

Wiener criteria for existence of large solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations with absorption in a non-cylindrical domain

Quoc-Hung Nguyen, Laurent Veron

▶ To cite this version:

Quoc-Hung Nguyen, Laurent Veron. Wiener criteria for existence of large solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations with absorption in a non-cylindrical domain. 2014. hal-01006258v1

HAL Id: hal-01006258 https://hal.science/hal-01006258v1

Preprint submitted on 14 Jun 2014 (v1), last revised 26 Nov 2015 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Wiener criteria for existence of large solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations with absorption in a non-cylindrical domain.

Quoc-Hung Nguyen * Laurent Véron[†]

Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique, Université François Rabelais, Tours, FRANCE

Abstract

We obtain a necessary and a sufficient condition expressed in terms of Wiener type tests involving the parabolic $W_{q'}^{2,1}$ - capacity, where $q'=\frac{q}{q-1}$, for the existence of large solutions to equation $\partial_t u - \Delta u + u^q = 0$ in non-cylindrical domain, where q>1. Also, we provide a sufficient condition associated with equation $\partial_t u - \Delta u + e^u - 1 = 0$. Besides, we apply our results to equation: $\partial_t u - \Delta u + a |\nabla u|^p + b u^q = 0$ for a,b>0, 1 and <math>q>1.

Keywords. Bessel capacities; Hausdorff capacities; parabolic boundary; Riesz potential; maximal solutions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K58, 28A12, 46E35.

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study large solutions to nonlinear parabolic equations in an arbitrary bounded open set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, $N \geq 2$. These are solutions $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ of equations

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + |u|^{q-1} u = 0 \quad \text{in } O,$$

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \inf_{O \cap Q_\delta(x,t)} u = \infty \quad \text{for all } (x,t) \in \partial_p O,$$
(1.1)

and

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + sign(u)(e^{|u|} - 1) = 0 \quad \text{in } O,$$

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \inf_{O \cap Q_\delta(x,t)} u = \infty \quad \text{for all } (x,t) \in \partial_p O,$$
(1.2)

where q > 1 and $\partial_p O$ is the parabolic boundary of O, i.e, the set all points $X = (x, t) \in \partial O$ such that the intersection of the cylinder $Q_{\delta}(x,t) := B_{\delta}(x) \times (t - \delta^2, t)$ with O^c is not empty for any $\delta > 0$. By the maximal principle for parabolic equations we can assume that all solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) are positive. Hence we can consider only positive solutions of preceding equations.

In [14], we studied the existence and the uniqueness of solution of general equations in a cylindrical domain,

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + f(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), u = \infty \quad \text{in } \partial_p (\Omega \times (0, \infty)),$$
 (1.3)

^{*}E-mail address: Hung.Nguyen-Quoc@lmpt.univ-tours.fr

 $^{^{\}dagger}\text{E-mail}$ address: Laurent. Veron@lmpt.univ-tours.fr

where Ω is a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N and f is a continuous real-valued function, nondecreasing on \mathbb{R} such that $f(0) \geq 0$ and f(a) > 0 for some a > 0. In order to obtain the existence of a maximal solution of $\partial_t u - \Delta u + f(u) = 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ we need to assume that

(i)
$$\int_{a}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau) d\tau \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds < \infty$$
(ii)
$$\int_{a}^{\infty} (f(s))^{-1} ds < \infty.$$
(1.4)

Note that, condition (i) due to Keller-Osserman condition, is also a necessary and sufficient for the existence of a maximal solution to

$$-\Delta u + f(u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \tag{1.5}$$

Condition (ii) is a necessary and sufficient for the existence of a solution of the ODE

$$\varphi' + f(\varphi) = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \infty). \tag{1.6}$$

This solution tends to ∞ at 0. In [14], it is shown that if for any $m \in \mathbb{R}$ there exist L = L(m) > 0 such that

for any
$$x, y \ge m \Rightarrow f(x+y) \ge f(x) + f(y) - L$$
,

and if (1.5) has a large solution, then (1.3) admits a solution.

It is not alway true that the maximal solution to (1.5) is a large solution. However, if f satisfies

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} s^{-2(N-1)/(N-2)} f(s) ds < \infty \quad \text{if } N \ge 3$$

or

$$\inf \left\{ a \ge 0 \int_0^\infty f(s)e^{-as}ds < \infty \right\} \text{ if } N = 2.$$

then (1.5) has a large solution for any bounded domain Ω .

When $f(u) = u^q$, q > 1 and $N \ge 3$, the first above condition is satisfied if and only if $q < q_c := \frac{N}{N-2}$, this is called the sub-critical case. When $q \ge q_c$, a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of large solution of (1.5) expressed in term of Wiener test, is

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,q'}(\Omega^c \cap B_r(x))}{r^{N-2}} \frac{dr}{r} = \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \partial\Omega.$$
 (1.7)

In the case q=2 it is obtained by probabilistic methods by Dhersin and Le Gall [4] and in the general case by Labutin [6]. Here, $q'=\frac{q}{q-1}$ and $\operatorname{Cap}_{2,q'}$ is the capacity associated to the Sobolev space $W^{2,q'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

In [10] we obtain sufficient conditions when $f(u) = e^u - 1$, involving the Hausdorff \mathcal{H}_1^{N-2} —capacity in \mathbb{R}^N , namely,

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\mathcal{H}_1^{N-2}(\Omega^c \cap B_r(x))}{r^{N-2}} \frac{dr}{r} = \infty \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial\Omega.$$
 (1.8)

In this article we give a necessary and a sufficient condition for the existence of solutions to (1.1) in a bounded non-cylindrical domain $O \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, expressed in terms of a Wiener test based upon the parabolic $W_{q'}^{2,1}$ -capacity in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} . We also give a sufficient condition

associated (1.2) where the parabolic $W_{q'}^{2,1}$ -capacity is replaced the parabolic Hausdorff \mathcal{PH}_{ρ}^{N} -capacity. These capacities are defined as follows: if $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ is compact set, we set

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K) = \inf\{||\varphi||_{W^{2,1}_{\sigma'}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}^{q'}: \varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}), \varphi \geq 1 \text{ in a neighborhood of } K\},$$

where

$$||\varphi||_{W^{2,1}_{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} = ||\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}||_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} + ||\nabla \varphi||_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} + \sum_{i,j=1,2,\dots,N} ||\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}||_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}.$$

and for Suslin set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$.

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(E) = \sup \{ \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(D) : D \subset E, D \text{ compact} \}.$$

Thanks to a result due to Richard and Bagby, [2], the capacities $\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,p}$ and $\operatorname{Cap}_{\mathcal{G}_2,p}$ are equivalent in the sense that, for any Suslin set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, there holds

$$C^{-1}\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,g'}(K) \le \operatorname{Cap}_{\mathcal{G}_2,g'}(K) \le C\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,p}(K)$$

for some C = C(N, q), where $\operatorname{Cap}_{\mathcal{G}_2, q'}$ is the parabolic Bessel \mathcal{G}_2 -capacity, see [11]. For $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, we define $\mathcal{PH}_q^N(E)$ by

$$\mathcal{PH}_{\rho}^{N}(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j} r_{j}^{N} : E \subset \bigcup B_{r_{j}}(x_{j}) \times (t_{j} - r_{j}^{2}, t_{j} + r_{j}^{2}), \ r_{j} \leq \rho \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that, for $0 < \sigma \le \rho$ and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, there holds

$$\mathcal{PH}_{\rho}^{N}(E) \leq \mathcal{PH}_{\sigma}^{N}(E) \leq C(N) \left(\frac{\rho}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \mathcal{PH}_{\rho}^{N}(E).$$
 (1.9)

Now we are ready to state the main two results of this paper.

Theorem 1.1 Let $N \geq 2$ and $q \geq q_* := \frac{N+2}{N}$. Then

(i) The equation

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + u^q = 0 \ in \ O \tag{1.10}$$

admits a large solution if for any $(x,t) \in \partial_p O$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{Cap_{2,1,q'}\left(O^c \cap \left(B_{r_k}(x) \times \left(t - 1168r_k^2, t - 1136r_k^2\right)\right)\right)}{r_k^N} = \infty,\tag{1.11}$$

where $r_k = 4^{-k}$, and $N \ge 3$ when $q = q_*$.

(ii) If equation (1.10) is a large solution, then

$$\int_0^1 \frac{Cap_{2,1,q'}(O^c \cap Q_\rho(x,t))}{\rho^N} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} = \infty$$
 (1.12)

for any $(x,t) \in \partial_p O$, where $Q_\rho(x,t) = B_\rho(x) \times (t-\rho^2,t)$.

Theorem 1.2 Let $N \geq 2$. The equation

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + e^u - 1 = 0 \text{ in } O \tag{1.13}$$

admits a large solution if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{PH}_{1}^{N}\left(O^{c} \cap \left(B_{r_{k}}(x) \times \left(t - 1168r_{k}^{2}, t - 1136r_{k}^{2}\right)\right)\right)}{r_{k}^{N}} = \infty, \tag{1.14}$$

for any $(x,t) \in \partial_p O$, with $r_k = 4^{-k}$.

From properties of the $W_{q'}^{2,1}$ -capacity and the \mathcal{PH}_1^N -capacity, relation (1.11) holds if $q > q_*$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k^{-N} \left| O^c \cap \left(B_{r_k}(x) \times \left(t - 1168 r_k^2, t - 1136 r_k^2 \right) \right) \right|^{1 - \frac{2q'}{N+2}} = \infty.$$

Similarly, (1.14) is true if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k^{-N} \left| O^c \cap \left(B_{r_k}(x) \times \left(t - 1168 r_k^2, t - 1136 r_k^2 \right) \right) \right|^{\frac{N}{N+2}} = \infty.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we derive a sufficient condition for the existence of large solution of some viscous Hamilton-Jacobi parabolic equations.

Theorem 1.3 Let $q_1 > 1$. If there exists a large solution $v \in C^{2,1}(O)$ of

$$\partial_t v - \Delta v + v^{q_1} = 0$$
 in O .

Then, for any a, b > 0, $1 < q < q_1$ and 1 , problem

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + a |\nabla u|^p + b u^q = 0 \qquad \text{in } O, u = \infty \qquad \text{on } \partial_p O,$$
 (1.15)

admits a solution $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ which satisfies

$$u(x,t) \geq C \min \left\{ a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} R^{-\frac{2-p}{p-1} + \frac{2}{\alpha(q_1-1)}}, b^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} R^{-\frac{2}{q-1} + \frac{2}{\alpha(q_1-1)}} \right\} (v(x,t))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

for all $(x,t) \in O$ where R > 0 is such that $O \subset \tilde{Q}_R(x_0,t_0)$, $C = C(N,p,q,q_1) > 0$ and $\alpha = \max\left\{\frac{2(p-1)}{(q_1-1)(2-p)}, \frac{q-1}{q_1-1}\right\} \in (0,1)$.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we denote $Q_{\rho}(x,t) = B_{\rho}(x) \times (t-\rho^2,t]$ and $\tilde{Q}_{\rho}(x,t) = B_{\rho}(x) \times (t-\rho^2,t+\rho^2)$ for $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, $\rho > 0$ and $r_k = 4^{-k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also denote $A \lesssim (\gtrsim) B$ if $A \leq (\ge) CB$ for some C depending on some structural constants, $A \times B$ if $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$.

