



**HAL**  
open science

# Global stability for the prion equation with general incidence

Pierre Gabriel

► **To cite this version:**

| Pierre Gabriel. Global stability for the prion equation with general incidence. 2014. hal-01002824v1

**HAL Id: hal-01002824**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01002824v1>**

Preprint submitted on 6 Jun 2014 (v1), last revised 19 Jan 2015 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Global stability for the prion equation with general incidence

P. Gabriel \*

June 6, 2014

## Abstract

We consider the so-called prion equation with the general incidence term introduced in [14], and we investigate the stability of the steady states. The method is based on the reduction technique introduced in [11]. The argument combines a recent spectral gap result for the growth-fragmentation equation in weighted  $L^1$  spaces and the analysis of a nonlinear system of three ordinary differential equations.

**Keywords:** prion equation, growth-fragmentation equation, spectral gap, self-similarity, long-time behavior, stability.

**AMS Class. No.** 35B35, 35B40, 35Q92, 45K05, 92D25

## 1 Introduction

Prion diseases, also referred to as transmissible spongiform encephalopathies, are infectious and fatal neurodegenerative diseases. They include bovine spongiform encephalopathy in cattle, scrapie in sheep, and Creutzfeld-Jakob disease in human. It is now widely admitted that the agent responsible for these diseases, known as prion, is a protein which has the ability to self-replicate by an autocatalytic process [15, 23]. The infectious prion, called  $\text{PrP}^{\text{Sc}}$  for Prion Protein Scrapie, is a misfolded form of a normally shaped cellular prion protein, the  $\text{PrP}^{\text{c}}$ . The so-called *nucleated polymerization* was proposed by [16] as a conversion mechanism of  $\text{PrP}^{\text{c}}$  into  $\text{PrP}^{\text{Sc}}$ . According to this theory the  $\text{PrP}^{\text{Sc}}$  is in a polymeric form and the polymers can lengthen by attaching  $\text{PrP}^{\text{c}}$  monomers and transconforming them into  $\text{PrP}^{\text{Sc}}$ . To understand more qualitatively this mechanism, a mathematical model consisting in a infinite number of coupled ordinary differential equations (ODEs) was introduced in [19]. Then a partial differential equation (PDE) version of this model was proposed in [13] (see also [6] for a rigorous derivation). This equation, known as the prion equation, was studied in various works in the last few years [8, 24, 26, 28, 18, 5, 4, 10]. A more general model including general incidence of the total population of polymers on the polymerization process and a coagulation term was proposed in [14].

---

\*Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Versailles, CNRS UMR 8100, 45 Avenue des États-Unis, 78035 Versailles cedex, France. Email: pierre.gabriel@uvsq.fr

In the present work we propose to investigate the prion equation with general incidence, but without coagulation, which writes

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}V(t) &= \lambda - \delta V(t) - \frac{V(t)}{1 + \omega \int x^p u} \int_0^\infty \tau(x)u(t, x) dx, \\ \partial_t u(t, x) &= -\frac{V(t)}{1 + \omega \int x^p u} \partial_x(\tau(x)u(t, x)) - \mu(x)u(t, x) + \mathcal{F}u(t, x), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{F}u(x) := 2 \int_x^\infty \beta(y)\kappa(x, y)u(y) dy - \beta(x)u(x) \quad (2)$$

is the fragmentation operator. Dynamics (1) is subjected to nonnegative initial conditions  $V_0$  and  $u_0(x)$ . The unknown  $V(t)$  represents the quantity of PrP<sup>c</sup> monomers at time  $t$  while  $u(t, x)$  is the quantity of PrP<sup>Sc</sup> polymers of size  $x$ . The PrP<sup>c</sup> is produced by the cells with the rate  $\lambda$  and degraded with the rate  $\delta$ . The PrP<sup>Sc</sup> polymers have a death rate  $\mu(x)$  and the can break into 2 smaller pieces with the fragmentation rate  $\beta(x)$ . The kernel  $\kappa(x, y)$  gives the size distribution of the fragments. The “general incidence” corresponds to the term  $\frac{1}{1 + \omega \int x^p u}$  in front of the polymerization rate  $\tau(x)$ , with  $\omega \geq 0$  and  $p \geq 0$ . The case  $\omega = 0$  corresponds to the mass action law, *i.e.* the original model without general incidence. The more interesting case  $\omega > 0$  corresponds to the case when the total population of polymers induces a saturation effect on the polymerization process. In [14] the parameter  $p$  is equal to 1, meaning that the saturation is a function of the total number of polymerized proteins. To be more general and to take into account the fact that the polymers are not necessarily linear fibrils but can have more complex spatial structure (see [19]), we consider in our study any parameter  $p \geq 0$ . In [14], the polymerization rate  $\tau(x)$  is supposed to be independant of  $x$ . But some works [10, 25] indicate that the polymerization ability, which relies on the infectivity of a polymer, may depend on its size. For mathematical convinience in our work we assume that this dependence is linear

