



HAL
open science

A Liouville theorem for the Degasperis-Procesi equation

Lorenzo Brandolese

► **To cite this version:**

Lorenzo Brandolese. A Liouville theorem for the Degasperis-Procesi equation. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze*, 2016, XVI (3), pp.759-765. hal-00996383v2

HAL Id: hal-00996383

<https://hal.science/hal-00996383v2>

Submitted on 10 Apr 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A LIOUVILLE THEOREM FOR THE DEGASPERIS-PROCESI EQUATION

LORENZO BRANDOLESE

ABSTRACT. We prove that the only global, strong, spatially periodic solution to the Degasperis-Procesi equation, vanishing at some point (t_0, x_0) , is the identically zero solution. We also establish the analogue of such Liouville-type theorem for the Degasperis-Procesi equation with an additional dispersive term.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

We study spatially periodic solutions of the Degasperis-Procesi equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} u_t - u_{txx} + 4uu_x = 3u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}, & t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \\ u(t, x) = u(t, x + 1), & t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Such equation attracted a considerable interest in the past few years, both for its remarkable mathematical properties (see, *e.g.* [5, 7]), and for its physical interpretation as an asymptotic model obtained from the water-wave system in shallow water regime. In this setting, the equation models moderate amplitude waves and u stands for a horizontal velocity of the water at a fixed depth, see [6, 11] and the references therein for further physical motivations.

The Cauchy problem associated with (1.1) can be more conveniently reformulated as

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} u_t + uu_x + \partial_x p * \left(\frac{3}{2} u^2 \right) = 0, & t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{S} \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{S} \end{cases}$$

where \mathbb{S} is the circle and p the kernel of $(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}$, given by the continuous 1-periodic function

$$(1.3) \quad p(x) = \frac{\cosh(x - [x] - 1/2)}{2 \sinh(1/2)}.$$

Another possible reformulation of (1.1) is the momentum-velocity equation,

$$(1.4) \quad y_t + uy_x + 3u_x y = 0, \quad y(t, x) = y(t, x + 1), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $y = u - u_{xx}$ is the associated potential, and u can be recovered from y from the convolution relation $u = p * y$.

It is well known (see, *e.g.*, [13]) that if $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{S})$, with $s > 3/2$, then the problem (1.2) possess a unique solution

$$(1.5) \quad u \in C([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{S})) \cap C^1([0, T], H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S})),$$

Date: October, 23 2014.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q30, 37K10, 35B53, 74H35.

Key words and phrases. Wave breaking, Blowup, Nonlinear dispersive waves, Shallow water, Integrable systems.

Supported by the ANR project DYFICOLTI ANR-13-BS01-0003-01.

for some $T > 0$, depending only u_0 .

The maximal time T^* of the above solution can be finite or infinite. For instance, if the initial potential $y_0 = y(0, \cdot)$ does not change sign, then it is known that $T^* = +\infty$, see [9]. On the other hand, several different blowup criteria were established, *e.g.*, in [9, 10, 13]: in the shallow water interpretation the finite time blowup corresponds to a wave breaking mechanism, as near the blowup time solutions remain bounded, but have an unbounded slope in at least one point.

The purpose of this short paper is to establish the following Liouville-type theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *The only global solution $u \in C([0, +\infty), H^s(\mathbb{S})) \cap C^1([0, +\infty), H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S}))$, with $s > 3/2$, to the Degasperis-Procesi equation vanishing at some point (t_0, x_0) is the identically zero solution.*

The Degasperis-Procesi equation is often written with the additional dispersive term $3\kappa u_x$ in the left-hand side of equation (1.1), where $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ is the dispersion parameter. In this more general setting the above theorem can be reformulated as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $s > 3/2$. If $v \in C([0, +\infty), H^s(\mathbb{S})) \cap C^1([0, +\infty), H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S}))$ is a global solution to the Degasperis-Procesi equation with dispersion*

$$(1.6) \quad v_t + vv_x + \partial_x p * \left(\frac{3}{2}v^2 + 3\kappa v \right) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{S},$$

such that $v(t_0, x_0) = -\kappa$ at some point (t_0, x_0) , then $v(t, x) \equiv -\kappa$ for all (t, x) .

