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# CONCRETE EXAMPLES OF $\mathscr{H}(b)$ SPACES 

EMMANUEL FRICAIN, ANDREAS HARTMANN, AND WILLIAM T. ROSS


#### Abstract

In this paper we give an explicit description of de Branges-Rovnyak spaces $\mathscr{H}(b)$ when $b$ is of the form $q^{r}$, where $q$ is a rational outer function in the closed unit ball of $H^{\infty}$ and $r$ is a positive number.


## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to explicitly describe the elements of the de Branges-Rovnyak space $\mathscr{H}(b)$ for certain $b \in \mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$. Here $H^{\infty}$ is the algebra of bounded analytic functions on the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ normed by

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|,
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right):=\left\{g \in H^{\infty}:\|g\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

is the closed unit ball in $H^{\infty}$ and, for $b \in \mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$, the de BrangesRovnyak space $\mathscr{H}(b)$ is the reproducing kernel Hilbert space of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ whose kernel is

$$
k_{\lambda}^{b}(z):=\frac{1-\overline{b(\lambda)} b(z)}{1-\bar{\lambda} z}, \quad \lambda, z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

The spaces $\mathscr{H}(b)$, and their vector-valued analogues, are the setting for the operator model theory of de Branges and Rovnyak [3, 4]. Besides possessing a fascinating internal structure, it also became clear that $\mathscr{H}(b)$ spaces have a key role to play in several questions in function theory and operator theory, most importantly, in the model theory for many types of contraction operators.

[^0]Nevertheless, the elements of $\mathscr{H}(b)$ are rather opaque and besides the kernel functions $k_{\lambda}^{b}, \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ (whose linear span is indeed dense in $\mathscr{H}(b)$ ), the exact contents of $\mathscr{H}(b)$ is mysterious.

In this paper, we give a precise description of the elements of $\mathscr{H}(b)$ for certain relatively simple $b$, namely roots of rational outer functions. Our description needs the following set up. If $b \in \mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log (1-|b|) d m>-\infty
$$

(such functions are called non-extreme elements of the unit ball in $H^{\infty}$ ) where $\mathbb{T}:=\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}:|\zeta|=1\}$ and $m$ Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$ normalized so that $m(\mathbb{T})=1$, then there exists a unique outer function $a \in \mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$, called the Pythagorean mate for $b$, such that $a(0)>0$ and $|a|^{2}+|b|^{2}=1$ almost everywhere on $\mathbb{T}$. The pair $(a, b)$ is said to be a Pythagorean pair. By means of the Fejer-Riesz theorem (we give a proof of this in Section 5), one can prove that if $b$ is a rational (or polynomial) function then so is $a$. In this case, also notice that for $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, we have $|b(\zeta)|=1$ if and only if $a(\zeta)=0$.
Our first observation is that in certain situations $\mathscr{H}\left(b^{r}\right)$ does not depend on $r>0$.
Theorem 1.1. Suppose $b \in \mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$ is outer and non extreme with Pythagorean mate $a$. If $(a, b)$ is a corona pair, i.e.,

$$
\inf \{|a(z)|+|b(z)|: z \in \mathbb{D}\}>0
$$

then for any $r>0$ we have $\mathscr{H}\left(b^{r}\right)=\mathscr{H}(b)$.
Note that since $b$ is outer it has no zeros on $\mathbb{D}$ and we can define $b^{r}$ by taking any logarithm of $b$.

In the special situation when $b$ is a rational outer function, or any positive power of a rational function, we get the following complete description of $\mathscr{H}(b)$. In order to state the result, we also need derivatives of kernels. When $b=q^{r}$, where $q$ is outer and rational and $r>0$, we set

$$
v_{r, \lambda}^{\ell}(z):=\frac{d^{\ell}}{d \bar{\lambda}^{\ell}} k_{\lambda}^{q^{r}}(z)=\frac{d^{\ell}}{d \bar{\lambda}^{\ell}}\left(\frac{1-\overline{q^{r}(\lambda)} q^{r}(z)}{1-\bar{\lambda} z}\right)
$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{D}, \lambda \in \mathbb{D}^{-}$, and $\ell=0,1,2, \ldots$.
We let $H^{2}$ denote the classical Hardy space in $\mathbb{D}[6]$.
Theorem 1.2. Suppose $q \in \mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$ is a rational outer function and $r$ is a positive real number. Then
(1) $\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)=\mathscr{H}(q)$.
(2) If $a$ is the Pythagorean mate for $q$ and $a$ has distinct zeros $\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}$ on $\mathbb{T}$ with corresponding multiplicities $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$, then
(a) the functions $v_{r, j}^{\ell}:=v_{r, \zeta_{j}}^{\ell}$ are well-defined and belong to $\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ and $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m_{j}-1$. Moreover, they are orthogonal to

$$
a H^{2}=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-\zeta_{j}\right)^{m_{j}}\right) H^{2}
$$

(b) $\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-\zeta_{j}\right)^{m_{j}}\right) H^{2} \oplus \bigvee\left\{v_{r, j}^{\ell}: 0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m_{j}-1,1 \leqslant j \leqslant n\right\},
$$

where the orthogonal decomposition is in terms of the inner product in $\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)$.

