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## Introduction

Quasi algebraically closed fields, or $C_{1}$ fields, are defined in terms of a low degree condition :
Definition 0.1. The field $K$ is a $C_{1}$ field if every degree d hypersurface of the projective space $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{n}$ contains a $K$-rational point as soon as $d \leqslant n$.

The aim of this article is to define a notion of "low toric degree" generalizing this condition for hypersurfaces of simplicial projective toric varieties.
J.-L. Colliot-Thélène gives in CT09] a list of classical results of existence of rational points in various classes of algebraic varieties over given fields. The list ends on the following general question

Question 0.2. For a given type of field, does there exist a natural class of algebraic varieties having automatically a rationnal point over such a field? ${ }^{\top}$

For $C_{1}$ fields, Fano varieties seem to be good candidates for such a natural class, according to conjectures made by S. Lang and by Y.I. Manin and J. Kóllar (Lan00 and Man93, Rem. 2.6]). But during the years 2000, strong results suggested that a wider class should be considered as a better candidate: the class of proper smooth separably rationally connected varieties.

Roughly speaking a variety $X$ over a field $K$ is rationally connected if every pair of points of $X$ can be joined by a rational curve defined over the algebraic closure $\bar{K}$, see section 6 for precise definitions. The notion of rational connectedness was introduced independently by F. Campana and by J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori in 1992, naturally arising from Mori's program of classification of projective algebraic varieties as a good higher-dimensional analogue of rational curves and rational surfaces.

The motivating conjecture for the present work can be stated as follows.
Conjecture 0.3. Every proper smooth separably rationally connected variety over a $C_{1}$ field has a rational point.

Conjecture 0.3 has already been proved for almost every known type of $C_{1}$ field :

- For a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, by H. Esnault in Esn03. Her result is in fact much stronger since it needs only rational chain-connectedness (see subsection 6.2 for the definition).
- For a field of functions of a curve over an algebraically closed field $\bar{k}(C)$, by T. Graber, J. Harris, A.J. de Jong and J. Starr in GHS03 and dJS03. Their result is more geometric since it is stated in terms of sections of a fibration on the curve $C$.
- For a field of fractions of formal series with coefficients in an algebraically closed field $\bar{k}((t))$. This is a consequence of the previous case, see [CT09].

As there are not so much known $C_{1}$ fields (not any seems to have been discovered since S. Lang's Ph.D thesis Lan52]), the conjecture remains open essentially for the maximal unramified extension of the $p$-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n r}$.

Our approach of Conjecture 0.3 is somewhat orthogonal to the one of the articles cited above : instead of considering every (separably) rationally connected variety over a given $C_{1}$ field, as is done in those papers, we prove the conjecture only for hypersurfaces of a simplicial projective toric variety, but over an arbitrary $C_{1}$ field admitting normic forms of any degree, hence over every known $C_{1}$ field.

We proceed in two steps. First we define geometrically the notion of low toric degree for a hypersurface $D$ of a simplicial projective toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$ and show that it implies the existence of a rational point in the hypersurface $D$ when $X_{\Sigma}$ and $D$ are defined over a $C_{1}$ field. This takes the major part of the paper. Then we show that any smooth and separably rationally connected hypersurface of $X_{\Sigma}$ has low toric degree, yielding the following result :

Theorem (6.1). Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective toric variety defined over a $C_{1}$ field $K$ such that $K$ admits normic forms of arbitrary degree and the dense torus of $X_{\Sigma}$ is split over $K$. Let $D$ be a hypersurface of $X_{\Sigma}$ defined over $K$. If $D$ is smooth and separably rationally connected then it has low toric degree and in particular admits a rational point over $K$.

[^0]Along the article we define in fact three different notions of low toric degree. Roughly speaking the first is local, the second is global and the third is a combination of the two others, involving several birational modifications of the ambient variety $X_{\Sigma}$ and even some lower dimensional varieties in the case where $D$ is not a big divisor.

Let us give some details.

## Direct low toric degree

The first step in generalizing the low degree condition of Definition 0.1 was made by J. Kollár in Kol96]. It is a generalization to weighted projective ambient spaces of Theorem 4 in Lan52, providing a notion of low degree for any intersection of hypersurfaces :

Theorem 0.4 (Th. 6.7 p. 232 Kol96). Let $K$ be a $C_{1}$ field admitting normic forms of arbitrary degree. Let $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{k} \subset \mathbb{P}_{K}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ be hypersurfaces of respective (weighted) degrees $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}$. If

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{j}<\sum_{l=0}^{n} a_{l}
$$

then the intersection $H_{1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{k}$ has a rational point over $K$.
It is straightforward to show that this result also holds for a fake weighted projective space, that is, the quotient of a well formed one by a finite abelian group, provided it admits homogeneous coordinates (i.e. provided the order of the finite group is prime to the characteristic of $K$ in order for the GIT quotient construction of Cox to be well defined, see 1.2 . It thus defines a notion of low weighted degree for (intersections of) hypersurfaces of any complete simplicial toric variety of Picard number 1 with homogeneous coordinates.

We say that an effective divisor $D$ of a (normal separated) toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$ as direct low toric degree if there exists a complete simplicial toric subvariety $W \subset X_{\Sigma}$ of Picard number 1 such that the restriction $D_{\mid W}$ as low weighted degree in the sense of Theorem 0.4 .

The notion of direct low toric degree is a local notion since it involves nothing but a specific toric subvariety of the ambient $X_{\Sigma}$. In particular it does not require $X_{\Sigma}$ to be either simplicial or projective (and even not either complete).

One crucial observation is that complete simplicial toric subvarieties $W \subset X_{\Sigma}$ of Picard number 1 appear naturally as fibers of extremal contractions, i.e., contractions of an extremal ray of the Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ (see 1.5.1). Conversely every extremal ray of $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ is generated by the class of a line belonging to a family that covers such a fiber $W$. In particular (see Lemma 2.7) the divisor $D$ has direct low toric degree as soon as there is an extremal class $[C]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<C \cdot D<C \cdot L_{W} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{W}$ is any divisor whose restriction to $W$ is the anticanonical divisor $-K_{W}$.

## Global low toric degree

Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a complete simplicial toric variety with homogeneous coordinates (i.e. such that the order of the torsion part of the class group is prime to the characteristic of $K$, see 1.2. By Cox's construction $X_{\Sigma}$ is isomorphic to the good geometric quotient

$$
\left(\mathbb{A}^{\Sigma(1)} \backslash Z(\Sigma)\right) / / G
$$

where $\Sigma(1)$ is the set of 1-dimensional cones of the fan $\Sigma, G=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\operatorname{Cl}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right), \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$ is the group whose character group is the class group $\mathrm{Cl}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ and $Z(\Sigma)$ is the exceptional set (see 1.2 ).

In particular every hypersurface $D$ of $X_{\Sigma}$ admits a homogeneous equation : there exists a polynomial $f$ in the [ $D$ ]-homogeneous piece of the Cox ring $K\left[x_{\rho}, \rho \in \Sigma(1)\right.$ ] (which is graded by $\left.\operatorname{Cl}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\mathbf{V}(f):=\left\{\pi(x) \in X_{\Sigma} \mid f(x)=0\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi: \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma(1)} \backslash Z(\Sigma) \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ is the quotient by $G$.
With this setting, we can find $K$-rational points in $D$ as roots $\alpha \in K^{\Sigma(1)}$ of $f$ over $K$ that are not contained in the exceptional set $Z(\Sigma)$, so that $\pi(\alpha) \in D$.

On the one hand, since $f$ is homogeneous for the graduation by the class group $\mathrm{Cl}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$, it is also homogeneous for any graduation by $\mathbb{Z}$ induced by the intersection numbers of a given 1-cycle $C$ with toric divisors, i.e. defined by putting $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{\rho}\right)=m C \cdot D_{\rho}$ for a sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$.

It follows that by a suitable adaptation of Theorem 0.4 we can deduce the existence of a root $\alpha \in K^{\Sigma(1)}$ of $f$ from some low degree condition along a 1 -cycle $C$ of $X_{\Sigma}$, that is, from inequalities of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<C \cdot D<\sum_{\rho \in I} C \cdot D_{\rho} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C \cdot D_{\rho}>0$ for all $\rho \in I$ (Lemma 3.1).
On the other hand, to get a rational point we have to ensure that such a root is relevant, i.e., does not belong to $Z(\Sigma)$. This is a priori a quite restrictive condition since it requires all the zero coordinates of $\alpha$ to be contained in a single cone of $\Sigma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists \sigma \in \Sigma, \quad\left\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid \alpha_{\rho}=0\right\} \subset \sigma(1) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then distinguish three cases :
$1^{\text {st }}$ case The effective divisor $D$ is not Cartier and nef. Then it contains automatically a torusinvariant point, that is a $\mathbb{Z}$-rational point (Proposition 2.2). We may thus always assume that $D$ is a nef Cartier divisor.
$2^{\text {nd }}$ case The divisor $D$ is ample. If there is an inequality of the form (3) with $C$ effective, then writting the class $[C]$ as a linear combination of extremal classes with positive coefficient, we can deduce an inequality of the form (1) (Proposition 3.15), which implies that $D$ has direct low toric degree.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ case The divisor $D$ is Cartier and nef but not ample. In this case it is quite natural to consider the proper toric morphism $\phi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ contracting all the curves that have zero intersection with $D$. This presents the class $[D]$ as the pullback by $\phi$ of the class $[\bar{D}]$ of an ample divisor on $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$. The fan $\Sigma_{[D]}$ is obtained from $\Sigma$ by removing all the walls $\tau \in \Sigma(n-1)$ such that $C_{\tau} \cdot D=0$. In the particular case where $D$ is not big, this fan is degenerate, that is, there is a linear space $U_{0}$ contained in every cone of $\Sigma_{[D]}$. In this case we take $\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}$ as the quotient of $\Sigma_{[D]}$ by $U_{0}$ and the resulting variety $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ as dimension lower than $X_{\Sigma}$.
The point is that the projective variety $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ is deeply related to the Newton polytope of the homogeneous polynomial $f$ defining $D$ (see 1.3.3). The consequence (Proposition 3.5 is that a root $\alpha \in K^{\Sigma(1)}$ of $f$ yields a rational point of $D$ as soon as it does not belong to the exceptional set associated to $\Sigma_{[D]}$, that is as soon as we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists \sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{[D]}, \quad\left\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid \alpha_{\rho}=0\right\} \subset \sigma^{\prime} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $D$ has global low toric degree if there exist a 1-cycle $C$ on $X_{\Sigma}$ and a subset $I \subset \Sigma(1)$ such that inequalities (3) are verified and any root of $f$ given by Lemma 3.1 satisfies condition (5).

The name global low toric degree comes from the fact that the existence of a toric subvariety $V \subset X_{\Sigma}$ such that $D_{\mid V}$ has global low toric degree does not imply that $D$ itself has global low toric degree (unless $X_{\Sigma}$ is smooth).

## Low toric degree

The general notion of low toric degree comes as a combination of the two other notions. It is defined by three conditions whose equivalence constitutes the main result of the paper.

The two most important of these conditions are the following :
(1) The ample divisor $\bar{D}$ has direct low toric degree.
(2) There exists a sequence of blow-ups $\psi: X_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ such that $X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ is smooth and the divisor $\tilde{D}=\psi^{*} D$ has global low toric degree.
The proof relies entirely on the toric Minimal Model Program. In short :

- For the implication $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ we prove that any equivariant map between projective toric varieties that is surjective in codimension 1 , can be factored in a sequence of elementary flips followed by a sequence of divisorial contractions and then a sequence of extremal fibrations. This is used to lift low toric degree conditions from $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ to $X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$.
- For the reverse implication we run a logarithmic MMP relative to the variety $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$, choosing the boundary divisor in such a way that, on the minimal model, the techniques we use in the ample case can be applied. This yields a direct low toric degree on this model, which can be pushed down to $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$.

Here is the outline of the paper :
We begin by reviewing in Section 1 known results of toric geometry, with some explanations on how they apply over an arbitrary field.

In Section 2 we show that a divisor $D$ has automatically rational points over any field if it is not Cartier and nef. Then we define the notion of direct low toric degree and show that it implies the existence of rational points over $C_{1}$ fields. We also point out its relation to low intersection numbers with extremal curves.

We define the notion of global low toric degree in Section 3, giving some of its important properties, notably the fact that it implies the existence of rational points over $C_{1}$ fields and its behavior under birational equivariant maps surjective in codimension 1.

Section 4 contains the statement and the proof of the main result of the article yielding the general definition of low toric degree, together with the proof that it ensures the existence of rational points over $C_{1}$ fields.

In Section 5 we state some general and easy to handle conditions that are equivalent to low toric degree. Then we discuss briefly the interpretation of low degree conditions in terms of proximity to the boarder of classical cones of divisor classes such as the nef cone or the effective cone.

Finally in Section 6 we prove that hypersurfaces that are smooth and separably rationally connected have low toric degree, proving that Conjecture 0.3 holds for hypersurfaces of simplicial projective toric varieties.

## Aknowledgements

This work began during the author's Ph.D Thesis and was finished with the support of the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Cientfico e Tecnolgico (CNPq) - Brasil.

The author wishes to thank M. Perret for the beautiful subject and the help all along the Ph.D, S. Lamy for helpful conversations about the geometric meaning of the earlier results and C. Araujo for her suggestions and help during the final period of this work.

## 1 Preliminaries

### 1.1 General setting : Toric varieties over a $C_{1}$ field

In this article, we work over an arbitrary $C_{1}$ field $K$. The only additional hypothesis we make on $K$ is satisfied by every known $C_{1}$ field :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \text { admits normic forms of arbitrary degree. } \tag{H1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A normic form of degree $d$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $d$ variables without non trivial root. The necessity of this hypothesis is clear from the proof of Theorem 0.4 (reproduced in the proof of Corollary 2.4). Basic facts on $C_{1}$, and more generally $C_{i}$ fields, can be found in Lan52] or Wit10, Ch.1].

Many aspects of toric geometry can be studied regardless of the choice a particular ground field. This is what is done for instance by V. Danilov in the classical Dan78. However most references among which the (also very classical) Ful93 and CLS11 work exclusively over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. In fact, a normal separated toric variety $X$ defined over a field $K$ can be handled almost in the same way as in the complex case as soon as the following hypothesis is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { The dense torus } T \subset X \text { acting on } X \text { is split over } K \text {. } \tag{H2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that we have the isomorphism $T \simeq_{K} \mathbb{G}_{m}^{n}$ over $K$, where $n$ is the dimension of $X$. Indeed in this case the usual construction of $X$ as a gluing of toric affine varieties work as well as if $K$ were algebraically closed (see CLS11, Ch. 3]). More specifically this hypothesis is a necessary and sufficient condition for the character group $M$ of $T$ and its group of 1-parameter subgroups $N$ to be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Such a toric variety is said to be split over $K$. For a discussion of what happens when the torus is not split, see Hur11 and ELST10.

Throughout the article, by a toric variety we mean a normal separated split toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$ defined by a fan $\Sigma$ contained in the real span $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ of the lattice $N$. We fix an isomorphism $T \simeq_{K} \mathbb{G}_{m}^{n}$, inducing another $T_{\bar{K}} \simeq\left(\bar{K}^{*}\right)^{n}$ over the algebraic closure of $K$. This in turn induces an isomorphism $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ given by $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \leftrightarrow\left(\chi^{m}:\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \mapsto t_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots t_{n}^{m_{n}}\right.$. By abuse we identify $M$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and write $m=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right) \in M$.

The fan $\Sigma$ is contained in the space $N_{\mathbb{R}}=N \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $k=1, \ldots, n$, we denote by $\Sigma(k)$ the set of $k$-dimensional cones of $\Sigma$. To each cone $\gamma \in \Sigma(k)$ corresponds a toric subvariety $\mathbf{V}(\gamma)$ that is a subvariety of dimension $n-k$ invariant under the action of $T$. In particular $D_{\rho}$ denotes the toric divisor associated to $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ and $C_{\tau}$ denotes the toric curve associated to $\tau \in \Sigma(n-1)$. The minimal generator of the 1-dimensional cone $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$, is denoted by $u_{\rho}$.

The class group of $X_{\Sigma}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Cl}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)=A^{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ and the space of numerical classes of divisors by $N^{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)=A^{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. By duality the group of numerical classes of integral curves is denoted by $A_{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ and the corresponding real space is $N_{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)=A_{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

### 1.2 Homogeneous coordinates

Giving an algebro-geometric meaning of earlier analytic results of Audin, Delzant and Kerwan, D. Cox published in 1995 the famous article Cox95 showing that any (normal separated) complex toric variety is naturally isomorphic to a GIT quotient, this quotient being geometric if and only if the variety is simplicial.

It turns out that this result holds in full generality over an arbitrary field of characteristic zero and also in characteristic $p$ for toric varieties satisfying the following additional hypothesis :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { The order of the torsion part of the class group } \mathrm{Cl}(X) \text { is prime to } p . \tag{H3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us give some details.
D. Cox showed in Cox95 that a simplicial toric variety is isomorphic to the good geometric quotient

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{A}^{\Sigma(1)} \backslash Z(\Sigma)\right) / / G \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Z(\Sigma)=\mathbf{V}\left(x^{\hat{\sigma}} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\right)
$$

is the exceptional set, that is the affine variety defined by the annulation of every monomial of the form $x^{\hat{\sigma}}=\prod_{\rho \notin \sigma(1)} x_{\rho}$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma$, and

$$
G=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{Cl}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right), \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)
$$

is the group acting on $\mathbb{A}^{\Sigma(1)} \backslash Z(\Sigma)$ whose character group is the class group $\mathrm{Cl}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ (see CLS11, Ch. 5]). In fact, the original proof of the existence of such a quotient (Th. 2.1 of Cox95) relies on the general Theorem 1.1 of J. Fogarty and D. Mumford's Geometric Invariant Theory ([FM82]) and its amplification 1.3. These results only depend on the reductivity of the group $G$ by which we quotient. If we denote by $F$ the torsion part of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$, we have $\mathrm{Cl}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{s-n} \times F$, where $s$ is the cardinality of $\Sigma(1)$ and $n$ the dimension of $X_{\Sigma}$, so that

$$
G \simeq\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}\right)^{s-n} \times \operatorname{Hom}\left(F, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right) .
$$

The factor on the left is of course a reductive torus. The one on the right is a finite group scheme and hence is reductive as soon as (H3) is verified.

Under the hypotheses (H2) and (H3), subvarieties of $X_{\Sigma}$ are thus given by homogeneous ideals of the total coordinate ring (or Cox ring) of $X_{\Sigma}$, which is the polynomial algebra generated over $K$ by one variable for each 1-dimensional cone $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$, and graded by the class group :

$$
S=K\left[x_{\rho}, \rho \in \Sigma(1)\right]=\bigoplus_{\beta \in \operatorname{Cl}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)} S_{\beta} .
$$

In particular, any hypersurface $D$ is the zero locus in $X_{\Sigma}$ of a polynomial $f$ belonging to the homogeneous piece $S_{[D]}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\mathbf{V}(f):=\left\{\pi(x) \in X_{\Sigma} \mid f(x)=0\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi: \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma(1)} \backslash Z(\Sigma) \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ is the good geometric quotient.
In this setting, our results give criteria of existence of rational points of the form $\pi(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in K^{\Sigma(1)}$ a root of $f$ which is not in the exceptional set $Z(\Sigma)$, that is to say such that the set $\left\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid \alpha_{\rho}=0\right\}$ generates a cone of the simplicial fan $\Sigma$.

### 1.3 Projective toric varieties, divisors and polytopes

The fan $\Sigma$ defining a projective toric variety is always the normal fan of a lattice polytope $P$. This means that the cones of $\Sigma$ are generated by the inner normal facets of $P$. In this case we write $\Sigma=\Sigma_{P}$. Such fans are said to be strongly polytopal.

In this subsection we review some results from CLS11, Ch.2,4,5 and 6] linking lattice polytopes with projective toric varieties and their divisors.

### 1.3.1 Polytopes and projective toric varieties

At a very basic level, projective toric varieties are easy to construct out of lattice polytopes since they appear as Zariski closures of the image of a map defined by such a polytope. Let us recall some of the important results of CLS11, Ch. 2].

Let $P \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a full dimensional convex polytope with vertices in the character group $M$ of the $n$-dimensional torus $T$, and let $P \cap M=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{s}\right\}$ be the set of all lattice points contained in $P$. Suppose, for beginning, that $P$ is normal, which means that for all $k \geqslant 1$ we have

$$
k P \cap M=\underbrace{P \cap M+\cdots+P \cap M}_{k \text { times }}
$$

We have a map

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\Phi: & T & \rightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{s-1} \\
& \left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) & \mapsto & \left(\chi^{m_{1}}, \ldots, \chi^{m_{s}}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

And the Zariski closure $Y_{P \cap M}=\overline{\Phi(T)}$ of the image of $\Phi$ is a projective toric variety having $\Phi(T) \simeq T$ as dense open subset.

Now consider the normal fan $\Sigma_{P}$ of the polytope $P$. It is the fan whose maximal cones are the dual cones $C_{v}^{\vee}$ where

$$
C_{v}=\operatorname{Cone}(P \cap M-v), \quad v \text { vertex of } P
$$

The toric variety $X_{\Sigma_{P}}$ defined from this fan is (isomorphic to) $Y_{P \cap M}$.
In fact, even in the case where the full dimensional polytope $P$ is not a normal polytope, its mutiples $k P$ are normal for all $k \geqslant n-1$. The toric variety associated to $P$ in this case is therefore

$$
X_{P}:=X_{\Sigma_{P}} \simeq Y_{(n-1) P \cap M}
$$

Note that since, by Sumihiro's Theorem, any (normal separated) toric variety comes from a fan (CLS11, Cor. 3.1.8]), it follows that any such variety comes from a full dimensional lattice polytope as soon as it is projective. But still, several polytopes may have the same normal fan and hence correpond to the same projective toric variety.

