

Learning temporal matchings for time series discrimination

Cédric Frambourg, Ahlame Douzal-Chouakria, Éric Gaussier

▶ To cite this version:

Cédric Frambourg, Ahlame Douzal-Chouakria, Éric Gaussier. Learning temporal matchings for time series discrimination. 2014. hal-00996951

HAL Id: hal-00996951 https://hal.science/hal-00996951v1

Submitted on 27 May 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Learning temporal matchings for time series discrimination

Cedric.Frambourg^{a,b}, Ahlame Douzal-Chouakria^{a,*}, Eric Gaussier^a

^a Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble 1 / CNRS / LIG. ^b Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble 1, France / CNRS / TIMC. Cedric.Frambourg,Ahlame.Douzal,Eric.Gaussier@imag.fr

7 Abstract

1

2

3

5

In real applications it is not rare for time series of the same class to exhibit dissimilarities in their overall behaviors, or that time series from different classes have slightly similar shapes. To discriminate between such challenging time series, we present a new approach for training discriminative matching that connects time series with respect to the commonly shared features within classes, and the greatest differential across classes. For this, we rely on a variance/covariance criterion to strengthen or weaken matched observations according to the induced variability within and between classes. In this paper, learned discriminative matching is used to define a locally weighted time series metric, which restricts time series comparison to discriminative features. The relevance of the proposed approach is studied through a nearest neighbor time series classification on real datasets. The experiments performed demonstrate the ability of learned matching to capture fine-grained distinctions between time series, and outperform the standard approaches, all the more so that time series behaviors within the same class are complex.

Keywords: time series classification, temporal matching, discriminant
 analysis, variance-covariance.

s analysis, variance covarian

10 1. Introduction

Time series originating from the same sources or measuring the same phe-11 nomenon are often noisy and tend to have extremely variable timing of their 12 salient features. To allow time series comparison that accounts for delays, nu-13 merous alignment strategies have been proposed, such as those based on Dy-14 namic Time Warping (DTW) [16, 10, 17, 12]. Although these have been used 15 effectively in several domains, classical DTW may be of limited efficiency for 16 time series classification in real applications. In fact, the applied DTW alignment 17 yields a local view, as it is performed in light of a single couple of time series, 18 ignoring all other time series dynamics within and between clusters; further-19 more, the alignment process used is achieved regardless of the analysis process 20

^{*}Corresponding author

(as clustering or classification), weakening its efficiency on complex applications.

- To partly overcome these problems, several variants of DTW have been pro-23 posed to improve performance in classification or clustering. They mostly aim 24 to more finely estimate the DTW parameters, namely, warping constraints, the 25 time weighting, or the underlying cost function. In Yu et al. [20], without being 26 exhaustive, a multiple bands global path constraint, extending the Sakoe-Chiba 27 band [16], is learned through a brute-force search to maximize the marginal 28 nearest neighbor classification. In Ratanamahatana and Keogh [15], a multiple 29 bands global path is estimated for each class through a forward or backward hill-30 climbing search to maximize the kNN accuracy. These methods assume equally 31 weighted times and a constant values-based cost function. In Gaudin and Ni-32 coloyannis [6], a genetic algorithm approach is used to approximate a global 33 time weighting matrix. In Jeong et al. [9], a global logistic weight function 34 is evaluated by searching empirically through the entire data set, and aligned 35 values are penalized according to the induced warping. In both works, the time 36 weighting estimation is similarly formulated as a kNN accuracy maximization 37 problem; as in classical DTW, they involve a values-based cost function without 38 warping constraints. In Douzal-Chouakria and Amblard [4], a unified formal-39 ism for an adaptive DTW cost function is proposed, specifically to cover both 40 the behavior and value components; the cost function parameters are estimated 41 using a classification tree for time series. In Xie and Wiltgen [19], DTW based 42 on a linear cost function is proposed, involving local (i.e. derivative based) and 43 global (i.e. values-based) features. The latter methods focus on estimating an 44 adaptive cost function while considering equally weighted times without warp-45 ing constraints. 46
- 47

Using an approach different from DTW alignment, Gaffney et al. [5] propose 48 a probabilistic model that allows for the derivation of an EM learning algorithm 49 that handles clustering and matching processes jointly. For this purpose, a B-50 spline regression mixture models are proposed for clustering time series data, 51 augmented for the alignment process, with affine transformations for scaling and 52 translation in time. In the same spirit, Ramsay et al. [14] propose a method 53 that learns an alignment function for each time series, parameterized with order 54 one B-spline coefficients. Both of the above proposed alignments remain limited 55 to time series of the same class, which limits the discriminative power of these 56 methods. In Listegarten et al. [11] a hierarchical Bayesian model is proposed, 57 which aligns time series simultaneously across all classes, while detecting and 58 characterizing class-specific differences. The proposed model assumes that the 59 time series from all clusters originate from the same source, i.e. that they share 60 a common global structure with rare differences between clusters. Although 61 these approaches yield more accurate alignments between time series, they as-62 sume that time series from the same class share a single global structure. 63

64

⁶⁵ However, it is indisputable that time series peculiarities may be more compli-⁶⁶ cated in real applications. In particular, it is not unusual that time series from a

same class exhibit differences in overall behaviors, or that time series behaviors 67 exhibit similarities across classes. Consequently, for time series discrimination, it 68 appears important that the time series matching relies on the commonly shared 69 features within the classes and the most differential ones between classes. Such 70 challenging linkages can be achieved by training time series matching within and 71 between classes to localize discriminative features. However, these temporally 72 unconstrained linkages are difficult to reach with alignments that are mainly 73 founded on monotone functions that preserve the temporal order constraints. 74