$$\tau(x) = \tau x \quad (\tau > 0). \quad (3)$$

Notice that for such a function  $\tau(x)$  there is no need of a boundary condition at  $x = 0$  for the equation on  $u(t, x)$ . In [14] they restrict their study to linear global fragmentation rates  $\beta(x)$  and to the homogeneous fragmentation kernel  $\kappa(x, y) = 1/y$ . Together with the assumption of a constant death term  $\mu$ , it allows them to reduce the PDE model to a system of three ODEs. Here we keep the assumption of a constant death term

$$\mu(x) \equiv \mu > 0, \quad (4)$$

but we consider more general global fragmentation rates

$$\beta(x) = \beta x^\gamma \quad (\beta, \gamma > 0) \quad (5)$$

and more general (self-similar) fragmentation kernel

$$\kappa(x, y) = \frac{1}{y} \wp\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \quad (6)$$

where  $\wp(z)$  is a smooth function defined on  $[0, 1]$ . To ensure the conservation of the total number of PrP<sup>Sc</sup> monomers during the fragmentation, the operator  $\mathcal{F}$  must verify  $\int_0^\infty x \mathcal{F}u(x) dx = 0$  for any function  $u$ . This property is satisfied under the following assumption on  $\wp$

$$2 \int_0^1 z \wp(z) dz = 1. \quad (7)$$

Condition (7) is fulfilled for  $\wp$  a symmetric, in the sense that  $\wp(z) = \wp(1 - z)$ , probability measure. We additionally suppose that the derivative of  $\wp$  satisfies

$$\int_0^1 |\wp'(z)| dz < +\infty. \quad (8)$$

Our study of equation (1) is performed in the space  $\mathbb{R} \times X$ , where  $X := L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dx) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, x^r dx)$  with  $r > 1$ . More precisely we work in the positive cone  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times X_+$  which is invariant under the dynamics (1). We take  $r \geq p$  in order to have  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, x^p dx) \subset X$ , so that the general incidence term is well defined. The space  $X$  is a Banach space for the natural norm  $\|\cdot\|_X = \|\cdot\|_0 + \|\cdot\|_r$  where  $\|u\|_\alpha = \int_0^\infty |u(x)|x^\alpha dx$ . But for a part of our study, we also need to consider the weaker norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  on  $X$ .

We easily check that  $(\bar{V} = \frac{\lambda}{\delta}, 0)$  is a steady state of our equation. We call this trivial steady state the disease free equilibrium (DFE) since there is no polymerized proteins in this situation ( $u \equiv 0$ ). A natural question is to know whether there exist endemic equilibria (EE), namely steady states  $(V_\infty, u_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times X_+^*$  where  $X_+^* = X_+ \setminus \{0\}$ . For an EE, we get by testing the equation on  $u_\infty$  against  $x$  and using the relation  $\int_0^\infty x \mathcal{F}u_\infty(x) dx = 0$  that

$$\frac{V_\infty \tau}{1 + \omega \int x^p u_\infty} = \mu, \quad (9)$$

and then  $u_\infty$  is a positive solution to

$$\mu(xu_\infty(x))' + \mu u_\infty(x) = \mathcal{F}u_\infty(x). \quad (10)$$

The existence of an EE as well as the stability of the DFE depend on the basic reproduction rate  $\mathcal{R}_0$  of Equation (1), which indicates the average number of new infections caused by a single infective introduced to an entirely susceptible population. To find this parameter  $\mathcal{R}_0$ , we linearize the equation on  $u$  about the DFE  $(\bar{V}, 0)$  and we test the resulting equation against  $x$  to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty xu(t, x) dx \simeq \bar{V} \tau \int_0^\infty xu(t, x) dx - \mu \int_0^\infty xu(t, x) dx.$$

We deduce that  $\mathcal{R}_0$  is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{\bar{V} \tau}{\mu} = \frac{\lambda \tau}{\delta \mu}. \quad (11)$$

It is worth noticing that this parameter does not depend on the fragmentation coefficients  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\wp$ . We can now summarize the results of the paper in the following main theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\mathcal{R}_0 \leq 1$ , the unique equilibrium in  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times X_+$  is the DFE. It is globally asymptotically stable for the norm  $|V| + \|u\|_1$ .*

*If  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ , then there exists a unique EE which coexists with the DFE. The EE is locally stable for the norm  $|V| + \|u\|_X$ , and the nontrivial trajectories cannot approach the DFE in the sense that*

$$u_0 \neq 0 \quad \implies \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^\infty xu(t, x) dx > 0.$$

*In the case when  $p \geq 1$  and  $\delta \geq \mu$ , the EE is globally asymptotically stable in  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times X_+^*$  for the norm  $|V| + \|u\|_X$ .*

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we explain the method which allows to reduce Equation (1) to a system of ODEs, and in Section 3 we take advantage of this reduction to prove Theorem 1.

