Manuscript submitted to AIMS' Journals Volume **X**, Number **0X**, XX **200X** 

pp. **X–XX** 

# INTEGRABILITY METHODS IN THE TIME MINIMAL COHERENCE TRANSFERT FOR ISING CHAINS OF THREE SPINS

#### Bernard Bonnard

Institut de mathématiques de Bourgogne, Dijon, France THIERRY COMBOT AND LIONEL JASSIONNESSE Institut de mathématiques de Bourgogne, Dijon, France

(Communicated by the associate editor name)

ABSTRACT. The objective of this article is to analyze the integrability properties of extremals solutions of Pontryagin Maximum Principle in the time minimal control of a linear spin system with Ising coupling in relation with conjugate and cut loci computations. Restricting to the case of three spins, the problem is equivalent to analyze a family of almost-Riemannian metrics on the sphere  $S^2$ , with Grushin equatorial singularity. The problem can be lifted into a SR-invariant problem on SO(3), this leads to a complete understanding of the geometry of the problem and to an explicit parametrization of the extremals using an appropriate chart as well as elliptic functions. This approach is compared with the direct analysis of the Liouville metrics on the sphere where the parametrization of the extremals is obtained by computing a Liouville normal form. Finally, an algebraic approach is presented in the framework of the application of differential Galois theory to integrability.

1. Introduction. Over the past decade, the application of geometric optimal control techniques to the dynamics of spin systems with applications to Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and quantum information processing [16] has been an intense research direction. In particular, a series of articles focus on the time optimal control of a linear chain of spins with Ising couplings [13, 22]. Using Hamiltonian Formalism and an adapted rotating frame, the control system is defined by  $H = H_d + H_c$ , where  $H_d$  is the internal Hamiltonian,  $H_d = \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} I_{iz} I_{jz}$ , with  $J_{ij}$  representing the coupling between the spins,  $I_{k\alpha} = 1 \otimes ... \otimes I_{\alpha} \otimes ... \otimes 1$ ,  $I_{\alpha}, \alpha \in x, y, z$  being the Pauli matrix and the system is controlled by external radio-frequency pulse on resonance to each spin defining  $H_c = \sum_i (u_{i1}I_{ix} + u_{i2}I_{iy})$ .

Restricting to the case of three spins, the objective of this article is to provide the preliminary work to compute the optimal solutions parametrized by Pontryagin Maximum Principle. We here focus on the integrability aspects of the problem by using three different approaches.

A first point of view which already appears in the pioneering work [13], see also [9] in a different context, consists in lifting the problem on a sub-Riemannian invariant

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 49K15, 70H06; Secondary: 33E05.

Key words and phrases. Geometric optimal control, Ising chains of spins, Liouville metrics, SR-geometry, Differential Galois theory and Integrability.

The first author is supported by NSF grant xx-xxxx.

(SR-invariant) problem on SO(3) that depends on a parameter k representing the ratio of the coupling constants  $J_{12}$ ,  $J_{23}$  between the spins. Such metrics is a limit case of invariant Riemannian metrics on SO(3), the so-called Euler-Poinsot rigid body problem in mechanics. Using the seminal work in [12], we define a chart that identifies locally SO(3) to  $S^2 \times S^1$  which enlightens the geometry of the problem and leads to an explicit computation of the extremals using elliptic functions.

Another approach consists in integrating the system directly on  $S^2$ . In this context the problem is equivalent to analyze a family of 2D- Liouville metrics on  $S^2$  with an equatorial singularity. The integrability properties is equivalent to the calculation of the Liouville normal form [4, 5] using the additional first integral. In our case it corresponds to the Hamiltonian of the round metrics on  $S^2$ , induced by the Casimir function on SO(3). This point of view is very important to analyze the optimality properties related to the conjugate and cut loci of the metrics, indeed it is related to similar calculations on Liouville surfaces that generalizes the case of ellipsoids [10, 11].

Finally, the third approach consists in using our problem as a bed-test platform to apply the algebraic framework of Galois differential theory in integrability [17] to compute the solutions. First, the optimal control is calculated using the Jacobi elliptic functions and inserted in the equations. This reduces the computations to the integration of a time-depending linear equation whose coefficients are expressed in terms of the Jacobi elliptic functions. The Picard Vessiot extension and the associated Galois group are computed to parametrize the extremal solutions.

2. The mathematical model. In this paper, we detail the presentation of the problem in the case of three spins, but the problem can be easily generalized to a chain of n spins. We follow the presentation of [13, 21, 22].

We introduce the spin 1/2 matrices  $I_{\alpha}$  related to the Pauli matrices by a 1/2 factor. Such matrices satisfy:

$$[I_x, I_y] = iI_z, \quad I_x^2 = I_y^2 = I_z^2 = 1/4.$$

The Hilbert space L of the system is the space formed by the tensor product of the three two-dimensional spin 1/2 Hilbert space. Assuming a single input system, the Hamiltonian of the system decomposes into:

$$H = H_d + H_c$$

where

$$H_d = 2(J_{12}I_{1z}I_{2z} + J_{23}I_{2z}I_{3z}), \qquad H_c = u(t)I_{2y}.$$

We consider the time evolution of the vector  $X = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^{\top}$  where  $x_1 = \langle I_{1x} \rangle$ ,  $x_2 = \langle 2I_{1y2z} \rangle$ ,  $x_3 = \langle 2I_{1y2x} \rangle$ ,  $x_4 = \langle 4I_{1y2y3z} \rangle$  with  $\langle \rangle$  denoting the *expectation value*. To compute the dynamics, we introduce a  $8 \times 8$  matrix  $\rho \in L$ , called the *density matrix*, which satisfies:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathrm{i}[H,\rho]$$

Using the definition of the expectation value of a given operator:  $\langle O \rangle = \text{Tr}(O\rho)$ , one gets:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\langle I_{1x}\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(I_{1x}\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}t}) = -\mathrm{i}\mathrm{Tr}(I_{1x}[H,\rho]) = -\mathrm{i}\mathrm{Tr}([I_{1x},H]\rho)$$

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Hence, we deduce that:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}x_1 = -J_{12}\mathrm{Tr}(2I_{1y}I_{2z}\rho).$$

By rescaling the time by a factor  $J_{12}$ , it becomes:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}x_1 = -x_2$$

Similar computations lead to the evolution of X given by:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}t} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & -u & 0\\ 0 & u & 0 & -k\\ 0 & 0 & k & 0 \end{pmatrix} X, \quad \text{where } k = \frac{J_{23}}{J_{12}}.$$

The optimal control problem is to transfer in minimum time  $(1, 0, 0, 0)^{\top}$  to  $(0, 0, 0, 1)^{\top}$ . It is an intermediate step to realize the transfer in minimum time from  $I_{1x}$  to  $I_{3x}$ . Indeed, it connects the first spin to the third one by controlling the second spin.

Introducing the following coordinates:

$$r_1 = x_1$$
  $r_2 = \sqrt{x_2^2 + x_3^2}$   $r_3 = x_4$ 

and denoting

$$\tan \alpha = x_3/x_2,$$

the system becomes:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & u_3 & 0 \\ -u_3 & 0 & u_1 \\ 0 & -u_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

where  $r = (r_1, r_2, r_3) \in S^2$  and  $u_1 = -k \sin(\alpha)$ ,  $u_3 = -\cos(\alpha)$  are the components of the control.

In those coordinates, the minimum time problem is equivalent to determine the fastest transfer on the sphere from (1, 0, 0) to (0, 0, 1).

