



HAL
open science

Maximum edge-cuts in Cubic Graphs With Large Girth and in Random Cubic Graphs

František Kardoš, Daniel Král, Jan Volec

► **To cite this version:**

František Kardoš, Daniel Král, Jan Volec. Maximum edge-cuts in Cubic Graphs With Large Girth and in Random Cubic Graphs. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 2012, 41 (4), pp.506-520. hal-00994798

HAL Id: hal-00994798

<https://hal.science/hal-00994798>

Submitted on 22 May 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Maximum edge-cuts in cubic graphs with large girth and in random cubic graphs

František Kardoš^{*} Daniel Král'[†] Jan Volec[‡]

Abstract

We show that for every cubic graph G with sufficiently large girth there exists a probability distribution on edge-cuts in G such that each edge is in a randomly chosen cut with probability at least 0.88672. This implies that G contains an edge-cut of size at least $1.33008n$, where n is the number of vertices of G , and has fractional cut covering number at most 1.127752. The lower bound on the size of maximum edge-cut also applies to random cubic graphs. Specifically, a random n -vertex cubic graph a.a.s. contains an edge cut of size $1.33008n$.

1 Introduction

An *edge-cut* in a graph $G = (V, E)$ defined by $X \subseteq V$ is the set of edges with exactly one end vertex in X (and exactly one end vertex in $V \setminus X$).

^{*}Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Pavol Jozef Šafárik, Jesenná 5, 041 54 Košice, Slovakia. This author was supported by Slovak Research and Development Agency under the contract no. APVV-0023-10. E-mail: frantisek.kardos@upjs.sk.

[†]Computer Science Institute, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Malostranské náměstí 25, 118 00 Prague 1, Czech Republic. This work was done when the author was affiliated with Institute for Theoretical Computer Science of Charles University (ITI), which was supported as project 1M0545 by Czech Ministry of Education. This author was also supported by the grant GACR 201/09/0197. E-mail: kral@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz.

[‡]Computer Science Institute, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Malostranské náměstí 25, 118 00 Prague 1, Czech Republic. This author was supported by the grants GACR 201/09/0197 and GAUK 601812. E-mail: volec@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz.

A *maximum edge-cut* is an edge-cut with the maximum number of edges. The size of a maximum edge-cut is an important graph parameter intensively studied both in structural and algorithmic graph theory. From the algorithmic point of view, it attracted a lot of attention because of an approximation algorithm based on the semidefinite programming by Goemans and Williamson [9] which achieves the best possible approximation ratio under reasonable computational complexity assumptions [18]. More specifically, assuming that the Unique Games Conjecture of Koth [17] holds, it is NP-hard to approximate the size of a maximum edge-cut in a graph G within any factor greater than the approximation factor of the Goemans-Williamson algorithm. On the other hand, there exists a polynomial-time algorithm for finding a maximum edge-cut in planar graphs [10], and more generally in graphs embeddable in a fixed orientable surface [8]. In this paper, we provide new structural results on maximum cuts in cubic graphs, i.e., graphs with all vertices of degree three.

We prove a new lower bound on the size of a maximum edge-cut in a cubic graph with no short cycle and in a random cubic graph. Let us now mention earlier results. In 1990, Zýka [28] proved that the size of the maximum edge-cut in cubic graphs with large girth is at least $9n/7 - o(n) = 1.28571n - o(n)$. A better bound $1.3056n$ can be obtained from a recent result [16] on independent sets in cubic graphs with large girth. The asymptotic lower bound for a maximum edge-cut in random cubic graphs of $1.32595n$ was given by Díaz, Do, Serna and Wormald [3]. The experimental evidence suggests that almost all n -vertex cubic graphs contain an edge-cut of size at least $1.382n$ [26]. On the other hand, the best known upper bound is $0.9351m = 1.4026n$ which applies both to random cubic graphs and cubic graphs with large girth. The upper bound was announced by McKay [20], its rigorous proof can be found in [12]. The problem could also be translated to a problem in statistical physics and applying non-rigorous methods suggests that the size of a maximum edge-cut for almost all n -vertex graphs is at most $1.386n$ [27].

The problems of determining the size of a maximum edge-cut in random cubic graphs (more generally in random regular graphs) and in cubic (regular) graphs with large girth are closely related. On one hand, Wormald showed in [24] that a random cubic graph asymptotically almost surely (a.a.s.) contains only $o(n)$ cycles shorter than a fixed integer g . Therefore, we can a.a.s. remove a small number (which means $o(n)$) of vertices to obtain a subgraph with large girth and only $o(n)$ vertices of degree less than three.

On the other hand, Hoppen and Wormald [15] have recently developed

a technique for translating many results for random r -regular graphs to r -regular graphs with sufficiently large girth. In particular, they are able to translate bounds obtained by analyzing the performance of so-called locally greedy algorithms for a random regular graphs. These algorithms and their analysis provide the currently best known asymptotic bounds to many parameters of random regular graphs, for example an upper bound on the size of the smallest dominating set [6]. The main tool for the analysis of such algorithms as well as for analysis of many other random processes is the *differential equation method* developed by Wormald [25].

Bounds on maximum edge-cuts are closely related to the concept of fractional cut coverings. A *fractional cut covering* of a graph G is a parameter analogous to a fractional coloring of G . It was first introduced by Šámal [21] under the name cubical colorings; he also related this parameter to graph homomorphisms. These ideas are further developed in [22, 23]. The aim is to assign non-negative weights to edge-cuts in G in such a way that for each edge e of G the sum of weights of the cuts containing e is at least one. The *fractional cut covering number* is the minimum sum of weights of cuts forming a fractional cut covering. Our approach in this paper gives also an upper bound for the fractional cut covering number of cubic graphs with sufficiently large girth.

