HAL
open science

Number of eigenvalues for dissipative Schrödinger operators under perturbation

Xue Ping Wang

To cite this version:

Xue Ping Wang. Number of eigenvalues for dissipative Schrödinger operators under perturbation. Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 2011, 96 (5), pp.409-422. hal-01005832

HAL Id: hal-01005832

https://hal.science/hal-01005832v1

Submitted on 13 Jun 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES FOR DISSIPATIVE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS UNDER PERTURBATION

XUE PING WANG

Abstract. In this article, we prove for a class of dissipative Schrödinger operators $H = -\Delta + V(x)$ with a complex-valued potential $V(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, and $\Im V(x) \leq 0$ and $\Im V \neq 0$ that 0 is not an accumulating point of the eigenvalues of H. If $\Im V$ is sufficiently small, we show that $N(V) = N(\Re V) + k$, where k is the multiplicity of the zero resonance of the selfadjoint Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + \Re V$ and $N(W)$ the number of eigenvalues of $-\Delta + W$, counted according to their algebraic multiplicity.

Résumé. Dans cet article, nous démontrons que zéro n'est pas point d'accumulation des valeurs propres pour une classe d'opérateurs de Schrödinger dissipatifs $H = -\Delta + V(x)$ sur $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, avec un potentiel complexe $V(x)$ tel que sa partie imaginaire vérifie : $\Im V(x) \leq 0$ et $\Im V \neq 0$. Si $\Im V$ est suffisamment petit, nous montrons que $N(V) = N(\Re V) + k$, où k est la multiplicité de la résonance au seuil zéro de l'opérateur de Schrödinger autoadjoint $-\Delta + \Re V$ et $N(W)$ le nombre des valeurs propres de $-\Delta + W$, comptées selon leur multiplicité algébrique.

1. Introduction

Consider the Schrödinger operator $H = -\Delta + V(x)$ with a complex-valued potential $V(x) = V_1(x) - iV_2(x)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 2$, where V_1 and V_2 are real measurable functions. V and H are called dissipative if $V_2(x) \geq 0$ and $V_2(x) > 0$ on some non trivial open set. Assume that V is a $-\Delta$-compact perturbation. H is then closed with domain $D(H) = D(-\Delta)$. Let $\sigma(H)$ (resp., $\sigma_{ess}(H)$, $\sigma_d(H)$) denote the spectrum (resp., essential spectrum, discrete spectrum) of H. By Weyl's essential spectrum theorem, one has $\sigma_{ess}(H) = [0, \infty[$ and the spectrum of H is discrete in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty[$, consisting of eigenvalues with finite multiplicity which may accumulate to any point of $[0, \infty[$. For real-valued potentials V, it is well-known that if $V(x)$ decays like $O(|x|^{-\rho})$ for some $\rho > 2$, the eigenvalues of $H_1 = -\Delta + V_1(x)$ can not accumulate to 0 (cf. [9]). In this work, we prove that this is still true for dissipative Schrödinger operators when $n \geq 3$. We also study the number of eigenvalues of H when $\Im V$ is regarded as a small perturbation. Throughout this work, eigenvalues are counted according to their algebraic multiplicity.

The minimal assumptions used in this work are as follows. Suppose that $n \geq 2$, V_1 and V_2 are real functions satisfying the estimates

$$|V_j(x)| \leq C\langle x\rangle^{-\rho_j}, \quad V_2(x) \geq 0 \text{ and } V_2 \neq 0, \tag{1.1}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J10, 35P15, 47A55.

Key words and phrases. Complex eigenvalues, threshold resonance, non-selfadjoint operators, dissipative Schrödinger operators.

Research supported in part by the French national research project NONAa, No. ANR-08-BLAN-0228-01 and by Qian Ren project at Nanjing University.

for some $\rho_j > 1$, $j = 1, 2$. Here $\langle x\rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$; the real part of the potential is allowed to have critical decay:

$$V_1(x) = \frac{q(\theta)}{r^2} + O(\langle x\rangle^{-\rho_1'}), \quad |x| > R, \tag{1.2}$$

for some $R > 0$ and $\rho_1' > 2$, where $r = |x|$, $x = r\theta$, $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $q(\theta)$ is a real continuous function on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that the lowest eigenvalue, μ_1, of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} + q(\theta)$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ verifies

$$\mu_1 > -\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}. \tag{1.3}$$

Set $\nu_1 = \sqrt{\mu_1 + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}}$. Note that if $n \geq 3$ and V_1 satisfies (1.1) for some $\rho_1 > 2$, (1.2) and (1.3) are satisfied with $q = 0$ and $\mu_1 = 0$. For $n = 2$, the condition (1.3) requires the potential to be positive in some sense when $|x|$ is large enough. Rapidly decaying potentials are excluded when $n = 2$.

For potentials satisfying (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), we say that zero is a resonance of H if the equation $Hu = 0$ has a solution $u \in H^{1,-s} \setminus L^2$ for any $s > 1$ ($H^{1,-s}$ is the weighted first order Sobolev space with the weight $\langle x\rangle^{-s}$) and u is then called a resonant state. As for selfadjoint operators (cf. [4]), zero is called a regular point of H if it is neither an eigenvalue nor a resonance of H (notice however that dissipative Schrödinger operators H have no real eigenvalues). For the selfadjoint operator H_1 with a critically decaying potential V_1, zero resonance may appear in any space dimension $n \geq 2$ with arbitrary multiplicity depending on $q(\theta)$ (see [14, 15]). The following result says that this can not happen for dissipative Schrödinger operators.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. Under the conditions (1.1)-(1.3) with $\rho_1' > 2$ and $\rho_2 > 2$, zero is a regular point of H. The eigenvalues of H can not accumulate to zero and there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that the limits*

$$R(\lambda \pm i0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0_+} R(\lambda \pm i\epsilon) \tag{1.4}$$

exist in $\mathcal{L}(-1, s; 1, -s)$, $s > 1$, uniformly in $\lambda \in [-c_0, c_0]$.

,

For the notation $\mathcal{L}(-1, s; 1, -s)$, see the end of Introduction. In [8], A. Laptev and O. Safronov deduce from their estimates on complex eigenvalues that if $n = 3$ and $V_2 \geq 0$ is integrable, the eigenvalues of $-\Delta - iV_2$ can not accumulate to zero. The limiting absorption principle of Schrödinger operators with complex-valued potentials is studied in [6, 11] at $\lambda > 0$ and outside some exceptional set of measure zero in $]0, \infty[$ (see also [12]). Recently, the limiting absorption principle from the upper half-complex plane for each $\lambda > 0$ is proved in [10] for abstract dissipative operators without such an implicit condition.