It can be written as an  $L^2$ -minimization problem as follows:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r_1}{\mathrm{d}t} = u_3 r_2, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}r_2}{\mathrm{d}t} = -u_3 r_1 + u_1 r_3, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}r_3}{\mathrm{d}t} = -u_1 r_2,$$
$$\min_{u(.)} \int_0^T (I_1 u_1^2(t) + I_3 u_3^2(t)) \mathrm{d}t, \qquad k^2 = \frac{I_1}{I_3}.$$

The problem is equivalent to an *almost-Riemannian* problem on the sphere  $S^2$  with a singularity at the equator  $r_2 = 0$ , for the corresponding metric:

$$g = \frac{\mathrm{d}r_1^2 + k^2 \mathrm{d}r_3^2}{r_2^2}$$

(See [1] for more details about such metrics.)

Introducing the spherical coordinates  $r_2 = \cos \varphi$ ,  $r_1 = \sin \varphi \cos \theta$ ,  $r_3 = \sin \varphi \sin \theta$ , where  $\varphi = \pi/2$  corresponds to the equator, the metric g take the form

$$g = \tan^2 \varphi (k^2 \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta) d\theta^2 + (\cos^2 \theta + k^2 \sin^2 \theta) d\varphi^2 + 2(k^2 - 1) \tan \varphi \sin \theta \cos \theta d\theta d\varphi,$$

with the associated Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{4k^2} \Big( \cot^2 \varphi (\cos^2 \theta + k^2 \sin^2 \theta) p_{\theta}^2 + (k^2 \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta) p_{\varphi}^2 \\ - 2(k^2 - 1) \cot^2 \varphi \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta \Big) p_{\varphi}^2 \Big).$$

If k = 1, the Hamiltonian takes the form  $H = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_{\varphi}^2 + p_{\theta}^2 \cot a^2 \varphi \right)$  and describes the standard Grushin metric on  $S^2$ .

## 3. Connection with invariant metrics on SO(3) and integration.

3.1. Lifting procedure. A first approach to analyze the optimal control problem and parametrize the extremals consists in lifting the problem onto SO(3). We introduce the matrix  $R(t) = (r_{ij}(t))$  on SO(3) where the third row is identified to the unit vector r(t) defined previously:  $r_{31} = r_1$ ,  $r_{32} = r_2$ ,  $r_{33} = r_3$ , and we consider the right-invariant control system:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}R^{\top}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & u_3 & 0\\ -u_3 & 0 & u_1\\ 0 & -u_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} R^{\top}(t)$$

where the last column of  $R^{\top}$  describes the evolution of the vector r. Our optimal control problem can then be stated as:

$$\min_{u(.)} \int_{0}^{T} (I_1 u_1^2(t) + I_3 u_3^2(t)) \mathrm{d}t$$

for the right-invariant control system with the following boundary conditions:

$$R^{\top}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ * & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R^{\top}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ * & 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which consist in steering the third axis of the frame  $R^{\top}$  from  $e_1$  to  $e_3$ , where  $(e_i)$  is the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Similarly, it can be transformed into a left-invariant control problem to use the geometric framework and the computations in [12]:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}t} = R \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -u_3 & 0\\ u_3 & 0 & -u_1\\ 0 & u_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \min_{u(.)} \int_0^T (I_1 u_1^2(t) + I_3 u_3^2(t)) \mathrm{d}t$$

with the corresponding boundary conditions.

This defines a left-invariant SR-problem on SO(3) depending upon the parameter  $k^2 = I_1/I_3$ . Upon an appropriate limit process  $I_2 \to +\infty$ , this is related to the Euler-Poinsot rigid body motion [2]:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}t} = R \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -u_3 & u_2\\ u_3 & 0 & -u_1\\ -u_2 & u_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \min_{u(.)} \int_0^T (I_1 u_1^2(t) + I_2 u_2^2(t) + I_3 u_3^2(t)) \mathrm{d}t$$

which is well-known model for left-invariant metrics on SO(3), depending on two parameters e.g. the ratios  $I_2/I_1$ ,  $I_3/I_1$ . Two special cases are:

- The bi-invariant case  $I_1 = I_2 = I_3$  where the geodesics are the rotations of SO(3).
- The case of revolution where  $I_1 = I_3$ .

3.2. Extremal equations and Integration. The optimal solutions to our problem can be parametrized by the Pontryagin Maximum Principle [18], and thanks to the explicit formula given in [12], the solutions can be computed in both the Riemannian and the sub-Riemannian cases using elliptic functions.

We introduce:

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

that satisfy the Lie brackets relations:

$$[A_1, A_2] = -A_3, \quad [A_1, A_3] = A_2, \quad [A_2, A_3] = -A_1$$

Consider now the following optimal control problem on SO(3):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} u_i \vec{A}_i(R), \quad \min_{u(\cdot)} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{3} I_i u_i^2(t) \mathrm{d}t$$

where the  $I_i$ 's are the principal momenta of inertia of the body. The extremal equation will be derived using appropriate coordinates. Let  $\lambda$  be an element of  $T_R^*SO(3)$  and denote  $H_i = \lambda(\vec{A_i}(R)), i = 1, 2, 3$  the symplectic lift on the vector fields  $\vec{A_i}$ . The pseudo-Hamiltonian associated to the problem takes the form:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{3} u_i H_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} I_i u_i^2.$$

The extremal control is computed using the relation  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_i} = 0$ , and we obtain

$$u_i = \frac{H_i}{I_i} \quad i=1,2,3.$$

Plugging this expression for the  $u_i$  into H, we get the Hamiltonian :

$$H_n = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{H_1^2}{I_1} + \frac{H_2^2}{I_2} + \frac{H_3^2}{I_3} \right)$$

The SR-case is obtained by setting  $u_2 = 0$  which corresponds to take  $I_2 \to +\infty$ , and leads to the Hamiltonian :

$$H_n = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{H_1^2}{I_1} + \frac{H_3^2}{I_3} \right).$$

The evolution of the vector  $H = (H_1, H_2, H_3)$  is given by the Euler equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}H_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathrm{d}H_i(\vec{H_n}) = \{H_i, H_n\}$$

where  $\{,\}$  denotes the Poisson bracket. Using the relation between Poisson and Lie brackets:  $\{H_i, H_j\} = \lambda([A_i, A_j])$ , we obtain the *Euler-equation*:

• Riemannian case

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}H_1}{\mathrm{d}t} = H_2 H_3 (\frac{1}{I_3} - \frac{1}{I_2}), \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}H_2}{\mathrm{d}t} = H_1 H_3 (\frac{1}{I_1} - \frac{1}{I_3}), \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}H_3}{\mathrm{d}t} = H_1 H_2 (\frac{1}{I_2} - \frac{1}{I_1}).$$
(2)  
• SR-case  $(I_2 \to +\infty)$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}H_1}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{H_2H_3}{I_3}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}H_2}{\mathrm{d}t} = H_1H_3(\frac{1}{I_1} - \frac{1}{I_3}), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}H_3}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{H_1H_2}{I_1}.$$
 (3)

The extremals equations are a classical example of (super) integrable system which is a consequence of the following proposition [12].

**Proposition 1.** For each invariant Hamiltonian  $H_n$  on SO(3), the extremal system is integrable by quadratures using the four first-integrals: the Hamiltonian  $H_n$  and the Hamiltonian lifts of the right-invariant vector fields  $A_iR$ .

