is need to assume
$\int_{a}^{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau) d \tau\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d s<\infty$,
(ii)
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{\infty}(f(s))^{-1} d s<\infty \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Condition (i), due to Keller and Osserman, is a necessary and sufficient for the existence of a maximal solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+f(u)=0 \text { in } \Omega \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (ii) is a necessary and sufficient for the existence of a maximal solution of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}+f(\varphi)=0 \quad \text { in }(0, \infty) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this solution tends to $\infty$ at 0 . In [22], it is shown that if for any $m \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $L=L(m)>0$ such that

$$
\text { for any } x, y \geq m \Rightarrow f(x+y) \geq f(x)+f(y)-L
$$

and if (1.5) has a large solution, then (1.3) admits a solution.
It is not alway true that the maximal solution to (1.5) is a large solution. However, if $f$ satisfies

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} s^{-2(N-1) /(N-2)} f(s) d s<\infty \quad \text { if } N \geq 3
$$

or

$$
\inf \left\{a \geq 0: \int_{0}^{\infty} f(s) e^{-a s} d s<\infty\right\}<\infty \quad \text { if } N=2
$$

then (1.5) has a large solution for any bounded domain $\Omega$, see [16].
When $f(u)=u^{q}, q>1$ and $N \geq 3$, the first above condition is satisfied if and only if $q<q_{c}:=\frac{N}{N-2}$, this is called the sub-critical case. When $q \geq q_{c}$, a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a large solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u^{q}=0 \text { in } \Omega \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is expressed in term of a Wiener-type test,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2, q^{\prime}}\left(\Omega^{c} \cap B_{r}(x)\right)}{r^{N-2}} \frac{d r}{r}=\infty \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial \Omega \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $q=2$ it is obtained by probabilistic methods involving the Brownian snake by Dhersin and Le Gall [5], also see [13, 14]; this method can be extended for $1<q \leq 2$ by using ideas from [7, 8]. In the general case the result is proved by Labutin, by using purely analytic methods [12]. Here, $q^{\prime}=\frac{q}{q-1}$ and $\mathrm{Cap}_{2, q^{\prime}}$ is the capacity associated to the Sobolev space $W^{2, q^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

In [19] we obtain sufficient conditions when $f(u)=e^{u}-1$, involving the Hausdorff $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-2}$-capacity in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{N-2}\left(\Omega^{c} \cap B_{r}(x)\right)}{r^{N-2}} \frac{d r}{r}=\infty \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial \Omega \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We refer to [17] for investigation of the initial trace theory of (1.3). In [9], Evans and Gariepy establish a Wiener criterion for the regularity of a boundary point (in the sense of potential theory) for the heat operator $L=\partial_{t}-\Delta$ in an arbitrary bounded set of $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)$ the set of Radon measures in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and, for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, by $\mathfrak{M}_{K}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)$ the subset of $\mathfrak{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)$ of measures with support in $K$. Their positive cones are respectively denoted by $\mathfrak{M}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{K}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)$. The capacity used in this criterion is the thermal capacity defined by

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(K)=\sup \left\{\mu(K): \mu \in \mathfrak{M}_{K}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, \mathbb{H} * \mu \leq 1\right\}\right.
$$

for any $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ compact, where $\mathbb{H}$ is the heat kernel in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. It coincides with the parabolic Bessel $\mathcal{G}_{1}$-capacity $\operatorname{Cap}_{\mathcal{G}_{1}, 2}$,

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{\mathcal{G}_{1}, 2}(K)=\sup \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}|f|^{2} d x d t: f \in L_{+}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right), \mathcal{G}_{1} * f \geq \chi_{K}\right\}
$$

here $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ is the parabolic Bessel kernel of first order, see [20, Remark 4.12]. Garofalo and Lanconelli [10] extend this result to the parabolic operator $L=\partial_{t}-\operatorname{div}(A(x, t) \nabla)$, where $A(x, t)=\left(a_{i, j}(x, t)\right), i, j=1,2, \ldots, N$ is a real, symmetric, matrix-valued function on $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ with $C^{\infty}$ entries for which there holds

$$
C^{-1}|\xi|^{2} \leq \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} a_{i, j}(x, t) \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \leq C|\xi|^{2} \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

for some constant $C>0$.
Less is known concerning the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+f(u)=0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a bounded open set $O \subset$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, where $f$ is a continuous function in $\mathbb{R}$, Gariepy and Ziemer [11, 23] prove that if there are $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \partial_{p} O, l \in \mathbb{R}$ and a weak solution $u \in W^{1,2}(O) \cap L^{\infty}(O)$ of (1.10) such that $\eta(-l-\varepsilon+u)^{+}, \eta(l-\varepsilon-u)^{+} \in W_{0}^{1,2}(O)$ for any $\varepsilon>0$ and $\eta \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \times\left(-r^{2}+t_{0}, r^{2}+t_{0}\right)\right)$ for some $r>0$ and if

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{\mathbb{H}}\left(O^{c} \cap\left(B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right) \times\left(t_{0}-\frac{9}{4} \alpha \rho^{2}, t_{0}-\frac{5}{4} \alpha \rho^{2}\right)\right)\right)}{\rho^{N}} \frac{d \rho}{\rho}=\infty \text { for some } \alpha>0
$$

then $\lim _{(x, t) \rightarrow\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)} u(x, t)=l$. This result is not easy to use because it is not clear whether (1.10) has a weak solution $u \in W^{1,2}(O)$. In this article we show that (1.10) admits a maximal solution $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ in an arbitrary bounded open set $O$, by approximation by dyadic parabolic cubes from inside $O$, provided that $f$ is as in (1.3) and satisfies (1.4).

Our main purpose of this article is to extend the result of Labutin [12] to nonlinear parabolic equation (1.1). Namely, we give a necessary and a sufficient condition for the existence of solutions to (1.1) in a bounded non-cylindrical domain $O \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, expressed in terms of a Wiener test based upon the parabolic $W_{q^{\prime}}^{2,1}$-capacity in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. We also give a sufficient condition associated (1.2) where the parabolic $W_{q^{\prime}}^{2,1}$-capacity is replaced the parabolic Hausdorff $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{\rho}^{N}$-capacity. These capacities are defined as follows: if $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ is compact set, we set

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K)=\inf \left\{\|\varphi\|_{W_{q^{\prime}}^{2,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}^{q^{\prime}}: \varphi \in S\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right), \varphi \geq 1 \text { in a neighborhood of } K\right\}
$$

where

$$
\|\varphi\|_{W_{q^{\prime}}^{2,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}=\left\|\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}+\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}+\sum_{i, j=1,2, \ldots, N}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}
$$

and for Suslin set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$,

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(E)=\sup \left\{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(D): D \subset E, D \text { compact }\right\} .
$$

This capacity has been used in in order to obtain potential theory estimates that are most helpful for studying quasilinear parabolic equations (see e.g. [3, 4, 20]). Thanks to a result due to Richard and Bagby [2], the capacities $\mathrm{Cap}_{2,1, p}$ and $\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathcal{G}_{2}, p}$ are equivalent in the sense that, for any Suslin set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, there holds

$$
C^{-1} \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K) \leq \operatorname{Cap}_{\mathcal{G}_{2}, q^{\prime}}(K) \leq C \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, p}(K)
$$

for some $C=C(N, q)$, where $\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathcal{G}_{2}, q^{\prime}}$ is the parabolic Bessel $\mathcal{G}_{2}$-capacity, see [20]. For $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, we define $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{\rho}^{N}(E)$ by

$$
\mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{\rho}^{N}(E)=\inf \left\{\sum_{j} r_{j}^{N}: E \subset \bigcup B_{r_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right) \times\left(t_{j}-r_{j}^{2}, t_{j}+r_{j}^{2}\right), r_{j} \leq \rho\right\}
$$

It is easy to see that, for $0<\sigma \leq \rho$ and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{\rho}^{N}(E) \leq \mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{\sigma}^{N}(E) \leq C(N)\left(\frac{\rho}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{\rho}^{N}(E) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these notations, we can state the two main results of this paper.
Theorem 1.1 Let $N \geq 2$ and $q \geq q_{*}:=\frac{N+2}{N}$. Then
(i) The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u^{q}=0 \text { in } O \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

admits a large solution if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(O^{c} \cap\left(B_{r_{k}}(x) \times\left(t-1168 r_{k}^{2}, t-1136 r_{k}^{2}\right)\right)\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}=\infty \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $(x, t) \in \partial_{p} O$, where $r_{k}=4^{-k}$, and $N \geq 3$ when $q=q_{*}$.
(ii) If equation (1.12) admits a large solution, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{C a p_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(O^{c} \cap Q_{\rho}(x, t)\right)}{\rho^{N}} \frac{d \rho}{\rho}=\infty \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $(x, t) \in \partial_{p} O$, where $Q_{\rho}(x, t)=B_{\rho}(x) \times\left(t-\rho^{2}, t\right)$.
Theorem 1.2 Let $N \geq 2$. The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+e^{u}-1=0 \text { in } O \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

admits a large solution if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{1}^{N}\left(O^{c} \cap\left(B_{r_{k}}(x) \times\left(t-1168 r_{k}^{2}, t-1136 r_{k}^{2}\right)\right)\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}=\infty \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $(x, t) \in \partial_{p} O$, with $r_{k}=4^{-k}$.

From properties of the $W_{q^{\prime}}^{2,1}$-capacity and the $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{1}^{N}$-capacity, relation (1.13) holds if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_{k}^{-N}\left|O^{c} \cap\left(B_{r_{k}}(x) \times\left(t-1168 r_{k}^{2}, t-1136 r_{k}^{2}\right)\right)\right|^{1-\frac{2 q^{\prime}}{N+2}}=\infty \quad \text { when } q>q_{*}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_{k}^{-N} \log _{+}\left(\left|O^{c} \cap\left(B_{r_{k}}(x) \times\left(t-1168 r_{k}^{2}, t-1136 r_{k}^{2}\right)\right)\right|^{-1}\right)=\infty \quad \text { when } q=q_{*}
$$

Similarly, identity (1.16) is verified if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_{k}^{-N}\left|O^{c} \cap\left(B_{r_{k}}(x) \times\left(t-1168 r_{k}^{2}, t-1136 r_{k}^{2}\right)\right)\right|^{\frac{N}{N+2}}=\infty
$$

Therefore, when $O=\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}:|x|^{2}+\frac{|t|^{2}}{\lambda}<1\right\}$ for some $\lambda>0$, we see that $\partial O=\partial_{p} O$, (1.14) holds for any $(x, t) \in \partial_{p} O,(1.13)$ and (1.16) hold for any $(x, t) \in \partial_{p} O \backslash\{(0, \sqrt{\lambda})\}$. However, (1.13) and (1.16) are also true at $(x, t)=(0, \sqrt{\lambda})$ if $\lambda>2272^{2}$ and not true if $\lambda<2272^{2}$.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we derive a sufficient condition for the existence of large solution of some viscous Hamilton-Jacobi parabolic equations.