Writing $v_{j}^{\ell}=v_{1, j}^{\ell}$, the theorem above implies that $\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-\zeta_{j}\right)^{m_{j}}\right) H^{2}+\bigvee\left\{v_{j}^{\ell}(z): 0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m_{j}-1,1 \leqslant j \leqslant n\right\},
$$

where the sum is no longer necessarily orthogonal.
It was shown in [2], and rediscovered in [1], that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}(q)=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-\zeta_{j}\right)^{m_{j}}\right) H^{2}+\mathscr{P}_{n-1}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{P}_{n-1}$ is the $n$-dimensional space of polynomials of degree at most $n-1$ and the sum is an algebraic direct sum (not necessarily an orthogonal one). The novelty here is that we can precisely identify the orthogonal complement of $a H^{2}=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-\zeta_{j}\right)^{m_{j}}\right) H^{2}$ in $\mathscr{H}(q)$ without using (1.3).

A key ingredient in showing statement (1) of Theorem 1.2, and an added bonus to our result, is that if $a_{r}$ is the Pythagorean mate for $q^{r}$ then the co-analytic Toeplitz operators $T_{\bar{a}}$ and $T_{\overline{a_{r}}}$ on the Hardy space $H^{2}$ have the same range and this range can be precisely identified as $\mathscr{H}(q)$.

## 2. Preliminaries

There are several equivalent definitions of the de Branges-Rovnyak space $\mathscr{H}(b)$ for $b \in \mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$. We can for instance define it in the standard way (see [7]) as the reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated with the (positive definite) reproducing kernel

$$
k_{\lambda}^{b}(z):=\frac{1-\overline{b(\lambda)} b(z)}{1-\bar{\lambda} z}, \quad \lambda, z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

By definition,

$$
f(\lambda)=\left\langle f, k_{\lambda}^{b}\right\rangle_{b}, \quad f \in \mathscr{H}(b), \lambda \in \mathbb{D}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{b}$ stands for the scalar product in $\mathscr{H}(b)$.
The space $\mathscr{H}(b)$ can also be defined as the range space $\left(I-T_{b} T_{\bar{b}}\right)^{1 / 2} H^{2}$ equipped with the norm making $\left(I-T_{b} T_{\bar{b}}\right)^{1 / 2}$ a partial isometry. Here $T_{\varphi}$ is the Toeplitz operator on $H^{2}$ with symbol $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ defined by

$$
T_{\varphi} f=P_{+}(\varphi f), \quad f \in H^{2}
$$

where $P_{+}$is the orthogonal projection of $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ onto $H^{2}$. The book [8] is the classic reference for $\mathscr{H}(b)$ spaces.

When $\|b\|_{\infty}<1, \mathscr{H}(b)$ turns out to be a reformed version of $H^{2}$ while, on the other extreme, if $b$ is an inner function, then $\mathscr{H}(b)$ turns out to be one of the classical and well-studied model spaces $H^{2} \ominus b H^{2}$.
When $b$ is non-extreme, two important (not necessarily closed) vector spaces of functions in $\mathscr{H}(b)$ are

$$
\mathscr{M}(a):=T_{a} H^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{M}(\bar{a}):=T_{\bar{a}} H^{2} .
$$

It is known (see [8, page 24]) that

$$
\mathscr{M}(a) \subset \mathscr{M}(\bar{a}) \subset \mathscr{H}(b) .
$$

## 3. Corona pairs

This following lemma is well-known but we record it here along with a proof for the sake of completeness and for the discussion of the examples in Section 5.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose $q \in \mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$ is rational and not inner. Then $q$ is non-extreme and if $a$ is the Pythagorean mate for $q$ then $a$ is also rational.

Proof. Since $q$ is rational then $q=p_{1} / p_{2}$ where $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are analytic polynomials and $p_{2}$ has no zeros on $\mathbb{D}^{-}$. We can of course choose $p_{2}$ such that $p_{2}(0)>0$. Since $q \in \mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$ we see that $1-\left|q\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} \geqslant 0$ for all $\theta$ and so $\left|p_{2}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}-\left|p_{1}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}$ is a non-negative trigonometric polynomial, which, by the Fejer-Riesz theorem, must be equal to $\left|p\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}$, where $p$ is an analytic polynomial which is zero free in $\mathbb{D}$ and $p(0)>0$. Note that $\left|p_{2}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}-\left|p_{1}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}$ is non zero because $q$ is assumed to be not inner.