## 2 Reduction to a system of ODEs

As suggested by Equation (10), we use the properties of the linear growth-fragmentation equation

$$\partial_t u(t, x) + \mu \partial_x(xu(t, x)) + \mu u(t, x) = \mathcal{F}u(t, x). \quad (12)$$

This equation is also known as the *self-similar fragmentation equation* (see [9, 2, 3, 12, 21]). Using Assumption (7) we obtain (at least formally) that Equation (12) preserves the mass

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_0^\infty xu(t, x) dx = \varrho_0 := \int_0^\infty xu_0(x) dx. \quad (13)$$

Under Assumptions (3)-(7), this equation admits a unique (up to normalization) positive steady state  $\mathcal{U}(x)$  (see [9, 7]), *i.e.* a unique  $\mathcal{U} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, x dx)$  satisfying

$$\mu(x\mathcal{U}(x))' + \mu\mathcal{U}(x) = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{U}(x), \quad \mathcal{U}(x) > 0, \quad \int_0^\infty x\mathcal{U}(x) dx = 1.$$

This steady state belongs to  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, x^\alpha dx)$  for any  $\alpha \geq 0$ , so it belongs to  $X_+$ . The convergence of the solutions to this equilibrium has been investigated in [9, 20] and recent results give the exponential relaxation under some assumptions and in suitable spaces (see [22, 17, 2, 3, 1, 12, 21]). Here we use the spectral gap result recently proved in [21] under the assumption that  $\varphi$  is a smooth function satisfying Assumption (8).

**Theorem 2** ([21]). *Under Assumptions (3)-(8), there exist  $a > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\forall u_0 \in X, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \|u(t, \cdot) - \varrho_0 \mathcal{U}\|_X \leq C e^{-at} \|u_0 - \varrho_0 \mathcal{U}\|_X. \quad (14)$$

The method we use to prove Theorem 1 is based on a (time dependent) self-similar change of variable introduced in [11] which allows to transform a solution of the prion equation into a solution to the linear growth-fragmentation equation. Then we combine the spectral gap result (14) with an asymptotic analysis of the change of variable to get the long time behavior of Equation (1).

The change of variable is defined as follows. Starting from  $u(t, x) \geq 0$  and  $V(t) \geq 0$  solution to Equation (1) we define for  $k := \gamma^{-1}$

$$v(h(t), x) := W^k(t)u(t, W^k(t)x) e^{\mu(t-h(t))}$$

with  $W$  solution to

$$\dot{W} = \gamma W \left( \frac{\tau}{1 + \omega \int x^p u} V - \mu W \right), \quad (15)$$

and  $h$  the solution to  $\dot{h} = W$ ,  $h(0) = 0$ . We choose  $W(0) = 1$  to have  $v(t = 0, \cdot) = u_0$ . Since  $V$  is positive we have  $\dot{W} \geq -\gamma\mu W^2$  and so  $W \geq \frac{1}{1+\gamma\mu t}$ . As a consequence  $h(t) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma\mu} \ln(1 + \gamma\mu t) \rightarrow +\infty$  when  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  so  $h$  is a bijection of  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and  $v(t, \cdot)$  is well defined for all  $t \geq 0$ . We can check that  $v$  is a solution to the linear equation (12). Then the convergence result of Theorem 2 ensures that

$$v(t, x) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow +\infty]{\|\cdot\|_X} \varrho_0 \mathcal{U}(x).$$

We deduce, for  $\alpha \in [0, r]$ , the equivalence

$$\int_0^\infty x^\alpha u(t, x) dx \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\sim} \varrho_0 M_\alpha W^{k\alpha}(t) e^{\mu(h(t)-t)}$$

where  $M_\alpha = \int_0^\infty x^\alpha \mathcal{U}(x) dx$ . This equivalence allows us to obtain an (asymptotically) closed system of ODEs which provides the behavior of the change of variables. Define  $Q(t) = \varrho_0 e^{\mu(h(t)-t)}$  which satisfies

$$\dot{Q} = \mu Q(W - 1).$$

Then denoting  $f(I) = \frac{\tau}{1+\omega M_p I}$  we have

$$\dot{W} \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\sim} \gamma W (f(W^{kp} Q) V - \mu W)$$

and, since  $M_1 = 1$  by definition of  $\mathcal{U}$ ,

$$\dot{V} \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\sim} \lambda - V(\delta + f(W^{kp} Q) W^k Q).$$

To make these equivalences more precise, we define for  $\alpha \geq 0$

$$\varepsilon_\alpha(t) = \frac{\int x^\alpha u(t, x) dx}{M_\alpha Q(t) W^{k\alpha}(t)} - 1 = \frac{1}{M_\alpha} \int (\varrho_0^{-1} v(h(t), x) - \mathcal{U}(x)) x^\alpha dx. \quad (16)$$

Using Theorem 2 we obtain that for any  $\alpha \in [0, r]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon_\alpha(t)| &\leq M_\alpha^{-1} \int |\varrho_0^{-1} v(h(t), x) - \mathcal{U}(x)| x^\alpha dx \\ &\leq M_\alpha^{-1} \|\varrho_0^{-1} v(h(t), \cdot) - \mathcal{U}\|_X \\ &\leq C \|\varrho_0^{-1} u_0 - \mathcal{U}\|_X e^{-ah(t)} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

which proves that  $\varepsilon_\alpha(t) \rightarrow 0$  when  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . For  $\alpha = 1$  we even have, using  $M_1 = 1$  and the mass conservation law (13), that

