

Pinning the most stable $H_xC_yO_z$ isomers in space by means of high-level theoretical procedures

Amir Karton, Dahbia Talbi

▶ To cite this version:

Amir Karton, Dahbia Talbi. Pinning the most stable $H_xC_yO_z$ isomers in space by means of high-level theoretical procedures. Chemical Physics, 2014, 436-437, pp.22-28. 10.1016/j.chemphys.2014.03.010. hal-00983093

HAL Id: hal-00983093 https://hal.science/hal-00983093v1

Submitted on 20 Jun 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Pinning the most stable $H_x C_y O_z$ isomers in space by means of high-level theoretical procedures

Amir Karton^{*a*,*} and Dahbia Talbi^{*b*}

^{*a*}School of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Western Australia, Perth, WA 6009, Australia.

^bLaboratoire Univers et Particules Montpellier, UMR5299-CNRS-Université de Montpellier II, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 05 France.

ABSTRACT

It has been recently demonstrated that there is a high statistical correlation between the relative energies of isomers and their relative abundances in the interstellar medium (ISM). In the present work we use the high-level W2–F12 thermochemical protocol to obtain accurate isomerization energies for a set of 109 $H_xC_yO_z$ isomers, 18 of which have been observed in the ISM so far. We use our benchmark isomerization energies to (i) rationalize the presence of the isomers that have been detected, and (ii) predict which new isomers are likely to be detected in the future. We find that the energetically most stable isomers of H_2C_3O (1,2-propadien-1-one), H_8C_3O (2-propanol), and $H_6C_3O_2$ (propanoic acid) have not been observed, despite the fact that higher-energy isomers of these chemical formulas have been detected in the ISM. The dipole moments of these isomers are sufficiently large that these species should be observed using microwave spectroscopy techniques.

Keywords: organic molecules \bullet interstellar medium \bullet isomerization energies \bullet computational thermochemistry \bullet W2–F12 theory

Corresponding Author. Tel.: +61 8 6488 3139. Fax: +61 8 6488 7330. E-mail address: amir.karton@uwa.edu.au (A. Karton).

1. Introduction

The search for organic molecules in the ISM is an important branch of astrochemistry that has been gaining increasing attention over the past two decades.^{1,2,3,4,5} To date, about 180 molecules have been detected in our galaxy, about 30% of which are organic molecules containing 6–13 atoms.⁶ This total, which is constantly increasing, does not include numerous isotopologues (e.g. of ²H, ¹³C, and ¹⁸O). Each new molecule that is identified in the ISM is an additional piece in the very large puzzle of how organic molecules are being synthesized in space. However, this puzzle is far from complete.^{1,2,3,4,5}

Recently, Lattelais *et al.*^{7,8} calculated the relative energies of molecules that have been detected in the ISM in two or more isomeric forms. They showed that, with few exceptions, (i) the most abundant isomers of the same chemical formula are the most stable isomers, and (ii) there is a statistical correlation between the relative energies of the isomers and their observed abundances in certain regions of the ISM. They called this relationship between the observed abundances and relative energies of the isomers the "minimum energy principle" (MEP).

In the present work, we obtain accurate isomerization energies for a set of 109 $H_xC_yO_z$ isomers by means of the high-level W2–F12 composite thermochemical protocol.⁹ W2–F12 represents a layered extrapolation to the relativistic, all-electron CCSD(T) (coupled cluster with singles, doubles, and quasiperturbative triple excitations) basis-set-limit energy, and can achieve 'benchmark accuracy' (arbitrarily defined as 1 kJ mol⁻¹) for isomerization energies.^{9,10}

The isomers of 14 chemical formulas are considered: H_2C_2O , H_4C_2O , H_4C_2O , H_6C_2O , $H_2C_2O_2$, $H_4C_2O_2$, $H_2C_3O_2$, $H_2C_3O_2$, $H_4C_2O_2$, $H_2C_3O_2$, $H_2C_3O_2$, $H_4C_3O_2$,

2. Computational Methods

In order to obtain reliable isomerization energies, calculations have been carried out using the high-level, ab initio W2–F12 procedure with the Molpro 2012.1 program suite.¹¹ W2–F12 theory⁹ (and its earlier versions W2 and W2.2 theories^{12,13}) represent layered extrapolations to the CCSD(T) infinite basis-set-limit energy. These composite theories include scalar-relativistic, first-order spin-orbit coupling, diagonal Born–Oppenheimer, zero-point vibrational energy, and enthalpic corrections and can achieve 'near-benchmark accuracy' for atomization reactions (e.g., W2–F12 theory is associated with a mean absolute deviation of 1.3 kJ mol⁻¹ for a set of 140 very accurate atomization energies).^{9,10,12,13,14,15,16} Nevertheless, it should be pointed out that for isomerization reactions these theories yield even better performance due to a larger degree of systematic error cancelation between reactants and products.^{10,16,17,18,19}