Definition 2.1 Let $R \in (0, \infty]$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$, the set of positive Radon measures in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} . We define R-truncated Riesz parabolic potential \mathbb{I}_2 of μ by

$$\mathbb{I}_2^R[\mu](x,t) = \int_0^R \frac{\mu(\tilde{Q}_\rho(x,t))}{\rho^N} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \quad \text{for all } (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1},$$

and the R-truncated fractional maximal parabolic potential of μ by

$$\mathbb{M}_{\alpha}^{R}[\mu](x,t) = \sup_{0 < \rho < R} \frac{\mu(\tilde{Q}_{\rho}(x,t))}{\rho^{N+2-\alpha}} \quad \text{for all} \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}.$$

We recall two results in [11].

Theorem 2.2 Let R > 0, K be a compact set in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} . There exists $\mu := \mu_K \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ with compact support in K such that

$$\mu(K) \simeq \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K) \simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left(\mathbb{I}_2^{2R}[\mu] \right)^q dx dt$$

where the constants of equivalence depend on N and R. The measure μ_K is called the capacitary measure of K

Theorem 2.3 Let $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ and R > 0. There exist positive constants C_1, C_2 such that

for all $Q = \tilde{Q}_r(y,s) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, r > 0 such that $||\mathbb{M}_2^R[\mu]||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} dxdt \leq 1$.

It is easy to see that Frostman's Lemma in [13, Th. 3.4.27], which is at the basis of the dual definition of Hausdorff capacities with doubling weight, is valid for the parabolic Hausdorff \mathcal{PH}_{ρ}^{N} -capacity version. Therefore there holds

Theorem 2.4 There holds

$$\sup \left\{ \mu(K) : \mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}), \operatorname{supp}(\mu) \subset K, ||\mathbb{M}_2^{\rho}[\mu]||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \leq 1 \right\} \times \mathcal{PH}_{\rho}^N(K)$$

for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, where equivalent constant depends on N

For our purpose, we need the some results about the behavior of the capacity with respect to dilations.

Proposition 2.5 Let K be a compact set, $K \subset \tilde{Q}_{100}(0,0)$ and 1 . Then

$$Cap_{2,1,p}(K) \gtrsim |K|^{1-\frac{2p}{N+2}}, Cap_{2,1,\frac{N+2}{2}}(K) \gtrsim \left(\log\left(\frac{|\tilde{Q}_{200}(0,0)|}{|K|}\right)\right)^{-\frac{N}{2}},$$
 (2.1)

and

$$Cap_{2,1,p}(K_{\rho}) \simeq \rho^{N+2-2p} Cap_{2,1,p}(K),$$
 (2.2)

$$Cap_{2,1,p}(K_{\rho}) \simeq \rho^{N+2-2p} Cap_{2,1,p}(K),$$

$$\frac{1}{Cap_{2,1,\frac{N+2}{2}}(K_{\rho})} \simeq \frac{1}{Cap_{2,1,\frac{N+2}{2}}(K)} + (\log(2/\rho))^{N/2}$$
(2.2)

for any $0 < \rho < 1$, where $K_{\rho} = \{(\rho x, \rho^2 t) : (x, t) \in K\}$.

Proposition 2.6 Let $K \subset \overline{\tilde{Q}_1(0,0)}$ be a compact set and $1 . Then, there exists a function <math>\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\tilde{Q}_2(0,0))$, $0 \le \varphi \le 1$ and $\varphi|_D = 1$ for some open set $D \supset K$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}^{N+1}} \left(|D^2 \varphi|^p + |\nabla \varphi|^p + |\varphi|^p + |\partial_t \varphi|^p \right) dx dt \lesssim Cap_{2,1,p}(K). \tag{2.4}$$

We will give proofs of the above two propositions in the Appendix. It is well know that there exists a semigroup $e^{t\Delta}$ corresponding to equation

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = \mu \qquad \text{in } \tilde{Q}_R(0,0),$$

$$u = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial_p \tilde{Q}_R(0,0)$$
(2.5)

with $\mu \in C^{\infty}(B_R(0) \times (0, R^2))$, i.e, we can write a solution u of (2.5) as follows

$$u(x,t) = \int_0^t \left(e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mu \right)(x,s) ds \quad \text{for all} \quad (x,t) \in \tilde{Q}_R(0,0).$$

We denote by \mathbb{H} the heat kernel:

$$\mathbb{H}(x,t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \chi_{t>0}.$$

We have

$$|u(x,t)| \le (\mathbb{H} * \mu)(x,t)$$
 for all $(x,t) \in \tilde{Q}_R(0,0)$.

In [11] we show that

$$|(\mathbb{H} * \mu)|(x,t) \le C_1(N)\mathbb{I}_2^{2R}[|\mu|](x,t)$$
 for all $(x,t) \in \tilde{Q}_R(0,0)$.

Here μ is extended by 0 in $(\tilde{Q}_R(0,0))^c$. Thus,

$$\left| \int_{0}^{t} \left(e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mu \right)(x,s) ds \right| \le C_{1}(N) \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2R}[|\mu|](x,t) \quad \text{for all} \quad (x,t) \in \tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0). \tag{2.6}$$

Moreover, we also prove in [11], that if $\mu \geq 0$ then for $(x,t) \in \tilde{Q}_R(0,0)$ and $B_{\rho}(x) \subset B_R(0)$,

$$\int_{0}^{t} \left(e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mu \right)(x,s) ds \ge C_{2}(N) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(Q_{\frac{\rho_{k}}{8}}(x,t-\frac{35}{128}\rho_{k}^{2}))}{\rho_{k}^{N}}, \tag{2.7}$$

with $\rho_k = 4^{-k}\rho$.

It is easy to see that estimates (2.6) and (2.7) also holds for any bounded Radon measure μ in $\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)$. The following result is proved in [3] and [8], also see [11].

Theorem 2.7 Let q > 1, R > 0 and μ be bounded Radon measure in $\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)$.

(i) If μ is absolutely continuous with respect to $Cap_{2,1,q'}$ in $\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)$, then there exists a unique weak solution u to equations

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + |u|^{q-1} u = \mu \qquad in \quad \tilde{Q}_R(0,0),$$

$$u = 0 \qquad on \quad \partial_p \tilde{Q}_R(0,0).$$

(ii) If $\exp\left(C_1(N)\mathbb{I}_2^{2R}[|\mu|]\right) \in L^1(\tilde{Q}_R(0,0))$ then there exists a unique weak solution v to equations

$$\begin{split} \partial_t v - \Delta v + sign(v)(e^{|v|} - 1) &= \mu & in & \tilde{Q}_R(0,0), \\ v &= 0 & on & \partial_p \tilde{Q}_R(0,0). \end{split}$$

where the constant $C_1(N)$ is the one of inequality (2.6).

From estimates (2.6) and (2.7) and using comparison principle we get the estimates from below of the solutions u and v obtained in Theorem 2.7.

Proposition 2.8 If $\mu \geq 0$ then the functions u and v of the previous theorem are nonnegative and satisfy

$$u(x,t) \ge C_2(N) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(Q_{\frac{\rho_k}{8}}(x,t - \frac{35}{128}\rho_k^2))}{\rho_k^N} - C_1(N)^{q+1} \mathbb{I}_2^{2R} \left[\left(\mathbb{I}_2^{2R}[\mu] \right)^q \right] (x,t)$$
 (2.8)

and

$$v(x,t) \ge C_2(N) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(Q_{\frac{\rho_k}{8}}(x,t-\frac{35}{128}\rho_k^2))}{\rho_k^N} - C_1(N) \mathbb{I}_2^{2R} \left[\exp\left(C_1(N)\mathbb{I}_2^{2R}[\mu]\right) - 1 \right] (x,t). \tag{2.9}$$

for any $(x,t) \in \tilde{Q}_R(0,0)$ and $B_{\rho}(x) \subset B_R(0)$ and $\rho_k = 4^{-k}\rho$.

3 Maximal solutions

In this section we assume that O is a arbitrary, non-cylindrical and bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} and q>1. We will prove the existence of a maximal solution of

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + u^q = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

in O. We also get analogous result where u^q is replace by $e^u - 1$.

It is easy to see that if u satisfies (3.1) in $\tilde{Q}_r(0,0)$ ($\tilde{Q}_r(0,0)$) then $u_a(x,t) = a^{-2/(q-1)}u(ax,a^2t)$ satisfies (3.1) in $\tilde{Q}_{r/a}(0,0)$ ($Q_{r/a}(0,0)$) for any a > 0.

If $X = (x,t) \in O$, the parabolic distance from X to the parabolic boundary $\partial_p O$ of O is defined by

$$d(X, \partial_p O) = \inf_{\substack{(y, s) \in \partial_p O \\ s < t}} \max\{|x - y|, (t - s)^{\frac{1}{2}}\}.$$

It is easy to see that there exists C = C(N,q) > 0 such that the function V defined by

$$V(x,t) = C\left((\rho^2 + t)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} + \left(\frac{\rho^2 - |x|^2}{\rho}\right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}\right) \text{ in } B_{\rho}(0) \times (-\rho^2, 0)$$

satisfies

$$\partial_t V - \Delta V + V^q \ge 0 \quad \text{in} \quad B_\rho(0) \times (-\rho^2, 0)$$
 (3.2)

Proposition 3.1 There exists a maximal solution $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ of (3.1) and it satisfies

$$u(x,t) \le C(d((x,t),\partial_p O))^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \quad \text{for all } (x,t) \in O.$$
(3.3)

for some C = C(N, q)

Proof. Let \mathcal{D}_k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the collection of all the dyadic parabolic cubes (abridged p-cubes) of the form

$$\{(x_1,...,x_N,t): m_j 2^{-k} \le x_j \le (m_j+1)2^{-k}, j=1,...,N, m_{N+1} 4^{-k} \le t \le (m_{N+1}+1)4^{-k}\}$$

where $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The following properties hold,

- **a.** for each integer k, \mathcal{D}_k is a partition of \mathbb{R}^{N+1} and all p-cubes in \mathcal{D}_k have the same sidelengths.
- **b.** if the interiors of two *p*-cubes Q in \mathcal{D}_{k_1} and P in \mathcal{D}_{k_2} , denoted $\overset{\circ}{Q}, \overset{\circ}{P}$, have nonempty intersection then either Q is contained in R or Q contains R.
- **c.** Each Q in \mathcal{D}_k is union of 2^{N+2} p-cubes in \mathcal{D}_{k+1} with disjoint interiors.