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \varepsilon_1(t) = \int (\varrho_0^{-1} v(h(t), x) - \mathcal{U}(x)) x dx = 0$$

and as a consequence  $\int_0^\infty x u(t, x) dx = W^k(t) Q(t)$ . Setting  $f(\varepsilon; I) = f((1 + \varepsilon)I)$ , we get that  $(V, W, Q)$  is solution to the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{V} &= \lambda - V(\delta + f(\varepsilon_p; W^{kp} Q) W^k Q), \\ \dot{W} &= \gamma W (f(\varepsilon_p; W^{kp} Q) V - \mu W), \\ \dot{Q} &= \mu Q(W - 1), \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

with the initial condition is  $(V_0, W_0, Q_0) = (V_0, 1, \varrho_0)$ . Defining the relevant quantity  $P(t) = W^k(t)Q(t)$  and using it instead of  $Q$  as an unknown we obtain the other system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{V} &= \lambda - V\left(\delta + f(\varepsilon_p; W^{k(p-1)}P)P\right), \\ \dot{W} &= \gamma W\left(f(\varepsilon_p; W^{k(p-1)}P)V - \mu W\right), \\ \dot{P} &= P\left(f(\varepsilon_p; W^{k(p-1)}P)V - \mu\right). \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

**Remark 3** (Interpretation of  $V$ ,  $W$ ,  $Q$  and  $P$ ). *By definition we have that  $V(t)$  is the number of monomeric proteins ( $\text{PrP}^c$ ). The relation  $P(t) = \int_0^\infty xu(t, x) dx$  means that  $P(t)$  represents the number of polymerized proteins ( $\text{PrP}^{\text{Sc}}$ ). The unknown  $Q(t)$  represents roughly the total number of polymers*

$$\int_0^\infty u(t, x) dx = (1 + \varepsilon_0(t))M_0Q(t),$$

and  $W(t)$  is related to the mean size of the polymers

$$W^k(t) = (1 + \varepsilon_0(t))M_0 \frac{\int xu(t, x) dx}{\int u(t, x) dx}.$$

Another relevant quantity is  $Y = V + P$ , the total number of proteins ( $\text{PrP}^c + \text{PrP}^{\text{Sc}}$ ). We have a system of ODEs satisfied by  $(Y, Q, P)$ :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Y} &= \lambda - \delta Y + (\delta - \mu)P, \\ \dot{Q} &= \mu Q\left(P^\gamma Q^{-\gamma} - 1\right), \\ \dot{P} &= P\left(f(\varepsilon_p; P^p Q^{1-p})(Y - P) - \mu\right). \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

We will use alternatively formulations (18), (19) and (20) to prove our main theorem. These systems are not autonomous because of the term  $\varepsilon_p$ . But the property that this term vanishes when  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  (see (17)) is sufficient to get the asymptotic behavior of the change of variable, as we will see in the next section.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

We divide the proof of Theorem 1 into several propositions.

**Proposition 4.** *There exists an EE if and only if  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ . This EE is unique and is explicitly given by*

$$V_\infty = \frac{\mu + \lambda\omega M_p}{\tau + \delta\omega M_p} \quad \text{and} \quad u_\infty = Q_\infty \mathcal{U}, \quad \text{with} \quad Q_\infty = \frac{\mathcal{R}_0 - 1}{\frac{\tau}{\delta} + \omega M_p}.$$

**Remark 5.** *It is worth noticing that  $V_\infty$  given in the proposition belongs to the interval  $(\frac{\mu}{\tau}, \bar{V})$ , recalling that  $\bar{V} > \frac{\mu}{\tau}$  when (and only when)  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ .*

*Proof.* We recall that an EE is a positive nontrivial steady state. We deduce from Equation (10) and the uniqueness of  $\mathcal{U}$  that the function  $u_\infty$  of an EE is positively colinear to  $\mathcal{U}$ , *i.e.*  $u_\infty = Q_\infty \mathcal{U}$  with  $Q_\infty > 0$ . Then using the equation on  $V$  at the equilibrium and Equation (9) we get that  $(V_\infty, Q_\infty)$  is solution to the system

$$\begin{cases} \lambda = \delta V_\infty + \frac{\tau V_\infty Q_\infty}{1 + \omega M_p Q_\infty}, \\ \mu = \frac{\tau V_\infty}{1 + \omega M_p Q_\infty}. \end{cases}$$

We easily check that this system has a unique solution different from  $(\bar{V}, 0)$ , given by

$$V_\infty = \frac{\mu + \lambda \omega M_p}{\tau + \delta \omega M_p}, \quad Q_\infty = \frac{\mathcal{R}_0 - 1}{\frac{\tau}{\delta} + \omega M_p}.$$

The value of  $Q_\infty$  is positive if and only if  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ . □

Now we give a useful lemma about the boundedness of  $V$ ,  $P$  and  $W$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Any solution to Equation (18) with  $(V_0, W_0, P_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \exists K_0 > 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad V(t) + P(t) &\leq K_0, \\ \exists K_2 > K_1 > 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad K_1 &\leq W^{k(p-1)}(t) \leq K_2. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We start from

$$\frac{d}{dt}(V + P) = \lambda - \delta V - \mu P \leq \lambda - \min(\delta, \mu)(V + P)$$

which ensures by the Grönwall lemma the global boundedness of  $V + P$ . Then from

$$\frac{d}{dt}W = \frac{W}{k} \left( f(\varepsilon_p; W^{k(p-1)}P)V - \mu W \right) \leq \frac{W}{k} (\tau K_0 - \mu W)$$

we get the global boundedness (from above) of  $W$  by  $\bar{W} > 0$ . From

$$\frac{d}{dt}V \geq \lambda - V(\delta + \tau K_0)$$

we obtain that  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} V(t) \geq \frac{\lambda}{\delta + \tau K_0} > 0$ . Then if  $p \geq 1$  we deduce from

$$\frac{d}{dt}W \geq \frac{W}{k} \left( f(\varepsilon_p; \bar{W}^{k(p-1)}K_0)V - \mu W \right)$$

that  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} W(t) \geq \mu^{-1} f(\bar{W}^{k(p-1)}K_0) \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} V(t) > 0$  since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon_p(t) \rightarrow 0$ . For the case  $p < 1$  we write

$$\frac{d}{dt}W \geq \frac{W f(\varepsilon_p; W^{k(p-1)}P)}{k\tau} \left( \tau V - \mu W (1 + \omega(1 + \varepsilon_p)M_p W^{k(p-1)}K_0) \right)$$

and we define

$$g(W) = W(1 + \omega M_p W^{k(p-1)}K_0).$$

The function  $g$  is continuous and satisfies  $g(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{W \rightarrow +\infty} g(W) = +\infty$ , so there exists  $W_1 > 0$  such that  $g(W_1) = \frac{\tau}{\mu} \liminf V$  and for all  $W < W_1$ ,  $g(W) < \frac{\tau}{\mu} \liminf V$ . Since  $\varepsilon_p \rightarrow 0$  when  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , we deduce that  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} W \geq W_1 > 0$ . Finally we have proved the existence of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  because  $W_0 = 1 > 0$  and  $W$  cannot vanish in finite time. □



**Proposition 7.** *If  $\mathcal{R}_0 \leq 1$ , then the DFE is globally asymptotically stable for the norm  $|V| + \|u\|_1$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $\tilde{V} = V - \bar{V}$ . The stability of the DFE in norm  $|V| + \|u\|_1 = |V| + |P|$  is ensured by the Lyapunov functional

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \bar{V}P(t) + \frac{\tilde{V}^2(t)}{2} \right) = -(\mu - f\bar{V})\bar{V}P - \delta\tilde{V}^2 - \tilde{V}^2fP \leq 0.$$

It remains to prove the global attractivity.

**First case:**  $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$ .

We have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \bar{V}P + \frac{\tilde{V}^2}{2} \right) \leq -(\mu - f\bar{V})\bar{V}P - \delta\tilde{V}^2.$$

Since  $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$  we have  $\mu > \tau\bar{V}$  and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \bar{V}P + \frac{\tilde{V}^2}{2} \right) \leq -\min(\mu - \tau\bar{V}, 2\delta) \left( \bar{V}P + \frac{\tilde{V}^2}{2} \right).$$

We deduce the exponential convergence from the Grönwall lemma.

**Second case:**  $\mathcal{R}_0 = 1$ .

When  $\mathcal{R}_0 = 1$  we only have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \bar{V}P + \frac{\tilde{V}^2}{2} \right) \leq -\tau\mu \left( 1 - \frac{f}{\tau} \right) \bar{V}P - \delta\tilde{V}^2 - f\tilde{V}^2P$$

so we need to be more precise and estimate the value of  $1 - \frac{f}{\tau}$ . Using System (19) we have

$$1 - \frac{f}{\tau} = \frac{(1 + \varepsilon_p)\omega M_p W^{k(p-1)}P}{1 + (1 + \varepsilon_p)\omega M_p W^{k(p-1)}P}.$$

From (17) we can ensure the existence of a time  $t_0 \geq 0$  such that  $|\varepsilon_p(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $t \geq t_0$ . Then using Lemma 6 we get that for all  $t > t_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \bar{V}P + \frac{\tilde{V}^2}{2} \right) &\leq -\frac{\tau\mu\omega M_p K_1}{2 + 3\omega M_p K_2 K_0} \bar{V}P^2 - \frac{\delta}{K_0^2} \tilde{V}^4 - \tau\tilde{V}^2P \\ &\leq -\min \left\{ \frac{\tau\mu\omega M_p K_1}{2 + 3\omega M_p K_2 K_0} \bar{V}^{-1}, \frac{4\delta}{K_0^2}, \tau\bar{V}^{-1} \right\} \left( \bar{V}P + \frac{\tilde{V}^2}{2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

After integration this gives for  $t \geq t_0$

$$\bar{V}P + \frac{\tilde{V}^2}{2} \leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\bar{V}P(t_0) + \tilde{V}^2(t_0)/2} + Ct} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow +\infty} 0$$

where the constant  $C = \min \left\{ \frac{\tau\mu\omega M_p K_1}{2 + 3\omega M_p K_2 K_0} \bar{V}^{-1}, \frac{4\delta}{K_0^2}, \tau\bar{V}^{-1} \right\} > 0$ . □

**Proposition 8.** *If  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ , then the unique EE is locally asymptotically stable for the norm  $|V| + \|u\|_X$ .*