The next result of this work is on the number of eigenvalues of a dissipative Schrödinger operator when the imaginary part of the potential is small. Denote $H(\gamma) = H_1 - i\gamma V_2$ where $\gamma > 0$ is a small parameter. Let $N(\gamma)$ (resp. N_1) be the total number of the complex eigenvalues of $H(\gamma)$ (resp., H_1). It is easy to show that under the same conditions as in Theorem 1.1, if 0 is a regular point of H_1, then

$$N(\gamma) = N_1 \tag{1.5}$$

for $0 < \gamma \leq \gamma_0$. See Proposition 3.1. A more interesting question is the case when zero happens to be an eigenvalue or a resonance of H_1. For the class of potentials V_1 under consideration, zero resonance of H_1 may appear in any space dimension with arbitrary multiplicity. The interaction between resonant states makes the threshold spectral analysis rather difficult. See [15] for the resolvent expansion. In this work, we only study a particular case where

$$\left\{\nu = \sqrt{\mu + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}}, \mu \in \sigma(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} + q)\right\} \cap]0,1] = \{\nu_1\} \tag{1.6}$$

with $\nu_1 = \sqrt{\mu_1 + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}}$. The condition (1.6) is satisfied if $q(\theta) = q_0$ is an appropriate constant and it ensures that if zero is a resonance of H_1, then it is simple. Let φ_0 be a normalized eigenfunction (which can be taken to be positive) of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} + q$ associated with μ_1. Set

$$W_1(x) = V_1(x) - \frac{q(\theta)}{r^2}, \quad \eta_0(x) = \frac{\varphi_0(\theta)}{r^{\frac{n-2}{2}-\nu_1}}, \quad x = r\theta.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Assume (1.1) - (1.3) with $\rho_1' > 4$ and $\rho_2 > 4$ and (1.6).*

(a). Assume that zero is an eigenvalue but not a resonance of H_1. Then

$$N(\gamma) = N_1 \tag{1.7}$$

for $0 < \gamma < \gamma_0$. Here N_1 is the total number of eigenvalues of H_1, including the zero eigenvalue.

(b). Assume that zero is a resonance but not an eigenvalue of H_1 and that

$$\nu_1 \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\langle W_1\eta_0, \phi\rangle}\langle V_2\eta_0, \phi\rangle < 0. \tag{1.8}$$

Then there exits $\gamma_0 > 0$ such that

$$N(\gamma) = N_1 + 1, \tag{1.9}$$

for $0 < \gamma < \gamma_0$. Here N_1 is the total number of negative eigenvalues of H_1.

Note that $\langle W_1\eta_0, \phi\rangle \neq 0$ if ϕ is a resonant state ([14, 15]) and the condition

$$\overline{\langle W_1\eta_0, \phi\rangle}\langle V_2\eta_0, \phi\rangle < 0$$

is independent of the choice of ϕ. If $n = 3$ or 4 and if the condition (1.1) is satisfied with $\rho_1 > 2$, one has $q = 0$, $\nu_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ or 1, respectively, $W_1 = V_1$ and η_0 is constant: $\eta_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|}}$. The condition (1.8) is then simplified as

$$\overline{\langle V_1, \phi\rangle}\langle V_2, \phi\rangle < 0. \tag{1.10}$$

In particular, if $V_2 = -V_1$, one has

$$\overline{\langle V_1, \phi\rangle}\langle V_2, \phi\rangle = -|\langle V_1, \phi\rangle|^2 < 0$$

for any resonant state ϕ, because $\langle V_1, \phi\rangle \neq 0$ by the characterization of resonant states. As a consequence of Theorem 1.2, we deduce that under the conditions that $n = 3, 4$,

$V_1 = -V_2$ verifying the condition (1.1) with $\rho_1 = \rho_2 > 4$ and zero is an eigenvalue or a resonance of H_1, the number of eigenvalues of $H(\gamma) = -\Delta + (1 + i\gamma)V_1$ is given by

$$N(\gamma) = \begin{cases} N_1, & \text{if zero is not a resonance of } H_1; \\ N_1 + 1, & \text{if zero is a resonance of } H_1. \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

for $\gamma > 0$ sufficiently small. An example of the potential V_1 for which zero is a resonance but not an eigenvalue of H_1 is given in Section 3.

Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 2. As a consequence, we deduce a global resolvent estimate on the whole real axis which may be useful to study the long-time quantum dynamics of the semigroup. The number of eigenvalues under dissipative perturbation is studied in Section 3. A Breit-Wigner type resolvent estimate is given near the eigenvalues. The main attention is payed to the case where zero eigenvalue and zero resonance of the selfadjoint operator H_1 are present. The techniques used in the proof of the both theorems are threshold spectral analysis.

Notation. $H^{r,s}$, $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, denotes the weighted Sobolev space of order r defined by $H^{r,s} = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n); \langle x\rangle^s(1-\Delta)^{r/2} f \in L^2\}$ equipped with the natural norm noted as $\|\cdot\|_{r,s}$. The dual product between $H^{r,s}$ and $H^{-r,-s}$ is identified with L^2-scalar product. Denote $H^{0,s} = L^{2,s}$ and $H^{r,0} = H^r$. $\mathcal{L}(r, s; r', s')$ is the space of continuous linear operators from $H^{r,s}$ to $H^{r',s'}$ and $\mathcal{L}(r, s) = \mathcal{L}(r, s; r, s)$.

2. Spectral properties near the threshold

The following result is essential to prove Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $s \in [0, 1[$. Suppose that the condition (1.1) is satisfied with $\rho_j > s+1$, $j = 1, 2$. Then $u \in H^{1,-s}$ and $Hu = 0$ imply $u = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\rho' = \min\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$. Then $\rho' - s > 1$ and one has $-\Delta u = -Vu \in L^{2,\rho'-s}$, $H_1 u = iV_2 u \in L^{2,\rho'-s}$. The equation $Hu = 0$ gives

$$\langle u, H_1 u\rangle = i\langle u, V_2 u\rangle. \tag{2.1}$$

We want to show that $\langle H_1 u, u\rangle$ is a real number, although u is not in the domain of the selfadjoint operator H_1. To do this, we need to show that $\nabla u \in L^2$. Notice first that since $u \in L^{2,-s}$, $\Delta u \in L^{2,s} \subset L^{2,-s}$ and since $\langle x\rangle^{-s}\nabla(1-\Delta)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^s$ is bounded on L^2, one has

$$\langle x\rangle^{-s}\nabla u = (\langle x\rangle^{-s}\nabla(1-\Delta)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^s)\langle x\rangle^{-s}(1-\Delta)u \in L^2.$$

Therefore $e^{-\epsilon\langle x\rangle}\nabla u \in L^2$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ and one has

$$\|e^{-\epsilon\langle x\rangle}\nabla u\|^2 = \langle e^{-2\epsilon\langle x\rangle}u, -\Delta u\rangle + 2\epsilon\langle e^{-2\epsilon\langle x\rangle}u, \frac{x}{\langle x\rangle}\cdot\nabla u\rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $u \in L^{2,-s}$ for some $s < 1$, one has

$$|\epsilon\langle e^{-2\epsilon\langle x\rangle}u, \frac{x}{\langle x\rangle}\cdot\nabla u\rangle| \le M\epsilon^{1-s}\|\langle x\rangle^{-s}u\|\|e^{-\epsilon\langle x\rangle}\nabla u\|, \tag{2.3}$$

with $M = \sup_{r\geq 0} r^s e^{-r}$. It follows that for $\epsilon_0 > 0$ small enough

$$\|e^{-\epsilon\langle x\rangle}\nabla u\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{1-M\epsilon^{1-s}}|\langle e^{-2\epsilon\langle x\rangle}u, -\Delta u\rangle| + \frac{M\epsilon^{1-s}}{1-M\epsilon^{1-s}}\|\langle x\rangle^{-s}u\|^2, \quad 0<\epsilon\leq\epsilon_0. \tag{2.4}$$

Since $-\Delta u \in L^{2,s}$ and $u \in L^{2,-s}$,

$$|\langle e^{-2\epsilon\langle x\rangle}u, -\Delta u\rangle| \leq \|u\|_{L^{2,-s}}\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2,s}} \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

We deduce that $\sup_{0<\epsilon\leq\epsilon_0}\|e^{-\epsilon\langle x\rangle}\nabla u\|^2 < \infty$ which implies $\nabla u \in L^2$ and

$$\|\nabla u\|^2 \leq |\langle u, -\Delta u\rangle|.$$

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\chi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$, 0 for $|x| \geq 2$. let $\chi_R(x) = \chi(x/R)$, $R > 1$. Then $\langle \chi_R u, -\Delta(\chi_R u)\rangle \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \chi_R u, -\Delta(\chi_R u)\rangle &= \langle \chi_R u, -\chi_R\Delta u\rangle + \langle \chi_R u, [-\Delta, \chi_R]u\rangle \\ &\to \langle u, -\Delta u\rangle, \quad R\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

When taking the limit, we used $u \in L^{2,-s}$, $\Delta u \in L^{2,s}$ with $s < 1$ and $\nabla u \in L^2$. This proves that $\langle u, -\Delta u\rangle \geq 0$. In particular, $\langle u, H_1 u\rangle = \langle u, -\Delta u\rangle + \langle u, V_1 u\rangle$ is a real number.