Let $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $Q \subset D$ for some $Q \in \mathcal{D}_{k_0}$. Set $O_k = \bigcup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}_k \\ O \subset O}} Q \quad \forall k \geq k_0$, we

have $O_k \subset O_{k+1}$ and $O = \bigcup_{k \geq k_0} O_k = \bigcup_{k \geq k_0} \overset{\circ}{O}_k$. More precisely, there exist real numbers $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{n(k)}$ and open sets $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, ..., \Omega_{n(k)}$ in \mathbb{R}^N such that

$$a_i < a_i + 4^{-k} < a_{i+1} < a_{i+1} + 4^k$$
 for $i = 1, ..., n(k) - 1$

and

$$\overset{\circ}{O}_{k} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n(k)-1} \left(\Omega_{i} \times (a_{i}, a_{i} + 4^{-k}] \right) \bigcup \left(\Omega_{n(k)} \times (a_{n(k)}, a_{n(k)} + 4^{-k}) \right).$$

For $k \geq k_0$, we will show that there exist a solution $u_k \in C^{2,1}(\overset{\circ}{O}_k)$ to problem

$$\partial_t u_k - \Delta u_k + u_k^q = 0$$
 in $\overset{\circ}{O}_k$,
 $u_k(x,t) \to \infty$ as $d((x,t), \partial_p \overset{\circ}{O}_k) \to 0$. (3.4)

Indeed, by [5, 7] for m > 0 one can find nonnegative solutions $v_i \in C^{2,1}(\Omega_i \times (a_i, a_i + 4^{-k}]) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}_i \times [a_i, a_i + 4^{-k}])$ for i = 1, ..., n(k) to equations

$$\partial_t v_1 - \Delta v_1 + v_1^q = 0$$
 in $\Omega_1 \times (a_1, a_1 + 4^{-k}),$
 $v_1(x, t) = m$ on $\partial \Omega_1 \times (a_1, a_1 + 4^{-k}),$
 $v_1(x, t_1) = m$ in $\Omega_1,$

and

$$\begin{split} \partial_t v_i - \Delta v_i + v_i^q &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega_i \times (a_i, a_i + 4^{-k}), \\ v_i(x,t) &= m & \text{on } \partial \Omega_i \times (a_i, a_i + 4^{-k}), \\ v_i(x,t_i) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} m & \text{in } \Omega_i & \text{if } a_i > a_{i-1} + 4^{-k}, \\ m \chi_{\Omega_i \backslash \Omega_{i-1}}(x) + v_{i-1}(x, a_{i-1} + 4^{-k}) \chi_{\Omega_{i-1}}(x) & \text{otherwise} . \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

Clearly,

$$u_{k,m} = v_i$$
 in $\Omega_i \times (a_i, a_i + 4^{-k}]$ for $i = 1, ..., n(k)$

is a solution in $C^{2,1}(\overset{\circ}{O}_k) \cap C(O_k)$ to equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_{k,m} - \Delta u_{k,m} + u_{k,m}^q = 0 \text{ in } \mathring{O}_k, \\ u_{k,m} = m \text{ on } \partial_p \mathring{O}_k. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for $(x,t) \in \overset{\circ}{O}_k$, we can see that $B_{\frac{d}{2}}(x) \times (t - \frac{d^2}{4}, t) \subset \overset{\circ}{O}_k$ where $d = d((x,t), \partial_p \overset{\circ}{O}_k)$. From (3.2), we verify that

$$U(y,s) = V(y-x,s-t) = C\left((\rho^2 + s - t)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} + \left(\frac{\rho^2 - |x-y|^2}{\rho}\right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}\right)$$

with $\rho = d/2$, satisfies

$$\partial_t U - \Delta U + U^q \ge 0 \quad \text{in} \quad B_{\frac{d}{2}}(x) \times (t - \frac{d^2}{4}, t).$$
 (3.5)

Applying the comparison principle we get

$$u_{k,m}(y,s) \le U(y,s)$$
 in $B_{\frac{d}{2}}(x) \times (t - \frac{d^2}{4}, t]$,

which implies

$$u_{k,m}(x,t) \le C \left(d((x,t), \partial_p \overset{\circ}{O}_k) \right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}$$
 for all $(x,t) \in \overset{\circ}{O}_k$. (3.6)

From this, we also obtain uniform local bounds for $\{u_{k,m}\}_m$. By standard regularity theory see [5, 7], $\{u_{k,m}\}_m$ is uniformly locally bounded in $C^{2,1}$. Hence, up to a subsequence, $u_{k,m} \to u_k \ C^{1,0}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathring{O}_k)$. Passing the limit, we derive that u_k is a weak solution of (3.4) in \mathring{O}_k , which satisfies $u_k(x,t) \to \infty$ as $d((x,t),\partial_p \mathring{O}_k) \to 0$ and

$$u_k(x,t) \le C \left(d((x,t), \partial_p \overset{\circ}{O}_k) \right)^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}$$
 for all $(x,t) \in \overset{\circ}{O}_k$

Let m > 0 and $k \ge k_0$. Since $u_{k+1,m} \le m$ in O_k and $O_k \subset O_{k+1}$, it follows by the comparison principle applied to $u_{k+1,m}$ and $u_{k,m}$ in the sub-domains $\Omega_1 \times (a_1, a_1 + 4^{-k})$, $\Omega_2 \times (a_2, a_2 + 4^{-k}), \ldots, \Omega_{n(k)} \times (a_{n(k)}, a_{n(k)} + 4^{-k})$ of O_k to obtain at end that $u_{k+1,m} \le u_{k,m}$ in O_k , and thus $u_{k+1} \le u_k$ in O_k . In particular, $\{u_k\}_k$ is uniformly locally bounded in L_{loc}^{∞} . We use the same compactness property as above to obtain that $u_k \to u$ where u is a solution of (3.1) and satisfies (3.3). By construction u is the maximal solution.

Remark 3.2 Let $R \geq 2r \geq 2$, K be a compact subset in $\overline{\tilde{Q}}_r(0,0)$. Arguing as one can easily it is clear that there exists a maximal solution of

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + u^q = 0 \qquad in \quad \tilde{Q}_R(0,0) \backslash K,$$

$$u = 0 \qquad on \quad \partial_p \tilde{Q}_R(0,0),$$
(3.7)

which satisfies

$$u(x,t) \le C(d((x,t),\partial_p(\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)\backslash K))^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \ \forall \ (x,t) \in \tilde{Q}_R(0,0)\backslash K,$$
 (3.8)

for some C = C(N,q). Furthermore, assume $K_1, K_2, ..., K_m$ are compact subsets in $\tilde{Q}_r(0,0)$ and $K = K_1 \cup ... \cup K_m$. Let $u, u_1, ..., u_m$ be the maximal solutions of (3.7) in $\tilde{Q}_R(0,0) \setminus K$, $\tilde{Q}_R(0,0) \setminus K_1$, $\tilde{Q}_R(0,0) \setminus K_2$, ..., $\tilde{Q}_R(0,0) \setminus K_m$, respectively, then

$$u \le \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j \quad in \quad \tilde{Q}_R \backslash K. \tag{3.9}$$

Remark 3.3 If the equation (3.1) admits a large solution for some q > 1 then for any $1 < q_1 < q$, equation

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + u^{q_1} = 0 \text{ in } O \tag{3.10}$$

admits also a large solution.

Indeed, assume that u is a large solution of (3.1) and v is the maximal solution of (3.10). Take R > 0 such that $O \subset B_R(0) \times (-R^2, R^2)$, then the function V defined by

$$V(x,t) = (q-1)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} (2R^2 + t)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}},$$

satisfies (3.1). It follows for all $(x,t) \in O$

$$u(x,t) \ge \inf_{(y,s) \in O} V(x,t) \ge (q-1)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} =: a_0.$$

Thus, $\tilde{u}=a_0^{-\frac{q-q_1}{q_1-1}}u$ is a subsolution of (3.10). Therefore $v\geq a_0^{-\frac{q-q_1}{q_1-1}}u$ in O, thus v is a large solution.

Remark 3.4 (Sub-critical case) Assume that $1 < q < q_*$. One easily see that the function

$$U(x,t) = \frac{C}{t^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} e^{\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \chi_{t>0}$$
(3.11)

is a subsolution of (3.1) in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\setminus\{(0,0)\}$, where $C=\left(\frac{2}{q-1}-\frac{N}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$. Therefore, the maximal solutions u of (3.1) in O verify

$$u(x,t) \ge C \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} e^{\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \chi_{t>s},$$
 (3.12)

for all $(x,t) \in O$ and $(y,s) \in \partial_p O$.

Remark 3.5 Note that if $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ is a solution of (3.1) for some q > 1 then, for a, b > 0 and $1 , <math>v = b^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}u$ is a super-solution of

$$\partial_t v - \Delta v + a|\nabla v|^p + bv^q = 0 \quad in \quad O. \tag{3.13}$$

Thus, we can apply the argument of the previous proof, with equation (3.13) replaced by (3.1), to deduce that there exists a maximal solution $v \in C^{2,1}(O)$ of (3.13) satisfying

$$v(x,t) \le Cb^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} (d((x,t),\partial_p O))^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}$$
 for all $(x,t) \in O$.

Furthermore, if $1 < q < q_*$, $q = \frac{2p}{p+1}$, a,b > 0 then the function U in Remark 3.4 is a subsolution of (3.13) in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, for some C = C(N,p,q,a,b). Therefore, we conclude that every maximal solution of $v \in C^{2,1}(O)$ of (3.13) satisfy

$$u(x,t) \ge C \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} e^{\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \chi_{t>s}$$
(3.14)

for all $(x,t) \in O$ and $(y,s) \in \partial_p O$.

Next, we consider the following equation

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + e^u - 1 = 0. ag{3.15}$$

It is easy to see that the two functions

$$V_1(t) = -\log\left(\frac{t+\rho^2}{1+\rho^2}\right)$$
 and $V_2(x) = C_1 - 2\log\left(\frac{\rho^2 - |x|^2}{\rho}\right)$

satisfy

$$V_1' + e^{V_1} - 1 \ge 0$$
 in $(-\rho^2, 0]$

and

$$-\Delta V_2 + e^{V_2} - 1 \ge 0$$
 in $B_{\rho}(0)$

for some C = C(N). Using $e^a + e^b \le e^{a+b} - 1$ for $a, b \ge 0$, we obtain that $V_1 + V_2$ is a supersolution of equation (3.15) in $B_{\rho}(0) \times (-\rho^2, 0]$. By the same argument as in Proposition 3.1 and the estimate of the above supersolution, we obtain

Proposition 3.6 There exists a maximal solution $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ of

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + e^u - 1 = 0 \text{ in } O \tag{3.16}$$

and it satisfies

$$u(x,t) \le C - \log\left(\frac{(d((x,t),\partial_p O))^3}{4 + (d((x,t),\partial_p O))^2}\right) \quad \text{for all } (x,t) \in O,$$
 (3.17)

for some C = C(N).

The next three propositions will be useful to prove Theorem 1.1-(ii).

Proposition 3.7 Let $K \subset \tilde{Q}_1(0,0)$ be a compact set and q > 1, $R \geq 100$. Let u be a solution of (3.7) in $\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)\backslash K$ and φ as in Proposition 2.6 with p=q'. Set $\xi=(1-\varphi)^{2q'}$. Then,

$$\int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} u\left(|\Delta\xi| + |\nabla\xi| + |\partial_t\xi|\right) dxdt \lesssim Cap_{2,1,q'}(K)$$
(3.18)

and

$$u(x,t) \lesssim Cap_{2,1,q'}(K) + R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \text{ for any } (x,t) \in \tilde{Q}_{R/5}(0,0) \setminus \tilde{Q}_2(0,0),$$
 (3.19)

$$\int_{\tilde{Q}_2(0,0)} u\xi dx dt \lesssim Cap_{2,1,q'}(K) + R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}$$
(3.20)

where constants in above inequalities only depend on N, q.