Theorem 1.3 Let $q_{1}>1$. If there exists a large solution $v \in C^{2,1}(O)$ of

$$
\partial_{t} v-\Delta v+v^{q_{1}}=0 \quad \text { in } O
$$

then, for any $a, b>0,1<q<q_{1}$ and $1<p<\frac{2 q_{1}}{q_{1}+1}$, problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+a|\nabla u|^{p}+b u^{q} & =0 & & \text { in } O, \\
u & =\infty & & \text { on } \partial_{p} O, \tag{1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

admits a solution $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ which satisfies

$$
u(x, t) \geq C \min \left\{a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} R^{-\frac{2-p}{p-1}+\frac{2}{\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)}}, b^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}+\frac{2}{\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)}}\right\}(v(x, t))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}
$$

for all $(x, t) \in O$ where $R>0$ is such that $O \subset \tilde{Q}_{R}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right), C=C\left(N, p, q, q_{1}\right)>0$ and $\alpha=\max \left\{\frac{2(p-1)}{\left(q_{1}-1\right)(2-p)}, \frac{q-1}{q_{1}-1}\right\} \in(0,1)$.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we denote $Q_{\rho}(x, t)=B_{\rho}(x) \times\left(t-\rho^{2}, t\right]$ and $\tilde{Q}_{\rho}(x, t)=B_{\rho}(x) \times(t-$ $\left.\rho^{2}, t+\rho^{2}\right)$ for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}, \rho>0$ and $r_{k}=4^{-k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also denote $A \lesssim(\gtrsim) B$ if $A \leq(\geq) C B$ for some $C$ depending on some structural constants, $A \asymp B$ if $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$.

Definition 2.1 Let $R \in(0, \infty]$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)$. We define $R$-truncated Riesz parabolic potential $\mathbb{I}_{2}$ of $\mu$ by

$$
\mathbb{I}_{2}^{R}[\mu](x, t)=\int_{0}^{R} \frac{\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{\rho}(x, t)\right)}{\rho^{N}} \frac{d \rho}{\rho} \quad \text { for all } \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}
$$

and the $R$-truncated fractional maximal parabolic potential $\mathbb{M}_{2}$ of $\mu$ by

$$
\mathbb{M}_{2}^{R}[\mu](x, t)=\sup _{0<\rho<R} \frac{\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{\rho}(x, t)\right)}{\rho^{N}} \quad \text { for all } \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}
$$

We recall two results in [20].
Theorem 2.2 Let $q>1, R>0$ and $K$ be a compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. There exists $\mu:=\mu_{K} \in$ $\mathfrak{M}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)$ with compact support in $K$ such that

$$
\mu(K) \asymp \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K) \asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R}[\mu]\right)^{q} d x d t
$$

where the constants of equivalence depend on $N, q$ and $R$. The measure $\mu_{K}$ is called the capacitary measure of $K$.

Theorem 2.3 For any $R>0$, there exist positive constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ such that for any $\mu \in$ $\mathfrak{M}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)$ such that $\left\|\mathbb{M}_{2}^{R}[\mu]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)} \leq 1$, there holds

$$
f_{Q} \exp \left(C_{1} \mathbb{I}_{2}^{R}\left[\chi_{Q} \mu\right]\right) d x d t \leq C_{2}
$$

for all $Q=\tilde{Q}_{r}(y, s) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}, r>0$, where $\chi_{Q}$ is the indicator function of $Q$.
Frostman's Lemma in [21, Th. 3.4.27] is at the basis of the dual definition of Hausdorff capacities with doubling weight. It is easy to see that it is valid for the parabolic Hausdorff $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{\rho}^{N}$-capacity version. As a consequence we have

Theorem 2.4 There holds

$$
\sup \left\{\mu(K): \mu \in \mathfrak{M}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right), \operatorname{supp}(\mu) \subset K,\left\|\mathbb{M}_{2}^{\rho}[\mu]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)} \leq 1\right\} \asymp \mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{\rho}^{N}(K)
$$

for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and $\rho>0$, where equivalent constant depends on $N$
For our purpose, we need the some results about the behavior of the capacity with respect to dilations.

Proposition 2.5 Let $K \subset \overline{\tilde{Q}_{100}(0,0)}$ be a compact set and $1<p<\frac{N+2}{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, p}(K) \gtrsim|K|^{1-\frac{2 p}{N+2}}, \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, \frac{N+2}{2}}(K) \gtrsim\left(\log \left(\frac{\left|\tilde{Q}_{200}(0,0)\right|}{|K|}\right)\right)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, p}\left(K_{\rho}\right) \asymp \rho^{N+2-2 p} \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, p}(K)  \tag{2.2}\\
& \frac{1}{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, \frac{N+2}{2}}\left(K_{\rho}\right)} \asymp \frac{1}{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, \frac{N+2}{2}}(K)}+(\log (2 / \rho))^{N / 2} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $0<\rho<1$, where $K_{\rho}=\left\{\left(\rho x, \rho^{2} t\right):(x, t) \in K\right\}$.
Proposition 2.6 Let $K \subset \overline{\tilde{Q}_{1}(0,0)}$ be a compact set and $1<p \leq \frac{N+2}{2}$. Then, there exists a function $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\tilde{Q}_{3 / 2}(0,0)\right), 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ and $\left.\varphi\right|_{D}=1$ for some open set $D \supset K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\left|D^{2} \varphi\right|^{p}+|\nabla \varphi|^{p}+|\varphi|^{p}+\left|\partial_{t} \varphi\right|^{p}\right) d x d t \lesssim C a p_{2,1, p}(K) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will give proofs of the above two propositions in the Appendix.
It is well know that there exists a semigroup $e^{t \Delta}$ corresponding to equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u=\mu & \text { in } \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0),  \tag{2.5}\\
u=0 & \text { on } \quad \partial_{p} \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

with $\mu \in C^{\infty}\left(\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)\right)$, i.e, we can write a solution $u$ of (2.5) as follows

$$
u(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t}\left(e^{(t-s) \Delta} \mu\right)(x, s) d s \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)
$$

We denote by $\mathbb{H}$ the heat kernel:

$$
\mathbb{H}(x, t)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4 t}} \chi_{t>0}
$$

We have

$$
|u(x, t)| \leq(\mathbb{H} * \mu)(x, t) \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)
$$

In [20, Th. 2.5 ] (with $\Delta$ replaced by a a uniformly elliptic quasilinear operator) we show that

$$
|(\mathbb{H} * \mu)|(x, t) \leq C_{1}(N) \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R}[|\mu|](x, t) \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)
$$

Here $\mu$ is extended by 0 in $\left(\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)\right)^{c}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{t}\left(e^{(t-s) \Delta} \mu\right)(x, s) d s\right| \leq C_{1}(N) \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R}[|\mu|](x, t) \quad \text { for all } \quad(x, t) \in \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we also prove in [20], that if $\mu \geq 0$ then for $(x, t) \in \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)$ and $B_{\rho}(x) \subset B_{R}(0)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left(e^{(t-s) \Delta} \mu\right)(x, s) d s \geq C_{2}(N) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu\left(Q_{\frac{\rho_{k}}{8}}\left(x, t-\frac{35}{128} \rho_{k}^{2}\right)\right)}{\rho_{k}^{N}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\rho_{k}=4^{-k} \rho$.
It is easy to see that estimates (2.6) and (2.7) also holds for any bounded Radon measure $\mu$ in $\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)$. The following result is proved in [3] and [18], and also in [20] in a more general framework.
Theorem 2.7 Let $q>1, R>0$ and $\mu$ be bounded Radon measure in $\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)$.
(i) If $\mu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}$ in $\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)$, then there exists a unique weak solution $u$ to equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+|u|^{q-1} u & =\mu & & \text { in } \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0), \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial_{p} \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If $\exp \left(C_{1}(N) \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R}[|\mu|]\right) \in L^{1}\left(\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)\right)$ then there exists a unique weak solution $v$ to equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} v-\Delta v+\operatorname{sign}(v)\left(e^{|v|}-1\right) & =\mu & & \text { in } \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0) \\
v & =0 & & \text { on } \partial_{p} \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constant $C_{1}(N)$ is the one of inequality (2.6).
From estimates (2.6) and (2.7) and using comparison principle we get the estimates from below of the solutions $u$ and $v$ obtained in Theorem 2.7.
Proposition 2.8 If $\mu \geq 0$ then the functions $u$ and $v$ of the previous theorem are nonnegative and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \geq C_{2}(N) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu\left(Q_{\frac{\rho_{k}}{8}}\left(x, t-\frac{35}{128} \rho_{k}^{2}\right)\right)}{\rho_{k}^{N}}-C_{1}(N)^{q+1} \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R}\left[\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R}[\mu]\right)^{q}\right](x, t) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t) \geq C_{2}(N) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu\left(Q_{\frac{\rho_{k}}{8}}\left(x, t-\frac{35}{128} \rho_{k}^{2}\right)\right)}{\rho_{k}^{N}}-C_{1}(N) \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R}\left[\exp \left(C_{1}(N) \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R}[\mu]\right)-1\right](x, t) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $(x, t) \in \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)$ and $B_{\rho}(x) \subset B_{R}(0)$ and $\rho_{k}=4^{-k} \rho$.

## 3 Maximal solutions

In this section we assume that $O$ is an arbitrary non-cylindrical and bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and $q>1$. We will prove the existence of a maximal solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u^{q}=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $O$. We also get analogous result where $u^{q}$ is replaced by $e^{u}-1$.
It is easy to see that if $u$ satisfies (3.1) in $\tilde{Q}_{r}(0,0)\left(Q_{r}(0,0)\right)$ then $u_{a}(x, t)=a^{-2 /(q-1)} u\left(a x, a^{2} t\right)$ satisfies (3.1) in $\tilde{Q}_{r / a}(0,0)\left(Q_{r / a}(0,0)\right)$ for any $a>0$.
If $X=(x, t) \in O$, the parabolic distance from $X$ to the parabolic boundary $\partial_{p} O$ of $O$ is defined by

$$
d\left(X, \partial_{p} O\right)=\inf _{\substack{(y, s) \in \partial_{p} O \\ s \leq t}} \max \left\{|x-y|,(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}
$$

It is easy to see that there exists $C=C(N, q)>0$ such that the function $V$ defined by

$$
V(x, t)=C\left(\left(\rho^{2}+t\right)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}+\left(\frac{\rho^{2}-|x|^{2}}{\rho}\right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}\right) \quad \text { in } B_{\rho}(0) \times\left(-\rho^{2}, 0\right)
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} V-\Delta V+V^{q} \geq 0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{\rho}(0) \times\left(-\rho^{2}, 0\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.1 There exists a maximal solution $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ of (3.1) and it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \leq C\left(d\left((x, t), \partial_{p} O\right)\right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in O \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C=C(N, q)$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}_{k}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the collection of all the dyadic parabolic cubes (abridged $p$-cubes) of the form

$$
\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}, t\right): m_{j} 2^{-k} \leq x_{j} \leq\left(m_{j}+1\right) 2^{-k}, j=1, \ldots, N, m_{N+1} 4^{-k} \leq t \leq\left(m_{N+1}+1\right) 4^{-k}\right\}
$$

where $m_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The following properties hold,
a. for each integer $k, \mathcal{D}_{k}$ is a partition of $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and all $p$-cubes in $\mathcal{D}_{k}$ have the same sidelengths.
b. if the interiors of two $p$-cubes $Q$ in $\mathcal{D}_{k_{1}}$ and $P$ in $\mathcal{D}_{k_{2}}$, denoted $\stackrel{\circ}{Q}, \stackrel{\circ}{P}$, have nonempty intersection then either $Q$ is contained in $R$ or $Q$ contains $R$.
c. Each $Q$ in $\mathcal{D}_{k}$ is union of $2^{N+2} p$-cubes in $\mathcal{D}_{k+1}$ with disjoint interiors.