2 New results

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1. *There exists an absolute constant g_0 such that the following holds. If G is a cubic graph with girth at least g_0 , then there exists a probability distribution on edge-cuts in G such that each edge of G is contained in an edge-cut drawn according to this distribution with probability at least 0.88672.*

Proof of the Theorem 1 actually provides that $g_0 \leq 637\,789$.

Before presenting the proof of Theorem 1, let us state four corollaries of this theorem. First, by considering the expected size of an edge-cut drawn according to the distribution from Theorem 1, we get the following.

Corollary 2. *There exists an absolute constant g_0 such that every n -vertex cubic graph with girth at least g_0 contains an edge-cut of size at least $1.33008n$.*

We can also translate Theorem 1 to subcubic graphs with large girth.

Corollary 3. *There exists an absolute constant g_0 such that the following holds. If G is a graph with maximum degree at most three and girth at least g_0 , then there exists a probability distribution on edge-cuts in G such that each edge of G is contained in an edge-cut drawn according to this distribution with probability at least 0.88672. In particular, G contains an edge-cut of size at least $0.88672m$, where m is the number of edges of G .*

Proof. Fix g_0 to be the constant given by Theorem 1, and let n_1 and n_2 be the numbers of vertices of G with degree one and two, respectively. Clearly, we may assume that G has no isolated vertices. Let R be a $(2n_1 + n_2)$ -regular graph with girth at least g_0 . There exists such a graph, since a random cubic graph has with positive probability girth at least g_0 for every fixed value of g_0 , which was proven by Bollobás [1] and independently by Wormald [24]. Replace each vertex of R with a copy of G in such a way that the edges of R are incident with vertices of degree one and two in the copies of G and the resulting graph is cubic. Observe that the obtained graph H has girth at least g_0 .

Consider the probability distribution \mathcal{D} given by Theorem 1 on edge-cuts in H and fix an arbitrary copy G' of the graph G in H . For every edge-cut $C \subseteq E(G)$ in G , we set the probability $p(C)$ to be the probability that the edge-cut in G' induced by a random edge-cut in H drawn according to \mathcal{D} is equal to C . This yields a probability distribution on edge-cuts in G with the required property. \square

Since a random cubic graph asymptotically almost surely contains only $o(n)$ cycles shorter than a fixed integer g [24], the lower bound on the size of an edge-cut also translates to random cubic graphs.

Corollary 4. *A random n -vertex cubic graph asymptotically almost surely contains an edge-cut of size at least $1.33008n - o(n)$.*

Proof. Again, fix g_0 to be the constant given by Theorem 1 and let G be a randomly chosen n -vertex cubic graph. The results of [24] imply then we can a.a.s. remove $o(n)$ vertices and obtain a subgraph G' with girth at least g_0 .

Therefore, G' has at least $1.5n - o(n)$ edges and by Corollary 3, there exists an edge-cut C' in G' of size at least $1.33008n - o(n)$. Suppose that $X \subseteq V(G')$ is one of the sides of C' and let Y be the vertices removed

from G . The edge-cut in G with one side being $X \cup Y$ has size at least $1.33008n - o(n)$. \square

The last corollary relates our results to the problem of fractional coverings the edges with edge-cuts. We show how to construct from the probability distribution given by Corollary 3 a fractional cut covering.

Corollary 5. *There exists an absolute constant g_0 such that every n -vertex graph G with maximum degree at most three and girth at least g_0 has the fractional cut covering number at most 1.127752.*

Proof. Fix g_0 to be the constant given by Theorem 1 and consider the probability distribution on edge-cuts in G given by Corollary 3. If the probability of an edge-cut C to be drawn in this distribution is $p(C)$, assign C weight $p(C)/0.88672$. It is straightforward to verify that we have obtained a fractional cut covering of weight $1/0.88672 \leq 1.127752$. \square

3 Structure of the proof

Our proof is inspired by the method which was developed by Lauer and Wormald in [19] for finding large independent sets in regular graphs with large girth. This method was then extended by Hoppen [13], who improved the lower bound for independent sets and also proved a lower bound for induced forests. The latter result can also be found in [14].

In order to prove Theorem 1, we design a randomized procedure for obtaining an edge-cut which resembles the procedure used in [3]. The main difference between our procedure and the procedure from [3] is that our procedure finds an edge-cut whose parts have slightly different sizes, while the procedure from [3] finds an edge-cut whose parts have the same size. Surprisingly, at least at the first glance, this edge-cut constructed in an asymmetric way is larger than an edge-cut from [3].

The key tool for our analysis is the independence lemma (Lemma 7) which is given in Section 5. This lemma is used to simplify the recurrence relations appearing in the analysis. The recurrences describing the behavior of the randomized procedure are derived in Section 6. The actual performance of the procedure is based on setting up the parameters of the procedure and solving the recurrences numerically. This is discussed in Section 7.

The sought probability distribution is obtained by processing a cubic graph $G = (V, E)$ by the procedure which produces an edge-cut in it. G

is processed in a fixed number of rounds K and the required assumption on the girth of G will depend only on the number K . We will iteratively construct two disjoint subsets $R \subseteq V$ and $B \subseteq V$; the vertices contained in R are referred to as red vertices and those in B as blue ones. The aim of the procedure is to maximize the number of red-blue edges. The vertices that are neither red nor blue will be called white.