It follows from (2.1) that $\langle H_1 u, u\rangle = 0$ and $\langle V_2 u, u\rangle = 0$. Since $V_2 \geq 0$ and $V_2 \neq 0$, one has $V_2 u = 0$ and $u(x) = 0$ for x in a non trivial open set Ω. Now u is solution to the equation $H_1 u = 0$. We can apply the unique continuation theorem (see [3]) to H_1 to conclude $u = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. □

The same argument as that used in Lemma 2.1 shows that H has no real eigenvalues.

Corollary 2.2. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1, zero is a regular point of H: if $Hu = 0$ and $u \in H^{1,-s}$ for any $s > 1$, then $u = 0$.*

Proof. For $u \in H^{1,-s}$, $\forall s > 1$, and $Hu = 0$, one can expand u in terms of the eigenfunctions of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} + q(\theta)$ to show that

$$u(x) = \frac{\psi(\theta)}{r^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\nu_1}} + v, \qquad \text{for } r = |x| \text{ large},$$

with $\nu_1 = \sqrt{\mu_1 + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}} > 0$, $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ and $v \in L^2(|x| > 1)$. See Theorem 4.1 in [14]. This means that u is in fact in $H^{1,-s}$ for any $s \in]1-\nu_1, 1[$. Since $\min\{\rho_1, \rho_2\} = 2 > 1+s$, Lemma 2.1 can be applied to conclude that $u = 0$. □

For $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$, denote $D(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z - z_0| < r\}$, $D_\pm(z_0, r) = D(z_0, r) \cap \mathbb{C}_\pm$ and $D'(0, r) = D(0, r) \setminus [0, r[$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\chi_1(x)^2 + \chi_2(x)^2 = 1$ be a partition of unity on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\chi_1 \in C_0^\infty$ such that $0 \leq \chi_j \leq 1$ and $\chi_1(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$. (1.3) implies that the form defined by $-\Delta + \frac{q(\theta)}{r^2}$ on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ is positive. Let H_0 denote its Friedrich's extension. Then the following generalized Hardy's inequality holds: there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\langle \frac{1}{r^2}u, u\rangle \leq c\langle u, H_0 u\rangle, \quad \forall\, u \in D(H_0). \tag{2.5}$$

Set

$$\tilde{R}_0(z) = \chi_1(-\Delta + 1 - z)^{-1}\chi_1 + \chi_2(H_0 - z)^{-1}\chi_2,$$

and

$$K(z) = \tilde{R}_0(z)(H - z) - 1,$$

for $z \in D'(0, \delta)$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} K(z) &= \chi_1(-\Delta + 1 - z)^{-1}([\Delta, \chi_1] + (V - 1)\chi_1) \\ &\quad + \chi_2(H_0 - z)^{-1}([\Delta, \chi_2] + (V - \frac{q(\theta)}{r^2})\chi_2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(-\Delta + 1 - z)^{-1}$ is holomorphic for z near 0 and the asymptotics of $(H_0 - z)^{-1}$ are computed in [14] (see Theorem A.1 of Appendix A). In particular, (1.3) ensures that the $\ln z$-term is absent. One deduces that the limit

$$F_0 = \lim_{z \to 0, z \notin \mathbb{R}_+} \tilde{R}_0(z)$$

exists in $\mathcal{L}(-1, s; 1, -s)$ for any $s > 1$. Since $\rho_1' > 2$ and $\rho_2 > 2$, $K(z)$ is a compact operator-valued function on $H^{1,-s}$, $1 < s < \min\{\rho_1'/2, \rho_2/2\}$, holomorphic in $D'(0, \delta)$, continuous up to the boundary and

$$K(z) = K_0 + O(|z|^{\delta_0})$$

in $\mathcal{L}(1, -s; 1, -s)$ for some $\delta_0 > 0$, where $K_0 = \lim_{z \to 0, z \notin \mathbb{R}_+} K(z)$ is a compact operator. By the same method, one can show that the limits $\tilde{R}_0(\lambda \pm i0)$ and $K(\lambda \pm i0)$ exist uniformly for λ near 0.

We claim that -1 is not an eigenvalue of K_0. In fact, let $u \in H^{1,-s}$ for any $s > 1$ such that $K_0 u = -u$. A standard ellipticity argument shows that $u \in H^2_{\text{loc}}$. By the expression of K_0, one sees that

$$Hu = H_0(u + K_0 u) = 0$$

in the open set where $\chi_2(x) = 1$. Therefore $w := Hu$ is of compact support and

$$F_0 w = (1 + K_0)u = 0.$$

In particular,

$$\langle w, F_0 w \rangle = q_1(w) + q_2(w) = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(w) &= \lim_{\lambda \to 0_-} \langle w_1, (-\Delta + 1 - \lambda)^{-1} w_1 \rangle \quad \text{and} \\ q_2(w) &= \lim_{\lambda \to 0_-} \langle w_2, (-\Delta + \frac{q(\theta)}{r^2} - \lambda)^{-1} w_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

with $w_j = \chi_j w$, $j = 1, 2$. For each $\lambda < 0$, one has $\langle w_1, (-\Delta + 1 - \lambda)^{-1} w_1 \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle w_2, (-\Delta + \frac{q(\theta)}{r^2} - \lambda)^{-1} w_2 \rangle \geq 0$ (by (2.5)). Taking the limit $\lambda \to 0$, one obtains $q_1(w) \geq 0$ and $q_2(w) \geq 0$. (2.6) gives then $q_j(w) = 0$, $j = 1, 2$. Since $w \in L^2$, $q_1(w) = 0$ gives that $\chi_1 w = 0$. This proves $w = Hu = 0$. By Corollary 2.2, one has $u = 0$. Therefore -1 is not an eigenvalue of K_0 and $(1 + K_0)^{-1}$ exists in $\mathcal{L}(1, -s; 1, -s)$,

$s \in]1, \min\{\rho_1'/2, \rho_2/2\}[$. An argument of perturbation shows that $1 + K(z)$ is invertible for $z \in D'(0, \delta)$ with $\delta > 0$ small enough and

$$\sup_{z \in\in D'(0,\delta)} \|(1 + K(z))^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(1,-s;1,-s)} < \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

It follows from the equation $\widetilde{R}_0(z)(H - z) = 1 + K(z)$ that H has no eigenvalues in $D'(0, \delta)$ and one has

$$R(z) = (1 + K(z))^{-1}\widetilde{R}_0(z), \quad z \in D'(0, \delta), \tag{2.8}$$

and for any $s > 1$, $\sup_{z \in D'(0,\delta)} \|\langle x\rangle^{-s} R(z)\langle x\rangle^{-s}\| < \infty$. In addition, The existence of the limits

$$(1 + K(\lambda \pm i0))^{-1} \quad = \quad \lim_{\epsilon \to 0_+} (1 + K(\lambda \pm i\epsilon))^{-1}$$

in $\mathcal{L}(1, -s; 1, -s)$, $s \in]1, \min\{\rho_1'/2, \rho_2/2\}[$ uniformly for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and λ near 0 implies the existence of the limits $R(\lambda \pm i0)$ in $\mathcal{L}(-1, s; 1, -s)$, $s > 1$, for λ in a neighborhood of 0. □

Remark that $R(\lambda + i0) = R(\lambda - i0)$ for $\lambda < 0$ since H has no spectrum in $]-\infty, 0[$. The same is true for $\lambda = 0$ by the proof given above.

