



HAL
open science

Experimental analysis of masonry infilled frames using digital image correlation

Néstor Guerrero, Manuel Martinez, Ricardo Picon, Maria Eugenia Marante, François Hild, Stéphane Roux, Julio Florez-Lopez

► **To cite this version:**

Néstor Guerrero, Manuel Martinez, Ricardo Picon, Maria Eugenia Marante, François Hild, et al.. Experimental analysis of masonry infilled frames using digital image correlation. *Materials and structures*, 2014, 47 (5), pp.873-884. 10.1617/s11527-013-0099-0 . hal-00974365

HAL Id: hal-00974365

<https://hal.science/hal-00974365>

Submitted on 6 Apr 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1
2
3
4
5 **EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS OF MASONRY INFILLED FRAMES USING**
6
7
8 **DIGITAL IMAGE CORRELATION**
9

10
11
12
13
14
15 Néstor Guerrero¹, Manuel Martínez¹, Ricardo Picón^{1,✉}, María E. Marante¹, François

16
17 Hild², Stéphane Roux², Julio Flórez-López^{1,3}
18
19

20
21 ¹Laboratory of Structural Mechanics, Lisandro Alvarado University, Av. La Salle, Barquisimeto 3001,
22
23 Venezuela

24
25 ²LMT-Cachan, ENS Cachan / CNRS / University Paris 6 / PRES UniverSud Paris

26
27 61 avenue du Président Wilson, F-94235 Cachan Cedex, France
28

29 ³Structural Engineering Department, University of Los Andes, Sector La Hechicera, Mérida 5101,
30

31 Venezuela
32
33
34
35
36

37 ✉: rpicon@ucla.edu.ve

38 Telefax: +58 251 2592173
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Abstract

A measurement technique (Digital Image Correlation) is used for a critical evaluation of simplified models for infilled framed structures. It allows for the assessment of displacement and strain fields in the panel of interest. Several specimens, including infilled and partially infilled frames were subjected to cyclic lateral loads. It was found that two very different deformation mechanisms appear in the masonry panels namely, a first one during the hardening phase and another one, completely different, during the softening stage. For the former, strain concentration bands are observed. After the peak load, horizontal bands appear in the middle of the panels. The Polyakov assumption, *i.e.* that the panel can be replaced by struts in the analysis, is validated in the hardening stage. However, the orientation of the struts suggested in the literature was not found experimentally. The experimental results demonstrate that the inclination of the bands depends on the brick dimensions and arrangement. Further, the final failure mechanism corresponds to a sliding shear mode.

Keywords: Framed structures; Masonry; Models; Correlation Technique; Failure modes; Seismic behavior.

1
2
3
4
5 **Introduction**
6
7

8 The necessity for including the masonry in the analysis of the global behavior of framed structures
9 such as buildings subjected to overloads like earthquakes, settlements, impacts or explosions has been
10 well established.
11
12

13 Numerous experimental studies carried out on infilled frames are reported in the literature; amongst
14 them, let us mention those of [1-12]. These works describe tests that range from one bay-single story
15 specimens to two bay-four story buildings [4]; many of them subjected to cyclic lateral loadings that
16 are intended to represent seismic effects.
17
18

19 Asteris *et al.* [13] classify the failure modes observed experimentally into five categories as shown in
20 Fig. 1.
21
22




Fig. 1 Different failure modes of masonry-infilled frames: (a) Corner crushing mode; (b) Sliding shear mode; (c) Diagonal compression mode; (d) Diagonal cracking mode; (e) Frame failure mode.

A state of the art of the models for the analysis of infilled frames can be found in Asteris *et al.* [14]. In that paper the approaches for the modeling of the behavior of the infill frames are classified into two categories, namely micro-models [14-23] and macro-models [1-2, 13, 24-34]. In the former, the masonry is represented as a continuum discretized into numerous finite elements. Each element

1
2
3
4
5 describes in a very detailed way the heterogeneous nature of the material degradation; in some cases
6 using homogenization techniques, or even including distinct sub-elements for each material of the
7 infill. In the later approach, the infill is usually substituted by a single diagonal strut, or a set of them.
8
9

10
11 The micro approach gives a rich description of the phenomena taking place in the infill under
12 overloads including the interface between frames and infill [15]. The corresponding models intend to
13 consider all possible failure modes, but their use is limited to very simple structures due to the
14 complexity of the analysis and the large amount of data that is collected. For large structures such as
15 buildings, any of the aforementioned macro models is usually chosen. Very simple and schematic
16 results are obtained in that case, generally describing only one or two failure modes [13], but they are
17 considered accurate enough for engineering purposes.
18
19