All vertices are initially white. In every round, each white vertex is re-colored to red or blue with a certain probability depending on the number of its red and blue neighbors, as well as on the number of current round. Once a vertex is colored red or blue, its color stays the same in all the remaining rounds of the procedure.

4 Detailed description

We now describe the randomized procedure in more detail. We first introduce some notation. Let $I_j := \{(r, b) : r \in \mathbb{N}_0, b \in \mathbb{N}_0, r + b \leq j\}$, i.e., the set I_j contains all pairs r and b of non-negative integers such that $r + b \leq j$. For example, $I_2 = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1), (2, 0), (0, 2)\}$. Note that $|I_j| = \binom{j+2}{2}$. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a cubic graph and v a vertex of G . Throughout the analysis, $r(v)$ will refer to the number of red neighbors of v and $b(v)$ to the number of its blue neighbors. Therefore, $3 - r(v) - b(v)$ is the number of the white neighbors of v . If the vertex v is clear from the context, we just use r and b instead of $r(v)$ and $b(v)$.

Our randomized procedure is parametrized by the following parameters:

- an integer K ,
- probabilities $P_k^{r,b}(W)$ for all $k \in [K]$ and $(r, b) \in I_3$,
- probabilities $P_k^{r,b}(R)$ for all $k \in [K]$ and $(r, b) \in I_3$ and
- probabilities $P_k^{r,b}(B)$ for all $k \in [K]$ and $(r, b) \in I_3$.

We require that $P_k^{r,b}(W) + P_k^{r,b}(R) + P_k^{r,b}(B) = 1$ for all $k \in [K]$ and $(r, b) \in I_3$. The precise values of these probabilities will be defined in Section 7.

The integer $K \in \mathbb{N}_0$ denotes the number of rounds that are performed. Throughout the procedure, vertices of the input graph G have one of the three colors: white (W), red (R) and blue (B). Let $W_k \subseteq V(G)$ denote the set

of white vertices after the k -th round. Analogously, we define R_k and B_k as the sets of red vertices and blue vertices, respectively. As we have already mentioned, at the beginning of the process $W_0 := V, R_0 := \emptyset$ and $B_0 := \emptyset$. For $(r, b) \in I_3$ we define $W_k^{r,b} \subseteq W_k$ to be the set of white vertices with exactly r red neighbors and b blue neighbors. Hence the sets $W_k^{r,b}$ forms a partition of W_k for every k . Note that $W_0^{0,0} = V$ and $W_0^{r,b} = \emptyset$ for all $(r, b) \in I_3 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

Consider the coloring of G obtained after the k -th round. The $(k + 1)$ -th round of the procedure is performed as follows. Let v be a vertex from $W_k^{r,b}$. With probability $P_{k+1}^{r,b}(R)$ we change the color of v to red, with probability $P_{k+1}^{r,b}(B)$ we recolor it to blue, and with probability $P_{k+1}^{r,b}(W)$ it remains white. If v is after the k -th round colored red or blue, it will not change its color during the $(k + 1)$ -th round.

Before we can proceed further, we have to introduce some additional notation. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$ let T_v^d denote the subgraph of G induced by vertices at the distance from v at most d . Observe that if the girth of G is larger than $2d + 1$, then the subgraph T_v^d is a tree.

We show that if the girth of G is sufficiently large, then the probabilities that after the k -th round a vertex v has white, red or blue color, respectively, do not depend on the choice of v . To do so, let us start with the following proposition.

Proposition 6. *Let G be a cubic graph and v a vertex of G . For every $k \in [K]$ the probability that the subgraph T_v^{K-k} has a certain coloring after the k -th round is determined by the coloring of T_v^{K-k+1} after the $(k - 1)$ -th round.*

Proof. The color of a vertex $u \in T_v^{K-k}$ after the k -th round depends only on the colors of u and its neighbors after the $(k - 1)$ -th round. Since all the neighbors of u are contained in T_v^{K-k+1} , the proposition follows. \square

Suppose that the girth of G is at least $2K$. For any $k \in [K]$ the structure of a subgraph T_v^{K-k} does not depend on the choice of v , i.e., it is always a tree with all inner vertices of degree three. Therefore, by a simple inductive argument on k together with Proposition 6, we conclude that all the following probabilities do not depend on the choice of v :

$$w_k := \mathbf{P}[v \in W_k], \quad r_k := \mathbf{P}[v \in R_k], \quad b_k := \mathbf{P}[v \in B_k].$$

Analogously, for any $k \in [K - 1]$ and $(r, b) \in I_3$, the probability that after the k -th round a vertex v is white and has r red neighbors and b blue neighbors does not depend on the choice of v as well. Therefore, we can define

$$w_k^{r,b} := \mathbf{P}[v \in W_k^{r,b} \mid v \in W_k] .$$

If the girth of G is at least $2K + 1$, the same reasoning as before yields the following. The probability that for an edge $uv \in E(G)$ either u is red and v is blue after the k -th round, or v is red and u is blue after the k -th round does not depend on the choice of uv . This probability will be denoted by

$$p_k := \mathbf{P}[(u \in R_k \wedge v \in B_k) \vee (u \in B_k \wedge v \in R_k)] .$$

5 Independence lemma

In this section we present a key tool we used in the analysis of the randomized procedure. In general, our analysis follows the approach used in [13].