Under the condition (1.1) with $\rho_j > 1$, the limiting absorption principle from the upper-half complex plan for λ away from 0 can be deduced by an argument of perturbation ([1]) or by Mourre's theory for dissipative operators (see [10]) which also applies to dissipative Schrödinger operators with long-range potentials). One deduces from Theorem 1.1 the following

Corollary 2.3. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1, one has for any $s > 1$*

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-s} R(\lambda + i0)\langle x\rangle^{-s}\| \le C_s \langle\lambda\rangle^{-1/2}, \quad \forall\, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.9}$$

The global resolvent estimate (2.9) can be used to study quantum dynamics of the semigroup e^{-itH}, $t > 0$, such as the rate of time-decay and Kato's smoothness estimate for the semigroup. These problems of scattering nature will be treated in a separate publication.

3. Number of eigenvalues under dissipative perturbation

We are mainly interested in the perturbation of zero eigenvalue and zero resonance of H_1. Let us begin with the easy case where zero is a regular point of the selfadjoint operator H_1 (see also [6]).

Under the conditions (1.1)-(1.3) with $\rho_2 > 2$, $H_1 = -\Delta_1 + V_1$ has only a finite number of eigenvalues:

$$\sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \cdots < \sigma_k \le 0. \tag{3.1}$$

The resolvent $R_1(z)$ of H_1 verifies for any $\delta > 0$, $s > 1/2$,

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-s} R_1(z)\langle x\rangle^{-s}\| \le C_\delta |z|^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.2}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ with $|z| > \delta$ and $|z - \sigma_j| > \delta$. If zero is a regular point of H_1, then $\sigma_k < 0$ and one has for any $s > 1$,

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-s} R_1(z) \langle x\rangle^{-s}\| \leq C, \tag{3.3}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ with $|z| \leq \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$ small enough. Since $\rho_2 > 2$, we have

$$\||V_2|^{1/2} R_1(z) |V_2|^{1/2}\| \leq C_\delta \tag{3.4}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ with $|z - \sigma_j| > \delta$, $j = 1, \cdots, k$, if zero is a regular point of H_1.

Proposition 3.1. *Under the conditions (1.1)-(1.3), let $H_1 = -\Delta + V_1$ and $H(\gamma) = H_1 - i\gamma V_2$, $\gamma > 0$. Let $N(\gamma)$ (resp., N_1) denote the number of eigenvalues of $H(\gamma)$ (resp., H_1). Assume that zero is a regular point of H_1. Then there exists some $\gamma_0 > 0$ such that*

$$N(\gamma) = N_1 \tag{3.5}$$

for $0 < \gamma < \gamma_0$. More precisely, for each eigenvalue σ_j of H_1 with multiplicity m_j, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $H(\gamma)$ has m_j eigenvalues in the disc $\{z; |z - \sigma_j| < \delta\}$ given by

$$z_k = \sigma_j - i\gamma a_k + O(\gamma^2), \quad \gamma \in]0, \gamma_0], \ k = 1, \cdots, m_j, \tag{3.6}$$

for some $a_k > 0$. One has the following Breit-Wigner type resolvent estimate

$$\|R(\mu, \gamma)\| \leq \max_{\sigma_j \in \sigma_d(H_1)} \frac{C_\delta}{|\mu - (\sigma_j - i\gamma)|}, \tag{3.7}$$

for $\mu \in]-\infty, -\delta], \delta > 0$ and $\gamma \in]0, \gamma_0]$.

Proof. For each negative eigenvalue $\sigma_j < 0$ of H_1 with multiplicity m_j, a standard perturbation argument can be used to show that $\exists \delta_0 > 0$ such that $H(\gamma)$ has m_j eigenvalues in $D_-(\sigma_j, \delta_0)$ if $\gamma > 0$ is small enough. In fact, let Π_j denote the spectral projection of H_1 associated with the eigenvalue σ_j. Then

$$E(z, \gamma) := ((1 - \Pi_j) H(\gamma)(1 - \Pi_j) - z)^{-1}(1 - \Pi_j)$$

is well defined and is uniformly bounded for $|z - \sigma_j|$ and γ sufficiently small. One has the following Feshbach-Grushin formula:

$$R(z, \gamma) = E(z, \gamma) - (1 + i\gamma E(z, \gamma) V_2) \Pi_j (E_{-+}(z, \gamma))^{-1} \Pi_j (1 + i\gamma V_2 E(z, \gamma)) \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$E_{-+}(z, \gamma) = \Pi_j (z - \sigma_j + i\gamma V_2 - \gamma^2 V_2 E(z, \gamma) V_2) \Pi_j \tag{3.9}$$

See also (3.19) below. The eigenvalues of $H(\gamma)$ in a small disk around σ_j coincide with the zeros of $\det E_{-+}(z, \gamma)$ there. Notice that $\Pi_j V_2 \Pi_j \geq 0$, since $V_2 \geq 0$. This operator is positive definite on Ran Π_j, because if for some $\psi \in$ Ran Π_j, $\Pi_j V_2 \psi = 0$, then $V_2 \psi = 0$, which means $\psi(x) = 0$ for x in some nontrivial open set. But ψ is an eigenfunction of H_1 with eigenvalue σ_j. The unique continuation theorem shows that $\psi = 0$. Consequently, the eigenvalues, $a_1, \cdots, a_{m_j}$, of $\Pi_j V_2 \Pi_j$ on Ran Π_j are strictly positive. One can calculate that $H(\gamma)$ has m_j eigenvalues near σ_j given by

$$z_k = \sigma_j - i\gamma a_k + O(\gamma^2).$$

Since $E_{-+}(z,\gamma)$ can be diagonalized up to $O(\gamma^2)$, we obtain

$$\|(E_{-+}(\mu,\gamma))^{-1}\Pi_j\| \le C\frac{1}{|\mu-(\sigma_j-i\gamma)|} \tag{3.10}$$

uniformly in μ real, $|\mu-\sigma_j|$ and $\gamma>0$ small enough. It follows from (3.8) that (3.7) holds for $R(\mu,\gamma)$ for μ near $\sigma_d(H_1)$. For any $\delta>0$, one has

$$\|R_1(\mu)\| \le C_\delta/|\mu|, \quad \mu\le -\delta \text{ and } \operatorname{dist}(\mu,\sigma_d(H_1))\ge\delta.$$

The global bound (3.7) for $\mu<-\delta$ follows by an argument of perturbation.