*Proof.* We want to prove

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \eta > 0, \quad |V_0 - V_\infty| + \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_X < \eta \implies \forall t \geq 0, |V(t) - V_\infty| + \|u(t, \cdot) - u_\infty\|_X < \epsilon. \quad (21)$$

**Step #1.** We start from the homogeneous form of Equation (18) (obtained by replacing  $\varepsilon_p$  by 0) which writes

$$\begin{cases} \dot{V} &= \lambda - V(\delta + f(W^{kp}Q)W^kQ), \\ \dot{W} &= \gamma W(f(W^{kp}Q)V - \mu W), \\ \dot{Q} &= \mu Q(W - 1). \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

We easily check from Equation (3) that  $(V_\infty, 1, Q_\infty)$  is the unique equilibrium of System (22). First we prove the linear stability of this equilibrium by using the Routh-Hurwitz criterion. The Jacobian of System (22) about  $(V_\infty, 1, Q_\infty)$  is

$$Jac_{eq} = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta - Qf(Q) & -kVQ(pQf'(Q) + f(Q)) & -V(Qf'(Q) + f(Q)) \\ \gamma f(Q) & pQf'(Q)V - \gamma\mu & \gamma f'(Q)V \\ 0 & \mu Q & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where we have skipped the indices  $\infty$  for the sake of clarity. To use the Routh-Hurwitz criterion, we compute the trace

$$T = -\delta - \gamma\mu - Qf(Q) + pVQf'(Q),$$

the determinant

$$D = \gamma\mu VQ(\delta f'(Q) - f^2(Q)),$$

and the sum of the three  $2 \times 2$  principal minors

$$M = \gamma\mu(\delta + Qf(Q) - VQf'(Q)) - p\delta VQf'(Q) + VQf^2(Q).$$

We have  $T < 0$ ,  $D < 0$ , and from

$$T < -\delta - \gamma\mu \quad \text{and} \quad M > -\gamma\mu VQf'(Q) + VQf^2(Q)$$

we obtain that  $MT < D$ . By the Routh-Hurwitz criterion, we deduce that the steady state  $(V_\infty, 1, Q_\infty)$  is locally stable for Equation (22).

**Step #2.** By continuity of the function  $f$ , the steady-state  $(V_\infty, 1, Q_\infty)$  is also stable for System (18) provided that  $\|\varepsilon_p\|_\infty$  is small enough, *i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \epsilon, \exists \eta > 0, \quad |V_0 - V_\infty| + |W_0 - 1| + |Q_0 - Q_\infty| + \|\varepsilon_p\|_\infty < \eta \\ \implies \forall t \geq 0, |V(t) - V_\infty| + |W(t) - 1| + |Q(t) - Q_\infty| < \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We would like to replace  $|W_0 - 1| + |Q_0 - Q_\infty| + \|\varepsilon_p\|_\infty$  in (23) by  $\|u_0 - u_\infty\|_X$ . From our choice of  $W_0$ , we have  $|W_0 - 1| = 0$ . For  $|Q_0 - Q_\infty|$  we have  $Q_0 = \varrho_0$  and

$$|\varrho_0 - Q_\infty| = \left| \int_0^\infty (u_0(x) - u_\infty(x))x \, dx \right| \leq \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_X. \quad (24)$$

For the last term  $\|\varepsilon_p\|_\infty$  we know from (17) that

$$\|\varepsilon_p\|_\infty \leq M_p^{-1} \|\varrho_0^{-1} u_0 - \mathcal{U}\|_X.$$

But using (24) we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varrho_0^{-1} u_0 - \mathcal{U}\|_X &= \frac{1}{Q_\infty} \left\| \frac{Q_\infty}{\varrho_0} u_0 - u_\infty \right\|_X \\ &\leq \frac{1}{Q_\infty} \left( |Q_\infty - \varrho_0| + \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_X \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{Q_\infty} \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

At this stage we have proved that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$|V_0 - V_\infty| + \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_X < \eta \implies \forall t \geq 0, |V(t) - V_\infty| + |W(t) - 1| + |Q(t) - Q_\infty| < \epsilon. \quad (25)$$

**Step #3.** It remains to deduce (21) from (25). We write

$$\|u(t, \cdot) - u_\infty\|_X \leq \|u(t, \cdot) - Q(t)W^{-k}(t)\mathcal{U}(W^{-k}(t)\cdot)\|_X + \|Q(t)W^{-k}(t)\mathcal{U}(W^{-k}(t)\cdot) - Q_\infty\mathcal{U}\|_X.$$

For the first term we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t, \cdot) - Q(t)W^{-k}(t)\mathcal{U}(W^{-k}(t)\cdot)\|_X &= Q(t) \int_0^\infty |\varrho_0^{-1} v(h(t), x) - \mathcal{U}(x)| (1 + W^{kr}(t)x^r) dx \\ &\leq (Q_\infty + |Q - Q_\infty|) (1 + |W - 1|)^{kr} \|\varrho_0^{-1} u_0 - \mathcal{U}\|_X \\ &\leq 2 \left(1 + \left|\frac{Q}{Q_\infty} - 1\right|\right) (1 + |W - 1|)^{kr} \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_X. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

For the second term we have by dominated convergence that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \eta > 0, \quad |W - 1| + |Q - Q_\infty| < \eta \implies \|QW^{-k}\mathcal{U}(W^{-k}\cdot) - Q_\infty\mathcal{U}\|_X < \epsilon. \quad (27)$$

Combining (25), (26) and (27), we obtain (21) and the proposition is proved.  $\square$

**Proposition 9.** *If  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ , then the trajectories cannot approach the DFE in the sense that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^\infty xu(t, x) dx > 0.$$

*Proof.* We are in the case  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$  so  $\theta := \tau\bar{V} - \mu > 0$ .