### 1.3.2 Polytopes and torus-invariant divisors

Let $D=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho} D_{\rho}$ be a torus-invariant divisor on a complete simplicial toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$. For each 1-dimensional cone $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$, the inequality $\left\langle m_{\sigma}(D), u_{\rho}\right\rangle \geqslant-a_{\rho}$ defines an affine half space of $M_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the intersection of which gives the convex polytope

$$
P_{D}:=\left\{m \in M \mid\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle \geqslant-a_{\rho} \quad \text { for all } \rho \in \Sigma(1)\right\}
$$

This polytopes characterizes the linear series of $D$ since for any other torus-invariant divisor $D^{\prime}=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} b_{\rho} D_{\rho}$, we have

$$
D^{\prime} \text { is effective and } D^{\prime} \in[D] \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists m \in P_{D}, D^{\prime}=D+\operatorname{div}\left(\chi^{m}\right)
$$

where $[D]$ denotes the linear equivalence class of $D$ and $\operatorname{div}\left(\chi^{m}\right)=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)}\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle D_{\rho}$ is the divisor of the character $\chi^{m}, m \in M$.

Since $\Sigma$ is simplicial, for each $n$-dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$ the system of linear equations

$$
\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle=-a_{\rho}, \rho \in \sigma(1)
$$

has a unique solution denoted $m_{\sigma}(D)$.

The set $\left\{m_{\sigma}(D) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma(n)\right\}$ is called the Cartier data of the torus invariant divisor $D$ because $D$ is a Cartier divisor if and only if all the $m_{\sigma}(D)$ are lattice points in $M$.

Indeed for each $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$ the fact that $m_{\sigma}(D)$ is a character of $T$ is equivalent to the existence of a torus-invariant divisor

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\sigma}=D+\operatorname{div}\left(\chi^{m_{\sigma}}\right)=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho}^{\sigma} D_{\rho}, \text { with } a_{\rho}^{\sigma}=a_{\rho}+\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle=0 \text { for all } \rho \in \sigma(1), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that the linear equivalence class is trivial on the toric affine open $U_{\sigma}$, and this happens for all $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$ if and only if $D$ is locally principal. Note that the divisors $D^{\sigma}$ are uniquely determined by the class $[D]$, we call them local representatives of $[D]$.

Let us suppose that $D=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho} D_{\rho}$ is a Cartier divisor. By definition, the characters $m_{\sigma}(D)$ lie at the intersection of $n=\operatorname{dim}\left(M_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ supporting hyperplanes of the polytope $P_{D}$. Hence $m_{\sigma}(D)$ belongs to $P_{D}$ if and only if it is one of its vertices, and this happens for all $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$ if and only if all the local representatives $D^{\sigma}$ are effective. Moreover the basepoints of $D$ are necessarily torus-invariant points, that is points of the form $\gamma_{\sigma}=\bigcap_{\rho \in \sigma(1)} D_{\rho}$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$. Such a point is by definition avoided by the support of the local representative $D^{\sigma}$. It follows that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D}=\operatorname{Conv}\left(m_{\sigma}(D), \sigma \in \Sigma(n)\right) \Leftrightarrow \forall \sigma \in \Sigma(n), D^{\sigma} \geqslant 0 \Leftrightarrow D \text { is basepoint free. } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

And when $D$ is base point free we have (see CLS11, 6.1] for a proof)

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\sigma}(D) \neq m_{\sigma^{\prime}}(D) \text { for } \sigma \neq \sigma^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow D^{\sigma} \neq D^{\sigma^{\prime}} \text { for } \sigma \neq \sigma^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow D \text { is ample. } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, for $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$, the character $m_{\sigma}$ is the only one verifying for all $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$

$$
\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle \geqslant-a_{\rho}, \quad \text { with equality if and only if } \rho \in \sigma(1)
$$

If follows on the one hand that the normal fan $\Sigma_{P_{D}}$ is the starting fan $\Sigma$. Indeed, its maximal cones $C_{m_{\sigma}}^{\vee}$ verify for all $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\rho} \in C_{\sigma}^{\vee} & \Leftrightarrow \forall m \in \operatorname{Cone}\left(P \cap M-m_{\sigma}\right),\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle \geqslant 0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow \forall p \in P,\left\langle p, u_{\rho}\right\rangle \geqslant\left\langle m_{\sigma}, u_{\rho}\right\rangle=-a_{\rho} \Leftrightarrow u_{\rho} \in \sigma(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the coefficients of $D$ may be recovered from the polytope $P_{D}$ by the formula

$$
a_{\rho}=-\min _{m \in P_{D}}\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle \quad \text { for all } \rho \in \Sigma(1)
$$

Finally it turns out that full dimensional lattice polytopes parameterize the set of pairs formed by a projective toric variety and a torus invariant ample divisor on it :

Theorem 1.1 ([CLS11] Th. 6.2.1). The sets

$$
\left\{\left(X_{\Sigma}, D\right) \mid \Sigma \text { is a complete fan in } N_{\mathbb{R}}, \text { Dis a torus-invariant ample divisor on } X_{\Sigma}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left\{P \subset M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \text { Pis a full dimensional lattice polytope }\right\}
$$

are in one-to-one correspondence by the inverse maps

$$
\left(X_{\Sigma}, D\right) \mapsto P_{D} \quad \text { and } \quad P \mapsto\left(X_{P}, D_{P}\right)
$$

where $D_{P}$ is the torus invariant divisor $\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma_{P}(1)}\left(-\min _{m \in P}\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle\right) D_{\rho}$.

### 1.3.3 Polytopes and basepoint free divisors

When we have a couple $\left(X_{\Sigma}, D\right)$ where $D=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho} D_{\rho}$ is a torus-invariant Cartier divisor which is not ample but only nef (which in this setting is equivalent to basepoint free), applying the inverse maps of Theorem 1.1 we get a new couple $\left(X_{\bar{\Sigma}}, \bar{D}\right)$ where $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ is a projective variety and $\bar{D}$ an ample divisor on it, together with a toric morphism $\phi: X_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ such that $D$ is linearly equivalent to the pullback $\phi^{*} \bar{D}$. Let us give more details.

As seen in (9) and (10), when the torus-invariant Cartier divisor $D$ is nef but not ample, the elements of the Cartier data $\left\{m_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma(n)\right\}$ are still the vertices of the polytope $P_{D}$ but they are not disctinct anymore. In this case the maximal cones of the normal fan $\Sigma_{P_{D}}$ are unions of maximal cones of $\Sigma([$ CLS11, Prop. 6.2.5] $)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{v}^{\vee}=\bigcup_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma(n) \\ m_{\sigma}=v}} \sigma \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It may happen (when $D$ is not big) that the lattice polytope $P_{D}$ fail to be full dimensional which means that the $C_{v}^{\vee}$ are not strongly convex cones. In this case we say that $\Sigma_{P_{D}}$ is a degenerate fan. All the cones of $\Sigma_{P_{D}}$ contain a linear space $\sigma_{0}$ called the minimal cone of the degenerate fan (it is the orthogonal of the span of $P_{D}$ ).

If we put $N_{0}=\sigma_{0} \cap N$ then the linear map

$$
\bar{\phi}: N \longrightarrow \bar{N}=N / N_{0}
$$

is compatible with the fan $\Sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the nondegenerate fan

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Sigma}=\left\{\bar{\sigma}:=\sigma / \sigma_{0} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_{P_{D}}\right\} \subset \bar{N}_{\mathbb{R}}=N_{\mathbb{R}} / \sigma_{0} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words the fan $\Sigma$ refines the possibly degenerate fan $\Sigma_{P_{D}}$ and hence we have a toric morphism between $X_{\Sigma}$ and the projective toric variety associated to $\Sigma_{P_{D}}$ (after having got rid of the degeneracy).

Here is the precise result :
Theorem 1.2 (CLS11] Th. 6.2.8). Let $D$ be a basepoint free Cartier divisor on a complete toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$, and let $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ be the toric variety of the fan $\bar{\Sigma} \subset \bar{N}=N / N_{0}$ defined by (12). Then the linear map $\bar{\phi}: N \longrightarrow \bar{N}$ induces a proper toric morphism

$$
\phi: X_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}
$$

Furthermore, $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ is a projective toric variety and $D$ is linearly equivalent to the pullback of an ample divisor $\bar{D}$ on $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$.

Note that the couple $\left(X_{\bar{\Sigma}}, \bar{D}\right)$ is given by the second map of Theorem 1.1 applied to $P_{D}$ seen as a full dimensional lattice polytope in its real span.

### 1.3.4 Polytopes and linear series (Newton polytopes)

Let us first remark that the fans $\Sigma_{P_{D}}$ and $\bar{\Sigma}$ do not depend on the precise torus-invariant divisor $D$, but only on its linear equivalence class $[D]$. Indeed, starting with another torus invariant divisor $D^{\prime} \in[D]$ in the same class would give a polytope $P_{D^{\prime}}$ equal to the translate of $P_{D}$ by the only character $m^{\prime} \in M$ such that

$$
D-D^{\prime}=\operatorname{div}\left(m^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)}\left\langle m^{\prime}, u_{\rho}\right\rangle D_{\rho}
$$

and hence give the same normal fan $\Sigma_{P_{D^{\prime}}}=\Sigma_{P_{D}}$. The same is true for the nondegenerate fan $\bar{\Sigma}$ and since they do not depend on the choice of a representative of $[D]$, we will denote them by

$$
\Sigma_{[D]}=\Sigma_{P_{D}} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}=\bar{\Sigma}
$$

This explains why in Theorem 1.2 the divisor $D$ is not supposed to be torus-invariant : we can start with any nef Cartier divisor $D$ up to choose a torus-invariant one $D^{\prime} \in[D]$ in the same class (which is always possible, see next subsection).

The following result shows that we can even define a "canonical model" of the polytopes $P_{D^{\prime}}$ for $D^{\prime} \in[D]$, depending only on the class $[D]$.

Lemma-Definition 1.3. Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ be a Weil divisor and $D^{\prime}=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho}^{\prime} D_{\rho} \in[D]$ be a torus invariant divisor in the class of $D$. The map

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{D^{\prime}}: M_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\Sigma(1)}, \quad m \longmapsto\left(\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle+a_{\rho}^{\prime}\right)_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

embeds $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ as an affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{\Sigma(1)}$.
The image $P_{[D]}:=h_{D^{\prime}}\left(P_{D^{\prime}}\right)$ of the convex polytope $P_{D^{\prime}}$ by this map is independent of the choice of $D^{\prime} \in[D]$ and we have

$$
P_{[D]}=h_{D^{\prime}}(M) \cap \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\Sigma(1)},
$$

Proof - It is Exercice 5.4.2 of [CLS11. The map $h_{D^{\prime}}$ is injective because $\Sigma$ is full dimensional, so that

$$
\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle=\left\langle m^{\prime}, u_{\rho}\right\rangle \text { for all } \rho \in \Sigma(1) \quad \Rightarrow m=m^{\prime} .
$$

The equality comes from the equivalence

$$
m \in P_{D^{\prime}} \Leftrightarrow \forall \rho \in \Sigma(1),\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle \geqslant-a_{\rho}^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow \forall \rho \in \Sigma(1), h_{D^{\prime}}(m)_{\rho} \geqslant 0
$$

In order to prove the independence let us consider another torus invariant divisor $D^{\prime \prime}=$ $\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho}^{\prime \prime} D_{\rho}$ in $[D]$. There exists a character $m^{\prime \prime}$ such that

$$
D^{\prime}-D^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{div}\left(\chi^{m^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)}\left\langle m^{\prime \prime}, u_{\rho}\right\rangle D_{\rho},
$$

that is $a_{\rho}^{\prime}=a_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}+\left\langle m^{\prime \prime}, u_{\rho}\right\rangle$ for all $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$. In particular for all $m \in M$ we have

$$
h_{D^{\prime \prime}}(m)=\left(\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle+a_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)}=\left(\left\langle m-m^{\prime \prime}, u_{\rho}\right\rangle+a_{\rho}^{\prime}\right)_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)}=h_{D^{\prime}}\left(m-m^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
m \in P_{D^{\prime \prime}} & \Leftrightarrow \forall \rho \in \Sigma(1),\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle \geqslant-a_{\rho}^{\prime \prime} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \forall \rho \in \Sigma(1),\left\langle m-m^{\prime \prime}, u_{\rho}\right\rangle \geqslant-a_{\rho}^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow m-m^{\prime \prime} \in P_{D^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{D^{\prime \prime}}\left(P_{D^{\prime \prime}}\right) & =\left\{h_{D^{\prime \prime}}(m) \mid m \in P_{D^{\prime \prime}}\right\}=\left\{h_{D^{\prime}}\left(m-m^{\prime \prime}\right) \mid m-m^{\prime \prime} \in P_{D^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& =\left\{h_{D^{\prime}}\left(m^{\prime}\right) \mid m^{\prime} \in P_{D^{\prime}}\right\}=h_{D^{\prime}}\left(P_{D^{\prime}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now make the link with homogeneous coordinates on $X_{\Sigma}$. In [CLS11, 5.4] the authors call $D^{\prime}$-homogeneization the process associating to a given character $\chi^{m}$ of the torus $T \subset X_{\Sigma}$, the character of the big torus $T^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma(1)}$ (or the Laurent monomial)

$$
x^{h_{D^{\prime}}(m)}=\prod_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} x_{\rho}^{\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle+a_{\rho}} \in K\left(x_{\rho}, \rho \in \Sigma(1)\right)
$$

where $D^{\prime}=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho} D_{\rho}$.
This provides a nice description of the set of monomials that may appear in the homogeneous polynomial defining an effective divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$. Let us introduce some notations :

Notation 1.4. Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ be an effective Weil divisor. We denote by

$$
\mathcal{A}_{[D]}=\left\{\left(a_{\rho}\right)_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Sigma(1)} \mid \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho} D_{\rho} \in[D]\right\}
$$

so that we have

$$
S_{[D]}=\bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{A}_{[D]}} K x^{a}
$$

where $x^{a}$ denotes the monomial $\prod_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} x_{\rho}^{a_{\rho}}$ for $a=\left(a_{\rho}\right)_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Sigma(1)}$.
The crucial fact is that the monomials generating the graded piece $S_{[D]}$ are exactly the $D^{\prime}$-homogeneizations of the characters belonging to $P_{D}^{\prime}$ for any torus-invariant divisor $D^{\prime}$ in the class $[D]$ (see [CLS11, Prop. 5.4.1]). This means that the elements of $\mathcal{A}_{[D]}$ are exactly the lattice points contained in $P_{[D]}$ :

$$
\mathcal{A}_{[D]}=P_{[D]} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}=P_{[D]} \cap \mathbb{N}^{\Sigma(1)}
$$

it follows that for any homogeneous polynomial $f \in S_{[D]}$, the Newton polytope $\Delta_{f}$ of $f$ is contained in the polytope $P_{[D]}$.

Finally let us assume that $D$ is a nef and Cartier divisor. Since for any torus-invariant $D^{\prime} \in[D]$ we have $P_{D}=\operatorname{Conv}\left(m_{\sigma}(D), \sigma \in \Sigma(n)\right)$ in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$, in $\mathbb{R}^{\Sigma(1)}$ we have

$$
P_{[D]}=\operatorname{Conv}\left(a^{\sigma}([D]), \sigma \in \Sigma(n)\right) \text { where } a^{\sigma}([D])=h_{D^{\prime}}\left(m_{\sigma}\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

In particular since each $a^{\sigma}([D])$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{[D]}$ and $P_{[D]}$ is a lattice polytope : it is the Newton polytope of the general member of the graded piece $S_{[D]}$. Notice that for each $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$, the vertex $a^{\sigma}([D])=\left(a_{\rho}^{\sigma}\right)_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)}$ of $P_{[D]}$ is the coefficient vectors of the local representative of $[D]$

$$
D^{\sigma}=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho}^{\sigma} D_{\rho}
$$

In particular we have $a_{\rho}^{\sigma}=0$ for all $\rho \in \sigma(1)$ and $a^{\sigma}([D])$ is the unique element of $\mathcal{A}_{[D]}$ satisfying these vanishings.

### 1.4 Toric intersection theory

Computing intersections on a normal toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$ is made quite easy by the fact that the Chow homology group $A_{k}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ of classes of $k$-cycles on $X_{\Sigma}$ is generated by the classes $[\mathbf{V}(\gamma)$ ] of toric subvarieties of dimension $k(\gamma \in \Sigma(n-k))$. Most of the computations thus reduce to combinatorics in the fan and linear algebra.

### 1.4.1 Chow groups

The identification of the Chow cohomology group $A^{k}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ with $A_{n-k}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$, standard in the smooth case, can be extended to the simplicial case up to tensorize by $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{R}$, which clears the torsion and yields vector spaces of numerical classes. In particular we put:

$$
N_{k}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right):=A_{k}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}, \quad N^{k}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right):=A^{k}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}=N_{n-k}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)
$$

The class group is computed through the following exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{h_{0}} \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)} \xrightarrow{\text { deg }} A^{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)=\mathrm{Cl}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $h_{0}(m)=\left(\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle\right)_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)}$ and $\operatorname{deg}(D)=[D]$.

Tensoring by $\mathbb{R}$, this gives

$$
0 \longrightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{h_{0}} \mathbb{R}^{\Sigma(1)} \xrightarrow{\text { deg }} N^{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

and taking the dual sequence we get

$$
0 \longrightarrow N_{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{deg}^{*}} \mathbb{R}^{\Sigma(1)} \xrightarrow{h_{0}^{*}} N_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}^{*}([C])=\left(C \cdot D_{\rho}\right)_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)}$ and $h_{0}^{*}\left(\left(b_{\rho}\right)_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)}\right)=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} b_{\rho} u_{\rho}$.
This last exact sequence identifies the space of numerical classes of 1-cycles $N_{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ with the space of linear relations between minimal generators of the 1 -cones of $\Sigma$. We will often consider the numerical classe $[C]$ as given by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}^{*}\left(\operatorname{deg}^{*}([C])\right)=0, \quad \text { i.e. } \quad \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} C \cdot D_{\rho} u_{\rho}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.4.2 Intersection numbers

The exact sequences of the previous paragraph show that the numerical classes of divisors (resp. curves) are determined by their intersection numbers with the toric curves (resp. divisors).

In particular for curves we introduce the following notations :
Notation 1.5. $J_{C}^{ \pm}=\left\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid C \cdot D_{\rho} \gtrless 0\right\}$ and $J_{C}=J_{C}^{+} \cup J_{C}^{-}$.
The intersection matrix $\left(C_{\tau} \cdot D_{\rho}\right)_{\tau \in \Sigma(n-1), \rho \in \Sigma(1)}$ is easily computed using the relation 14 and the fact that for a couple $(\tau, \rho) \in \Sigma(n-1) \times \Sigma(1)$ such that $\rho \nprec \tau$ we have

$$
C_{\tau} \cdot D_{\rho}= \begin{cases}\frac{\operatorname{mult}(\tau)}{\operatorname{mult}(\sigma)} & \text { if } \tau+\rho=\sigma \in \Sigma(n)  \tag{15}\\ 0 & \text { if } \tau+\rho \notin \Sigma\end{cases}
$$

where the multiplicity of a cone $\alpha=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right) \in \Sigma(k)$ is the index of the lattice generated by its minimal generators $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}$ in the lattice $N_{\alpha}$ equal to the intersection of $N$ with the real span of $\alpha$ :

$$
\operatorname{mult}(\alpha)=\left[N_{\alpha}: \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z} u_{i}\right]
$$

Since we assume $\Sigma$ to be simplicial and complete, each wall $\tau \in \Sigma(n-1)$ is the intersection of two $n$-dimensional cones $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma(n)$. Then it follows from 15 that $J_{C_{\tau}} \subset \sigma(1) \cup \sigma^{\prime}(1)$ and there is a unique relation between the elements of $\left\{u_{\rho} \mid \rho \in J_{C_{\tau}}\right\}$ up to a real multiple. It follows that, knowing this line of relations, the numerical class $\left[C_{\tau}\right]$ is determined by any of the two numbers $C_{\tau} \cdot D_{\rho}$ for $\rho \in \sigma(1) \cup \sigma^{\prime}(1) \backslash \tau(1)$.

### 1.4.3 Pushforwards and pullbacks

Pushforwards and pullbacks of cycle classes by toric morphisms can be described explicitly in terms of fans and linear algebra. However the general formulas are rather complicated (see Par93 and FS97]).

A toric morphism $\varphi: X_{\Sigma_{1}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_{2}}$ is a morphism between toric varieties whose restriction to the open tori is a morphism of algebraic groups. In particular to such a morphism corresponds a $\mathbb{Z}$ - linear map $\bar{\varphi}: N_{1} \rightarrow N_{2}$ that is compatible with the fans $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$, that is such that for any cone $\sigma_{1} \in \Sigma_{1}$, there is a cone $\sigma_{2} \in \Sigma_{2}$ containing the image $\bar{\varphi}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$.

Similarly a rational map is called equivariant if it induces a morphism of tori. The most important of such maps in what follows are those inducing a surjective linear map on the space of divisor classes, they are said to be surjective in codimension 1.

We essentially use the properties of pushforwards and pullbacks by birational maps surjective in codimension 1: Let $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ be complete simplicial fans in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\Sigma^{\prime}(1) \subset \Sigma(1)$. Let $\xi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ be the birational equivariant map induced by the identity of $N$ (i.e. whose restriction to the open tori is the identity). If we denote respectively by $D_{\rho}$ and $D_{\rho}^{\prime}$ the toric divisor associated to $\rho \in \Sigma^{\prime}(1)$, then the image $\xi_{*} D_{\rho}$ is $D_{\rho}^{\prime}$ for all $\rho \in \Sigma^{\prime}(1)$. This induces a pushforward map between the groups of torus-invariant divisors as well as a pushforward map $\xi_{*}: N^{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \rightarrow N^{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}^{\prime}\right)$ for numerical classes.

By duality we can deduce a pullback map for numerical classes of curves. It is called numerical pullback of curves, was introduced by Batyrev in Bat92 in a more general context, and have been neatly described by C. Araujo in Ara10.