For any fixed $\delta_0>0$, let $\Omega=\mathbb{C}_-\setminus(\cup_j D_-(\sigma_j,\delta_0))$. It is easy to see that $H(\gamma)$ has no eigenvalues in Ω when γ is sufficiently small. In fact, by (3.4), there exists $\gamma_0>0$ is such that

$$\gamma_0\||V_2|^{1/2}R_1(z)|V_2|^{1/2}\|<1, \tag{3.11}$$

for all $z\in\Omega$. Then, $H(\gamma)$ has no eigenvalues in Ω if $|\gamma|<\gamma_0$, because if u is an eigenfunction of $H(\gamma)$ associated with the eigenvalue $z_0\in\Omega$,

$$H(\gamma)u=z_0u,$$

then $v=|V_2|^{1/2}u\ne 0$. v is a non zero solution of the equation

$$v=-i\gamma|V_2|^{1/2}R_1(z_0)V_2^{1/2}v, \quad V_2^{1/2}=\text{ sign } V_2|V_2|^{1/2}.$$

This is impossible, because $\|\gamma|V_2|^{1/2}R_1(z_0)|V_2|^{1/2}\|<1$. This proves that the total number of complex eigenvalues of $H(\gamma)$ is equal to the number of negative eigenvalues of H_1. □

As is clear from the proof, the sign restriction on V_2 and γ is not necessary for (3.5), but it is necessary for the Breit-Wigner type resolvent estimate (3.7).

Let us study now the perturbation of zero eigenvalue and zero resonance. Assume that zero is an eigenvalue of $H_1=-\Delta+V_1$ with multiplicity k_0 and a resonance with multiplicity k. k_0 or k may eventually be equal to 0. We want to show $H(\gamma)=H_1-i\gamma V_2$ has $m=k_0+k$ complex eigenvalues near 0 when $\gamma>0$ is sufficiently small. Threshold eigenvalues and resonances are unstable under perturbation and may produce both eigenvalues or quantum resonances (if the potential is dilation-analytic). Therefore, it may be interesting to see why zero eigenvalue and zero resonance of H_1 will be turned into eigenvalues of the dissipative Schrödinger operator H under weak perturbation.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume (1.1)-(1.3) with $\rho_1'>4$ and $\rho_2>4$, and (1.6).*

(a). If zero is an eigenvalue of multiplicity m, but not a resonance of H_1, then there exists $\delta,\gamma_0>0$ such that for $0<\gamma<\gamma_0$, $H(\gamma)$ has m eigenvalues in $D_-(0,\delta)$.

(b). If zero is a resonance, but not an eigenvalue of H_1, suppose in addition that the condition (1.8) is satisfied. Then for $0<\gamma<\gamma_0$, $H(\gamma)$ has one eigenvalue in $D_-(0,\delta)$.

Proof. Let H_0 denote the Friedrich's realization of $-\Delta+\frac{q(\theta)}{r^2}$ and

$$W_1(x)=V_1(x)-\frac{q(\theta)}{r^2}. \tag{3.12}$$

Then $H_1 = H_0 + W_1$. Denote $R_j(z) = (H_j - z)^{-1}$, $j = 0, 1$. The low-energy asymptotic expansion of $R_0(z)$ is calculated in [14] and is recalled in Appendix A. One has

$$R_0(z) = G_0 + z_{\nu_1} G_1 + zG_2 + O(|z|^{1+\epsilon})$$

where G_j is continuous from $H^{-1,s}$ to $H^{1,-s}$ with $s > j+1$ for $j = 0, 2$ and G_1 is a rank one operator given by

$$G_1 = c_0 \langle \eta_0, u \rangle \eta_0, \quad u \in H^{-1,s}, s > 2, \tag{3.13}$$

with η_0 defined in Introduction and

$$c_0 = -\frac{e^{-i\pi\nu_1}\Gamma(1-\nu_1)}{\nu_1 2^{2\nu_1+1}\Gamma(1+\nu_1)}, \text{ if } 0 < \nu_1 < 1; \quad c_0 = -\frac{1}{8} \text{ if } \nu_1 = 1. \tag{3.14}$$

z_{ν_1} is defined by

$$z_{\nu_1} = \begin{cases} e^{\nu_1 \ln z}, & \nu_1 \in]0, 1[\\ z \ln z, & \nu_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

with the branch of $\ln z$ chosen such that it is holomorphic on the slit complex plane $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \ln(\gamma + i\epsilon) = \ln \gamma$ if $\gamma > 0$. Although W_1 is not H_0-form compact, it is still H_0-form bounded by the Hardy's inequality (2.5). By the comparaison with the decomposition

$$H_1 = H_0' + W_1', \quad \text{with } H_0' = \chi_1(-\Delta + 1)\chi_1 + \chi_2 H_0 \chi_2$$

where $\chi_1^2 + \chi_2^2 = 1$ with $\chi_2(x) = 0$ for x near 0, one can show (see [5]) that $1 + G_0 W_1$ is a Fredholm operator and its kernel, K, in $H^{1,-s}$ coincides with that of $1 + G_0' W_1'$, where

$$G_0' = \lim_{z \to 0, z \notin \mathbb{R}_+} (H_0' - z)^{-1}, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}(-1, s; 1, -s).$$

Using the generalized Hardy inequality for H_0, one sees that the Hermitian form

$$K \times K \ni (\varphi, \psi) \to \langle \varphi, -W_1 \psi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

is positive definite and there exists a basis $\{\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_m\}$, $m = k_0 + k$, such that

$$\langle \phi_i, -W_1 \phi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

The assumption (1.6) implies that the multiplicity of the zero resonance is at most one. If zero is a resonance, we assume without loss that ϕ_1 is a resonant state and ϕ_j, $2 \le j \le m$, are eigenfunctions of H_1. Let $Q : H^{1,-s} \to H^{1,-s}$, $Qf = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle -W_1 \phi_j, f \rangle \phi_j$. Q is a projection from $H^{1,-s}$ onto K. Set $Q' = 1 - Q$. One can show that range of Q' is closed and is equal to the range of $1 + G_0 W_1$ in $H^{1,-s}$. Then the Fredholm theory shows that $(Q'(1 + G_0 W_1)Q')^{-1} Q'$ exists and is continuous on $H^{1,-s}$. See [5, 15].

Let $R(z, \gamma) = (H(\gamma) - z)^{-1}$, $z \notin \sigma(H(\gamma)$. One has the resolvent equations

$$R(z, \gamma) = (1 - i\gamma R_1(z) V_2)^{-1} R_1(z) = (1 + R_0(z)(W_1 - i\gamma V_2))^{-1} R_0(z).$$

The eigenvalues of $H(\gamma)$ in $D_-(0, \delta)$ are the same as the set of z for which $Hu = zu$ has a nontrivial solution u in $H^{1,-s}$ for some $s > 0$. Since $R_0(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}_-$, a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}_-$ is an eigenvalue of $H(\gamma)$ if and only if it is a pole of

$$z \to (1 + R_0(z)(W_1 - i\gamma V_2))^{-1}$$

and their multiplicities are the same. Let

$$W(z,\gamma) = 1 + R_0(z)(W_1 - i\gamma V_2).$$

Then for any $s > 1$ and s near 1,

$$W(z,\gamma) = 1 + G_0 W + O(|z|^\epsilon + \gamma)$$

in $\mathcal{L}(1,-s;1,-s)$ for $z \in D_-(0,\delta)$ and $0 < \gamma \le \gamma_0$. Since $(Q'(1+G_0W_1)Q')^{-1}Q'$ exists on $H^{1,-s}$, we deduce that for δ and γ_0 small enough,

$$E'(z,\gamma) := (Q'W(z,\gamma)Q')^{-1}Q'$$

exists on $H^{1,-s}$ and $\|E'(z,\gamma)\|_{\mathcal{L}(1,-s;1,-s)}$ is uniformly bounded for $z \in D_-(0,\delta)$ and $0 < \gamma \le \gamma_0$.