**First case:**  $\forall t, V(t) \geq \bar{V}$ . Using System (19), Lemma 6 and (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}P &= P(f(\varepsilon_p; W^{k(p-1)}P)V - \mu) \\ &\geq P((f(\varepsilon_p; W^{k(p-1)}P) - \tau)V + \tau\bar{V} - \mu) \\ &= P\left(-\frac{\tau\omega M_p(1 + \varepsilon_p)W^{k(p-1)}P}{1 + \omega M_p(1 + \varepsilon_p)W^{k(p-1)}P}V + \theta\right) \\ &\geq P\left(-\tau\omega M_p(1 + C\|\varrho_0^{-1}u_0 - \mathcal{U}\|)K_1K_0P + \theta\right) \end{aligned}$$

and we deduce that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} P \geq \frac{\theta}{\tau\omega M_p(1 + C\|\varrho_0^{-1}u_0 - \mathcal{U}\|)K_1K_0} > 0.$$

Remark that this case cannot hold since the positivity of the  $\liminf P$  together with the equation on  $V$  implies that  $V$  becomes lower than  $\bar{V}$  in finite time. So we are always in the second case.

**Second case:**  $\exists t_0 \geq 0$ ,  $V(t_0) < \bar{V}$ . Define the positive function  $\tilde{V}(t) = \bar{V} - V(t)$  ( $\forall t \geq t_0$ ,  $\tilde{V}(t) > 0$ ). As in [4] we compute, for  $\alpha > 0$  to be chosen later,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{P}{\tilde{V}^\alpha} \right) \geq \frac{P}{\tilde{V}^\alpha} ((\bar{V} - \tilde{V})f - \mu) - \alpha \frac{P}{\tilde{V}^\alpha} \left( -\delta + \tau \bar{V} \frac{P}{\tilde{V}} \right).$$

We choose  $\alpha$  large enough so that  $\eta := \alpha\delta - \mu > 0$ . Denoting  $R = P\tilde{V}^{-\alpha}$  we have

$$\dot{R} \geq R(\eta - \alpha\tau\bar{V}R^{1/\alpha}P^{1-1/\alpha})$$

and, choosing  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{P} &= P \frac{f}{\tau} \left( \tau\bar{V} - \mu + \mu \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{f} \right) - \tau \left( \frac{P}{R} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right) \\ &\geq P \frac{f}{\tau} \left( \theta - \mu\omega M_p K_2 P - \tau \left( \frac{P}{R} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right) \\ &\geq P \frac{f}{\tau} \left( \theta - \left( \mu\omega M_p K_2 K_0^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} + \frac{\tau}{R^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right) P^{1/\alpha} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality tells us that

$$\underline{R} := \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} R \geq \left( \frac{\eta}{\alpha\tau\bar{V}K_0^{1-1/\alpha}} \right)^\alpha > 0,$$

then the second inequality ensures that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} P \geq \left( \frac{\theta}{\mu\omega M_p K_2 K_0^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} + \tau \underline{R}^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right)^\alpha > 0.$$

□

**Proposition 10.** *In the case when  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$  and additionally  $p \geq 1$  and  $\delta \geq \mu$ , the EE is globally asymptotically stable for the norm  $|V| + \|u\|_X$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the homogeneous form of System (20) (by replacing  $\varepsilon_p$  by 0). The matrix of partial derivatives has the sign pattern

$$\begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & \text{sgn}(\delta - \mu) \\ 0 & * & + \\ + & \text{sgn}(p - 1) & * \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the case  $p \geq 1$  and  $\delta \geq \mu$ , this indicates an irreducible cooperative system. Then by Theorems 2.3.2, 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 on respective pages 18, 56 and 57 of [27], the homogeneous form of System (20)

exhibits monotone dynamical flow and solutions must approach an equilibrium. From Proposition 9 the trajectories cannot approach the DFE when  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ , so they necessarily approach the EE. Using the stability result of Proposition 8 we deduce the global asymptotic stability of the EE.

To conclude to the same result for the original System (20), we use the fact that  $\varepsilon_p(t) \rightarrow 0$  when  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and Lemma 4.2 in [11].  $\square$

## 4 Conclusion

We have considered a prion model with less terms than in [14], but with more general coefficients. Compared to the results in [14] we have proved the global stability of the DFE in the critical case  $R_0 = 1$  and the global asymptotic stability of the EE when the system is cooperative.

The results in Theorem 1 remain valid for more general incidence functions  $f$  provided that they are decreasing. Indeed it has been proved in [11] that for increasing functions  $f$ , periodic solutions can exist. This indicates that Equation (1) can exhibit various behaviors and their classification in the general case is still an open question.

## Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the french ANR project "KIBORD", ANR-13-BS01-0004-01.

