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# TWO-ISOMETRIES AND de BRANGES-ROVNYAK SPACES 

KARIM KELLAY, MOHAMED ZARRABI


#### Abstract

We characterize the symbols of the de Branges-Rovnyak spaces for which the shift operator is concave or 2 -isometry. As applications, we consider wandering $z$-invariant subspaces and equality between a de Branges-Rovnyak space and a Dirichlet-type space.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disc in the complex plane, and let $\mathbb{T}=\partial \mathbb{D}$ be the unit circle. Denote $H^{\infty}$ the space of bounded analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$ and $H^{2}$ the classical Hardy space of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ having square-summable Taylor coefficients at the origin. Let $P_{+}$be the orthogonal projection of $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ onto $H^{2}$. For $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$, the Toeplitz operator $T_{\varphi}: H^{2} \rightarrow H^{2}$ is given by

$$
T_{\varphi} f:=P_{+}(\varphi f), \quad f \in H^{2}
$$

Let $b \in H^{\infty}$ such that $\|b\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is the supremum norm on $\mathbb{D}$. The de Brange-Rovnyak space $\mathcal{H}(b)$ is the image of $H^{2}$ under the operator $\left(I-T_{b} T_{\bar{b}}\right)^{1 / 2}$, that is $\mathcal{H}(b)=\left(I-T_{b} T_{\bar{b}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(H^{2}\right)$, endowed with the usual inner product

$$
\left\langle\left(I-T_{b} T_{\bar{b}}\right)^{1 / 2}(f),\left(I-T_{b} T_{\bar{b}}\right)^{1 / 2}(g)\right\rangle_{b}=\langle f, g\rangle_{H^{2}}, \quad f, g \in\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(I-T_{b} T_{\bar{b}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)^{\perp}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H^{2}}$ is the inner product in $H^{2}$ given by

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{H^{2}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\zeta) \bar{g}(\zeta)|d \zeta|
$$

It is well known that if $\|b\|_{\infty}<1$ then $\mathcal{H}(b)=H^{2}$ and if $b$ is an inner function, that is $|b|=1$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$, then $\mathcal{H}(b)=H^{2} \ominus b H^{2}$, see [10]. We refer to [1, 2, 3, 4] for some recent results on these spaces. We denote by $S$ the shift operator on $H^{2}$. Note that $\mathcal{H}(b)$ is invariant by $S$ if and only if $b$ is nonextreme in the unit ball of $H^{\infty}$ see [10, p. 23]. In this case we investigate here when the shift operator is concave or 2 -isometry on the de Brange-Rovnyak spaces. A bounded operator $T$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is called concave if

$$
T^{* 2} T^{2}-2 T^{*} T+I \leq 0
$$

and $T$ is called 2-isometry if

$$
T^{* 2} T^{2}-2 T^{*} T+I=0
$$

Note that when

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(z)=\frac{c+\gamma z}{1-\beta z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c, \gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\beta|<1$, then we have by Lemma 6

[^0]$$
\|b\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \Longleftrightarrow 2|\beta+\bar{c} \gamma| \leq 1+|\beta|^{2}-|c|^{2}-|\gamma|^{2}
$$
and in this case
$$
b \text { is nonextreme } \Longleftrightarrow 1+|\beta|^{2}-|c|^{2}-|\gamma|^{2}>0
$$

Now we state our main result.
Theorem 1. Suppose that $b$ is nonextreme in the unit ball of $H^{\infty}$. Then the restriction of the shift operator $S \mid \mathcal{H}(b)$ is concave if and only if $b$ has the form given by (1).

Futhermore $S \mid \mathcal{H}(b)$ is 2 -isometry if and only if $b$ is given by (1) where $c, \gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that $1+|\beta|^{2}-|c|^{2}-|\gamma|^{2}=2|\beta+\bar{c} \gamma|$.

Recall that $\mathcal{H}(b)$ is invariant by $S \mid \mathcal{H}(b)$ only in the case where $b$ is nonextreme. Note also that $S \mid \mathcal{H}(b)$ is an isometry if and only if $b=c$ for some constant with $|c|<1$ and in this case $\mathcal{H}(b)=H^{2}$.