If G is a cubic graph with girth at least $2K + 1$, uv is an edge of G and d is an integer between 0 and $K - 1$, $T_{v,u}^d$ denotes the component of $T_v^d - u$ containing the vertex v . We refer to v as to the root of $T_{v,u}^d$. From the assumption on the girth it follows that all the subgraphs $T_{v,u}^d$ are isomorphic to the same rooted binary tree \mathcal{T}^d of depth d .

Let $k \in [K]$. For a set $V' \subseteq V(G)$ let $c_k(V')$ denote the coloring of vertices V' after the k -th round. The set of all colorings of \mathcal{T}^{K-k} such that the root of the tree is white is denoted by \mathcal{C}_k . Observe that by the girth assumption and Proposition 6, for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_k$ the probability $\mathbf{P}[c_k(T_{v,u}^{K-k}) = \gamma]$ does not depend on the edge uv .

We are ready to prove the main lemma of this section.

Lemma 7 (Independence lemma). *Consider the randomized procedure with parameters K and $P_i^{r,b}(C)$, where $i \in [K]$, $(r, b) \in I_3$ and $C \in \{W, R, B\}$. Let G be a cubic graph with girth at least $2K + 1$, uv an edge of G , k an integer smaller than K and γ_u and γ_v two colorings from \mathcal{C}_k . Conditioned by the event $uv \subseteq W_k$, the events $c_k(T_{v,u}^{K-k}) = \gamma_v$ and $c_k(T_{u,v}^{K-k}) = \gamma_u$ are independent. In other words, the probabilities*

$$\mathbf{P}[c_k(T_{v,u}^{K-k}) = \gamma_v \mid uv \subseteq W_k] \tag{1}$$

and

$$\mathbf{P}[c_k(T_{v,u}^{K-k}) = \gamma_v \mid v \in W_k \wedge c_k(T_{u,v}^{K-k}) = \gamma_u] \quad (2)$$

are equal.

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on k . After the first round each vertex has a color C with probability $P_1^{0,0}(C)$ independently of the colors of the other vertices. Hence, the claim holds for $k = 1$.

Assume now that $k > 1$. By the definition of the conditional probability and the fact that the event $uv \subseteq W_k$ immediately implies that the event $uv \subseteq W_{k-1}$ occurs, (1) is equal to

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}[c_k(T_{v,u}^{K-k}) = \gamma_v \wedge u \in W_k \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}]}{\mathbf{P}[uv \subseteq W_k \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}]} . \quad (3)$$

Analogously, (2) is equal to

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}[c_k(T_{v,u}^{K-k}) = \gamma_v \wedge c_k(T_{u,v}^{K-k}) = \gamma_u \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}]}{\mathbf{P}[v \in W_k \wedge c_k(T_{u,v}^{K-k}) = \gamma_u \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}]} . \quad (4)$$

We now expand the numerator of (3).

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma'_u \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}} \sum_{\gamma'_v \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}} \mathbf{P}[c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}] \\ & \times \mathbf{P}[c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \mid v \in W_{k-1} \wedge c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u] \\ & \times \mathbf{P}[u \in W_k \mid c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u \wedge c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v] \\ & \times \mathbf{P}[c_k(T_{v,u}^{K-k}) = \gamma_v \mid c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u \wedge c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \wedge u \in W_k] . \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, for any two colorings $\gamma'_u, \gamma'_v \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}$ the probabilities

$$\mathbf{P}[c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \mid v \in W_{k-1} \wedge c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u]$$

and

$$\mathbf{P}[c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}]$$

are equal.

Since the new color of u is determined only by the colors of the neighbors of u , it follows that the probabilities

$$\mathbf{P}[u \in W_k \mid c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u \wedge c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v]$$

and

$$\mathbf{P}[u \in W_k \mid c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u \wedge v \in W_{k-1}]$$

are also equal.

Analogously, for any vertex $w \in T_{v,u}^{K-k} \setminus \{v\}$ the new color of w does not depend on γ'_u at all. Applying the same reasoning for v yields that the probabilities

$$\mathbf{P}[c_k(T_{v,u}^{K-k}) = \gamma_v \mid c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u \wedge c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \wedge u \in W_k]$$

and

$$\mathbf{P}[c_k(T_{v,u}^{K-k}) = \gamma_v \mid c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \wedge u \in W_{k-1}]$$

are equal as well. Note that in the last equality we have also used that the random choices of new colors for two arbitrary vertices in the $(k+1)$ -th round are independent.

By changing the order of summation, we conclude that the numerator of (3) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\gamma'_u \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}} \mathbf{P}[c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \mathbf{P}[u \in W_k \mid c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u \wedge v \in W_{k-1}] \right) \\ & \times \left(\sum_{\gamma'_v \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}} \mathbf{P}[c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \mathbf{P}[c_k(T_{v,u}^{K-k}) = \gamma_v \mid c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \wedge u \in W_{k-1}] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Along the same lines, the denominator of (3) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\gamma'_u \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}} \mathbf{P}[c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \mathbf{P}[u \in W_k \mid c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u \wedge v \in W_{k-1}] \right) \\ & \times \left(\sum_{\gamma'_v \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}} \mathbf{P}[c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}] \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \mathbf{P}\left[v \in W_k \mid c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \wedge u \in W_{k-1}\right] \Bigg).$$