Proof. Step 1. First, we need to show that

$$\int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} u^q \xi dx dt \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K). \tag{3.21}$$

Actually, using by parts integration and the Green formula, one has

$$\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u_{R}^{q} \xi dx dt = -\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} \partial_{t} u \xi dx dt + \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} (\Delta u) \xi dx dt
= \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u \partial_{t} \xi dx dt + \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u \Delta \xi dx dt + \int_{-R^{2}}^{R^{2}} \int_{\partial B_{R}(0)} \left(\xi \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - u \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \nu} \right) dS dt$$

where ν is the outer normal unit vector on $\partial B_R(0)$. Clearly,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \le 0$$
 and $\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\partial B_R(0)$.

Thus,

$$\int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u^{q} \xi dx dt \leq \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u |\partial_{t} \xi| dx dt + \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u |\Delta \xi| dx dt
\leq 2q' \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u (1-\varphi)^{2q'-1} |\partial_{t} \varphi| dx dt + 2q' (2q'-1) \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u (1-\varphi)^{2q'-2} |\nabla \varphi|^{2} dx dt
+ 2q' \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u (1-\varphi)^{2q'-1} |\Delta \varphi| dx dt
\leq 2q' \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u \xi^{1/q} |\partial_{t} \varphi| dx dt + 2q' (2q'-1) \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u \xi^{1/q} |\nabla \varphi|^{2} dx dt
+ 2q' \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R}(0,0)} u \xi^{1/q} |\Delta \varphi| dx dt.$$
(3.22)

In the last inequality, we have used the fact that $(1-\phi)^{2q'-1} \leq (1-\phi)^{2q'-1} = \xi^{1/q}$. Hence, by Hölder inequality,

$$\int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} u^q \xi dx dt \lesssim \int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} |\partial_t \varphi|^{q'} dx dt + \int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} |\nabla \varphi|^{2q'} dx dt + \int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} |\Delta \varphi|^{q'} dx dt.$$

By the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality,

$$\int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} |\nabla \varphi|^{2q'} dx dt \lesssim ||\varphi||_{L^{\infty}(\tilde{Q}_R(0,0))}^{q'} \int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} |D^2 \varphi|^{q'} dx dt$$
$$\lesssim \int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} |D^2 \varphi|^{q'} dx dt.$$

Hence, we find

$$\int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} u^q \xi dx dt \lesssim \int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} (|\partial_t \varphi|^{q'} + |D^2 \varphi|^{q'}) dx dt$$

and derive (3.21) from (2.4). In view of (3.22), we also obtain

$$\int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} u(|\Delta \xi| + |\partial_t \xi|) dx dt, \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K)$$

and

$$\int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} u |\nabla \xi| dx dt \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K),$$

since

$$\begin{split} \int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} u |\nabla \xi| dx dt &= 2q' \int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} u \xi^{(2q'-1)/2q'} |\nabla \varphi| dx dt \\ &\leq 2q' \int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} u \xi^{1/q} |\nabla \varphi| dx dt \\ &\lesssim \int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} u^q \xi dx dt + \int_{\tilde{Q}_R(0,0)} |\nabla \varphi|^{q'} dx dt. \end{split}$$

It yields (3.18).

Step 2. Let η be a cut off function on $\tilde{Q}_{R/4}(0,0)$ with respect to $\tilde{Q}_{R/3}(0,0)$ such that $|\partial_t \eta| + |D^2 \eta| \lesssim R^{-2}$ and $|\nabla \eta| \lesssim R^{-1}$. We have

$$\partial_t(\eta \xi u) - \Delta(\eta \xi u) = F \in C_c(\tilde{Q}_{R/3}(0,0)).$$

Hence, we can write

$$(\eta \xi u)(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{(4\pi(t-s))^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} F(y,s) ds dy \quad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}.$$

Now, we fix $(x,t) \in \tilde{Q}_{R/5}(0,0) \setminus \tilde{Q}_2(0,0)$. Since supp $\{|\nabla \eta|\} \cap \text{supp }\{|\nabla \xi|\} = \emptyset$ and

$$F = \eta \xi \left(\partial_t u - \Delta u \right) + 2 \left(\eta \nabla \xi + \xi \nabla \eta \right) \nabla u + \left(\xi \partial_t \eta + \eta \partial_t \xi + 2 \nabla \eta \nabla \xi + \Delta \eta \xi + \eta \Delta \xi \right) u$$

$$\leq 2 \left(\eta \nabla \xi + \xi \nabla \eta \right) \nabla u + \left(\xi \partial_t \eta + \eta \partial_t \xi + \xi \Delta \eta + \eta \Delta \xi \right) u,$$

there holds

$$\begin{split} u(x,t) &= (\eta \xi u)(x,t) \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{(4\pi(t-s))^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \left(\eta \nabla \xi + \xi \nabla \eta \right) \nabla u ds dy \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{(4\pi(t-s))^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \left(\eta \partial_t \xi + \eta \Delta \xi \right) u ds dy \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{(4\pi(t-s))^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \left(\partial_t \eta \xi + \xi \Delta \eta \right) u ds dy. \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{split}$$

By parts integration

$$I_{1} = -2(4\pi)^{-N/2} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{(x-y)}{2(t-s)^{(N+2)/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-s)}} (\eta \nabla \xi + \xi \nabla \eta) u dy ds$$
$$-2(4\pi)^{-N/2} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{N/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-s)}} (\xi \Delta \eta + \eta \Delta \xi) u dy ds.$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{(t-s)^{N/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \lesssim \left(\max\{|x-y|, |t-s|^{1/2}\} \right)^{-N},$$

$$\left| \frac{(x-y)}{2(t-s)^{(N+2)/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \right| \lesssim \left(\max\{|x-y|, |t-s|^{1/2}\} \right)^{-N-1},$$

and

$$\max\{|x - y|, |t - s|^{1/2}\} \gtrsim 1 \quad \forall (y, s) \in \text{supp}\{|D^{\alpha}\xi|\} \cup \text{supp}\{|\partial_{t}\xi|\},$$
$$\max\{|x - y|, |t - s|^{1/2}\} \gtrsim R \quad \forall (y, s) \in \text{supp}\{|D^{\alpha}\eta|\} \cup \text{supp}\{|\partial_{t}\eta|\} \quad \forall |\alpha| \ge 1.$$

We deduce

$$\begin{split} I_{1} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left(\max\{|x-y|, |t-s|^{1/2}\} \right)^{-N-1} (\eta |\nabla \xi| + \xi |\nabla \eta|) u \, dy ds \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left(\max\{|x-y|, |t-s|^{1/2}\} \right)^{-N} (\xi |\Delta \eta| + \eta |\Delta \xi|) \, u \, dy ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (|\nabla \xi| + |\Delta \xi|) u \, dy ds + \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R/3}(0,0) \backslash \tilde{Q}_{R/4}(0,0)} (R^{-N-1} |\nabla \eta| + R^{-N} |\Delta \eta|) u \, dy ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (|\nabla \xi| + |\Delta \xi|) u \, dy ds + \sup_{\tilde{Q}_{R/3}(0,0) \backslash \tilde{Q}_{R/4}(0,0)} u, \\ I_{2} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left(\max\{|x-y|, |t-s|^{1/2}\} \right)^{-N} (|\partial_{t} \xi| + |\Delta \xi|) u \, dy ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (|\partial_{t} \xi| + |\Delta \xi|) u \, dy ds, \end{split}$$

and

$$I_{3} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left(\max\{|x-y|, |t-s|^{1/2}\} \right)^{-N} (|\partial_{t}\eta| + |\Delta\eta|) u \, dy ds$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\tilde{Q}_{R/3}(0,0) \setminus \tilde{Q}_{R/4}(0,0)} R^{-N} (|\partial_{t}\eta| + |\Delta\eta|) u \, dy ds$$

$$\lesssim \sup_{\tilde{Q}_{R/3}(0,0) \setminus \tilde{Q}_{R/4}(0,0)} u.$$

Hence,

$$u(x,t) \le I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (|\partial_t \xi| + |\nabla \xi| + |\Delta \xi|) u \, dy ds + \sup_{\bar{Q}_{R/3}(0,0) \setminus \bar{Q}_{R/4}(0,0)} u.$$

Combining this with (3.18) and (3.8), we obtain (3.19).

Step 3. Let θ be a cut off function on $\tilde{Q}_3(0,0)$ with respect to $\tilde{Q}_4(0,0)$. As above, we have for any $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$

$$(\theta \xi u)(x,t) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (\max\{|x-y|, |t-s|^{1/2}\})^{-N-1} (\theta |\nabla \xi| + \xi |\nabla \theta|) u \, dy ds$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (\max\{|x-y|, |t-s|^{1/2}\})^{-N} (\theta |\Delta \xi| + \xi |\Delta \theta|) u \, dy ds$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (\max\{|x-y|, |t-s|^{1/2}\})^{-N} (\theta |\partial_t \xi| + \theta |\Delta \xi|) u \, dy ds$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (\max\{|x-y|, |t-s|^{1/2}\})^{-N} (\xi |\partial_t \theta| + \xi |\Delta \theta|) u \, dy ds.$$

Hence, by Fubini theorem,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\tilde{Q}_{2}(0,0)} \eta u dx dt &= \int_{\tilde{Q}_{2}(0,0)} \theta \eta u dx dt \\ &\lesssim A \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left(\theta |\nabla \xi| + \xi |\nabla \theta| + \theta |\Delta \xi| + \xi |\Delta \theta| + \theta |\partial_{t} \xi| + \xi |\partial_{t} \theta| \right) u \, dy ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left(|\partial_{t} \xi| + |\nabla \xi| + |\Delta \xi| \right) u \, dy ds + \sup_{\tilde{Q}_{4}(0,0) \setminus \tilde{Q}_{3}(0,0)} u \end{split}$$

where

$$A = \sup_{(y,s) \in \tilde{Q}_4(0,0)} \int_{\tilde{Q}_2(0,0)} ((\max\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1/2}\})^{-N} + (\max\{|x-y|,|t-s|^{1/2}\})^{-N-1}) dx dt$$

Therefore we obtain (3.20) from (3.18) and (3.19).

Proposition 3.8 Let $K \subset \{(x,t) : \varepsilon < \max\{|x|,|t|^{1/2}\} < 1\}$ be a compact set, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and let u be the maximal solution of (3.7) in $Q_R(0,0) \setminus K$ with $R \ge 100$. Then

$$\sup_{\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon/4}(0,0)} u \lesssim \sum_{j=-2}^{j_{\varepsilon}-2} \frac{Cap_{2,1,q'}(K \cap \tilde{Q}_{\rho_j}(0,0))}{\rho_j^N} + j_{\varepsilon} R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \quad if \quad q > q_*, \tag{3.23}$$

and

$$\sup_{\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon/4}(0,0)} u \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{j_{\varepsilon}} \frac{Cap_{2,1,q'}(K_j)}{\rho_j^N} + j_{\varepsilon} R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \quad if \quad q = q_*, \tag{3.24}$$

where $\rho_j = 2^{-j}$, $K_j = \{(x/\rho_{j+3}, t/\rho_{j+3}^2) : (x,t) \in K \cap \tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j-2}}(0,0)\}$ and $j_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $\rho_{j_{\varepsilon}} \leq \varepsilon < \rho_{j_{\varepsilon}-1}$.