20
21 The case of the infill frames with openings has been deeply studied because of the complexities
22 involved [13, 35-37]. The modes of failure of infilled frames with openings are far more complex than
23 those of solid infill panels. The multiple-strut models provide better modeling of both the infill and its
24 interaction with the RC frame, but cannot be used in general purpose finite element software because
25 of the complexities involved in their implementation [13]. The modeling of the masonry infill with
26 openings is still an open subject and require further study imperative [37].
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37


38 In this work, the macro approaches are experimentally evaluated. The difference between this
39 study and the aforementioned ones is the use of Digital Image Correlation (DIC) to enrich the
40 experimental database. When this technique is used, the specimen surface is prepared in order to create
41 a random texture. The specimen is loaded and digital pictures are taken at some predetermined
42 intervals. The pictures are subsequently analyzed by using a correlation software (CORRELI-Q4 in
43 present case) [38] that determines displacement and strain fields with respect to some reference image
44 as described in the third section of the paper. The use of the DIC technique gives objective and
45 quantitative data of the amount and location of strain and cracking concentrations. As a result, the strut
46 approach is validated although not in the way that has been proposed in any of the references cited in
47 the paper.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5 The experimental tests and the kinematic measurements obtained using the DIC technique are
6 described in the fourth section of the paper and the critical evaluation of the different macro-models is
7 given in the last section.
8
9

10
11 The observations presented in this paper can be used in the development of new and simpler models
12 that might describe the masonry behavior in a more precise way.
13
14
15
16
17

18 **Simplified approaches for masonry analyses**

19
20
21
22 The substitution of the masonry panel by a single diagonal strut element was proposed in the
23 pioneering work by Polyakov [25], as it is shown in Fig. 2. In the case of cyclic loadings, the infilled
24 panel is represented by two diagonal strut bars.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32



33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45 **Fig. 2** Diagonal strut model
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 Zarnic and Tomazevic [27] proposed a modified version of Polyakov's model. The diagonal strut
53 is connected to the frame at some distance of the beam-column joint such as indicated in Fig. 3. The
54 justification of this modification was that the damage in the upper part of the panels, in some tests,
55 occurred far from the diagonal.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Fig. 3 Zarnic and Tomazevic's model [27]

The introduction of multiple struts in each direction has also been proposed [1, 24, 28, 30 and 39]. The descriptions given in those references are shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5.




Fig. 4 Multiple strut models according to (a) Smith [1]; (b) Chrysotomou [39]; (c) Crisafulli *et al.* [30]




Fig. 5 Multiple struts models according to (a) El-Dakhakhni *et al.* [24]; (b) Chrysostomou *et al.* [28]

Digital image correlation technique

Digital image correlation is applicable to a wide range of nano and micro [40-41], meso [42] and macro scales [43]. Digital image correlation is an optical method to measure displacements on an object surface [42] or in the bulk [44-45] by comparing the object texture at different states, usually one before loading and the other one after being deformed. The displacement field, for example of a planar object, has two in-plane components, say u and v , and one out-of plane component, w . The two in-plane displacement components are directly computed by digital image correlation. Subsequently, the displacement gradients are then derived by spatial differentiation of the displacement field data. More details regarding this approach are provided by [38, 40-46].

Experimental analysis of confined and partially confined masonry walls

Single bay infilled frame (IF1)

The results of four specimens tested at the Laboratory of Structural Mechanics of Lisandro Alvarado University [47-48] are presented in this work. The first one, referred to as IF, is a one-bay infilled frame as shown in Fig. 6(a). The specimen was subjected to prescribed cyclic displacements at the top as is shown in Fig. 6(b) and the response is presented in Fig. 7(a). A heavy concrete beam was built at the top of the frame to avoid tractions. The details of the frame are included in Appendix 1. The size of the concrete masonry blocks was 40x20 cm with a thickness of 15 cm. The infill was built in the standard overlapped way.




Fig. 6 (a) Single bay infilled frame (IF1); (b) History of jack displacements

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Fig. 7 shows the strain fields. In Fig. 7(b), it is possible to appreciate high levels of strains at the borders between the frame and the infill that appear at the peak of the first loading. Low strain values are observed in the remainder of the wall. Notice too that the lower left and upper right corners exhibit no significant strains. Fig. 7(c) shows the strain field when the direction of the lateral force changes. The same strain pattern is observed with a change of the unloaded corner.