75

With this in mind, we propose a new approach for training time series 76 discriminative matching that highlights class-specific characteristics and dif-77 ferences. The main idea consists of using a discriminant criterion based on 78 variance/covariance to strengthen or weaken links according to their contribu-79 tions to the variances within and between classes. The variance/covariance 80 measure is used in many approaches, including discriminant analysis, clustering 81 and classification [7, 2, 13, 18]. However, to the best of our knowledge, it has 82 never been investigated for learning temporal matching to discriminate classes 83 of time series. To this end, we propose a new formalization of the classical vari-84 ance/covariance for a set of time series, as well as for a partition of time series 85 (Section 2). In Section 3, we present a method for training the intra and inter 86 class time series matching, driven by within-class variance minimization and 87 between-class variance maximization. The learned discriminative matching is 88 then used to define a locally weighted time series metric that restricts the time 89 series comparison to discriminative features (Section 4). The relevance of the 90 proposed approach is studied by k-nearest neighbor time series classification on 91 real datasets. In Section 5, the experiments carried out reveal that the proposed 92 approach is able to capture fine-grained distinctions between time series, all the 93 more so that time series of a same class exhibit dissimilar behaviors. 94 95

Let us underline the main characteristics of the proposed method: 1) It enlarges time series alignments to a general temporal matching that localizes the common features within classes and the distinctive ones between classes, 2) It takes into account time series of possibly dissimilar behaviors within classes; 3) It is trained according to the temporal dynamics of all time series within and between classes.

¹⁰² 2. Variance/covariance for time series

We first recall the definition of the conventional variance/covariance matrix, prior to introducing its formalization for time series data. Let X be the $(n \times p)$ data matrix containing n observations of p numerical variables. The conventional $(p \times p)$ variance/covariance matrix expression is:

$$V = X^{t}(I - UP)^{t}P(I - UP)X$$
(1)

107

where, I is the diagonal identity matrix, U the unit matrix, and P a diagonal weight matrix of general term $p_i = \frac{1}{n}$ for equally weighted observations.

In the following, we provide a generalization of the variance/covariance expression Eq.(1) to multivariate time series observations.

112 2.1. Variance induced by a set of time series

For a set of time series, let X Eq.(2) be the $(nT \times p)$ matrix providing the description of n multivariate time series $S_1, ..., S_n$ by p numerical variables at T time stamps.

117

The matching between n time series can be described by a matrix M Eq.(3) of positive terms composed of n^2 block matrices $M^{ll'}$ (l = 1, ..., n; l' = 1, ..., n). A block $M^{ll'}$ Eq.(4) is a $(T \times T)$ matrix that specifies the matching between S_l and $S_{l'}$, of general term $m_{ii'}^{ll'} \in [0, 1]$ giving the weight of the link between the observation i of S_l and i' of $S_{l'}$.

$$M = \dots \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & \dots & S_n \\ M^{11} & \dots & M^{1n} \\ \dots & M^{ll'} & \dots \\ S_n \begin{bmatrix} M^{n1} & \dots & M^{nn} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

124 125

$$M^{ll'} = S_l \begin{bmatrix} m_{11}^{ll'} & \dots & m_{1T}^{ll'} \\ \dots & m_{ii'}^{ll'} & \dots \\ m_{T1}^{ll'} & \dots & m_{TT}^{ll'} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

C

126 127

128

129

130

131

132

133

In particular, three basic matchings can be considered:

- A complete linkage connecting all observations of S_l and S'_l , whatever their time stamps, is obtained by setting $\forall i, i' \in \{1, ..., T\}$, $m_{ii'}^{ll'} = \frac{1}{T}$, defined by $M^{ll'} = \frac{1}{T}U_T$, U_T being the $(T \times T)$ unit matrix
- The Euclidean alignment connecting observations that occur at the same time is obtained by setting $\forall i, i' \in \{1, ..., T\}, m_{ii'}^{ll'} = 1$ if i = i' and 0 otherwise, described by $M^{ll'} = I$;

• A dynamic time warping alignment is obtained by setting $\forall i, i' \in \{1, ..., T\}$, $m_{ii'}^{ll'} = 1$ if i is aligned with i' by the standard DTW, and 0 otherwise.

Then, the $(p \times p)$ variance/covariance matrix V_M induced by a set of time series $S_1, ..., S_n$ connected to one another according to the matching matrix M can be defined on the basis of Eq.(1), as:

$$V_M = X^t (I - M)^t P (I - M) X$$
(5)

139

where P is a $(nT \times nT)$ diagonal matrix of weights, with $p_i = \frac{1}{nT}$ for equally weighted observations. Note that for a complete linkage matching, M is equal to UP and V_M leads to a conventional variance covariance V Eq.(1).

For clarity and to simplify notation, we focus for the theoretical developments on univariate time series. The extension to the multivariate case is direct and will be used in the experiments.

147

143

Thus, let x_i^l be the value of the variable X taken by S_l (l = 1, ..., n) at the *ith* time stamp (i = 1, ..., T).

150 **Definition 1.** The variance V_M of the variable X is given by:

$$V_M = \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^T p_i (x_i^l - \sum_{l'=1}^n \sum_{i'=1}^T m_{i\,i'}^{l\,l'} x_{i'}^{l'})^2 \tag{6}$$

151

Note that each value x_i^l is centered relative to the term $\sum_{l'=1}^n \sum_{i'=1}^T m_{ii'}^{ll'} x_{i'}^{l'}$ estimating the average of X in the neighborhood of the time i of S_l . The neighborhood of i is the set of instants i' of $S_{l'}$ (l' = 1...n) connected to i with $m_{ii'}^{ll'} \neq 0$. We now proceed to define the variance within and between classes when the set of time series is partitioned into classes.