Canceling out the sum over γ'_u which is the same in both numerator and denominator of (3), we derive that (1) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\gamma'_v \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}} \mathbf{P}[c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \mathbf{P}[c_k(T_{v,u}^{K-k}) = \gamma_v \mid c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \wedge u \in W_{k-1}] \right) \\ & \times \left(\sum_{\gamma'_v \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}} \mathbf{P}[c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \mathbf{P}[v \in W_k \mid c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \wedge u \in W_{k-1}] \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We apply the same trimming to the numerator and denominator of (4). The numerator is first expanded to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\gamma'_u \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}} \mathbf{P}[c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \mathbf{P}[c_k(T_{u,v}^{K-k}) = \gamma_u \mid c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u \wedge v \in W_{k-1}] \right) \\ & \times \left(\sum_{\gamma'_v \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}} \mathbf{P}[c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \mathbf{P}[c_k(T_{v,u}^{K-k}) = \gamma_v \mid c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \wedge u \in W_{k-1}] \right) \end{aligned}$$

and the denominator is then expanded to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\gamma'_u \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}} \mathbf{P}[c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \mathbf{P}[c_k(T_{u,v}^{K-k}) = \gamma_u \mid c_{k-1}(T_{u,v}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_u \wedge v \in W_{k-1}] \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left(\sum_{\gamma'_v \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}} \mathbf{P}[c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \mid uv \subseteq W_{k-1}] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \mathbf{P}[v \in W_k \mid c_{k-1}(T_{v,u}^{K-k+1}) = \gamma'_v \wedge u \in W_{k-1}] \right). \end{aligned}$$

By canceling out the sum over γ'_v , we obtain (5). Therefore the expressions (1) and (2) are equal. \square

6 Recurrence relations

In this section we derive recurrence relations for the probabilities describing the behavior of the randomized procedure.

Fix parameters K and $P_k^{r,b}(C)$ for $k \in [K]$, $(r, b) \in I_3$ and $C \in \{W, R, B\}$. We will inductively show that the probabilities describing the state of the procedure after the $(k+1)$ -th round can be computed using only the probabilities describing the state after the k -th round. This yields the recurrence relations for the probabilities, which is the main goal of this section.

We start with determining the probabilities after the initialization round. It is easy to see that the probabilities r_1, b_1, w_1, p_1 and $w_1^{r,b}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= P_1^{0,0}(R), \\ b_1 &= P_1^{0,0}(B), \\ w_1 &= 1 - r_1 - b_1, \\ p_1 &= 2 \cdot P_1^{0,0}(R) \cdot P_1^{0,0}(B) \quad \text{and} \\ w_1^{r,b} &= \binom{3}{r} \binom{3-r}{b} \cdot (P_1^{0,0}(R))^r \cdot (P_1^{0,0}(B))^b \cdot (1 - P_1^{0,0}(R) - P_1^{0,0}(B))^{3-r-b} \end{aligned}$$

for $(r, b) \in I_3$.

Next, we show how to compute the probabilities r_{k+1}, b_{k+1} and w_{k+1} from r_k, b_k, w_k and $w_k^{r,b}$. We start with the formula for r_{k+1} . If a vertex v is colored red after the $(k+1)$ -th round, then after the k -th round, it was either already colored red, or it was white, had r red neighbors, b blue neighbors and it was recolored to red. The latter happened with probability $P_{k+1}^{r,b}(R)$. The probability of the first event is r_k and that of the second event is w_k .

$w_k^{r,b} \cdot P_{k+1}^{r,b}(R)$. This yields that

$$r_{k+1} = r_k + w_k \cdot \sum_{(r,b) \in I_3} w_k^{r,b} \cdot P_{k+1}^{r,b}(R) .$$

Analogously, we can compute

$$b_{k+1} = b_k + w_k \cdot \sum_{(r,b) \in I_3} w_k^{r,b} \cdot P_{k+1}^{r,b}(B) ,$$

and finally w_{k+1} is given by

$$w_{k+1} = 1 - r_{k+1} - b_{k+1} .$$

Before we proceed with the recurrences for p_{k+1} and $w_{k+1}^{r,b}$, let us introduce some auxiliary notation. All of the following quantities are fully determined by $w_k^{r,b}$, but this notation will help to make the formulas simpler. We start with probability that a vertex v has white color after the $(k+1)$ -th round conditioned by the event it had white color after the k -th round. This quantity will be denoted by $w_{\rightarrow k+1}$. It is straightforward to check that

$$w_{\rightarrow k+1} := \mathbf{P}[v \in W_{k+1} \mid v \in W_k] = \sum_{(r,b) \in I_3} w_k^{r,b} \cdot P_{k+1}^{r,b}(W) .$$

Next, we consider the probability that the vertex u is white after the k -th round conditioned by the event that a fixed neighbor v of u is white after the k -th round. This will be denoted by q_k^{W-W} . We claim that

$$q_k^{W-W} := \mathbf{P}[uv \subseteq W_k \mid v \in W_k] = \sum_{(r,b) \in I_2} \frac{3-r-b}{3} \cdot w_k^{r,b} .$$

First observe that the events $v \in W_k^{r,b}$, where $(r,b) \in I_3$, form a partition of the event $v \in W_k$, and for $(r,b) \in I_3 \setminus I_2$ the probability that u is white after the k -th round is equal to zero. Suppose that $v \in W_k^{r,b}$, i.e., it has r red neighbors, b blue neighbors (and $3-r-b$ white neighbors) after the k -th round. This happens with probability $w_k^{r,b}$. Since u is a fixed neighbor of v , it has white color after the k -th round with probability $(3-r-b)/3$.