Fig. 7 Single bay infilled frame (IF1); (a) Force vs. displacement curve; (b) and (c) Strain fields in the first cycle; (d) and (e) Strain fields in the second cycle; (f) and (g) Strain fields in a subsequent cycle after the peak

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Strain fields during the second cycle are shown in Fig. 7(d) and (e). A sudden change in the
8 deformation pattern is observed. Diagonal bands at a roughly 45° angle appear in the wall for positive
9 (Fig. 7(d)) and negative displacements (Fig. 7(e)). Fig. 7 (f) and (g) show the strain fields at a
10 subsequent cycle after the peak of the applied force. A different pattern of deformation is observed
11 with predominant horizontal bands with high strain levels. These bands are connected with the corners
12 of the frame by short 45° branches.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 ***Single bay partially infilled frame (PF)***

22
23 In the present test, the same frame was partially infilled as shown in Fig. 8 and subjected to the loading
24 path presented in Fig. 6(b). This type of configuration is commonly found in scholar facilities in
25 Venezuela and other tropical countries.
26
27
28
29



45 **Fig. 8** Single bay partially infilled frame (PF)

46
47
48
49 The global response of the specimen is indicated in Fig. 9(a). The strain fields at the third cycle are
50 shown in Fig. 9(b) and (c). Two different sets of diagonal bands are observed. Although the
51 deformation pattern is complex, the bands start at the corners of the wall and follow an approximately
52 rectilinear trajectory with a 45° angle again. It is important to underline the presence of two different
53 branches in this specimen when compared with the IF1 test. As in that test, the deformation pattern
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

with diagonal bands changes to a set of predominantly horizontal branches after the loading peak as shown in Fig. 9(d) and (e).





Fig. 9 Single bay partially infilled frame (PF) (a) Force vs. stroke response; (b) and (c) Strain fields in the third cycle; (d) and (e) Strain fields in a subsequent cycle after the peak

Two-bay partially infilled frame (TF)

A two-bay frame (Fig. 10), also partially infilled, was tested the same way. The details of this specimen are included in Appendix 2. The force vs. stroke curve is shown in Fig. 11(a). There were no significant differences between the deformation patterns in the PF and TF tests. The strain fields are shown in Fig. 11(b-e.)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Fig. 10 Two-bay partially infilled frame (TF)







Fig. 11 Two-bay partially infilled frame (TF) (a) Force vs. stroke response; (b) and (c) Strain fields in the third cycle; (d) and (e) Strain fields in a subsequent cycle after the peak

1
2
3
4
5 **Squat infilled frame (SF)**
6

7
8 It is worth noting that in the PF and TF tests there are different sets of 45° bands while in the IF1 test
9 the branch could be interpreted as a corner-to-corner strut, as usually assumed in the macro-models,
10 but also as a 45° compression band. In order to determine whether the difference in behavior is due to
11 the aspect ratio of the wall or because of the partial confinement of the infill, a new test was carried
12 out. A masonry wall with the same aspect ratio as the PF test, but completely confined (Fig. 12) was
13 subjected to the same cyclic loading. As seen in Fig. 13(b), the bands at 45° of the PF test are again
14 observed during the first cycles. After the peak, the bands become predominantly horizontal as shown
15 in Fig. 13(c).
16
17
18
19
20
21
22




34
35 **Fig. 12** Squat infilled frame (SF)
36



1
2
3
4
5 **Fig. 13** Squat infilled frame (SF) (a) Force vs. stroke response; (b) Strain fields in the first cycles; (c)
6
7 Strain fields in a subsequent cycle after the peak
8
9

10
11 ***Single bay infilled frame (IF2)***
12

13 In order to evaluate the influence of the aspect ratio of the blocks in the deformation pattern, an infilled
14 frame was built using concrete blocks of 20x27 cm with a thickness of 15 cm. The specimen (Fig.
15 14(a)) was subjected to the mono-sign loading presented in Fig. 14(b). The strain field during the test
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
is shown in Fig. 15. As can be appreciated in this figure, several sets of diagonal bands appear in the
wall but this time with an inclination of 56° .