Let $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $Q \subset O$ for some $Q \in \mathcal{D}_{k_{0}}$. Set $O_{k}=\underset{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{k} \\ Q \subset O}}{ } Q \forall k \geq k_{0}$, we have $O_{k} \subset O_{k+1}$ and $O=\bigcup_{k \geq k_{0}} O_{k}=\bigcup_{k \geq k_{0}} \stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k}$. More precisely, there exist real numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n(k)}$ and open sets $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, . ., \Omega_{n(k)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that

$$
a_{i}<a_{i}+4^{-k} \leq a_{i+1}<a_{i+1}+4^{k} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n(k)-1
$$

and

$$
\ominus^{\circ}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n(k)-1}\left(\Omega_{i} \times\left(a_{i}, a_{i}+4^{-k}\right]\right) \bigcup\left(\Omega_{n(k)} \times\left(a_{n(k)}, a_{n(k)}+4^{-k}\right)\right)
$$

For $k \geq k_{0}$, we claim that there exists a solution $u_{k} \in C^{2,1}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k}\right)$ to problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u_{k}-\Delta u_{k}+u_{k}^{q}=0 & \text { in } \stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k},  \tag{3.4}\\
u_{k}(x, t) \rightarrow \infty & \text { as } d\left((x, t), \partial_{p} \stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, by $[6,15]$ for $m>0$ one can find nonnegative solutions $v_{i} \in C^{2,1}\left(\Omega_{i} \times\left(a_{i}, a_{i}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.4^{-k}\right]\right) \cap C\left(\bar{\Omega}_{i} \times\left[a_{i}, a_{i}+4^{-k}\right]\right)$ for $i=1, . ., n(k)$ to equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} v_{1}-\Delta v_{1}+v_{1}^{q} & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega_{1} \times\left(a_{1}, a_{1}+4^{-k}\right), \\
v_{1}(x, t) & =m & & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{1} \times\left(a_{1}, a_{1}+4^{-k}\right), \\
v_{1}\left(x, t_{1}\right) & =m & & \text { in } \Omega_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{t} v_{i}-\Delta v_{i}+v_{i}^{q}=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{i} \times\left(a_{i}, a_{i}+4^{-k}\right), \\
v_{i}(x, t)=m & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{i} \times\left(a_{i}, a_{i}+4^{-k}\right), \\
v_{i}\left(x, t_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}m \text { in } \Omega_{i} & \text { if } a_{i}>a_{i-1}+4^{-k}, \\
m \chi_{\Omega_{i} \backslash \Omega_{i-1}}(x)+v_{i-1}\left(x, a_{i-1}+4^{-k}\right) \chi_{\Omega_{i-1}}(x) & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
\end{array}
$$

Clearly,

$$
u_{k, m}=v_{i} \text { in } \Omega_{i} \times\left(a_{i}, a_{i}+4^{-k}\right] \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n(k)
$$

is a solution in $C^{2,1}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k}\right) \cap C\left(O_{k}\right)$ to equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u_{k, m}-\Delta u_{k, m}+u_{k, m}^{q}=0 \text { in } \stackrel{\circ}{O} k, \\
u_{k, m}=m \text { on } \partial_{p} \stackrel{\circ}{O} k .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, for $(x, t) \in \stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k}$, we can see that $B_{\frac{d}{2}}(x) \times\left(t-\frac{d^{2}}{4}, t\right) \subset \stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k}$ where $d=d\left((x, t), \partial_{p} \stackrel{\circ}{O} k\right)$. From (3.2), we verify that

$$
U(y, s):=V(y-x, s-t)=C\left(\left(\rho^{2}+s-t\right)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}+\left(\frac{\rho^{2}-|x-y|^{2}}{\rho}\right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}\right)
$$

with $\rho=d / 2$, satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} U-\Delta U+U^{q} \geq 0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{\frac{d}{2}}(x) \times\left(t-\frac{d^{2}}{4}, t\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the comparison principle we get

$$
u_{k, m}(y, s) \leq U(y, s) \quad \text { in } \quad B_{\frac{d}{2}}(x) \times\left(t-\frac{d^{2}}{4}, t\right]
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k, m}(x, t) \leq C\left(d\left((x, t), \partial_{p} \stackrel{\circ}{O} k\right)\right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \quad \text { for all } \quad(x, t) \in \stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this, we also obtain uniform local bounds for $\left\{u_{k, m}\right\}_{m}$. By standard regularity theory see $[6,15],\left\{u_{k, m}\right\}_{m}$ is uniformly locally bounded in $C^{2,1}$. Hence, up to a subsequence, $u_{k, m} \rightarrow u_{k} C_{\text {loc }}^{1,0}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k}\right)$. Passing the limit, we derive that $u_{k}$ is a weak solution of (3.4) in $\stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k}$, which satisfies $u_{k}(x, t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $d\left((x, t), \partial_{p} \stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
u_{k}(x, t) \leq C\left(d\left((x, t), \partial_{p} \stackrel{\circ}{O} k\right)\right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \quad \text { for all } \quad(x, t) \in \stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k} .
$$

Let $m>0$ and $k \geq k_{0}$. Since $u_{k+1, m} \leq m$ in $O_{k}$ and $O_{k} \subset O_{k+1}$, it follows by the comparison principle applied to $u_{k+1, m}$ and $u_{k, m}$ in the sub-domains $\Omega_{1} \times\left(a_{1}, a_{1}+4^{-k}\right)$, $\Omega_{2} \times\left(a_{2}, a_{2}+4^{-k}\right), \ldots, \Omega_{n(k)} \times\left(a_{n(k)}, a_{n(k)}+4^{-k}\right)$ of $\stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k}$ to obtain at end that $u_{k+1, m} \leq$ $u_{k, m}$ in $\stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k}$, and thus $u_{k+1} \leq u_{k}$ in $\stackrel{\circ}{O}_{k}$. In particular, $\left\{u_{k}\right\}_{k}$ is uniformly locally bounded in $L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}$. We use the same compactness property as above to obtain that $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ where $u$ is a solution of (3.1) and satisfies (3.3). By construction $u$ is the maximal solution.

Remark 3.2 Let $R \geq 2 r \geq 2, K$ be a compact subset in $\overline{\tilde{Q}_{r}(0,0)}$. Arguing as one can easily it is clear that there exists a maximal solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u^{q} & =0  \tag{3.7}\\
u & =0
\end{align*} \quad \text { in } \quad \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0) \backslash K, \partial_{p} \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0),
$$

which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \leq C\left(d\left((x, t), \partial_{p}\left(\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0) \backslash K\right)\right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \quad \forall(x, t) \in \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0) \backslash K\right. \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C=C(N, q)$. Furthermore, assume $K_{1}, K_{2},,,, K_{m}$ are compact subsets in $\overline{\tilde{Q}_{r}(0,0)}$ and $K=K_{1} \cup \ldots \cup K_{m}$. Let $u, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}$ be the maximal solutions of (3.7) in $\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0) \backslash K$, $\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0) \backslash K_{1}, \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0) \backslash K_{2},,,, \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0) \backslash K_{m}$, respectively, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_{j} \quad \text { in } \quad \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0) \backslash K \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.3 If the equation (3.1) admits a large solution for some $q>1$ then for any $1<q_{1}<q$, equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u^{q_{1}}=0 \text { in } O \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

admits also a large solution.
Indeed, assume that $u$ is a large solution of (3.1) and $v$ is the maximal solution of (3.10). Take $R>0$ such that $O \subset B_{R}(0) \times\left(-R^{2}, R^{2}\right)$, then the function $V$ defined by

$$
V(x, t)=(q-1)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}\left(2 R^{2}+t\right)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}
$$

satisfies (3.1). It follows for all $(x, t) \in O$

$$
u(x, t) \geq \inf _{(y, s) \in O} V(x, t) \geq(q-1)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}=: a_{0}
$$

Thus, $\tilde{u}=a_{0}^{\frac{q-q_{1}}{q_{1}-1}} u$ is a subsolution of (3.10). Therefore $v \geq a_{0}^{\frac{q-q_{1}}{q_{1}-1}} u$ in $O$, thus $v$ is a large solution.

Remark 3.4 (Sub-critical case) Assume that $1<q<q_{*}$. One easily see that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x, t)=\frac{C}{t^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4 t}} \chi_{t>0} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a subsolution of $(3.1)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$, where $C=\left(\frac{2}{q-1}-\frac{N}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$. Therefore, the maximal solutions $u$ of (3.1) in $O$ verify

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \geq C \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-s)}} \chi_{t>s} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(x, t) \in O$ and $(y, s) \in O^{c}$.
If for any $(x, t) \in \partial_{p} O$ there exist $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and a decreasing sequence $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ converging to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\left(B_{\delta_{n}}(x) \times\left(-\delta_{n}^{2}+t,-\varepsilon \delta_{n}^{2}+t\right)\right) \cap O^{c} \neq \emptyset$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $u$ is a large solution. For proving this, we need to show that $\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \inf _{O \cap\left(B_{\rho}(x) \times\left(-\rho^{2}+t, \rho^{2}+t\right)\right)} u=\infty$. Let $0<\rho<\delta_{1}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \delta_{n+1} \leq \rho<\sqrt{\varepsilon} \delta_{n}$. Since $\left(B_{\delta_{n}}(x) \times\left(-\delta_{n}^{2}+t,-\varepsilon \delta_{n}^{2}+t\right)\right) \cap$ $O^{c} \neq \emptyset$, there is $\left(x_{n}, t_{n}\right) \in O^{c}$ such that $\left|x_{n}\right|<\delta_{n}$ and $-\delta_{n}^{2}+t<t_{n}<-\varepsilon \delta_{n}^{2}+t$. Hence, from (3.12) we have

$$
u(x, t) \geq C \frac{1}{\left(t-t_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} e^{-\frac{\left|x-x_{n}\right|^{2}}{4\left(t-t_{n}\right)}} \quad \forall(x, t) \in O \cap\left(B_{\rho}(x) \times\left(-\rho^{2}+t, \rho^{2}+t\right)\right)
$$

which implies

$$
\inf _{O \cap\left(B_{\rho}(x) \times\left(-\rho^{2}+t, \rho^{2}+t\right)\right)} u \geq C \frac{1}{\delta_{n}^{\frac{2}{q-1}}} e^{-\frac{(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+1)^{2}}{4 \varepsilon}} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } \rho \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Remark 3.5 Note that if $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ is a solution of (3.1) for some $q>1$ then, for $a, b>0$ and $1<p \leq 2, v=b^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} u$ is a super-solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} v-\Delta v+a|\nabla v|^{p}+b v^{q}=0 \quad \text { in } O . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we can apply the argument of the previous proof, with equation (3.1) replaced by (3.13), and deduce that there exists a maximal solution $v \in C^{2,1}(O)$ of (3.13) satisfying

$$
v(x, t) \leq C b^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}\left(d\left((x, t), \partial_{p} O\right)\right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in O .
$$

Furthermore, if $1<q<q_{*}, q=\frac{2 p}{p+1}, a, b>0$ then the function $U$ in Remark 3.4 is a subsolution of (3.13) in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$, for some $C=C(N, p, q, a, b)$. Therefore, we conclude that every maximal solution of $v \in C^{2,1}(O)$ of (3.13) satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t) \geq C \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-s)}} \chi_{t>s} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(x, t) \in O$ and $(y, s) \in \partial_{p} O$.
As in Remark 3.4, if for any $(x, t) \in \partial_{p} O$ there exist $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and a decreasing sequence $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ converging to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\left(B_{\delta_{n}}(x) \times\left(-\delta_{n}^{2}+t,-\varepsilon \delta_{n}^{2}+t\right)\right) \cap O^{c} \neq \emptyset$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $v$ is a large solution.