This allows us to construct for $z \in D_-(0,\delta)$ and $0 < \gamma \le \gamma_0$ the inverse for the following Grushin problem

$$\mathcal{W}(z,\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} W(z,\gamma) & T \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ : \ H^{1,-s} \times \mathbb{C}^m \to H^{1,-s} \times \mathbb{C}^m,$$

where $s > 1$, T and S are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Tc &= \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \phi_j, \quad c = (c_1, \cdots, c_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m, \\ Sf &= (\langle -W_1\phi_1, f\rangle, \cdots, \langle -W_1\phi_m, f\rangle) \in \mathbb{C}^m, \quad f \in H^{1,-s}. \end{aligned}$$

This inverse is given by

$$\mathcal{W}(z,\gamma)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} E(z) & E_+(z) \\ E_-(z) & E_{+-}(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$E(z) = E'(z), \tag{3.15}$$

$$E_+(z) = T - E'(z)W(z)T, \tag{3.16}$$

$$E_-(z) = S - SW(z)E'(z), \tag{3.17}$$

$$E_{+-}(z) = -SW(z)T + SW(z)E'(z)W(z)T. \tag{3.18}$$

Here to simplify notation, the indication of dependance on γ is omitted. It follows that the inverse of $W(z)$ is given by

$$W(z)^{-1} = E(z) - E_+(z)E_{+-}(z)^{-1}E_-(z) \text{ on } H^{1,-s}. \tag{3.19}$$

Since $E(z), E_\pm(z)$ and $E_{+-}(z)$ are holomorphic and uniformly bounded as operators on $H^{1,-s}$ for $z \in D_-(0,\delta)$ and $\gamma > 0$ small, z_0 is a pole of $W(z)^{-1}$ in $D_-(0,\delta)$ if and only if

$$F(z_0,\gamma) := \det E_{+-}(z_0) = 0$$

and their multiplicities are the same (cf. [2]). Since the equation $Hu = zu$ for $u \in H^{1,-s}$ and $\Im z < 0$ implies $u \in H^{1,r}$ for any $r > 0$, the poles of $z \to W(z)^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{C}_-$ as operator on $H^{1,-s}$ coincide with the eigenvalues of H.

We are now led to prove that for $0 < \gamma \leq \gamma_0$ small enough, $F(z,\gamma)$ has m zeros in $D_-(0,\delta)$. Since $\phi_j \in L^{2,-s}$ for any $s > 1$, under the condition $\rho_1' > 4$ and $\rho_2 > 4$, one can calculate the asymptotics of the matrix

$$E_{+-}(z) = (\langle -W_1\phi_j, (W(z) - W(z)E'(z)W(z))\phi_k\rangle)_{1\leq j,k\leq m}\,, \tag{3.20}$$

up to an error $O(|z|^{1+\epsilon})$. This computation is done in the case $\gamma = 0$ (see Proposition 4.4, [15]). In the case $\gamma \neq 0$, the calculation is similar. We give only the result and omit the details. One has

$$(E_{+-}(z))_{j,k} = -i\gamma v_{jk} + z_{\nu_1} a_{jk} + z(b_{jk} + r_{jk}) + O(|z|^{1+\epsilon}) \tag{3.21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{jk} &= \langle \phi_j, V_2\phi_k\rangle && (3.22)\\
a_{jk} &= -c_0(|c_1|^2\delta_{1j}\delta_{1k} - i\gamma\bar{c}_1 c_k'\delta_{1k}) \quad \text{with} && (3.23)\\
c_1 &= \langle W_1\eta_0, \phi_1\rangle, \quad c_j' = \langle V_2\eta_0, \phi_j\rangle, && (3.24)\\
b_{jk} &= \langle -W_1\phi_j, G_2W_1\phi_k\rangle + i\gamma\langle W_1\phi_j, G_2V_2\phi_k\rangle && (3.25)\\
r_{jk} &= \langle -W_1\phi_j, G_1(W_1 - i\gamma V_2)E'(0)G_1(W_1 - i\gamma V_2)\phi_k\rangle. && (3.26)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\delta_{1j} = 1$ or 0 according to whether $j = 1$ or not. In the case 0 is not a resonance, $c_1 = 0$. Remark also that if ϕ_j and ϕ_k are both eigenfunctions of H_1,

$$\langle W_1\phi_j, G_2W_1\phi_k\rangle = \langle \phi_j, \phi_k\rangle \tag{3.27}$$

and if ϕ_j is an eigenfunction of H_1,

$$r_{jk} = 0, \quad k = 1, \cdots, m. \tag{3.28}$$

One can show as in Proposition 3.1 that the matrice (v_{jk}) is positive definite. In fact, it is clearly positive since $V_2 \geq 0$. If 0 is an eigenvalue of this matrix, we can take an associated eigenvector $d = (d_1, \cdots, d_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\psi = \sum_j d_j\phi_j$. Then one has $V_2\psi = 0$ and $H_1\psi = 0$ which imply $H\psi = 0$. Lemma 2.1 shows that $\psi = 0$. This leads to a contradiction with the fact that $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_m$ are linearly independent.

Consider firstly the case that zero is an eigenvalue but not a resonance of H_1. One has $a_{jk} = 0$ and

$$(E_{+-}(z))_{j,k} = -i\gamma v_{jk} + z(-\langle \phi_j, \phi_k\rangle + i\gamma\langle W_1\phi_j, G_2V_2\phi_k\rangle) + O(|z|^{1+\epsilon}) \tag{3.29}$$

Since the matrices (γv_{jk}) and $(\langle \phi_j, \phi_k\rangle)$ are positive definite, $F_0(z,\gamma) = \det(i(\gamma v_{jk}) + z(\langle \phi_j, \phi_k\rangle))$ has m zeros of the form $z = -i\gamma\sigma_j$, $\sigma_j > 0$. Let $-i\gamma\sigma$ be one of the zeros of $F_0(z,\gamma)$ with order k. For some appropriate $c > 0$ such that the distance from zeros of $z \to F_0(z,\gamma)$ to the circle $\partial D(-i\gamma\sigma, c\gamma)$ is at least $c'\gamma$ for some $c' > 0$, one has

$$|F_0(z,\gamma)| \geq C_1\gamma^m, \quad |F(z,\gamma) - F_0(z,\gamma)| \leq C_2\gamma^{m+\epsilon}$$

for $|z + i\gamma\sigma| = c\gamma$. For $\gamma > 0$ small, we can apply Rouché's theorem to $F(z,\gamma)$ to conclude that $F(z,\gamma)$ has also k zeros in the disk $D(-i\gamma\sigma, c\gamma)$. Therefore, the total number of zeros of $F(z,\gamma)$ in $D_-(0,\delta)$ are at least m. If z_0 is a zero of $F(z,\gamma)$, the asymptotic expansion of $F(z,\gamma)$ in z shows that $\varsigma = \lim_{\gamma\to 0} z_0/\gamma$ exists and $\gamma\varsigma$ is a zero of $F_0(z,\gamma)$. This allows to conclude that $F(z,\gamma)$ has m zeros in $D_-(0,\delta)$ for $\delta > 0$ and

$0 < \gamma < \gamma_0$ small enough.