36 **Fig. 14** (a) Single bay infilled frame (IF2) (b) History of jack displacements
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Fig. 15 Single bay infilled frame (IF2) (a) Force vs. stroke response; (b) and (c) Strain fields before the peak; (d) Strain field in the peak; (e) Strain field after the peak

Evaluation of the simplified approaches and conclusions

Two different deformation patterns were observed during the tests. In the first stage, during the hardening phase, inclined bands appeared (see for instance Fig. 7(d) and (e), Fig. 9(b) and (c), Fig. 13(b) and Fig. 15(b) and (c)). In all the tests, the end of this stage coincided with the maximum load capacity of the structure. A different pattern of bands was observed during the softening phase of the tests (see for instance Fig. 7(f) and (g), Fig. 9 (d) and (e), Fig. 13(c)). These bands were predominantly horizontal and connected to the beam-column joint by short inclined bands.

If these observations are related with the failure modes described in Fig. 1, it is interpreted that there is a shift from a predominantly diagonal compression mode of deformation to a sliding shear degradation mode.

1
2
3
4
5 The use of the DIC technique confirms the validity of the Polyakov's approach but only during the
6 hardening phase of the loading, namely, the infill masonry panel may be substituted by compression
7 struts because concentrated strain bands are observed in the wall while the rest of it remains mildly
8 deformed.
9

10
11
12
13 As proposed in some aforementioned references, more than one compression band appears in the
14 panel. During the hardening phase of the tests, a single dominant band was observed in two cases (IF1
15 and TF tests); in the other three tests (IF2, PF and SF), two distinct bands are identified.
16
17

18
19 A significant difference between the DIC results and the models proposed in the literature is related to
20 the inclination of the "struts." In the tests, the bands are oriented at approximately 45° with respect to
21 the horizontal line in the specimens built with blocks with aspect ratios equal to 1:2 and 56° in the one
22 where the aspect ratio was equal to 1:1.5. The struts in the model are always related to the corner to
23 corner inclination of the wall. In walls with an aspect ratio close to one, which corresponds to most of
24 the cases studied in laboratories, both criteria coincide. This result demonstrates that the inclination of
25 the bands depends on the brick dimensions and arrangement.
26
27

28
29 As aforementioned, a sudden modification of the deformation pattern occurs at the beginning of the
30 softening phase. The bands change from inclined to predominantly horizontal. In this second stage,
31 multiple bands were observed.
32
33

34
35 Taking into account that the physics of the critical softening stage is not correctly described by the
36 diagonal strut model, it would be interesting to develop a new macro-model based on a more realistic
37 deformation pattern, namely, one that would be able to describe the two dominant cracking modes. On
38 the other hand, in order to be attractive for practical applications, the formulation of the new model
39 should not add significant mathematical complexities.
40
41

42
43 DIC could be very useful for the verification of the boundary conditions considered in the analysis
44 performed with micro-models. As it is explained by Asteris [15], one of the main problems found in
45 the analysis of the behavior of infilled frames under lateral load is to do a realistic estimation of the
46 contact conditions between the infill and the RC frame. In the images, it is possible to appreciate the
47 evolution of the contact lengths between the panel and the frame with the variation of the lateral load
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65


magnitude and direction. In general terms, it is observed a rapid separation between masonry and frame, remaining contact only at the corners of the diagonal. Additionally, it can be observed that there is not contact for the opposite diagonal; this fact validates the unilateral behavior hypothesis, i.e. the struts are active only when are subjected to compression stresses.

Acknowledgments

The results presented in this paper were obtained in the course of an investigation sponsored by FONACIT-ECOS NORD and CDCHT-UCLA.