¹⁵⁷ 2.2. Variance induced by a partition of time series

Let us now consider a set of time series $S_1, ..., S_n$ partitioned into K classes, with $y_i \in \{1, ..., K\}$ the class label of S_i and n_k the number of time series belonging to class C_k . The definition of the *within variance* (i.e. the variance within classes) and the *between variance* (i.e. the variance between classes) induced by K classes is obtained by using the expression given in Eq.(5) based on a matching M specified below.

Definition 2. The within variance with an intra-class matching matrix M
 is given by:

$$WV_M = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{n_k} \sum_{i=1}^{T} (x_i^l - \sum_{l'=1}^{n_k} \sum_{i'=1}^{T} m_{ii'}^{ll'} x_{i'}^{l'})^2$$

166 with

$$M^{ll'} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{I} & \text{if } l = l' \\ \neq \mathbf{0} & \text{if } y_l = y_{l'} \text{ and } l \neq l' \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if } y_l \neq y_{l'} \end{cases}$$
(7)

where **I** and **O** are the $(T \times T)$ identity and zero matrices, respectively.

The general setting for the blocks $M^{ll'}$ of the intra-class matching M is based on three considerations: (a) the Euclidean alignment $(M^{ll} = \mathbf{I})$ linking each time series to itself ensures a variance of zero when comparing a time series with itself, (b) time series within the same class should be connected, while (c) time series of different classes are not connected, as they do not contribute to the within variance.

174 Similarly, we have:

Definition 3. The between variance with an inter-class matching matrix M
 is given by:

$$BV_M = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{n_k} \sum_{i=1}^{T} (x_i^l) \\ -(m_{ii}^{ll} x_i^l + \sum_{k' \neq k} \sum_{l'=1}^{n_{k'}} \sum_{i'=1}^{T} m_{ii'}^{ll'} x_{i'}^{l'}))^2$$

177 with

$$M^{ll'} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{I} & \text{if } l = l' \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if } y_l = y_{l'} \text{ and } l \neq l' \\ \neq \mathbf{0} & \text{if } y_l \neq y_{l'} \end{cases}$$
(8)

where I and 0 are the $(T \times T)$ identity and zero matrices, respectively.

The setting of the inter-class matching M is symmetric with respect to the preceding one, matching between time series of the same class being forbidden, while matching between time series of different classes is taken into account.

As one can note, the matching matrix M plays a crucial role in the definition of the within and between variances. The main issue for time series classification is therefore to learn a discriminative matching that highlights shared features within classes and distinctive ones between classes. To do so, we look for the matching matrix M, under the general settings given in Eqs. (7) and (8), that minimizes the within variance and maximizes the between variance. We present an efficient way to do this in the following section.

¹⁹⁰ 3. Learning discriminative matchings

We present here an efficient method to learn the matching matrix M, so as to connect time series based on their discriminative features. The proposed approach consists of two successive phases. In the first phase, the intra-class matching is learned to minimize the within variance. The learned intra-class matching reveals time series connections based on class-specific characteristics. In the second phase, the learned intra-class matching is refined to maximize the between variance.

¹⁹⁸ 3.1. Learning the intra-class matching

We are interested in inferring commonly shared structure within classes, that is in identifying the set of time stamps i' connected to each time stamp iregardless of their weights.

202

Thus, the problem of learning the intra-class matching matrix M to minimize the within variance, i.e. the quantity WV_M of Definition 2, can be formulated as the following constrained optimization problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \arg\min_{M} & WV_{M} \\ \text{subject to:} & \forall k \in \{1, ..., K\}, \ \forall (l, l') \in C_{k}, \ \forall (i, i') \in [1, T]^{2} : \\ & m_{ii}^{ll} > 0 \ \text{and} \ m_{ii'}^{ll} = 0 \ \text{for} \ i \neq i' \\ & \sum_{i'=1}^{T} m_{ii'}^{ll'} > 0, \\ & \sum_{i'=1}^{n_{k}} \sum_{i'=1}^{T} m_{ii'}^{ll'} = 1 \\ & \text{if} \ m_{ii'}^{ll'} \neq 0, \ m_{ii'}^{ll'} = m_{ii}^{ll} \end{array}$$

$$(9)$$

2	n	6
4	U	U

The first three constraints are dictated by the variance/covariance definition. 207 More precisely, the first constraint ensues from the first setting $(M^{ll} = \mathbf{I})$ given 208 in Eq. (7). The second constraint guarantees the second setting $(M^{ll'} \neq \mathbf{0})$ by 209 imposing that each time stamp i of S_i be connected to at least one time i' of $S_{i'}$. 210 The third one corresponds to a row normalization of M involved in the centering 211 process of WV_M (Definition 2). The last constraint determines the linkage struc-212 ture to be extracted, namely, equally weighted links in the neighborhood of i, as 213 the interest is to reveal time stamps i' connected to i, regardless of their weights. 214 215

The fourth constraint renders the problem discrete and standard gradient 216 approaches are inappropriate. Furthermore, an exhaustive search is in prac-217 tice unfeasible, because the number of configurations is $(2^T - 1)^{\sum_k (n_k - 1)n_k T} \simeq$ 218 $2^{\sum_k n_k^2 T^2}$. We introduce here an efficient approach that iteratively evaluates the 219 contribution of each linked observation (i, i') to the within variance; the weights 220 $m_{ii'}^{ll'}$ are then penalized for all links (i, i') that significantly increase the within 221 variance. This process, called *TrainIntraMatch*, is described in Algorithm 1 and 222 involves the following steps. 223

Step 1: Initialization A complete linkage is used to initialize the intra-class matching matrix M, to ensure that all possible matchings are considered and that no *a priori* constraints on the type of matching one should look for are introduced. Furthermore, it satisfies the constraints given in Eq.(9).