Finally, for a color $C \in \{W, R, B\}$ and an edge $e = uv$, $q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(C)}$ denotes the probability that u has the color C after the $(k+1)$ -th round conditioned

by the event that both u and v were white after the k -th round. We infer from the definition of the conditional probability that

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(R)} &:= \mathbf{P}[u \in R_{k+1} \mid uv \subseteq W_k] = \sum_{(r,b) \in I_2} \frac{w_k^{r,b} \cdot (3-r-b) \cdot P_{k+1}^{r,b}(R)}{3 \cdot q_k^{W-W}} , \\
q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(B)} &:= \mathbf{P}[u \in B_{k+1} \mid uv \subseteq W_k] = \sum_{(r,b) \in I_2} \frac{w_k^{r,b} \cdot (3-r-b) \cdot P_{k+1}^{r,b}(B)}{3 \cdot q_k^{W-W}} , \\
q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(W)} &:= \mathbf{P}[u \in W_{k+1} \mid uv \subseteq W_k] = \sum_{(r,b) \in I_2} \frac{w_k^{r,b} \cdot (3-r-b) \cdot P_{k+1}^{r,b}(W)}{3 \cdot q_k^{W-W}} .
\end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to present the remaining recurrences. Let us start with p_{k+1} , i.e., the probability than an edge $e = uv$ is red-blue after the $(k+1)$ -th round. Note that once we color a vertex x with either red or blue color, the color of x in the future rounds will stay the same. Therefore, we can split the contribution to p_{k+1} to the following four types.

1. $e \cap W_k = \emptyset$: This event happens with probability p_k and the colors stay the same.
2. $e \cap W_k = \{v\}$: Suppose first that u is blue after the k -th round. The probability that we have such configuration after k -th round is $w_k \cdot \sum_{(r,b) \in I_3} w_k^{r,b} \cdot b/3$. In this case, the edge e become red-blue after the $(k+1)$ -th round with probability $P_{k+1}^{r,b}(R)$. Analogously, if u is red after the k -th round, the contribution of this case is $w_k \cdot \sum_{(r,b) \in I_3} w_k^{r,b} \cdot P_{k+1}^{r,b}(B) \cdot r/3$.
3. $e \cap W_k = \{u\}$: This case is symmetric to the previous one.
4. $e \subseteq W_k$: The probability that v has white color after the k -th round is w_k . With probability $w_k^{r,b} \cdot (3-r-b)/3$, v has r red neighbors, b blue neighbors, and u is white after the k -th round. The probability that v becomes red after the $(k+1)$ -th round is $P_{k+1}^{r,b}(R)$, and using the independence lemma (Lemma 7) the neighborhood of u does not depend on the colors of the other neighbors of v . Therefore, the probability that u becomes blue after the $(k+1)$ -th round is $q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(B)}$. On the other hand, the probability that after the $(k+1)$ -th round v becomes red and u becomes blue is $P_{k+1}^{r,b}(B) \cdot q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(R)}$.

The analysis just presented yields that

$$p_{k+1} = p_k + \frac{w_k}{3} \cdot \sum_{(r,b) \in I_3} w_k^{r,b} \cdot P_{k+1}^{r,b}(R) \cdot \left(2b + (3-r-b) \cdot q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(B)}\right) \\ + \frac{w_k}{3} \cdot \sum_{(r,b) \in I_3} w_k^{r,b} \cdot P_{k+1}^{r,b}(B) \cdot \left(2r + (3-r-b) \cdot q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(R)}\right).$$

We finish this section with the recurrence relations for the probabilities $w_{k+1}^{r,b}$. Observe that

$$w_{k+1}^{r,b} = \frac{\mathbf{P}[v \in W_{k+1}^{r,b}]}{\mathbf{P}[v \in W_{k+1}]} = \frac{\mathbf{P}[v \in W_{k+1}^{r,b} \mid v \in W_k]}{\mathbf{P}[v \in W_{k+1} \mid v \in W_k]}. \quad (6)$$

The second equality holds because each of the events $v \in W_{k+1}$ and $v \in W_{k+1}^{r,b}$ immediately implies that the event $v \in W_k$ occurs. The denominator of (6) is equal to $w_{\rightarrow k+1}$, so it remains to derive the formula for the numerator.

Let $N_k^W(v)$ denote the set of white neighbors of v after the k -th round. Using the same argument as for deriving the formula for p_{k+1} , the color after the $(k+1)$ -th round of a white neighbor $u \in N_k^W(v)$ will be red with probability $q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(R)}$. Analogously, it will be blue with probability $q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(B)}$ and white with probability $q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(W)}$. By Lemma 7 and the fact that in all rounds we recolor each white vertex independently of the others, the new color of a neighbor $u_1 \in N_k^W(v)$ does not depend on the new color of another neighbor $u_2 \in N_k^W(v)$. Now consider the probability that a vertex v is white and has r red and b blue neighbors after the $(k+1)$ -th round, i.e., $v \in W_{k+1}^{r,b}$, conditioned by the event $v \in W_k^{\bar{r},\bar{b}}$, where $\bar{r} \leq r$ and $\bar{b} \leq b$. This probability is denoted by $w_{\rightarrow k+1}^{\bar{r},\bar{b} \rightarrow r,b}$. We claim that $w_{\rightarrow k+1}^{\bar{r},\bar{b} \rightarrow r,b}$ is equal to

$$P_{k+1}^{\bar{r},\bar{b}}(W) \cdot \binom{3-\bar{r}-\bar{b}}{r-\bar{r}} \binom{3-r-\bar{b}}{b-\bar{b}} \cdot \left(q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(R)}\right)^{r-\bar{r}} \cdot \left(q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(B)}\right)^{b-\bar{b}} \cdot \left(q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(W)}\right)^{3-r-b}.$$

