Short-Range FMCW Radar Platform for Millimetric Displacements Measurement
Andrei Anghel, Gabriel Vasile, Remus Cacoveanu, Cornel Ioana, Silviu Ciochina

To cite this version:

HAL Id: hal-00972551
https://hal.science/hal-00972551
Submitted on 3 Apr 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
SHORT-RANGE FMCW RADAR PLATFORM FOR MILLIMETRIC DISPLACEMENTS MEASUREMENT

Andrei Anghel† Gabriel Vasile† Remus Cacoveanu⋆ Cornel Ioana† Silviu Ciochina⋆

⋆ University POLITEHNICA of Bucharest
Faculty of Electronics, Telecommunications and Information Technology
1-3 Iuliu Maniu, Bucharest, ROMANIA
† CNRS / Grenoble INP, Grenoble-Image-sPeach-Signal-Automatics Lab,
38402 Grenoble Cedex, FRANCE

ABSTRACT

A frequency modulated continuous wave (FMCW) radar platform for millimetric displacement measurements of short-range targets is presented in this paper. The platform’s transceiver is based on a heterodyne architecture because the beat frequency is relatively small for short-range targets and it can be placed in the frequency range influenced by the specific homodyne architecture problems: DC offset, self-mixing and 1/f noise. The platform’s displacement measurement capability was tested on range profiles and SAR images acquired for various targets. The displacements were computed from the interferometric phase. The displacements errors were situated below 0.1 mm for metallic bar targets placed at a few meters from the radar.

Index Terms— Frequency Modulated Continuous Wave (FMCW), Interferometry.

1. INTRODUCTION

The frequency modulated continuous wave (FMCW) radar is an alternative to the pulse radar when the target range can be relatively small (below 100 m). In order to measure such distances with the pulse radar the switching time between transmission and reception should be at most tens of nanoseconds and the sampling frequency could reach more than 1 GHz. For a FMCW radar the range information is provided by beat frequencies and each frequency corresponds to a target placed at a certain distance. Typical FMCW radar implementations have a homodyne architecture based transceiver [1, 2] which limits the performances for short-range applications. In the case of targets positioned near the radar the beat frequency is small and can be in the band affected by the classical problems of the homodyne architecture (DC offset, self-mixing and 1/f noise) [3]. Another problem of the FMCW transceiver is that the voltage controlled oscillator (VCO) adds a certain degree of nonlinearity which leads to a deteriorated resolution by spreading the target energy through different frequencies [5]. This problem is usually solved either by hardware [4, 5, 6] or software [7, 8, 9] approaches. In this paper is presented FMCW radar platform based on a heterodyne architecture of the transceiver. The heterodyne architecture eliminates the low frequency self-mixing spectrum and reduces the noise bandwidth for better sensitivity. The nonlinearity correction algorithm used on the acquired beat signals is presented in [10]. The radar platform is tested with a number of range profiles and SAR images. The displacements are computed from the interferometric phase. The absolute error was below 0.1 mm in all tests.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 makes a description of the FMCW platform. In Section 3 the experimental results are presented and the conclusions are stated in Section 4.

2. FMCW PLATFORM DESCRIPTION

The block diagram of the 8-12 GHz short range FMCW radar platform is shown in Fig. 1. The command signal is a linear tuning voltage with 100 ms period obtained from the signal generator of an USB oscilloscope. The RF VCO block is a low-cost X-band VCO with 15% linearity (according to the linearity definition given in [11]) which provides the local oscillator (LO) signal. In [12] is mentioned that the slope of the frequency-voltage characteristic for some VCOs may be reasonably approximated by a quadratic curve. However, a more general approach is to assume a polynomial frequency-voltage dependence. With this assumption, for a linear tuning voltage, the RF VCO signal in a sweep period $T_p$ can be written as:

$$s_{LO}(t) = \cos \left[ 2\pi \left( f_0 t + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 t^2 \right) + \Phi_{nl}(t) \right], \quad (1)$$

where
\[ \Phi_{nl}(t) = \sum_{k=2}^{K} \beta_k \frac{1}{k+1} t^{k+1}, \] (2)

is the nonlinearity phase term (which depends on the nonlinearity coefficients \( \beta_k \) with \( k = 2, K \)), \( f_0 \) and \( \alpha_0 \) are respectively the frequency and the linear chirp rate in the origin. The intermediary frequency (IF) block is a direct digital synthesizer with adjustable frequency (25-250kHz). The transmitted signal consists of two different signals obtained by mixing the LO signal with the IF signal:

\[ s_T(t) = \frac{1}{2} \cos \left[ 2\pi \left( \left( f_0 + f_{IF} \right) t + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 t^2 \right) + \Phi_{nl}(t) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \cos \left[ 2\pi \left( \left( f_0 - f_{IF} \right) t + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 t^2 \right) + \Phi_{nl}(t) \right]. \] (3)