$$M^{ll'} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{I} & \text{if } l = l' \\ \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{U} & \text{if } y_l = y_{l'} \text{ and } l \neq l' \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if } y_l \neq y_{l'} \end{cases}$$
(10)

228

Algorithm 1 TrainIntraMatch (X, α)

0		
M = complete intra-class matching	Step 1	
repeat		
LinkRemoved = false		
for all (l, l') with $y_l = y_{l'}$ and $l \neq l'$ do		
for all $(i, i') \in [1, T] \times [1, T]$ do		
$C_{ii'}^{ll'}$ evaluation with Eq. (11)	Step 2	
end for		
end for		
for all $(i, l) \in [1, T] \times [1, n]$ do		
$Link = \arg \max_{i', l'} (C_{ii'}^{ll'})$ satisfying Eq. (13)	Step 3	
if $Link \neq \emptyset$ then		
Remove $Link \ (m_{i,i'}^{l,l'} = 0)$ and		
Update weights with Eq. (12)		
LinkRemoved = true		
end if		
end for		
until $\neg LinkRemoved$	Step 4	
$return(M_{Intra} = M)$		

Step 2: Computing link contributions We define the contribution $C_{i_1i_2}^{l_1l_2}$ of the link (i_1, i_2) between S_{l_1} and S_{l_2} $(y_{l_1} = y_{l_2})$ as the induced variation on the within variance after the link (i_1, i_2) has been removed:

$$C_{i_1 i_2}^{l_1 l_2} = WV_M - WV_{M \setminus (i_1, i_2, l_1, l_2)}$$
(11)

where $M \setminus (i_1, i_2, l_1, l_2)$ denotes the matrix obtained from M by setting $m_{i_1 i_2}^{l_1 l_2}$ to 0 and re-normalizing its i_1^{th} row:

$$m_{i_1i'}^{l_1l'} \leftarrow \frac{m_{i_1i'}^{l_1l'}}{1 - m_{i_1i_2}^{l_1l_2}} \tag{12}$$

The evaluated contributions reveal two types of links: the links of positive contribution $C_{ii'}^{ll'} > 0$ that decrease the within variance if removed, and the links of negative contribution $C_{ii'}^{ll'} < 0$ that increase the within variance if removed.

Step 3: Link deletion The deletion of a link with positive contribution ensures 238 that the within variance will decrease. Because of the renormalization 239 given in Eq.(12), the second and third constraints given in Eq.(9) are 240 satisfied. However, one should not remove a link if its deletion violates 241 the fourth constraint. In addition, if all links within a row have a negligible 242 contribution to the variance, one can dispense with removing them in order 243 to (a) avoid overtraining and (b) speed up the process. Thus, a link (i, i')244 between S_l and $S_{l'}$ is deleted if it satisfies: 245

$$C_{ii'}^{ll'} > \alpha.WV_{M_1}$$
 and $\sum_{i''=1,(i''\neq i')}^{T} m_{ii''}^{ll'} > 0$ (13)

where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and WV_{M_1} is the initial within variance. When $\alpha = 0$, all links with positive contributions are deleted as long as this deletion does not violate the constraints.

Because the normalization in Eq.(12) performed after the deletion of (i_1, i_2) impacts only the weights of the i_1^{th} row, deleting a single link per row at each iteration of the process guarantees that the global within variance will decrease. Thus, at each iteration one can simply delete the link on each row of maximal contribution compliant with Eq.(13).

Step 4: Stopping the learning process. The algorithm iterates steps 2, 3 and 4
 until there are no more links satisfying the conditions specified in Eq.(13).

From the learned intra-class matching obtained at step 4, noted M_{Intra} , one may induce for each time series S_l one intra-block M_{Intra}^l to indicate the characteristic linkage between S_l and time series of the same class. This intrablock is obtained by summing the block matrices learned for S_l , as follows:

$$M_{Intra}^{l.} = \sum_{l' \in 1, \dots, n_k} M_{Intra}^{ll'} \tag{14}$$

261

Note that the row normalization of M_{Intra} assures the normalization of M_{Intra}^{l} . M_{Intra}^{l} . Furthermore, post-pruning can be carried out on M_{Intra}^{l} by setting to 0 all the weights lower than the initial uniform weighting (weight $< \frac{1}{T}$), assumed not significant for classes characterization.

²⁶⁶ 3.2. Learning the inter-class matching

The goal of this second phase is to refine the highlighted connections in 267 M_{Intra} (i.e., that connects shared features within classes) to capture the links 268 that are additionally differentiating classes. For this, we refer to a similar algo-269 rithm called *TrainInterMatch*, where the inter-class matching is initialized with 270 M_{Intra} , then trained to maximize the between variance. Similarly, the problem 271 of learning the inter-class matching matrix M to maximize the between vari-272 ance, i.e. the quantity BV_M of Definition 3, can be formulated as the following 273 constrained optimization problem: 274

$$\begin{cases} \arg \max_{M} & BV_{M} \\ \text{subject to:} \quad \forall k \in \{1, ..., K\}, \, \forall l \in C_{k}, \, \forall l' \notin C_{k}, \, \forall (i, i') \in [1, T]^{2} : \\ & m_{ii}^{ll} > 0 \text{ and } m_{ii'}^{ll} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq i' \\ & \sum_{i'=1}^{T} m_{ii'}^{ll'} > 0, \\ & m_{ii}^{ll} + \sum_{k' \neq k} \sum_{l'=1}^{n'_{k}} \sum_{i'=1}^{T} m_{ii'}^{ll'} = 1 \\ & \text{if } m_{ii'}^{ll'} \neq 0, \, m_{ii'}^{ll'} = m_{ii}^{ll} \end{cases}$$
(15)

275

The first constraint derives from the first setting $(M^{ll} = \mathbf{I})$ given in Eq. (8). The second constraint guarantees the third setting $(M^{ll'} \neq \mathbf{0})$ of Eq. (8) by

246

250

251

252

253

254

²⁷⁸ imposing that each time stamp i of S_l should be connected to at least one time ²⁷⁹ i' of $S_{l'}$. The third one corresponds to row normalization of M involved in the ²⁸⁰ centering process of BV_M (Definition 3), and the last condition ensures equally ²⁸¹ weighted links in the neighborhood of i.