Next, we consider the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+e^{u}-1=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that the two functions

$$
V_{1}(t)=-\log \left(\frac{t+\rho^{2}}{1+\rho^{2}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad V_{2}(x)=C-2 \log \left(\frac{\rho^{2}-|x|^{2}}{\rho}\right)
$$

satisfy

$$
V_{1}^{\prime}+e^{V_{1}}-1 \geq 0 \quad \text { in }\left(-\rho^{2}, 0\right]
$$

and

$$
-\Delta V_{2}+e^{V_{2}}-1 \geq 0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{\rho}(0)
$$

for some $C=C(N)$. Using $e^{a}+e^{b} \leq e^{a+b}-1$ for $a, b \geq 0$, we obtain that $V_{1}+V_{2}$ is a supersolution of equation (3.15) in $B_{\rho}(0) \times\left(-\rho^{2}, 0\right]$. By the same argument as in Proposition 3.1 and the estimate of the above supersolution, we obtain

Proposition 3.6 There exists a maximal solution $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+e^{u}-1=0 \text { in } O \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \leq C-\log \left(\frac{\left(d\left((x, t), \partial_{p} O\right)\right)^{3}}{4+\left(d\left((x, t), \partial_{p} O\right)\right)^{2}}\right) \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in O \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C=C(N)$.
The next three propositions will be useful to prove Theorem 1.1-(ii).
Proposition 3.7 Let $K \subset \overline{\tilde{Q}_{1}(0,0)}$ be a compact set and $q>1, R \geq 100$. Let $u$ be $a$ solution of (3.7) in $\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0) \backslash K$ and $\varphi$ as in Proposition 2.6 with $p=q^{\prime}$. Set $\xi=(1-\varphi)^{2 q^{\prime}}$. Then,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u\left(|\Delta \xi|+|\nabla \xi|+\left|\partial_{t} \xi\right|\right) d x d t \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K) \\
u(x, t) \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K)+R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \quad \text { for any }(x, t) \in \tilde{Q}_{R / 5}(0,0) \backslash \tilde{Q}_{2}(0,0) \tag{3.19}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{Q}_{2}(0,0)} u \xi d x d t \lesssim C a p_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K)+R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants in above inequalities depend only on $N, q$.
Proof. Step 1. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u^{q} \xi d x d t \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K) . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actually, using by parts integration and the Green formula, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u^{q} \xi d x d t=-\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} \partial_{t} u \xi d x d t+\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)}(\Delta u) \xi d x d t \\
& \quad=\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u \partial_{t} \xi d x d t+\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u \Delta \xi d x d t+\int_{-R^{2}}^{R^{2}} \int_{\partial B_{R}(0)}\left(\xi \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}-u \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \nu}\right) d S d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu$ is the outer normal unit vector on $\partial B_{R}(0)$. Clearly,

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \nu}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial B_{R}(0)
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u^{q} \xi d x d t \leq \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u\left|\partial_{t} \xi\right| d x d t+\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u|\Delta \xi| d x d t \\
& \leq 2 q^{\prime} \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u(1-\varphi)^{2 q^{\prime}-1}\left|\partial_{t} \varphi\right| d x d t+2 q^{\prime}\left(2 q^{\prime}-1\right) \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u(1-\varphi)^{2 q^{\prime}-2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} d x d t \\
& \quad+2 q^{\prime} \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u(1-\varphi)^{2 q^{\prime}-1}|\Delta \varphi| d x d t \\
& \leq 2 q^{\prime} \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u \xi^{1 / q}\left|\partial_{t} \varphi\right| d x d t+2 q^{\prime}\left(2 q^{\prime}-1\right) \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u \xi^{1 / q}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} d x d t \\
& \quad+2 q^{\prime} \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u \xi^{1 / q}|\Delta \varphi| d x d t \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

In the last inequality, we have used the fact that $(1-\phi)^{2 q^{\prime}-1} \leq(1-\phi)^{2 q^{\prime}-2}=\xi^{1 / q}$. Hence, by Hölder's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u^{q} \xi d x d t & \lesssim \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)}\left|\partial_{t} \varphi\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x d t+\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)}|\nabla \varphi|^{2 q^{\prime}} d x d t \\
& +\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)}|\Delta \varphi|^{q^{\prime}} d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)}|\nabla \varphi|^{2 q^{\prime}} d x d t & \lesssim\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)\right)}^{q^{\prime}} \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)}\left|D^{2} \varphi\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x d t \\
& \lesssim \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)}\left|D^{2} \varphi\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we find

$$
\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u^{q} \xi d x d t \lesssim \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)}\left(\left|\partial_{t} \varphi\right|^{q^{\prime}}+\left|D^{2} \varphi\right|^{q^{\prime}}\right) d x d t
$$

and derive (3.21) from (2.4). In view of (3.22), we also obtain

$$
\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u\left(|\Delta \xi|+\left|\partial_{t} \xi\right|\right) d x d t \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K)
$$

and

$$
\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u|\nabla \xi| d x d t \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K)
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u|\nabla \xi| d x d t & =2 q^{\prime} \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u \xi^{\left(2 q^{\prime}-1\right) / 2 q^{\prime}}|\nabla \varphi| d x d t \\
& \leq 2 q^{\prime} \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u \xi^{1 / q}|\nabla \varphi| d x d t \\
& \lesssim \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u^{q} \xi d x d t+\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)}|\nabla \varphi|^{q^{\prime}} d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

It yields (3.18).
Step 2. Relation (3.19) holds. Let $\eta$ be a cut off function on $\tilde{Q}_{R / 4}(0,0)$ with respect to $\tilde{Q}_{R / 3}(0,0)$ such that $\left|\partial_{t} \eta\right|+\left|D^{2} \eta\right| \lesssim R^{-2}$ and $|\nabla \eta| \lesssim R^{-1}$. We have

$$
\partial_{t}(\eta \xi u)-\Delta(\eta \xi u)=F \in C_{c}\left(\tilde{Q}_{R / 3}(0,0)\right)
$$

Hence, we can write

$$
(\eta \xi u)(x, t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{1}{(4 \pi(t-s))^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-s)}} F(y, s) d s d y \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}
$$

Now, we fix $(x, t) \in \tilde{Q}_{R / 5}(0,0) \backslash \tilde{Q}_{2}(0,0)$. Since $\operatorname{supp}\{|\nabla \eta|\} \cap \operatorname{supp}\{|\nabla \xi|\}=\emptyset$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\eta \xi\left(\partial_{t} u-\Delta u\right)-2(\eta \nabla \xi+\xi \nabla \eta) \nabla u+\left(\xi \partial_{t} \eta+\eta \partial_{t} \xi-2 \nabla \eta \nabla \xi-\Delta \eta \xi-\eta \Delta \xi\right) u \\
& \leq-2(\eta \nabla \xi+\xi \nabla \eta) \nabla u+\left(\xi \partial_{t} \eta+\eta \partial_{t} \xi-\xi \Delta \eta-\eta \Delta \xi\right) u
\end{aligned}
$$

there holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x, t)=(\eta \xi u)(x, t) & \leq-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{1}{(4 \pi(t-s))^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-s)}}(\eta \nabla \xi+\xi \nabla \eta) \nabla u d s d y \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{1}{(4 \pi(t-s))^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-s)}}\left(\eta \partial_{t} \xi-\eta \Delta \xi\right) u d s d y \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{1}{(4 \pi(t-s))^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-s)}}\left(\partial_{t} \eta \xi-\xi \Delta \eta\right) u d s d y . \\
& =I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By parts integration

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =2(4 \pi)^{-N / 2} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{(x-y)}{2(t-s)^{(N+2) / 2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-s)}}(\eta \nabla \xi+\xi \nabla \eta) u d y d s \\
& 2(4 \pi)^{-N / 2} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{N / 2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-s)}}(\xi \Delta \eta+\eta \Delta \xi) u d y d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{(t-s)^{N / 2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-s)}} \lesssim\left(\max \left\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)^{-N} \\
\left|\frac{(x-y)}{2(t-s)^{(N+2) / 2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-s)}}\right| \lesssim\left(\max \left\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)^{-N-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\max \left\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1 / 2}\right\} \gtrsim 1 & \forall(y, s) \in \operatorname{supp}\left\{\left|D^{\alpha} \xi\right|\right\} \cup \operatorname{supp}\left\{\left|\partial_{t} \xi\right|\right\}, \\
\max \left\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1 / 2}\right\} \gtrsim R & \forall(y, s) \in \operatorname{supp}\left\{\left|D^{\alpha} \eta\right|\right\} \cup \operatorname{supp}\left\{\left|\partial_{t} \eta\right|\right\} \quad \forall|\alpha| \geq 1 .
\end{array}
$$

We deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\max \left\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)^{-N-1}(\eta|\nabla \xi|+\xi|\nabla \eta|) u d y d s \\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\max \left\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)^{-N}(\xi|\Delta \eta|+\eta|\Delta \xi|) u d y d s \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}(|\nabla \xi|+|\Delta \xi|) u d y d s+\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R / 3}(0,0) \backslash \tilde{Q}_{R / 4}(0,0)}\left(R^{-N-1}|\nabla \eta|+R^{-N}|\Delta \eta|\right) u d y d s \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}(|\nabla \xi|+|\Delta \xi|) u d y d s+\sup _{\tilde{Q}_{R / 3}(0,0) \backslash \tilde{Q}_{R / 4}(0,0)} u, \\
& I_{2} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\max \left\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)^{-N}\left(\left|\partial_{t} \xi\right|+|\Delta \xi|\right) u d y d s \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\left|\partial_{t} \xi\right|+|\Delta \xi|\right) u d y d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\max \left\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)^{-N}\left(\left|\partial_{t} \eta\right|+|\Delta \eta|\right) u d y d s \\
& \lesssim \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R / 3}(0,0) \backslash \tilde{Q}_{R / 4}(0,0)} R^{-N}\left(\left|\partial_{t} \eta\right|+|\Delta \eta|\right) u d y d s \\
& \lesssim \sup _{\tilde{Q}_{R / 3}(0,0) \backslash \tilde{Q}_{R / 4}(0,0)} u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
u(x, t) \leq I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\left|\partial_{t} \xi\right|+|\nabla \xi|+|\Delta \xi|\right) u d y d s+\sup _{\tilde{Q}_{R / 3}(0,0) \backslash \tilde{Q}_{R / 4}(0,0)} u
$$

Combining this with (3.18) and (3.8), we obtain (3.19).
Step 3. End of the proof. Let $\theta$ be a cut off function on $\tilde{Q}_{3}(0,0)$ with respect to $\tilde{Q}_{4}(0,0)$. As above, we have for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\theta \xi u)(x, t) & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\max \left\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)^{-N-1}(\theta|\nabla \xi|+\xi|\nabla \theta|) u d y d s \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\max \left\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)^{-N}(\theta|\Delta \xi|+\xi|\Delta \theta|) u d y d s \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\max \left\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)^{-N}\left(\theta\left|\partial_{t} \xi\right|+\theta|\Delta \xi|\right) u d y d s \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\max \left\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)^{-N}\left(\xi\left|\partial_{t} \theta\right|+\xi|\Delta \theta|\right) u d y d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Fubini theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tilde{Q}_{2}(0,0)} \eta u d x d t & =\int_{\tilde{Q}_{2}(0,0)} \theta \eta u d x d t \\
& \lesssim A \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\theta|\nabla \xi|+\xi|\nabla \theta|+\theta|\Delta \xi|+\xi|\Delta \theta|+\theta\left|\partial_{t} \xi\right|+\xi\left|\partial_{t} \theta\right|\right) u d y d s \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\left|\partial_{t} \xi\right|+|\nabla \xi|+|\Delta \xi|\right) u d y d s+\sup _{\tilde{Q}_{4}(0,0) \backslash \tilde{Q}_{3}(0,0)} u
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$A=\sup _{(y, s) \in \tilde{Q}_{4}(0,0)} \int_{\tilde{Q}_{2}(0,0)}\left(\left(\max \left\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)^{-N}+\left(\max \left\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)^{-N-1}\right) d x d t$.
Therefore we obtain (3.20) from (3.18) and (3.19).
Proposition 3.8 Let $K \subset\left\{(x, t): \varepsilon<\max \left\{|x|,|t|^{1 / 2}\right\}<1\right\}$ be a compact set, $0<\varepsilon<1$ and $u$ be the maximal solution of (3.7) in $\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0) \backslash K$ with $R \geq 100$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon / 4}(0,0)} u \lesssim \sum_{j=-2}^{j_{\varepsilon}-2} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap \tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{j}^{N}}+j_{\varepsilon} R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \quad \text { if } \quad q>q_{*}, \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon / 4}(0,0)} u \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{j_{\varepsilon}} \frac{C a p_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{j}\right)}{\rho_{j}^{N}}+j_{\varepsilon} R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \quad \text { if } \quad q=q_{*}, \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{j}=2^{-j}, K_{j}=\left\{\left(x / \rho_{j+3}, t / \rho_{j+3}^{2}\right):(x, t) \in K \cap \tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j-2}}(0,0)\right\}$ and $j_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $\rho_{j_{\varepsilon}} \leq \varepsilon<\rho_{j_{\varepsilon}-1}$.

Proof. For $j \in N$, we define $S_{j}=\left\{x: \rho_{j} \leq \max \left\{|x|,|t|^{1 / 2}\right\} \leq \rho_{j-1}\right\}$. Fix any $1 \leq j \leq j_{\varepsilon}$. We cover $S_{j}$ by $L=L(N) \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ closed cylinders

$$
\overline{\tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j+3}}\left(x_{k, j}, t_{k, j}\right)}, \quad k=1, \ldots, L(N)
$$

where $\left(x_{k, j}, t_{k, j}\right) \in S_{j}$.
For $k=1, \ldots, L(N)$, let $u_{j}, u_{k, j}$ be the maximal solutions of (3.7) where $K$ is replaced by $K \cap S_{j}$ and $K \cap \overline{\tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j+3}}\left(x_{k, j}, t_{k, j}\right)}$, respectively. Clearly the function $\tilde{u}_{k, j}$ defined by

$$
\tilde{u}_{k, j}(x, t)=\rho_{j+3}^{\frac{2}{q-1}} u_{k, j}\left(\rho_{j+3} x+x_{k, j}, \rho_{j+3}^{2} t+t_{k, j}\right)
$$

is the maximal solution of $(3.7)$ when $\left(K_{k, j}, \tilde{Q}_{R / \rho_{j+3}}\left(-x_{k, j} / \rho_{j+3},-t_{k, j} / \rho_{j+3}^{2}\right)\right)$ is replacing $\left(K, \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)\right)$, with

$$
K_{k, j}=\left\{\left(y / \rho_{j+3}, s / \rho_{j+3}^{2}\right):(y, s) \in-\left(x_{k, j}, t_{k, j}\right)+K \cap \overline{\tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j+3}}\left(x_{k, j}, t_{k, j}\right)}\right\} \subset \overline{\tilde{Q}_{1}(0,0)} .
$$

Let $\bar{u}_{k, j}$ be the maximal solution of (3.7) with $\left(K, \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)\right)$ replaced by $\left(K_{k, j}, \tilde{Q}_{2 R / \rho_{j+3}}(0,0)\right)$. Since $\tilde{Q}_{R / \rho_{j+3}}\left(-x_{k, j} / \rho_{j+3},-t_{k, j} / \rho_{j+3}^{2}\right) \subset \tilde{Q}_{2 R / \rho_{j+3}}(0,0)$, then, by the comparison principle as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 we get $\tilde{u}_{k, j} \leq \bar{u}_{k, j}$ in $\tilde{Q}_{R / \rho_{j+3}}\left(-x_{k, j} / \rho_{j+3},-t_{k, j} / \rho_{j+3}^{2}\right) \backslash K_{k, j}$ and thus

$$
\tilde{u}_{k, j}(x, t) \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{k, j}\right)+\left(R / \rho_{j+3}\right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}},
$$

for any $(x, t) \in\left(\tilde{Q}_{2 R /\left(5 \rho_{j+3}\right)}(0,0) \cap \tilde{Q}_{R / \rho_{j+3}}\left(-x_{k, j} / \rho_{j+3},-t_{k, j} / \rho_{j+3}^{2}\right)\right) \backslash \tilde{Q}_{2}(0,0)=D$. Fix $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon / 4}(0,0)$. Clearly, $\left(\left(x_{0}-x_{k, j}\right) / \rho_{j+3},\left(t_{0}-t_{k, j}\right) / \rho_{j+3}\right) \in D$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{k, j}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) & =\rho_{j+3}^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \tilde{u}_{k, j}\left(\left(x_{0}-x_{k, j}\right) / \rho_{j+3},\left(t_{0}-t_{k, j}\right) / \rho_{j+3}^{2}\right) \\
& \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{k, j}\right)}{\rho_{j}^{\frac{2}{q-1}}}+R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, using (3.9) in Remark 3.2 and the fact that

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{k, j}\right)=\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{k, j}+\left(x_{k, j} / \rho_{j+3}, t_{k, j} / \rho_{j+3}^{2}\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{j}\right),
$$

we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{j_{\varepsilon}} u_{j}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{j_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{k=1}^{L(N)} u_{k, j}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{j_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{j}\right)}{\rho_{j}^{\frac{2}{q-1}}}+j_{\varepsilon} R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields (3.24). If $q>q_{*}$, then by (2.2) in Proposition 2.5, we have

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{j}\right) \lesssim \rho_{j+3}^{-N-2+2 q^{\prime}} \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap \tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j-2}}(0,0)\right)
$$

which implies (3.23).
Proposition 3.9 Let $K, u, \xi$ be as in Proposition 3.7. For any compact set $K_{0}$ in $\overline{\tilde{Q}_{1}(0,0)}$ with positive measure $\left|K_{0}\right|$, there exists $\varepsilon=\varepsilon\left(N, q,\left|K_{0}\right|\right)>0$ such that

$$
C a p_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K) \leq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \inf _{K_{0}} u \lesssim \int_{\tilde{Q}_{2}(0,0)} u \xi d x d t
$$

where the constant in the inequality $\lesssim d e p e n d s$ on $K_{0}$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K) \leq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \inf _{K_{0}} u \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K)+R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is enough to prove that there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K) \leq \varepsilon \Rightarrow\left|K_{1}\right| \geq 1 / 2\left|K_{0}\right| \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{1}=\left\{(x, t) \in K_{0}: \xi(x, t) \geq 1 / 2\right\}$. By (2.1) in Proposition 2.5, we have the following estimates

$$
\left|K_{0} \backslash K_{1}\right|^{1-\frac{2 q^{\prime}}{N+2}} \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{0} \backslash K_{1}\right)
$$

if $q>q_{*}$, and

$$
\left(\log \left(\frac{\left|\tilde{Q}_{200}(0,0)\right|}{\left|K_{0} \backslash K_{1}\right|}\right)\right)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{0} \backslash K_{1}\right)
$$

if $q=q_{*}$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{0} \backslash K_{1}\right) & =\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(\left\{K_{0}: \varphi>1-(1 / 2)^{1 /\left(2 q^{\prime}\right)}\right\}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1-(1 / 2)^{1 /\left(2 q^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{-q^{\prime}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\left|D^{2} \varphi\right|^{q^{\prime}}+|\nabla \varphi|^{q^{\prime}}+|\varphi|^{q^{\prime}}+\left|\partial_{t} \varphi\right|^{q^{\prime}}\right) d x d t \\
& \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varphi$ is in Proposition 3.7. Henceforth, one can find $\varepsilon=\varepsilon\left(N, q,\left|K_{0}\right|\right)>0$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}(K) \leq \varepsilon \Rightarrow\left|K_{0} \backslash K_{1}\right| \leq 1 / 2\left|K_{0}\right|
$$

This implies (3.26).