The invariant subspaces lattice of the restriction of the shift operator $S \mid \mathcal{H}(b)$, when $b$ is non extreme, is not known [10, p.35]. Sarason has studied this question ([9]) only for an example, namely $b(z)=(1+z) / 2$. By Theorem 1 and Richter wandering subspace Theorem [ 6 , Theorem 1] we have the following

Corollary 2. Let $b$ has the form given by (1), the closed invariant subspaces of $S \mid \mathcal{H}(b)$ are wandering that is

$$
M=\operatorname{Clos}_{\mathcal{H}(b)}\left(\operatorname{Span}\left\{z^{n}(M \ominus z M): n \geq 0\right\}\right)
$$

We do not know for which nonextreme functions $b$ the $z$-closed invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{H}(b)$ are wandering. Also we do not know for which $z$-closed invariant subspaces are singly generated.
N. Chevrot, D. Guillot, T. Ransford in [3] investigate for which $b$ the de Branges-Rovnyak space $\mathcal{H}(b)$ coincide with some Dirichlet-type space with equality of norms. As consequence of our main theorem we obtain their Theorem [3, Theorem 3.1], see Corollary 3 below. Let us now introduce the Dirichlet type spaces. If $\mu$ is a finite positive measure on $\mathbb{T}$, the Dirichlettype space $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ is the set of analytic functions $f \in H^{2}$, such that

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(f):=\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} P \mu(z) d A(z)<\infty
$$

where $d A(z)=d x d y / \pi$ stands for the normalized area measure in $\mathbb{D}$ and $P \mu$ is the Poisson integral of $\mu$

$$
P \mu(z):=\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1-|z|^{2}}{|\zeta-z|^{2}} d \mu(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

The space $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ is endowed with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}}^{2}:=\|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(f) .
$$

Note that if $\mu=0, \mathcal{D}(\mu)=H^{2}$ and if $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}, P_{\mu}=1$ and then $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ is the classical Dirichlet space, see [5]. These spaces were introduced by Richter [7] by considering the 2 -isometries on Hilbert spaces. A bounded operator $T$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is called analytic if $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} T^{n} \mathcal{H}=\{0\}$. Note that Richter proved in [7] that for every cyclic, analytic and 2 -isometry operator $T$ on a Hilbert space, there exists a unique finite measure
$\mu$ on $\mathbb{T}$ such that $T$ is unitarily equivalent to $S \mid \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$. We deduce from Theorem 1 the following Corollary

Corollary 3. [Chevrot, Guillot, Ransford [3]] Let $\mu$ be a finite positive measure on $\mathbb{T}$ and let $b$ be in the unit ball of $H^{\infty}$. Then $\mathcal{H}(b)=\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ with equality of norms, if and only if

$$
\mu=c \delta_{\lambda} \quad \text { and } \quad b(z)=\frac{\gamma z}{1-\beta z},
$$

where $\beta \neq 0,|\beta|+|\gamma|=1, c=|\gamma|^{2} /|\beta|$ and $\lambda=\bar{\beta} /|\beta|$.

## 2. Proofs

To give the proofs, we need some additional notations and properties. The space $\mathcal{H}(b)$ is a reproducing kernel space :

$$
f(w)=\left\langle f, k_{w}^{b}\right\rangle_{b}, \quad w \in \mathbb{D}, f \in \mathcal{H}(b),
$$

where

$$
k_{w}^{b}(z):=\frac{1-\overline{b(w)} b(z)}{1-\bar{w} z}, \quad w, z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Let $S^{*}$ be the backward shift operator on $H^{2}$,

$$
S^{*} f(z)=\frac{f(z)-f(0)}{z}, \quad f \in H^{2}
$$

The space $\mathcal{H}(b)$ is always invariant by $S^{*}[10$, p. 11]. We briefly recall some basic notions about the de Brange-Rovnyak space due to Sarason.
Proposition 4. Let b be in the unit ball of $H^{\infty}$. The following properties are equivalent :
(1) $b \in \mathcal{H}(b)$
(2) $b$ is nonextreme
(3) $\log (1-|b|) \in L^{1}(\mathbb{T})$
(4) $\mathcal{H}(b)$ is invariant by $S$
(5) The polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{H}(b)$.