Appendixes


Appendix 1



Experimental Test	H (m)
IF1	1.75
PF	2.00
TF	2.00
SF	1.40
IF2	2.00

Appendix 2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

- [1] Smith BS (1966) Behavior of square infilled frames. *J Struct Div 1*: 381-403
- [2] Smith BS, Carter C (1969) A method of analysis for infilled frames. *Proc Inst Civ Eng, Struct Build*, 44: 31-48
- [3] Liaw TC (1979) Tests on multistory infilled frames subjected to dynamic lateral loading. *J Am Concr Inst 76(4)*: 551-560
- [4] Negro P, Anthoine A, Combescure D, Magonette G, Molina J, Pegon P, Verzeletti G (1995) Tests on the four-storey reinforced concrete frame with masonry infills: Preliminary Report. Special Publication No. 195.54. Ispra (VA), Italy
- [5] Mehrabi AB, Shing PB, Schuller M, Noland J (1996) Experimental evaluation of masonry-infilled RC frames. *J Struct Eng 122(3)*: 228-237
- [6] Chiou Y, Tzeng J, Liou Y (1999) Experimental and analytical study of masonry infilled frames. *J Struct Eng 1109-1117*
- [7] El-Dakhakhni W (2000) Experimental and analytical seismic evaluation of concrete masonry-infilled steel frames retrofitted using GFRP laminates. Electronics Theses DSpace at Drexler University Libraries
- [8] Al-Chaar G, Issa M, Sweeney S (2002) Behavior of masonry-infilled nonductile reinforced concrete frames. *J Struct Eng 128(8)*: 1055-1063
- [9] Tasnimi A, Mohebkah A (2011) Investigation on the behavior of brick-infilled steel frames with openings, experimental and analytical approaches. *Eng Struct 33*: 968–980
- [10] Mendoza-Perez JCS, Rico-Garcia E, Flores-Corona LE (2011) Effect of connector density on shear capacity of reinforced masonry wallettes. *Indian J Eng and Mat Sci 18(2)*: 157-160
- [11] Colunga AT, Juárez A, Salinas VH (2007) Resistencia y deformación de muros de mampostería combinada y confinada sujetos a cargas laterales. *Revista de Ingeniería Sísmica, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, México 76*: 29-60
- [12] Anil Ö, Altin S (2007) An experimental study on reinforced concrete partially infilled frames. *Eng Struct 29*: 449-460