## 4 Large solutions

In the first part of this section, we prove theorem 1.1-(ii), then we prove theorems 1.1-(i) and 1.2 , at end we consider a parabolic viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

### 4.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1-(ii)

Let $R_{0} \geq 4$ such that $O \subset \subset \tilde{Q}_{R_{0}}(0,0)$. Assume that the equation (1.12) has a large solution $u$. Take any $(x, t) \in \partial_{p} O$. We will to prove that (1.14) holds. We can assume $(x, t)=(0,0)$. Set $K=\tilde{Q}_{2 R_{0}}(0,0) \backslash O$ and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{j}=\left\{x: \rho_{j+1} \leq \max \left\{|x|,|t|^{1 / 2}\right\} \leq \rho_{j}, t \leq 0\right\} \\
& \tilde{T}_{j}=\left\{x: \rho_{j+3} \leq \max \left\{|x|,|t|^{1 / 2}\right\} \leq \rho_{j-2}, t \leq 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\rho_{j}=2^{-j}$. For $j \geq 3$, let $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}$ be the maximal solutions of (3.7) when $K$ is replaced by $K \cap \overline{Q_{\rho_{j+3}}(0,0)}, K \cap \tilde{T}_{j},\left(K \cap \overline{Q_{1}(0,0)}\right) \backslash Q_{\rho_{j-2}}(0,0)$ and $K \backslash Q_{1}(0,0)$ respectively and $R \geq 100 R_{0}$. From (3.9) in Remark 3.2, we can assert that

$$
u \leq u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}+u_{4} \quad \text { in } O \cap\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}: t \leq 0\right\}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{T_{j}} u \leq\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{j}\right)}+\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{j}\right)}+\left\|u_{4}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{j}\right)}+\inf _{T_{j}} u_{2} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1: $q>q_{*}$. By (3.8) in Remark 3.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{4}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{j}\right)} \lesssim 1 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.23) in Proposition 3.8,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{j}\right)} \lesssim \sum_{i=-2}^{j-4} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{i}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{i}^{N}}+j R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(x, t) \mapsto \bar{u}_{1}(x, t)=\rho_{j+3}^{2 /(q-1)} u_{1}\left(\rho_{j+3} x, \rho_{j+3}^{2} t\right)$ is the maximal solution of (3.7) when $\left(K, \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)\right)$ is replaced by $\left(\left\{\left(y / \rho_{j+3}, s / \rho_{j+3}^{2}\right):(y, s) \in K \cap \overline{Q_{\rho_{j+3}}(0,0)}\right\}, \tilde{Q}_{R / \rho_{j+3}}(0,0)\right)$, we derive, thanks to (3.19) in Proposition 3.7 and (2.2) in Proposition 2.5,

$$
\left\|\bar{u}_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{-3}\right)} \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j+2}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{j}^{N+2-2 q^{\prime}}}+\left(R / \rho_{j+3}\right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}},
$$

from which follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{j}\right)} \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j+2}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{j}^{N}}+R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, $(x, t) \mapsto \bar{u}_{2}(x, t)=\rho_{j-2}^{2 /(q-1)} u_{2}\left(\rho_{j-2} x, \rho_{j-2}^{2} t\right)$ is the maximal solution of (3.7) when the couple $\left(K, \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)\right)$ is replaced by $\left(\left\{\left(y / \rho_{j-2}, s / \rho_{j-2}^{2}\right):(y, s) \in K \cap \tilde{T}_{j}\right\}, \tilde{Q}_{R / \rho_{j-2}}(0,0)\right)$, Proposition 3.9 and relation (2.2) in Proposition 2.5 yield

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap \tilde{T}_{j}\right)}{\rho_{j-2}^{N+2-2 q^{\prime}}} \leq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \inf _{T_{2}} \bar{u}_{2} \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap \tilde{T}_{j}\right)}{\rho_{j-2}^{N+2-2 q^{\prime}}}+\left(R / \rho_{j-2}\right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{j-2}^{N+2-2 q^{\prime}}} \leq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \inf _{T_{j}} u_{2} \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{j-2}^{N}}+R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(N, q)>0$.
First, we assume that there exists $J \in \mathbb{N}, J \geq 10$ such that

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{j-2}^{N+2-2 q^{\prime}}} \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall j \geq J
$$

Then, from (4.1) and (4.2), (4.3), (4.4), (4.5), we have

$$
\inf _{T_{j}} u \lesssim \sum_{i=-2}^{j+2} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{i}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{i}^{N}}+j R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}+1
$$

for any $j \geq J$. Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\inf _{T_{j}} u \lesssim \sum_{i=-2}^{j+2} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{i}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{i}^{N}}+1
$$

Since $\inf _{T_{j}} u \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{i}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{i}^{N}}=\infty
$$

which implies that (1.14) holds with $(x, t)=(0,0)$.
Alternatively, assume that for infinitely many $j$

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{j-2}^{N+2-2 q^{\prime}}}>\varepsilon
$$

Then,

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{j-2}^{N}}>\rho_{j-2}^{2-2 q^{\prime}} \varepsilon \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { when } j \rightarrow \infty .
$$

We also derive that (1.14) holds with $(x, t)=(0,0)$. This proves the case $q>q_{*}$.
Case 2: $q=q_{*}$. Similarly to Case 1 , we have: for $j \geq 6$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{4}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{j}\right)} \lesssim 1  \tag{4.6}\\
& \left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{j}\right)} \lesssim \sum_{i=0}^{j-2} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{j}\right)}{\rho_{i}^{N}}+j R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}},  \tag{4.7}\\
& \left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{j}\right)} \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{j}\right)}{\rho_{j}^{N}}+R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}  \tag{4.8}\\
& \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{j-5}\right) \leq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \inf _{T_{j}} u_{2} \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{j-5}\right)}{\rho_{j}^{N}}+R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{j}=\left\{\left(x / \rho_{j+3}, t / \rho_{j+3}^{2}\right):(x, t) \in K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)\right\}$ and $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(N)>0$.
From (2.2) in Proposition 2.5, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)\right)} \leq \frac{c}{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{j}\right)}+c j^{N / 2}
$$

for any $j \geq 4$ where $c=c(N)$. If there are infinitely many $j \geq 4$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)\right)>\frac{1}{2 c j^{N / 2}}
$$

then (1.14) holds with $(x, t)=(0,0)$ since

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{j-3}^{N}}>\frac{2^{j-3}}{2 c j^{N / 2}} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { when } j \rightarrow \infty
$$

Now, we assume that there exists $J \geq 6$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 c j^{N / 2}}
$$

Then,

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{j}\right) \leq 2 c \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)\right) \quad \forall j \geq J
$$

This leads to

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{j}\right) \leq 2 c \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)\right) \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall j \geq J^{\prime}+J
$$

for some $J^{\prime}=J^{\prime}(N)$. Hence, from (4.6)-(4.9) we have, for any $j \geq J^{\prime}+J+3$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{4}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{j}\right)} & \lesssim 1 \\
\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{j}\right)} & \lesssim \sum_{i=J^{\prime}+J+1}^{j-2} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{i-3}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{i}^{N}}+C\left(J^{\prime}+J\right)+j R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \\
\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(T_{j}\right)} & \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{j}^{N}}+R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \\
\inf _{T_{j}} u_{2} & \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-8}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{j}^{N}}+R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C\left(J^{\prime}+J\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{J^{\prime}+J} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K_{j}\right)}{\rho_{i}^{\top}}$.
Consequently we derive

$$
\inf _{T_{j}} u \lesssim \sum_{i=0}^{j} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{i}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{i}^{N}}+C\left(J^{\prime}+J\right)+1+j R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \quad \forall j \geq J^{\prime}+J+3
$$

from (4.1). Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $j \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(K \cap Q_{\rho_{i}}(0,0)\right)}{\rho_{i}^{N}}=\infty
$$

i.e (1.14) holds with $(x, t)=(0,0)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1-(ii).

### 4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1-(i) and Theorem 1.2

Fix $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \partial_{p} O$. We can assume that $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0$. Let $\delta \in(0,1 / 100)$. For $\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right) \in$ $\left(B_{\delta}(0) \times\left(-\delta^{2}, \delta^{2}\right)\right) \cap O$, we set

$$
M_{k}=O^{c} \cap\left(\overline{B_{r_{k+2}}\left(y_{0}\right)} \times\left[s_{0}-\left(73+\frac{1}{2}\right) r_{k+2}^{2}, s_{0}-\left(70+\frac{1}{2}\right) r_{k+2}^{2}\right]\right)
$$

and

$$
S_{k}=\left\{(x, t): r_{k+1} \leq \max \left\{\left|x-y_{0}\right|,\left|t-s_{0}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}<r_{k}\right\} \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots,
$$

where $r_{k}=4^{-k}$. Note that $M_{k}=\emptyset$ for $k$ large enough and $M_{k} \subset S_{k}$ for all $k$. Let $R_{0} \geq 4$ such that $O \subset \subset \tilde{Q}_{R_{0}}(0,0)$. By Theorem 2.2 and 2.4 and estimate (1.11) there exist two sequences $\left\{\mu_{k}\right\}_{k}$ and $\left\{\nu_{k}\right\}_{k}$ of nonnegative Radon measures such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{k}\right) \subset M_{k}, \operatorname{supp}\left(\nu_{k}\right) \subset M_{k},  \tag{4.10}\\
\mu_{k}\left(M_{k}\right) \asymp \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(M_{k}\right) \asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\mu_{k}\right]\right)^{q} d x d t \tag{4.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{k}\left(M_{k}\right) \asymp \mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{1}^{N}\left(M_{k}\right), \quad\left\|\mathbb{M}_{1}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\nu_{k}\right]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)} \leq 1 \quad \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants of equivalence depend on $N, q, R_{0}$.
Take $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\exp \left(C_{1} \varepsilon \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_{k}\right]\right) \in L^{1}\left(\tilde{Q}_{R_{0}}(0,0)\right)$ where the constant $C_{1}=$ $C_{1}(N)$ is the one of inequality (2.6). By Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 2.8, there exist two nonnegative solutions $U_{1}, U_{2}$ of problems

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} U_{1}-\Delta U_{1}+U_{1}^{q} & =\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{k} & & \text { in } \tilde{Q}_{R_{0}}(0,0) \\
U_{1} & =0 & & \text { on } \partial_{p} \tilde{Q}_{R_{0}}(0,0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} U_{2}-\Delta U_{2}+e^{U_{2}}-1 & =\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_{k} & & \text { in } \tilde{Q}_{R_{0}}(0,0), \\
U_{2} & =0 & & \text { on } \partial_{p} \tilde{Q}_{R_{0}}(0,0),
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively which satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{1}\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right) \gtrsim & \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon \frac{\mu_{k}\left(B_{\frac{r_{i}}{8}}\left(y_{0}\right) \times\left(s_{0}-\frac{37}{128} r_{i}^{2}, s_{0}-\frac{35}{128} r_{i}^{2}\right)\right)}{r_{i}^{N}} \\
& -\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}\right]\right)^{q}\right]\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right)=: A \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{2}\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right) \gtrsim & \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon \frac{\nu_{k}\left(B_{\frac{r_{i}}{8}}\left(y_{0}\right) \times\left(s_{0}-\frac{37}{128} r_{i}^{2}, s_{0}-\frac{35}{128} r_{i}^{2}\right)\right)}{r_{i}^{N}} \\
& -\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\exp \left(C_{1} \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_{k}\right]\right)-1\right]\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right)=: B \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

and $U_{1}, U_{2} \in C^{2,1}(O)$.
Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be the maximal solutions of equations (3.1) and (3.16) respectively.
We have $u_{1}\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right) \geq U_{1}\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right)$ and $u_{2}\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right) \geq U_{2}\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right)$. Now, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \gtrsim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(M_{k}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \gtrsim-c_{1}\left(R_{0}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{1}^{N}\left(M_{k}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}} . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of assertion (4.15). From (4.11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \gtrsim \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1 q^{\prime}}\left(M_{k}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}-\varepsilon^{q} A_{0} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
A_{0}=\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}\right]\right)^{q}\right]\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right)
$$

Take $i_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r_{i_{0}+1}<\max \left\{2 R_{0}, 1\right\} \leq r_{i_{0}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{0} & \lesssim \sum_{i=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{i}^{-N} \int_{\tilde{Q}_{r_{i}}\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}\right]\right)^{q} d x d t \\
& =\sum_{i=i_{0}}^{\infty} \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} r_{i}^{-N} \int_{S_{j}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}\right]\right)^{q} d x d t \\
& =\sum_{j=k_{0}}^{\infty} \sum_{i=i_{0}}^{j} r_{i}^{-N} \int_{S_{j}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}\right]\right)^{q} d x d t \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{-N} \int_{S_{j}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}\right]\right)^{q} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used the fact that $\sum_{i=i_{0}}^{j} r_{i}^{-N} \leq \frac{4}{3} r_{j}^{-N}$ for all $j$.