Proof. see [10, p. 24-25-26].
Let $b$ be a nonextreme function in the unit ball of $H^{\infty}$. Then there exists a unique outer function $a$ such that $a(0)>0$ and

$$
|a(\zeta)|^{2}+|b(\zeta)|^{2}=1 \quad \text { a.e. } \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T} .
$$

We set $\mathcal{M}(\bar{a})=T_{\bar{a}} H^{2}$. Since $b$ is nonextreme

$$
f \in \mathcal{H}(b) \Longleftrightarrow T_{\bar{b}} f \in \mathcal{M}(\bar{a})
$$

and $\mathcal{M}(\bar{a})$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ (see [10, p. 24-25]). Moreover $T_{\bar{a}}$ is one-to-one, thus for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ there is a unique function $f^{+}$such that

$$
T_{\bar{b}} f=T_{\bar{a}} f^{+} .
$$

Note that for $f, g \in \mathcal{H}(b)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle_{b}=\langle f, g\rangle_{H^{2}}+\left\langle f^{+}, g^{+}\right\rangle_{H^{2}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5. Suppose that $b$ is nonextreme, then

$$
\left\langle b, S^{*} b\right\rangle_{b}=-\frac{\overline{a^{\prime}(0)}}{\overline{a(0)}} .
$$

Proof. We have $T_{\bar{b}} b=T_{\bar{a}}((1 / \overline{a(0)})-a)$ and $T_{\bar{b}} S^{*} b=-T_{\bar{a}} S^{*} a$, hence

$$
b^{+}=\frac{1}{\overline{a(0)}}-a, \quad\left(S^{*} b\right)^{+}=-S^{*} a
$$

So by (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle b, S^{*} b\right\rangle_{b} & =\left\langle b, S^{*} b\right\rangle_{H^{2}}+\left\langle b^{+},\left(S^{*} b\right)^{+}\right\rangle_{H^{2}} \\
& =\left\langle b, S^{*} b\right\rangle_{H^{2}}+\left\langle a, S^{*} a\right\rangle_{H^{2}}-\left\langle 1 / \overline{a(0)}, S^{*} a\right\rangle_{H^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta\left(|b(\zeta)|^{2}+|a(\zeta)|^{2}\right)|d \zeta|-\frac{\overline{a^{\prime}(0)}}{\overline{a(0)}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta d|\zeta|-\frac{\overline{a^{\prime}(0)}}{\overline{a(0)}}=-\frac{\overline{a^{\prime}(0)}}{\overline{a(0)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6. Let $b(z)=(c+\gamma z) /(1-\beta z), z \in \mathbb{D}$, where $c, \gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{C},|\beta|<1$. Then
(a) $\|b\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \Longleftrightarrow 2|\beta+\bar{c} \gamma| \leq 1+|\beta|^{2}-|c|^{2}-|\gamma|^{2}$.
(b) If $\|b\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, then

$$
b \text { is nonextreme } \Longleftrightarrow 1+|\beta|^{2}-|c|^{2}-|\gamma|^{2}>0 .
$$

In this case we have

$$
a(z)=\frac{\rho-\sigma z}{1-\beta z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

where $\rho$ and $\sigma$ are defined by the following
(i) $\rho \geq|\sigma|$,
(ii) $\rho^{2}+|\sigma|^{2}=1+|\beta|^{2}-|c|^{2}-|\gamma|^{2}$ and $\rho^{2}|\sigma|^{2}=|\beta+\bar{c} \gamma|^{2}$,
(iii) $\arg (\sigma)=\arg (\beta+\bar{c} \gamma)$.