Indeed, v stays white after the $(k+1)$ -th round with probability $P_{k+1}^{\bar{r},\bar{b}}(W)$. Next, fix two disjoint subsets Y and Z of $N_k^W(v)$ of sizes $r-\bar{r}$ and $b-\bar{b}$, respectively. This can be done in $\binom{3-\bar{r}-\bar{b}}{r-\bar{r}} \binom{3-r-\bar{b}}{b-\bar{b}}$ ways. The probability that all vertices in Y will be red after the $(k+1)$ -th round is equal to $\left(q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(R)}\right)^{r-\bar{r}}$. Analogously, all vertices in Z will be blue after the $(k+1)$ -th with probability

$\left(q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(B)}\right)^{b-\bar{b}}$, and the vertices in $N_k^W(v) \setminus (Y \cup Z)$ will be white after the $(k+1)$ -th round with probability $\left(q_{\rightarrow k+1}^{(W)}\right)^{3-r-b}$. The above claim and the definition of the conditional probability imply that

$$w_{k+1}^{r,b} = \left(\sum_{\substack{\bar{r} \leq r \\ \bar{b} \leq b}} w_k^{\bar{r},\bar{b}} \cdot w_{\rightarrow k+1}^{\bar{r},\bar{b} \rightarrow r,b} \right) / w_{\rightarrow k+1}$$

for every $(r, b) \in I_3$.

7 Setting up the parameters

In this section we set up the parameters in the randomized procedure. In the first round, we pick a vertex with a small probability p_0 and color it either red or blue. The next rounds of the procedure are split into two phases, which consist of K_1 and K_2 rounds, respectively. Therefore, the total number of rounds K is equal to $K_1 + K_2 + 1$.

In the rounds of the first phase, with probability p_B (p_R), where $p_R \ll p_B$, we color a vertex with exactly one red (blue) neighbor by blue (red). If a vertex has at least two neighbors of the same color, we color it with the other color with probability one. In all the other cases we do nothing.

With one exception, the rounds of the second phase are performed identically to the rounds of the first phase. The exception is that a white vertex with one red, one blue and one white neighbor is colored red with probability $p_{RB}/2$ or blue with probability $p_{RB}/2$. The choice of p_{RB} is such that $p_{RB} \ll p_R$.

Specifically, we set:

- $K := K_1 + K_2 + 1$,
- $P_1^{0,0}(R) := p_0/2$, $P_1^{0,0}(B) := p_0/2$,
- $P_k^{r,b}(R) := 1$ for $(r, b) \in I_3 \cap \{(r, b) : b \geq 2\}$ for $k \in [2, \dots, K]$,
- $P_k^{r,b}(B) := 1$ for $(r, b) \in I_3 \cap \{(r, b) : r \geq 2\}$ for $k \in [2, \dots, K]$,
- $P_k^{0,1}(R) := p_R$, $P_k^{1,0}(B) := p_B$ for $k \in [2, \dots, K]$,

- $P_k^{1,1}(R) := p_{RB}/2$, $P_k^{1,1}(B) := p_{RB}/2$ for $k \in [K_1 + 2, \dots, K]$,
- $P_k^{r,b}(R) := 0$ for all the other choices of r and b ,
- $P_k^{r,b}(B) := 0$ for all the other choices of r and b and
- $P_k^{r,b}(W) := 1 - P_k^{r,b}(R) - P_k^{r,b}(B)$ for $(r, b) \in I_3$.

The recurrences presented in this chapter were solved numerically using a computer program. The particular choice of parameters used in the program was $p_0 = 2^{-18}$, $p_B = 1$, $p_R = 2^{-11}$, $p_{RB} = 2^{-17}$, $K_1 = 34\,919$ and $K_2 = 283\,974$ (and hence $K = 318\,894$).

The choice of K_1 was made in such a way that at the end of the first phase, i.e., after the $(K_1 + 1)$ -th round, the probability that a vertex is white and has exactly one non-white neighbor is less than 10^{-7} . Analogously, the choice of K_2 was made in a way that at the end of the process, i.e., after the K -th round the probability that a vertex is white is less than 10^{-7} .

The code of the C program used for the computation can be downloaded from <http://iuuk.mff.cuni.cz/~volec/cubic-cut/>. The output of the program with all the values of variables p_k, r_k, b_k, w_k and $w_k^{r,b}$ for $k \in [K]$ and $(r, b) \in I_3$ computed for the given choice of parameters can also be found on the web page. For the floating-point calculations, the program uses the MPFR library for a high-precision floating-point calculations with correct rounding [7]. We used the *running error analysis* method (see, e.g., Section 2.5.1 from [2], or Section 3.3 from [11]) to upper bound the rounding error coming from the representation of floating-point numbers. Setting the length of the mantissa of all the floating-point variables to 657400, we upper bound the rounding error for all p_K, r_K and b_K by $2^{-657400} \times 10^{197862} < 10^{-35}$.