In the next section we will compare these theorems with earlier related results. The main idea of the present paper will be remark that, in the dispersionless case, for all time $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, at least one of the two functions $x \mapsto e^{\pm\sqrt{3/2}q(t,x)}u(t, q(t, x))$, where $q(t, x)$ is the flow of the global solution u , must be monotonically increasing.

As a byproduct of our approach, we will get a simple and natural blowup criterion for periodic solutions to the Degasperis-Procesi equation, with or without dispersion, that is of independent interest:

Proposition 1.3. *Let $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{S})$, with $s > 3/2$, be such that $v'_0(a) < -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}|v_0(a) + \kappa|$ for some $a \in \mathbb{S}$. Then the solution $v \in C([0, T^*), H^s(\mathbb{S})) \cap C^1([0, T^*), H^s(\mathbb{S}))$ of (1.6) arising from v_0 blows up in finite time.*

2. COMPARISON WITH SOME EARLIER RESULTS

In [9, Theorem 3.8], Escher, Liu and Yin established the blowup for equation (1.1) assuming that $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{S})$, $u_0 \not\equiv 0$, and that the corresponding solution $u(t, x)$ vanishes in at least one point $x_t \in \mathbb{S}$ for all $t \in [0, T^*)$. Theorem 1.1 improves their result (and the corresponding corollaries) by providing the same conclusion $T^* < \infty$ with a shorter proof, and under an assumption that is easier to check.

Applying Proposition 1.3 with $\kappa = 0$ and $a = 0$ improves Yin's blowup criterion [13, Theorem 3.2], establishing the blowup for *odd* initial data with negative derivative at the origin.

In the particular case $\kappa = 0$, Proposition 1.3 improves and simplifies the wave-breaking criterion of [9, Theorem 4.3] (and its corollaries), that established the blowup under a condition of the form $v'_0(a) < -(c_0\|v_0\|_{L^\infty} + c_1\|v_0\|_{L^2})$, with suitable $c_0, c_1 > 0$. In fact, Proposition 1.3

shows that one can take $c_1 = 0$, and more importantly, one only needs to check the behavior of u_0 in a neighborhood of a single point to get the blowup condition.

For general $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, Proposition 1.3 extends and considerably simplifies the blowup condition $v'_0(a) < -M$ established in [10, Theorem 4.1], where $M = M(\kappa, \|v_0\|_{L^2}, \|v_0\|_{L^\infty})$ was given by a quite involved expression. In the same way, the pointwise estimates (3.7) below allow to improve results like [10, Theorem 4.2] and its corollaries.

A Liouville-type theorem in the same spirit as Theorem 1.1 has been established for periodic solutions of the hyperelastic rod equation in [2], when the physical parameter γ of the model belongs to a suitable range (including $\gamma = 1$, that corresponds to the dispersionless Camassa–Holm equation). The specific structure of the nonlocal term of the Degasperis–Procesi equation makes possible the much more concise proof presented here. Nevertheless, the Degasperis–Procesi equation remains worse understood than Camassa–Holm’s. First of all, the geometric picture between the two equations is different: the Camassa–Holm equation can be realized as a metric Euler equation. On the other hand, there is no Riemannian metric on $\text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ such that the corresponding geodesic flow is given by the Degasperis–Procesi equation. In fact, the more subtle geometric picture for the latter equation has been disclosed only recently, see [8]. Moreover, no *necessary and sufficient* condition for the global existence of solutions to the Degasperis–Procesi equation is available. (Such a condition is instead known for the Camassa–Holm equation, see [12]). For this reason, Proposition 1.3 provides valuable information.

3. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Equation (1.1) is invariant under time translations and under the transformation $\tilde{u}(t, x) = -u(-t, x)$. Therefore, it is enough to prove that if $u_0(x_0) = 0$ at some point $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}$, but $u_0 \not\equiv 0$, then the solution $u \in C([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{S})) \cap C^1([0, T], H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S}))$ arising from u_0 must blow up in finite time. Let $\alpha \in (x_0, x_0 + 1)$ be such that $u_0(\alpha) \neq 0$.