Proof. (a) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|b\|_{\infty} \leq 1 & \Longleftrightarrow|c+\gamma \zeta|^{2} \leq|1-\beta \zeta|^{2}, \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{T}, \\
& \Longleftrightarrow|c|^{2}+|\gamma|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}(\bar{c} \gamma \zeta) \leq 1+|\beta|^{2}-2 \operatorname{Re}(\beta \zeta), \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{T}, \\
& \Longleftrightarrow 2 \operatorname{Re}((\beta+\bar{c} \gamma) \zeta) \leq 1+|\beta|^{2}-|c|^{2}-|\gamma|^{2}, \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{T}, \\
& \Longleftrightarrow 2|\beta+\bar{c} \gamma| \leq 1+|\beta|^{2}-|c|^{2}-|\gamma|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Assume that $\|b\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. So there exist $\rho$ and $\sigma$ satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii). In fact $\rho^{2}$ and $|\sigma|^{2}$ are the solutions of the equation $x^{2}-\left(1+|\beta|^{2}-|c|^{2}-|\gamma|^{2}\right) x+|\beta+\bar{c} \gamma|^{2}=0$. For every $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(1-\beta \zeta)|^{2}\left(1-|b(\zeta)|^{2}\right) & =|1-\beta \zeta|^{2}-|c+\gamma \zeta|^{2} \mid \\
& =1+|\beta|^{2}-|c|^{2}-|\gamma|^{2}-2 \operatorname{Re}((\beta+\bar{c} \gamma) \zeta) \\
& =\rho^{2}+|\sigma|^{2}-2 \operatorname{Re}(\rho \sigma \zeta) \\
& =|\rho-\sigma \zeta|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $|\beta|<1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(1-|b|^{2}\right) \in L^{1}(\mathbb{T}) & \Longleftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left(|1-\beta \zeta|^{2}\left(1-|b(\zeta)|^{2}\right)\right)|d \zeta|>-\infty \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |\rho-\sigma \zeta|^{2}|d \zeta|>-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\rho \geq|\sigma|$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |\rho-\sigma \zeta|^{2}|d \zeta|>-\infty & \Longleftrightarrow \rho>0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow 1+|\beta|^{2}-|c|^{2}-|\gamma|^{2}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the first part of (b). Assume now that $b$ is nonextreme and let $a$ be the outer function associated to $b$. Since the two outer functions $(1-\beta z) a(z)$ and $\rho-\sigma z$ take positive values at $z=0$ and

$$
|(1-\beta \zeta) a(\zeta)|^{2}=|(1-\beta \zeta)|^{2}\left(1-|b(\zeta)|^{2}\right)=|\rho-\sigma \zeta|^{2}, \quad \text { a.e } \zeta \in \mathbb{T}
$$

we get $(1-\beta z) a(z)=\rho-\sigma z$ on $\mathbb{D}$.
Remarks. Let $b(z)=(c+\gamma z) /(1-\beta z), z \in \mathbb{D}$, with $|\beta|<1$.

1. $b(\mathbb{T})$ is the circle of radius $|\gamma+\beta c| /\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right)$ centered at the point $(c+\gamma \bar{\beta}) /\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right)$. So

$$
\|b\|_{\infty}=\frac{|c+\gamma \bar{\beta}|}{1-|\beta|^{2}}+\frac{|\gamma+\beta c|}{1-|\beta|^{2}}
$$

2. Suppose $\|b\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. It follows from Lemma 6 that $b$ is extreme if and only if $1+|\beta|^{2}-$ $|c|^{2}-|\gamma|^{2}=|\beta+\bar{c} \gamma|=0$ wich is equivalent to $b(z)=e^{i \theta}(\bar{\beta}-z) /(1-\beta z)$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

For the proof of Corollary 3 , we need the following lemma.
Lemma 7. Let $\mu$ be a finite positive measure on $\mathbb{T}$ and let $b \in H^{\infty}$ be a nonextreme function in the unit ball of $H^{\infty}$. Let $k_{w}(z)=1 /(1-\bar{w} z)$, $w, z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then
(i) $\left\langle k_{z}, k_{w}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)}=\left(1+\bar{z} w \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d \mu(\zeta)}{(1-\bar{z} \zeta)(1-w \bar{\zeta})}\right) k_{z}(w)$.
(ii) $\left\langle k_{z}, k_{w}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}(b)}=\left(1+\frac{\overline{\overline{b(z)}} b(w)}{\overline{a(z)} a(w)}\right) k_{z}(w)$.
(iii) $\operatorname{Span}\left\{k_{w}, w \in \mathbb{D}\right\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$.
(iv) $\operatorname{Span}\left\{k_{w}, w \in \mathbb{D}\right\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}(b)$.