Definition 1.6. The numerical pullback of curves $\xi_{n u m}^{*}: N_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow N_{1}(X)$ is the dual linear map of $\xi_{*}: N^{1}(X) \rightarrow N^{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. It is the unique injective linear map verifying the following projection formula :

$$
\xi_{n u m}^{*}\left[C^{\prime}\right] \cdot[D]=\left[C^{\prime}\right] \cdot \xi_{*}[D] \quad \text { for all }\left[C^{\prime}\right] \in N_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \text { and }[D] \in N^{1}(X)
$$

In the toric context, the numerical pullback of curves can be expressed by means of relations between the minimal generators of the fans rays : $\xi_{\text {num }}^{*}\left(\left[C^{\prime}\right]\right)$ is characterized by the equalities

$$
\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} \xi_{n u m}^{*}\left[C^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}\right] u_{\rho}=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma^{\prime}(1)}\left[C^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}^{\prime}\right] u_{\rho}=0
$$

In particular when $[C]=\xi_{n u m}^{*}\left[C^{\prime}\right]$ we have $J_{C}^{ \pm}=J_{C^{\prime}}^{ \pm}$.
Pullbacks of divisor classes are easy to describe in terms of the corresponding support functions, which we do not address here. The interested reader may refer to [CLS11, 6.1 and 6.2]. We just mention that the pullback map $\xi^{*}: N^{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow N^{1}(X)$ is a section of the pushforward $\xi_{*}: N^{1}(X) \rightarrow N^{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ : it is injective and the composition $\xi^{*} \circ \xi_{*}$ is the identity on $N^{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$.

The pushforward of curve classes may either be defined directly of by duality. In particular it can be described in terms of relations between minimal generators (see next subsection).

Notice that the projection formula holds :

$$
\xi_{*}[C] \cdot\left[D^{\prime}\right]=[C] \cdot \xi^{*}\left[D^{\prime}\right] \quad \text { for all }[C] \in N_{1}(X) \text { and }\left[D^{\prime}\right] \in N^{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) .
$$

### 1.4.4 Intersections in a toric subvariety

The notions of low toric degree we define in the following sections are based on inequalities between intersection numbers. Since they are designed to ensure the existence of a rational point in a divisor $D$, one would expect they obey the principle :

For any subvariety $V \subset X_{\Sigma}, D_{\mid V}$ has low degree $\Rightarrow D$ has low degree.
It turns out that it is not that simple because for a toric subvariety $V(\gamma) \subset X_{\Sigma}, \gamma \in \Sigma$ the intersection numbers computed in $V(\gamma)$ and the ones computed in $X_{\Sigma}$ do not always coincide. Let us explicit the relation between them.

First let us denote by $N_{\gamma}=N \cap \operatorname{Span} \gamma$ the maximal sublattice of $N$ contained in the vector space spanned by the cone $\gamma$, by $N(\gamma)=N / N_{\gamma}$ the quotient and by $\pi: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow N(\gamma)_{\mathbb{R}}=$ $N(\gamma) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ the surjection between the spanned vector spaces. Then $V(\gamma)$ is the toric variety defined by the fan

$$
\operatorname{Star}(\gamma)=\{\pi(\sigma) \mid \gamma \preceq \sigma \in \Sigma\}
$$

in $N(\gamma)_{\mathbb{R}}$.
The 1-dimensional cones of $\operatorname{Star}(\gamma)$ are the images through $\pi$ of the 1-dimensional cones of $\Sigma$ adjacent to $\gamma$ :

$$
\operatorname{Star}(\gamma)(1)=\{\pi(\rho) \mid \rho \in \operatorname{Adj}(\gamma)\} \text { where } \operatorname{Adj}(\gamma)=\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \backslash \gamma(1), \gamma+\rho \in \Sigma\}
$$

The tricky point is that, when the variety $X_{\Sigma}$ is singular, the minimal generator $u_{\bar{\rho}}$ of $\pi(\rho)$ in the lattice $N(\gamma)$ is not necessarily the image $\pi\left(u_{\rho}\right)$ of the minimal generator of $\rho$. What we have in general is

$$
\pi\left(u_{\rho}\right)=m_{\rho} u_{\bar{\rho}} \quad \text { with } \quad m_{\rho}=\frac{\operatorname{mult}(\gamma+\rho)}{\operatorname{mult}(\gamma)} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}
$$

Hence if $j: V(\gamma) \hookrightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ denotes the inclusion, and $D_{\bar{\rho}}$ denotes the toric divisor in $V(\gamma)$ corresponding to the 1-dimensional cone $\bar{\rho} \in \operatorname{Star}(\gamma)(1)$, then the pullbacks of toric divisors are

$$
j^{*} D_{\rho}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{m_{\rho}} D_{\bar{\rho}} & \text { if } \rho \in \operatorname{Adj}(\gamma)  \tag{16}\\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

It follows that for every 1 -cycle $C$ on $V(\gamma)$ and every $\rho \in \operatorname{Adj}(\gamma)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \cdot D_{\bar{\rho}}=C \cdot m_{\rho} j^{*} D_{\rho}=m_{\rho} j_{*} C \cdot D_{\rho} \geqslant j_{*} C \cdot D_{\rho} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \cdot\left(-K_{V(\gamma)}\right) \geqslant j_{*} C \cdot \sum_{\rho \in \operatorname{Adj}(\gamma)} D_{\rho} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.5 Toric Mori theory

In this subsection we recall some of the tools from toric birational geometry that are essential for our results.

### 1.5.1 Toric Minimal Model Program

The aim of the Minimal Model Program (MMP for short) is the classification of projective algebraic varieties up to birational equivalence, with a special interest given to some special representatives in each class (the minimal models).

This program has been carried out for surfaces by the "Italian school" of Algebraic Geometry during the first half of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century, yielding the Enriques classification of algebaric surfaces.

In higher dimensions it is also called Mori program and is a very active area of research since more than three decades. It relies in an essential manner on the properties of the Mori cone, that is, the cone of numerical classes of effective curves. More specifically, the crucial fact is that for a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial projective variety $X$, the $K_{X}$-negative half of the Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ (that is the set of effective classes $[C]$ such that $C \cdot K_{X}<0$ ) is polyhedral. This allows to perform contractions of the rational curves contained in extremal rays of this polyhedral part, with the aim of simplifying the variety towards a minimal model.

Repeating this yields a step by step procedure, again called MMP, consisting in a finite number of divisorial contractions or flips (see below) until the dimension of the variety drops, or until there is no more curve in the $K_{X}$-negative part of $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ (that is, the canonical divisor $K_{X}$ is nef).

An extremal contraction $\psi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ appearing in the MMP can be of three different types:
(1) A divisorial contraction. It contracts a divisor to a lower dimensional subvariety, making the Picard number to drop by 1. A typical example of this is a blow-down. In this case we replace $X$ by $X^{\prime}$ and continue the process.
(2) A flipping (or small) contraction. It contracts a subvariety of codimension $\geqslant 2$, giving rise to a non $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularity in $X^{\prime}$. Since such a singularity is usually considered too bad, we take the flip map $\nu: X \rightarrow X^{+}$which is an isomorphism in codimension 1 and continue with the $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial variety $X^{+}$. A typical example of a flip is the composition of a blow-up and a blow down.
(3) A fibering contraction. It contracts the whole variety onto a variety of smaller dimension. If $\psi$ is fibering we consider $X$ as minimal and stop the process.

Note that the existence of the flip $\nu$ is in general hard to prove (but easy for simplicial toric varieties).

The choice of the extremal rays to contract can obey to various constraints :

- Instead of contracting the rays that are $K_{X}$-negative, we can choose a so-called boundary $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $B$ and contract the rays that are $\left(K_{X}+B\right)$-negative. This is called a logarithmic MMP (log-MMP for short) .
- Instead of taking the negative rays in the whole Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$, we can restrict the choices to the rays in a proper face $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X / Y)$ of $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ consisting of classes of curves contained in fibers of a given morphism $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$. The cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X / Y)$ is then called the relative Mori cone and we say that we run the MMP relative to $Y$.

These two restrictions on the choice of rays can be combined to give a relative log-MMP. Such a procedure stops either when it gets to a Mori fiber space or when the image of the divisor $\left(K_{X}+B\right)$ becomes $\phi$-nef. An instance of such a procedure is used in the proof of Proposition 4.11

Note that the canonical class of a toric variety is the class of the torus invariant divisor $K_{X_{\Sigma}}=-\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} D_{\rho}$ which is never effective, and a fortiori never nef. In particular every classical MMP ends on a fibering contraction. The corresponding minimal model is called a Mori fiber space.

In contrast with the general case, which yields extremely difficult issues, the toric MMP is quite simple to describe and it is relatively easy to prove that all its instances described above work for any simplicial projective toric variety (see [Mat02, Ch. 14] for a proof or [CLS11, Ch. 15] for the non-relative case).

Indeed for projective toric varieties, it is not just one half but the whole Mori cone which is polyhedral. This is one of the manifestations of the fact that projective toric varieties are Mori Dream Spaces. In particular the MMP works particularly well for such varieties. Another manifestation of this fact is the existence of homogeneous coordinates, that is, the finite generation of the Cox ring (see paragraph 1.2). The link between the two relies on the theory of variation of geometric invariant theory (VGIT) and can be understood via the study of the secondary fan (see [CLS11, Ch. 14 and 15]). This is a very beautiful subject that provides a convex-geometric insight on the MMP (and on some of our results) but we do not address it here, essentially for space reasons.

Let us begin with the cone theorem.
Theorem 1.7. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a complete toric variety. The Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ is generated by classes of toric curves :

$$
\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)=\mathrm{NE}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)=\sum_{\tau \in \Sigma(n-1)} \mathbb{R}_{+}\left[C_{\tau}\right] .
$$

In particular, it is a rational polyhedral cone in $N_{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$.
The fact that extremal rays are generated by classes of toric curves allow a very explicit description of the steps of a toric MMP in terms of the fan of the variety. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective variety of dimension $n$ and $\psi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ be the contraction of the extremal ray $\mathcal{R}$ in $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$. The fan defining $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ is obtained from $\Sigma$ by removing all the walls $\tau \in \Sigma(n-1)$ such that $\left[C_{\tau}\right] \in \mathcal{R}$. In order to be more precise, we first need to describe some properties of these "extremal walls". For any $\tau \in \Sigma(n-1)$ such that $\left[C_{\tau}\right] \in \mathcal{R}$ let us put

$$
\Delta(\tau):=\bigcup_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma(n) \\ \tau \prec \sigma}} \sigma
$$

and for any $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ such that $\rho \subset \Delta(\tau)$ let us put

$$
\Delta_{\rho}(\tau):=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{\rho^{\prime}} \mid \rho^{\prime} \subset \Delta(\tau), \rho^{\prime} \neq \rho\right) .
$$

We have the following properties :
Lemma 1.8 ( Rei83]). Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective toric variety of dimension $n$ and $\mathcal{R}$ be an extremal ray of $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$. For all $\tau \in \Sigma(n-1)$ such that $\left[C_{\tau}\right] \in \mathcal{R}$ we have

$$
\bigcup_{\rho \in J_{C_{\tau}}^{+}} \Delta_{\rho}(\tau)=\Delta(\tau)=\bigcup_{\rho \in J_{C_{\tau}}^{-}} \Delta_{\rho}(\tau)
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{\rho}(\tau) \in \Sigma(n) \quad \text { for all } \rho \in J_{C_{\tau}}^{+}
$$

Note that for two toric curve classes $\left[C_{\tau}\right],\left[C_{\tau^{\prime}}\right] \in \mathcal{R}$ the intersection numbers $C_{\tau} \cdot D_{\rho}$ and $C_{\tau^{\prime}} \cdot D_{\rho}$ have the same sign for all $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$. In particular the sets $J_{C_{\tau^{\prime}}}^{+}=J_{C_{\tau}}^{+}$and $J_{C_{\tau^{\prime}}}^{-}=J_{C_{\tau}}^{-}$ depend only on the ray $\mathcal{R}$, hence we can denote them by $J_{\mathcal{R}}^{+}$and $J_{\mathcal{R}}^{-}$.

Here is a combinatorial description of the steps of the toric MMP :
(1) If $J_{\mathcal{R}}^{-}=\left\{\rho_{0}\right\}$ then $\psi$ is a divisorial contraction and $D_{\rho_{0}}$ is the divisor in $X_{\Sigma}$ contracted by $\psi$. In this case we have $\Sigma^{\prime}(1)=\Sigma(1) \backslash\left\{\rho_{0}\right\}$ and more generally

$$
\Sigma^{\prime}=\left\{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid \rho_{0} \notin \sigma(1)\right\} \cup\left\{\Delta(\tau) \mid\left[C_{\tau}\right] \in \mathcal{R}\right\}
$$

(2) If $\operatorname{Card}\left(J_{\mathcal{R}}^{-}\right) \geqslant 2$ then $\psi$ is a small contraction. If $\nu: X_{\Sigma \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{+}}}$is the corresponding flip, then we have $\Sigma^{+}(1)=\Sigma^{\prime}(1)=\Sigma(1)$, and more generally

$$
\Sigma^{+}=\Sigma \backslash\left\{\Delta_{\rho}(\tau) \mid\left[C_{\tau}\right] \in \mathcal{R}, \rho \in J_{C_{\tau}}^{+}\right\} \cup\left\{\Delta_{\rho}(\tau) \mid\left[C_{\tau}\right] \in \mathcal{R}, \rho \in J_{C_{\tau}}^{-}\right\}
$$

(3) If $J_{\mathcal{R}}^{-}=\emptyset$ then $\psi$ is a fibering contraction. In this case we have

$$
\Sigma^{\prime}=\left\{\Delta(\tau) \mid\left[C_{\tau}\right] \in \mathcal{R}\right\}
$$

which is a degenerate fan. Indeed the cones $\Delta(\tau)$ for $\left[C_{\tau}\right] \in \mathcal{R}$ are not strongly convex for they all contain the linear space

$$
U_{0}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{\rho}, \rho \in J_{\mathcal{R}}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(u_{\rho}, \rho \in J_{\mathcal{R}}^{+}\right)
$$

As in paragraph 1.3.3, we get a nondegenerate fan $\overline{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ by taking the quotient by $U_{0}$. In particular the linear map $\bar{\psi}$ associated to $\psi$ is the quotient of real vector spaces $N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}} / U_{0}$ and the variety $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}=X_{\overline{\Sigma^{\prime}}}$ has dimension $n^{\prime}=n-n_{0}<n$ where $n_{0}=\operatorname{dim}\left(U_{0}\right)$.
The fiber bundle structure of $X_{\Sigma}$ can be read from the fan $\Sigma$ itself : there exist two subfans $\widehat{\Sigma}$ and $\Sigma_{0}$ of $\Sigma$ such that $\Sigma_{0}=\left\{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid \sigma \subset U_{0}\right\}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ induces a bijection from $\widehat{\Sigma}$ to $\bar{\Sigma}_{1}$ such that we have

$$
\Sigma=\left\{\sigma_{0}+\widehat{\sigma} \mid \sigma_{0} \in \Sigma_{0}, \widehat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\Sigma}\right\}
$$

In particular it follows from the description of the fan of a toric subvariety given in paragraph 1.4 .4 that for every maximal cone $\sigma_{0} \in \Sigma_{0}\left(n_{0}\right)$ the toric subvariety $V\left(\sigma_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to $X_{\overline{\Sigma^{\prime}}}$ and the inclusion $V\left(\sigma_{0}\right) \hookrightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ induces a section $X_{\overline{\Sigma^{\prime}}} \hookrightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ of the fibration $\psi$ whose image is $V\left(\sigma_{0}\right)$.
Similarly, for every maximal cone $\widehat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\Sigma}\left(n^{\prime}\right)$ the toric subvariety $V(\widehat{\sigma})$ is a fiber of $\psi$, isomorphic to $X_{\Sigma_{0}}$. It follows from Lemma 1.8 that $\Sigma_{0}(1)=J_{\mathcal{R}}^{+}$has cardinality $1+n_{0}$, that is, $X_{\Sigma_{0}}$ is a complete simplicial toric variety of Picard number 1.

In the divisorial and small cases the contracted locus in $X_{\Sigma}$ is the subvariety $V\left(\gamma_{-}\right)$where $\gamma_{-}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{\rho}, \rho \in J_{\mathcal{R}}^{-}\right) \in \Sigma$ and its image by $\psi$ is $V\left(\gamma_{+}^{\prime}\right)$ where $\gamma_{+}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(u_{\rho}, \rho \in J_{\mathcal{R}}^{+}\right) \in \Sigma^{\prime}$. The restriction $\psi_{\mid V_{-}}: V_{-} \rightarrow V_{+}^{\prime}$ is a fibering extremal contraction. In particular there exist complete simplicial toric subvarieties $V\left(\widehat{\sigma_{-}}\right) \subset V\left(\gamma_{-}\right) \subset X_{\Sigma}$ of Picard number 1, that are fibers of $\psi$.

As pointed by K. Matsuki in Remark 14-2-3 of [?], these subvarieties need not be weighted projective spaces in general and may instead be quotient of those by a finite abelian group, often called fake weighted projective spaces.

This lead to the following definition:
Definition 1.9. Well formed weighted projective spaces together with fake weighted projective spaces are exactly the simplicial complete toric varieties of Picard number one. We call them generalized weighted projective spaces.

The fact that non trivial fibers of toric extremal contractions are generalized weighted projective spaces play a determinant role in the interplay between the different notions of low toric degree.

### 1.5.2 Cones of positive numerical classes

In addition to the Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ who plays a central role in the MMP, several cones appear naturally when studying the birational geometry of a projective variety. First the cone of nef divisors, or nef cone $\operatorname{Nef}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ plays also an important role because it is the dual cone of $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ : nef divisors are those divisors $D$ having nonnegative intersection number with every effective curve.

It is worth noting that the contraction of an extremal ray $\mathcal{R}$ of $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ is a particular case of the proper toric morphism $\phi: X_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ of Theorem 1.2 : the one associated to any nef divisor $D$ such that the hyperplane $\left.D^{\perp}:=\left\{[C] \in N_{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)\right\rceil C \cdot D=0\right\}$ intersects $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ exactly in $\mathcal{R}$ (such a divisor exists precisely because $\mathcal{R}$ is extremal).

Another interesting couple of dual cones of numerical classes is formed by the effective cone $\operatorname{Eff}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ whose elements are divisor classes $[D]$ containing at least one effective representative and the cone of mobile curves or mobile cone $\operatorname{Mob}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$, whose elements are curve classes $[C]$ whose members move in families covering the whole variety $X_{\Sigma}$.

Since the effective cone is generated by the classes of toric divisors $D_{\rho}$, mobile curves are those curves $C$ on $X_{\Sigma}$ verifying $J_{C}^{-}=\emptyset$ and $J_{C}=J_{C}^{+}$.

The generators of the mobile cone have been characterized by D. Monsôres in his Ph.D thesis Mon12] : they are the mobile classes [C] such that $\operatorname{Card}\left(J_{C}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Span}\left(J_{C}\right)\right)+1$, and are numerical pullbacks of mobile and extremal curves on a minimal model of $X_{\Sigma}$.

## 2 First results

In this section we show that a Weil divisor $D$ of a simplicial complete toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$ has automatically a rational point over $\mathbb{Z}$ if the Newton polytope of its defining homogeneous polynomial $f \in S_{[D]}$ is too small, which is in particular the case of divisors that are not Cartier and nef. Then we give a first criterion of existence of a rational point based on Theorem 0.4 : the divisor $D$ admits a $K$-point as soon as its restriction $D_{\mid W}$ has lower degree than the anticanonical divisor $-K_{W}$ of a toric subvariety $W \subset X_{\Sigma}$ isomorphic to a weighted projective space or a quotient of a such by a finite group of order prime to the characteristic of $K$.

### 2.1 Trivial rational points

It is a trivial fact that a hypersurface of degree $d$ of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ whose homogeneous equation does not contain the monomial $x_{0}^{d}$, automatically contains the $\mathbb{Z}$-point $(1: 0: \cdots: 0)$. More generally, as soon as the Newton polytope of a homogeneous polynomial does not contain
all the monomials of the form $x_{i}^{d}$, it admits trivial integer solutions. This phenomenon also occur for a hypersurface $D$ of a complete simplicial toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$ with the role of the special points ( $0: \cdots: 0: 1: 0: \cdots: 0)$ played by the distinguished points

$$
\gamma_{\sigma}:=\bigcap_{\rho \in \sigma(1)} D_{\rho} \quad \text { for } \sigma \in \Sigma(n) .
$$

and the role of the extremal monomials $x_{i}^{d}$ played by the $D^{\prime}$-homogeneization of the Cartier data $\left\{m_{\sigma}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma(n)\right\}$ for any torus-invariant divisor $D^{\prime}$ linearly equivalent to $D$.

Here is the precise result
Lemma 2.1. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial complete toric variety and $D$ be an effective divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$, zero locus of the homogeneous polynomial $f \in S_{[D]}$. Let $D^{\prime}$ be a torus-invariant divisor linearly equivalent to $D$.

If the Newton polytope of $f$ does not contain the $D^{\prime}$-homogeneization a ${ }^{\sigma}([D])=h_{D^{\prime}}\left(m_{\sigma}\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)$ of the Cartier datum $m_{\sigma}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$ then $D$ contains the corresponding torusinvariant point

$$
\gamma_{\sigma}=\bigcap_{\rho \in \sigma(1)} D_{\rho} \quad \text { for some } \sigma \in \Sigma(n) \text {. }
$$

Proof - Let us write

$$
f=\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}_{[D]}} \lambda_{a} \prod_{\rho} x_{\rho}^{a_{\rho}} \in S_{[D]}
$$

and let us denote by $\mathcal{A}_{f}$ the set of monomials in $\mathcal{A}_{[D]}$ such that $\lambda_{a} \neq 0$. By definition the Newton polytope of $f$ is the convex hull of $\mathcal{A}_{f}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\Sigma(1)}$. Now let us suppose that there exists $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $a^{\sigma}([D])$ does not belong to the Newton polytope $\Delta_{f}$ of $f$. In particular we have $a^{\sigma}([D]) \notin \mathcal{A}_{f}$.

Since $a^{\sigma}([D])$ is the only element of $\mathcal{A}_{[D]}$ satisfying $a_{\rho}^{\sigma}=0$ for all $\rho \in \sigma(1)$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_{f}$ there exists at least one $\rho \in \sigma(1)$ with $a_{\rho} \neq 0$.