Setting $\mu_{k} \equiv 0$ for all $i_{0}-1 \leq k \leq 0$, the previous inequality becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{0} & \lesssim \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{-N} \int_{S_{j}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\mu_{j}+\sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-1} \mu_{k}+\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}\right]\right)^{q} d x d t \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{-N} \int_{S_{j}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\mu_{j}\right]\right)^{q} d x d t \\
& +\sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2}\left(\sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-1}\left\|\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\mu_{k}\right]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S_{j}\right)}\right)^{q} \\
& +\sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2}\left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty}\left\|\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\mu_{k}\right]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S_{j}\right)}\right)^{q} \\
& =A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3} . \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.11) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(M_{k}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, using (4.10) we have for any $(x, t) \in S_{j}$ if $k \geq j+1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\mu_{k}\right](x, t)=\int_{r_{j+1}}^{2 R_{0}} \frac{\mu_{k}\left(\tilde{Q}_{\rho}(x, t)\right)}{\rho^{N}} \frac{d \rho}{\rho} \lesssim \frac{\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}{r_{j}^{N}} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $k \leq j-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\mu_{k}\right](x, t)=\int_{r_{k+1}}^{2 R_{0}} \frac{\mu_{k}\left(\tilde{Q}_{\rho}(x, t)\right)}{\rho^{N}} \frac{d \rho}{\rho} \lesssim \frac{\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
A_{2} \lesssim \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2}\left(\sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}\right)^{q}
$$

and

$$
A_{3} \lesssim \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2-N q}\left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)\right)^{q}
$$

Noticing that $(a+b)^{q}-a^{q} \leq q(a+b)^{q-1} b$ for any $a, b \geq 0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-4^{-2}\right) & \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2}\left(\sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}\right)^{q} \\
& =\sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2}\left(\sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}\right)^{q}-\sum_{j=i_{0}+1}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2}\left(\sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-2} \frac{\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}\right)^{q} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} q r_{j}^{2}\left(\sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}\right)^{q-1} \frac{\mu_{j-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}{r_{j-1}^{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-4^{2-N q}\right) \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2-N q} & \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)\right)^{q} \\
\leq & \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} q r_{j}^{2-N q}\left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)\right)^{q-1} \mu_{j+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{2}+A_{3} \lesssim & \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2}\left(\sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}\right)^{q-1} \frac{\mu_{j-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}{r_{j-1}^{N}} \\
& +\sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2-N q}\left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)\right)^{q-1} \mu_{j+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right) \lesssim r_{k}^{N+2-2 q^{\prime}}$ if $q>q_{*}$ and $\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right) \lesssim \min \left\{k^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}, 1\right\}$ if $q=q_{*}$ for any $k$, we infer that

$$
r_{j}^{2}\left(\sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}\right)^{q-1} \lesssim 1
$$

and

$$
r_{j}^{2-N q}\left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)\right)^{q-1} \lesssim r_{j+1}^{-N} \quad \text { for any } j
$$

In the case $q=q_{*}$ we assume $N \geq 3$ in order ro to ensure that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right) \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}<\infty
$$

This leads to

$$
A_{2}+A_{3} \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}
$$

Combining this with (4.19) and (4.18), we deduce

$$
A_{0} \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(M_{k}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}
$$

Consequently, we obtain (4.15) from (4.17), for $\varepsilon$ small enough.
Proof of assertion (4.16). From (4.12) we get

$$
B \gtrsim \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{1}^{N}\left(M_{k}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}-B_{0}
$$

where

$$
B_{0}=\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\exp \left(C_{1} \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_{k}\right]\right)-1\right]\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right) .
$$

We show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{0} \leq c\left(N, q, R_{0}\right) \quad \text { for } \varepsilon \text { small enough. } \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, as above we have

$$
B_{0} \lesssim \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{-N} \int_{S_{j}} \exp \left(C_{1} \varepsilon \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_{k}\right]\right) d x d t
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{0} & \lesssim \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{-N} \int_{S_{j}} \exp \left(3 C_{1} \varepsilon \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\nu_{j}\right]\right) d x d t \\
& +\sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2} \exp \left(3 C_{1} \varepsilon \sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-1}\left\|\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\nu_{k}\right]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S_{j}\right)}\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2} \exp \left(3 C_{1} \varepsilon \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty}\left\|\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\nu_{k}\right]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S_{j}\right)}\right) \\
& =B_{1}+B_{2}+B_{3} . \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have used the inequality $\exp (a+b+c) \leq \exp (3 a)+\exp (3 b)+\exp (3 c)$ for all $a, b, c$. By Theorem 2.3, we have

$$
\int_{S_{j}} \exp \left(3 C_{1} \varepsilon \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\nu_{j}\right]\right) d x d t \lesssim r_{j}^{N+2} \text { for all } j
$$

for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1} \lesssim \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2} \lesssim\left(\max \left\{2 R_{0}, 1\right\}\right)^{2} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that estimates (4.20) and (4.21) are also true with $\nu_{k}$; we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{2}+B_{3} \lesssim \\
& \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2} \exp \left(c_{2} \varepsilon \sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}\right) \\
&+\sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2} \exp \left(c_{2} \varepsilon \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}{r_{j}^{N}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (4.12) we have $\mu_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right) \lesssim r_{k}^{N}$ for all $k$, therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{2}+B_{3} & \lesssim \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2} \exp \left(c_{3} \varepsilon\left(j-i_{0}\right)\right)+\sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2} \exp \left(c_{3} \varepsilon\right) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} \exp \left(c_{3} \varepsilon\left(j-i_{0}\right)-4 \log (2) j\right)+r_{i_{0}}^{2} \\
& \leq c_{4}\left(N, q, R_{0}\right) \text { for } \varepsilon \text { small enough. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (4.24) and (4.23) we obtain (4.22).
This implies straightforwardly $\exp \left(C_{1} \varepsilon \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2 R_{0}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_{k}\right]\right) \in L^{1}\left(\tilde{Q}_{R_{0}}(0,0)\right)$.
We conclude that for any $\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right) \in\left(B_{\delta}(0) \times\left(-\delta^{2}, \delta^{2}\right)\right) \cap O$,

$$
u_{1}\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right) \gtrsim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1 q^{\prime}}\left(M_{k}\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right)\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}
$$

and

$$
u_{2}\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right) \gtrsim-c_{1}\left(R_{0}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{1}^{N}\left(M_{k}\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right)\right)}{r_{k}^{N}}
$$

where $r_{k}=4^{-k}$ and

$$
M_{k}\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right)=O^{c} \cap\left(\overline{B_{r_{k+2}}\left(y_{0}\right)} \times\left[s_{0}-\left(73+\frac{1}{2}\right) r_{k+2}^{2}, s_{0}-\left(70+\frac{1}{2}\right) r_{k+2}^{2}\right]\right)
$$

Take $r_{k_{\delta}+4} \leq \delta<r_{k_{\delta}+3}$, we have for $1 \leq k \leq k_{\delta}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{k}\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right) & \supset O^{c} \cap\left(B_{r_{k+2}-\delta}(0) \times\left(\delta^{2}-\left(73+\frac{1}{2}\right) r_{k+2}^{2},-\delta^{2}-\left(70+\frac{1}{2}\right) r_{k+2}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \supset O^{c} \cap\left(B_{r_{k+3}}(0) \times\left(-73 r_{k+2}^{2},-71 r_{k+2}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =O^{c} \cap\left(B_{r_{k+3}}(0) \times\left(-1168 r_{k+3}^{2},-1136 r_{k+3}^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \inf _{\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right) \in\left(B_{\delta}(0) \times\left(-\delta^{2}, \delta^{2}\right)\right) \cap O}^{u_{1}\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right)} \\
& \quad \gtrsim \sum_{k=4}^{k_{\delta}+3} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, q^{\prime}}\left(O^{c} \cap\left(B_{r_{k}}(0) \times\left(-1168 r_{k}^{2},-1136 r_{k}^{2}\right)\right)\right)}{r_{k}^{N}} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } \delta \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \inf _{\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right) \in\left(B_{\delta}(0) \times\left(-\delta^{2}, \delta^{2}\right)\right) \cap O} u_{2}\left(y_{0}, s_{0}\right) \gtrsim-c_{1}\left(R_{0}\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=4}^{k_{\delta}+3} \frac{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{1}^{N}\left(O^{c} \cap\left(B_{r_{k}}(0) \times\left(-1168 r_{k}^{2},-1136 r_{k}^{2}\right)\right)\right)}{r_{k}^{N}} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } \delta \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1-(i) and Theorem 1.2.