## References

- [1] D. Balagué, J. A. Cañizo, and P. Gabriel. Fine asymptotics of profiles and relaxation to equilibrium for growth-fragmentation equations with variable drift rates. *Kinetic Related Models*, 6(2):219–243, 2013.
- [2] M. J. Cáceres, J. A. Cañizo, and S. Mischler. Rate of convergence to self-similarity for the fragmentation equation in  $L^1$  spaces. *Comm. Appl. Ind. Math.*, 1(2):299–308, 2010.
- [3] M. J. Cáceres, J. A. Cañizo, and S. Mischler. Rate of convergence to an asymptotic profile for the self-similar fragmentation and growth-fragmentation equations. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 96(4):334–362, 2011.
- [4] V. Calvez, N. Lenuzza, M. Doumic, J.-P. Deslys, F. Mouthon, and B. Perthame. Prion dynamic with size dependency - strain phenomena. *J. Biol. Dyn.*, 4(1):28–42, 2010.
- [5] V. Calvez, N. Lenuzza, D. Oelz, J.-P. Deslys, P. Laurent, F. Mouthon, and B. Perthame. Size distribution dependence of prion aggregates infectivity. *Math. Biosci.*, 1:88–99, 2009.
- [6] M. Doumic, T. Goudon, and T. Lepoutre. Scaling limit of a discrete prion dynamics model. *Comm. Math. Sci.*, 7(4):839–865, 2009.
- [7] M. Doumic Jauffret and P. Gabriel. Eigenelements of a general aggregation-fragmentation model. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 20(5):757–783, 2010.

- [8] H. Engler, J. Prüss, and G. Webb. Analysis of a model for the dynamics of prions ii. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 324(1):98–117, 2006.
- [9] M. Escobedo, S. Mischler, and M. Rodriguez Ricard. On self-similarity and stationary problem for fragmentation and coagulation models. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 22(1):99–125, 2005.
- [10] P. Gabriel. The shape of the polymerization rate in the prion equation. *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 53(7-8):1451–1456, 2011.
- [11] P. Gabriel. Long-time asymptotics for nonlinear growth-fragmentation equations. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 10(3):787–820, 2012.
- [12] P. Gabriel and F. Salvarani. Exponential relaxation to self-similarity for the superquadratic fragmentation equation. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 27:74–78, 2014.
- [13] M. L. Greer, L. Pujó-Menjouet, and G. F. Webb. A mathematical analysis of the dynamics of prion proliferation. *J. Theoret. Biol.*, 242(3):598–606, 2006.
- [14] M. L. Greer, P. van den Driessche, L. Wang, and G. F. Webb. Effects of general incidence and polymer joining on nucleated polymerization in a model of prion proliferation. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 68(1):154–170, 2007.
- [15] J. S. Griffith. Nature of the scrapie agent: Self-replication and scrapie. *Nature*, 215(5105):1043–1044, 1967.
- [16] J. T. Jarrett and P. T. Lansbury. Seeding "one-dimensional crystallization" of amyloid: A pathogenic mechanism in alzheimer's disease and scrapie? *Cell*, 73(6):1055 – 1058, 1993.
- [17] P. Laurençot and B. Perthame. Exponential decay for the growth-fragmentation/cell-division equation. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 7(2):503–510, 2009.
- [18] P. Laurençot and C. Walker. Well-posedness for a model of prion proliferation dynamics. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 7(2):241–264, 2007.
- [19] J. Masel, V. Jansen, and M. Nowak. Quantifying the kinetic parameters of prion replication. *Biophysical Chemistry*, 77(2-3):139 – 152, 1999.
- [20] P. Michel, S. Mischler, and B. Perthame. General relative entropy inequality: An illustration on growth models. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 84(9):1235–1260, 2005.
- [21] S. Mischler and J. Scher. Spectral analysis of semigroups and growth-fragmentation equations. Submitted. Preprint on arXiv:1310.7773, Oct. 2013.
- [22] B. Perthame and L. Ryzhik. Exponential decay for the fragmentation or cell-division equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 210(1):155–177, 2005.
- [23] S. B. Prusiner. Novel proteinaceous infectious particles cause scrapie. *Science*, 216(4542):136–144, 1982.
- [24] J. Prüss, L. Pujó-Menjouet, G. Webb, and R. Zacher. Analysis of a model for the dynamics of prion. *Dis. Cont. Dyn. Sys. Ser. B*, 6(1):225–235, 2006.

- [25] J. Silveira, G. Raymond, A. Hughson, R. Race, V. Sim, S. Hayes, and B. Caughey. The most infectious prion protein particles. *Nature*, 437(7056):257–261, Sept. 2005.
- [26] G. Simonett and C. Walker. On the solvability of a mathematical model for prion proliferation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 324(1):580–603, 2006.
- [27] H. L. Smith. *Monotone dynamical systems*, volume 41 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995. An introduction to the theory of competitive and cooperative systems.
- [28] C. Walker. Prion proliferation with unbounded polymerization rates. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Mississippi State–UBA Conference on Differential Equations and Computational Simulations*, volume 15 of *Electron. J. Differ. Equ. Conf.*, pages 387–397, San Marcos, TX, 2007. Southwest Texas State Univ.