W2-F12 theory combines explicitly correlated F12 methods²⁰ with extrapolation techniques in order to approximate the CCSD(T) basis-set-limit energy. Due to the drastically accelerated basis-set convergence of the F12 methods,^{21,22} W2–F12 is superior to the original W2 and W2.2 methods in terms of computational cost.⁹ The computational protocol of the W2-F12 method has been specified and rationalized in detail in Ref. 9. In brief, the Hartree-Fock component is calculated with the VQZ-F12 basis set (where VQZ-F12 denotes the ccpVQZ-F12 basis set of Peterson et al.,²¹ that was specifically developed for explicitly correlated calculations). Note that the complementary auxiliary basis (CABS) singles correction is included in the SCF energy.^{23,24,25} The valence CCSD-F12 correlation energy is extrapolated from the VTZ-F12 and VQZ-F12 basis sets, using the $E(L) = E_{\infty} + A/L^{\alpha}$ twopoint extrapolation formula, with $\alpha = 5.94$. Optimal values for the geminal Slater exponents (β) used in conjunction with the VnZ-F12 basis sets were taken from Ref. 22. The quasiperturbative triples, (T), corrections are obtained from standard CCSD(T)/VTZ-F12 calculations (i.e., without inclusion of F12 terms) and scaled by the factor $f = 0.987 \times E^{MP2-}$ $^{F12}/E^{MP2}$. This approach has been shown to accelerate the basis set convergence.^{9,26} In all of the explicitly correlated coupled cluster calculations the diagonal, fixed-amplitude 3C(FIX) ansatz^{24,27,28,29} and the CCSD-F12b approximation are employed.^{25,26} The CCSD inner-shell contribution is calculated with the core-valence weighted correlation-consistent aug'-ccpwCVTZ basis set of Peterson and Dunning,³⁰ whilst the (T) inner-shell contribution is calculated with the cc-pwCVTZ(no f) basis set (where cc-pwCVTZ(no f) indicates the ccpwCVTZ basis set without the f functions). The scalar relativistic contribution (in the secondorder Douglas-Kroll-Hess approximation)^{31,32} is obtained as the difference between nonrelativistic CCSD(T)/A'VDZ and relativistic CCSD(T)/A'VDZ-DK calculations.³³ The diagonal Born–Oppenheimer corrections are calculated at the HF/A'VTZ level of theory using the CFOUR program suite.³⁴

The geometries of all structures have been obtained at the B3LYP–D3/A'VTZ level of theory,^{35,36} where A'VTZ indicates the combination of the standard correlation-consistent cc-pVTZ basis sets³⁷ on H, and the aug-cc-pVTZ basis sets³⁸ on C and O. Harmonic vibrational analyses have been performed to confirm each stationary point as an equilibrium structure (i.e., all real frequencies). Zero-point vibrational energy and enthalpic corrections have been obtained from such calculations. Unless otherwise indicated, only the lowest-energy conformer is being considered. All geometry optimizations and frequency calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 program suite.³⁹

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Isomers observed in the interstellar medium

3.1.1. $H_{2n}C_2O$ isomers. Table 1 summarizes the relative enthalpies at 0 K (ΔH_{0K}) for the $H_{2n}C_2O$ isomers (n = 1-3). The $H_{2n}C_2O$ isomers are shown in Fig. 1. The three H_2C_2O isomers span a relatively wide energetic range. The W2–F12 relative energies are: 0.0 (ethenone), 140.5 (acetylenol), and 317.6 (oxirene) kJ mol⁻¹. Of these, ethenone is the only isomer that has been detected in the ISM, specifically in the galactic Sgr B2(OH) region,⁴⁰ dark clouds,⁴¹ translucent clouds,⁴² and Orion.⁴³

Table 1. Isomerization enthalpies at 0 K (ΔH_0 , W2–F12, kJ mol⁻¹) and dipole moments (B3LYP–D3/A'VTZ, Debye) for the H_{2n}C₂O isomers (n = 1-3).

Formula	Isomer	ΔH_0	μ	Detected
	ethenone	0.0	1.52	[40,41,42,43]
$H_2C_2O^a$	acetylenol	140.5	1.65	
	oxirene	317.6	2.41	
H_4C_2O	acetaldehyde	0.0	2.88	[44,45,46,47,48]
	ethenol	41.7	0.97	[49]
	oxirane	114.8	1.93	[50,51,52]
H_6C_2O	ethanol	0.0	1.58	[53,54]
	methoxymethane	50.9	1.28	[54,55,56,57]



Fig. 1. B3LYP–D3/A'VTZ optimized geometries for the $H_{2n}C_2O$ isomers (n = 1-3). Atomic color scheme: H, white; C, gray; O, red.

The three H_4C_2O isomers span a much narrower energetic range of 114.8 kJ mol⁻¹ (Table 1), and all three isomers have been observed in the ISM. Acetaldehyde, the most stable isomer, was one of the early polyatomic molecules to be detected in space. It has been detected in the Sagittarius constellation,⁴⁴ Sgr B2 molecular cloud,^{45,46} cold dust clouds,⁴⁷ and translucent clouds.⁴⁸ Ethenol is higher in energy than acetaldehyde by 41.7 kJ mol⁻¹, and has been detected in the Sgr B2(N) molecular cloud near the center of the Milky Way galaxy.⁴⁹ The cyclic oxirane isomer is the least stable H_4C_2O isomer (114.8 kJ mol⁻¹), and has been detected in Sagittarius B2(N),⁵⁰ the hot core (HII) region,⁵¹ and galactic center molecular clouds.⁵²

Ethanol, the lowest-energy H_6C_2O isomer, has been detected in the star-forming region Sagittarius B2(OH),⁵³ and in galactic center molecular clouds.⁵⁴ Methoxymethane, which lies 50.9 kJ mol⁻¹ above ethanol, has been detected in galactic center molecular clouds,⁵⁴ Orion,⁵⁵ and hot cores.^{56,57}

3.1.2. $H_{2n}C_2O_2$ isomers. The relative isomerization enthalpies (ΔH_0) for the $H_{2n}C_2O_2$ isomers (n = 1-3) are given in Table 2. The isomers are shown in Fig. 2. The two $H_2C_2O_2$ isomers oxalaldehyde and 2-oxiranone are separated by 42.5 kJ mol⁻¹. Oxalaldehyde (also known as glyoxal) has no permanent dipole moment and therefore cannot be detected by radio astronomy techniques. To date, 2-oxiranone has not been detected in the ISM.