To provide details on the quadratures we introduce the following.

3.2.1. Distinguished chart. Each element  $R \in SO(3)$  is represented on a chart U by the following element  $(r, \Phi_1)$  of  $S^2 \times S^1$  where :

- r is the third row of the matrix R,
- $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3$  are the Euler-angles computed with the convention:

$$R = \exp(\Phi_1 A_3) \circ \exp(\Phi_2 A_2) \circ \exp(\Phi_3 A_3).$$

3.2.2. Useful formulas. We recall the following:

Hamiltonian using Euler-angles. Expressed in terms of the Euler angles the Hamiltonian for the Euler Poinsot rigid body motion takes the form:

$$H_n = \frac{1}{2I_1} \left( p_2 \sin \Phi_3 - \frac{\cos \Phi_3}{\sin \Phi_2} (p_1 - p_3 \cos \Phi_2) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2I_3} p_3^2 + \frac{1}{2I_2} \left( p_2 \cos \Phi_3 + \frac{\sin \Phi_3}{\sin \Phi_2} (p_1 - p_3 \cos \Phi_2) \right)^2$$

where  $p_i$  is the canonical impulse associated to  $\Phi_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3. Observe that  $\Phi_1$  is a cyclic variable. As previously, the SR-case is obtained by taking  $I_2 \to +\infty$ .

In both cases we have the following crucial proposition [12].

### Proposition 2.

1. The angles  $\Phi_2$  and  $\Phi_3$  can be obtained from the relations:

$$H_1 = -|H|\sin\Phi_2\cos\Phi_3, \quad H_2 = |H|\sin\Phi_2\sin\Phi_3, \quad H_3 = |H|\cos\Phi_2$$

2. We have, with  $r = (r_1, r_2, r_3) \in S^2$  (third row of R):

$$r_1 = -\sin \Phi_2 \cos \Phi_3 = \frac{H_1}{|H|}, \quad r_2 = \sin \Phi_2 \sin \Phi_3 = \frac{H_2}{|H|}, \quad r_3 = \cos \Phi_2 = \frac{H_3}{|H|}.$$

While the Euler equation can be integrated using  $H_n$  and the Casimir function  $G^2 = H_1^2 + H_2^2 + H_3^2$ , the angle  $\Phi_1$  can be computed by quadrature using [12].

**Proposition 3.** In the invariant case,  $\Phi_1$  is solution of the equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}{\mathrm{d}t} = |H| \frac{H_1 \frac{\partial H_n}{\partial H_1} + H_2 \frac{\partial H_n}{\partial H_2}}{H_1^2 + H_2^2}$$

This leads to an uniform integration procedure in the invariant case using elliptic functions that we detail in the SR-case.

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

3.2.3. Integration of the Euler equation in the SR-case. We fix the level set for the Hamiltonian  $H_n = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{H_1^2}{I_1} + \frac{H_3^2}{I_3} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$ , and we introduce the angle  $\beta$  as follows:

$$\cos\beta = \frac{H_1}{\sqrt{I_1}}, \quad \sin\beta = \frac{H_3}{\sqrt{I_3}}$$

Using the Euler equation, we deduce that  $\beta$  is solution of the pendulum equation:

$$\ddot{\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\sin 2\beta \left(\frac{I_1 - I_3}{I_1 I_3}\right)$$

We introduce  $\nu = 2\beta$ , and we obtain the equation:

$$\dot{\nu}^2 + 2\frac{I_1 - I_3}{I_1 I_3} \cos \nu = C$$

We can assume  $I_3 > I_1$  and  $I_3 = 1$  and use [15]. We define

1

$$\omega^2 = \frac{I_3 - I_1}{I_1 I_3} > 0,$$

and according to the constant C we have two types of generic solutions.

• Oscillating solutions

$$\sin \beta = m \operatorname{sn}(\omega t, m)$$
$$\cos \beta = \operatorname{dn}(\omega t, m)$$

where m is the modulus defined by C and we denote 4K(m) the period of sn,

$$K(m) = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi}{\sqrt{1 - m^2 \sin^2 \Phi}}$$

and the control is given by :

$$u_1 = \frac{H_1}{I_1}, \quad u_3 = \frac{H_3}{I_3}$$

• Rotating solutions we have :

$$\sin \beta = \operatorname{sn}(\omega t/m, m)$$
$$\cos \beta = \operatorname{cn}(\omega t/m, m)$$

We can deduce the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.** Setting  $\omega^2 = \frac{1}{k^2} - 1$ , the components of the control are given by:

• In the oscillating case:

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{dn}(\omega t, m), \quad u_3 = m \operatorname{sn}(\omega t, m)$$

• In the rotating case:

$$u_1 = k \operatorname{cn}(\omega t/m, m), \quad u_3 = \operatorname{sn}(\omega t/m, m)$$

To compute  $\Phi_1$ , we need the elliptic integral of the third kind

$$\Pi(u, a, k) = \int_{0}^{a} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{(1 - at^2)\sqrt{1 - t^2}\sqrt{1 - k^2t^2}}$$

See [15] for the result in the Riemannian case and [8] in the SR-case.

4. Direct integration on  $S^2$  using Liouville theory.

4.1. 2D-Riemannian metrics whose geodesics flows are integrable by mean of linear and quadratic integrals in momenta. We first recall some results from [4, 5].

4.1.1. Linear Case. Let g be a real analytic Riemannian metric  $g(x, y) = a(x, y)dx^2 + 2b(x, y)dxdy + c(x, y)dy^2$  on a surface M and assume that the extremal flow possesses a non zero linear (in momenta) integral F. Then, there exists local coordinates u and v in which the metric has the polar form  $du^2 + m(u)dv^2$  and  $p_v$  is a first integral (Clairaut relation). This case is called the case of revolution.

4.1.2. Liouville case. If the metric g admits an additional first integral F quadratic in momenta, the surface M is called a *Liouville surface*. This case is more intricate, and we present in details the algorithm to compute a normal form to integrate the extremal flow. First, we introduce *isothermal coordinates* (x, y) such that the metric takes the form:

$$g = \lambda(x, y)(\mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}y^2).$$

If we denote  $p_x, p_y$  the adjoint variables, the first integral is given by:

$$F = b_1(x, y)p_x^2 + 2b_2(x, y)p_xp_y + b_3(x, y)p_y^2,$$

where the functions  $b_i(x, y)$  are analytic. Let us now consider the function

$$R(z) = b_1 - b_3 + 2ib_2, \qquad z = x + iy.$$

According to [4] this mapping R is holomorphic.

Every diffeomorphism  $x = \varphi(u, v), y = \psi(u, v)$  which preserves the isothermal form and the orientation satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann relations:

$$\varphi_u = \psi_v, \qquad \varphi_v = -\psi_u$$

and the mapping

$$\phi: w = u + \mathrm{i}u \to z = x + \mathrm{i}y$$

is holomorphic. We denote  $D = (\varphi_u \psi_v - \psi_u \varphi_v)^{-1} = (\varphi_u^2 + \psi_u^2)^{-1}$ , and we have:

$$p_x = D(p_u\psi_v - p_v\psi_u), \qquad p_y = D(-p_u\varphi_v + p_v\varphi_u)^{-1}.$$

Expressing F using the (u, v) coordinates, we obtain:

$$F(u,v) = p_u^2 b_1'^2(u,v) + 2p_u p_v b_2'(u,v) + p_v^2 b_3'(u,v).$$

An easy computation provides:

$$S = (b'_1 - b'_3 + 2ib'_2) = D^2(\varphi_u - i\psi_u)^2(b_1 - b_3 + 2ib_2) = (\varphi_u + i\psi_u)^{-2}(b_1 - b_3 + 2ib_2),$$
  
where  $\phi' = (\varphi_u + i\psi_u)$ . We choose the change of coordinates such that  $S = 1$ .  
Hence, we must solve the equation

$$\varphi_u + \mathrm{i}\psi_u = \sqrt{R(z)}.\tag{4}$$

In the new coordinates, the metric takes the the *Liouville normal form* 

$$g(u, v) = (f(u) + g(v))(\mathrm{d}u^2 + \mathrm{d}v^2).$$

To integrate, we use [5], Theorem 6.5.