We first consider the case $u_0(\alpha) > 0$. Let us introduce the map

$$\phi(x) = e^{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}x} u_0(x).$$

By the periodicity and the continuity of u_0 , we can find an open interval $(\alpha, \beta) \subset (x_0, x_0 + 1)$ such that $\phi(x) > 0$ on the interval (α, β) and $\phi(\alpha) > 0$, $\phi(\beta) = 0$. An integration by parts gives

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} e^{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}x} u'_0(x) dx = \phi(\beta) - \phi(\alpha) - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \phi(x) dx.$$

We deduce from this the existence of $a \in (\alpha, \beta)$ such that $u'_0(a) < -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u_0(a) < 0$. Indeed, otherwise, we could bound the left-hand side in (3.1) from below by $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \phi(x) dx$, and get the contradiction $\phi(\alpha) \leq \phi(\beta)$.

The second case to consider is $u_0(\alpha) < 0$: introducing now the map $\psi(x) = e^{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}x} u_0(x)$ and arguing as before, we get in this case the existence of a point a such that $u'_0(a) < \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u_0(a) < 0$. Notice that in both cases we get

$$(3.2) \quad \exists a \in \mathbb{S} \quad \text{such that} \quad u'_0(a) < -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} |u_0(a)|.$$

We thus reduced the proof of our claim to establishing the finite time blowup under condition (3.2), with $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$. In fact, by approximating u_0 with a sequence $(u_n) \subset H^3(\mathbb{S})$, we can assume without loss of generality that $u_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{S})$. (Indeed, the argument below will provide an upper bound for T^* independent on the parameter n).

Let us introduce the flow map

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} q_t(t, x) = u(t, q(t, x)), & t \in (0, T^*), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \\ q(0, x) = x, & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

We also introduce the C^1 functions, defined on $(0, T^*)$,

$$f(t) = (-u_x + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}u)(t, q(t, a)),$$

and

$$g(t) = -(u_x + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}u)(t, q(t, a)).$$

Taking the spatial derivative in equation (1.2), recalling that $(1 - \partial_x^2)p$ is the Dirac mass, we get

$$u_{tx} + uu_{xx} = -u_x^2 + \frac{3}{2}u^2 - p * \left(\frac{3}{2}u^2\right).$$

Using the definition of the flow map (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t) &= \left[-(u_{tx} + uu_{xx}) + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(u_t + uu_x) \right](t, q(t, a)) \\ &= \left[u_x^2 - \frac{3}{2}u^2 + (p - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}p_x) * \left(\frac{3}{2}u^2\right) \right](t, q(t, a)). \end{aligned}$$

From expression (1.3) we easily get

$$(p \pm \beta p_x) \geq 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad |\beta| \leq \coth(1/2),$$

and so, in particular

$$(3.4) \quad p \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}p_x \geq 0.$$

Hence we get

$$f'(t) \geq [u_x^2 - \frac{3}{2}u^2](t, q(t, a)).$$

Factorizing the right-hand side leads to the differential inequality

$$(3.5) \quad f'(t) \geq f(t)g(t), \quad t \in (0, T^*).$$

A similar computation yields

$$(3.6) \quad g'(t) \geq f(t)g(t), \quad t \in (0, T^*).$$

Let

$$h(t) = \sqrt{f(t)g(t)}.$$

We first observe that

$$h(0) = \sqrt{fg(0)} = \sqrt{u_0'(a)^2 - \frac{3}{2}u_0(a)^2} > 0.$$

Moreover, we deduce from the system (3.5)-(3.6), applying the geometric-arithmetic mean inequality, that

$$h'(t) \geq h^2(t), \quad t \in (0, T^*).$$

This immediately implies $T^* \leq 1/h(0) < \infty$. Theorem 1.1 is completely established. \square

The above proof establishes also Proposition 1.3 in the particular case $\kappa = 0$. But $u(t, x) = v(t, x - \kappa t) + \kappa$, is a global solution of (1.2) if and only if v is a global solution of (1.6) with $u_0 = v_0 + \kappa$. Hence, Theorem 1.2 follows immediately from Theorem 1.1. In the same way, we see that the claim of Proposition 1.3 holds true for general κ .