Table 2.	Isomerization	enthalpies	at 0	K (ΔH_0 ,	W2–F12,	kJ	$mol^{-1})$	and	dipole	momen	ts
(B3LYP-	-D3/A'VTZ, De	bye) for the	H_{2n}	C_2O_2 isom	hers $(n = 1 -$	-3).					

Formula	Isomer	ΔH_0	μ	Detected
$H_2C_2O_2^{\ a}$	oxalaldehyde	0.0	0.00	
	2-oxiranone	42.5	3.37	
	acetic acid	0.0	1.79	[58,59]
$H_4C_2O_2^a$	methyl formate	72.1	1.95	[54,56,60]
	1,1-ethenediol	110.5	1.72	
	glycolaldehyde	113.7	2.45	[52,61,62,63,64,65]
	cis-1,2-ethenediol ^b	144.7	2.37	
$H_6C_2O_2^{\ a}$	ethylene glycol	0.0	2.41	[52,66,67,68]
	methoxymethanol	27.8	2.08	

^{*a*}See the supplementary data for isomers with relative energies > 150 kJ mol⁻¹. ^{*b*}The relative energy of the trans-1,2-ethenediol isomer is 163.3 kJ mol⁻¹.



Fig. 2. B3LYP–D3/A'VTZ optimized geometries for the $H_{2n}C_2O_2$ isomers (n = 1-3). Atomic color scheme: H, white; C, gray; O, red.

Acetic acid is the most stable $H_4C_2O_2$ isomer, and has been detected in the Sagittarius B2 North Large Molecule Heimat (Sgr B2(N-LMH)) star-forming region,⁵⁸ as well as in the W51e2 hot core.⁵⁹ Methyl formate is higher in energy by 72.1 kJ mol⁻¹, and has been detected in molecular clouds,^{54,60} and hot core regions.⁵⁶ Lattelais *et al.*^{7,8} noted that the higher relative abundance of methyl formate is one of the few exceptions of the MEP principle. The next two isomers on the potential energy surface are 1,1-ethenediol (110.7 kJ mol⁻¹) and glycolaldehyde (113.5 kJ mol⁻¹). Despite the fact that 1,1-ethenediol is more stable than glycolaldehyde by 3.2 kJ mol⁻¹, only the latter has been detected in the ISM so far. Specifically, in galactic center molecular clouds,⁵² the Sgr B2(N-LMH) hot core region,^{61,62,63,64} and solar-type protostars.⁶⁵

The two lowest lying $H_6C_2O_2$ isomers, ethylene glycol and methoxymethanol, are separated by 27.8 kJ mol⁻¹. Ethylene glycol, the energetically more stable isomer, has been detected in galactic center molecular clouds,⁵² in the outflow of the comet Hale-Bopp,⁶⁶ and in the Sgr B2(N-LMH) region.^{67,68}

3.1.3. $H_{2n}C_3O$ isomers. Table 3 summarizes the isomerization enthalpies (ΔH_{0K}) for the $H_{2n}C_3O$ isomers (n = 1-4). Fig. 3 shows selected low-energy isomers. The three H_2C_3O isomers (1,2-propadien-1-one, propiolaldehyde, and 2-cyclopropen-1-one) span a narrow energetic range of only 29.2 kJ mol⁻¹. 1,2-Propadien-1-one (also known as 1-allenone) is the energetically most stable isomer. Propiolaldehyde is higher in energy by just 2.5 kJ mol⁻¹, and the strained 2-cyclopropen-1-one isomer is higher in energy by 29.2 kJ mol⁻¹. Interestingly, only propiolaldehyde and 2-cyclopropen-1-one have been detected in the ISM. Propiolaldehyde was the first aldehyde to be detected in the ISM, specifically in the cold cloud TMC-1,⁶⁹ and later towards the Sgr B2(N)⁷⁰ and galactic center molecular clouds.⁵² 2-Cyclopropen-1-one has been detected toward Sgr B2(N) molecular cloud.⁷¹

The two most stable H_4C_3O isomers, acrylaldehyde and 1-propen-1-one, are nearly isoenergetic. 1-propen-1-one lies just 1.2 kJ mol⁻¹ above acrylaldehyde. So far, only acrylaldehyde has been detected in the ISM, specifically towards the Sgr B2(N)⁷⁰ and the galactic center molecular clouds.⁵²

Formula	Isomer	ΔH_0	μ	Detected
H_2C_3O	1,2-propadien-1-one	0.0	2.56	
	propiolaldehyde	2.5	3.00	[52,69,70]
	2-cyclopropen-1-one	29.2	4.33	[71]
	acrylaldehyde ^b	0.0	3.40	[52,70]
	1-propen-1-one	1.2	1.95	
	cyclopropanone	84.8	3.03	
$\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{O}^{a}$	1-allenol	109.6	1.61	
H_4C_3O	2H-oxete	119.4	1.37	
	1-propyn-1-ol	120.5	1.45	
	2-propyn-1-ol	120.8	1.88	
	2-methyleneoxirane	128.6	1.73	
	acetone	0.0	3.08	[72,73,74]
	propionaldehyde	29.8	2.78	[52,70]
	1-propen-2-ol	48.9	0.53	
	cis-1-propen-1-ol ^c	66.6	1.44	
H ₆ C ₃ O	2-propen-1-ol	91.6	1.59	
	cyclopropanol	117.2	1.54	
	methoxyethene	119.6	1.73	
	2-methyloxirane	124.8	2.02	
	oxetane	139.6	1.99	
H ₈ C ₃ O	2-propanol	0.0	1.68	
	1-propanol	16.8	1.49	
	methox vethane ^b	53.4	1.19	[75]

Table 3. Isomerization enthalpies at 0 K (ΔH_0 , W2–F12, kJ mol⁻¹) and dipole moments (B3LYP–D3/A'VTZ, Debye) for the H_{2n}C₃O isomers (n = 1-4).