Proof. For $j \in N$, we define $S_j = \{x : \rho_j \le \max\{|x|, |t|^{1/2}\} \le \rho_{j-1}\}$. Fix any $1 \le j \le j_{\varepsilon}$. We cover S_j by $L = L(N) \in \mathbb{N}^*$ closed cylinders

$$\tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j+3}}(x_{k,j}, t_{k,j}), \quad k = 1, ..., L(N)$$

where $(x_{k,j}, t_{k,j}) \in S_j$.

For k = 1, ..., L(N), let $u_j, u_{k,j}$ be the maximal solutions of (3.7) where K is replaced by $K \cap S_j$ and $K \cap \tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j+3}}(x_{k,j}, t_{k,j})$, respectively. Clearly the function $\tilde{u}_{k,j}$ defined by

$$\tilde{u}_{k,j}(x,t) = \rho_{i+3}^{\frac{2}{q-1}} u_{k,j}(\rho_{j+3}x + x_{k,j}, \rho_{j+3}^2t + t_{k,j})$$

is the maximal solution of (3.7) when $(K, \tilde{Q}_R(0,0))$ is replaced by $(K_{k,j}, \tilde{Q}_{R/\rho_{j+3}}(-x_{k,j}, -t_{k,j}))$, with

$$K_{k,j} = \{ (y/\rho_{j+3}, s/\rho_{j+3}^2) : (y,s) \in -(x_{k,j}, t_{k,j}) + K \cap \overline{\tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j+3}}(x_{k,j}, t_{k,j})} \} \subset \overline{\tilde{Q}_1(0,0)}.$$

Let $\overline{u}_{k,j}$ be the maximal solution of (3.7) with $(K, \tilde{Q}_R(0,0))$ replaced by $(K_{k,j}, \tilde{Q}_{2R/\rho_{j+3}}(0,0))$. Since $\tilde{Q}_{R/\rho_{j+3}}(-x_{k,j}, -t_{k,j}) \subset \tilde{Q}_{2R/\rho_{j+3}}(0,0)$, thus using the comparison principle as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 we obtain $\tilde{u}_{k,j} \leq \overline{u}_{k,j}$ in $\tilde{Q}_{R/\rho_{j+3}}(-x_{k,j}, -t_{k,j}) \setminus K_{k,j}$ and thus

$$\tilde{u}_{k,j}(x,t) \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_{k,j}) + (R/\rho_{j+3})^{-\frac{2}{q-1}},$$

for any $(x,t) \in \left(\tilde{Q}_{R/(5\rho_{j+3})}(0,0) \cap \tilde{Q}_{R/\rho_{j+3}}(-x_{k,j},-t_{k,j})\right) \setminus \tilde{Q}_2(0,0) = D.$ Fix $(x_0,t_0) \in \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon/4}(0,0)$. Clearly, $((x_0-x_{k,j})/\rho_{j+3},(t_0-t_{k,j})/\rho_{j+3}) \in D$, hence

$$u_{k,j}(x_0,t_0) = \rho_{j+3}^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \tilde{u}_{k,j}((x_0 - x_{k,j})/\rho_{j+3}, (t_0 - t_{k,j})/\rho_{j+3}^2) \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_{k,j})}{\rho_j^{\frac{2}{q-1}}} + R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}.$$

Therefore, using (3.9) in Remark (3.2) and the fact that

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_{k,j}) = \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_{k,j} + (x_{k,j}/\rho_{j+3}, t_{k,j}/\rho_{j+3}^2)) \le \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_j),$$

we derive

$$u(x_0, t_0) \le \sum_{j=1}^{j_{\varepsilon}} u_j(x_0, t_0) \le \sum_{j=1}^{j_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{k=1}^{L(N)} u_{k,j}(x_0, t_0)$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{j_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_j)}{\rho_j^{\frac{2}{q-1}}} + j_{\varepsilon} R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}},$$

which yields (3.24). If $q > q_*$, then by (2.2) in Proposition (2.5), we have

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,\sigma'}(K_j) \lesssim \rho_{j+3}^{-N-2+2q'} \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,\sigma'}(K \cap \tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j-2}}(0,0)),$$

which implies (3.23).

Proposition 3.9 Let K, u, ξ be in Lemma 3.7. For any compact set K_0 in $\overline{\tilde{Q}}_1(0,0)$ with positive measure $|K_0|$, there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(N,q,|K_0|) > 0$ such that

$$Cap_{2,1,q'}(K) \leq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \inf_{K_0} u \lesssim \int_{\tilde{Q}_2(0,0)} u\xi dx dt.$$

where the constant in the inequality \lesssim depends on K_0 . In particular,

$$Cap_{2,1,q'}(K) \le \varepsilon \Rightarrow \inf_{K_0} u \lesssim Cap_{2,1,q'}(K) + R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}.$$
 (3.25)

Proof. It is enough to assert that there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K) \le \varepsilon \Rightarrow |K_1| \ge 1/2|K_0| \tag{3.26}$$

where $K_1 = \{(x, t) \in K_0 : \xi(x, t) \ge 1/2\}$. By (2.1) in Proposition (2.5), we have

$$|K_0 \backslash K_1|^{1 - \frac{2q'}{N+2}} \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_0 \backslash K_1) \quad \text{if} \quad q > q_*, \text{ and}$$

$$\left(\log \left(\frac{|\tilde{Q}_{100}(0,0)|}{|K_0 \backslash K_1|}\right)\right)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_0 \backslash K_1) \quad \text{if} \quad q = q_*.$$

On the other hand,

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_0 \backslash K_1) = \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(\{K_0 : \varphi > 1 - (1/2)^{1/(2q')}\})$$

$$\leq (1 - (1/2)^{1/(2q')})^{-q'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left(|D^2 \varphi|^{q'} + |\nabla \varphi|^{q'} + |\varphi|^{q'} + |\partial_t \varphi|^{q'} \right) dx dt$$

$$\lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K)$$

So, one can find $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(N, q, |K_0|) > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,a'}(K) \leq \varepsilon \Rightarrow |K_0 \setminus K_1| \leq 1/2 |K_0|.$$

This implies (3.26).

4 Large solutions

In the first part of this section, we prove theorem 1.1-(ii), then we prove theorems 1.1-(i) and 1.2, at end we consider a parabolic viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

4.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1-(ii)

Let $R_0 \geq 4$ such that $O \subset \subset \tilde{Q}_{R_0}(0,0)$. Assume that the equation (1.10) is a large solution u. Take any $(x,t) \in \partial_p O$. We will to prove that (1.12) holds. We can assume (x,t) = (0,0). Set $K = \tilde{Q}_{2R_0}(0,0) \setminus O$ and define

$$T_j = \{x : \rho_{j+1} \le \max\{|x|, |t|^{1/2}\} \le \rho_j, t \le 0\},$$

$$\tilde{T}_j = \{x : \rho_{j+3} \le \max\{|x|, |t|^{1/2}\} \le \rho_{j-2}, t \le 0\}.$$

Here $\rho_j = 2^{-j}$. For $j \geq 3$, let u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 be the maximal solutions of (3.7) when K is replaced by $K \cap \overline{Q_{\rho_{j+3}}(0,0)}$, $K \cap \tilde{T}_j$, $\left(K \cap \overline{Q_1(0,0)}\right) \setminus Q_{\rho_{j-2}}(0,0)$ and $K \setminus Q_1(0,0)$ respectively and $R \geq 100R_0$. From (3.9) in Remark (3.2), we can assert that

$$u \le u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4$$
 in $O \cap \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : t \le 0\}.$

Thus,

$$\inf_{T_j} u \le ||u_1||_{L^{\infty}(T_j)} + ||u_3||_{L^{\infty}(T_j)} + ||u_4||_{L^{\infty}(T_j)} + \inf_{T_j} u_2.$$
(4.1)

Case 1: $q > q_*$. By (3.8) in Remark 3.2.

$$||u_4||_{L^{\infty}(T_j)} \lesssim 1. \tag{4.2}$$

By Proposition 3.8,

$$||u_3||_{L^{\infty}(T_j)} \lesssim \sum_{i=-2}^{j-4} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_i}(0,0))}{\rho_i^N} + jR^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}.$$
 (4.3)

Since $(x,t) \mapsto \overline{u}_1(x,t) = \rho_{j+3}^{2/(q-1)} u_1(\rho_{j+3}x,\rho_{j+3}^2t)$ is the maximal solution of (3.7) when $(K,\tilde{Q}_R(0,0))$ is replaced by $(\{(y/\rho_{j+3},s/\rho_{j+3}^2):(y,s)\in K\cap\overline{Q_{\rho_{j+3}}(0,0)}\},\tilde{Q}_{R/\rho_{j+3}}(0,0))$, we derive, thanks to (3.19) in Proposition 3.7 and (2.2) in Proposition 2.5,

$$||\overline{u}_1||_{L^{\infty}(T_{-3})} \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j+2}}(0,0))}{\rho_j^{N+2-2q'}} + (R/\rho_{j+3})^{-\frac{2}{q-1}},$$

from which follows

$$||u_1||_{L^{\infty}(T_j)} \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j+2}}(0,0))}{\rho_j^N} + R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}.$$
 (4.4)

Since, $(x,t) \mapsto \overline{u}_2(x,t) = \rho_{j-2}^{2/(q-1)} u_2(\rho_{j-2}x, \rho_{j-2}^2t)$ is the maximal solution of (3.7) when the couple $(K, \tilde{Q}_R(0,0))$ is replaced by $(\{(y/\rho_{j-2}, s/\rho_{j-2}^2) : (y,s) \in K \cap \tilde{T}_j\}, \tilde{Q}_{R/\rho_{j-2}}(0,0))$, Proposition 3.9 and relation (2.2) in Proposition 2.5 yield

$$\frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap \tilde{T}_j)}{\rho_{j-2}^{N+2-2q'}} \le \varepsilon \Rightarrow \inf_{T_2} \overline{u}_2 \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap \tilde{T}_j)}{\rho_{j-2}^{N+2-2q'}} + (R/\rho_{j-2})^{-\frac{2}{q-1}},$$

which implies

$$\frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0))}{\rho_{j-2}^{N+2-2q'}} \le \varepsilon \Rightarrow \inf_{T_j} u_2 \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0))}{\rho_{j-2}^{N}} + R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}.$$
(4.5)

for some $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(N, q) > 0$.