Now assume that zero is a resonance, but not an eigenvalue of H_1. $E_{+-}(z)$ is a scalar function, holomorphic in $z \in D_-(0,\delta)$ and

$$E_{+-}(z) = -i\gamma v_{11} - c_0 z_{\nu_1}(|c_1|^2 - i\gamma \bar{c}_1 c_1') + O(|z|), \tag{3.30}$$

where $v_{11} > 0$. Assume (1.8). The root of the equation

$$i\gamma v_{11} + c_0 z_{\nu_1}(|c_1|^2 - i\gamma \bar{c}_1 c_1') = 0. \tag{3.31}$$

can be explicitly calculated. Set

$$r = \frac{\gamma v_{11}}{|c_0|\ |(|c_1|^2 - i\gamma \bar{c}_1 c_1')|}.$$

Since $\bar{c}_1 c_1' < 0$ by the assumption (1.8), the argument, φ, of $-\gamma \bar{c}_1 c_1' + i|c_1|^2$ verifies

$$0 < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \lim_{\gamma \to 0_+} \varphi = \frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{3.32}$$

With the above definitions of r and φ, (3.31) can be rewritten as

$$z_{\nu_1} = -\frac{i\gamma v_{11}}{c_0(|c_1|^2 - i\gamma \bar{c}_1 c_1')} = re^{i(\varphi + \pi - \arg c_0)}. \tag{3.33}$$

For $\nu_1 \in]0,1[$, $z_{\nu_1} = z^{\nu_1}$ and $c_0 = -\frac{e^{-i\pi\nu_1}\Gamma(1-\nu_1)}{\nu_1 2^{2\nu_1+1}\Gamma(1+\nu_1)}$. (3.33) becomes

$$z^{\nu_1} = re^{i(\pi\nu_1 + \varphi)}. \tag{3.34}$$

When $\nu_1 \in [1/2, 1[$, (3.34) has a unique solution $z' = \rho e^{i\theta}$ in $D_-(0,\delta)$ given by

$$\rho = r^{1/\nu_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \pi + \nu_1^{-1}\varphi. \tag{3.35}$$

The above calculation gives the location of this root:

$$z' = d_1 \gamma^{\frac{1}{\nu_1}} e^{i(\pi + \frac{\pi}{2\nu_1} - \gamma d_2)}(1 + o(1)) \tag{3.36}$$

for some $d_1, d_2 > 0$.

When $\nu_1 = 1$, $z_{\nu_1} = z \ln z$ and $c_0 = -\frac{1}{8}$. $z' = \rho e^{i\theta}$ is a solution of (3.33) if

$$\rho e^{i\theta}(\ln \rho + i\theta) = re^{i\varphi} \tag{3.37}$$

Remark that $r = O(\gamma)$ as $\gamma \to 0$ and $\arg(\ln \rho + i\theta) \to \pi_-$ as $\rho \to 0_+$. Set $\ln z' = \tau e^{i(-\pi-\sigma)}$ with $\tau = |\ln z'|$. For $\gamma > 0$ small enough, one can check that the system

$$\begin{cases} \tau e^{-\tau \cos \sigma} & = & r, \\ -\sigma + \tau \sin \sigma & = & \varphi + \pi \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution (τ, σ) such that $\tau \to \infty$ and $\sigma \to 0_+$ as $\gamma \to 0$. This shows that (3.37) has a unique solution $z' = \rho e^{i\theta}$ in $D_-(0,\delta)$ given by

$$\rho = |e^{\tau e^{i(-\pi-\sigma)}}| = e^{-\tau \cos \sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \pi + \varphi - \sigma.$$

Using Rouché's theorem, one can show as before that $E_{+-}(z,\gamma)$ has just one zero in $D_-(0,\delta)$ which is located inside the small disk $D(z_0, g(\gamma))$, where $g(\gamma) = a\gamma^{1/\nu_1}$ if $\nu_1 \in]0,1[$ and $g(\gamma) = a\gamma\langle \ln \gamma \rangle^{-1}$ if $\nu_1 = 1$, $a > 0$ being an appropriate constant. This proves that $H(\gamma)$ has exactly one eigenvalue in $D_-(0,\delta)$ and it is simple. $\square$

Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 3.2 and the argument used Proposition 3.1 outside a small neighborhood of zero.

The case that zero is both an eigenvalue and a resonance can in principle be analyzed in a similar way, using the asymptotic expansion of $E_{+-}(z,\gamma)$ given above. But the evaluation of zeros of the determinant raises some technical difficulties when m is arbitrary. Without the assumption (1.6), zero resonance of H_1 may appear with arbitrary multiplicity. The matrix $E_{+-}(z,\gamma)$ can be still calculated, but its analysis is more complicated. We do not go further here.

Remark 3.3. *An example for which zero is not an eigenvalue, but a resonance of $H_1 = -\Delta + V_1$ can be constructed as follows. Let $n = 3$ or 4 and let v_1 be a real-valued function satisfying (1.1) with $\rho_1 > 4$ and $v_1 \le 0$, $v_1 \ne 0$. Let $H_1(\beta) = -\Delta + \beta v_1$. Then one can show that there exists a critical constant $\beta_0 > 0$ such that $H_1(\beta_0) \ge 0$ and $H_1(\beta)$ has at least one negative eigenvalue for any $\beta > \beta_0$. Then zero is a resonance but not an eigenvalue of $H_1(\beta_0)$. A resonant state of $H_1 = -\Delta + \beta_0 v_1$ can be constructed as weak limit of the fundamental state of $H_1(\beta)$ as $\beta \to \beta_{0+}$ and it does not change sign. The condition (1.8) is then satisfied for $V_1 = \beta_0 v_1$ and for any $V_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $V_2 \ge 0$ and $V_2 \ne 0$. In this example, the number of eigenvalues of H_1 is zero, while that of $H_1 - i\gamma V_2$ is one for any $\gamma > 0$ small enough.*

Appendix A. Low-energy resolvent expansion on conical manifolds

In this appendix, we recall in a concise way the result of [14] on low-energy resolvent expansion of the model operator. Consider the operator

$$P_0 = -\Delta_g + \frac{q(\theta)}{r^2} \tag{A.1}$$

on a conical manifold $M = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbf{\Sigma}$ equipped with a Riemannian metric g, where $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is an $(n-1)$-dimensional compact manifold, $n \ge 2$. Here $(r,\theta) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbf{\Sigma}$, $q(\theta)$ is a real continuous function and the metric g is of the form

$$g = dr^2 + r^2 h$$

with h a Riemannian metric on $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ independent of r. If $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is of boundary, the Dirichlet condition is used for P_0. We still denote by P_0 its Friedrich's realization with the core $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Let Δ_h denote Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathbf{\Sigma}$. Assume

$$-\Delta_h + q(\theta) \ge -\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}, \qquad \text{on } L^2(\mathbf{\Sigma}). \tag{A.2}$$

Put

$$\sigma_\infty = \left\{ \nu;\ \nu = \sqrt{\lambda + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}}, \lambda \in \sigma(-\Delta_h + q) \right\}. \tag{A.3}$$

Denote

$$\sigma_k = \sigma_\infty \cap [0,k], \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let π_ν denote the orthogonal projection in $L^2(M)$ onto the subspace spanned by the eigenfunction of $-\Delta_h + q$ associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda_\nu = \nu^2 - \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}$. Define for $\nu \in \sigma_\infty$

$$z_\nu = \begin{cases} z^{\nu'}, & \text{if } \nu \notin \mathbb{N} \\ z \ln z, & \text{if } \nu \in \mathbb{N}^*, \end{cases}$$

where $\nu' = \nu - [\nu]$. Let $\sigma_N = \sigma_\infty \cap [0, N]$. For $\nu > 0$, let $[\nu]_-$ be the largest integer strictly less than ν. When $\nu = 0$, set $[\nu]_- = 0$. Define δ_ν by $\delta_\nu = 1$, if $\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cap \sigma_\infty$; 0, otherwise.