^{*a*}See the supplementary data for isomers with relative energies > 150 kJ mol⁻¹. ^{*b*}Note that these detections are marked as tentative detections in Ref. 6. ^{*c*}The relative energy of the trans-1-propen-1-ol isomer is 67.6 kJ mol⁻¹.



Fig. 3. B3LYP–D3/A'VTZ optimized geometries for selected $H_{2n}C_3O$ isomers (n = 1-4). Atomic color scheme: H, white; C, gray; O, red.

Acetone is the most stable H_6C_3O isomer, it has been detected toward Sgr B2 cloud,^{72,73} and towards the high-mass star-forming region Orion KL.⁷⁴ Propionaldehyde is higher in energy by 29.8 kJ mol⁻¹, and has been detected towards the Sgr B2(N),⁷⁰ and the galactic center molecular clouds.⁵²

The three saturated H_8C_3O isomers are: 2-propanol, 1-propanol, and methoxyethane. The most stable isomer is 2-propanol, followed by 1-propanol (16.8) and methoxyethane (53.4 kJ mol⁻¹). So far, only the latter has been detected in the ISM, specifically, towards the W51e2 hot core.⁷⁵

3.1.4. $H_{2n}C_3O_2$ isomers. The isomerization enthalpies for the $H_{2n}C_3O_2$ isomers (n = 1-4) are summarized in Table 4, and selected low-lying isomers are depicted in Fig. 4. So far, none of the $H_2C_3O_2$, $H_4C_3O_2$, or $H_8C_3O_2$ isomers have been detected in the ISM. Very recently, the $H_6C_3O_2$ isomers, methyl acetate and ethyl formate, have been observed in Orion.⁷⁶ Ethyl formate has also been detected in the star-forming Sagittarius B2(N) region.⁷⁷ Nevertheless, propanoic acid, which is more stable than these isomers by appreciable amounts (specifically, 41.5 and 60.7 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively) has not been detected.

Formula	Isomer	ΔH_0	μ	Detected
$H_2C_3O_2^a$	3-oxoacrylaldehyde	0.0	2.44	
	propiolic acid	46.7	1.73	
	3-methylene-2-oxiranone	117.2	3.09	
	acrylic acid	0.0	1.62	
	2-oxetanone	41.2	4.32	
	3-hydroxyacrylaldehyde	47.1	2.71	
	2-oxopropanal	48.8	1.06	
$\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{O}^{a}$	vinyl formate	61.8	1.70	
$\Pi_4 C_3 O_2$	2-hydroxyacrylaldehyde	62.4	2.01	
	malonaldehyde	69.3	2.01	
	3-hydroxy-2-propenal	82.4	3.14	
	3-oxetanone	141.7	0.99	
	1,3-dioxole	144.8	0.54	
	propanoic acid	0.0	1.65	
	methyl acetate	41.5	1.92	[76]
	ethyl formate	60.7	2.24	[76,77]
	1-hydroxyacetone	79.3	3.22	
$\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{O}^{a}$	2-hydroxypropanal	98.6	2.58	
$\Pi_6 C_3 O_2$	3-hydroxypropanal	117.2	1.38	
	1-propene-1,2-diol	121.7	2.01	
	1-propene-1,1-diol	130.1	0.19	
	2-propene-1,2-diol	140.5	2.18	
	1-propene-1,3-diol	145.5	2.95	
H ₈ C ₃ O ₂ ^a	2,2-propanediol	0.0	2.34	
	1,2-propanediol	40.7	2.37	
	1-methoxyethanol	53.1	0.41	
	1,3-propandiol	58.1	3.52	
	ethoxymethanol	74.4	2.10	
	2-methoxyethanol	97.7	2.32	
	dimethoxymethane	140.7	2.42	

Table 4. Isomerization enthalpies at 0 K (ΔH_0 , W2–F12, kJ mol⁻¹) and dipole moments (B3LYP–D3/A'VTZ, Debye) for the H_{2n}C₃O₂ isomers (n = 1-4).

^{*a*}See the supplementary data for isomers with relative energies $> 150 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$.



Fig. 4. B3LYP–D3/A'VTZ optimized geometries for selected $H_{2n}C_3O_2$ isomers (n = 1-4). Atomic color scheme: H, white; C, gray; O, red.