Let

$$
a=\frac{p}{p_{2}} .
$$

Note that $a$ is rational and zero free in $\mathbb{D}$, hence outer. Moreover $a(0)>0$.

Furthermore, on $\mathbb{T}$ we have

$$
|a|^{2}=\left|\frac{p}{p_{2}}\right|^{2}=\frac{\left|p_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|p_{1}\right|^{2}}{\left|p_{2}\right|^{2}}=1-\left|\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right|^{2}=1-|q|^{2}
$$

This means that $(a, q)$ is a Pythagorean pair which, in particular, implies that $q$ is non-extreme.

Two functions $u, v \in H^{\infty}$ which satisfy

$$
\inf _{z \in \mathbb{D}}(|u(z)|+|v(z)|)>0
$$

are said to be a corona pair. Carleson's corona theorem [6] says that if $u, v$ form a corona pair then there are function $\phi, \psi \in H^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\phi u+\psi v=1
$$

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $b \in \mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$ is outer and $r$ is a positive real number. Then $b$ and $b^{r}$ are simultaneously non-extreme. Moreover, if $a_{r}$ is the Pythagorean mate for $b^{r}$, the pairs $(a, b)$ and $\left(a_{r}, b^{r}\right)$ are simultaneously corona.

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-x^{r}}{1-x} \asymp 1, \quad x \in[0,1], \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that $1-|b|^{r} \asymp 1-|b|$ when $b \in \mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$. This proves the first part of the Lemma.
Now observe that

$$
\frac{|a|^{2}}{\left|a_{r}\right|^{2}}=\frac{1-|b|^{2}}{1-\left|b^{2}\right|^{r}} \asymp 1,
$$

and since $a$ and $a_{r}$ are outer, by Smirnov's theorem (which says that if the boundary function of $f \in H^{2}$ is bounded, then $\left.f \in H^{\infty}\right), a / a_{r}$ is invertible in $H^{\infty}$. Thus both expressions

$$
\inf _{z \in \mathbb{D}}(|a(z)|+|b(z)|) \quad \text { and } \quad \inf _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(\left|a_{r}(z)\right|+\left|b^{r}(z)\right|\right)
$$

are strictly positive simultaneously. Indeed, if there is a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that one expression goes to 0 then, since both $a\left(z_{n}\right)$ and $b\left(z_{n}\right)$ go to zero, the other expression will go to zero as well.

A special situation where $b$ forms a corona pair with its Pythagorean mate is when $b$ is rational, as explained in the following lemma.
Lemma 3.4. Suppose $q \in \mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$ is rational and not inner. If $a$ is the Pythagorean mate for $q$, then $(a, q)$ is a corona pair.

Proof. According to the proof of Lemma 3.1, we know that $a$ is rational, $a=p / p_{2}$, where $p$ and $p_{2}$ are polynomials, $p_{2}$ has no zeros in $\mathbb{D}^{-}$and $p$ is zero free in $\mathbb{D}$. In particular, $a$ is continuous on $\mathbb{D}^{-}$and it has a finite number of zeros on $\mathbb{T}$, say $\left\{\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{M}\right\}$. Note that, due to the identity $|a|^{2}+|q|^{2}=1$ on $\mathbb{T}$, the zeros of $a$ (on $\mathbb{T}$ ) must lie where $q$ is unimodular on $\mathbb{T}$.

Let $D_{j}$ be open disjoint disks with center at the zeros $\zeta_{j}$ of $a$ and let

$$
F=\mathbb{D}^{-} \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{M} D_{j} .
$$

By making the disks smaller, one can, by using the continuity of $|q|$ on $\mathbb{D}^{-}$, arrange things so that $|q| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ on each $D_{j} \cap \mathbb{D}^{-}$.
Notice that $F$ is closed and omits all of the zeros of $a$ in $\mathbb{D}^{-}$and so

$$
\inf _{z \in F}|a(z)|=\delta>0
$$

Thus

$$
\inf _{z \in \mathbb{D}}(|a(z)|+|q(z)|) \geqslant \min \left(\frac{1}{2}, \delta\right)>0
$$

concluding the proof.
The first statement of our main theorem (Theorem 1.2) $\mathscr{H}(q)=\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)$ depends of the following two results. The first is from Sarason's book [8, p. 62].
Proposition 3.5. For $b \in \mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$ and non-extreme, the following are equivalent:
(1) $(a, b)$ is a corona pair;
(2) $\mathscr{H}(b)=\mathscr{M}(\bar{a})$.