It follows that putting $\alpha_{\rho}=0$ for all $\rho \in \sigma(1)$ and $\alpha_{\rho}=1$ for all $\rho \notin \sigma(1)$ gives a root $\alpha \in\{0,1\}^{\Sigma(1)}$ of $f$ and since $\pi(\alpha)=\gamma_{\sigma}$ we then have $\gamma_{\sigma} \in D$ and the lemma is proved.

The homogeneization of the Cartier data $\left\{a^{\sigma}([D]) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma(n)\right\}$ is a set of lattice points in $\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ if and only if $D$ is a Cartier divisor and is contained in the polytope $P_{[D]}$ if and only if $D$ is a nef divisor. The consequence is the following
Proposition 2.2. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial complete toric variety and $D$ be an effective divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$. If $D$ is not a nef Cartier divisor, then it contains a torus invariant point

$$
\gamma_{\sigma}=\bigcap_{\rho \in \sigma(1)} D_{\rho} \quad \text { for some } \sigma \in \Sigma(n)
$$

and hence a rational point over $\mathbb{Z}$.
In the sequel we assume $D$ to be a nef Cartier divisor.

### 2.2 A simple criterion (Direct low toric degree)

In order to find rational points in an effective divisor $D$, a first simple idea is to consider the restriction $D_{\mid W}$ of $D$ to a weighted projective space $W$ contained in the toric ambient $X_{\Sigma}$ and apply Theorem 0.4

It turns out that Theorem 0.4 applies as well to fake weighted projective spaces, that is quotients of well formed weighted projective spaces by finite abelian groups.
Definition 2.3. Well formed weighted projective spaces together with fake weighted projective spaces are exactly the simplicial complete toric varieties of Picard number one. We call them generalized weighted projective spaces.

Corollary 2.4 (of Th. 0.4). Let $K$ be a $C_{1}$ field satisfying hypothesis (H1) and $W$ be a generalized weighted projective space over $K$ satisfying hypotheses (H2) and (H3). Let $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{k} \subset X$ be hypersurfaces in $W$. For any 1-cycle $C$ on $W$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \cdot\left(H_{1}+\cdots+H_{k}\right)<C \cdot\left(-K_{W}\right), \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the intersection $H_{1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{k}$ has a rational point over $K$.
The proof is identical to the one of Th. 0.4 We give it here for the convenience of the reader.

Proof - Since hypotheses (H2) and (H3) are verified, we have

$$
W \simeq \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma(1)} \backslash\{0\} / G
$$

where $G=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{Cl}(W), \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$. In particular we can use homogeneous coordinates on $W$ (see subsection 1.2).

Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ be homogeneous polynomials defining the hypersurfaces $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{k} \subset X$. Up to multiply $C$ by a positive integer, which does not change inequality 19, we can assume that every toric divisor $D_{\rho}$ has a positive integer degree along $C$ :

$$
C \cdot D_{\rho}=a_{\rho} \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \text { for all toric divisor } D_{\rho}
$$

For $i=1, \ldots, k$ let $g_{i}\left(y_{i, 1}, \ldots, y_{i, a_{i}}\right)$ be a normic form of degree $a_{i}$ over $K$. The $g_{i}$ 's are homogeneous polynomials of the graded algebra $A=K\left[y_{i, a_{i}}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, 1 \leqslant k_{i} \leqslant a_{i}\right]$ (with the usual grading coming from $\left.\mathbb{P}^{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{k}-1}\right)$.

Now let us put

$$
F_{j}:=f_{j}\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in K\left[y_{i, a_{i}}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, 1 \leqslant k_{i} \leqslant a_{i}\right] .
$$

By construction, $F_{j}$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $A$, of degree $C \dot{H}_{j}$ and its number of variables $y_{i, a_{i}}$ is $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{k}$. From this it follows by Lang's Theorem 4 in Lan52 that the equation $F_{1}=\ldots=F_{k}=0$ has a solution $\left(b_{i, a_{i}}\right)$ such that $b_{s, t} \neq 0$ for at least one couple $(s, t)$. As $g_{s}$ is normic, $c_{s}:=g_{s}\left(b_{s, 1}, \ldots, b_{s, a_{s}}\right)$ is non zero. Hence putting

$$
c_{j}=g_{j}\left(b_{j, 1}, \ldots, b_{j, a_{j}}\right) \quad j=0, \ldots, n
$$

yields a non trivial solution of the equation $f_{1}=\ldots=f_{k}=0$. In other words the rational point $c=\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$ of $\mathbb{A}_{K}^{n+1}$ is not the origin and hence $\pi(c)$ is a rational point of $H_{1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{k}$ over $K$, where $\pi: \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma(1)} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow W$ is the good geometric quotient.

By focusing on generalized weighted projective spaces that are toric subvarieties of the ambient $X_{\Sigma}$ we get a easily handled first notion of low degree for divisors that implies the existence of a rational point.

Definition 2.5. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a complete toric variety. A Cartier divisor $D$ on $X_{\Sigma}$ is said to have direct low toric degree if there exists a complete simplicial toric subvariety $W \subset X_{\Sigma}$ of Picard number one, such that the restriction $D_{\mid W}$ has low degree in the sense of Corollary 2.4 : there exists a 1-cycle $C$ on $W$ such that

$$
C \cdot D_{\mid W}<C \cdot\left(-K_{W}\right)
$$

Note that this definition does not require the ambient toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$ to be simplicial and not either to satisfy hypothesis (H3).
Corollary 2.6. Let $K$ be a $C_{1}$ field satisfying hypothesis (H1) and $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial complete toric variety over $K$ satisfying hypothesis (H2) and such that any toric subvariety of Picard number one satisfies hypothesis (H3), for example $X_{\Sigma}$ smooth.
$A$ direct low degree divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$ has a rational point over $K$.

Notice that every toric curve $C_{\tau}$ is a normal separated toric variety of dimension 1 and hence is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. In particular a divisor $D$ has a rational point as soon as it has intersection 1 with one of the toric curves.

Of course this bound can usually be improved for non rigid toric curves, for example by considering the bigest (simplicial) toric subvariety of Picard number 1 containing them. In particular one fundamental property of extremal curves is to be mobile in such a generalized weighted projective space : the general non trivial fiber of the corresponding extremal contraction. It follows that a divisor has direct low toric degree as soon as it has low degree along an extremal curve, in the precise sense of the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.7. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective toric variety. If there exist an extremal curve $C$ on $X_{\Sigma}$ such that

$$
0<C \cdot D<\sum_{\rho \in J_{C}^{+}} C \cdot D_{\rho}
$$

then $D$ has direct low toric degree.
Proof - Since $C$ is extremal, there exists a cone $\alpha \in \Sigma$ such that $J_{C}^{-}=\alpha(1), J_{C}^{+}=\operatorname{Adj}(\alpha)$ and $W=\mathbf{V}(\alpha)$ is a generalized weighted projective space. The 1-cycle $C$ is thus the pushforward $j_{*} \bar{C}$ of a 1-cycle $\bar{C}$ on $W$ and using the projection formula, we have

$$
\bar{C} \cdot D_{\mid W}=j_{*} \bar{C} \cdot D=C \cdot D .
$$

Since this number is positive, $D_{\mid W}$ is a non trivial effective (and hence ample) divisor of $W$. It is then sufficient to prove that $C \cdot D<\bar{C} \cdot\left(-K_{W}\right)$. We recall from (18) that we have $\bar{C} \cdot\left(-K_{W}\right) \geqslant j_{*} C \cdot \sum_{\rho \in \operatorname{Adj}(\alpha)} D_{\rho}$, so that by hypothesis

$$
C \cdot D<\sum_{\rho \in J_{C}^{+}} C \cdot D_{\rho}=\sum_{\rho \in \operatorname{Adj}(\alpha)} C \cdot D_{\rho} \leqslant \bar{C} \cdot\left(-K_{W}\right) .
$$

The lemma is proved.
The main limit of the notion of direct low toric degree is that it does not account for the specificities of non ample divisors, as illustrated by the following example.

Example 2.8. The del Pezzo surface of degree 6, also known as the Losev-Manin space $\overline{L_{3}}$ is a toric surface obtained from $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ by blowing-up the three torus-invariant points (or any three distinct points up to projectivity). Here are one possible fan for this variety together with the intersection matrix of its 6 toric divisors :


| $\cdot$ | $D_{1}$ | $D_{1,2}$ | $D_{2}$ | $D_{2,3}$ | $D_{3}$ | $D_{1,3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{1}$ | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $D_{1,3}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $D_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $D_{2,3}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 |
| $D_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| $D_{1,3}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 |

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a conic that contains none of the three blown-up points, and let $\phi: \overline{L_{3}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be the contraction of the three exceptional curves. Since $\phi$ is an isomorphism outside these three curves, the pullback $D=\phi^{*} C$ is sent isomorphically to $C$ by $\phi$ and in particular admits a rational point over any $C_{1}$ field. Though, it has 2 intersections with each strict transform
of a toric line of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, and 0 with each exceptional curve. Indeed if $[L]$ denotes the class of the pullback of any line in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ we have $[D]=2[L]$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[L]=\left[D_{1,3}+D_{1}+D_{1,2}\right]=\left[D_{1,3}+D_{1}+D_{1,2}\right]=\left[D_{1,3}+D_{1}+D_{1,2}\right] } \\
\Rightarrow & D_{1} \cdot L=D_{2} \cdot L=D_{3} \cdot L=1 \quad \text { and } \quad D_{1,2} \cdot L=D_{1,3} \cdot L=D_{2,3} \cdot L=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since every toric subvariety of $\overline{L_{3}}$ with Picard number 1 is a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, this implies that $D$ is not a direct low toric degree divisor.

Obviously one would expect a good notion of low toric degree to consider this $D$ as a low toric degree divisor. This motivates the search for a notion more stable by birational modifications.

## 3 Low degree along a 1-cycle (Global low toric degree)

The goal of this section is to give some combinatoric conditions on the numerical class of the divisor $D$ implying the existence of rational points in $D$. Contrary to the results of last section, we try here to take the most possible advantage of the specificities of $D$. Finally, the most general (i.e. the weaker) of these conditions will be taken as definition of global low toric degree for $D$.

### 3.1 Rational points in the total space

In order to generalize Lemma 2.7, one can try to apply Corollary 2.4 from an inequality of the form

$$
0<C \cdot D<\sum_{\rho \in I} C \cdot D_{\rho}
$$

with an arbitrary 1-cycle $C$. As soon as each $D_{\rho}$ for $\rho \in I$ has positive degree along $C$ and there are at least two of them, this yields a root of the homogeneous polynomial defining $D$ but not a rational point in general.

Lemma 3.1. Let $D$ be a nef Cartier divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$ and $f \in S_{[D]}$ a homogeneous polynomial as in (7). If there exist a 1-cycle $C$ and a subset $I \subset J_{C}^{+}$with cardinal at least 2 such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<C \cdot D<\sum_{\rho \in I} C \cdot D_{\rho} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f$ has a root $\alpha \in K^{\Sigma(1)}$ such that

$$
\left\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid \alpha_{\rho}=0\right\} \subset J_{C} \backslash\{\rho\}
$$

for some $\rho \in I$.
Proof - Since $f$ is homogeneous for the graduation by $\mathrm{Cl}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$, it is a fortiori homogeneous for the graduation by $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{\rho}\right)=m C \cdot D_{\rho}
$$

where $m$ is any positive integer such that $m C \cdot D_{\rho} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ denote the degree of $f$ for this graduation.

Let us consider the polynomial $g \in S^{\prime}=K\left[x_{\rho}, \rho \in I\right]$ defined from $f$ by putting $x_{\rho}=1$ for all $\rho \in \Sigma(1) \backslash J_{C}$ (for which $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{\rho}\right)=0$ ) and $x_{\rho}=0$ for all $\rho \in J_{C} \backslash I$.

The polynomial $g$ is again homogeneous of degree $d$ for the graduation of $S^{\prime}$ induced by the graduation of $S$ (with positive degrees). Up to multiplying by $m$, we can now apply Corollary
2.4 to inequality 20 to get a non trivial zero $\alpha^{\prime} \in K^{I}$ of $g$. This in turn yields a zero $\alpha \in K^{\Sigma(1)}$ by putting $\alpha_{\rho}=1$ for all $\rho \in \Sigma(1) \backslash J_{C}$ and $\alpha_{\rho}=0$ for all $\rho \in J_{C} \backslash I$. By construction, we have

$$
\left\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid \alpha_{\rho}=0\right\} \subset J_{C}
$$

and the fact that $\alpha^{\prime}$ is not trivial exactly means that $\alpha_{\rho} \neq 0$ for some $\rho \in I$, which terminates the proof.

Lemma 3.1 does not automatically provide a rational point in $D$ since for a root $\alpha \in K^{\Sigma(1)}$ of $f$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi(\alpha) \in X_{\Sigma} & \Leftrightarrow \alpha \notin Z(\Sigma) \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid \alpha_{\rho}=0\right\} \subset \sigma(1) \text { for some cone } \sigma \in \Sigma . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

If we require that for each $\rho \in I$ there exists a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $J_{C} \backslash\{\rho\} \subset \sigma(1)$, then for sure 21 is satisfied. But it turns out that this is a too restrictive condition and, as we show in next subsection, we only need that each $J_{C} \backslash\{\rho\}$ is contained in a cone of the (generalized) fan obtained from $\Sigma$ by removing all the walls $\tau \in \Sigma(n-1)$ such that $C_{\tau} \cdot D=0$.

### 3.2 Semi-ampleness of nef divisors

As we have seen in the preliminaries, to a torus-invariant divisor $D=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho} D_{\rho}$ can be associated a polytope $P_{D}=\left\{m \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle \geqslant-a_{\rho}\right\}$ in the space of characters $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. To such a polytope is are turn associated two objects that only depend on the numerical class $[D]$ :

- The normal fan $\Sigma_{P_{D}}=\Sigma_{[D]}$ whose cones are in duality with the faces of $P_{D}$
- The "canonical" polytope $P_{[D]}$, image of $P_{D}$ through the embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{D}: M_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\Sigma(1)}, \quad m \longmapsto\left(\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle+a_{\rho}\right)_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lattice points of this polytope are the exponent vectors of the monomials belonging to the graded piece $S_{[D]}$ in the total coordinate ring.

Let us describe more precisely the relation between these two objects.
The correspondence between cones of the generalized fan $\Sigma_{P_{D}}=\Sigma_{[D]}$ and faces of the polytope $P_{D}$ is one-to-one and dimension reversing :

$$
\alpha^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{P_{D}} \longleftrightarrow Q_{D}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\left\{m \in P_{D} \mid\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle=-a_{\rho} \text { for all } \rho \subset \alpha^{\prime}\right\} \subset P_{D}
$$

Through the embedding $h_{D}$ of 22 , this induces the contravariant correspondence

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\{\text { Cones of } \Sigma_{[D]}\right\} \longleftrightarrow  \tag{23}\\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left.\longmapsto \text { Faces of } P_{[D]}\right\} \\
& Q_{[D]}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
Q_{[D]}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(a_{\rho}\right) \in P_{[D]} \mid a_{\rho}=0 \text { for all } \rho \subset \alpha^{\prime}\right\} .
$$

Now let us assume that $D$ is Cartier and nef. In particular the Cartier data $\left\{m_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma(n)\right\}$ is the set of vertices of the polytope $P_{D}$ and it follows that the set of vertices of the image $P_{[D]}=h_{D}\left(P_{D}\right)$ is the set $\left\{a^{\sigma}=h_{D}\left(m_{\sigma}\right) \in P_{[D]} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma(n)\right\}$. Moreover, since we have $m_{\sigma_{1}}=m_{\sigma_{2}}$ if and only if there exists $\sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{[D]}$ such that $\sigma_{1} \cup \sigma_{2} \subset \sigma^{\prime}$, the set of vertices of $P_{[D]}$ is also the set $\left\{a^{\sigma^{\prime}} \in P_{[D]} \mid \sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{[D]}(n)\right\}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid a_{\rho}^{\sigma^{\prime}}=0\right\}=\left\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid \rho \subset \sigma^{\prime}\right\} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Sigma$ refines $\Sigma_{[D]}$, to each cone $\alpha$ of $\Sigma$ is naturally associated a cone of $\Sigma_{[D]}$ : the smallest that contains $\alpha$. We generalize this notion with the following definition :

Definition 3.2. Let $\phi: X_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ be the toric morphism of Theorem 1.2, Let $c \subset \Sigma(1)$ be a set of 1 -cones of $\Sigma$ all contained in a single cone $\alpha$ of $\Sigma_{[D]}$. We call $\phi$-hull of $c$ and denote by $\bar{c}^{\phi}$ the smallest cone of $\Sigma_{[D]}$ containing $c$ :

$$
\bar{c}^{\phi}:=\bigcap_{\substack{\alpha \in \Sigma_{[D]} \\ c \subset \alpha}} \alpha \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}
$$

For any cone $\alpha \in \Sigma_{[D]}$, the face $Q_{[D]}(\alpha)$ of the polytope $P_{[D]}$ is by definition the intersection of $P_{[D]}$ with the coordinate hyperplanes corresponding to all the 1-dimensional cones $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ contained in $\alpha$. The following result shows that we can characterize the face $Q_{[D]}(\alpha)$ by intersections with the coordinate hyperplanes corresponding to any set $c$ of 1-dimensional cones $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ such that $\bar{c}^{\phi}=\alpha$ :

Lemma-Definition 3.3. Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ be a nef divisor and $c \subset \Sigma(1)$ be a set of 1-cones of $\Sigma$ all contained in a single cone of $\Sigma_{[D]}$. Then the set

$$
Q_{[D]}(c):=\left\{\left(a_{\rho}\right) \in P_{[D]} \mid \forall \rho \in c, a_{\rho}=0\right\}
$$

is equal to the face $Q_{[D]}\left(\bar{c}^{\phi}\right)$ of $P_{[D]}$.
Remark - We use the same notation $Q_{[D]}(\cdot)$ for cones in $\Sigma_{[D]}$ and for sets of 1-dimensional cones in $\Sigma$. Of course for any $\alpha \in \Sigma_{[D]}$, by putting (with a slight abuse of notation) $\alpha(1)=$ $\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid \rho \subset \alpha\}$, we have $\alpha=\operatorname{Cone}(\rho, \rho \in \alpha(1))$ and by the above definition $Q_{[D]}(\alpha(1))=$ $Q_{[D]}(\alpha)$. What the lemma asserts is that we can in some cases choose a set $c$ strictly smaller than $\alpha(1)$ but still verifying $Q_{[D]}(c)=Q_{[D]}(\alpha)$.

Proof - Since $c \subset \bar{c}^{\phi}$ we clearly have the inclusion

$$
Q_{[D]}\left(\bar{c}^{\phi}\right)=\left\{\left(a_{\rho}\right) \in P_{[D]} \mid a_{\rho}=0 \text { for all } \rho \subset \bar{c}^{\phi}\right\} \subset Q_{[D]}(c)
$$

For the reverse inclusion, it follows from the correspondence (23) that the face $Q_{[D]}\left(\bar{c}^{\phi}\right)$ is the convex hull of those vertices $v^{\sigma^{\prime}}$ of $P_{[D]}$ verifying $\bar{c}^{\phi} \subset \sigma^{\prime}$. But for all $\sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{[D]}$ we have by Definition 3.2:

$$
c \subset \sigma^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow \bar{c}^{\phi} \subset \sigma^{\prime}
$$

so that in fact we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{[D]}\left(\bar{c}^{\phi}\right)=\operatorname{Conv}\left(v^{\sigma^{\prime}} \mid \sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{[D]}(n), c \subset \sigma^{\prime}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now take $a=\left(a_{\rho}\right) \in Q_{[D]}(c) \subset P_{[D]}$. Since $P_{[D]}=\operatorname{Conv}\left(v^{\sigma^{\prime}} \mid \sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{[D]}(n)\right)$ there exist $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{[D]}(n)$ such that

$$
a=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} v^{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}} \quad \text { with } \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}^{*} \text { for all } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k
$$

Then for all $\rho \in c$ we have $0=a_{\rho}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} v_{\rho}^{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}}$ with $v_{\rho}^{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}} \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the $\lambda_{i}$ are positive, it follows that for all $\rho \in c$ and all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k, v_{\rho}^{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}}=0$. By (24) this implies that for $i=1, \ldots, k$ every 1-dimensional cone of $c$ is contained in $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ and hence by (25) $v^{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}}$ is contained in the face $Q_{[D]}\left(\bar{c}^{\phi}\right)$. It follows that $a \in Q_{[D]}\left(\bar{c}^{\phi}\right)$ and the lemma is proved.

Corollary 3.4. Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ be a nef divisor and $C$ be a 1-cycle on $X_{\Sigma}$. Then we have

$$
J_{C} \text { is contained in a single cone of } \Sigma_{[D]} \Rightarrow C \cdot D=0
$$

and the implication is an equivalence if $[C]$ is the numerical class of an irreducible curve.

Proof - Suppose there exists a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma_{[D]}(n)$ containing all the 1-dimensional cones of $J_{C}$. Then by (24), the corresponding vertex $a^{\sigma}$ of the polytope $P_{[D]}$ verifies $a_{\rho}^{\sigma}=0$ for all $\rho \in J_{C}$. In particular the associated local representative $D^{\sigma}=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho}^{\sigma} D_{\rho} \in[D]$ verifies

$$
C \cdot D=C \cdot D^{\sigma}=C \cdot \sum_{\rho \in J_{C}} a_{\rho}^{\sigma} D_{\rho}=0
$$

Now suppose that $C \cdot D=0$ and that $C$ is irreducible. By Pay06b, Prop. 1] there exists a cone $\alpha \in \Sigma$ such that $J_{C}^{-} \subset \alpha(1)$ and $J_{C}^{+} \subset \operatorname{Adj}(\alpha)$. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_{[D]}(n)$ containing $\alpha$ and consider the corresponding local representative $D^{\sigma} \in[D]$. We have $a_{\rho}^{\sigma}=0$ for all $\rho \in J_{C}^{-}$and the equality

$$
0=C \cdot D^{\sigma}=\sum_{\rho \in J_{C}} a_{\rho}^{\sigma} C \cdot D_{\rho}=\sum_{\rho \in J_{C}^{+}} a_{\rho}^{\sigma} C \cdot D_{\rho} .
$$

implies that $a_{\rho}^{\sigma}=0$ for all $\rho \in J_{C}^{+}$also. Then it follows from (24) that $J_{C} \subset \sigma$ and the corollary is proved.