As for the within variance minimization problem, the fourth constraint 283 makes the problem discrete and standard gradient approaches are inappropriate. 284 In addition, an exhaustive search is unfeasible as the number of configurations 285 is $2^{n^2T^2}$. Thus, we adopt the same approach, which consists in iteratively evalu-286 ating the contribution of each linked observations (i, i') to the between variance; 287 the weights $m_{ii'}^{ll'}$ are then penalized for all links (i, i') significantly decreasing 288 the between variance. We now briefly describe the main steps of the TrainIn-289 terMatch algorithm 2. 290

Algorithm 2 TrainInterMatch (X, α)

$M =$ defined from M_{Intra} with Eq. (16)	Step 1	
repeat		
LinkRemoved = false		
for all (l, l') with $y_l \neq y_{l'}$ do		
for all $(i, i') \in [1, T] \times [1, T]$ do		
$C_{ii'}^{ll'}$ evaluation with Eq. (17)	Step 2	
end for		
end for		
for all $(i, l) \in [1, T] \times [1, n]$ do		
$Link = \arg\min_{i',l'} (C_{ii'}^{ll'})$ satisfying Eq. (19)	Step 3	
if $Link \neq \emptyset$ then		
Remove $Link \ (m_{i,i'}^{l,l'}=0)$ and		
Update weights with Eq. (18)		
LinkRemoved = true		
end if		
end for		
$until \neg LinkRemoved$	Step 4	
$return(M_{Intra} = M)$		

291 Step1: Initialization The inter-class matching matrix M is initialized as follows:

$$M^{ll'} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{I} & \text{if } l = l' \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if } y_l = y_{l'} \text{ and } l \neq l' \\ M^{l}_{Intra} & \text{if } y_l = k \neq y_{l'} \end{cases}$$
(16)

292

282

where $M^{ll'}$ is initialized with the aggregated intra block M^{l}_{Intra} of S_l according to Eq.(14). The row normalized initial matrix is in agreement with the constraints given in Eq.(15).

296	Step 2: Computing link contributions We define the contribution $C_{i_1i_2}^{l_1l_2}$ of the
297	link (i_1, i_2) between S_{l_1} and S_{l_2} $(y_{l_1} \neq y_{l_2})$ to be the variation induced on
298	the between variance after the link (i_1, i_2) has been removed:

$$C_{i_1 i_2}^{l_1 l_2} = BV_M - BV_{M \setminus (i_1, i_2, l_1, l_2)}$$
(17)

where $M \setminus (i_1, i_2, l_1, l_2)$ denotes the matrix obtained from M by setting $m_{i_1 i_2}^{l_1 l_2}$ to 0 and re-normalizing its i_1^{th} row:

$$m_{i_1i'}^{l_1l'} \leftarrow \frac{m_{i_1i'}^{l_1l'}}{1 - m_{i_1i_2}^{l_1l_2}} \tag{18}$$

The evaluated contributions reveal two types of links: those making a positive contribution $C_{iii'}^{ll'} > 0$ that induce a decrease of the between variance if removed and those making a negative contribution $C_{ii'}^{ll'} < 0$ that cause an increase of the between variance if removed.

Step 3: Link deletion The deletion of a link with a negative contribution ensures 305 that the between variance will increase. The second and third constraints 306 given in Eq. (15) are preserved by the row normalization given in Eq. (18). 307 However, one should not remove a link if its deletion would violate the 308 fourth constraint. In addition, if all one can dispense with removing links 309 with negligible contributions in order to (a) avoid overtraining and (b) 310 speed up the process. Thus, a link (i, i') between S_l and $S_{l'}$ is deleted if 311 it satisfies: 312

$$C_{ii'}^{ll'} < -\alpha.BV_{M_1}$$
 and $\sum_{i''=1,(i''\neq i')}^T m_{ii''}^{ll'} > 0$ (19)

313

where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and BV_{M_1} is the initial between variance. When $\alpha = 0$, all links with negative contributions are deleted as long as this deletion does not violate the constraints. As argued before, the convergence of the learning process is ensured by removing, at each iteration, one link at most for each row of M, the one exhibiting the minimal negative contribution compliant with Eq.(19).

Step 4: Stopping the learning process. The algorithm iterates steps 2, 3 and 4 until there are no more links satisfying the conditions specified in Eq.(19). Let M_* be the learned intra-class matching.

323 3.3. Convergence and complexity of the learning process

As noted above, the process retained guarantees that each time one deletes a link compliant with Eq.(13) in *TrainIntraMatch* (respectively to Eq.(19) in *TrainInterMatch*) and renormalizes M according to Eq.(12) (respectively Eq.(18)), the variance decreases (respectively increases) while the constraints are still satisfied. This process thus converges towards a matching matrix M, yielding a lower within class (respectively higher between class) variance than the original one. Furthermore, if α equals 0, the set of links obtained is minimal in the sense that any deletion of a link from this set will lead to an increase (respectively decrease) in the variance.