The second is the following.
Proposition 3.6. If $a, a_{1} \in H^{\infty}$ are two outer functions such that a/ $a_{1}$ and $a_{1} / a$ belong to $L^{\infty}$, then $\mathscr{M}(\bar{a})=\mathscr{M}\left(\overline{a_{1}}\right)$.

Proof. Again, by Smirnov's theorem, we know that $a / a_{1}$ and $a_{1} / a$ belong to $H^{\infty}$, so that $T_{a / a_{1}}$ and hence $T_{\overline{a / a_{1}}}$ are invertible. Then we get

$$
\mathscr{M}(\bar{a})=T_{\bar{a}} H^{2}=T_{\overline{a_{1}}} T_{\overline{a / a_{1}}} H^{2}=T_{\overline{a_{1}}} H^{2}=\mathscr{M}\left(\overline{a_{1}}\right) .
$$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 3.2 we know that since $(a, b)$ is a corona pair, then so is $\left(a_{r}, b^{r}\right)$. Thus from Proposition 3.5 we see that $\mathscr{H}(b)=\mathscr{M}(\bar{a})$ and $\mathscr{H}\left(b^{r}\right)=\mathscr{M}\left(\overline{a_{r}}\right)$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2 $a / a_{r}$ and $a_{r} / a$ are in $H^{\infty}$ so that by Proposition 3.6, we get $\mathscr{M}(\bar{a})=$ $\mathscr{M}\left(\overline{a_{r}}\right)$ which concludes the proof.

## 4. Proof of the main theorem 1.2

Statement (1) of the theorem follows from Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 1.1.

Let us consider statement (2).
In [5] it was shown that if $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|\log | b(w)| |}{|w-\zeta|^{2 n+2}} d m(w)<\infty \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every function in $\mathscr{H}(b)$, as well as their derivatives up to order $n$, has a finite non-tangential limit at $\zeta$.

Recalling the notation $v_{r, \lambda}^{\ell}$ for the $\ell$-th derivative in the variable $\bar{\lambda}$ of the reproducing kernel in $\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)$, under (4.1), we have $v_{r, \zeta}^{\ell} \in \mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)$, $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant n$, and

$$
f^{(\ell)}(\zeta)=\left\langle f, v_{r, \zeta}^{\ell}\right\rangle_{q^{r}}, \quad f \in \mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right), 0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant n .
$$

Remark 4.2. In the above, we are using $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{b}$ to denote the inner product in the space $\mathscr{H}(b)$. Note that $\mathscr{H}(0)=H^{2}$ with the integral inner product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{0}=\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\zeta) \overline{g(\zeta)} d m(\zeta)
$$

When the contact is clear, we will drop the subscript $b$ from the inner product.

Let us check condition (4.1) for our situation. Since $q$ is rational then its Pythagorean mate $a$ is also rational and can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(z)=s(z) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-\zeta_{j}\right)^{m_{j}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is a rational function whose poles and zeros lie on the complement of $\mathbb{D}^{-}$. Pick $w=e^{i t}$ near one of the zeros $\zeta_{j}=e^{i \theta_{j}}$ of $a$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\log | q^{r}\left(e^{i t}\right)| | & \left.\asymp|\log | q\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|^{2}\left|=\left|\log \left(1-\left|a\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|^{2}\right)\right|\right. \\
& \asymp\left|a\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|^{2} \asymp\left|e^{i t}-e^{i \theta_{j}}\right|^{2 m_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that for $t$ near $\theta_{j}$ we have

$$
\frac{|\log | q^{r}\left(e^{i t}\right)| |}{\left|e^{i t}-e^{i \theta_{j}}\right|^{2\left(m_{j}-1\right)+2}} \asymp 1
$$

and so, by (4.1),

$$
v_{r, \zeta_{j}}^{\ell} \in \mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right), \quad 0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m_{j}-1 .
$$

So far we know that
$\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)=\mathscr{M}\left(\overline{a_{r}}\right)=\mathscr{M}(\bar{a}) \supset \mathscr{M}(a)+\bigvee\left\{v_{r, \zeta_{j}}^{\ell}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n, 0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m_{j}-1\right\}$.
First we show that

$$
v_{r, \zeta_{j}}^{\ell} \perp \mathscr{M}(a), \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n, 0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m_{j}-1 .
$$