Solving the recurrences for the above choice of parameters we have obtained that $p_K > 0.88672$. The probability that a vertex v is colored red at the end of the process, i.e. r_K , is equal to 0.491979 and the probability that b_K is equal to 0.508021. In Figures 1–4, we plot the evolution of the probabilities $p_k, r_k, b_k, w_k, w_k^{0,0}, w_k^{0,1}$ and $w_k^{1,1}$. The vertical dashed line in each figure correspond to the end of the first phase. The probabilities $w_k^{0,2}, w_k^{0,3}, w_k^{1,0}, w_k^{1,2}, w_k^{2,0}, w_k^{2,1}$ and $w_k^{3,0}$ are less than 10^{-3} for every $k \in [K]$.

The above choice of the parameters is not the best possible. In particular, setting smaller values for the parameters p_{RB}, p_R and p_0 would produce a slightly larger edge-cut at the cost of stronger assumption on the required girth. On the other hand, computer experiments on random cubic graphs




Figure 1: Evolution of p_k

of size 10^7 suggest that optimizing the parameters of this procedure cannot obtain significantly better upper bound than 0.88696.

The presented method is also applicable for d -regular graphs for $d \geq 4$ analogously as the Hoppen's method [13] could be used for translating the results of Díaz, Do, Serna and Wormald [4] and Díaz, Serna and Wormald [5].

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank Nick Wormald for pointing out the reference [19] and the anonymous referees for careful reading the manuscript and their valuable comments improving the presentation of the results.




Figure 2: Evolution of r_k and b_k




Figure 3: Evolution of w_k and $w_k^{0,0}$




Figure 4: Evolution of $w_k^{0,1}$ and $w_k^{1,1}$

References

- [1] B. Bollobás: A probabilistic proof of an asymptotic formula for the number of labelled regular graphs, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 1 (1980), 311–316.
- [2] G. Dahlquist, Å Björck: *Numerical Methods in Scientific Computing: Volume 1*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2008.
- [3] J. Díaz, N. Do, M. J. Serna, N. C. Wormald: Bisection of Random Cubic Graphs, J.D.P. Rolim and S. Vadhan (Eds.): *RANDOM 2002*, LNCS 2483 (2002), 114–125.
- [4] J. Díaz, N. Do, M. J. Serna, N. C. Wormald: Bounds on the max and min bisection of random cubic and random 4-regular graphs, *Theoretical Computer Science* 2483 (2003), 531–547.
- [5] J. Díaz, M. J. Serna, N. C. Wormald: Computation of the bisection width for random d -regular graphs, *Theoretical Computer Science* 382 (2007), 120–130.

- [6] W. Duckworth, N. C. Wormald: On the independent domination number of random regular graphs, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* (2006), 513–522.
- [7] L. Fousse, G. Hanrot, V. Lefèvre, P. Pélissier, and P. Zimmermann: MPFR: A multiple-precision binary floating-point library with correct rounding, *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software* 33 (2007), Article 13.
- [8] A. Galluccio, M. Loeb, J. Vondrak: Optimization via Enumeration: a new algorithm for the Max Cut Problem, *Mathematical Programming, Ser. A* 90 (2001), 273–290.
- [9] M. Goemans, D. Williamson: Improved approximation algorithms for maximum cut and satisfiability problems using semidefinite programming, *Journal of the ACM* (1995), 42:1115–1145.
- [10] F. O. Hadlock: Finding a Maximum Cut of a Planar Graph in Polynomial Time, *Siam J. Computing* 4 (1975), 221–225.
- [11] N. J. Higham: *Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms*, Second Edition, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2002.
- [12] J. Hladký: Bipartite subgraphs in a random cubic graph, Bachelor Thesis, Charles University, 2006.
- [13] C. Hoppen: Properties of graphs with large girth, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Waterloo, 2008.
- [14] C. Hoppen, N. C. Wormald: Induced forests in regular graphs with large girth, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* 17 (2007), 1–22.
- [15] C. Hoppen, N. C. Wormald: private communication, 2009.
- [16] F. Kardoš, D. Král', J. Volec: Fractional colorings of cubic graphs with large girth, *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* 26 (2012), 647–660.
- [17] S. Khot: On the power of unique 2-prover 1-round games, *Proceedings of the 34th Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing* (2002), 767–775.

- [18] S. Khot, G. Kindler, E. Mossel, R. O’Donnell: Optimal inapproximability results for MAX-CUT and other 2-variable CSPs?, Proceedings of the 45th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, IEEE (2004), 146–154.
- [19] J. Lauer, N. C. Wormald: Large independent sets in regular graphs with large girth, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* 97 (2007), 9991009.
- [20] B. D. McKay: Maximum Bipartite Subgraphs of Regular Graphs with Large Girth, Proceedings of the 13th Southeastern Conf. on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Boca Raton, Florida (1982).
- [21] R. Šámal: On XY mappings, Ph.D. thesis, Charles University, 2006.
- [22] R. Šámal: Fractional covering by cuts, Proceedings of 7th International Colloquium on Graph Theory (2005), 455–459.
- [23] R. Šámal: Cubical coloring fractional covering by cuts, ArXiv e-prints, 0911.2589 (2009).
- [24] N. C. Wormald: The asymptotic distribution of short cycles in random regular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* 31 (1981), 168–182.
- [25] N. C. Wormald: Differential Equations for random processes and random graphs, *Annals of Applied Probability* 5 (1995), 1217–1235.
- [26] L. Zdeborová, private communication, 2011.
- [27] L. Zdeborová, S. Boettcher: A conjecture on the maximum cut and bisection width in random regular graphs, *J. Stat. Mech.* P02020 (2010).
- [28] O. Zýka: On the bipartite density of regular graphs with large girth, *Journal of Graph Theory* (1990), 631–634.