First, we assume that there exists $J \in \mathbb{N}$, $J \geq 10$ such that

$$\frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0))}{\rho_{j-2}^{N+2-2q'}} \le \varepsilon \ \forall \ j \ge J.$$

Then, from (4.1) and (4.2), (4.3), (4.4), (4.5) we have

$$\inf_{T_j} u \lesssim \sum_{i=-2}^{j+2} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_i}(0,0))}{\rho_i^N} + jR^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} + 1,$$

for any $j \geq J$. Letting $R \to \infty$,

$$\inf_{T_j} u \lesssim \sum_{i=-2}^{j+2} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_i}(0,0))}{\rho_i^N} + 1.$$

Since $\inf_{T_j} u \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$, we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_i}(0,0))}{\rho_i^N} = \infty$$

which implies that (1.12) holds with (x,t) = (0,0). Alternatively, assume that for infinitely many j

$$\frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0))}{\rho_{j-2}^{N+2-2q'}} > \varepsilon$$

Then,

$$\frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K\cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0))}{\rho_{j-2}^N}>\rho_{j-2}^{2-2q'}\varepsilon\to\infty\quad\text{when}\ \ j\to\infty.$$

We also achieve that (1.12) holds with (x,t) = (0,0). Therefore, case $q > q_*$ proved. Case 2: $q = q_*$. Similarly to Case 1, we have: for $j \ge 5$

$$||u_4||_{L^{\infty}(T_i)} \lesssim 1,\tag{4.6}$$

$$||u_3||_{L^{\infty}(T_j)} \lesssim \sum_{i=0}^{j-2} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_j)}{\rho_i^N} + jR^{-\frac{2}{q-1}},$$
 (4.7)

$$||u_1||_{L^{\infty}(T_j)} \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_j)}{\rho_j^N} + R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}},$$
 (4.8)

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_j) \le \varepsilon \Rightarrow \inf_{T_j} u_2 \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_j)}{\rho_i^N} + R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}, \tag{4.9}$$

where $K_j = \{(x/\rho_{j+3}, t/\rho_{j+3}^2) : (x,t) \in K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)\}$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(N) > 0$. Note that, from (2.2) in Proposition 2.5 we have

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,g'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{i-3}}(0,0))} \le \frac{c}{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,g'}(K_j)} + cj^{N/2}$$

for any $j \geq 4$ where c = c(N). If there are infinitely many $j \geq 4$ such that

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)) > \frac{1}{2cj^{N/2}},$$

then (1.12) holds with (x,t) = (0,0) since

$$\frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0))}{\rho_{j-3}^N} > \frac{2^{j-3}}{2cj^{N/2}} \to \infty \quad \text{when } j \to \infty.$$

Now, we assume that there exists $J \geq 5$ such that

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)) \le \frac{1}{2cj^{N/2}}.$$

Then,

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,g'}(K_j) \le 2c\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,g'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)) \ \forall \ j \ge J.$$

This leads to

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_j) \le 2c \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0)) \le \varepsilon \quad \forall \ j \ge J' + J,$$

for some J' = J'(N, q). Hence, from (4.6)-(4.9) we have, for any $j \ge J' + J + 3$,

$$||u_4||_{L^{\infty}(T_j)} \lesssim 1,$$

$$||u_3||_{L^{\infty}(T_j)} \lesssim \sum_{i=J'+J+1}^{j-2} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{i-3}}(0,0))}{\rho_i^N} + C(J'+J) + jR^{-\frac{2}{q-1}},$$

$$||u_1||_{L^{\infty}(T_j)} \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0))}{\rho_j^N} + R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}},$$

$$\inf_{T_j} u_2 \lesssim \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_{j-3}}(0,0))}{\rho_i^N} + R^{-\frac{2}{q-1}},$$

where $C(J'+J) = \sum_{i=0}^{J'+J} \frac{\text{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K_j)}{\rho_i^N}$. Consequently, from (4.1) we derive

$$\inf_{T_j} u \lesssim \sum_{i=0}^j \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_i}(0,0))}{\rho_i^N} + C(J'+J) + 1 + jR^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \quad \forall \ j \geq J' + J + 3.$$

Letting $R \to \infty$ and $j \to \infty$ we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(K \cap Q_{\rho_i}(0,0))}{\rho_i^N} = \infty,$$

i.e (1.12) holds with (x,t) = (0,0). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1-(ii).

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1-(i) and Theorem 1.2

Fix $(x_0, t_0) \in \partial_p O$. We can assume that $(x_0, t_0) = 0$. Let $\delta \in (0, 1/100)$. For $(y_0, s_0) \in (B_\delta(0) \times (-\delta^2, \delta^2)) \cap O$, we set

$$M_k = O^c \cap \left(\overline{B_{r_{k+2}}(y_0)} \times [s_0 - (73 + \frac{1}{2})r_{k+2}^2, s_0 - (70 + \frac{1}{2})r_{k+2}^2]\right) \text{ and}$$

$$S_k = \{(x,t) : r_{k-1} \le \max\{|x - y_0|, |t - s_0|^{\frac{1}{2}}\} < r_k\} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

where $r_k = 4^{-k}$. Note that $M_k = \emptyset$ for k large enough and $M_k \subset S_k$ for all k. Let $R_0 \ge 4$ such that $O \subset\subset \tilde{Q}_{R_0}(0,0)$. By Theorem 2.2 and 2.4 and estimate (1.9) there exist two sequences $\{\mu_k\}_k$ and $\{\nu_k\}_k$ of nonnegative Radon measures such that

$$\operatorname{supp}(\mu_k) \subset M_k, \operatorname{supp}(\nu_k) \subset M_k \quad \text{and}$$
 (4.10)

$$\mu_k(M_k) \approx \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(M_k) \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left(\mathbb{I}_2^{2R_0}[\mu_k]\right)^q dxdt \quad \text{and}$$
 (4.11)

$$\nu_k(M_k) \simeq \mathcal{PH}_1^N(M_k), \quad ||\mathcal{M}_1^{2R_0}[\nu_k]||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \le 1 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (4.12)

where equivalent constants depend on N, q, R_0 .

Take $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\exp\left(C_1\varepsilon\mathbb{I}_2^{2R_0}[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\nu_k]\right) \in L^1(\tilde{Q}_{R_0}(0,0))$ where the constant $C_1 = C_1(N)$ is the one of inequality (2.6). By Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 2.8, there exist two nonnegative solutions U_1, U_2 of problems

$$\partial_t U_1 - \Delta U_1 + U_1^q = \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \quad \text{in } \tilde{Q}_{R_0}(0,0),$$

$$U_1 = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial_p \tilde{Q}_{R_0}(0,0).$$

and

$$\begin{split} \partial_t U_2 - \Delta U_2 + e^{U_2} - 1 &= \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty \nu_k & \text{in } \tilde{Q}_{R_0}(0,0), \\ U_2 &= 0 & \text{on } \partial_p \tilde{Q}_{R_0}(0,0), \end{split}$$

respectively which satisfy

$$U_{1}(y_{0}, z_{0}) \gtrsim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon \frac{\mu_{k}(B_{\frac{r_{i}}{8}}(y_{0}) \times (s_{0} - \frac{37}{128}r_{i}^{2}, s_{0} - \frac{37}{128}r_{i}^{2}))}{r_{i}^{N}} - \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2R_{0}} \left[\left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2R_{0}}[\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}] \right)^{q} \right] (y_{0}, s_{0}) =: A$$

$$(4.13)$$

and

$$U_{2}(y_{0}, z_{0}) \gtrsim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon \frac{\nu_{k} \left(B_{\frac{r_{i}}{8}}(y_{0}) \times \left(s_{0} - \frac{37}{128}r_{i}^{2}, s_{0} - \frac{37}{128}r_{i}^{2}\right)\right)}{r_{i}^{N}} - \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2R_{0}} \left[\exp \left(C_{1}\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2R_{0}}\left[\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_{k}\right]\right) - 1\right] (y_{0}, s_{0}) =: B$$

$$(4.14)$$

and $U_1, U_2 \in C^{2,1}(O)$.

Let u_1, u_2 be the maximal solutions of equations (3.1) and (3.16) respectively. We have $u_1(y_0, s_0) \ge U_1(y_0, s_0)$ and $u_2(y_0, s_0) \ge U_2(y_0, s_0)$. Now, we claim that

$$A \gtrsim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(M_k)}{r_k^N} \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$B \gtrsim -c_1(R_0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{PH}_1^N(M_k)}{r_k^N}.$$
 (4.16)

Proof of assertion (4.15). From (4.11) we have

$$A \gtrsim \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1q'}(M_k)}{r_k^N} - \varepsilon^q A_0 \tag{4.17}$$

with

$$A_0 = \mathbb{I}_2^{2R_0} \left[\left(\mathbb{I}_2^{2R_0} [\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k] \right)^q \right] (y_0, s_0).$$

Take $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r_{i_0+1} < \max\{2R_0, 1\} \le r_{i_0}$. We have

$$\begin{split} A_0 &\lesssim \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} r_i^{-N} \int_{\tilde{Q}_{r_i}(y_0,s_0)} \left(\mathbb{I}_2^{2R_0} [\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k] \right)^q dx dt \\ &= \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} r_i^{-N} \int_{S_j} \left(\mathbb{I}_2^{2R_0} [\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k] \right)^q dx dt \\ &= \sum_{j=k_0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=i_0}^{j} r_i^{-N} \int_{S_j} \left(\mathbb{I}_2^{2R_0} [\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k] \right)^q dx dt \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=i_0}^{\infty} r_j^{-N} \int_{S_j} \left(\mathbb{I}_2^{2R_0} [\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k] \right)^q dx dt. \end{split}$$

Here we used $\sum_{i=i_0}^j r_i^{-N} \le \frac{4}{3} r_j^{-N}$ for all j in the last inequality. Setting $\mu_k \equiv 0$ for all $i_0 - 1 \le k \le 0$, the previous inequality becomes

$$A_{0} \lesssim \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{-N} \int_{S_{j}} \left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2R_{0}} [\mu_{j} + \sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-1} \mu_{k} + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}] \right)^{q} dxdt$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{-N} \int_{S_{j}} \left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2R_{0}} [\mu_{j}] \right)^{q} dxdt$$

$$+ \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2} \left(\sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-1} ||\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2R_{0}} [\mu_{k}]||_{L^{\infty}(S_{j})} \right)^{q}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2} \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} ||\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2R_{0}} [\mu_{k}]||_{L^{\infty}(S_{j})} \right)^{q}$$

$$= A_{1} + A_{2} + A_{3}. \tag{4.18}$$

Using (4.11) we obtain

$$A_1 \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(M_k)}{r_k^N}.$$
(4.19)

Next, using (4.10) we have for any $(x,t) \in S_j$ if $k \ge j+1$,

$$\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2R_{0}}[\mu_{k}](x,t) = \int_{r_{j+1}}^{2R_{0}} \frac{\mu_{k}(\tilde{Q}_{\rho}(x,t))}{\rho^{N}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \lesssim \frac{\mu_{k}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}{r_{j}^{N}}$$
(4.20)

and if $k \leq j-1$

$$\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2R_{0}}[\mu_{k}](x,t) = \int_{r_{k+1}}^{2R_{0}} \frac{\mu_{k}(\tilde{Q}_{\rho}(x,t))}{\rho^{N}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \lesssim \frac{\mu_{k}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}{r_{k}^{N}}.$$
(4.21)