The main consequence of Lemma 3.3, from the arithmetic point of view is that a root of the homogeneous polynomial $f$ yields a rational point in $D=\mathbf{V}(f)$ as soon as the set $\{\rho \in$ $\left.\Sigma(1) \mid \alpha_{\rho}=0\right\}$ indexing its zero coordinates is contained in a single cone of the (generalized) fan $\Sigma_{[D]}$.
Proposition 3.5. Let $K$ be a $C_{1}$ field satisfying hypothesis (H1) and $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective toric variety satisfying hypotheses (H2) and (H3). Let D be a Cartier nef divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$ and let $f \in S_{[D]}$ be the homogeneous polynomial such that

$$
D=\mathbf{V}(f)=\left\{\pi(x) \in X_{\Sigma} \mid f(x)=0\right\}
$$

where $\pi: \mathbb{A}^{\Sigma(1)} \backslash Z(\Sigma) \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ is the good geometric quotient. The following conditions are equivalent :
(1) There exists a root $\alpha \in K^{\Sigma(1)}$ such that the set $\left\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid \alpha_{\rho}=0\right\}$ is contained in a single cone of $\Sigma_{[D]}$.
(2) There exists a root $\alpha \in K^{\Sigma(1)}$ such that the set $\left\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid \alpha_{\rho}=0\right\}$ is contained in a single cone of $\Sigma$.

If these conditions are satisfied then $D$ has a rational point over $K$.
Proof - The facts that the first condition implies the second and the existence of a rational point are trivial. We have to show that if $f$ has a root $\alpha$ with all zero coordinates indexed by 1-cones of $\Sigma$ contained in a single cone $\sigma^{\prime}$ of $\Sigma_{[D]}$ then it has a root $\beta$ with all zero coordinates indexed by generators of a cone $\sigma$ of $\Sigma$.

Let us write

$$
f=\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}_{[D]}} \lambda_{a} x^{a} \in S_{[D]}
$$

and suppose $f$ has a root $\alpha$ such that the set $c=\left\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid \alpha_{\rho}=0\right\}$ is contained in some cone of $\Sigma_{[D]}$. Let us consider the polynomial $g_{1}$ obtained from $f$ by putting $x_{\rho}=0$ for all $\rho \in c$ :

$$
g_{1}=\sum_{a \in Q_{[D]}(c)} \lambda_{a} x^{a} \in K\left[x_{\rho}, \rho \notin c\right] .
$$

Since $\alpha_{\rho}=0$ for all $\rho \in c$ the root $\alpha \in K^{\Sigma(1)}$ gives a root $\alpha^{\prime} \in\left(K^{*}\right)^{\Sigma(1) \backslash c}$ of $g_{1}$.
Since $c$ is contained in a single cone of $\Sigma_{[D]}$, by Definition 3.3 we can put $\sigma^{\prime}=\bar{c}^{\phi} \in \Sigma_{[D]}$ and consider the polynomial $g_{2}$ obtained from $f$ by putting $x_{\rho}=0$ for all $\rho \subset \sigma^{\prime}$ :

$$
g_{2}=\sum_{a \in Q_{[D]}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)} \lambda_{a} x^{a} \in K\left[x_{\rho}, \rho \in J_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right], \quad J_{\sigma^{\prime}}:=\left\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid \rho \not \subset \sigma^{\prime}\right\}
$$

By Lemma 3.3 we have $Q_{[D]}(c)=Q_{[D]}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$ so that $g_{1}$ can be considered as an element of $K\left[x_{\rho}, \rho \in J_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right]$ equal to $g_{2}$. By forgetting the $\alpha_{\rho}$ for $\rho \subset \sigma^{\prime}$ we then get a root $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \in\left(K^{*}\right)^{J_{\sigma^{\prime}}}$ of $g_{2}$.

Now let us remark that for every $\sigma \in \Sigma$ of the same dimension as $\sigma^{\prime}$ and such that $\sigma \subset \sigma^{\prime}$, we have $\bar{\sigma}^{\phi}=\sigma^{\prime}$. Let us fix such a $\sigma$ and consider the polynomial $g_{3}$ obtained from $f$ by putting $x_{\rho}=0$ for all $\rho \in \sigma(1)$ :

$$
g_{3}=\sum_{a \in Q_{[D]}(\sigma(1))} \lambda_{a} x^{a} \in K\left[x_{\rho}, \rho \notin \sigma(1)\right] .
$$

Again by Lemma 3.3, $g_{3}$ can be considered as an element of $K\left[x_{\rho}, \rho \in J_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right]$ equal to $g_{2}$ and by putting $\beta_{\rho}^{\prime}=\alpha_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}$ for all $\rho \in J_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ and $\beta_{\rho}^{\prime}=1$ for all $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ such that $\rho \subset\left(\sigma^{\prime} \backslash \sigma\right)$, we get a root $\beta^{\prime} \in\left(K^{*}\right)^{\Sigma(1) \backslash \sigma(1)}$ of $g_{3}$. Finally by putting $\beta_{\rho}=\beta_{\rho}^{\prime}$ for all $\rho \in \Sigma(1) \backslash \sigma(1)$ and $\beta_{\rho}=0$ for all $\rho \in \sigma(1)$, we get the desired root $\beta \in K^{\Sigma(1)}$ :

$$
f(\beta)=g_{3}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)=g_{2}\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)=g_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=f(\alpha)=0 .
$$

The proposition is proved.

### 3.3 Global low toric degree

Definition 3.6. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a complete simplicial toric variety. Let $D$ be a nef Cartier divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$, let $\phi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ be the toric morphism of Theorem 1.2 , contracting all the rational curves having zero intersection with $D$ and let $\bar{\phi}: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \bar{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the associated linear map.

We say that $D$ has global low toric degree if there exist a 1-cycle $C$ on $X_{\Sigma}$ and a subset $I \subset J_{C}^{+}$with cardinal at least 2 such that the following conditions hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<C \cdot D<\sum_{\rho \in I} C \cdot D_{\rho} \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \rho \in I, \exists \bar{\sigma} \in \bar{\Sigma}(n), \bar{\phi}\left(J_{C} \backslash\{\rho\}\right) \subset \bar{\sigma} \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.7. Let $K$ be a $C_{1}$ field satisfying hypothesis (H1) and $X_{\Sigma}$ be a complete simplicial toric variety satisfying hypotheses (H2) and (H3). Let $D$ be a nef Cartier divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$ defined over $K$. If $D$ has global low degree then it has a rational point over $K$.

Proof - Let $f \in S_{[D]}$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $[D]$ such that

$$
D=\mathbf{V}(f)=\left\{\pi(x) \in X_{\Sigma} \mid f(x)=0\right\}
$$

where $\pi$ is the good geometric quotient. Using A, Lemma 3.1 gives us a root $\alpha \in K^{\Sigma(1)}$ such that

$$
\left\{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \mid \alpha_{\rho}=0\right\} \subset J_{C} \backslash\{\rho\}
$$

for some $\rho \in I$. Then by use of $(\bar{B})$, Proposition 3.5 allows us to construct a rational point of $D$ out of $\alpha$. This proves the theorem.

Proposition 3.8. In Definition 3.6 we may assume that the class [C] satisfies the following additional properties :
(i) There exist a cone $\alpha \in \Sigma$ such that $J_{C}^{-} \subset \alpha(1)$. In particular the class $[C]$ is effective.
(ii) The set $J_{C}$ is minimally linearly dependent, i.e., the space of linear relations between the minimal generators $u_{\rho}$ for $\rho \in J_{C}$ has dimension one. In other words we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall\left[C_{1}\right] \in \overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right), J_{C_{1}} \subset J_{C} \Rightarrow\left[C_{1}\right] \in \mathbb{R}_{+}[C] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove Proposition 3.8 we need the following technical lemma.
Lemma 3.9. Let $D$ be a global low toric degree divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$ and let $C$ be a 1-cycle and $I \subset J_{C}^{+}$a subset satisfying conditions $(A)$ and (B) of Definition 3.6. Let $\gamma=\bar{J}_{C} \backslash I \quad{ }^{\phi} \in \Sigma_{[D]}$ be the minimal cone of $\Sigma_{[D]}$ containing $J_{C} \backslash I$ and let $k$ be its dimension. For any subset $\mathcal{B} \subset \Sigma(1)$ of cardinality $k$ such that $\left\{u_{\rho} \mid \rho \in \mathcal{B}\right\}$ is linearly independent and included in $\gamma$, there exists a 1-cycle $C^{\prime}$ such that $\left(C^{\prime}, I\right)$ satisfies conditions $(A)$ and $(B)$ and $J_{C^{\prime}} \backslash I \subset \mathcal{B}$.
Proof - By induction on the cardinality of $\left(J_{C} \backslash I\right) \backslash \mathcal{B}$ it is sufficient to prove that for any $\rho_{0} \in\left(J_{C} \backslash I\right) \backslash \mathcal{B}$ there exists a 1-cycle $C^{\prime}$ such that $\left(C^{\prime}, I\right)$ satisfies conditions (A) and (B) and $J_{C^{\prime}} \backslash I \subset J_{C} \backslash\left\{\rho_{0}\right\} \cup \mathcal{B}$. Let $\rho_{0} \in\left(J_{C} \backslash I\right) \backslash \mathcal{B}$. Since $\rho_{0} \in \gamma$ and the real span of $\mathcal{B}$ is equal to the one of $\gamma$, we have a relation

$$
\sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{B} \cup\left\{\rho_{0}\right\}} \lambda_{\rho} u_{\rho}=0, \quad \lambda_{\rho} \in \mathbb{Q}, \lambda_{\rho_{0}}>0
$$

Let $C_{0}$ be a 1-cycle whose class is given by this relation. By Corollary 3.4. $J_{C_{0}} \subset \gamma$ implies $C_{0} \cdot D=0$. Similarly $C \cdot D>0$ implies that $J_{C}$ in not contained in a single cone of $\Sigma_{[D]}$. In particular for all $\rho \in I$ we have $J_{C} \not \subset{\overline{J_{C} \backslash\{\rho\}}}^{\phi}$ and hence $\rho \notin{\overline{J_{C} \backslash\{\rho\}}}^{\phi}$. A fortiori we have $\rho \not \subset \gamma$ and hence $\rho \notin J_{C_{0}}$, that is $C_{0} \cdot D_{\rho}=0$.

It follows that putting $C^{\prime}=\lambda_{\rho_{0}} C-C_{0} \cdot D_{\rho_{0}} C_{0}$ we have

$$
0<C^{\prime} \cdot D=\lambda_{\rho_{0}} C \cdot D<\lambda_{\rho_{0}} \sum_{\rho \in I} C \cdot D_{\rho}=\sum_{\rho \in I} C^{\prime} \cdot D_{\rho}
$$

which shows that condition (A) is satisfied by $\left(C^{\prime}, I\right)$.
Moreover, by construction we have $J_{C^{\prime}} \subset J_{C} \cup J_{C_{0}}$ and

$$
C^{\prime} \cdot D_{\rho_{0}}=\lambda_{\rho_{0}} C \cdot D_{\rho_{0}}-C_{0} \cdot D_{\rho_{0}} C_{0} \cdot D_{\rho_{0}}=0
$$

It follows that $J_{C^{\prime}} \subset J_{C} \cup J_{C_{0}} \backslash\left\{\rho_{0}\right\} \subset J_{C} \backslash\left\{\rho_{0}\right\} \cup \mathcal{B}$ as expected.
Finally for all $\rho \in I$ we have $J_{C^{\prime}} \backslash\{\rho\} \subset J_{C} \backslash\{\rho\} \cup \mathcal{B} \subset{\overline{J_{C} \backslash\{\rho\}}}^{\phi} \cup \gamma={\overline{J_{C} \backslash\{\rho\}}}^{\phi}$ and $\left(C^{\prime}, I\right)$ satisfies also condition (B). The lemma is proved.

Proof of Proposition 3.8 - Let $C$ be a 1-cycle and $I \subset J_{C}^{+}$a subset satisfying conditions (A) and (B). Let $\gamma={\overline{J_{C} \backslash I}}^{\phi} \in \Sigma_{[D]}$ be the smallest cone of $\Sigma_{[D]}$ containing $J_{C} \backslash I$ and let $k$ be its dimension. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma(k)$ be a cone contained in $\gamma$. By Lemma 3.9 there exists a 1-cycle $C^{\prime}$ such that $\left(C^{\prime}, I\right)$ satisfies conditions $(\mathrm{A})$ and $(\mathrm{B})$ and $J_{C^{\prime}} \backslash I \subset \alpha(1)$.

In particular $\left[C^{\prime}\right] \in \overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)=\operatorname{Nef}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)^{\vee}$ because any nef class $\left[D^{\prime}\right]$ contains an effective divisor whose support does not contain $V(\alpha)$ and hence verifies $\left[C^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[D^{\prime}\right] \geqslant 0$. This proves part ( $i$ ).

It remains to prove that for all $\left[C_{1}\right] \in \overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ such that $J_{C_{1}} \subset J_{C^{\prime}}$ we have $\left[C_{1}\right] \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\left[C^{\prime}\right]$.
Let $\left[C_{1}\right] \in \overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ be an effective class of curve such that $J_{C_{1}} \subset J_{C^{\prime}}$ and let us put $\gamma_{\rho}={\overline{J_{C^{\prime}} \backslash\{\rho\}}}^{\phi}$ for the smallest cone of $\Sigma_{[D]}$ containing $J_{C^{\prime}} \backslash\{\rho\}$.

Let us suppose first that there exists $\rho_{1} \in I$ such that $\rho_{1} \notin J_{C_{1}}$.
The fact that $J_{C_{1}} \subset J_{C^{\prime}} \backslash\{\rho\}$ implies that the sets $J_{C_{1}}^{+}$and $J_{C_{1}}^{-}$are each contained in a cone of the (possibly degenerate) fan $\Sigma_{[D]}$. Let us denote by $\beta_{ \pm}={\overline{J_{C_{1}}^{ \pm}}}^{\phi}$ the smallest of these cones. Since we have $\sum_{\rho \in J_{C_{1}}} C_{1} \cdot D_{\rho} u_{\rho}=0$, we can consider the vector

$$
v=\sum_{\rho \in J_{C_{1}}^{+}} \underbrace{C_{1} \cdot D_{\rho}}_{>0} u_{\rho}=\sum_{\rho \in J_{C_{1}}^{-}} \underbrace{\left(-C_{1} \cdot D_{\rho}\right.}_{>0}) u_{\rho}
$$

By construction, $v$ lies in the relative interior of $\beta_{+}$and $\beta_{-}$. But even if the complete fan $\Sigma_{[D]}$ is degenerate, the relative interior of its cones form a partition of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ (with the convention
that the minimal cone is its own relative interior). This implies that $\beta_{+}=\beta_{-}$and we will


Now let us take $\rho \in I$. We have either $\rho \notin J_{C_{1}}^{+}$or $\rho \notin J_{C_{1}}^{-}$, that is either $J_{C_{1}}^{+} \subset J_{C^{\prime}} \backslash\{\rho\}$ or $J_{C_{1}}^{-} \subset J_{C^{\prime}} \backslash\{\rho\}$. In either case this implies $\beta \subset \gamma_{\rho}$. As we have seen in the proof of Lemma 3.9. condition (A) implies that $\rho \not \subset \gamma_{\rho}$ because otherwise we would have $J_{C^{\prime}} \subset \gamma_{\rho}$ and $C^{\prime} \cdot D=0$ by Corollary 3.4 It follows that $\rho \not \subset \beta$ and hence $\rho \notin J_{C_{1}}$. Since this is true for all $\rho \in I$, we have $I \cap J_{C_{1}}=\emptyset$ or equivalently $J_{C_{1}} \subset J_{C^{\prime}} \backslash I \subset \alpha(1)$, which implies $\left[C_{1}\right]=0$ since $\alpha$ is a simplicial cone.

This proves that any class $\left[C_{1}\right] \in \overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ such that $J_{C_{1}} \subset J_{C^{\prime}}$ and $I \not \subset J_{C_{1}}$ is trivial.
Now let us consider $\left[C_{2}\right] \in \overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ with $J_{C_{2}} \subset J_{C^{\prime}}$ and $I \subset J_{C_{2}}$. If we had $J_{C_{2}}^{+} \subset$ $J_{C^{\prime}} \backslash I \subset \alpha(1)$ it would imply $\left[-C_{2}\right] \in \overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ by the argument we used to prove that $\left[C^{\prime}\right] \in \overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$. Since we cannot have $\left[C_{2}\right] \in \overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ and $\left[-C_{2}\right] \in \overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ it follows that there exists $\rho_{2} \in J_{C_{2}}^{+} \cap I$. Let us put $\lambda_{2}=\frac{C_{2} \cdot D_{\rho_{2}}}{C^{\prime} \cdot D_{\rho_{2}}} \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}^{*}$ and $C_{1}=C_{2}-\lambda_{2} C^{\prime}$. By construction we have $J_{C_{1}} \subset J_{C^{\prime}} \cup J_{C_{2}}=J_{C^{\prime}}$ and

$$
C_{1} \cdot D_{\rho_{2}}=C_{2} \cdot D_{\rho_{2}}-\lambda_{2} C^{\prime} \cdot D_{\rho_{2}}=0
$$

It follows that $\rho_{2} \notin J_{C_{1}}$ and in particular $I \not \subset J_{C_{1}}$, which implies $\left[C_{1}\right]=0$ as above, that is $\left[C_{2}\right]=\lambda_{2}\left[C^{\prime}\right]$.

This proves part (ii) and the proof is complete.
We now show that the global low toric degree property can be transmited by a bilinear map provided its inverse is surjective in codimension 1 and stays over the variety $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ defined out of $[D]$. Let us first precise this notion.

Definition 3.10. Let $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ be a complete toric variety. A toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$ over $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ is a variety such that there exists a proper toric morphism $\phi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$. By extension we say that $\xi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ is an equivariant rational map over $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ if both $X_{\Sigma}$ and $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ lie over $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ and the corresponding toric morphisms $\phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ make the following diagram commutative :


Lemma 3.11. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a complete simplicial toric variety and $D$ be a nef Cartier divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$. Let $\phi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ be the toric morphism of Theorem 1.2, contracting all the rational curves having zero intersection with $D$ and let $\bar{D}$ be the ample divisor on $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ such that $D=\phi^{*} \bar{D}$.

Let $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ be another simplicial projective toric variety over $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$. Then putting $D^{\prime}=\phi^{* *} \bar{D}$ we have

$$
X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{\left[D^{\prime}\right]}}=X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}} .
$$

Proof - Let us assume for beginning that there exists a morphism $\psi: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$. Then the composition $\phi \circ \psi$ is the toric morphism induced by the refinement of the generalized fan $\Sigma_{[D]}$ by the fan $\Sigma^{\prime}$, hence it is the morphism $\phi^{\prime}$. Moreover $\phi \circ \psi$ contracts all the curves having zero intersection with $D^{\prime}$. It follows that $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{\left[D^{\prime}\right]}}=X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ in this case.

Let us now turn to the general case. Let us denote by $m$ and $n$ the respective dimensions of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ and $\Sigma$. Let us consider a common refinement $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of the fans $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ These refinements induce morphisms $\psi: X_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ and $\psi^{\prime}: X_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$. Moreover, since $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ refine $\Sigma_{[D]}$,
we have a commutative diagram


If we put $\tilde{D}=\psi^{*} D$, we have $\tilde{D}=\psi^{*} \phi^{*} \bar{D}=\psi^{\prime *} \phi^{\prime *} \bar{D}=\psi^{\prime *} D^{\prime}$ and by the reasoning made above, this implies

$$
X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{\left[D^{\prime}\right]}}=X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[\tilde{D}]}}=X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}} .
$$

The lemma is proved.

Corollary 3.12. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a complete simplicial toric variety and $D$ be a nef Cartier divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$. Let us consider an equivariant birational map $\xi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ over $X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$, and let $\phi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$ and $\phi^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$ be the corresponding proper morphisms.

Then putting $D^{\prime}=\xi_{*} D$, we have $\Sigma_{\left[D^{\prime}\right]}=\Sigma_{[D]}$ and $\phi^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_{\left[D^{\prime}\right]}}$ is the toric morphism contracting all the curves having zero intersection with $D^{\prime}$.

Proof - Let $\bar{D}$ be the ample divisor on $X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$ such that $D=\phi^{*} \bar{D}$. Since $\phi=\phi^{\prime} \circ \xi$ we have $D=\xi^{*} \phi^{* *} \bar{D}$ and since $\xi$ is birational, this gives $D^{\prime}=\xi_{*} D=\xi_{*} \xi^{*} \phi^{\prime *} \bar{D}=\phi^{\prime *} \bar{D}$. Then by Lemma 3.11 we have $X_{\Sigma_{\left[D^{\prime}\right]}}=X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$ and since $D^{\prime}=\phi^{* *} \bar{D}$ with $\bar{D}$ ample, it follows that the curves in $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ having zero intersection with $D^{\prime}$ are exactly the ones whose numerical class belongs to the relative Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} / \Sigma_{\left[D^{\prime}\right]}\right)$. The corollary is proved.

Proposition 3.13. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a complete simplicial toric variety and $D$ be a nef Cartier divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$. Let $\xi: X_{\Sigma \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}}$ be a birational equivariant map surjective in codimension 1 over $X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$. If $\xi_{*} D$ has global low toric degree, then so has $D$.

Proof - We may assume that $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ belong to the same real vector space $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ so that every 1-dimensional cone $\rho \in \Sigma^{\prime}(1)$ can be considered as an element of $\Sigma(1)$. The associated toric divisors of $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ are denoted $D_{\rho}^{\prime}$ while those of $X_{\Sigma}$ are denoted $D_{\rho}$.