Theorem 4.1.

8

1. The equations of the extremals for the Liouville metric can be written as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}v} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{f(u) + a}}{\sqrt{g(v) - a}}.$$

2. The extremals themselves are defined by the relation:

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{f(u)+a}} \pm \int \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\sqrt{g(v)-a}} = c.$$

4.2. Computations of the Liouville normal form. The Hamiltonian can be written as:

$$H = H_0 + k^* H'^2, \qquad k^* = k^2 - 1,$$

where  $H_0$  is the Hamiltonian of the Grushin case (k = 1):

J

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2}(p_{\varphi}^2 + p_{\theta}^2 \text{cotan}^2\varphi)$$

and

$$H' = p_{\varphi} \cos \theta - p_{\theta} \cot \alpha \varphi \sin \theta.$$

We can interpret  $S^2$  as the homogeneous space SO(3)/SO(2) where SO(2) is the Lie subgroup leaving  $e_3$  invariant. In this interpretation, the Casimir function  $|G|^2 = H_1^2 + H_2^2 + H_3^2$  corresponds to the bi-invariant case. On the homogeneous space, this defines the round sphere with constant curvature +1 whose metric in spherical coordinates takes the form:

$$g = \mathrm{d}\varphi^2 + \sin^2\varphi \mathrm{d}\theta^2$$

and corresponds to the Hamiltonian:

$$F = p_{\varphi}^2 + \frac{p_{\theta}^2}{\sin^2 \varphi}.$$

A direct computation provides the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.** We have:

$$\{H_0, F\} = \{H', F\} = 0$$

which implies that  $\{H, F\} = 0$  for each  $k^*$ .

4.2.1. Integration in the Grushin case. This situation corresponds to a case of revolution and the integration is standard. The metric is already in the polar form and in our problem it is interesting to interpret the Grushin case as a deformation of the round case using the following homotopy:

$$g_{\lambda} = \mathrm{d}\varphi^2 + m_{\lambda}(\varphi)\mathrm{d}\theta^2,$$

where  $m_{\lambda}(\varphi) = \sin^2 \varphi / (1 - \lambda \sin^2 \varphi), \ \lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Indeed, it allows us to use the geometric framework developed in [6].

Except for the meridian solutions, the  $\varphi$ -variable is *T*-periodic and denoting  $\psi = \frac{\pi}{2} - \varphi$ , the evolution of  $\psi$  is given by:

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\psi}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)^2 = \frac{\cos^2\psi - p_{\theta}^2(1-\lambda\cos^2\psi)}{\cos^2\psi}.$$

We denote  $X = \sin \psi$  and  $X_+$  and  $X_-$  the positive and negative roots of:

$$1 + p_{\theta}^2(\lambda - 1) = X^2(1 + \lambda p_{\theta}^2)$$

Introducing Y as  $X = X_+Y$ , we have the following proposition.

## **Proposition 6.**

1. The period is given by:

$$T(p_{\theta}) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda p_{\theta}^2}}$$

2. The  $\psi$ -variable in the normalized coordinates is given by:

$$\arcsin Y(t) = (1 + \lambda p_{\theta}^2)^{1/2} t.$$

The  $\theta$ -variable is integrated using :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}t} = p_{\theta} \frac{1 - \lambda(1 - \sin^2 \psi)}{1 - \sin^2 \psi}$$

and we get

$$\theta(t) = \frac{p_{\theta}}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda p_{\theta}^2} \sqrt{1 - X_+^2}} \arctan\left(\sqrt{1 - X_+^2} \tan\left(t\sqrt{1 + \lambda p_{\theta}^2}\right)\right) - \lambda p_{\theta} t.$$

4.2.2. Integration  $k \neq 1$ . The metric is

$$g = \lambda(x, y)(\mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}y^2),$$

where  $\lambda(x, y) = \frac{1}{r_2^2} = \frac{1}{1 - x^2 - y^2/k^2}$  and the dual variables are related by  $p_{\varphi} = \cos \varphi(p_x \cos \theta + kp_y \sin \theta), \ p_{\theta} = \sin \varphi(-p_x \sin \theta + kp_y \cos \theta).$ Moreover :

$$\cos \varphi = \sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2/k^2} \qquad \qquad \sin \varphi = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2/k^2} \\ \cos \theta = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2/k^2}} \qquad \qquad \qquad \sin \theta = \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2/k^2}}$$

Hence in the isothermal coordinates (x, y):

$$F = (1 - x^2)p_x^2 - 2xyp_xp_y + (k^2 - y^2)p_y^2$$

and using the notation of section 4.1.2, one has :

$$R(z) = 1 - k^2 - z^2$$

The solution of (4) is

$$w=\Phi(z)=\arctan\frac{z}{\sqrt{1-k^2-z^2}}+C$$

Hence

$$\tan^2 w = \frac{z}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 - z^2}} \Rightarrow z^2 = (1 - k^2) \sin^2 w.$$

 ${\bf Case \ k{<}1} {\rm \ We \ take}$ 

 $z = \sqrt{1-k^2} \sin \omega$   $x = \sqrt{1-k^2} \sin u \cosh v$   $y = \sqrt{1-k^2} \cos u \sinh v$ . The dual variables are given by :

$$p_x = \frac{p_u \cos u \cosh v + p_v \sin u \sinh v}{\sqrt{1 - k^2} (\cos^2 u + \sinh^2 v)}, \ p_y = \frac{p_v \cos u \cosh v - p_u \sin u \sinh v}{\sqrt{1 - k^2} (\cos^2 u + \sinh^2 v)}$$

Hence we have

$$F = (c+1)p_u^2 + cp_v^2 \quad c = \frac{(k^2 - 1)\sinh^2 v + k^2}{(1 - k^2)(\cos^2 u \sinh^2 v)}$$

Therefore we have the following proposition :

10

**Proposition 7.** In the case k < 1, the Liouville form is given by :

$$f(u) = \frac{2k^2}{-1 - k^2 + (k^2 - 1)\cos 2u} \quad g(v) = \frac{2k^2}{1 + k^2 + (k^2 - 1)\cosh 2v}$$

**Case k>1** Similarly using  $z = i\sqrt{1-k^2} \sin w$ , we get :

$$f(u) = -\frac{2k^2}{1+k^2+(k^2-1)\cos 2u} \quad g(v) = -\frac{2k^2}{-1-k^2+(k^2-1)\cosh 2v}$$

In both cases, the integration of the geodesics using Theorem 4.1 gives us elliptic integrals.

5. Algebraic techniques. In this section we present the techniques to integrate the equations using differential Galois techniques, see [3, 17] for an introduction.