For short-range applications the delay is very small compared to the sweep period. In consequence the residual video phase (RVP) term [13] can be neglected and the nonlinearity phase term difference can be approximated with the derivative multiplied with the delay. Under these assumptions, the IF signal can be rewritten as:

\[ s_{IF}(t) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i \left\{ \cos \left[ 2\pi \left( (f_{IF} + \alpha_0 \tau_i) t + (f_0 - f_{IF}) \tau_i + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 \tau_i^2 \right) + \Phi_{nl}(t) \right] - \Phi_{nl}(t - \tau_i) \right\}. \] (5)

This signal is filtered, amplified and afterwards is sampled with 1 MHz sample rate. The sampled signal consists of two groups of spectral components placed symmetrically around the intermediary frequency as presented in Fig. 2. The analog band-pass filter (BPF: 25-500 kHz bandwidth) removes the low-frequency components (resulted from self-mixing and local oscillator leakage in the transmitted signal) and improves the signal to noise ratio by reducing the thermal noise bandwidth. Additional digital filters can be applied to select an imposed range interval before mixing to baseband. The IF is adjusted according to the sampling frequency and the expected beat frequencies.

In order to shift the spectrum in the baseband the signal in (6) should be digitally multiplied with the sampled IF sine signal. The resulting beat frequency signal is (neglecting the 0.5 factors resulted from cosine multiplying):

\[ s_b(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i \cos \left[ 2\pi \left( f_0 + \alpha_0 t + \sum_{k=2}^{K} \beta_k t^k \right) \tau_i \right]. \] (7)

If the range profile is computed as the Fourier transform of this signal, the nonlinearity terms spread the energy of each target and the resolution gets deteriorated. The nonlinearity correction procedure applied to the beat signal is described
in detail in [10] and can be summarized as follows. The analytical version of (7) is a sum of polynomial-phase signals whose coefficients are estimated using the high-order ambiguity function (HAF) [14] on the response obtained from the reference path. Onwards, with the estimated coefficients ($\alpha_0$ and $\beta_k$) a nonlinearity correction function is built and applied through a time resampling of the beat signal. After the nonlinearity correction, the complex beat signal is expressed as:

$$s_{b,c}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i \exp \left[ j2\pi (f_0 \tau_i + \alpha \tau_i t) \right].$$

(8)

where $\alpha$ is the mean chirp rate defined as the ratio between bandwidth and sweep period. Notice that the corrected signal is a sum of $N$ complex sinusoids which means that each target should appear as a $sinc$ function in the range profile and the range resolution can reach the theoretical limit.

3. DISPLACEMENT MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Displacement measurements with the FMCW platform were made using both range profiles and synthetic aperture images.

3.1. Range profiles displacements

Different targets such as metallic bars and corner reflectors were placed in front of the radar at various ranges ($1 - 6m$). The target was moved with a few millimetres in successive acquisitions and the displacements were evaluated using the interferometric phase of the FMCW complex range profile.

The measured displacements and absolute errors are summarized in Fig. 3.

3.2. SAR Images displacements

A scene containing two metallic bars was considered. The frequency sweep interval was $9 - 10GHz$. The SAR images were obtained by moving the platform on a linear 30cm rail. A synthesized image is shown in Fig. 4. One of the targets was moved on different directions between image acquisitions and the displacement was projected on the local line of sight (LOS) direction. Due to the short-range the projection angle is different for the two targets. The LOS displacements were computed from the phase difference of the target pixels of two complex images. Fig. 5 shows a few measured LOS displacements and the corresponding absolute errors.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented a FMCW radar platform used for displacement measurements of short-range targets. The platform’s transceiver is based on an intermediary frequency architecture in order to avoid the specific homodyne problems and enhance the system’s sensitivity. The platform’s measurement capabilities were validated on range profiles and SAR images acquired for various targets. The displacements errors were situated below 0.1 mm for targets placed at a few meters from the radar.
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Fig. 4. SAR Image obtained for two metallic bars. The phase difference of the target pixels in different images leads to the line of sight displacement.

Fig. 5. SAR line of sight displacements measurement results.