By hypothesis the conditions of Definition 3.6 are satisfied by $D^{\prime}:=\xi_{*} D:$ there exists a 1-cycle $C^{\prime}$ on $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ and a subset $I \subset J_{C^{\prime}}^{+}$with cardinality at least 2 such that

$$
\forall \rho \in I, \exists \bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma_{\left[D^{\prime}\right]}, J_{C^{\prime}} \backslash\{\rho\} \subset \bar{\sigma}
$$

and

$$
0<C^{\prime} \cdot D^{\prime}<\sum_{\rho \in I} C^{\prime} \cdot D_{\rho}^{\prime}
$$

But since $\xi$ is birational over $X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$, it follows from Corollary 3.12 that $\Sigma_{\left[D^{\prime}\right]}=\Sigma_{[D]}$. Then we only have to remark that by definition of the numerical pullback of curves, if $C$ is any 1-cycle on $X_{\Sigma}$ such that $[C]=\xi_{n u m}^{*}\left(\left[C^{\prime}\right]\right)$, then we have $J_{C}=J_{C^{\prime}}, C \cdot D=C^{\prime} \cdot \xi_{*}(D)$ and $\sum_{\rho \in I} C \cdot D_{\rho}=\sum_{\rho \in I} C^{\prime} \cdot D_{\rho}^{\prime}$ so that conditions of Definition 3.6 are also satisfied by $D$. The proposition is proved.

### 3.4 Global vs. Direct low toric degree

The name global low toric degree comes from the fact that in Definition 3.6 the intersection numbers are taken in the ambient variety $X_{\Sigma}$, which can differ from the intersection numbers computed in a subvariety if $X_{\Sigma}$ is singular, as noticed in paragraph 1.4.4.

It turns out that if the divisor $D$ may as well have global low toric degree without having direct low toric degree as have direct low toric degree but not global low toric degree.

We have seen an example of the first case in Example 2.8 and here is an example of the second :

Example 3.14. TBA : A singular surface of Picard number 2 with a divisor having intersection 1 with a toric curve but not global low toric degree

It is worth noticing that when $X_{\Sigma}$ is smooth, the intersection numbers in the ambient and in its subvarieties coincide such that a direct low toric degree implies a global low toric degree, the converse being false in general.

The following result shows that for ample divisors, global low toric degree implies direct low toric degree.

Proposition 3.15. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective toric variety and $D$ be an ample divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$. The following conditions are equivalent :
(1) There exist an effective 1-cycle $C$ and a subset $I \subset \Sigma(1)$ such that

$$
C \cdot D<\sum_{\rho \in I} C \cdot D_{\rho}
$$

(2) There exists an extremal class $[C]$ such that

$$
C \cdot D<\sum_{\rho \in J_{C}^{+}} C \cdot D_{\rho}
$$

Proof - The implication (2) $\Rightarrow(1)$ is trivial. Let us show that (1) implies (2).
Let $C$ be an effective 1-cycle and $I \subset \Sigma(1)$ a subset such that (1) holds. Since $X_{\Sigma}$ is projective there exist extremal classes $\left[C_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[C_{m}\right]$ such that

$$
[C]=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i} C_{i}\right] \text { with } \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{l} \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}^{*} .
$$

For all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant l$, we have by definition of $J_{C_{i}}^{+}$:

$$
\sum_{\rho \in J_{C_{i}}^{+}} C_{i} \cdot D_{\rho} \geqslant \sum_{\rho \in J_{C_{i}}^{+} \cap I} C_{i} \cdot D_{\rho} \geqslant \sum_{\rho \in I} C_{i} \cdot D_{\rho} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i} C_{i} \cdot D=C \cdot D<\sum_{\rho \in I} C \cdot D_{\rho}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i} \sum_{\rho \in I} C_{i} \cdot D_{\rho} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i} \sum_{\rho \in J_{C_{i}}^{+}} C_{i} \cdot D_{\rho}
$$

so that we must have

$$
C_{i} \cdot D<\sum_{\rho \in J_{C_{i}}^{+}} C_{i} \cdot D_{\rho}
$$

for at least one $i \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$.
This shows that (2) holds and the proposition is proved.

## 4 Low toric degree : general definition

This section is devoted to state and prove the main theorem of the article, on which is based our definition of a low toric degree.

Theorem 4.1. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective toric variety.
Let $D$ be a nef Cartier divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$. Let $\phi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ be the toric morphism of Theorem 1.2, contracting all the rational curves having zero intersection with $D$ and let $\bar{D}$ be an ample divisor on $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ such that $D$ is linearly equivalent to the pullback $\phi^{*} \bar{D}$.

The following conditions are equivalent :
(i) The ample divisor $\bar{D}$ has direct low toric degree.
(ii) There exist a small modification $\nu: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ over $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ and a subvariety $V^{\prime} \subset X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ such that the restriction $\left(\nu_{*} D\right)_{\mid V^{\prime}}$ has global low toric degree.
(iii) There exists a sequence of blow-ups $\psi: X_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ such that $X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ is smooth and the divisor $\tilde{D}=\psi^{*} D$ has global low toric degree.

Definition 4.2. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective toric variety. A Weil divisor $D$ on $X_{\Sigma}$ is said to have low toric degree if it is not nef and Cartier or if it is nef and Cartier and satisfies conditions of Theorem 4.1.

Before proving Theorem 4.1, let us show that the low toric degree condition ensures as expected the existence of rational points over $C_{1}$ fields.

Corollary 4.3. Let $K$ be a $C_{1}$ field satisfying hypothesis (H1) and $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective toric variety.

Every low toric degree divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$ admits a rational point over $K$.
Proof - Let $D$ be an effective divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$. If $D$ is not Cartier and nef then it has a $K$-rational point by Proposition 2.2. If it is Cartier and nef then by hypothesis condition (i) of Theorem 4.1 is satisfied. In particular the pullback $\tilde{D}$ of $D$ by $\psi$ admits a rational point $p \in \tilde{D}$. Then the image of this point by $\psi$ is a rational point $\psi(p)$ in $D$. This proves the corollary.

The proof of the equivalences of Theorem 4.1 goes circularly according to the following scheme :


Proposition 4.4. In the conditions of Theorem 4.1, the following two conditions are equivalent :
(i) The ample divisor $\bar{D}$ has direct low toric degree.
(ii) There exists a small surjective toric morphism $\bar{\nu}: X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ where $\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ is simplicial, such that the divisor $\bar{D}^{\prime}=\bar{\nu}^{*} \bar{D}$ has direct low toric degree.

The proof of Proposition 4.4 is based on the following two lemmas :
Lemma 4.5. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective toric variety. Let $D$ be a nef Cartier divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$ and $\phi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$ be the toric morphism of Theorem 1.2. If $D$ has direct low toric degree then so has $\bar{D}$.

Proof - This is a consequence of the effect of extremal contractions on toric subviarieties of Picard number 1: they are either contracted to a point or left unchanged. If $D$ has direct low toric degree there exist a toric subvariety $W \subset X_{\Sigma}$ of Picard number 1 and a 1-cycle $C$ on $W$ such that

$$
0<C \cdot D_{\mid W}<C \cdot\left(-K_{W}\right)
$$

Let us denote by $j: W \hookrightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ the inclusion map. Since $\phi$ is a composition of extremal contractions and $C$ is not contracted by $\phi$ because

$$
j_{*} C \cdot D=C \cdot j^{*} D=C \cdot D_{\mid W}>0
$$

it follows that the restriction of $\phi$ to $W$ is an isomorphism

$$
\phi_{\mid W}: W \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{W} .
$$

In particular in $\bar{W}$ we again have

$$
0<\bar{C} \cdot \bar{D}_{\mid \bar{W}}<C \cdot\left(-K_{\bar{W}}\right)
$$

and $\bar{D}$ has direct low toric degree. The lemma is proved.
Lemma 4.6. Let $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ be a non simplicial projective toric variety and $\alpha \in \bar{\Sigma} a$ cone such that the toric subvariety $V(\alpha)$ is simplicial. There exist a small toric morphism $\bar{\nu}: X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ with $\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ simplicial and a cone $\alpha^{\prime} \in \bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ such that the restriction $\bar{\nu}_{\mid V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)}: V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow V(\alpha)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof - It is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 of CvR09. Let us denote by $\bar{N}$ the lattice of 1-parameter subgroups of the open torus $\bar{T} \subset X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$, by $m$ its dimension and by $k$ the dimension of $\alpha$. Recall that the fan of $V(\alpha)$ in $\bar{N}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{R}}=\left(\bar{N} / \bar{N}_{\alpha}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is $\operatorname{Star}(\alpha)=\{\pi(\sigma) \mid \alpha \preceq \sigma \in \bar{\Sigma}\}$, where $\pi: \bar{N} \rightarrow \bar{N}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the quotient by $\bar{N}_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{R}$. This fan is simplicial if and only if $\pi(\sigma)$ is simplicial for each $\sigma \in \bar{\Sigma}$ containing $\alpha$. This is equivalent to ask that for each $\sigma \in \bar{\Sigma}$ containing $\alpha$ there exist linearly independent 1 -dimensional cones $\rho_{k+1}^{\sigma}, \ldots, \rho_{n}^{\sigma}$ in $\bar{\Sigma}$ such that

$$
\pi(\sigma)=\operatorname{Cone}\left(\pi\left(\rho_{k+1}^{\sigma}\right), \ldots, \pi\left(\rho_{n}^{\sigma}\right)\right)
$$

Let us choose $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}$ in $\alpha(1)$ and put $P=\left\{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \preceq \sigma}\left\{\rho_{k+1}^{\sigma}, \ldots, \rho_{n}^{\sigma}\right\}$. By construction, for $\sigma \in \bar{\Sigma}(n)$ we have either $P \cap \sigma(1)=\left\{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}, \rho_{k+1}^{\sigma}, \ldots, \rho_{n}^{\sigma}\right\}$ if $\sigma$ contains $\alpha$ or $P \cap \sigma(1)=\emptyset$. In particular for any cone $\beta \in \bar{\Sigma}$, the intersection $P \cap \beta(1)$ is linearly independent. Then Theorem 3.1 of CvR09 precisely says that there exists a simplicial refinement $\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ of $\bar{\Sigma}$ with $\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}(1)=\bar{\Sigma}(1)$ such that any $P \cap \beta(1)$ generate a cone in $\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}$. In particular we have the cones $\alpha^{\prime}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}\right)$ and $\sigma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}, \rho_{k+1}^{\sigma}, \ldots, \rho_{n}^{\sigma}\right)$ in $\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}$, so that $N_{\alpha^{\prime}}=N_{\alpha}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Star}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Cone}\left(\pi\left(\rho_{k+1}^{\sigma}\right), \ldots, \pi\left(\rho_{n}^{\sigma}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Star}(\alpha) \subset N(\alpha)_{\mathbb{R}}=N\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbb{R}}
$$

It follows that $V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \simeq V(\alpha)$ and since $\alpha^{\prime} \subset \alpha, V(\alpha)$ is the image of $V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ by $\bar{\nu}$ and the lemma is proved.

Proof of Proposition 4.4 - If $\bar{\Sigma}$ is simplicial then the morphism $\bar{\nu}$ is an automorphism of $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ and there is nothing to prove.

If $\bar{\Sigma}$ is not simplicial then the implication $(i i) \Rightarrow(i)$ follows directly from Lemma 4.5 since the morphism $\bar{\nu}: X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ is exactly the one contracting all the curves having zero intersection with $\bar{D}^{\prime}$.

For the reverse implication, it follows from Lemma 4.6 that there exist a small surjective toric morphism $\bar{\nu}: X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ with $\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ simplicial and a toric subvariety $V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}}$ such that the restriction $\bar{\nu}_{\mid V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)}: V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow V(\alpha)$ is an isomorphism. It is then clear that the restriction ${\overline{D^{\prime}}}_{\mid V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)}$ has low degree in the sense of Corollary 2.4 if and only if $\bar{D}_{\mid V(\alpha)}$ has. The proposition is proved.

Proposition 4.7. Let $X_{\Sigma}, X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ be simplicial projective toric varieties and let $\xi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ be an equivariant rational map surjective in codimension 1. Then there exist simplicial projective toric varieties $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}, X_{\Sigma^{\prime \prime}}$ and a factorization $\xi=\pi \circ \psi \circ \nu$ where

- $\nu: X_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ is a sequence of extremal fips,
- $\psi: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime \prime}}$ is a sequence of extremal divisorial contractions, and
- $\pi: X_{\Sigma^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ is a sequence of extremal fibering contractions.


The proof of Proposition 4.7 is a repeated application of the following two lemmas.
Lemma 4.8. Let $X_{\Sigma_{1}}, X_{\Sigma_{2}}, X_{\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}}$ be simplicial projective toric varieties. Suppose that there exist a sequence of extremal divisorial contractions $\psi: X_{\Sigma_{1}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_{2}}$ and a sequence of extremal flips $\nu: X_{\Sigma_{2}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}}$. Then there exist a simplicial projective toric variety $X_{\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}}$, a sequence of extremal flips $\nu^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma_{1} \rightarrow-X_{\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}}}$ and a sequence of extremal divisorial contractions $\psi^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}} \rightarrow$ $X_{\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}}$ making the following diagram commutative :


Proof - Let us denote by $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}$ the 1-dimensional cones of $\Sigma_{1}$ corresponding to the toric divisors contracted by $\psi$. We have $\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}(1)=\Sigma_{2}(1)=\Sigma_{1}(1) \backslash\left\{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}\right\}$. Let $\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ be the fan obtained from $\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}$ by a sequence of star subdivisions at $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}$. The refinement induces a birational toric morphism (i.e. a sequence of extremal divisorial contractions) $\psi^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}}$. Since $\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}(1)=\Sigma_{1}(1)$, the rational map $\nu^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma_{1}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}}$ making the diagram commutative is an isomorphism in codimension 1, i.e. a sequence of extremal flips. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 4.9. Let $X_{\Sigma_{1}}, X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{1}}, X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{2}}$ be simplicial projective toric varieties. Suppose that there exist an extremal fibering contraction $\pi: X_{\Sigma_{1}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{1}}$ and a toric birational map $\xi: X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{1}} \rightarrow$ $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{1}}$ surjective in codimension 1. Then there exist a simplicial projective toric variety $X_{\Sigma_{2}}$, an equivariant birational map $\xi^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma_{1}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_{2}}$ surjective in codimension 1 and an extremal fibering contraction $\pi^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma_{2}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{2}}$ making the following diagram commutative :


Proof - Let us denote by $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ the space containing the fan $\Sigma_{1}$, by $\bar{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ the one containing $\bar{\Sigma}_{1}$ and $\bar{\Sigma}_{2}$, and by $\bar{\pi}: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \bar{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ the linear map associated to $\pi$. Since $\pi$ is an extremal fibering contraction, there exist two subfans $\widehat{\Sigma}_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{0}$ of $\Sigma_{1}$ such that $\Sigma_{0}=\left\{\sigma \in \Sigma_{1} \mid \sigma \subset \operatorname{ker} \bar{\pi}\right\}$ is the fan of the general fiber of $\pi$ and $\bar{\pi}$ induces a bijection from $\widehat{\Sigma}_{1}$ to $\bar{\Sigma}_{1}$ such that we have

$$
\Sigma_{1}=\left\{\sigma_{0}+\widehat{\sigma} \mid \sigma_{0} \in \Sigma_{0}, \widehat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\Sigma}_{1}\right\}
$$

In particular for each $\bar{\rho} \in \bar{\Sigma}_{1}(1)$ there is a unique $\rho \in \widehat{\Sigma}_{1}(1)$ such that $\bar{\pi}(\rho)=\bar{\rho}$. Since by hypothesis we have $\bar{\Sigma}_{2}(1) \subset \bar{\Sigma}_{1}(1)$ we can define the fan

$$
\widehat{\Sigma}_{2}=\left\{\operatorname{Cone}\left(\rho \in \widehat{\Sigma}_{1}(1) \mid \bar{\pi}(\rho) \in \bar{\sigma}(1)\right) \mid \bar{\sigma} \in \bar{\Sigma}_{2}\right\}
$$

Then the fan

$$
\Sigma_{2}=\left\{\sigma_{0}+\widehat{\sigma} \mid \sigma_{0} \in \Sigma_{0}, \widehat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\Sigma}_{2}\right\}
$$

is a simplicial fan such that $\bar{\pi}$ is compatible with $\Sigma_{2}$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\bar{\Sigma}_{2}$ in $\bar{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$, inducing a surjective morphism $\pi^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma_{2}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{2}}$. The fact that $\Sigma_{2}(1) \subset \Sigma_{1}(1)$ implies the existence of an equivariant birational map $\xi^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma_{1}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_{2}}$ making the diagram commutative. The lemma is proved.

Proposition 4.10. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ and $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ be simplicial projective toric varieties and $\xi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ be a toric morphism composition of extremal contractions of divisorial and/or fibering type. For any subvariety $V^{\prime} \subset X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ there exists a subvariety $V \subset X_{\Sigma}$ such that the restriction $\xi_{\mid V}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is a birational toric morphism.
Proof - By hypothesis it is sufficient to prove the result in the two special cases where $\xi$ is an extremal contraction either of divisorial or of fibering type.

The fibering type case is the simplest because in this case there exists an equivariant section $s: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ of $\xi$, so that taking $V=s\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ gives the result.

Let us turn to the divisorial type case. Let $D_{\rho_{0}}$ denote the divisor of $X_{\Sigma}$ contracted by $\xi$ and $V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ its image in $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$. We have

$$
\Sigma=\left\{\sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\prime} \mid \alpha^{\prime} \npreceq \sigma^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{\operatorname{Cone}\left(\sigma^{\prime}(1) \backslash\{\rho\} \cup\left\{\rho_{0}\right\}\right) \mid \sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime} \preceq \sigma^{\prime}, \rho \in \alpha^{\prime}(1)\right\}
$$

Let us denote by $\beta^{\prime}$ the cone of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ such that $V^{\prime}=V\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$. We have four cases to distinguish :
$1^{\text {st }}$ case Let us suppose the intersection $V^{\prime} \cap V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ empty, that is $\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime} \notin \Sigma^{\prime}$. Then all the cones of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ containing $\beta^{\prime}$ are also cones of $\Sigma$. It follows that $\operatorname{Star}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ stays unchanged and by taking $V=V\left(\beta^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{\Sigma}$, the restriction $(\xi)_{\mid V}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism.
$2^{\text {nd }}$ case Let us suppose that the intersection $V^{\prime} \cap V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ has codimension $\geqslant 2$ in $V^{\prime}$, that is $\alpha+\beta^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{Card}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(1) \backslash \beta^{\prime}(1)\right) \geqslant 2$. Then $\beta^{\prime}$ is again a cone of $\Sigma$ and the star subdivision of $\alpha^{\prime}$ in $\Sigma^{\prime}$ induces the star subdivision of the image of $\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Star}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$. In particular by taking $V=V\left(\beta^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{\Sigma}$, the morphism $(\xi)_{\mid V}$ is the extremal divisorial contraction of $\left(D_{\rho_{0}}\right)_{\mid V}$.
$3^{r d}$ case Let us now suppose that $V^{\prime} \cap V(\alpha)$ has codimension 1 in $V^{\prime}$, that is $\alpha(1) \backslash \beta^{\prime}(1)=\left\{\rho_{1}\right\}$. Then by taking $V=V\left(\beta^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{\Sigma}$ once more, we have an isomorphism $(\xi)_{\mid V}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ because there is a one-to-one correspondence between cones $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\prime}$ containing $\beta^{\prime}$ and cones $\operatorname{Cone}\left(\sigma(1) \backslash\left\{\rho_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{\rho_{0}\right\}\right)$ in $\Sigma$ and the latter have the same image in $\operatorname{Star}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ as the former.
$4^{\text {th }}$ case Finally if $V^{\prime}$ is a subvariety of $V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$, that is $\alpha^{\prime} \subset \beta^{\prime}$, then $(\xi)_{\mid D_{\rho_{0}}}: D_{\rho_{0}} \rightarrow V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ is an extremal fibering contraction and hence have an equivariant section $s: V(\alpha) \rightarrow D_{\rho_{0}}$. In this case also, taking $V=s\left(V^{\prime}\right) \subset D_{\rho_{0}} \subset X_{\Sigma}$ yields an isomorphism $(\xi)_{\mid V}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$.
In all the cases the restriction $\xi_{\mid V}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is a birational toric morphism. The lemma is proved.

Proposition 4.11. Let $X_{\Sigma_{1}}$ be a simplicial projective toric variety. Let $D_{1}$ be a nef Cartier divisor on $X_{\Sigma_{1}}, \phi_{1}: X_{\Sigma_{1}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}=X_{\Sigma_{\left[D_{1}\right]}}$ be the toric morphism of Theorem 1.2 and $\overline{\phi_{1}}$ : $N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \bar{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the associated linear map.

Suppose that there exist a 1-cycle $C_{1}$ on $X_{\Sigma_{1}}$ and a subset $I_{1} \subset J_{C_{1}}^{+}$such that the following conditions are satified:
(a)

$$
0<C_{1} \cdot D_{1}<\sum_{\rho \in I_{1}} C_{1} \cdot D_{\rho}
$$

(b)

$$
\exists \sigma \in \Sigma_{\left[D_{1}\right]}, \quad J_{C}^{-} \subset \sigma \quad \text { and } \quad \forall \rho \in I_{1}, \rho \not \subset \sigma .
$$

Then there exist a birational map $\xi: X_{\Sigma_{1} \rightarrow-} X_{\Sigma_{2}}$ surjective in codimension 1 (i.e. composition of divisorial contractions and flips) and a nef divisor $D_{2}$ on $X_{\Sigma_{2}}$ such that $D_{1}=\xi^{*} D_{2}$ and $D_{2}$ as direct low toric degree.

Proof - It is essentially an application of the relative logarithmic MMP for simplicial projective toric varieties. Let us consider the logarithmic MMP with boundary divisor $B=$ $\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma_{1}(1) \backslash I} D_{\rho}$ relative to the variety $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$. To begin with, we show that conditions (a) and (b) are invariant through each step of the MMP. For this let us describe the full algorithm, keeping track of what happens to $D_{1}, C_{1}$ and $I_{1}$.