In terms of complexity, the dominating factor in the above process is the computation of the contributions of each link. This contribution $C_{i_1i_2}^{l_1l_2}$ can be expressed as the difference between the centered values of $x_{i_1}^{l_1}$ before and after the deletion of (i_1, i_2) :

$$C_{i_{1}i_{2}}^{l_{1}l_{2}} = \frac{1}{nT} (x_{i_{1}}^{l_{1}} - \sum_{l'=1}^{n_{k}} \sum_{i'=1}^{T} m_{i_{1}i'}^{l_{1}l'} x_{i'}^{l'})^{2} - \frac{1}{nT} (x_{i_{1}}^{l_{1}} - \sum_{l'=1}^{n_{k}} \sum_{i'=1}^{T} \frac{m_{i_{1}i'}^{l_{1}l'}}{1 - m_{i_{1}i_{2}}^{l_{1}l_{2}}} x_{i'}^{l'})^{2}$$

$$(20)$$

³³⁷ for the *TrainIntraMatch*, and:

$$C_{i_{1}i_{2}}^{l_{1}l_{2}} = \frac{1}{nT} (x_{i_{1}}^{l_{1}} - (m_{ii}^{ll}x_{i}^{l} + \sum_{k' \neq k} \sum_{l'=1}^{n_{k'}} \sum_{i'=1}^{T} m_{ii'}^{ll'} x_{i'}^{l'}))^{2} - \frac{1}{nT} (x_{i_{1}}^{l_{1}} - (\frac{m_{i_{1}i_{1}}^{l_{1}l_{1}}}{1 - m_{i_{1}i_{2}}^{l_{1}l_{2}}} x_{i}^{l} + \sum_{k' \neq k} \sum_{l'=1}^{n_{k'}} \sum_{i'=1}^{T} \frac{m_{i_{1}i'}^{l_{1}l'}}{1 - m_{i_{1}i_{2}}^{l_{1}l_{2}}} x_{i'}^{l}))^{2}$$
(21)

338

339 for the TrainInterMatch.

340

The complexity of the TrainIntraMatch algorithm thus amounts to $O(\sum_{k=1}^{K} I_k n_k^2 T^2)$ where I_k corresponds to the number of times the process has affected elements of class k. In the worst case, for each class k, $I_k = (n_k - 1)(T - 1)$, and the overall complexity amounts to $O(\sum_{k=1}^{K} n_k^3 T^3)$. Similarly, the complexity of the TrainInterMatch algorithm is about $O(\sum_{k=1}^{K} I_k (n - n_k) n_k T^2)$ with, in the worst case, $I_k = (n - n_k)(T - 1)$, and an overall complexity of $O(\sum_{k=1}^{K} (n - n_k)^2 n_k T^3) \simeq$ $O(n^3 T^3)$.

348

We now turn to the application of the learned matching matrix to time series classification.

³⁵¹ 4. Time series classification based on the learned matching

Our aim here is to present a way of using learned discriminative matching 352 to locally weight time series for k-nearest neighbor classification. The purpose 353 of the proposed weighting is to restrict the time series comparison to the dis-354 criminant (characteristic and differential) features. Let M_* be the discrimina-355 tive matching learned by the *TrainIntraMatch* and *TrainInterMatch* algorithms, 356 where discriminant linkages are highly weighted. For each S_l of the training 357 sample, we define its discriminative matching M_*^l as the average of the learned 358 matrices $M_*^{ll'}$ $(y_{l'} \neq y_l = k)$: 359

$$M_*^{l} = \frac{1}{(n-n_k)T} \sum_{l'} M_*^{ll'}$$

360

In k-nearest neighbor classification, one can compare a new time series S_{test} to a sample series S_l of C_k based on its learned discriminative matching. However, as discussed above, discriminative features of time series of C_k may appear at different time stamps, according to a delay r. Thus, to evaluate the proximity to S_l one should consider, in addition, the delay inherent in S_{test} . This can be achieved by looking for the delay r that leads to the minimal distance between S_{test} and S_l , as proposed in the following locally weighted proximity measure:

$$D_l(S_l, S_{test}) = \min_{r \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}} \left(\sum_{|i-i'| \le r; \, (i,i') \in [1,T]^2} \frac{m_{ii'}^{l}}{\sum_{|i-i'| \le r} m_{ii'}^{l}} (x_i^l - x_{i'}^{test})^2 \right)$$
(22)

368

where r corresponds to the Sakoe-Chiba band width [16]. Note that for r = 0, D_l defines a locally weighted Euclidean distance involving the diagonal weights m_{ii}^l , whereas for r = T - 1 the time series are compared according to the complete learned discriminative matching. The proposed D_l defines a dissimilarity index satisfying the positivity, symmetry and coincidence axioms. The D_l is simply noted D in the following.

375 5. Experiments

376 5.1. Description of the datasets

To motivate our approach we first considered BME and UMD, two synthetic 377 challenging datasets composed of time series that are dissimilar within classes 378 and slightly similar between classes. BME consists of three classes Begin, Mid-379 dle, and End (Figure 1). In the Begin (respectively the End) class, the time 380 series share a common signature defined by a small bell arising at the initial 381 (respectively final) period. The overall behavior may be distinctive within these 382 classes depending on whether the large bell is up or down positioned. Further-383 more, time series of the *Begin* and the *End* classes composed of an up-positioned 384 large bell are quite similar to the *Middle* class time series. 385



Figure 1: Distinctive behaviors within BME classes: Begin, Middle, and End

The second dataset UMD, composed similarly of three classes Up, Middle, and Down, introduces more complexity, with a local shared signature (i.e. a small bell) occurring at different time stamps, as illustrated in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Distinctive behaviors within UMD classes: UP, MIDDLE, and DOWN

The reason for considering these synthetic datasets is to show, through a challenging but easily identifiable discriminant features, which connections are selected by the *TrainIntraMatch* algorithm and how they are refined after the *TrainInterMatch* algorithm captures the discriminative linkage.