Thus,

$$A_2 \lesssim \sum_{j=i_0}^{\infty} r_j^2 \left(\sum_{k=i_0-1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}{r_k^N}\right)^q \quad \text{and} \ A_3 \lesssim \sum_{j=i_0}^{\infty} r_j^{2-Nq} \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})\right)^q.$$

Noticing that $(a+b)^q - a^q \le q(a+b)^q b$ for any $a, b \ge 0$, we get

$$(1 - 4^{-2}) \sum_{j=i_0}^{\infty} r_j^2 \left(\sum_{k=i_0-1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}{r_k^N} \right)^q$$

$$= \sum_{j=i_0}^{\infty} r_j^2 \left(\sum_{k=i_0-1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}{r_k^N} \right)^q - \sum_{j=i_0+1}^{\infty} r_j^2 \left(\sum_{k=i_0-1}^{j-2} \frac{\mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}{r_k^N} \right)^q$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=i_0}^{\infty} q r_j^2 \left(\sum_{k=i_0-1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}{r_k^N} \right)^{q-1} \frac{\mu_{j-1}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}{r_{j-1}^N}.$$

Similarly, we also have

$$(1 - 4^{2-Nq}) \sum_{j=i_0}^{\infty} r_j^{2-Nq} \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}) \right)^q$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=i_0}^{\infty} q r_j^{2-Nq} \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}) \right)^{q-1} \mu_{j+1}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}).$$

Thus.

$$A_{2} + A_{3} \lesssim \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2} \left(\sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_{k}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}{r_{k}^{N}} \right)^{q-1} \frac{\mu_{j-1}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}{r_{j-1}^{N}} + \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2-Nq} \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}) \right)^{q-1} \mu_{j+1}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}).$$

Since $\mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}) \lesssim r_k^{N+2-2q'}$ if $q > q_*$ and $\mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}) \lesssim \min\{k^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}, 1\}$ if $q = q_*$ for any k, we always assert that

$$r_j^2 \left(\sum_{k=i_0-1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}{r_k^N} \right)^{q-1} \lesssim 1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$r_j^{2-Nq} \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}) \right)^{q-1} \lesssim r_{j+1}^{-N} \quad \text{for any } j.$$

In the case $q = q_*$ we assume $N \geq 3$ in order to verify that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}) \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} < \infty.$$

This leads to

$$A_2 + A_3 \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}{r_k^N}.$$

Combining this with (4.19) and (4.18), we deduce

$$A_0 \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}(M_k)}{r_k^N}.$$

Consequently, we obtain (4.15) from (4.17), for ε small enough. **Proof of assertion** (4.16). From (4.12) we get

$$B \gtrsim \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{PH}_1^N(M_k)}{r_k^N} - B_0$$

where

$$B_0 = \mathbb{I}_2^{2R_0} \left[\exp \left(C_1 \mathbb{I}_2^{2R_0} [\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_k] \right) - 1 \right] (y_0, s_0).$$

We show that

$$B_0 \le c(N, q, R_0)$$
 for ε small enough. (4.22)

In fact, as above we have

$$B_0 \lesssim \sum_{j=i_0}^{\infty} r_j^{-N} \int_{S_j} \exp\left(C_1 \varepsilon \mathbb{I}_2^{2R_0} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_k\right]\right) dx dt.$$

Thus,

$$B_{0} \lesssim \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{-N} \int_{S_{j}} \exp\left(3C_{1}\varepsilon \mathbb{I}_{2}^{2R_{0}}[\nu_{j}]\right) dxdt$$

$$+ \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2} \exp\left(3C_{1}\varepsilon \sum_{k=i_{0}-1}^{j-1} ||\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2R_{0}}[\nu_{k}]||_{L^{\infty}(S_{j})}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=i_{0}}^{\infty} r_{j}^{2} \exp\left(3C_{1}\varepsilon \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} ||\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2R_{0}}[\nu_{k}]||_{L^{\infty}(S_{j})}\right)$$

$$= B_{1} + B_{2} + B_{3}. \tag{4.23}$$

Here we used an inequality $\exp(a+b+c) \le \exp(3a) + \exp(3b) + \exp(3c)$ for all a, b, c. By Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\int_{S_j} \exp\left(3C_1 \varepsilon \mathbb{I}_2^{2R_0}[\nu_j]\right) dx dt \lesssim r_j^{N+2} \text{ for all } j,$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Hence,

$$B_1 \lesssim \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} r_j^2 \lesssim (\max\{2R_0, 1\})^2.$$
 (4.24)

Note that estimates (4.20) and (4.21) are also true with ν_k ; we deduce

$$B_2 + B_3 \lesssim \sum_{j=i_0}^{\infty} r_j^2 \exp\left(c_2 \varepsilon \sum_{k=i_0-1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}{r_k^N}\right) + \sum_{j=i_0}^{\infty} r_j^2 \exp\left(c_2 \varepsilon \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}{r_j^N}\right).$$

From (4.12) we have $\mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}) \lesssim r_k^N$ for all k, therefore

$$B_2 + B_3 \lesssim \sum_{j=i_0}^{\infty} r_j^2 \exp\left(c_3 \varepsilon (j - i_0)\right) + \sum_{j=i_0}^{\infty} r_j^2 \exp\left(c_3 \varepsilon\right)$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{j=i_0}^{\infty} \exp\left(c_3 \varepsilon (j - i_0) - 4 \log(2)j\right) + r_{i_0}^2$$
$$\leq c_4(N, q, R_0) \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \text{ small enough.}$$

Combining this with (4.24) and (4.23) we obtain (4.22).

This implies straightforwardly $\exp\left(C_1\varepsilon\mathbb{I}_2^{2R_0}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\nu_k\right]\right)\in L^1(\tilde{Q}_{R_0}(0,0)).$

We conclude that for any $(y_0, s_0) \in (B_{\delta}(0) \times (-\delta^2, \delta^2)) \cap O$,

$$u_1(y_0, s_0) \gtrsim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1q'}(M_k(y_0, s_0))}{r_k^N}$$

and

$$u_2(y_0, s_0) \gtrsim -c_1(R_0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{PH}_1^N(M_k(y_0, s_0))}{r_k^N},$$

where $r_k = 4^{-k}$ and

$$M_k(y_0, s_0) = O^c \cap \left(\overline{B_{r_{k+2}}(y_0)} \times \left[s_0 - (73 + \frac{1}{2})r_{k+2}^2, s_0 - (70 + \frac{1}{2})r_{k+2}^2\right]\right).$$

Take $r_{k_{\delta}+4} \leq \delta < r_{k_{\delta}+3}$, we have for $1 \leq k \leq k_{\delta}$

$$M_k(y_0, s_0) \supset O^c \cap \left(B_{r_{k+2} - \delta}(0) \times \left(\delta^2 - (73 + \frac{1}{2}) r_{k+2}^2, -\delta^2 - (70 + \frac{1}{2}) r_{k+2}^2 \right) \right)$$
$$\supset O^c \cap \left(B_{r_{k+3}}(0) \times \left(-73 r_{k+2}^2, -71 r_{k+2}^2 \right) \right)$$
$$= O^c \cap \left(B_{r_{k+3}}(0) \times \left(-1168 r_{k+3}^2, -1136 r_{k+3}^2 \right) \right).$$

Finally

$$\inf_{(y_0,s_0)\in (B_\delta(0)\times (-\delta^2,\delta^2))\cap O} u_1(y_0,s_0) \\ \gtrsim \sum_{k=4}^{k_\delta+3} \frac{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,q'}\left(O^c\cap \left(B_{r_k}(0)\times \left(-1168r_k^2,-1136r_k^2\right)\right)\right)}{r_k^N} \to \infty \text{ as } \delta \to 0,$$

and

$$\inf_{(y_0,s_0)\in (B_{\delta}(0)\times(-\delta^2,\delta^2))\cap O} u_2(y_0,s_0) \gtrsim -c_1(R_0) + \sum_{k=4}^{k_{\delta}+3} \frac{\mathcal{PH}_1^N\left(O^c \cap \left(B_{r_k}(0)\times\left(-1168r_k^2,-1136r_k^2\right)\right)\right)}{r_k^N} \to \infty \text{ as } \delta \to 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1-(i) and Theorem 1.2.

4.3 The viscous Hamilton-Jacobi parabolic equations

In this section we apply our previous result to the question of existence of a large solution of the following type of parabolic viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + a |\nabla u|^p + b u^q = 0 \qquad \text{in } O, u = \infty \qquad \text{on } \partial_n O,$$
 (4.25)

where a > 0, b > 0 and 1 . First, we show that such a large solution to (4.25) does not exist when <math>q = 1. Equivalently namely, for a > 0, b > 0 and p > 1 there exists no function $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ satisfying

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + a |\nabla u|^p \ge -bu$$
 in O ,
 $u = \infty$ on $\partial_p O$. (4.26)

Indeed, assuming that such a function $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$, exists, we define

$$U(x,t) = u(x,t)e^{bt} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|x|^2,$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ and denote by $(x_0, t_0) \in O \setminus \partial_p O$ the point where U achieves it minimum in O, i.e. $U(x_0, t_0) = \inf\{U(x, t) : (x, t) \in O\}$. Clearly, we have

$$\partial_t U(x_0, t_0) \le 0$$
, $\Delta U(x_0, t_0) \ge 0$ and $\nabla U(x_0, t_0) = 0$.

Thus,

$$\partial_t u(x_0, t_0) \le -bu(x_0, t_0), \quad -\Delta u(x_0, t_0) \le -\varepsilon N e^{-bt_0} \text{ and } a|\nabla u(x_0, t_0)|^p = a\varepsilon^p |x_0|^p e^{-pbt_0},$$

from which follows

$$\partial_t u(x_0, t_0) - \Delta u(x_0, t_0) + a|\nabla u(x_0, t_0)|^p \le -bu(x_0, t_0) + \varepsilon e^{-bt_0} \left(-N + a\varepsilon^{p-1}|x_0|^p e^{-(p-1)bt_0} \right) < -bu(x_0, t_0)$$

for ε small enough, we obtain contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Remark 3.3, we have

$$\inf\{v(x,t);(x,t)\in O\} \ge (q_1-1)^{-\frac{1}{q_1-1}}R^{-\frac{2}{q_1-1}}.$$

Take $V = \lambda v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \in C^{2,1}(O)$ for $\lambda > 0$. Thus $v = \lambda^{-\alpha} V^{\alpha}$.

$$\inf\{V(x,t); (x,t) \in O\} > 0\} \ge \lambda (q_1 - 1)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha(q_1 - 1)}} R^{-\frac{2}{\alpha(q_1 - 1)}}$$

and

$$\partial_t v - \Delta v + v^{q_1} = \alpha \lambda^{-\alpha} V^{\alpha - 1} \partial_t V - \alpha \lambda^{-\alpha} V^{\alpha - 1} \Delta V + \alpha (1 - \alpha) \lambda^{-\alpha} V^{\alpha - 1} \frac{|\nabla V|^2}{V} + \lambda^{-\alpha q_1} V^{\alpha q_1}.$$

This leads to

$$\partial_t V - \Delta V + (1 - \alpha) \frac{|\nabla V|^2}{V} + \alpha^{-1} \lambda^{-\alpha(q_1 - 1)} V^{\alpha q_1 - \alpha + 1} = 0$$
 in O .