We start with $X_{\Sigma}=X_{\Sigma_{1}}, D=D_{1}, \phi=\phi_{1}, C=C_{1}, I=I_{1}$ and $B=B_{1}$ and do the following steps :
(1) If $K_{X_{\Sigma}}+B$ is $\phi$-nef, then stop.
(2) If $K_{X_{\Sigma}}+B$ is not $\phi$-nef, then there is an extremal ray $R$ in the relative Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma} / X_{\bar{\Sigma}}\right)$ with $\left(K_{X_{\Sigma}}+B\right) \cdot R<0$. Let $\psi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ be the corresponding extremal contraction.
(3) If $\psi$ is fibering, then stop.
(4) If $\psi$ is divisorial, then replace ( $X_{\Sigma}, D, \phi, C, I, B$ ) with ( $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}, \psi_{*} D, \phi^{\prime}, \psi_{*} C, I^{\prime}, \psi_{*} B$ ) where $\phi^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ is the unique morphism satisfying $\phi=\phi^{\prime} \circ \psi$ and $I^{\prime}=I \cap \Sigma^{\prime}(1)$. Return to step (1) and continue.
(5) If $\psi$ is flipping and $\nu: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}^{+}$is the corresponding flip, then replace $\left(X_{\Sigma}, D, \phi, C, I, B\right)$ with $\left(X_{\Sigma}^{+}, \nu_{*} D, \phi^{+}, \nu_{*} C, I, \nu_{*} B\right)$ where $\phi^{+}: X_{\Sigma}^{+} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ is the unique morphism satisfying $\phi=\phi^{+} \circ \nu$. Return to step (1) and continue.

Let us begin with the flipping case. The flip $\nu: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}^{+}$is an isomorphism in codimension 1 and we have $\Sigma(1)=\Sigma^{+}(1)$. If we denote by $D_{\rho}$ and $D_{\rho}^{+}$the toric divisor corresponding to $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ respectively in $X_{\Sigma}$ and $X_{\Sigma}^{+}$, then the pushforward of divisor classes is the isomorphism $\nu_{*}: N^{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \rightarrow N^{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}^{+}\right)$induced by $\nu_{*} D_{\rho}=D_{\rho}^{+}$. By duality, the numerical pullback of curves is the isomorphism $\nu_{\text {num }}^{*}: N_{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}^{+}\right) \rightarrow N_{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ defined by

$$
\nu_{n u m}^{*}\left[C^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}\right]=\left[C^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}^{+}\right] \text {for all } \rho \in \Sigma(1) .
$$

Its inverse isomorphism is the pushforward of curve classes so that we also have

$$
\nu_{*}[C] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}^{+}\right]=[C] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}\right] \text { for all } \rho \in \Sigma(1) .
$$

It follows that conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied by $(D, C, I)$ if and only if they are satisfied by $\left(\nu_{*} D, \nu_{*} C, I\right)$.

Then let us turn to the divisorial case. The morphism $\psi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ is surjective and we have $\Sigma^{\prime}(1)=\Sigma(1) \backslash\left\{\rho_{0}\right\}$ where $D_{\rho_{0}}$ is the exceptional divisor in $X_{\Sigma}$. If we denote by $D_{\rho}$ and $D_{\rho}^{\prime}$ the toric divisor corresponding to $\rho \in \Sigma^{\prime}(1)$ respectively in $X_{\Sigma}$ and $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$, then the pushforward of divisor classes is the epimorphism $\psi_{*}: N^{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \rightarrow N^{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}^{+}\right)$induced by $\psi_{*} D_{\rho}=D_{\rho}^{\prime}$. By
duality, the numerical pullback of curves is the monomorphism $\psi_{n u m}^{*}: N_{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow N_{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ defined by

$$
\psi_{\text {num }}^{*}\left[C^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}\right]=\left[C^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}^{\prime}\right] \text { for all } \rho \in \Sigma^{\prime}(1) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{n u m}^{*}\left[C^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left[D_{\rho_{0}}\right]=0
$$

If $\left[C_{0}\right]$ is a generator of the extremal ray contracted by $\psi$, then the pushforward of curve classes is the unique epimorphism (with abuse of notation) $\psi_{*}: N_{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \rightarrow N_{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}^{+}\right)$sending [ $C_{0}$ ] to 0 and admitting $\psi_{n u m}^{*}$ as a section. In particular there exists a coefficient $\lambda_{[C]} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\psi_{n u m}^{*} \psi_{*}[C]=[C]+\lambda_{[C]}\left[C_{0}\right] .
$$

Since we work over $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ and $D$ is the pullback of an ample divisor $\bar{D}$ on $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$, so is the pushforward $\psi_{*} D$ of $D$ by $\psi$ and we have $D=\psi^{*} \psi_{*} D$. In particular, by the projection formula we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{*}[C] \cdot \psi_{*}[D]=[C] \cdot \psi^{*} \psi_{*}[D]=[C] \cdot[D] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $V^{\prime}=V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right), \alpha^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\prime}$, be the image of $D_{\rho_{0}}$ by $\psi$. We may assume that the class [ $C_{0}$ ] is given by the relation

$$
-u_{\rho_{0}}+\sum_{\rho \in \alpha^{\prime}(1)} c_{\rho} u_{\rho}, \quad \text { with } c_{\rho} \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}^{*} \text { for all } \rho \in \alpha^{\prime}(1)
$$

so that $\psi_{\text {num }}^{*} \psi_{*}[C] \cdot\left[D_{\rho_{0}}\right]=0$ implies $\lambda_{[C]}=[C] \cdot\left[D_{\rho_{0}}\right]$.
Note that in this situation, it follows from the definition of $\phi$-hull (Definition 3.2 ) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\overline{\left\{\rho_{0}\right\}}}^{\phi}={\overline{\alpha^{\prime}(1)}}^{\phi}={\overline{J_{C_{0}}}}^{\phi} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

To simplify notations let us put $[C] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}\right]=b_{\rho}$ for all $\rho \in J_{C}$ (in particular $\lambda_{[C]}=b_{\rho_{0}}$ ). Since $\psi_{\text {num }}^{*} \psi_{*}[C]=[C]+b_{\rho_{0}}\left[C_{0}\right]$, for $\rho \in \Sigma^{\prime}(1)$ we have

$$
\psi_{*}[C] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}^{\prime}\right]=\psi_{n u m}^{*} \psi_{*}[C] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}\right]= \begin{cases}b_{\rho} & \text { if } \rho \in J_{C} \backslash J_{C_{0}}  \tag{29}\\ b_{\rho}+b_{\rho_{0}} c_{\rho} & \text { if } \rho \in J_{C} \cap \alpha^{\prime}(1) \\ b_{\rho_{0}} c_{\rho} & \text { if } \rho \in \alpha^{\prime}(1) \backslash J_{C} \\ 0 & \text { if } \rho=\rho_{0} \text { or } \rho \in \Sigma^{\prime}(1) \backslash\left(J_{C} \cup J_{C_{0}}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

In particular we have $J_{C^{\prime}} \subset J_{C} \backslash\left\{\rho_{0}\right\} \cup \alpha^{\prime}(1)$.
We then distinguish three cases :
$1^{\text {st }}$ case If $\rho_{0} \notin J_{C}$ then $\psi_{*}[C] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}^{\prime}\right]=[C] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}\right]$ for all $\rho \in \Sigma^{\prime}(1)$ and conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied by $(D, C, I)$ if and only if they are satisfied by $\left(\psi_{*} D, \psi_{*} C, I\right)$.
$2^{n d}$ case If $\rho_{0} \in J_{C}^{-}$we claim that $C_{0} \cdot \sum_{\rho \in I} D_{\rho}=0$, or equivalently that $\left[C_{0}\right] \cdot\left(K_{X_{\Sigma}}+B\right)=0$, so that this case never occurs.
Indeed, it follows from (28) and condition (b) that

$$
{\overline{J_{C_{0}}}}^{\phi} \subset{\overline{J_{C}^{-}}}^{\phi} \subset \bar{\sigma}
$$

and for all $\rho \in I, \bar{\rho}^{\phi} \notin \bar{\sigma}$. In particular we have $I \cap J_{C_{0}}=\emptyset$ and $C_{0} \cdot \sum_{\rho \in I} D_{\rho}=0$ as claimed.
$3^{r d}$ case If $\rho_{0} \in J_{C}^{+}$then it follows from (29) that for all $\rho \in J_{C^{\prime}}$ we have $\psi_{*}[C] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}^{\prime}\right] \geqslant[C] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}\right]$. In particular $J_{C^{\prime}}^{-} \subset J_{C}^{-} \subset \sigma$ and since $I^{\prime}=I \cap \Sigma^{\prime}(1) \subset I$ we have for all $\rho \in I^{\prime}, \rho \not \subset \sigma$. This shows that condition (b) is satisfied by $C^{\prime}$ and $I^{\prime}$.

For condition (a) we may assume that $\rho_{0} \in I$ because otherwise we have $I^{\prime}=I$ and condition (a) for $C^{\prime}$ and $I^{\prime}$ is the same as for $C$ and $I$. Recall that with our notations we have

$$
\left[C_{0}\right] \cdot\left[D_{\rho}\right]= \begin{cases}c_{\rho} & \text { if } \rho \in \alpha^{\prime}(1) \\ -1 & \text { if } \rho=\rho_{0} \\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

The condition $\left(K_{X_{\Sigma}}+B\right) \cdot\left[C_{0}\right]<0$ coming from of step (2) is equivalent to $C_{0} \cdot \sum_{\rho \in I} D_{\rho}>$ 0 , that is

$$
\sum_{\rho \in \alpha^{\prime}(1) \cap I} c_{\rho}>1
$$

Since $\psi_{*} C \cdot D_{\rho_{0}}^{\prime}=0$ and $I \backslash J_{C_{0}} \subset J_{C} \backslash J_{C_{0}}$, we have by 29 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\rho \in I} \psi_{*} C \cdot D_{\rho}^{\prime} & =\sum_{\rho \in I \backslash\left\{\rho_{0}\right\}} \psi_{*} C \cdot D_{\rho}^{\prime} \\
& =\sum_{\rho \in \alpha^{\prime}(1) \cap I} \psi_{*} C \cdot D_{\rho}^{\prime}+\sum_{\rho \in I \backslash J_{C_{0}}} \psi_{*} C \cdot D_{\rho}^{\prime} \\
& =\sum_{\rho \in \alpha^{\prime}(1) \cap I} b_{\rho}+b_{\rho_{0}} c_{\rho}+\sum_{\rho \in I \backslash J_{C_{0}}} b_{\rho} \\
& =\sum_{\rho \in I \backslash\left\{\rho_{0}\right\}} b_{\rho}+\sum_{\rho \in \alpha^{\prime}(1) \cap I} b_{\rho_{0}} c_{\rho} \\
& >\sum_{\rho \in I \backslash\left\{\rho_{0}\right\}} b_{\rho}+b_{\rho_{0}}=\sum_{\rho \in I} b_{\rho}=\sum_{\rho \in I} C \cdot D_{\rho} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now with 27) this gives

$$
0<\psi_{*} C \cdot \psi_{*}[D]<\sum_{\rho \in I} \psi_{*} C \cdot D_{\rho}^{\prime}
$$

which shows that condition (a) is satisfied in this case too.
Finally, since steps (4) and (5) preserve conditions (a) and (b), it turns out that step (3) cannot occur because every extremal class of fibering type in the relative Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma} / X_{\bar{\Sigma}}\right)$ have zero intersection with $\left(K_{X_{\Sigma}}+B\right)$. Indeed let $\left[C_{0}\right]$ be such a class. We have $J_{C_{0}}=J_{C_{0}}^{+} \subset \operatorname{ker} \bar{\phi}$ so that by condition (b) $I \cap J_{C_{0}}=\emptyset$. In particular we have $C_{0} \cdot \sum_{\rho \in I} D_{\rho}=0$, that is $\left[C_{0}\right] \cdot\left(K_{X_{\Sigma}}+B\right)=0$, what we wanted to show.

It follows that when the algorithm finishes, we get a variety $X_{\Sigma_{2}}$, a divisor $D_{2}$, a morphism $\phi_{2}: X_{\Sigma_{2}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$, a 1-cycle $C_{2}$ a set $I_{2} \subset J_{C_{2}}^{+}$and a boundary divisor $B_{2}$ such that conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied by $\left(D_{2}, C_{2}, I_{2}\right)$ and the divisor $-\sum_{\rho \in I_{2}} D_{\rho}=K_{X_{2}}+B_{2}$ is $\phi_{2}$-nef. If we take $\xi$ to be the composition of the birational maps at each step of the MMP, then we have $D_{2}=\xi_{*} D_{1}, \phi_{1}=\phi_{2} \circ \xi, C_{2}=\xi_{*} C_{1}$ and $I_{2}=I_{1} \cap \Sigma_{2}(1)$. Furthermore if we denote by $\bar{D}$ the image of $D_{1}$ in $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$, we have $D_{1}=\phi_{1}^{*} \bar{D}=\xi^{*} \phi_{2}^{*} \bar{D}$, and $D_{2}=\xi_{*} D_{1}=\xi_{*} \xi^{*} \phi_{2}^{*} \bar{D}=\phi_{2}^{*} \bar{D}$ because $\xi$ is birational. In particular $D_{2}$ is Cartier and nef and we have $D_{1}=\xi^{*} D_{2}$.

It remains to prove that $D_{2}$ has direct low toric degree.
Since $J_{C_{2}}^{-}$is contained in a single cone of $\Sigma_{2}$ by condition (b), we know that the class [ $C_{2}$ ] belongs to the Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma_{2}}\right)$. Let us consider its decomposition as a linear combination of extremal classes with positive rational coefficients :

$$
\left[C_{2}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i}\left[C_{i}^{\prime}\right] \quad \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{l} \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}^{*}
$$

Up to a change of numbering we may assume that there exists $k \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$ such that $C_{i}^{\prime} \cdot D_{2}>$ 0 for $i=1, \ldots, k$ and $C_{i}^{\prime} \cdot D_{2}=0$ for $i=k+1, \ldots, l$. But since $D_{2}$ is $\phi_{2}$-nef, we have

$$
C_{i}^{\prime} \cdot \sum_{\rho \in I_{2}} D_{\rho} \leqslant 0 \quad \text { for } i=k+1, \ldots, l .
$$

By condition (a) for $\left(C_{2}, I_{2}\right)$ this implies

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} \sum_{\rho \in I_{2}} C_{i}^{\prime} \cdot D_{\rho} \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{\rho \in I_{2}} \lambda_{i} C_{i}^{\prime} \cdot D_{\rho}=C_{2} \cdot \sum_{\rho \in I_{2}} D_{\rho}>C_{2} \cdot D_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} C_{i}^{\prime} \cdot D_{2} .
$$

Since all the $\lambda_{i}$ are positive, it follows that there exists necessarily at least one index $i_{1} \in$ $\{1, \ldots k\}$ such that

$$
\sum_{\rho \in I_{2}} C_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \cdot D_{\rho}>C_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \cdot D_{2}
$$

Moreover, by definition of $J_{C_{i_{1}}^{\prime}}^{+}$we have

$$
\sum_{\rho \in J_{C_{i_{1}}^{\prime}}^{+}} C_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \cdot D_{\rho}^{\prime} \geqslant \sum_{\rho \in J_{C_{i_{1}}^{\prime}}^{+} \cap I^{\prime}} C_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \cdot D_{\rho}^{\prime} \geqslant \sum_{\rho \in I^{\prime}} C_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \cdot D_{\rho}^{\prime}
$$

Finally, since $i_{1} \leqslant k$ we also have $C_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \cdot D_{2}>0$ so that

$$
0<C_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \cdot D_{2}<\sum_{\rho \in I^{\prime}} C_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \cdot D_{\rho}^{\prime}
$$

and since $C_{i_{1}}^{\prime}$ is extremal, this implies that $D_{2}$ has direct low toric degree by Lemma 2.7. The proposition is proved.

Corollary 4.12. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective toric variety and $D$ be a nef Cartier divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$. If $D$ has global low toric degree then there exist a simplicial projective toric variety $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$, a birational map $\xi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ surjective in codimension 1 and a nef Cartier divisor $D^{\prime}$ on $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ such that $D=\xi^{*} D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime}$ as direct low toric degree.

Proof - It is sufficient to show that whenever $D$ is a nef Cartier divisor having global low toric degree on $X_{\Sigma}$, there exists a 1-cycle $C$ and a subset $I \subset J_{C}^{+}$satifying conditions (a) and (b) of Proposition 4.11.

It is clear that condition (a) is just condition (A) of Definition 3.6, minus the condition on the cardinality of the indices set $I$. Let us suppose that condition (B) of the definition is verified and prove that so is condition (b) of the proposition.

For $\rho \in I$, let us denote by $\gamma_{\rho}={\overline{J_{C} \backslash\{\rho\}}}^{\phi}$ the smallest cone of $\Sigma_{[D]}$ containing $J_{C} \backslash\{\rho\}$. Since the set $J_{C}$ is contained in $J_{C} \backslash\{\rho\}$ for all $\rho \in I$, we have $J_{C} \subset \gamma=\bigcap_{\rho \in I} \gamma_{\rho} \in \Sigma_{[D]}$. Moreover, as shown in the proof of Lemma 3.9, for $\rho \in I$, we cannot have $\rho \subset \gamma$ because this would imply $J_{C} \subset \gamma_{\rho}$ and hence $C \cdot D=0$ by Corollary 3.4. This shows that (b) is verified and the corollary is proved.

Proof of Theorem 4.1 - We prove circularly the following implications : $(i) \Rightarrow(i i) \Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow(i)$
$(i) \Rightarrow$ (ii) Suppose that the ample divisor $\bar{D}$ has direct low toric degree. By Proposition 4.4 there exists a small surjective toric morphism $\bar{\nu}: X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ where $\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ is simplicial and the divisor $\bar{D}^{\prime}=\bar{\nu}^{*} \bar{D}$ has direct low toric degree. This means that there exist a toric subvariety $\bar{W}^{\prime} \subset X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}}$ of Picard number one and a 1-cycle $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ on $\bar{W}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\bar{C}^{\prime} \cdot \bar{D}_{\mid \bar{W}^{\prime}}^{\prime}<\bar{C}^{\prime} \cdot\left(-K_{\bar{W}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

In particular the restriction $\bar{D}_{\mid \bar{W}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ has global low toric degree on $\bar{W}^{\prime}$.
Now let $\xi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}}$ be the equivariant rational map such that $\phi=\bar{\nu} \circ \xi$. It is a map over $X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$ by definition and it is surjective in codimension 1 since $\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}(1)=\bar{\Sigma}(1)$. Then by Proposition 4.7, there exist a small modification $\nu: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ and a toric morphism $\xi^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}}\left(\xi^{\prime}=\pi \circ \psi\right)$, such that $\xi=\xi^{\prime} \circ \nu$. Moreover by Proposition 4.10 there exists a subvariety $V^{\prime} \subset X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ such that the restriction $\xi_{\mid V^{\prime}}^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{W}^{\prime}$ is a birational toric morphism.


Since $\xi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}}$ is an equivariant rational map over $X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$, so are $\nu$ and $\xi^{\prime}$. In particular there is a morphism $\phi^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$ contracting all the curves that have zero intersection with $\nu_{*} D$. If we denote by $\phi_{V^{\prime}}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow \overline{V^{\prime}}$ the morphism of Theorem 1.2 contracting all the curves that have zero intersection with $\left(\nu_{*} D\right)_{\mid V^{\prime}}$, we have $\phi_{V^{\prime}}=\phi_{\mid V^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $\xi_{\mid V^{\prime}}^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{W}^{\prime}$ is a birational morphism over $\overline{V^{\prime}}$. Then by Proposition 3.13 the fact that $\bar{D}_{\mid \bar{W}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ has global low toric degree implies that so has $\left(\nu_{*} D\right)_{\mid V^{\prime}}$, which is (ii).
$(i i) \Rightarrow(i i i)$ Suppose that (ii) is verified. Consider the common refinement $\tilde{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ of $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ obtained by blowing up all the toric curves $C_{\tau}$ for $\tau \in \Sigma(1) \backslash \Sigma^{\prime}(1)$.
By [CLS11, Th. 11.1.9] there exists a smooth refinement $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of $\tilde{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ that can be obtained by a sequence of star subdivisions. It follows that the morphisms $\psi: X_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ and $\psi^{\prime}: X_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ induced by the refinements of $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ by $\tilde{\Sigma}$ are sequences of blowups. In particular, by Proposition 4.10 there exists a subvariety $\tilde{V} \subset X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ such that the restriction $\psi_{\tilde{V}}^{\prime}: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is a birational toric morphism. Then it follows from (ii) and Proposition 3.13 that the restriction $\tilde{D}_{\mid \tilde{V}}$ of the divisor $\tilde{D}=\psi^{*} D=\psi^{*} \nu_{*} D$ to $\tilde{V}$ has global low toric degree. Finally since $X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ is smooth this implies that $\tilde{D}$ itself has global low toric degree, which is (iii).
$(i i i) \Rightarrow(i)$ Suppose that $(i i i)$ is verified. First notice that since $\tilde{D}=\psi^{*} D=\psi^{*} \phi^{*} \bar{D}$, the morphism $\tilde{\phi}: X_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_{[\tilde{D}]}}$ of Theorem 1.2 , contracting all the curves having zero intersection with $\tilde{D}$ is just $\phi \circ \psi$. In particular we have $X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}=X_{\Sigma_{[\tilde{D}]}}$.
Now by Corollary 4.12, there exist a simplicial projective toric variety $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$, a birational map $\xi: X_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ surjective in codimension 1 and a nef Cartier divisor $D^{\prime}$ on $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ such that $\tilde{D}=\xi^{*} D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime}$ as direct low toric degree.
It follows that $X_{\Sigma_{\left[D^{\prime}\right]}}=X_{\Sigma_{[\tilde{D}]}}=X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$ and $\left[D^{\prime}\right]$ is the pullback of $[\bar{D}]$ by the morphism $\phi^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$ contracting all the curves that have zero intersection with $D^{\prime}$. In particular the fact that $D^{\prime}$ has direct low toric degree implies that so has $\bar{D}$, which is $(i)$.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

## 5 More criteria

In this section we give useful criteria to determine if a given nef Cartier divisor has low toric degree.