Indeed, for each $f \in \mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)$ the radial limits $f^{(\ell)}\left(t \zeta_{j}\right)$ exist as $t \rightarrow 1^{-}$. Since

$$
f^{(\ell)}\left(t \zeta_{j}\right)=\left\langle f, v_{r, t \zeta_{j}}^{\ell}\right\rangle_{q^{r}},
$$

we can apply the principle of uniform boundedness to see that $\left\|v_{r, t \zeta_{j}}^{\ell}\right\|_{q^{r}}$ is uniformly bounded as $t \rightarrow 1^{-}$. Since $v_{r, t \zeta_{j}}^{\ell}$ converges pointwise to $v_{r, \zeta_{j}}^{\ell}$ as $t \rightarrow 1^{-}$we see that $v_{r, t \zeta_{j}}^{\ell}$ converges weakly to $v_{r, \zeta_{j}}^{\ell}$. Thus, since $v_{r, t \zeta_{j}}^{\ell}$
reproduces the $\ell$-th derivative of $\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)$-functions at point $t \zeta_{j}$, for any $g \in H^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle a g, v_{r, \zeta_{j}}^{\ell}\right\rangle_{q^{r}} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left\langle a g, v_{r, t \zeta_{j}}^{\ell}\right\rangle_{q^{r}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1}(a g)^{(\ell)}\left(t \zeta_{j}\right) \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \sum_{p=0}^{\ell}\binom{\ell}{p} a^{(p)}\left(t \zeta_{j}\right) g^{(\ell-p)}\left(t \zeta_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the estimate

$$
\left|a^{(p)}\left(t \zeta_{j}\right)\right| \lesssim(1-t)^{m_{j}-p}
$$

along with the following standard $H^{2}$ estimate on the growth of the derivative of an $H^{2}$ function

$$
\left|g^{(\ell-p)}\left(t \zeta_{j}\right)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-t)^{(\ell-p)+1 / 2}},
$$

we see that

$$
\left|a^{(p)}\left(t \zeta_{j}\right) g^{(\ell-p)}\left(t \zeta_{j}\right)\right| \lesssim(1-t)^{m_{j}-p-((\ell-p)+1 / 2)} .
$$

But since $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m_{j}-1$ we see that

$$
m_{j}-p-((\ell-p)+1 / 2)=m_{j}-\ell-\frac{1}{2} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}
$$

and so

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left|a^{(p)}\left(t \zeta_{j}\right) g^{(\ell-p)}\left(t \zeta_{j}\right)\right|=0
$$

Thus $\left\langle a g, v_{r, \zeta_{j}}^{\ell}\right\rangle_{q^{r}}=0$ and $v_{r, \zeta_{j}}^{\ell} \perp \mathscr{M}(a)$ in $\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)$, for all $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m_{j}-1$.
This upgrades (4.4) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)=\mathscr{M}(\bar{a}) \supset \mathscr{M}(a) \oplus \bigvee\left\{v_{r, \zeta_{j}}^{\ell}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n, 0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m_{j}-1\right\}, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and orthogonality is with respect to $\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)$.
To show equality in (4.5), our second step is to show that if $f \in \mathscr{M}(\bar{a})$ and $f \perp v_{r, \zeta_{j}}^{\ell}$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n, 0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m_{j}-1$ then $f \in \mathscr{M}(a)$. Since $\mathscr{M}(\bar{a})=T_{\bar{a}} H^{2}$ this is equivalent to proving that if $g \in H^{2}$ and

$$
0=\left(T_{\bar{a}} g\right)^{(\ell)}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(T_{\bar{a}} g\right)^{(\ell)}\left(t \zeta_{j}\right)
$$

for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n, 0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m_{j}-1$ then $T_{\bar{a}} g \in \mathscr{M}(a)$. To simplify matters a bit, let us recall the formula for $a$ from (4.3). Since $s$ is a rational function with zeros and poles outside $\mathbb{D}^{-}$then certainly the Toeplitz operators $T_{1 / s}$ and $T_{\overline{1 / s}}$ are invertible, so that $\mathscr{M}(\bar{a})=\mathscr{M}(\overline{a / s})$. We can thus assume that

$$
a(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-\zeta_{j}\right)^{m_{j}}
$$

A preliminary step towards proving what we want is to show it for a special case. Indeed we will show that if $a(z)=(z-1)^{m}$ and $g \in H^{2}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{\bar{a}} g\right)^{(\ell)}(1)=0,0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m-1 \Longrightarrow T_{\bar{a}} g \in a H^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\overline{a(\zeta)}=(-1)^{m} \bar{\zeta}^{m} a(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T}
$$

and thus

$$
T_{\bar{a}} g=(-1)^{m} P_{+}\left(a \bar{\zeta}^{m} g\right)
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{g}(0)=\widehat{g}(1)=\cdots=\widehat{g}(m-1)=0 . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This will show that $\bar{\zeta}^{m} g \in H^{2}$ and so