Theorem A.1. *Let $R_0(z) = (P_0 - z)^{-1}$ for $z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$. The following asymptotic expansion holds for z near 0 with $z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$.*

$$R_0(z) = \delta_0 \ln z \, G_{0,0} + \sum_{j=0}^{N} z^j F_j + \sum_{\nu \in \sigma_N} z_\nu \sum_{j=[\nu]_-}^{N-1} z^j G_{\nu, j+\delta_\nu} \pi_\nu + O(|z|^{N+\epsilon}), \tag{A.4}$$

in $\mathcal{L}(-1, s; 1, -s)$, $s > 2N + 1$. Each term in the above expansion can be explicitly calculated. In particular, $F_j \in \mathcal{L}(-1, s; 1, -s)$, $s > 2j + 1$ and $G_{\nu,j}$, $j \geq [\nu]_-$, is of finite rank with its Schwartz kernel on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; r^{n-1}dr)$ given by

$$G_{\nu,j}(r, \tau) = \frac{(-1)^{j+1-[\nu]} e^{-i\nu'\pi} \Gamma(1 - \nu') \ (r\tau)^{-\frac{n-2}{2} + \nu' + j}}{2^{2\nu+1} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} (j - [\nu])! \, \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \nu) \nu'(\nu' + 1) \cdots (\nu' + j)} P_{\nu, j-[\nu]}(\rho) \tag{A.5}$$

for $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}$, $j \geq [\nu]$ and $\nu' = \nu - [\nu]$; and

$$G_{\nu,j}(r, \tau) = \frac{(-1)^{j+l+1}}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{2l+1} j! \, (j - l)! \, \Gamma(l + \frac{1}{2})} (r\tau)^{-\frac{n-2}{2} + j} P_{l, j-l}(\rho) \tag{A.6}$$

for $\nu = l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \geq l$. $P_{\nu,k}(\rho)$ is a polynomial of degree k in ρ:

$$P_{\nu,k}(\rho) = \int_{-1}^{1} (\rho + \frac{\theta}{2})^k (1 - \theta^2)^{\nu - 1/2} d\theta, \quad \rho = \frac{r^2 + \tau^2}{4r\tau}. \tag{A.7}$$

Note that

$$P_{\nu,0}(\rho) = \frac{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(1/2 + \nu)}{\Gamma(1 + \nu)}, \quad P_{\nu,1}(\rho) = \frac{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(1/2 + \nu)}{\Gamma(1 + \nu)} \rho. \tag{A.8}$$

One has for $\nu \in [0, 1]$

$$G_{\nu,[\nu]}(r, \tau) = \gamma_\nu (r\tau)^{-\frac{n-2}{2} + \nu}, \tag{A.9}$$

with

$$\gamma_\nu = -\frac{e^{-i\pi\nu} \Gamma(1 - \nu)}{\nu 2^{2\nu+1} \Gamma(1 + \nu)} \ \text{ for } \ \nu \in]0, 1[, \quad \gamma_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_1 = -\frac{1}{8}. \tag{A.10}$$

The expansion of $R_0(z)$ is obtained by decomposing $R_0(z)$ into

$$R_0(z) = \sum_{\nu \in \sigma_\infty} (Q_\nu - z)^{-1} \pi_\nu$$

with

$$Q_\nu = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{n-1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}}{r^2}, \quad \text{on } L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; r^{n-1}dr).$$

Since the Schwartz kernel of e^{-itQ_ν} is given by

$$\frac{1}{2it}(r\tau)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}e^{-\frac{r^2+\tau^2}{4it}-i\frac{\pi\nu}{2}}J_\nu(\frac{r\tau}{2t}), \quad t\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{A.11}$$

where $J_\nu(\cdot)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order ν (see [13]) and

$$(Q_\nu - z)^{-1} = i\int_0^\infty e^{-it(Q_\nu - z)}\,dt$$

for $\Im z > 0$, the Schwartz kernel of $(Q_\nu - z)^{-1}$ is

$$K_\nu(r,\tau;z) \;=\; (r\tau)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{r^2+\tau^2}{4it}+izt-i\frac{\pi\nu}{2}}J_\nu(\frac{r\tau}{2t})\,\frac{dt}{2t}. \tag{A.12}$$

for $\Im z > 0$. The formula (2.6) in [14] for this kernel contains a wrong sign. The coefficients in (A.5) and (A.6) are obtained from the constants given in Section 2 and Appendix A of [14], in taking into account some sign corrections. Note that under the assumption (1.8), the continuity of F_j and the remainder estimate can be improved. See Remark 2.4 in [14].

Acknowledgement The author thanks the anonymous referee for his or her kind remark and suggestion on the proof of Lemma 2.1.

References

[1] S. Agmon, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) (1975), no. 2, 151-218.
[2] B. Helffer, J. Sjöstrand, Résonances en limite semi-classique, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mémoire No.24/25, 114(1986).
[3] A. Ionescu and D. Jerison, On the absence of positive eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators with rough potentials, Geom. and Funct. Anal., 13(2003), 1029-181.
[4] A. Jensen and T. Kato, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time decay of wave functions, Duke Math. J., 46(1979), 583-611.
[5] X.Y. Jia, Some threshold spectral problems for Schrödinger operators, PhD thesis, Nantes, 2009.
[6] T. Kako, K. Yajima, Spectral and scattering theory for a class of non-selfadjoint operators. Sci. Papers College Gen. Ed. Univ. Tokyo 26 (1976), no. 2, 73-89
[7] T. Kato, Wave operators and similarity for some non-selfadjoint operators, Math. Annalen, 162(1966), 258-279.
[8] A. Laptev, O. Safronov, Eigenvalues estimates for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials, Comm. Math. Phys. 292 (2009), no. 1, 29-54.
[9] G. Rozenblum, M. Solomyak, Counting Schrödinger boundstates: semiclassics and beyond. Sobolev spaces in mathematics. II, 329-353, Int. Math. Ser. (N. Y.), 9, Springer, New York, 2009.
[10] J. Royer, Limiting absorption principle for the dissipative Helmholtz equation, Commun. in PDE, to appear.
[11] Y. Saito, The principle of limiting absorption for the nonselfadjoint Schrödinger operator in $R^N (N \neq 2)$. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 9 (1973/74), 397-428.
[12] J. T. Schwartz, Some non-selfadjoint operators, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., 13(1960), 609-639.
[13] M. Taylor, *Partial differential equations II*, New York, Springer 1997.
[14] X. P. Wang, Threshold energy resonance in geometric scattering, Proceedings of Symposium "Scattering and Spectral Theory", August 2003, Recife, Brazil. Matemática Contemporânea, 26 (2004), 135-164.
[15] X.P. Wang: Asymptotic expansion in time of the Schrödinger group on conical manifolds, Ann, Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 56(2006), 1903-1945

Département de Mathématiques, UMR 6629 CNRS, Université de Nantes, 44322 Nantes Cedex 3 France, E-mail: xue-ping.wang@univ-nantes.fr