3.2. New isomers that are likely to exist in the ISM

Based on our W2–F12 benchmark isomerization energies (Tables 1–4) and the MEP principle outlined by Lattelais *et al.*,^{7,8} we can predict which of the $H_xC_yO_z$ isomers that have not been observed are likely to exist in the ISM. We can group the undetected isomers into two classes:

- Undetected isomers that are energetically more stable than isomers of the same chemical formula that have been detected in the ISM. According to the MEP principle there is a high probability that isomers that belong to this class will be present in the ISM (or otherwise, their absence should be explained based on kinetics arguments).^{7,8} This class of isomers is discussed in Section 3.2.1.
- 2) To date, isomers of H₂C₂O, H₄C₂O, H₆C₂O, H₄C₂O₂, H₆C₂O₂, H₂C₃O, H₄C₃O, H₆C₃O, H₈C₃O, and H₆C₃O₂ have been detected in the ISM (Tables 1–4). Of these, the isomers with the highest isomerization energies are oxirane (114.8, Table 1) and glycoaldehyde (113.7 kJ mol⁻¹, Table 2). These relative energies may serve as an empirical guide as to which $H_xC_yO_z$ isomers are likely to be present in the ISM. Thus, the second class of undetected isomers consists of isomers with isomerization energies below 115 kJ mol⁻¹. This class of isomers is discussed in Section 3.2.2.

3.2.1. Undetected isomers that are energetically more stable than isomers that have been detected. We find five species in this class of isomers. Three of which are the energetically most stable isomers of their chemical formula, namely: 1,2-propadien-1-one (H₂C₃O), 2-propanol (H₈C₃O), and propanoic acid (H₆C₃O₂). The other two are not the lowest-energy isomers, but still lie lower in energy than isomers that have been detected, namely: 1,1-ethenediol (H₄C₃O₂) and 1-propanol (H₈C₃O). We make the following observations:

- i) **1,2-Propadien-1-one.** The three H_2C_3O isomers (Table 3, Fig. 3) and their relative energies are: 1,2-propadien-1-one (0.0), propiolaldehyde (2.5), and 2-cyclopropen-1one (29.2 kJ mol⁻¹). The latter two have been detected in the ISM. However, the most stable isomer (1,2-propadien-1-one) has not yet been observed. Based on the small energy difference between 1,2-propadien-1-one and propiolaldehyde the MEP principle predicts that both isomers should have similar abundances.
- ii) **2-Propanol and 1-propanol.** The three saturated H_8C_3O isomers (Table 3, Fig. 3) and their relative energies are: 2-propanol (0.0), 1-propanol (16.8), and methoxyethane (53.4 kJ mol⁻¹). Of these, only the least stable isomer has been

detected in the ISM. Given the relatively large energy separations between methoxyethane and the more stable isomers, we expect that 2-propanol (and to a lesser extent also 1-propanol) should be present in the ISM.

- iii) **Propanoic acid.** The three most stable $H_6C_3O_2$ isomers (Table 4, Fig. 4) and their relative energies are: propanoic acid (0.0), methyl acetate (41.5), and ethyl formate (60.7 kJ mol⁻¹). Very recently, the latter two have been detected in the ISM. Given the relatively large energy separations between these two isomers and propanoic acid, the MEP principle predicts that propanoic acid should be present in the ISM.
- iv) 1,1-Ethenediol. Three H₄C₂O₂ isomers have been detected in the ISM (Table 2, Fig. 2), namely: acetic acid (0.0), methyl formate (72.1), and glycoaldehyde (113.7 kJ mol⁻¹). However, 1,1-ethenediol which is energetically more stable than glycoaldehyde by 3.2 kJ mol⁻¹ has not been detected in the ISM so far.
- v) The dipole moments of the above-mentioned isomers are sufficiently large that these species should be observed using microwave spectroscopy techniques. Specifically, at the B3LYP–D3/A'VTZ level of theory, they are: 1,2-propadien-1-one (2.56), 2-propanol (1.68), 1-propanol (1.49), propanoic acid (1.65), and 1,1-ethenediol (1.72 Debye).

3.2.2. Undetected isomers with isomerization energies up to 115.0 kJ mol⁻¹. As mentioned above, the detected isomers with the highest isomerization energies are: oxirane (114.8) and glycoaldehyde (113.7 kJ mol⁻¹). We can take 115 kJ mol⁻¹ as an empirical upper limit for the isomerization energy of isomers that might be expected to be present in the ISM. Inspection of Tables 1–4 reveals that there are 28 isomers that satisfy this selection criterion (excluding the isomers already discussed in Section 3.2.1). We offer the following general observations:

- i) $H_{2n}C_2O$ isomers. All the $H_{2n}C_2O$ (n = 1-3) isomers with isomerization energies below 115 kJ mol⁻¹ have been detected in the ISM (Table 1, Fig. 1).
- ii) $H_{2n}C_2O_2$ isomers. Three $H_{2n}C_2O_2$ (n = 1, 3) isomers that have not been detected in the ISM have isomerization energies below 115 kJ mol⁻¹ (Table 2, Fig. 2). The two $H_2C_2O_2$ isomers oxalaldehyde (0.0) and 2-oxiranone (42.5), and the $H_6C_2O_2$ isomer methoxymethanol (27.8 kJ mol⁻¹).
- iii) $H_{2n}C_3O$ isomers. Six $H_{2n}C_2O_2$ (n = 2, 3) isomers that have not been detected in the ISM have isomerization energies below 115 kJ mol⁻¹ (Table 3, Fig. 3). The three

 H_4C_3O isomers 1-propen-1-one (1.2), cyclopropanone (84.8), and 1-allenol (109.6); and the three H_6C_3O isomers 1-propen-2-ol (48.9), cis-1-propen-1-ol (66.6), and 2propen-1-ol (91.6). One obvious candidate that has a good chance of being present in the ISM is 1-propen-1-one, since it lies only 1.2 kJ mol⁻¹ above acrylaldehyde which has already been detected.

iv) $H_{2n}C_3O_2$ isomers. As much as nineteen $H_{2n}C_3O_2$ (n = 1-4) isomers that have not been detected in the ISM have isomerization energies below 115 kJ mol⁻¹ (Table 4, Fig. 4). It is worth pointing out that none of the energetically most stable $H_{2n}C_3O_2$ isomers have been detected in the ISM so far (namely, 3-oxoacrylaldehyde, acrylic acid, propanoic acid, and 2,2-propanediol).