The proposed approach is thus motivated by a real application that aims to analyze the electrical power consumption of customers, to adequately meet consumer demands. We use two datasets CONSLEVEL and CONSSEASON obtained from a public database¹ providing the electric power consumption recorded in a personal home over almost one year (349 days). Each time series consists of 144 measurements that give the power consumption of one day with a 10 minute sampling rate.

402

394

CONSLEVEL divides the 349 time series into two classes (*Low* and *High*) depending on whether the average electric power during the peak demand period (6:00pm-8:00pm) is lower or greater than the annual average consumption during that period. Figure 3 shows the pattern of electric consumption within the CONSLEVEL classes; the red frames delineate the time interval [108,120], which corresponds to the peak period (6:00pm-8:00pm).

On the other hand, CONSSEASON splits the 349 time series into two season classes (*Warm* and *Cold*) depending on whether the power consumption is
recorded during the warm (from April to September) or cold (from October to
March) seasons (Figure 4). Note that the electric power consumption profiles
differ markedly within classes in both datasets.

415

409

The goal of the proposed approach applied to the CONSLEVEL and CON-SSEASON datasets is: to localize the periods that characterize the daily power

 $^{^1\}mathrm{These}$ data are available at http://bilab.enst.fr/wakka.php?wiki=HomeLoadCurve, and analyzed in [8]



Figure 3: The electrical power consumption within the Low and High classes of <code>CONSLEVEL</code> dataset.



Figure 4: The electrical power consumption within the Warm and Cold classes of CONSSEASON dataset.

consumption of each class, to highlight periods that differentiate the power consumption of different classes, and to classify new power consumption based on
the learned discriminative features. For instance, for the CONSLEVEL dataset,
a classification based on the discriminative periods prior to the time interval
6:00pm-8:00pm can help forecast the consumer demands during the peak period.

424

In addition to the above mentioned datasets, we have used a standard dataset on character trajectories TRAJ [1], where time series share a quite similar global behavior within classes (20 classes of 50 time series each). The goal of this latter dataset is to verify whether the proposed approach can recover standard time series structures within classes or not.

430

431 5.2. Results and discussion

⁴³² The algorithms *TrainIntraMatch* and *TrainInterMatch* are applied to the ⁴³³ above datasets with $\alpha = 0.5\%$. As an example, let us first illustrate, for the BME dataset, the progression of the within and between variances during the
learning processes (Figure 5). The clearly monotonically decreasing (respectively increasing) behavior of the within (respectively between) class variance,
which ends at a plateau, assesses: a) the pertinence of the conducted links penalization to minimize the within variance and maximize the between variance,
b) the convergence of the proposed algorithms.





Figure 5: The within and between variance progression for BME dataset.

Figure 6 displays, for a time series from the *Middle* class (UMD dataset), 441 its learned intra-class and inter-class blocks; the bright cells indicate highly 442 weighted links. The intra-class block (Figure 6 left) reveals the characteris-443 tic matching between the given time series (in a row) and time series of the 444 same class (in a column). The determined structure, from the intra-class block, 445 shows a strong linkage between, on the one hand, the central large bells rep-446 resented by the central light square, and on the other hand, the initial and 447 final plateaus. From the corresponding inter-block (Figure 6 right), we can see 448 that connections that are characteristic (captured in the intra-class block) but 449 not differential have been removed, while those that are both characteristic and 450 differential are retained and reinforced. In particular, note that the weights of 451 the central square region are lowered but not set to zero, because this region 452 discriminates time series of the Up and Down classes that are composed of a 453 down positioned large bell. However, the linkage between the initial and final 454 plateaus has been drastically reduced to small discriminative regions (i.e., highly 455 weighted), corresponding to the periods where the small bells may arise within 456 the Up and Down classes. 457

458

For CONSLEVEL, similarly, we can see in Figure 7 the learned intra-class and inter-class blocks for a given time series of the *Low* class. The intra-class block reveals a checkerboard structure, indicating that the electric power consumption within the *Low* class alternates, in a daily period, between a low and a moderately high consumption. The corresponding inter-class block shows the



Figure 6: The learned intra (left) and inter (right) class matching for UMD dataset.

discriminative matching between the considered *Low* class time series and time
series of the *High* class (on column). This block displays many discriminative
regions; for example, it shows that the power consumption within the *High* class
within the period underlined in red (prior to 6:00pm-8:00pm) is especially important in predicting the consumption during the peak period.



Figure 7: The learned intra and inter class matching for a Low class time series.

The learned discriminative matching is then used for the locally weighted time series metric D given in Eq.(22). The relevance of the proposed approach and of the induced metric are then studied through a k-nearest neighbor classification for k = 1, 3, 5, 7 and through a leave-one-out protocol. The results obtained are compared to two baselines: the Euclidean DE and dynamic time warping DTW distances (Table 1).

476

The misclassification error rates obtained in Table 1 show the efficiency of 477 the proposed locally weighted metric D in discriminating between complex time 478 series classes, compared to standard metrics for time series. In particular, one 479 can note that for all datasets but TRAJ, the best results (in bold) are obtained 480 with D. For TRAJ, the three metrics lead to comparable results suggesting that 481 the Euclidean alignment is an appropriate matching for this dataset. In Figure 482 8, we can see that the learned discriminative matching, for example, for "c", 483 "o", "i", "e", "u" and "a" characters is close to the Euclidean one, which shows 484

Table 1: k -Neares	t Nei	ighbor clas	sification e	error rates
	k	D	DE	DTW
	1	0.032	0.165	0.130
BME	3	0.034	0.208	0.132
	5	0.062	0.234	0.136
	$\overline{7}$	0.079	0.297	0.191
	1	0.055	0.173	0.121
UMD	3	0.111	0.333	0.177
	5	0.173	0.343	0.225
	7	0.222	0.378	0.274
	1	0.056	0.306	0.289
CONSLEVEL	3	0.044	0.267	0.261
	5	0.028	0.233	0.239
	7	0.017	0.233	0.233
	1	0.094	0.239	0.283
CONSSEASON	3	0.128	0.228	0.311
	5	0.205	0.200	0.300
	$\overline{7}$	0.111	0.222	0.306
	1	0.014	0.012	0.019
TRAJ	3	0.018	0.017	0.022
	5	0.022	0.021	0.028
	7	0.019	0.021	0.026

the ability of the proposed approach to recover standard time series alignments. In addition, one can see that for nearly all datasets the best performances are obtained for k = 1. For CONSLEVEL, a slight improvement is reached for k = 7, indicating a great clusters overlap for this dataset.