Using Hölder's inequality,

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{|\nabla V|^2}{V} + (2\alpha)^{-1}\lambda^{-\alpha(q_1-1)}V^{\alpha q_1-\alpha+1} \ge c_1|\nabla V|^p\lambda^{-\frac{\alpha(q_1-1)(2-p)}{2}}V^{\frac{\alpha(q_1-1)(2-p)}{2}-(p-1)}$$

$$\ge c_2|\nabla V|^p\lambda^{-(p-1)}R^{-2+p+\frac{2(p-1)}{\alpha(q_1-1)}}$$

and

$$(2\alpha)^{-1}\lambda^{-\alpha(q_1-1)}V^{\alpha q_1-\alpha+1} \ge c_3\lambda^{-(q-1)}R^{-2+\frac{2(q-1)}{\alpha(q_1-1)}}V^q.$$

Clearly, if we choose

$$\lambda = \min\{c_2^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, c_3^{\frac{1}{q-1}}\} \min\left\{a^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} R^{-\frac{2-p}{p-1} + \frac{2}{\alpha(q_1-1)}}, b^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} R^{-\frac{2}{q-1} + \frac{2}{\alpha(q_1-1)}}\right\}$$

then

$$c_2 \lambda^{-(p-1)} R^{-2+p+\frac{2(p-1)}{\alpha(q_1-1)}} \ge a,$$

 $c_3 \lambda^{-(q-1)} R^{-2+\frac{2(q-1)}{\alpha(q_1-1)}} > b,$

it follows

$$\partial_t V - \Delta V + a |\nabla V|^p + b V^q \le 0$$
 in O

By Remark 3.5, there exists a maximal solution $u \in C^{2,1}(O)$ of

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + a |\nabla u|^p + b u^q = 0$$
 in O

Therefore, $u \ge V = \lambda v^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ and u is a large solution of (4.25). This is complete the proof of Theorem.

5 Appendix

Proof of Proposition 2.5. First we have the following equivalence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left(\mathbb{I}_2^1[\mu](x,t) \right)^{(N+2)/N} dx dt \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \int_0^1 (\mu(\tilde{Q}_r(x,t)))^{2/N} \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x,t). \tag{5.1}$$

In fact, we have for $\rho_j = 2^{-j}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (\mu(\tilde{Q}_{\rho_j}(x,t)))^{2/N} d\mu(x,t) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \int_0^1 (\mu(\tilde{Q}_r(x,t)))^{2/N} \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x,t)$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (\mu(\tilde{Q}_{\rho_j}(x,t)))^{2/N} d\mu(x,t).$$

Note that for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\rho_j^{-N-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (\mu(\tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j+1}}(x,t)))^{(N+2)/N} dx dt \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (\mu(\tilde{Q}_{\rho_j}(x,t)))^{2/N} d\mu(x,t)$$
$$\lesssim \rho_j^{-N-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (\mu(\tilde{Q}_{\rho_{j-1}}(x,t)))^{(N+2)/N} dx dt.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \rho_j^{-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (\mu(\tilde{Q}_{\rho_j}(x,t)))^{(N+2)/N} dx dt \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \int_0^1 (\mu(\tilde{Q}_r(x,t)))^{2/N} \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x,t)$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \rho_j^{-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (\mu(\tilde{Q}_{\rho_j}(x,t)))^{(N+2)/N} dx dt.$$

This yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left(\mathbb{M}_{2}^{1/4}[\mu](x,t) \right)^{(N+2)/N} dx dt \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \int_{0}^{1} (\mu(\tilde{Q}_{r}(x,t)))^{2/N} \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x,t)$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left(\mathbb{I}_{2}^{4}[\mu](x,t) \right)^{(N+2)/N} dx dt.$$

By [11, Theorem 4.2],

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left(\mathbb{M}_2^{1/4}[\mu](x,t) \right)^{(N+2)/N} dx dt \asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \left(\mathbb{I}_2^4[\mu](x,t) \right)^{(N+2)/N} dx dt,$$

thus we obtain (5.1).

Now we come back proof of proposition. The first inequality in (2.1) was proved in [11]. We now prove the second inequality. By Theorem 2.4 there is $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$, supp $(\mu) \subset K$

$$||\mathbb{M}_{2}^{2}[\mu]||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \le 1 \text{ and } \mu(K) \simeq \mathcal{PH}_{2}^{N}(K) \gtrsim |K|^{N/(N+2)}.$$
 (5.2)

Thanks to (5.1), we have for $\delta = \min\{1, (\mu(K))^{1/N}\}\$

$$||\mathbb{I}_{2}^{1}[\mu]||_{L^{(N+2)/N}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}^{(N+2)/N} \simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \int_{0}^{1} (\mu(\tilde{Q}_{r}(x,t)))^{2/N} \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x,t)$$

$$\lesssim \delta^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} d\mu(x,t) + \log(1/\delta) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} d\mu(x,t) \right)^{(N+2)/N}$$

$$\lesssim (\mu(K))^{(N+2)/N} \left(1 + \log_{+} \left((\mu(K))^{-1} \right) \right)$$

$$\lesssim (\mu(K))^{(N+2)/N} \log \left(\frac{|\tilde{Q}_{200}(0,0)|}{|K|} \right).$$

Set $\tilde{\mu} = \left(\log\left(\frac{|\tilde{Q}_{200}(0,0)|}{|K|}\right)\right)^{-N/(N+2)} \mu/\mu(K)$, then $||\mathbb{I}_2^1[\tilde{\mu}]||_{L^{(N+2)/N}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \lesssim 1$. It is well known that

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,\frac{N+2}{2}}(K) \asymp \sup\{(\omega(K))^{(N+2)/2} : \omega \in \mathfrak{M}^+(K), ||\mathbb{I}_2^1[\omega]||_{L^{(N+2)/N}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \lesssim 1\}$$
 (5.3)

see [11, Section 4]. This gives the second inequality in (2.1).

It is easy to prove (2.2) from its definition. Moreover, (5.3) implies that

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,\frac{N+2}{2}}(K)^{2/N}} \asymp \inf\{||\mathbb{I}_2^1[\omega]||_{L^{(N+2)/N}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}^{(N+2)/N} : \omega \in \mathfrak{M}^+(K), \omega(K) = 1\}$$

We deduce from (5.1) that

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,\frac{N+2}{2}}(K)^{2/N}} \approx \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \int_0^1 (\mu(\tilde{Q}_r(x,t)))^{2/N} \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x,t) : \omega \in \mathfrak{M}^+(K), \omega(K) = 1 \right\}. \tag{5.4}$$

As in [6, proof of Lemma 2.2], it is easy to derive (2.3) from (5.4).

Proof of Proposition 2.6. Thanks to the Poincaré inequality, it is enough to show that there exists $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(Q_2(0,0))$ such that $0 \le \varphi \le 1$, with $\varphi = 1$ in an open neighborhood of K and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (|D^2 \varphi|^p + |\partial_t \varphi|^p) dx dt \lesssim \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,p}(K). \tag{5.5}$$

By definition, one can find $0 \le \phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}), \phi \ge 1$ in a neighborhood of K such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (|D^2 \phi|^p + |\nabla \phi|^p + |\phi|^p + |\phi_t|^p) dx dt \le 2 \operatorname{Cap}_{2,1,p}(K).$$

Let η be a cut off function on $\tilde{Q}_1(0,0)$ with respect to $\tilde{Q}_{3/2}(0,0)$ and $H \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq H(t) \leq t^+, \ |t||H''(t)| \lesssim 1 \ \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \ H(t) = 0 \ \text{ for } t \leq 1/4 \ \text{ and } \ H(t) = 1 \ \text{ for } t \geq 3/4.$ We claim that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (|D^2 \varphi|^p + |\partial_t \varphi|^p) dx dt \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (|D^2 \phi|^p + |\nabla \phi|^p + |\phi|^p + |\phi_t|^p) dx dt \tag{5.6}$$

where $\varphi = \eta H(\phi)$. Indeed, we have

$$|D^2\varphi| \lesssim |D^2\eta|H(\phi) + |\nabla\eta||H'(\phi)||\nabla\phi| + \eta|H''(\phi)||\nabla\phi|^2 + \eta|H''(\phi)||D^2\phi|$$

and

$$|\partial_t \varphi| \lesssim |\partial_t \eta| H(\phi) + \eta |H'(\phi)| |\phi_t|, \quad H(\phi) \leq \phi, \quad \phi |H''(\phi)| \lesssim 1.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (|D^2 \varphi|^p + |\partial_t \varphi|^p) dx dt \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} (|D^2 \phi|^p + |\nabla \phi|^p + |\phi|^p + |\phi_t|^p) dx dt$$
$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2p}}{\phi^p} dx dt.$$

This implies (5.6) since, according to [1], one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla \phi(t)|^{2p}}{\phi(t)^p} dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |D^2 \phi(t)|^p dx \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

References

- [1] Adams, David R. On the existence of capacitary strong type estimates in \mathbb{R}^N . Ark. Mat. 14, 125-140 (1976).
- [2] R.J. Bagby. Lebesgue spaces of parabolic potentials, Ill. J. Math. 15, 610-634 (1971).
- [3] P. Baras and M. Pierre. *Problèmes paraboliques semi-linéaires avec données mesures*, Applicable Anal. **18**, 111-149 (1984).
- [4] J. S. Dhersin and J. F. Le Gall. Wieners test for super-Brownian motion and the Brownian snake, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 108, 103-29 (1997).
- [5] E. DiBenedetto. *Degenerate parabolic equations*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1993).
- [6] D. Labutin. Wiener regularity for large solutions of nonlinear equations, Archiv for Math. 41, 307-339 (2003).
- [7] G.M. Lieberman. Second Order Parabolic Differential Equations, World Scientific press, River Edge (1996).
- [8] Phuoc-Tai Nguyen. Parabolic equations with exponential nonlinearity and measure data,J. Diff. Equ. (to appear), arXiv:1312.2509.
- [9] H. Nguyen Quoc, L. Véron. Quasilinear and Hessian type equations with exponential reaction and measure data, Arch. Rat. Mech. and Anal. (to appear), DOI 10.1007/s00205-014-0756-7.
- [10] H. Nguyen Quoc, L. Véron., Wiener criteria for existence of large solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with absorption, arXiv:1308.2956v3.
- [11] H. Nguyen Quoc. Potential estimates and quasilinear equations with measure data arXiv:1405.2587v1.

- [12] M. Marcus, L. Véron. Capacitary estimates of solutions of semilinear parabolic equations, Calc. Var. & Part. Diff. Equ. 48, 131-183 (2013).
- [13] B. O. Tureson. Nonlinear Potential Theory and weighted Sobolev Spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1736, Springer-Verlag (2000).
- [14] L. Véron. A note on maximal solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations with absorption, Asymptotic Analysis **72**, 189-200 (2011).