4. Conclusions

We have obtained benchmark isomerization energies for a set of 109 $H_xC_yO_z$ isomers. We considered the following 14 molecular formulas: H_2C_2O , H_4C_2O , H_6C_2O , $H_2C_2O_2$, $H_4C_2O_2$, $H_4C_3O_2$. We find that in some cases isomers that have not (yet) been detected in the ISM are separated by only a few kJ mol⁻¹ from isomers that have been observed, for example acrylaldehyde and 1-propen-1-one (1.2), 1,2-propadien-1-one and propiolaldehyde (2.5), and 1,1-ethenediol and glycolaldehyde (3.2 kJ mol⁻¹). We therefore obtain our isomerization energies by means of the high-level W2–F12 composite protocol that has been shown to provide benchmark accuracy for isomerization energies. We use these benchmark isomerization energies to see if there are molecules whose presence in the ISM might be surprising and to predict which of the isomers that have not been observed are expected to be present in the ISM. We offer the following conclusions:

- i) Of the 14 sets of isomers that were considered in the present work, isomers in 10 sets were detected in the ISM. In seven of these sets (H_2C_2O , H_4C_2O , H_6C_2O , H_4C_2O , $H_4C_2O_2$, $H_6C_2O_2$, H_4C_3O , and H_6C_3O) the most stable isomer has been detected in the ISM. Whereas in three of the sets (H_2C_3O , H_8C_3O , and $H_6C_3O_2$) the lowest-energy isomer has not yet been detected. According to the MEP principle, the lowest-energy isomers of each set are likely to be present in the ISM.
- ii) We also find that the isomers 1-propanol (H_8C_3O) and 1,1-ethenediol ($H_4C_2O_2$) lie lower in energy than H_8C_3O and $H_4C_2O_2$ isomers that have been detected in the ISM, respectively. Again, according to the MEP principle, this suggests that 2-propanol and 1,1-ethenediol are likely to exist in the ISM.

- iii) In four of the 14 sets of isomers (namely, $H_2C_2O_2$, $H_2C_3O_2$, $H_4C_3O_2$, and $H_8C_3O_2$) no isomers have been detected in the ISM so far. The energetically most stable isomers in these four sets are: oxalaldehyde ($H_2C_2O_2$), 3-oxoacrylaldehyde ($H_2C_3O_2$), acrylic acid ($H_4C_3O_2$), and 2,2-propanediol ($H_8C_3O_2$).
- iv) Apart from oxalaldehyde which has no permanent dipole moment, the abovementioned undetected isomers have relatively large dipole moments (ranging between 1.49 and 2.56 Debye) which should ease their detection by radio astronomy techniques.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Isomerization energies for isomers with relative energies > 150 kJ mol⁻¹ (Table S1); component breakdown of the final W2–F12 isomerization energies for all the isomers considered in the present work (Table S2); absolute energies used for deriving the final W2–F12 isomerization energies for all the isomers considered in the present work (Table S3); B3LYP–D3/A'VTZ optimized geometries for all the species considered in the present work (Table S4); and full references for Ref. 11 (Molpro 2012), Ref. 34 (CFOUR), and Ref. 39 (Gaussian 09). Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2014.xx.xxx.

Acknowledgments

AK is the recipient of an Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (project number: DE140100311). We gratefully acknowledge the generous allocation of computing time from the National Computational Infrastructure (NCI) National Facility, and the support of iVEC through the use of advanced computing resources located at iVEC@UWA.

References

- ¹ E. Herbst, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 16 (2014) 3344.
- ² A. G. G. M. Tielens, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85 (2013) 1021.
- ³ P. Caselli, C. Ceccarelli, Astron. Astrophys. Rev. 20 (2012) 56.
- ⁴ W. Klemperer, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 62 (2011) 173.

⁵ D. Rehder, Chemistry in Space: From Interstellar Matter to the Origin of Life, John Wiley and Sons, 2010.

⁶ For an up-to-date list of molecules observed in space see also: http://www.astro.uni-koeln.de/cdms/molecules. Accessed 15 Feb 2014.

⁷ M. Lattelais, F. Pauzat, Y. Ellinger, C. Ceccarelli, Astrophys. J. 696 (2009) L133.

⁸ M. Lattelais, F. Pauzat, Y. Ellinger, C. Ceccarelli, Astron. Astrophys, 519 (2010) A30.

⁹ A. Karton, J. M. L. Martin, J. Chem. Phys. 136 (2012) 124114.

¹⁰ A. Karton, S. Daon, J. M. L. Martin, Chem. Phys. Lett. 510 (2011) 165.

¹¹ MOLPRO is a package of ab initio programs written by H.-J. Werner, P. J. Knowles, G.

Knizia, F. R. Manby, M. Schütz, P. Celani, T. Korona, R. Lindh, A. Mitrushenkov, G. Rauhut, et al. See: http://www.molpro.net.