489

Finally, to complete the above observed results and to assess the discriminative strength of the proposed metric with respect to the baselines retained, classical multidimensional-scaling [3] is used to visualize time series proximities induced by the studied metrics for both CONSLEVEL and CONSSEASON datasets (Figure 9). These figures corroborate the discriminative power of the proposed metric, because they display compact and well isolated classes, whereas baselines present a great overlap between the different classes.



Figure 8: The learned discriminative matching for the characters "c", "o", "l", "e", "u" and "a" of TRAJ dataset.

497 6. Conclusion

Motivated by discriminating time series that are dissimilar within classes or 498 nearly similar across classes, we have presented a new approach for training dis-499 criminative matchings that connects time series with respect to the commonly 500 shared features within classes, and the most differential ones across classes. To 501 do so, we have first introduced a generalization of the variance to sets of time 502 series. The definition we have provided generalizes the standard definition of 503 the variance and is the first one proposed, to our knowledge, for sets of time 504 series. We have then introduced efficient approaches to learn matching matrices 505 between time series that minimize (respectively maximize) the within (respec-506 tively between) class variance. Based on the learned matching, we have finally 507 introduced a new locally weighted metric that restricts the time series compar-508 ison to discriminative features. The experiments we have conducted show the 509 ability of the learned matching to capture fine-grained distinctions between time 510 series; they also show that the metric we have introduced outperforms metrics 511 commonly used on time series, as the Euclidean and Dynamic Time Warping 512 distances, on two real datasets. 513



Figure 9: The time series proximities induced by $D,\,DE$ and DTW for CONSLEVEL and CONSSEASON data.

514 References

- 515 [1] Asuncion, A., & Newman, D. (2007). Uci machine learning repository.
- [2] Chopra, S., Hadsell, R., & LeCun, Y. (CA, 2005). Learning a similarity
 metric discriminatively, with application to face verification. In *IEEE Con- ference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 349–356). San
- 519 Diego.
- 520 [3] Cox, T., & Cox, M. (2001). Multidimensional Scaling. Chapman and Hall.
- [4] Douzal-Chouakria, A., & Amblard, C. (2012). Classification trees for time
 series. *Pattern Recognition*, 45, 1076–1091.

- [5] Gaffney, S. J., & Smyth, P. (2005). Joint probabilistic curve clustering and
 alignment. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 17,
 473–480.
- [6] Gaudin, R., & Nicoloyannis, N. (2006). An adaptable time warping distance
 for time series learning. In the 5th International Conference on Machine
 Learning and Applications. (pp. 213–218).
- [7] Hastie, T., & Tibshirani, R. (1996). Discriminant adaptive nearest neighbor classification. *IEEE Transacations on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 18, 607–616.
- [8] Hebrail, G., Hugueney, B., Lechevallier, Y., & Rossi, F. (2010). Exploratory
 analysis of functional data via clustering and optimal segmentation. *Neurocomputing*, 73, 1125–1141.
- ⁵³⁵ [9] Jeong, Y., Jeong, M., & Omitaomu, O. (2011). Weighted dynamic time ⁵³⁶ warping for time series classification. *Pattern Recognition*, 44, 2231–2240.
- [10] Kruskall, J., & Liberman, M. (1983). The symmetric time warping algorithm: From continuous to discrete. In Time Warps, String Edits and Macromolecules.. Addison-Wesley.
- [11] Listgarten, J., Neal, R., Roweis, S., Puckrin, R., & Cutler, S. (2007).
 Bayesian detection of infrequent differences in sets of time series with shared structure. *Neural Information Processing Systems*, 19.
- [12] Navarro, G. (2001). A guided tour to approximate string matching. ACM
 Computing Surveys, 33, 31–88.
- [13] Paredes, R., & Vidal, E. (2006). Learning prototypes and distances: A pro totype reduction technique based on nearest neighbor error minimization.
 Pattern Recognition, 39, 180–188.
- [14] Ramsay, J., & Li, X. (1998). Curve registration. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, B, 351–363.
- [15] Ratanamahatana, C. A., & Keogh, E. (2004). Making time-series classification more accurate using learned constraints. In SIAM International *Conference on Data Mining* (pp. 11–22.).
- [16] Sakoe, H., & Chiba, S. (1978). Dynamic programming algorithm optimiza tion for spoken word recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech*,
 and Signal Processing, 26, 43–49.
- [17] Sankoff, D., & Kruskal, J. (1983). Time warps, string edits, and macro molecules: the theory and practice of sequence comparison. Addison Wesley.
- [18] Wartenberg, D. (1985). Multivariate spatial correlation: A method for
 exploratory geographical analysis. *Geographical Analysis*, 17, 263–283.

- [19] Xie, Y., & Wiltgen, B. (2010). Adaptive feature based dynamic time warp ing. International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security, 10.
- [20] Yu, D., Yu, X., Hu, Q., Liu, J., & Wu, A. (2011). Dynamic time warping
 constraint learning for large margin nearest neighbor classification. *Infor- mation Sciences*, 181, 2787–2796.