### 5.1 Most general criterion

It follows from Theorem 4.1 and Definition 4.2 that the low toric degree property of the nef Cartier divisor $D$ on $X_{\Sigma}$ is essentialy a property of its "ample model" $\bar{D}$ on $X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$. In particular we have the following consequence

Theorem 5.1. $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective toric variety and $D$ be a nef Cartier divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$. Let $\phi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ be the toric morphism of Theorem 1.2, contracting all the rational curves having zero intersection with $D$ and let $\bar{D}$ be an ample divisor on $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ such that $D$ is linearly equivalent to the pullback $\phi^{*} \bar{D}$.

The divisor $D$ has low toric degree if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied :
( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) There exist a 1-cycle $C$ on $X_{\Sigma}$ and a subset $I \subset J_{C}^{+}$verifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<C \cdot D<\sum_{\rho \in I} C \cdot D_{\rho} \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists \sigma \in \Sigma_{[D]}, J_{C}^{-} \subset \sigma \quad \text { and } \quad \forall \rho \in I, \rho \not \subset \sigma . \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii') There exists a subvariety $V \subset X_{\Sigma}$ such that the restriction $D_{\mid V}$ has low toric degree.
(iii') There exists a simplicial toric variety $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ over $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ such that the pullback of $\bar{D}$ in $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ has low toric degree.
(iv') There exist a simplicial toric variety $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ over $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$, a subvariety $V^{\prime} \subset X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$, a 1-cycle $C^{\prime}$ on $V^{\prime}$ and a subset $I^{\prime} \subset J_{C^{\prime}}^{+}$verifying conditions (a) and (b) of ( $i^{\prime}$ ).

Proof - The four conditions are clearly necessary so we just have to see that they all imply (i) of Theorem 4.1, that is, $\bar{D}$ has direct low toric degree :
(i') It is sufficient to remark that conditions (a) and (b) allow to apply Proposition 4.11 Then it follows from Lemma 4.5 that $\bar{D}$ has direct low toric degree.
(ii') If we denote by $\phi_{V}: V \rightarrow \bar{V}$ the morphism contracting all the curves that have zero intersection with $D_{\mid V}$ then we have $\phi_{V}=\phi_{\mid V}$ and $D_{\mid V}=\phi_{V}^{*} \bar{D}_{\mid \bar{V}}$. It follows that $D_{\mid V}$ has low toric degree if and only if $\bar{D}_{\mid \bar{V}}$ has direct low toric degree which implies that $\bar{D}$ has direct low toric degree.
(iii') By hypothesis there exists a proper toric morphism $\phi^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$. Let us put $D^{\prime}=\phi^{\prime *} \bar{D}$. It follows from Lemma 3.11 that $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{\left[D^{\prime}\right]}}=X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ and hence $D^{\prime}$ has low toric degree if and only if $\bar{D}$ has direct low toric degree.
(iv') This one is just a combination of the other three.
The theorem is proved

### 5.2 Criteria in terms of positivity cones

Unlike in the weighted projective case, the set of classes of divisors having low toric degree is in general not the complementary of a convex cone in $N^{1}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$. Typically, in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ the bidegrees $(2,0)$ and $(0,2)$ are "high" whereas $(1,1)$ is a (direct and global) low toric degree.

Nevertheless it is possible to find sufficient conditions for being a low toric degree divisor in terms of proximity to the boundary of some positivity cone. We give two important examples.

Proposition 5.2. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective toric variety and $D$ be an ample divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$. If there exists a subset $I \subset \Sigma(1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D-\sum_{\rho \in I} D_{\rho}\right] \notin \operatorname{Nef}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $D$ has direct low toric degree.
Proof - It is a direct consequence of the fact that the Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ is the dual of the Nef cone $\operatorname{Nef}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right)$ and is generated by extremal classes. Since $D$ is ample, for all extremal curve $C$ we have $C \cdot D>0$, and since $D-\sum_{\rho \in I} D_{\rho}$ is not nef, there exists an extremal curve $C$ such that $C \cdot\left(D-\sum_{\rho \in I} D_{\rho}\right)<0$. It follows that for this curve $C$ we have

$$
0<C \cdot D<C \cdot \sum_{\rho \in I} D_{\rho}
$$

But by definition of $J_{C}^{+}$we have

$$
\sum_{\rho \in I} C \cdot D_{\rho} \leqslant \sum_{\rho \in J_{C}^{+} \cap I} C_{i} \cdot D_{\rho} \leqslant \sum_{\rho \in J_{C}^{+}} C_{i} \cdot D_{\rho},
$$

so that $D$ has direct low toric degree by Lemma 2.7 .
Notice that when $X_{\Sigma}$ is smooth, then condition (30) is in fact necessary and sufficient. In the singular case, as explained in paragraph 1.4.4 we have to consider every toric subvariety.

Following S. Payne in Pay06b, it is possible to adapt the argument to the case of a Cartier divisor that is nef and $\phi$-ample in codimension $k$ where $\phi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ is the toric morphism of Theorem 1.2 The corresponding cone of positivity is then $\bigcup_{X} \operatorname{Nef}(X)$ where the union is taken over the set of those small modifications $X$ of $X_{\Sigma}$ over $X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ that can be obtained from $X_{\Sigma}$ by flipping only curves movable on subvarieties of dimension at most $k$.

The same arguments work with the sum $\sum_{\rho \in I} D_{\rho}$ replaced by any $\mathbb{Q}$ divisor $D^{\prime}$ satisfying $0 \leqslant D^{\prime} \leqslant-K_{X_{\Sigma}}$. Moreover, it follows from 15 that in any generalized weighted projective space $W$ of dimension $k$ different from $\mathbb{P}^{k}$, there exists a toric curve $C$ such that $C \cdot\left(-K_{W}\right) \leqslant k$. Using inequality 18, this gives a way to recover the simplicial case of Fujita's conjecture for toric varieties (see Pay06a) : if $D$ as direct low toric degree then there exists an extremal curve $C_{\tau}$ such that $C_{\tau} \cdot D<k \leqslant n$ where $k$ is the dimension of the general fiber $W$ of the contraction of $\left[C_{\tau}\right]$.

Proposition 5.3. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective toric varietyand $D$ be a Cartier divisor on $X_{\Sigma}$ that is nef and big. If there exists a subset $I \subset \Sigma(1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D-\sum_{\rho \in I} D_{\rho}\right] \notin \operatorname{Eff}\left(X_{\Sigma}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $D$ has low toric degree.
Proof - Since the dual cone of the effective cone is the cone of classes of mobile curves, by reasoning like in the proof of the previous proposition we prove that there exists a mobile curve $C$ satisfying

$$
0<C \cdot D<C \cdot \sum_{\rho \in I} D_{\rho}
$$

This is condition (a) of Theorem 5.1(i'), it remains to prove condition (b). Since $D$ is big, the fan $\Sigma_{[D]}$ is not degenerate, that is, its minimal cone is the trivial cone $\{0\}$. Hence we can take $\sigma=\{0\}$ and since $C$ is mobile, we have $J_{C}^{-}=\emptyset \subset \sigma$ so that (b) is satisfied. The proposition is proved.

## 6 Relation to Rational connectedness

### 6.1 Separably rationally connected hypersurfaces have low toric degree

Let us recall some definitions.
A variety $Y$ over an algebraically closed field $\bar{K}$ is said to be uniruled (resp. separably uniruled) if there exists a projective variety $Z$ of dimension $n-1$ and a dominant (resp. dominant and separable) rational map $\varphi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \times Z \rightarrow Y$.Similarly a variety $Y$ over $\bar{K}$ is said to be rationally connected (resp. separably rationally connected) if there exists a quasi-projective variety $Z$ and a morphism $\varphi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \times Z \rightarrow Y$ such that the morphism

$$
\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Y, \quad\left(t, t^{\prime}, z\right) \mapsto\left(\varphi(t, z), \varphi\left(t^{\prime}, z\right)\right)
$$

is dominant (resp. a dominant and separable).
A variety $Y$ over an arbitrary field $K$ is said to be uniruled, separably uniruled, rationally connected or separably rationally connected, if the variety $Y \otimes_{K} \bar{K}$ is, where $\bar{K}$ in an uncountable algebraically closed extension of $K$.

It is clear from the definitions that any rationally connected (resp. separably rationally connected) variety is also uniruled (resp. separably uniruled). It is also clear that the four notions are stable by any birational modification that is a separable map, i.e. generically smooth, in particular by any divisorial contraction. Similarly it follows from the definition that (separably) rational connectedness is stable by any surjective morphism.

A variety $Y$ is separably uniruled (resp. separably rationally connected) if and only if it contains a free curve (resp. a very free curve), that is roughly speaking, a curve that can be deformed to pass by any point of $Y$ (resp. by any two points of $Y$ ). For precise definitions and proofs see [Bon10, Cours 2].

For our arithmetic purpose, the key property of free curves on a variety $Y$ is that they have negative intersection with the canonical divisor. When $Y$ is a smooth hypersurface of a smooth ambient $X$, this gives a low degree to $Y$ through the adjunction formula.

Before stating the result let us recall the general hypotheses defining our framework : we consider a simplicial projective toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$ defined over a $C_{1}$ field $K$ such that
(H1) $K$ admits normic forms of arbitrary degree.
(H2) The torus $T$ acting on $X_{\Sigma}$ is split over $K$.
Then we have the following theorem :
Theorem 6.1. Let $X_{\Sigma}$ be a simplicial projective toric variety defined over a $C_{1}$ field $K$ such that hypotheses (H1) and (H2) are verified. Let $D$ be a hypersurface of $X_{\Sigma}$ defined over $K$. If $D$ is smooth and separably rationally connected then it has low toric degree and in particular admits a rational point over $K$.

The proof of the theorem is splited in two parts stated as propositions.
Proposition 6.2. Let $K$ and $X_{\Sigma}$ be as in Theorem 6.1. Let $D$ be a big divisor of $X_{\Sigma}$ defined over $K$. If $D$ is separably uniruled and smooth, then it has low toric degree.

Proof - First recall that we may assume that $D$ is Cartier and nef because otherwise it has low degree by Definition 4.2 .

Then let us consider a desingularization $\psi: X_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ and the pullback $\tilde{D}=\psi^{*} D$ of $D$ by $\psi$. We may assume $\tilde{D}$ is also the strict transform of $D$ because otherwise this would mean that $D$ contains some component of the blown-up locus, which consist only of toric subvarieties and hence $D$ would again contain torus-invariant points.

Since $\psi$ is birational it follows that $\tilde{D}$ is also separably uniruled and smooth. Moreover it has low toric degree if and only if $D$ has by Theorem 5.1 (iii'), so that up to replacing $X_{\Sigma}$ by $X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ and $D$ by $\tilde{D}$, we may assume $X_{\Sigma}$ to be smooth.

Now since $D$ is separably uniruled, it contains a free curve $C$.
The key point is that the free curve $C$ have negative intersection with the canonical divisor of $D$ :

$$
C \cdot K_{D}<0
$$

Let us consider the pullback of divisors $j^{*}$ and the pushforward of curves $j_{*}$ associated to the inclusion $j: D \hookrightarrow X_{\Sigma}$. Since $X_{\Sigma}$ and $D$ are smooth, we have by the adjunction formula

$$
K_{D}=\left(K_{X_{\Sigma}}+D\right)_{\mid D}=j^{*}\left(K_{X_{\Sigma}}+D\right)
$$

On the other hand, by the projection formula we have :

$$
j_{*} C \cdot\left(K_{X_{\Sigma}}+D\right)=C \cdot j^{*}\left(K_{X_{\Sigma}}+D\right)=C \cdot K_{D}<0
$$

Using the fact that $K_{X_{\Sigma}}=-\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} D_{\rho}$ this gives :

$$
j_{*} C \cdot\left(D-\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} D_{\rho}\right)<0
$$

Finally, since $C$ is a mobile curve on $D$, which is nef, $j_{*} C$ is also mobile on $X_{\Sigma}$. Since $D$ is a big this gives $j_{*} C \cdot D>0$ and we have

$$
0<j_{*} C \cdot D<j_{*} C \cdot \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} D_{\rho}=j_{*} C \cdot \sum_{\rho \in J_{C}} D_{\rho}
$$

which is condition (a) of Theorem 5.1 (i') with $I=J_{C}=J_{C}^{+}$. It remains to prove condition (b), that is, there exists a cone $\sigma$ in the fan $\Sigma_{[D]}$ containing all the set $J_{C}^{-}$but no element of $J_{C}^{+}$. Since $C$ is mobile we have $J_{C}^{-}=\emptyset$, hence we can choose $\sigma=\{0\}$ because $\Sigma_{[D]}$ since $D$ is big. As $\{0\}$ contains no 1 -cone of $\Sigma$ condition (b) is satisfied and $D$ has low degree. The lemma is proved.

The big hypothesis is necessary here because if the divisor $D$ is not big it may happen that for all free curve $C$ in $D$ we have $j_{*}(C) \cdot D=0$ For example if $E$ is a non singular elliptic curve in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ without rational point over $K$, then the nef but not big hypersurface

$$
Y=E \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}
$$

has no rational point over the $C_{1}$ field $K$, though it is smooth and separably uniruled in the smooth complete toric variety $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$.

Proposition 6.3. Let $K, X_{\Sigma}$ be as in Theorem 6.1. Let $D$ be a nonbig divisor of $X_{\Sigma}$ defined over $K$. If $D$ is separably rationally connected and smooth, then it has low toric degree.

Proof - We are reasoning like in the proof of Theorem4.1. First let us consider a simplicial refinement $\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ of $\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}$ and the induced small toric morphism $\bar{\nu}: X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$. Then let us denote by $\xi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}}$ be the equivariant rational map over $X_{\Sigma_{[D]}}$ such that $\phi=\bar{\nu} \circ \xi$. It is surjective in codimension 1 because $\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}(1)=\bar{\Sigma}(1)$. By Proposition 4.7 there exist a simplicial projective variety $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$, a small modification $\nu: X_{\Sigma \rightarrow} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ and a toric morphism $\xi^{\prime}: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}}$ such that $\xi=\xi^{\prime} \circ \nu$. By Proposition 4.10 there also exists a subvariety $V^{\prime} \subset X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ such that the restriction $\xi_{\mid V^{\prime}}^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}}$ is birational. Finally by considering a common smooth refinement $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$, we have sequences of blow-ups $\eta: X_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$
and $\eta^{\prime}: X_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ and again there exists a subvariety $\tilde{V} \subset X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ such that the restriction $\eta_{\mid \tilde{V}}^{\prime}: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is birational. The situation is described by the following commutative diagram :


Now we use the fact that separably rational-connectedness is stable both by surjective $\underset{\tilde{D}}{ }$ morphisms and by birational maps. Since $D$ is separably rationally connected, so is its pullback $\tilde{D}$ by $\eta$ (which is also its strict transform by the reasoning made in the proof of last proposition). Hence so is also the image $\bar{D}^{\prime} \subset X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}}$ of $\tilde{D}$ by the surjective morphism $\xi^{\prime} \circ \eta^{\prime}: X_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}}$.

Since the morphism $\xi_{\mid V^{\prime}}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{\mid \tilde{V}}^{\prime}: \tilde{\tilde{V}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}^{\prime}}$ is birational, it induces also a birational map from $\tilde{D}_{\mid \tilde{V}}$ to $\bar{D}^{\prime}$. It follows that $\tilde{D}_{\mid \tilde{V}}$ is separably rationally connected and in particular separably uniruled. Finally, the morphism $\bar{\nu} \circ \xi_{\mid V^{\prime}}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{\mid \tilde{V}}^{\prime}: \tilde{V} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ is equal to the restriction $\phi_{\mid \tilde{V}}^{\prime}$ of the morphism $\phi^{\prime}=\phi \circ \eta: X_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}_{[D]}}$ contracting all the curves that have zero intersection with $\tilde{D}$. Hence it is the morphism contracting all the curves that have zero intersection with $\tilde{D}_{\mid \tilde{V}}$ and since it is birational, it follows that $\tilde{D}_{\mid \tilde{V}}$ is a big divisor in $\tilde{V}$. We may thus apply Proposition 6.2 to prove that $\tilde{D}_{\mid \tilde{V}}$ has low toric degree. This implies that $D$ has low toric degree by Theorem 5.1 which proves the proposition and achieves the proof of Theorem 6.1. $\square$

### 6.2 A low degree condition implying rationally chain-connectedness

The question of the converse implication, from low toric degree to rational connectedness seems difficult to treat in full generality by our method but as suggested by C. Araujo, it is quite easy to find conditions "of low toric degree type" implying a weak version of rational connectedness : rational chain-connectedness.

While any two points of a rationally connected variety $Y$ over a field $K$ can be joined by a rational curve defined over the algebraic closure $\bar{K}$, two points of a rationally chain-connected variety can be joined only by a chain of such rational curves. The condition is weaker and implies less good properties. For instance rational chain-connectedness is stable by fibration but not by birational modification. Indeed for any variety $Y$, the cone over $Y$ is rationally chain-connected because any two points can be joined to the node by a line and hence joined together by a length 2 chain of rational curves. But if $Y$ is not rationally chain-connected then the blow-up of the cone at the node is not either rationally chain-connected.

The following result gives a condition that imply rational chain-connectedness. It is easy to see that it implies also (global and direct) low toric degree. Notice that in this case no requirement is done about the singularities of the hypersurface.

Proposition 6.4. Let $K$ and $X_{\Sigma}$ be as in Theorem 6.1. Let $D$ be a hypersurface of $X_{\Sigma}$ defined over $K$. If there exists a mobile extremal curve $C$ such that

$$
0<C \cdot D<C \cdot\left(-K_{X_{\Sigma}}\right)
$$

then $D$ is rationally chain-connected.
Proof - Since the class $[C]$ is mobile and extremal its contraction is a Mori fibration

$$
\pi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\Sigma}}
$$

with general fiber $F$ isomorphic to a generalized weighted projective space. The condition $C \cdot D<C \cdot\left(-K_{X_{\Sigma}}\right)$ means that the restriction $D_{\mid F}$ of $D$ to such a fiber is $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano and hence rationally chain connected.

On the other hand, the condition $0<C \cdot D$ implies that $D$ is linearly equivalent to a torus invariant effective divisor $\sum a_{\rho} D_{\rho}$ with at least one $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ such that $a_{\rho}>0$ and $C \cdot D_{\rho}>0$. Since such a $D_{\rho}$ is a section of $\phi$ it follows that $D$ dominates $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$.

Putting this together we have that the restriction of $\phi$ to $D$ is a fibration onto the toric (hence rational) variety $X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ with rationally chain connected fibers $D_{\mid F}$. Hence $D$ is rationally chain connected and the proposition is proved.

If the hypersurface is smooth and the characteristic of $K$ is zero, then we can make two improvements. First, rational connectedness and rationally chain-connectedness are equivalent for smooth projective varieties (see Bon10, Cours 4]). Second, in characteristic zero every map is separable so that rational connectedness is also equivalent to separably rational connectedness. It follows that in this case we have

Low degree along a mobile extremal curve $\Rightarrow$ Rationally connected $\Rightarrow$ Low toric degree.
Let us remark that having low degree along a mobile extremal curve is quite a strong condition for $D$ since it implies that $D$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano bundle with toric base.

Here is an example
Example 6.5. Let $Y$ be a hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of degree $d$ containing a projective subspace $Z$ of dimension $k$ with multiplicity $\mu$. Let $\eta: X_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ denote the blowing-up of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ along $Z$ and let $D$ be the strict transform of $Y$ in $X_{\Sigma}$. Notice that $D$ may be singular.

Let us number the toric divisors from 1 to $n+2$ with $D_{n+2}$ the exceptional divisor of the blowing-up and denote by $D_{i}^{\prime}=\eta\left(D_{i}\right)$ the image of $D_{i}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. Up to projectivity we may assume that $Y=D_{1} \cdot D_{2} \cdots D_{n-k}$ is a torus-invariant subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. There are three different classes of toric curves in $X_{\Sigma}$ : the class [ $C_{1}$ ] of a line contracted by $\eta$, the class $\left[C_{2}\right.$ ] of the strict transform of a line in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ containing a point of $Z$ and the class $\left[C_{3}\right]=\left[C_{1}\right]+\left[C_{2}\right]$ of the strict transform of a line disjoint from $Z$.

The corresponding intersection table is given by

| . | $D_{1}$ | $\cdots$ | $D_{n-k}$ | $D_{n-k+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $D_{n+1}$ | $D_{n+2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{1}$ | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 0 | $\cdots$ | 0 | -1 |
| $C_{2}$ | 0 | $\cdots$ | 0 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 |
| $C_{3}$ | $\underbrace{1}_{n-k}$1 1 | $\underbrace{1}_{k+1}$ | $\cdots$ | 1 | 0 |  |  |

The classes $\left[C_{1}\right]$ and $\left[C_{2}\right]$ are extremal and [ $C_{2}$ ] is moreover a mobile class, whose contraction is the fibration of $X_{\Sigma}$ onto $\mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}$.

The hypersurface $D$ dominates $\mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}$. Indeed by construction it is a fiber bundle with base $\mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}$ and fibers isomorphic to hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{P}^{k+1}$ of degree $d-\mu$. In particular we have

$$
C_{1} \cdot D=\mu, C_{2} \cdot D=d-\mu \text { and } C_{3} \cdot D=d
$$

It follows that whenever the multiplicity $\mu$ is greater than $d-k-2$ we have

$$
0<C_{2} \cdot D=d-\mu<k+2=C_{2} \cdot\left(-K_{X_{\Sigma}}\right)
$$

and proposition 6.4 applies : $D$ is rationally chain-connected and has low toric degree for the same reason.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sur un type donné de corps, y a-t-il une classe naturelle de variétés algébriques qui sur un tel corps ont automatiquement un point rationnel ?