5.1. Step 1 : Computation of the control. To illustrate the techniques it is sufficient to consider one case. Hence for simplicity we assume the following normalizations :  $I_3 = 1$  and  $I_1 > I_3$ .

The components of the control are given in proposition 4.

5.2. Step 2 : Computation of the position. one must integrate the time depending linear equation :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & u_3 & 0 \\ -u_3 & 0 & u_1 \\ 0 & -u_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

There are two possible controls

$$u_3 = m\operatorname{sn}(\omega t, m), \quad u_1 = k^{-1}\operatorname{dn}(\omega t, m), \tag{6}$$

$$u_3 = \operatorname{sn}(\omega t/m, m), \quad u_1 = k^{-1} \operatorname{cn}(\omega t/m, m),$$
(7)

We first begin with the controls (6). This is a system of differential equations, and its coefficients belong to the function field  $K = \mathbb{C}(\operatorname{sn}(\omega t, m), \operatorname{cn}(\omega t, m), \operatorname{dn}(\omega t, m))$ . This field is a differential field, i.e. for any  $f \in K$ ,  $\partial_t f \in K$ . Let us consider the resolvent matrix of equation (5), and the differential field extension  $L = K(a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{3,3})$  generated by the entries of the resolvent matrix.

**Definition 5.1.** See ([20],1.42) We call *L* the Picard-Vessiot field of equation (5). We say that equation (5) is solvable if there exist a tower of field extensions  $K_0 = K \subset K_1 \subset \cdots \subset K_n = L$  such that for all  $i = 0 \ldots n - 1$ 

- $K_{i+1} = K_i(a)$  where a is algebraic over  $K_i$ .
- $K_{i+1} = K_i(a)$  where  $\partial_t a \in K_i$ .
- $K_{i+1} = K_i(a)$  where  $\frac{\partial_t a}{a} \in K_i$ .

The Galois group of equation (5) is the group of differential automorphisms of L stabilizing K, i.e. automorphisms  $\sigma$  of L such that  $\partial_t \sigma = \sigma \partial_t$  and  $\sigma|_{\kappa} = \mathrm{id}$ , see ([20],1.25,1.26,1.27) for details.

**Proposition 8.** The Galois group G of equation (5) is isomorphic to a Lie subgroup of  $GL_3(\mathbb{C})$ . The equation (5) is solvable if and only if G is virtually solvable, i.e. its identity component is solvable.

Until now, all these concepts are theoretical. But we can classify all Lie subgroup of  $GL_3(\mathbb{C})$  and make some test to know if the Galois group is a virtually solvable one, this has been done in all generality for third order operators in [19]. The first thing to notice is that equation (5) conserves the Euclidean norm. Indeed,  $r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2$  is constant because the matrix associated to the differential system (5) is in so(3). So the Galois group G is in fact a subgroup of the (complex) group  $SO_3(\mathbb{C})$ .

The Lie subgroups of  $SO_3(\mathbb{C})$  are well known. All strict subgroups of  $SO_3(\mathbb{C})$  are finite or stabilize one axis. So the Galois group is either finite, either a finite extension of  $SO_2(\mathbb{C})$ , or  $SO_3(\mathbb{C})$ . All these groups are virtually solvable, except the last one  $SO_3(\mathbb{C})$  which is simple. So, if we want to try to solve this equation, the first thing to do is to know if G stabilize one axis.

**Theorem 5.2.** If  $m \notin \{-1,0,1\}$ ,  $k \notin \{-1,1\}$ ,  $m^2k^2 - k^2 - m^2 \neq 0$  then the Galois group of equation (5) with controls (6) is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .

*Proof.* We begin by a variable change z = sn(wt, m). The system now becomes

$$w\sqrt{1-z^2}\sqrt{1-m^2z^2}r' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & mz & 0\\ -mz & 0 & k^{-1}\sqrt{1-m^2z^2}\\ 0 & -k^{-1}\sqrt{1-m^2z^2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} r$$

The coefficients are now in  $K_0 = \mathbb{C}(\sqrt{1-z^2}, \sqrt{1-m^2z^2})$ , which is an algebraic field and so will be easier to manipulate. We denote abusively again L the Picard Vessiot field of this system. We now use the cyclic vector method to build a third order differential equation for which  $r_1$  is solution: for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\partial_t^i r_1$  is a linear form in  $r_1, r_2, r_3$ . Thus these linear forms for i = 0...3 are not independent. So there exist a linear combination of  $\partial_t^i r_1$ , i = 0...3 equal to zero. The relation between these linear forms gives a differential equation for  $r_1(z)$  (taking into account the relation between parameters  $w^2 = 1/k^2 - 1$ )

$$z^{2}(k^{2}-1)(m^{2}z^{2}-1)(m^{2}z^{4}-m^{2}z^{2}-z^{2}+1)r_{1}^{\prime\prime\prime}+$$

$$z(3m^{4}z^{6}-4m^{2}z^{4}-2m^{2}z^{2}+z^{2}+2)(k^{2}-1)r_{1}^{\prime\prime}+$$

$$(-k^{2}m^{2}z^{4}+3k^{2}m^{2}z^{2}-3m^{2}z^{2}-2k^{2}+z^{2}+2)r_{1}^{\prime}+k^{2}m^{2}z^{3}r_{1}=0$$
(8)

Let us remark the following

- We can express  $r_2, r_3$  as linear combinations in  $K_0$  of derivatives of  $r_1$ . Thus L is generated by the solutions of (8) and their derivatives. So L is also the Picard Vessiot field of equation (8).
- The equation (8) has now rational coefficients due to simplifications. The relation  $w^2 = 1/k^2 1$  allowed to only use the parameters m, k.

Now the expsols routine of package DEtools of Maple find  $r_1(z) = \sqrt{1 - m^2 z^2}$ as solution (which is dn before the variable change). So there is a solution in  $K_0$ , and this implies that the Galois group stabilize an axis (and is equal to id on this axis). So we already know that the Galois group is a subgroup of  $O_2(\mathbb{C})$ , and thus that our equation is solvable. We can now reduce the order of the equation, posing  $r_1(z) = \sqrt{1 - m^2 z^2} \int f(z) dz$ . We obtain a second order differential equation, on

12

which we use the Kovacic algorithm [14] to obtain the solutions for  $r_1$ :

$$r_{1}(z) = c_{1}\sqrt{1 - m^{2}z^{2}} + c_{2}\sqrt{1 - m^{2}z^{2}} \int \frac{z}{m}\sqrt{\frac{k^{2}m^{2} + m^{2}z^{2} - k^{2} - m^{2}}{(1 - m^{2}z^{2})^{3}(1 - z^{2})}}} e^{\int \frac{k\sqrt{k^{2}m^{2} - k^{2} - m^{2}}\sqrt{(1 - z^{2})(1 - m^{2}z^{2})}}{\sqrt{1 - k^{2}(z^{2} - 1)(k^{2}m^{2} + m^{2}z^{2} - k^{2} - m^{2})}} dz} dz + c_{3}\sqrt{1 - m^{2}z^{2}} \int \frac{z}{m}\sqrt{\frac{k^{2}m^{2} + m^{2}z^{2} - k^{2} - m^{2}}{(1 - m^{2}z^{2})^{3}(1 - z^{2})}}} e^{-\int \frac{k\sqrt{k^{2}m^{2} - k^{2} - m^{2}}\sqrt{(1 - z^{2})(1 - m^{2}z^{2})}}{\sqrt{1 - k^{2}(z^{2} - 1)(k^{2}m^{2} + m^{2}z^{2} - k^{2} - m^{2})}} dz} dz$$
(9)

This implies that the integral

$$\int \frac{\sqrt{(1-z^2)(1-m^2z^2)}}{(z^2-1)(k^2m^2+m^2z^2-k^2-m^2)} \mathrm{d}z$$

belongs to L. This is an integral over an element of  $K_0$ , and this integral is an elliptic integral, [15]. So it does not belong to  $K_0$ , and thus the Galois group G is of dimension at least 1. Now the only two remaining possibilities for G are  $SO_2(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$  or  $O_2(\mathbb{C})$ . In the first case, the Galois group would be connected, and so no algebraic extensions would be necessary to express the solutions. This is indeed the case, as we can put the square root  $\sqrt{k^2m^2 + m^2z^2 - k^2 - m^2}$  inside the integral in the exponential. So expressing the solutions only uses an exponential integral of an element of  $K_0$ . So  $G \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ .

Remark that the Galois group we computed is over the base field  $K_0$ . However, the third order differential equation for  $r_1$  has rational coefficients. So it makes sense to compute the Galois group over  $\mathbb{C}(z)$ . Over  $\mathbb{C}(z)$ ,  $\sqrt{(1-z^2)(1-m^2z^2)}$  is an extension of degree 2, and so the Galois group is  $G \simeq D_{\infty} = O_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

5.3. Step 3 Simplifications of the solution. This expression is Liouvillian (finitely many integrals, exponentials and algebraic extensions), but is not the optimal one. Indeed, the Galois group has dimension one. This suggests that only one integral symbol should be necessary to write the solution. So a simple expression with no iterated integrals exists. Let us find it. As the Galois group over  $\mathbb{C}(z)$  is  $D_{\infty}$ , there exists a basis of solutions of the form

$$R_1^t(z) = c_1 \sqrt{1 - m^2 z^2} + c_2 F e^{\int \sqrt{G} dz} + c_3 F e^{-\int \sqrt{G} dz}$$
(10)

with  $F'/F, G \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ . We first compute the symmetric power 2 of equation (8), i.e. the linear differential equation whose solutions are the products of two solutions of equation (8). We remark that this symmetric power should have  $F^2$  in its space of solutions. We only have to compute the vector space of hyperexponential solutions to find "candidates" for F. The solutions are  $\alpha + \beta z^2$ . Thus F should be of the form  $F = \sqrt{\alpha + \beta z^2}$ .

We can now inject  $Fe^{\int \sqrt{G}dz}$  in equation (8). This produces a large non linear differential equation in G(z). But  $\sqrt{G(z)}$  also appears in the equation, and we can act the multivaluation of the square root  $\sqrt{G(z)} \longrightarrow -\sqrt{G(z)}$ . This gives us another equation, and after simplification, we manage to obtain a linear equation

for 
$$G$$

14

$$2(6\alpha m^{2}z^{6} - 3\alpha m^{2}z^{4} + 3\beta m^{2}z^{4} - 4\alpha z^{4} + \alpha z^{2} - \beta z^{2} - 2\beta)(m^{2}z^{2} - 1)(k^{2} - 1)G(z) + 3z(m^{2}z^{2} - 1)^{2}(\alpha z^{2} + \beta)(z^{2} - 1)(k^{2} - 1)G'(z) + 2z^{2}(\alpha z^{2} + \beta)^{-2}(\beta m^{2} + \alpha)(3\alpha^{2}k^{2}m^{2}z^{4} + 3\alpha\beta k^{2}m^{2}z^{4} - 3\alpha^{2}m^{2}z^{4} - 3\alpha\beta m^{2}z^{4} - 2\alpha^{2}k^{2}z^{2} + \alpha^{2}z^{4} - 2\alpha\beta k^{2}z^{2} + 2\alpha^{2}z^{2} + \alpha\beta k^{2} + 4\alpha\beta z^{2} + \beta^{2}k^{2} - \alpha\beta) = 0$$
(11)

Solving this equation with dsolve, we obtain only one solution in  $\mathbb{C}(z)$ 

$$G(z) = z^2 \frac{(\alpha k^2 + \alpha z^2 + \beta k^2 - \alpha)(\beta m^2 + \alpha)}{(\alpha z^2 + \beta)^2 (m^2 z^2 - 1)(k^2 - 1)(z^2 - 1)}$$

Injecting this in the original non linear equation gives

$$\frac{(m^2 z^2 - 1)^2 (\alpha z^2 + \beta)^4 (\alpha k^2 + \beta k^2 - \alpha)}{z m^2 (\alpha k^2 + \alpha z^2 + \beta k^2 - \alpha)^2)} = 0$$

Thus  $\alpha k^2 + \beta k^2 - \alpha = 0$ . Thus with  $\alpha = k^2, \beta = 1 - k^2$  the equation is satisfied. So the solutions for  $r_1$  are

$$r_{1} = c_{1}\sqrt{1 - m^{2}z^{2}} + c_{2}\sqrt{k^{2}z^{2} + 1 - k^{2}}e^{\int \frac{z^{2}k}{k^{2}z^{2} - k^{2} + 1}\sqrt{\frac{m^{2}k^{2} - k^{2} - m^{2}}{(1 - k^{2})(1 - m^{2}z^{2})(1 - z^{2})}}dz} + c_{3}\sqrt{k^{2}z^{2} + 1 - k^{2}}e^{-\int \frac{z^{2}k}{k^{2}z^{2} - k^{2} + 1}\sqrt{\frac{m^{2}k^{2} - k^{2} - m^{2}}{(1 - k^{2})(1 - m^{2}z^{2})(1 - z^{2})}}}dz}$$
(12)

To conclude, let us do the same for the second equation.

**Theorem 5.3.** If  $m \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}$ ,  $k \notin \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $m^2k^2 - k^2 - 1 \neq 0$  then the Galois group of equation (5) with controls (7) is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the previous one for controls (6). We produce a third order differential equation for  $r_1$ . This time, the expsols routine finds  $\sqrt{1-z^2}$ . Again, we search solutions under the form  $c_1\sqrt{1-z^2} + c_2Fe^{\int\sqrt{G}dz} + c_3Fe^{-\int\sqrt{G}dz}$ , and we find

$$r_{1} = c_{1}\sqrt{1-z^{2}} + c_{2}\sqrt{k^{2}m^{2}z^{2} + 1 - k^{2}}e^{\int \frac{z^{2}km^{2}}{k^{2}m^{2}z^{2} - k^{2} + 1}\sqrt{\frac{k^{2}m^{2} - k^{2} + 1}{(k^{2} - 1)(1-z^{2})(1-m^{2}z^{2})}}dz + c_{3}\sqrt{k^{2}m^{2}z^{2} + 1 - k^{2}}e^{-\int \frac{z^{2}km^{2}}{k^{2}m^{2}z^{2} - k^{2} + 1}\sqrt{\frac{k^{2}m^{2} - k^{2} + 1}{(k^{2} - 1)(1-z^{2})(1-m^{2}z^{2})}}dz}$$
(13)

5.4. Step 4 Special values. For some special values of m, k, the Galois group simplifies. These exceptional parameter values can be seen as singularities or confluences on the formula of  $r_1$ . Let us make an analysis for controls (6) (the other one is similar).