$$
T_{\bar{a}} g=(-1)^{m} P_{+}\left(a \bar{\zeta}^{m} g\right) \in a H^{2}
$$

We prove the claim (4.7) as follows. Consider the kernels

$$
k_{\lambda, \ell}(z)=c_{\ell} \frac{z^{\ell}}{(1-\bar{\lambda} z)^{\ell+1}},
$$

where $c_{\ell}$ is adapted so that these are the reproducing kernels for $\ell$-th derivatives at point $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ in the Hardy space $H^{2}$, that is to say,

$$
f^{(\ell)}(\lambda)=\left\langle f, k_{\lambda, \ell}\right\rangle_{2}, \quad f \in H^{2}
$$

Observe that for $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(z) k_{t, \ell}(z) & =c_{\ell} \frac{z^{\ell}(1-z)^{m}}{(1-t z)^{\ell+1}} \\
& =c_{\ell} z^{\ell}(1-z)^{m-(\ell+1)}\left(\frac{1-z}{1-t z}\right)^{\ell+1} \\
& =c_{\ell} z^{\ell}(1-z)^{m-(\ell+1)}\left(1+z \frac{t-1}{1-t z}\right)^{\ell+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

One can see that $a k_{t, \ell}$ converges pointwise to $c_{\ell} z^{\ell}(1-z)^{m-\ell-1}$ as $t \rightarrow 1^{-}$ and is uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{T}$. Thus by the dominated convergence theorem, $a k_{t, \ell} \rightarrow c_{\ell} z^{\ell}(1-z)^{m-\ell-1}$ in the norm of $H^{2}$. Now pick a function $f=T_{\bar{a}} g \in \mathscr{M}(\bar{a})=\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)$ with $T_{\bar{a}} g^{(\ell)}(1)=0$ for all $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant$
$m-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left(T_{\bar{a}} g\right)^{(\ell)}(1)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(T_{\bar{a}} g\right)^{(\ell)}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left\langle T_{\bar{a}} g, k_{t, \ell}\right\rangle_{0}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left\langle g, a k_{t, \ell}\right\rangle_{0} \\
& =\overline{c_{\ell}}\left\langle g, z^{\ell}(1-z)^{m-\ell-1}\right\rangle_{0} \\
& =\overline{c_{\ell}}\left\langle g, \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell-1}\binom{m-\ell-1}{j}(-1)^{j} z^{j+\ell}\right\rangle_{0} \\
& =\overline{c_{\ell}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell-1}\binom{m-\ell-1}{j}(-1)^{j} \widehat{g}(j+k) \\
& =\sum_{p=\ell}^{m-1}\binom{m-\ell-1}{p-\ell}(-1)^{p-\ell} \widehat{g}(p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If

$$
A_{i, j}=\binom{m-i-1}{j-i}(-1)^{j-i}
$$

then $A=\left(A_{i, j}\right)_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant m-1}$ is an upper triangular invertible matrix and hence the above system of equations can be written as

$$
A(\widehat{g}(0), \widehat{g}(1), \ldots, \widehat{g}(m-1))^{T}=(0, \ldots, 0)
$$

which proves (4.7) and thus (4.6).
We finish the proof by establishing the following claim. If

$$
a(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-\zeta_{j}\right)^{m_{j}}
$$

and $g \in H^{2}$ is such that, for any $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, and any $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m_{j}-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{\bar{a}} g\right)^{\ell}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)=0, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $T_{\bar{a}} g \in a H^{2}$.
We argue by induction on $n$. If $n=1$, then we can of course assume without loss of generality that $\zeta_{1}=1$ and then, the proof of the claim is given by (4.6).

Assume the claim is true for $n-1$ points. Suppose $g \in H^{2}$ satisfies (4.8). Decompose $a$ as $a=a_{1} a_{2}$ where $a_{1}(z)=\left(z-\zeta_{1}\right)^{m_{1}}$ and

$$
a_{2}(z)=\prod_{j=2}^{n}\left(z-\zeta_{j}\right)^{m_{j}} .
$$

Since

$$
T_{\bar{a}}=T_{\bar{a}_{1}} T_{\bar{a}_{2}}=T_{\bar{a}_{2}} T_{\bar{a}_{1}},
$$

we easily see that the induction hypothesis implies that the function $h:=T_{\bar{a}} g$ belongs to $a_{1} H^{2} \cap a_{2} H^{2}$. In other words, there exists two functions $\varphi, \psi \in H^{2}$ such that

$$
h=a_{1} \varphi=a_{2} \psi .
$$

Now note that $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ is a corona pair. Hence, there exists $u, v \in H^{\infty}$ such that

$$
a_{1} u+a_{2} v=1 .
$$

Multiply this equation by $\psi$ gives

$$
\psi=a_{1} \psi u+a_{2} \psi v=a_{1} \psi u+a_{1} \varphi v=a_{1}(\psi u+\varphi v) .
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
h=a_{2} \psi=a_{2} a_{1}(\psi u+\varphi v),
$$

which shows that $h \in a_{1} a_{2} H^{2}=a H^{2}$. The claim is established and the proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