Our arguments also reveal that global solutions must satisfy quite stringent pointwise estimates. Indeed, assume that $u \in C([0, \infty), H^s(\mathbb{S})) \cap C^1([0, \infty), H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S}))$ is a given global solution of (1.1). Then, by our theorem, $\text{sign}(u) = 1, 0$ or -1 is well defined and independent on (t, x) . Moreover, $u'(t, x) \geq -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}|u(t, x)|$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{S}$. Then, arguing as in (3.1), we deduce that, for all $t \geq 0$, the map $x \mapsto e^{\text{sign}(u)\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}x} u(t, x)$ is increasing. Combining this with the periodicity, we get the pointwise estimates for $u(t, x)$, for all $t \geq 0$, all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \leq x \leq \alpha + 1$:

$$(3.7) \quad e^{\text{sign}(u)\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(\alpha-x)} u(t, \alpha) \leq u(t, x) \leq e^{\text{sign}(u)\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(\alpha+1-x)} u(t, \alpha).$$

From (3.7) one immediately deduces the corresponding estimates for global solutions to the Degasperis-Procesi equation with dispersion.

We conclude observing that our results seem to be specific to periodic solutions and have no analogue in the case of solutions in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$. A reason for this is that in the non-periodic case the expression of p should be modified into $p(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|}$ and the fundamental inequality (3.4) is no longer true.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Brandolese, *Local-in-space criteria for blowup in shallow water and dispersive rod equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **330** (2014), no. 1, 401–414.
- [2] L. Brandolese and M. F. Cortez, *On permanent and breaking waves in hyperelastic rods and rings*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), no. 12, 6954–6987.
- [3] W. Chen, *On solutions to the Degasperis-Procesi equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **379** (2011), no. 1, 351–359.
- [4] Giuseppe M. Coclite and Kenneth H. Karlsen, *On the well-posedness of the Degasperis-Procesi equation*, J. Funct. Anal. **233** (2006), no. 1, 60–91.
- [5] A. Constantin, R.I. Ivanov, and J. Lenells, *Inverse scattering transform for the Degasperis-Procesi equation*, Nonlinearity **23** (2010), no. 10, 2559–2575.
- [6] A. Constantin and D. Lannes, *The hydrodynamical relevance of the Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Procesi equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **192** (2009), no. 1, 165–186.
- [7] A. De Gasperis and M. Procesi, *Asymptotic integrability*. In: *Symmetry and perturbation theory*. A. De Gasperis and G. Gaeta editors., World Scientific (1999), 1463–1474.
- [8] J. Escher and B. Kolev, *The Degasperis-Procesi equation as a non-metric Euler equation*, Math. Z. **269** (2011), no. 3-4, 1137–1153.
- [9] J. Escher, Y. Liu, and Z. Yin, *Shock Waves and Blow-up Phenomena for the Periodic Degasperis-Procesi Equation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** (2007), no. 1, 87–117.
- [10] F. Gui, H. Gao, and Y. Liu, *Existence of permanent and breaking waves for the periodic Degasperis-Procesi equation with linear dispersion*, J. Reine angew. Math. **657** (2011), 199–223.

- [11] R. S. Johnson, *Camassa-Holm, Korteweg-de Vries and related models for water waves*, J. Fluid Mech. **455** (2002), 63–82.
- [12] H.P. McKean, *Breakdown of the Camassa-Holm equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **57** (2004), no. 3, 416–418.
- [13] Z. Yin, *Global existence for a new periodic integrable equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **283** (2003), 129–139.

L. BRANDOLESE: UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON ; UNIVERSITÉ LYON 1 ; CNRS UMR 5208 INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, 43 BD. DU 11 NOVEMBRE, VILLEURBANNE CEDEX F-69622, FRANCE.

E-mail address: `Brandolese@math.univ-lyon1.fr`

URL: `http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~brandolese`