- ¹² J. M. L. Martin, G. Oliveira, J. Chem. Phys. 111 (1999) 1843.
- ¹³ A. Karton, E. Rabinovich, J. M. L. Martin, B. Ruscic, J. Chem. Phys. 125 (2006) 144108.
- ¹⁴ K. A. Peterson, D. Feller, D. A. Dixon, Theor. Chem. Acc. 131 (2012) 1079.
- ¹⁵ T. Helgaker, W. Klopper, D. P. Tew, Mol. Phys. 106 (2008) 2107.
- ¹⁶ A. Karton, D. Gruzman, J. M. L. Martin, J. Phys. Chem. A 113 (2009) 8434.
- ¹⁷ A. Karton, I. Kaminker, J. M. L. Martin, J. Phys. Chem. A 113 (2009) 7610.
- ¹⁸ S. E. Wheeler, K. N. Houk, P. v. R. Schleyer, W. D. Allen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131 (2009) 2547.
- ¹⁹ R. O. Ramabhadran, K. Raghavachari, J. Phys. Chem. A 116 (2012) 7531.
- ²⁰ C. Hättig, W. Klopper, A. Köhn, D. P. Tew, Chem. Rev. 112 (2012) 4.
- ²¹ K. A. Peterson, T. B. Adler, H.-J. Werner, J. Chem. Phys. 128 (2008) 084102.
- ²² J. G. Hill, K. A. Peterson, G. Knizia, H.-J. Werner, J. Chem. Phys. 131 (2009) 194105.
- ²³ J. Noga, S. Kedžuch, J. Šimunek, J. Chem. Phys. 127 (2007) 034106.
- ²⁴ G. Knizia, H.-J. Werner, J. Chem. Phys. 128 (2008) 154103.
- ²⁵ T. B. Adler, G. Knizia, H.-J. Werner, J. Chem. Phys. 127 (2007) 221106.
- ²⁶ G. Knizia, T. B. Adler, H.-J. Werner, J. Chem. Phys. 130 (2009) 054104.
- ²⁷ S. Ten-no, J. Noga, WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci. 2 (2012) 114.
- ²⁸ S. Ten-no, Chem. Phys. Lett. 398 (2004) 56.
- ²⁹ H.-J. Werner, T. B. Adler, F. R. Manby, J. Chem. Phys. 126 (2007) 164102.
- ³⁰ K. A. Peterson, T. H. Dunning, J. Chem. Phys. 117 (2002) 10548.
- ³¹ M. Douglas, N. M. Kroll, Ann. Phys. 82 (1974) 89.
- ³² B. A. Hess, Phys. Rev. A 33 (1986) 3742.
- ³³ W. A. de Jong, R. J. Harrison, D. A. Dixon, J. Chem. Phys. 114 (2001) 48.

³⁴ CFOUR, a quantum chemical program package written by J. F. Stanton, J. Gauss, M. E. Harding, P. G. Szalay, with contributions from A. A. Auer, R. J. Bartlett, U. Benedikt, C. Berger, D. E. Bernholdt, Y. J. Bomble, O. Christiansen, et al. See: http://www.cfour.de.
³⁵ P. J. Stephens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski, M. J. Frisch, J. Phys. Chem. 98 (1994)

11623.

- ³⁶ S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, L. Goerigk, J. Comput. Chem. 32 (2011) 1456.
- ³⁷ T. H. Dunning, J. Chem. Phys. 90 (1989) 1007.
- ³⁸ R. A. Kendall, T. H. Dunning, R. J. Harrison, J. Chem. Phys. 96 (1992) 6796.
- ³⁹ M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman,

G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, et al. Gaussian 09, Revision D.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford CT, 2009.

- ⁴⁰ B. E. Turner, Astrophys. J. 213 (1977) L75.
- ⁴¹ M. Ohishi, K. Kawaguchi, N. Kaifu, W. M. Irvine, Y. C. Minh, S. Yamamoto, S. Saito, ASP Conf. Ser. 16 (1991) 387.
- ⁴² B. E. Turner, R. Terzieva, E. Herbst, Astrophys. J. 518 (1999) 699.
- ⁴³ L. E. B. Johansson, C. Andersson, J. Eldér, P. Friberg, Å. Hjalmarson, B. Höglund, W. M. Irvine, H. Olofsson, G. Rydbeck, Astron. Astrophys. 130 (1984) 227.
- ⁴⁴ C. A. Gottlieb, Molecules in the Galactic Environment, M. A. Gordon and L. E. Snyder (Eds.), Wiley-Interscience, New York, 181, 1973.
- ⁴⁵ J. N. Chengalur, N. Kanekar, Astron. Astrophys. 403 (2003) L43.
- ⁴⁶ N. Fourikis, M. W. Sinclair, B. J. Robinson, P. D. Godfrey, R. D. Brown, Aust. J. Phys. 27 (1974) 425.
- ⁴⁷ H. E. Matthews, P. Friber, W. M. Irvine, Astrophys. J. 290 (1985) 609.
- ⁴⁸ B. E. Turner, R. Terzieva, E. Herbst, Astrophys. J. 518 (1999) 699.
- ⁴⁹ B. E. Turner, A. J. Apponi, Astrophys. J. 561 (2001) L207.
- ⁵⁰ J. E. Dickens, W. M. Irvine, M. Ohishi, M. Ikeda, S. Ishikawa, A. Nummelin, Å. Hjalmarson, Astrophys. J. 489 (1997) 753.
- ⁵¹ A. Nummelin, J. E. Dickens, P. Bergman, Å. Hjalmarson, W. M. Irvine, M. Ikeda, M. Ohishi, Astron. Astrophys. 337 (1998) 275.
- ⁵² M. A. Requena-Torres, J. Martín-Pintado, S. Martín, M. R. Morris, Astrophys. J. 672 (2008) 352.