## 5. Examples

Example 5.1. Consider the function

$$
q(z)=\frac{1}{2}(1+z)
$$

and notice that $q$ is outer and $\|q\|_{\infty}=1$. One can easily guess the Pythagorean mate for $q$ to be

$$
a(z)=\frac{1}{2}(1-z) .
$$

The function $a(z)$ has one zero of order 1 at $z=1$ and a computation reveals that

$$
v_{1,1}^{0}(z)=\frac{1-\overline{q(1)} q(z)}{1-z}=\frac{1}{2} .
$$

In this case

$$
\mathscr{H}(q)=(z-1) H^{2} \oplus \mathbb{C}
$$

and so for any positive real number we get $\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)=\mathscr{H}(q)$ and

$$
\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)=(z-1) H^{2}+\mathbb{C}=(z-1) H^{2} \oplus \mathbb{C} \frac{1-\left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)^{r}}{1-z}
$$

For more general $q$ we need to review the proof of the Fejer-Riesz theorem which says that if

$$
w\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\sum_{j=-n}^{n} c_{j} e^{i j \theta}
$$

is a non-zero trigonometric polynomial which assumes non-negative values for all $\theta$, then there is an analytic polynomial

$$
p(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}
$$

so that

$$
w\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\left|p\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

Since the proof gives us the algorithm for computing $p$, we give a quick sketch. Indeed, as a function of the complex variable $z$, we see that if

$$
w(z)=\sum_{j=-n}^{n} c_{j} z^{j}
$$

then $\overline{w(1 / \bar{z})}=w(z), z \in \mathbb{T}$. Assuming that $c_{-n} \neq 0$ we see that $s(z)=z^{n} w(z), z \in \mathbb{C}$, is a polynomial of degree $2 n$ and the roots of $s$ occur in pairs $\alpha, 1 / \bar{\alpha}$ of equal multiplicity. It follows that

$$
w(z)=c \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-\alpha_{j}\right)\left(\frac{1}{z}-\overline{\alpha_{j}}\right)
$$

for some positive constant $c$ and where $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ satisfy $\left|\alpha_{j}\right| \geqslant 1$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. The desired polynomial $p$ is

$$
p(z)=\sqrt{c} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-\alpha_{j}\right)
$$

Note that $p$ is zero free in $\mathbb{D}$ and we can multiply $p$ by a unimodular constant so that $p(0)>0$.

Recall from the proof of Lemma (3.1) that if $q=p_{1} / p_{2}$ is rational then the Pythagorean mate $a$ for $q$ is given by

$$
a=\frac{p}{p_{2}},
$$

where $p$ is the analytic polynomial (guaranteed by the Fejer-Riesz theorem) which satisfies $\left|p\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}=w\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\left|p_{2}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}-\left|p_{1}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} \geqslant 0$, and $p$ is chosen to that $a(0)>0$.

Example 5.2. Consider the function

$$
q(z)=\frac{1}{2}(1-z)(1+z)
$$

and note that $q \in \mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$ and is outer. A computation shows that

$$
1-\left|q\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{4} e^{-2 i t}+\frac{1}{4} e^{2 i t}+\frac{1}{2} .
$$

Define

$$
w(z)=\frac{z^{-2}}{4}+\frac{z^{2}}{4}+\frac{1}{2}
$$

and

$$
s(z)=z^{2} w(z)=\frac{z^{4}}{4}+\frac{z^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{4} .
$$

The polynomial $s$ factors as

$$
s(z)=\frac{1}{4}(z-i)^{2}(z+i)^{2} .
$$

Notice how the zeros occur in pairs $i=1 / \bar{i}$ and $-i=1 / \overline{-i}$ as guaranteed by the above proof of the Fejer-Riesz theorem. Thus the Pythagorean mate $a$ for $q$ is of the form

$$
a(z)=c(z-i)(z+i)
$$

for some $c$ adjusted so that $a(0)>0$ and $1-\left|q\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}=\left|a\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}$. One can check by direct calculation that $c=1 / 2$ works and so

$$
a(z)=\frac{1}{2}(z-i)(z+i) .
$$

Of course the exact value of $c$ is not important for our calculations since we only need to identify the zeros of $a$.