The main case appear when the integral in the exponential identically vanishes, i.e.  $k^2m^2 - k^2 - m^2 = 0$ . There is one singularity for k = 0, but which is present in the original system and so is excluded. The other problematic cases are confluences: The elliptic integral simplifies, and it is no longer guaranteed that the exponential of it is transcendental. These are the cases  $k^2 = 1$  and  $m^2 = 1$ .

**Proposition 9.** Let  $E = \{\pm \sqrt{1-s^2}, s \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . The Galois group of (5) over  $K_0$  is

INTEGRABILITY FOR ISING 3-SPINS CHAINS

- *id if*  $k^2 = 1$ .
- $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  if  $m = 0, k \in E$ .  $\mathbb{C}^+$  if  $k^2m^2 k^2 m^2 = 0$  and •  $\mathbb{C}^*$  if  $m^2 = 1$ ,  $1/k \notin E$ . •  $\mathbb{C}^*$  if m = 0,  $k \notin E$ .  $k^2 \neq 1.$
- $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  if  $m^2 = 1$ ,  $1/k \in E$ .
- $\mathbb{C}^*$  in other cases.

*Proof.* For  $k^2m^2 - k^2 - m^2 = 0$ , the solutions can be obtained using a limiting process noting  $k^2m^2 - k^2 - m^2 = \epsilon$  and making a series expansion in  $\epsilon$ 

$$\sqrt{k^2 z^2 - k^2 + 1}, \ \sqrt{k^2 z^2 - k^2 + 1} \int \frac{z^2}{\sqrt{1 - z^2} (k^2 z^2 - k^2 + 1)^{3/2}} dz$$

$$\sqrt{k^2 z^2 - k^2 + 1} \left( \left( \int \frac{z^2}{\sqrt{1 - z^2} (k^2 z^2 - k^2 + 1)^{3/2}} dz \right)^2 + \frac{k^2 - 1}{k^4 (k^2 z^2 - k^2 + 1)} \right)$$

The Galois group is then additive instead of multiplicative,  $G \simeq \mathbb{C}^+$ . If  $k^2 = 1$ , the equation becomes a first order equation, whose solutions are  $c_1\sqrt{1-m^2z^2}$ , so in  $K_0$ , thus G = id.

If  $m^2 = 1$ , the dsolve command returns an expression in which the only possibly transcendental term is  $((z-1)/(z+1))^{\frac{k}{2\sqrt{k^2-1}}}$ . This term is algebraic if and only if  $1/k \in E$  (and so  $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ). If m = 0, the integral is no longer elliptic, and is given by

$$-\frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\sqrt{1-k^2} + \frac{z}{\sqrt{z^2-1}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\sqrt{1-k^2} - \frac{z}{\sqrt{z^2-1}}\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}\ln\left(z + \sqrt{z^2-1}\right)$$

The exponential of this expression is algebraic if and only if  $k \in E$  (and so  $G \simeq$  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ).  $\square$ 

Coming back to the initial variable

The algebraic solution for the controls (6) (corresponding to  $c_1 = 1, c_2 = 0, c_3 = 0$ ) gives

$$r = (\operatorname{dn}(\omega t, m), -wm \operatorname{cn}(\omega t, m), m/k \operatorname{sn}(\omega t, m))$$

The solution for  $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 1, c_3 = 0$  is given by

$$r_1(t) = \sqrt{k^2 \mathrm{sn}(\omega t, m)^2 + 1 - k^2} e^{\frac{1}{k} \sqrt{\frac{k^2 m^2 - k^2 - m^2}{1 - k^2}} \left(\omega t - \Pi\left(\mathrm{sn}(\omega t, m), \frac{k^2}{k^2 - 1}, m\right)\right)}$$

where  $\Pi$  denotes the elliptic integral of the third kind.

In the special case  $k^2m^2 - k^2 - m^2 = 0$ , only an elliptic integral of the second kind is necessary, and in the even more special case of finite Galois groups, all the solutions are algebraic in z, and thus are algebraic in  $\cos(\omega t)$ ,  $\sin(\omega t)$  or  $\exp(\omega t)$ . Note also that with the modulus  $m \in [0, 1]$ ,  $k^2m^2 - k^2 - m^2 \neq 0$ .

#### 6. Conclusion : Optimality problem and Extension to the case of n spins.

6.1. **Optimality problem.** Our work is a preliminary study to a complete analysis of the optimality problem which can be handled using the technical framework introduced in [7] combining geometric analysis and numerical simulations.

We use the following concepts. On the almost-Riemannian surface (M, g), the cut point along a geometric curve  $\gamma$ , projection of an extremal solution of the Maximum Principle, emanating from  $q_0 \in M$  is the first point where it ceases to be minimizing and we denote  $C_{cut}(q_0)$  the set of such points forming the cut locus. The first conjugate point is the point where it ceases to be minimizing among the set of geodesics  $C^1$ -close to  $\gamma$  emanating from  $q_0$  and we denote  $C(q_0)$  the set of such points, forming the conjugate locus.

The computations of the conjugate and cut loci on surfaces is a very difficult problem and only recently [10] was proved the Jacobi conjecture : the conjugate locus of a non-umbilical point on ellipsoids has exactly four cusps. A consequence being that the cut locus is a segment. Also in [11] the result was extended to a wider class of Liouville surfaces which possess such simple cut and conjugate loci.

To generalize such computations in our case we can use two different techniques. First of all, we can make an explicit computations of the conjugate loci using our extremal parametrization or numerical simulations [7]. Secondly we can try to relate the simple structure of the conjugate loci to some monotonicity properties of Gaussian curvature or parametrized integrals related to extremals.

Also in our case, one must take into account the existence of equatorial Grushin singularities of the metric.

We present preliminary results which has to be extended to determine the structure of the conjugate and cut loci of our one parameter family of Liouville metrics.

First, we have the following lemma.

16

**Lemma 6.1.** The family of metrics g depending upon the parameter k have a discrete symmetry group generated by the two reflexions :  $H(\varphi, p_{\varphi})) = H(\pi - \varphi, -p_{\varphi})$  (reflexion with respect to the equator) and  $H(\theta, p_{\theta}) = H(-\theta, -p_{\theta})$  (reflexion with respect to the meridian).

The next step is to use the Grushin singularity resolution described in [6].

**Proposition 10.** Near the equator point  $q_0$  identified to 0, the conjugate and cut loci for the metric restricted to a neighborhood of 0 can be computed using the local model :  $dx^2 + \frac{dy^2}{x^2}$  where x = 0 is the equator. The cut locus is a segment  $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$  minus 0 while the conjugate locus is formed by four symmetric curves of the form  $x = cy^2$ , minus 0, and tangential to the meridian identified to  $\theta_0 = 0$ .

Note in particular that this computation allows to determine by continuation the conjugate and cut loci at an equatorial point. In particular we have, see also [9] for a similar result.

**Proposition 11.** For every k, the cut locus of an equatorial point is the equator minus this point.

*Proof.* A simple computation shows that the Gaussian curvature in each hemisphere is strictly negative. Hence there is no conjugate point for a geodesic starting from the equatorial point before returning to the equator. Due to the reflectional symmetry with respect to the equator two geodesics starting from an equatorial point intersect with same length when returning to the equator. This proves the result.  $\Box$ 

Finally, the simple structure of the conjugate and cut loci in the Grushin case is well known. Roughly spoken it can be simply deduced from the convexity of the period mapping  $p_{\theta} \to T(p_{\theta}) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{1+p_{\theta}^2}}$  given in proposition 6, see [6].