⁵³ B. Zuckerman, B. E. Turner, D. R. Johnson, F. O. Clark, F. J. Lovas, N. Fourikis, P. Palmer, M. Morris, A. E. Lilley, J. A. Ball, C. A. Gottlieb, H. Penfield, Astrophys. J. 196 (1975) L99.

⁵⁴ M. A. Requena-Torres, J. Martín-Pintado, A. Rodríguez-Franco, S. Martín, N. J. Rodríguez-Fernández, P. de Vicente, Astron. Astrophys. 455 (2006) 971.

⁵⁵ L. E. Snyder, D. Buhl, P. R. Schwartz, F. O. Clark, D. R. Johnson, F. J. Lovas, P. T. Giguere, Astrophys. J. 191 (1974) L79.

⁵⁶ S. Cazaux, A. G. G. M. Tielens, C. Ceccarelli, A. Castets, V. Wakelam, E. Caux, B. Parise, D. Teyssier, Astrophys. J. 593 (2003) L51.

⁵⁷ S. E. Bisschop, P. Schilke, F. Wyrowski, A. Belloche, C. Brinch, C. P. Endres, R. Güsten,
H. Hafok, S. Heyminck, J. K. Jørgensen, H. S. P. Müller, K. M. Menten, R. Rolffs, S.
Schlemmer, Astron. Astrophys. 552 (2013) A122.

⁵⁸ D. M. Mehringer, L. E. Snyder, Y. Miao, F. J. Lovas, Astrophys. J. 480 (1997) L71.

⁵⁹ A. Remijan, L. E. Snyder, S.-Y. Liu, D. Mehringer, Y.-J. Kuan, Astrophys. J. 576 (2002) 264.

⁶⁰ R. D. Brown, J. G. Crofts, P. D. Godfrey, F. F. Gardner, B. J. Robinson, J. B. Whiteoak, Astrophys. J. 197 (1975) L29.

⁶¹ D. T. Halfen, A. J. Apponi, N. Woolf, R. Polt, L. M. Ziurys Astrophys. J. 639 (2006) 237.

⁶² J. M. Hollis, F. J. Lovas, P. R. Jewell, Astrophys. J. 540 (2000) L107.

⁶³ J. M. Hollis, S. N. Vogel, L. E. Snyder, P. R. Jewell, F. J. Lovas, Astrophys. J. 554 (2001) L81.

⁶⁴ J. M. Hollis, P. R. Jewell, F. J. Lovas, A. Remijan, Astrophys. J. 613 (2004) L45.

⁶⁵ J. K. Jørgensen, C. Favre, S. E. Bisschop, T. L. Bourke, E. F. van Dishoeck, M. Schmalzl, Astrophys. J. 757 (2012) L4.

⁶⁶ J. Crovisier, D. Bockelée-Morvan, N. Biver, P. Colom, D. Despois, D. C. Lis, Astron. Astrophys. 418 (2004) L35.

⁶⁷ J. M. Hollis, F. J. Lovas, P. R. Jewell, L. H. Coudert, Astrophys. J. 571 (2002) L59.

⁶⁸ J. M. Hollis, IAU Symposium 231, Astrochemistry Throughout the Universe: Recent Successes and Current Challenges, D. Lis, G. A. Blake, and E. Herbst (Eds.), London: Cambridge University Press, pp. 119–127, 2005.

⁶⁹ W. M. Irvine, R. D. Brown, D. M. Cragg, P. Friberg, P. D. Godfrey, N. Kaifu, H. E. Matthews, M. Ohishi, H. Suzuki, H. Takeo, Astrophys. J. 335 (1988) L89.

⁷⁰ J. M. Hollis, P. R. Jewell, F. J. Lovas, A. Remijan, H. Møllendal, Astrophys. J. 610 (2004) L21.

⁷¹ J. M. Hollis, A. Remijan, P. R. Jewell, F. J. Lovas, Astrophys. J. 642 (2006) 933.

⁷² F. Combes, M. Gerin, A. Wooten, G. Wlodarczak, F. Clausset, P. J. Encrenaz, Astron. Astrophys. 180 (1987) L13.

⁷³ L. E. Snyder, F. J. Lovas, D. M. Mehringer, N. Y. Miao, Y.-J. Kuan, J. M. Hollis, P. R. Jewell, Astrophys. J. 578 (2002) 245.

⁷⁴ D. N. Friedel, L. E. Snyder, A. Remijan, B. E. Turner, Astrophys. J. 632 (2005) L95.

⁷⁵ G. W. Fuchs, U. Fuchs, T. F. Giesen, F. Wyrowski, Astron. Astrophys. 444 (2005) 521.

⁷⁶ B. Tercero, I. Kleiner, J. Cernicharo, H. V. L. Nguyen, A. López, G. M. M. Caro, Astrophys. J. 770 (2013) L13.

⁷⁷ A. Belloche, R. T. Garrod, H. S. P. Müller, K. M. Menten, C. Comito, P. Schilke, Astron. Astrophys. 499 (2009) 215.

TOC Graphic 1

Which $H_x C_y O_z$ isomers are likely to be detected in the interstellar medium?



Which H_xC_yO_z isomers are likely to be detected in the interstellar medium?



2-Propanol 1-Propanol Propionic acid

Supplementary Materials Click here to download Supplementary Materials: HxCyOz_ISM_CP_2014_SI_rev.docx