The zeros of $a$ are at $z=i$ and $z=-i$ and have order one and so

$$
\mathscr{H}(q)=(z-i)(z+i) H^{2} \oplus \bigvee\left\{v_{1, i}^{0}, v_{1,-i}^{0}\right\},
$$

where the kernels can be computed directly as

$$
v_{1, i}^{0}(z)=\frac{1}{2 i}(z+i), \quad v_{1,-i}^{0}(z)=\frac{1}{2 i}(z-i) .
$$

Again, as in the previous example, $\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)=\mathscr{H}(q)$ and so

$$
\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)=(z-i)(z+i) H^{2}+\bigvee\{z+i, z-i\} .
$$

Example 5.3. Consider the function

$$
q(z)=\frac{1}{4}(z+1)^{2}
$$

and note that $q$ is outer and belongs to $\mathbf{b}\left(H^{\infty}\right)$. Following our FejerRiesz computations as in the previous example, note that

$$
1-\left|q\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|^{2}=-\frac{e^{-i t}}{4}-\frac{e^{i t}}{4}-\frac{1}{16} e^{-2 i t}-\frac{1}{16} e^{2 i t}+\frac{5}{8} .
$$

Define

$$
w(z)=-\frac{z^{2}}{16}-\frac{1}{16 z^{2}}-\frac{z}{4}-\frac{1}{4 z}+\frac{5}{8}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
s(z) & =z^{2} w(z) \\
& =-\frac{z^{4}}{16}-\frac{z^{3}}{4}+\frac{5 z^{2}}{8}-\frac{z}{4}-\frac{1}{16} \\
& =-\frac{1}{16}(-1+z)^{2}\left(1+6 z+z^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The zeros of $s$ are at $z=-1, z=-1, z=-3-2 \sqrt{2} \approx-5.82843, z=$ $-3+2 \sqrt{2} \approx-0.171573$. Notice how these roots occur in the pairs $\alpha, 1 / \bar{\alpha}$. The function $a$ is then

$$
a(z)=c(z-1)(z+3+2 \sqrt{2})
$$

for some appropriate constant $c$. There is one zero of $a$ at $z=1$ of multiplicity one and so

$$
\mathscr{H}(q)=(z-1) H^{2} \oplus \mathbb{C} v_{1,1}^{0}(z)
$$

where the kernel can be computed to be

$$
v_{1,1}^{0}(z)=\frac{z+3}{4} .
$$

As in our previous examples, note that

$$
\mathscr{H}\left(q^{r}\right)=(z-1) H^{2}+\mathbb{C}(z+3) .
$$

Notice that the $q$ from this example is the square of the $q$ from our first example and thus the corresponding spaces should be the same. Indeed a little algebra will show that

$$
(z-1) H^{2}+\mathbb{C}=(z-1) H^{2} \dot{+}(z+3) .
$$

Example 5.4. Reversing the roles of $a$ and $q$ in the preceding example:

$$
a(z)=\frac{1}{4}(z+1)^{2}, \quad q(z)=c(z-1)(z+3+2 \sqrt{2}),
$$

with suitable $c$ so that $\|q\|_{\infty}=1$ (the maximum modulus on $\mathbb{D}^{-}$being attained in -1 , one has $c=(4(1+\sqrt{2}))^{-1}$, and $q(-1)=-1$ corresponding to the normalization $q(0)>0)$, we obtain a function $a$ with double zero, and so

$$
\mathscr{H}(q)=(z+1)^{2} H^{2} \oplus \bigvee\left\{v_{1,-1}^{0}, v_{1,-1}^{1}\right\}
$$

where

$$
v_{1,-1}^{0}(z)=\frac{1-\overline{q(-1)} q(z)}{1-\overline{(-1)} z}=\frac{1+q(z)}{1+z}
$$

and using $q(-1)=-1$ as well as $q^{\prime}(z)=c(2+2 \sqrt{2})$ so that $q^{\prime}(-1)=$ $-1 / 2$, we have

$$
v_{1,-1}^{1}(z)=\frac{\frac{1}{2} q(z)(1+z)+z(1+q(z))}{(1+z)^{2}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{q(z)(1+3 z)+2 z}{(1+z)^{2}} .
$$

Example 5.5. Here is an example of a rational function:

$$
q(z)=\frac{3(z+1)}{2(z+2)} .
$$

Then, as it turns out,

$$
a(z)=c \frac{z-1}{z+2}
$$

which has a zero of order one at $z=1$. From here we get

$$
\mathscr{H}(q)=(z-1) H^{2} \oplus \mathbb{C} v_{1,1}^{0}(z)=(z-1) H^{2} \oplus \mathbb{C} \frac{1}{4+2 z}
$$
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