Proposition 12. In the Grushin case we have :

- 1. The cut and conjugate loci of a pole is the antipodal point.
- 2. The cut locus of an equatorial point  $q_0$  is the whole equator minus this point, while the conjugate locus has a double heart shape, with four meridional singularities, two at  $q_0$  and two cusps on the opposite meridian.
- 3. The conjugate locus of a point not a pole nor on the equator has only four cusps and the cut locus is a simple segment on the antipodal parallel.

The problem is to generalize this simple description to the case  $k \neq 1$ . This is the analog on the ellipsoid to generalize the oblate case of revolution to a general ellipsoid.

Link with conjugate and cut loci computation for an invariant Riemannian on sub-Riemannian metrics on SO(3).

Note also that a side effect of our computations is a first step towards the computation of conjugate and cut loci for the metrics on SO(3), which is an important challenge.

6.2. Extension to the case of 4 spins. In this case, we introduce the coordinates  $x_1 = \langle I_{1x} \rangle$ ,  $x_2 = \langle 2I_{1y}I_{2z} \rangle$ ,  $x_3 = \langle 2I_{1y}I_{2x} \rangle$ ,  $x_4 = \langle 4I_{1y}I_{2y}I_{3z} \rangle$ ,  $x_5 = \langle 4I_{1y}I_{2y}I_{3x} \rangle$ ,  $x_6 = \langle 8I_{1y}I_{2y}I_{3y}I_{4z} \rangle$ , and the vector  $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_6)^{\top}$ .

Controlling the two spins 2 and 3, one gets the system :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}t} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & -u & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & u & 0 & -k_3 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & k_3 & 0 & -v & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -k_5\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} X$$

where  $k_3 = \frac{J_{23}}{J_{12}}, k_5 = \frac{J_{34}}{J_{12}}.$ Using the notations :

$$x_1 = r_1,$$
  $x_2 = r_2 \cos \alpha_2,$   $x_3 = r_2 \sin \alpha_2,$   
 $x_4 = r_3 \cos \alpha_3,$   $x_5 = r_3 \sin \alpha_3,$   $x_6 = r_4.$ 

We get the system

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}t} = u_1 \begin{bmatrix} -r_2\\ r_1\\ 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + k_3 u_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -r_3\\ r_2\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + k_5 u_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ -r_4\\ r_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$u_1 = \cos \alpha_2, \quad u_2 = \sin \alpha_2 \cos \alpha_3, \quad u_3 = \sin \alpha_3$$

From the control parametrization, the control set is the surface  $M = \{u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 - u_1^2 u_3^2 = 1\}$ . The control constraint can be written :

$$v\left(r,\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}t}\right) = g_2\left(r,\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}t}\right) + g_4\left(r,\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}t}\right) = 1$$

with  $g_2$ ,  $g_4$  respectively quadratic and quartic with respect to the speed.

The optimal control problem is a time minimal transfer. The candidate as minimizers can be computed using the maximum principle. One can observe that the optimal problem is not a SR-problem unless we consider small controls and the control constraints can be approximated with  $g_4 = 0$ . In this case the problem is associated to an invariant SR-problem on SO(4). An interesting question in this context is to generalize the integrability issues.

#### REFERENCES

 A. Agrachev, U. Boscain and M. Sigalotti, A Gauss-Bonnet-like formula on two-dimensional almost-Riemannian manifolds. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 20 (2008), no. 4, 801–822.

- [2] V.I. Arnold, Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics. Translated from the Russian by K. Vogtmann and A. Weinstein. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 60. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. xvi+508 pp.
- [3] M.Audin, Les systèmes hamiltoniens et leur intégrabilité, (French) [Hamiltonian Systems and Their Integrability] Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses], 8. Société Mathématique de France, Paris; EDP Sciences, Les Ulis, 2001. viii+170 pp.
- [4] D. Birkhoff, Dynamical Systems, American society colloquium publications, vol. IX, 1927.
- [5] A.V. Bolsinov and A.T. Fomenko, Integrable Geodesic Flows on Two-Dimensional Surfaces, Monographs in contemporary mathematrics, Kluwer Academic, 2000.
- [6] B.Bonnard, JB. Caillau, R. Sinclair and M. Tanaka, Conjugate and cut loci of a two-sphere of revolution with application to optimal control. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 26 (2009), no. 4, 1081–1098.
- [7] B. Bonnard, O. Cots and L. Jassionnesse, Geometric and numerical techniques to compute conjugate and cut loci on Riemannian surfaces, to appear in INDAM Volume on *meeting on Geometric Control and sub-Riemannian Geometry*, Cortona, Italy, (2012).
- [8] B. Bonnard, O. Cots, J.-B. Pomet and N. Shcherbakova, Riemannian metrics on 2d-manifolds related to the Euler-Poinsot rigid body motion, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. (2014).
- [9] U. Boscain, T. Chambrion and G. Charlot, Nonisotropic 3-level quantum systems: complete solutions for minimum time and minimum energy, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, 5 (2005) no. 4, 957–990
- [10] JI. Itoh and K. Kiyohara, The cut loci and the conjugate loci on ellipsoids. Manuscripta Math. 114 (2004), no.2, 247–264.
- [11] JI. Itoh and K. Kiyohara, Cut loci and conjugate loci on Liouville surfaces. Manuscripta Math. 136 (2011), no. 1-2, 115–141.
- [12] V. Jurdjevic, Geometric Control Theory, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 52. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. xviii+492 pp.
- [13] N. Khaneja, S.J. Glaser and R. Brockett, Sub-Riemannian geometry and time optimal control of three spin systems: quantum gates and coherence transfer, *Phys. Rev. A* (3) 65 (2002).
- [14] J. J. Kovacic, An algorithm for solving second order linear homogeneous differential equations, J. Symbolic Comput. 2, (1986), no. 1, 3–43
- [15] D.F. Lawden, Elliptic Functions and Applications. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 80. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. xiv+334 pp.
- [16] H. Levitt, Spin Dynamics Basics of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, (2001) Wiley (686 pages)
- [17] J.J. Morales-Ruiz and JP. Ramis, Integrability of Dynamical Systems Through Differential Galois Theory: A Practical Guide. Differential algebra, complex analysis and orthogonal polynomials 143220, Contemp. Math., 509, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010. 37J30 (34A05 34C14 34M15 70H06 70H33)
- [18] L.S. Pontryagin, V.G. Boltyanskii, R.V. Gamkrelidze and E.F. Mishchenko, *The Mathematical Theory of Optimal Processes*. Translated from the Russian by K. N. Trirogoff; edited by L. W. Neustadt Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York-London 1962 viii+360 pp.
- [19] M. Singer and F. Ulmer, Galois groups of second and third order linear differential equations, J. Symbolic Comput., 16, (1993), no. 1, 9–36.
- [20] M. van der Put and M. Singer, Galois Theory of Linear Differential Equations. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003
- [21] H. Yuan, Geometry, Optimal Control and Quantum Computing, Ph.D thesis, Harvard, 2006.
- [22] H. Yuan, R. Zeier and N. Khaneja, Elliptic functions and efficient control of Ising spin chains with unequal couplings, *Phys. Rev. A*, 77, 032340 (2008).

Received xxxx 20xx; revised xxxx 20xx.

E-mail address: Bernard.Bonnard@u-bourgogne.fr E-mail address: Thierry.Combot@u-bourgogne.fr E-mail address: Lionel.Jassionnesse@u-bourgogne.fr