### 4.3 The viscous Hamilton-Jacobi parabolic equations

In this section we apply our previous result to the question of existence of a large solution of the following type of parabolic viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+a|\nabla u|^{p}+b u^{q} & =0 & & \text { in } O, \\
u & =\infty & & \text { on } \partial_{p} O, \tag{4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a>0, b>0$ and $1<p \leq 2, q \geq 1$. First, we show that such a large solution to (4.25) does not exist when $q=1$. Equivalently namely, for $a>0, b>0$ and $p>1$ there exists no function $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+a|\nabla u|^{p} & \geq-b u & & \text { in } O,  \tag{4.26}\\
u & =\infty & & \text { on } \partial_{p} O .
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, assuming that such a function $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$, exists, we define

$$
U(x, t)=u(x, t) e^{b t}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}|x|^{2}
$$

for $\varepsilon>0$ and denote by $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in O \backslash \partial_{p} O$ the point where $U$ achieves it minimum in $O$, i.e. $U\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\inf \{U(x, t):(x, t) \in O\}$. Clearly, we have

$$
\partial_{t} U\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq 0, \quad \Delta U\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \nabla U\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0 .
$$

Thus,
$\partial_{t} u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq-b u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right), \quad-\Delta u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq-\varepsilon N e^{-b t_{0}} \quad$ and $a\left|\nabla u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right|^{p}=a \varepsilon^{p}\left|x_{0}\right|^{p} e^{-p b t_{0}}$, from which follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)-\Delta u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)+a\left|\nabla u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right|^{p} & \leq-b u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)+\varepsilon e^{-b t_{0}}\left(-N+a \varepsilon^{p-1}\left|x_{0}\right|^{p} e^{-(p-1) b t_{0}}\right) \\
& <-b u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\varepsilon$ small enough, which is a contradiction.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Remark 3.3, we have

$$
\inf \{v(x, t) ;(x, t) \in O\} \geq\left(q_{1}-1\right)^{-\frac{1}{q_{1}-1}} R^{-\frac{2}{q_{1}-1}}
$$

Take $V=\lambda v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \in C^{2,1}(O)$ for $\lambda>0$. Thus $v=\lambda^{-\alpha} V^{\alpha}$,

$$
\inf \{V(x, t) ;(x, t) \in O\}>0\} \geq \lambda\left(q_{1}-1\right)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)}} R^{-\frac{2}{\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)}}
$$

and
$\partial_{t} v-\Delta v+v^{q_{1}}=\alpha \lambda^{-\alpha} V^{\alpha-1} \partial_{t} V-\alpha \lambda^{-\alpha} V^{\alpha-1} \Delta V+\alpha(1-\alpha) \lambda^{-\alpha} V^{\alpha-1} \frac{|\nabla V|^{2}}{V}+\lambda^{-\alpha q_{1}} V^{\alpha q_{1}}$.
This leads to

$$
\partial_{t} V-\Delta V+(1-\alpha) \frac{|\nabla V|^{2}}{V}+\alpha^{-1} \lambda^{-\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)} V^{\alpha q_{1}-\alpha+1}=0 \quad \text { in } O
$$

Using Hölder's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-\alpha) \frac{|\nabla V|^{2}}{V}+(2 \alpha)^{-1} \lambda^{-\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)} V^{\alpha q_{1}-\alpha+1} & \geq c_{1}|\nabla V|^{p} \lambda^{-\frac{\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)(2-p)}{2}} V^{\frac{\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)(2-p)}{2}-(p-1)} \\
& \geq c_{2}|\nabla V|^{p} \lambda^{-(p-1)} R^{-2+p+\frac{2(p-1)}{\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
(2 \alpha)^{-1} \lambda^{-\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)} V^{\alpha q_{1}-\alpha+1} \geq c_{3} \lambda^{-(q-1)} R^{-2+\frac{2(q-1)}{\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)}} V^{q}
$$

If we choose

$$
\lambda=\min \left\{c_{2}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, c_{3}^{\frac{1}{q-1}}\right\} \min \left\{a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} R^{-\frac{2-p}{p-1}+\frac{2}{\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)}}, b^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}+\frac{2}{\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)}}\right\}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{2} \lambda^{-(p-1)} R^{-2+p+\frac{2(p-1)}{\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)}} \geq a \\
& c_{3} \lambda^{-(q-1)} R^{-2+\frac{2(q-1)}{\alpha\left(q_{1}-1\right)}} \geq b,
\end{aligned}
$$

from what follows

$$
\partial_{t} V-\Delta V+a|\nabla V|^{p}+b V^{q} \leq 0 \quad \text { in } O
$$

By Remark 3.5, there exists a maximal solution $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ of

$$
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+a|\nabla u|^{p}+b u^{q}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad O .
$$

Therefore, $u \geq V=\lambda v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ and $u$ is a large solution of (4.25). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 5 Appendix

## Proof of Proposition 2.5.

Step 1. We claim that the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{1}[\mu](x, t)\right)^{(N+2) / N} d x d t \asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{r}(x, t)\right)\right)^{2 / N} \frac{d r}{r} d \mu(x, t) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we have for $\rho_{j}=2^{-j}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j}}(x, t)\right)\right)^{2 / N} d \mu(x, t) & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{r}(x, t)\right)\right)^{2 / N} \frac{d r}{r} d \mu(x, t) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j}}(x, t)\right)\right)^{2 / N} d \mu(x, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{j}^{-N-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j+1}}(x, t)\right)\right)^{(N+2) / N} d x d t & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j}}(x, t)\right)\right)^{2 / N} d \mu(x, t) \\
& \lesssim \rho_{j}^{-N-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j-1}}(x, t)\right)\right)^{(N+2) / N} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \rho_{j}^{-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j}}(x, t)\right)\right)^{(N+2) / N} d x d t & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{r}(x, t)\right)\right)^{2 / N} \frac{d r}{r} d \mu(x, t) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \rho_{j}^{-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j}}(x, t)\right)\right)^{(N+2) / N} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}^{1 / 4}[\mu](x, t)\right)^{(N+2) / N} d x d t & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{r}(x, t)\right)\right)^{2 / N} \frac{d r}{r} d \mu(x, t) \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{4}[\mu](x, t)\right)^{(N+2) / N} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

By [20, Theorem 4.2],

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}^{1 / 4}[\mu](x, t)\right)^{(N+2) / N} d x d t \asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{4}[\mu](x, t)\right)^{(N+2) / N} d x d t
$$

thus we obtain (5.1).
Step 2. End of the proof. The first inequality in (2.1) is proved in [20]. We now prove the second inequality. By Theorem 2.4 there is $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right), \operatorname{supp}(\mu) \subset K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbb{M}_{2}^{2}[\mu]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)} \leq 1 \quad \text { and } \mu(K) \asymp \mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{2}^{N}(K) \gtrsim|K|^{N /(N+2)} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to (5.1), we have for $\delta=\min \left\{1,(\mu(K))^{1 / N}\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathbb{I}_{2}^{1}[\mu]\right\|_{L^{(N+2) / N\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}}^{(N+2) / N} & \asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{r}(x, t)\right)\right)^{2 / N} \frac{d r}{r} d \mu(x, t) \\
& \asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\int_{0}^{\delta}+\int_{\delta}^{1}\right)\left(\mu\left(\tilde{Q}_{r}(x, t)\right)\right)^{2 / N} \frac{d r}{r} d \mu(x, t) \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{\delta} r^{2} \frac{d r}{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} d \mu(x, t)+\int_{\delta}^{1} \frac{d r}{r}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} d \mu(x, t)\right)^{(N+2) / N} \\
& \lesssim(\mu(K))^{(N+2) / N}\left(1+\log _{+}\left((\mu(K))^{-1}\right)\right) \\
& \lesssim(\mu(K))^{(N+2) / N} \log \left(\frac{\left|\tilde{Q}_{200}(0,0)\right|}{|K|}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\tilde{\mu}=\left(\log \left(\frac{\left|\tilde{Q}_{200}(0,0)\right|}{|K|}\right)\right)^{-N /(N+2)} \mu / \mu(K)$, then $\left\|\mathbb{I}_{2}^{1}[\tilde{\mu}]\right\|_{L^{(N+2) / N\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}} \lesssim 1$.
It is well known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, \frac{N+2}{2}}(K) \asymp \sup \left\{(\omega(K))^{(N+2) / 2}: \omega \in \mathfrak{M}^{+}(K),\left\|\mathbb{I}_{2}^{1}[\omega]\right\|_{L^{(N+2) / N\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}} \lesssim 1\right\} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [20, Section 4]. This gives the second inequality in (2.1).
It is easy to prove (2.2) from its definition. Moreover, (5.3) implies that

$$
\frac{1}{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, \frac{N+2}{2}}(K)^{2 / N}} \asymp \inf \left\{\left\|\mathbb{I}_{2}^{1}[\omega]\right\|_{L^{(N+2) / N\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right)}}^{(N+2) / N}: \omega \in \mathfrak{M}^{+}(K), \omega(K)=1\right\}
$$

We deduce from (5.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, \frac{N+2}{2}}(K)^{2 / N}} \asymp \inf \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\omega\left(\tilde{Q}_{r}(x, t)\right)\right)^{2 / N} \frac{d r}{r} d \mu(x, t): \omega \in \mathfrak{M}^{+}(K), \omega(K)=1\right\} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in [12, proof of Lemma 2.2], it is easy to derive (2.3) from (5.4).
Proof of Proposition 2.6. Thanks to the Poincaré inequality, it is enough to show that there exists $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\tilde{Q}_{3 / 2}(0,0)\right)$ such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, with $\varphi=1$ in an open neighborhood of $K$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\left|D^{2} \varphi\right|^{p}+\left|\partial_{t} \varphi\right|^{p}\right) d x d t \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1, p}(K) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition, one can find $0 \leq \phi \in S\left(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\right), \phi \geq 1$ in a neighborhood of $K$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\left|D^{2} \phi\right|^{p}+|\nabla \phi|^{p}+|\phi|^{p}+\left|\partial_{t} \phi\right|^{p}\right) d x d t \leq 2 \mathrm{Cap}_{2,1, p}(K) .
$$

Let $\eta$ be a cut off function on $\tilde{Q}_{1}(0,0)$ with respect to $\tilde{Q}_{3 / 2}(0,0)$ and $H \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq H(t) \leq t^{+},|t|\left|H^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \lesssim 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, H(t)=0$ for $t \leq 1 / 4$ and $H(t)=1$ for $t \geq 3 / 4$.

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\left|D^{2} \varphi\right|^{p}+\left|\partial_{t} \varphi\right|^{p}\right) d x d t \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\left|D^{2} \phi\right|^{p}+|\nabla \phi|^{p}+|\phi|^{p}+\left|\partial_{t} \phi\right|^{p}\right) d x d t \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi=\eta H(\phi)$. Indeed, we have

$$
\left|D^{2} \varphi\right| \lesssim\left|D^{2} \eta\right| H(\phi)+|\nabla \eta|\left|H^{\prime}(\phi)\right||\nabla \phi|+\eta\left|H^{\prime \prime}(\phi)\right||\nabla \phi|^{2}+\eta\left|H^{\prime}(\phi)\right|\left|D^{2} \phi\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|\partial_{t} \varphi\right| \lesssim\left|\partial_{t} \eta\right| H(\phi)+\eta\left|H^{\prime}(\phi)\right|\left|\phi_{t}\right|, \quad H(\phi) \leq \phi, \quad \phi\left|H^{\prime \prime}(\phi)\right| \lesssim 1
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\left|D^{2} \varphi\right|^{p}+\left|\partial_{t} \varphi\right|^{p}\right) d x d t \lesssim & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\left(\left|D^{2} \phi\right|^{p}+|\nabla \phi|^{p}+|\phi|^{p}+\left|\partial_{t} \phi\right|^{p}\right) d x d t \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2 p}}{\phi^{p}} d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies (5.6) since, according to [1], one has

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|\nabla \phi(t)|^{2 p}}{\phi(t)^{p}} d x \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|D^{2} \phi(t)\right|^{p} d x \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}
$$
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