- 1
2
3
4
5 [13] Asteris PG, Antoniou ST; Sophianopoulos DS, Chrysostomou CZ (2011) Mathematical
6 Macromodeling of Infilled Frames: State of the Art. *J Struct Eng.* 137 (12):1508–1517
7
8
9 [14] Asteris PG, Kyriazopoulos AD, Vouthounis PA (2002) The state-of-the-art in infilled frames
10 numerical models. Proceedings of the Struct Eng World Congress (**SEWC2002**), Yokohama, Japan,
11 October 2002, Paper No. T1-2-c2
12
13
14
15 [15] Asteris, PG (2008) Finite Element Micro-Modeling of Infilled Frames, *Elec J Struct Eng.* 8:1-11
16
17 [16] Marlick DV, Severn RT (1967) The behaviour of infilled frames. *J Struct Div*, 183-199
18
19 [17] Liauw TC, Kwan KH (1984) Nonlinear behaviour of nonintegral infilled frames. *Comput Struct*
20 18: 551-560
21
22
23 [18] Papia M (1988) Analysis of infilled frames using a coupled finite element and boundary element
24 solution scheme. *Int J Numer Methods Eng* 26: 731-742
25
26
27 [19] Haris I, Hortobagyi Z (2012) Different FEM models of reinforced concrete frames stiffened by
28 infill masonry for lateral loads. *Periodica Polytechnica-Civil Eng* 56(1): 25-34
29
30
31 [20] Lofti HR, Shing PB (1994) Interface model applied to fracture of masonry structures. *J Struct Eng*
32 120: 63-80
33
34
35 [21] Lourenço PB, Rots JG (1997) Multisurface interface model for analysis of masonry structures. *J*
36 *Eng Mech* 123: 660-668
37
38
39 [22] Singh H, Paul DK, Sastry VV (1998) Inelastic dynamic response of reinforced concrete infilled
40 frames. *Comput Struct* 69(6): 685-693.
41
42
43 [23] Van Zijl GPAG (2004) Modeling masonry shear-compression: Role of dilatancy highlighted. *J*
44 *Eng Mech* 130: 1289-1296
45
46
47 [24] El-Dakhkhni W, Elgaaly M, Hamid A (2003) Three-strut model for concrete masonry-infilled
48 steel frames. *J Struct Eng* 129(2): 177-185
49
50
51 [25] Polyakov VS (1956) Masonry in framed buildings. *Godsudarstvenoe Isdatel' stvo Literaturny Po*
52 *Stroidal stvui Architecture, Moscow*
53
54
55 [26] Klingner RE, Bertero VV (1976) Infilled frames in earthquake resistant construction. Report
56 76032, Berkeley: University of California
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5 [27] Zarnic R, Tomazevic M (1984) The behavior of masonry infilled reinforced concrete frames
6 subjected to cyclic lateral loading. Proc Ninth World Conf on Earthq Eng, San Francisco, USA, VI,
7
8 863-870
9
- 10 [28] Chrysotomou CZ, Gergely P, Abel JF (2002) A six-strut model for nonlinear dynamic analysis of
11 steel infilled frames. Int J Struct Stab and Dyn, 2(3): 335-353
12
13 [29] Zarnic R (1994) Inelastic model of RC frame with masonry infilled-analytical approach. Eng
14 Modeling 7: 47-54
15
16 [30] Crisafulli F, Carr A, Park R (2000) Analytical modeling of infilled frame structures. A general
17 review. Bulletin of New Zealand Soc. for Earthq Eng 33(1):30-47.
18
19 [31] Rodrigues H, Varum H, Costa A (2010) Simplified Macro-Model for Infill Masonry Panels. J
20 Earthq Eng 14(3): 390-416
21
22 [32] Zhang CQ, Zhou Y, Zhou DY, Lu XL (2011) Study on the effect of the infill walls on the seismic
23 performance of a reinforced concrete frame. Earthq Eng and Eng Vibration 10(4): 507-517
24
25 [33] Chrysostomou CZ, Asteris PG (2012) On the in-plane properties and capacities of infilled frames.
26 Eng Struct 41: 385-402
27
28 [34] Hak S, Morandi P, Magenes G, Sullivan TJ (2012) Damage control for clay masonry infills in the
29 design of RC frame structures. J Earthq Eng 16(SI-1):1-35
30
31 [35] Asteris PG (2003). Lateral Stiffness of Brick Masonry Infilled Plane Frames. J Struct Eng;
32 (ASCE), 129(8): 1071-1079
33
34 [36] Mohebkhah A, Tasnimi AA (2012). Distinct element modeling of masonry-infilled steel frames
35 with openings. Open Const Building Tech J, 6: 42-49
36
37 [37] Asteris PG, Kakaletsis DJ, Chrysostomou CZ, Smyrou EE (2011). Failure Modes of Infilled
38 Frames. Elect J Struct Eng, 11(1): 11-20
39
40 [38] Besnard G, Hild F, Roux S (2006) "Finite element" displacement fields analysis from digital
41 images: application to Portevin-Le Châtelier bands. Exp Mech J 46 (6): 789-803
42
43 [39] Chrysotomou CZ (1991) Effects of degrading infill walls on the nonlinear seismic response of
44 two-dimensional steel frame. Ph.D Thesis, Cornell University
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5 [40] Forquin P, Rota L, Charles Y, Hild F (2004) A method to determine the macroscopic toughness
6 scatter of brittle materials. *Int J of Fracture* 125: 171–187
7
8
9 [41] Sutton MA, Li N, Garcia D, Cornille N, Orteu JJ, McNeill SR, Schreier HW, Li X, Reynolds AP
10 (2007) Scanning Electron Microscopy for Quantitative Small and Large Deformation Measurements
11 Part II: Experimental Validation for Magnifications from 200 to 10,000. *Exp Mech* 47 (6):.789-804.
12
13 [42] Sutton MA, McNeill SR, Helm JD, Chao YJ (2000) Advances in Two-Dimensional and Three-
14 Dimensional Computer Vision. In *Photomechanics*, Springer, Berlin (Germany) *Topics in Appl Phys*
15 77: 323-372
16
17 [43] Küntz M, Jolin M, Bastien J, Perez F, Hild F (2006) Digital image correlation analysis of crack
18 behavior in a reinforced concrete beam during a load test. *Canad J Civil Eng*, 33: 1418-1425
19
20 [44] Bornert M, Chaix JM, Doumalin P, Dupré J-C, Fournel T, Jeulin D, Maire E, Moreaud M,
21 Moulinec H (2004) Mesure tridimensionnelle de champs cinématiques par imagerie volumique pour
22 l'analyse des matériaux et des structures. *Inst Mes Métrol* 4: 43-88
23
24 [45] Roux S, Hild F, Viot P, Bernard D (2008) Three dimensional image correlation from X-Ray
25 computed tomography of solid foam. *Comp. Part A* 39(8):1253-1265
26
27 [46] Hild F, Raka B, Baudequin M, Roux S, Cantelaube F (2002) Multi-Scale Displacement Field
28 Measurements of Compressed Mineral Wool Samples by Digital Image Correlation. *Appl Optics* IP
29 41 (32): 6815-6828
30
31 [47] Martínez M (2007) Análisis experimental del comportamiento de muros de mampostería
32 confinada. Master thesis, University of Los Andes, Venezuela
33
34 [48] Guerrero N, (2007) Análisis Teórico-Experimental del Daño y del Pandeo Local en Estructuras de
35 Ingeniería Civil. Doctoral thesis, University of Los Andes, Venezuela
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65