Proof. By Douglas formula, for every $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ we have

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)}=\langle f, g\rangle_{H^{2}}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\left.\left(f(\zeta)-f\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)\right) \overline{\left(g(\zeta)-g\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)\right.}\right)}{\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|^{2}}|d \zeta| d \mu\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)
$$

(see [7]). Using now Cauchy formula we get $(i)$. For the proof of $(i i)$ see [10, p. 32].
Now we proof $(i i i)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ and set $f_{r}(z)=f(r z), 0<r<1$. Note that

$$
\left\|f_{r^{2}}-f\right\|_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 1-
$$

(see $\left[8\right.$, Theorem 5.2] and [10, Corollary]). Since the map $\zeta \rightarrow f_{r}(\zeta) k_{r \zeta}$ is continuous from $\mathbb{T}$ to $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ and

$$
f_{r^{2}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(r \zeta) k_{r \zeta}|d \zeta|
$$

we see that $f_{r^{2}}$ can be approximated by finite combinations of the functions $k_{w}$. So $f$ belong to the closure of $\operatorname{Span}\left\{k_{w}, w \in \mathbb{D}\right\}$.

To prove (iv), let $h \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f, k_{w}\right\rangle_{b}=h(w)+(b(w) / a(w)) h^{+}(w) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [10, p. 32]).
Suppose that $h \perp \operatorname{Span}\left\{k_{w}: w \in \mathbb{D}\right\}$, then by (3) $h=-(b / a) h^{+}$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(h^{+} / a\right)-\bar{a} h^{+}=-\bar{b} h \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $\left(h^{+} / a\right)-\bar{a} h^{+} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ and then $h^{+} / a \in L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$. Since $h^{+} / a$ belong to Smirnov class, $h^{+} / a \in H^{2}$. By (4) we get $P_{+}\left(\frac{h^{+}}{a}-\bar{a} h^{+}\right)=-P_{+}(\bar{b} h)=-T_{\bar{b}} h$. Since $T_{\bar{b}} h=T_{\bar{a}} h^{+}$, we obtain $P_{+}\left(\frac{h^{+}}{a}-\bar{a} h^{+}\right)=-P_{+}\left(\bar{a} h^{+}\right)$. Therefore $P_{+}\left(h^{+} / a\right)=0$ and then $h^{+}=0$.

Remark. The polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ and in $\mathcal{H}(b),[7,10]$. We can deduce ( iii) and (iv) from this fact. Note that for $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ we have not in general $\left\|f_{r}-f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1-$, see $[10,3]$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We set $T=S \mid \mathcal{H}(b)$ and $X=S^{*} \mid \mathcal{H}(b)$. We have

$$
X^{*}(\cdot)=S(\cdot)-\left\langle\cdot, S^{*} b\right\rangle_{b} b \quad \text { and } \quad T=X^{*}+\left\langle\cdot, S^{*} b\right\rangle_{b} b
$$

see [10, p. 12]. Therefore

$$
T^{*}=X+\langle\cdot, b\rangle_{b} S^{*} b=S^{*} \mid \mathcal{H}(b)+\langle\cdot, b\rangle_{b} S^{*} b
$$

So, for every $h \in \mathcal{H}(b)$

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{*} T(h) & =T^{*}(S h) \\
& =S^{*} S h+\langle S h, b\rangle_{b} S^{*} b \\
& =h+\left\langle h, T^{*} b\right\rangle_{b} S^{*} b \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{* 2} T^{2}(h) & =T^{* 2}\left(S^{2} h\right) \\
& =T^{*}\left(S^{*} S^{2} h+\left\langle S^{2} h, b\right\rangle_{b} S^{*} b\right) \\
& =T^{*}\left(S h+\left\langle h, T^{* 2} b\right\rangle_{b} S^{*} b\right) \\
& =S^{*} S h+\left\langle h, T^{* 2} b\right\rangle_{b} S^{* 2} b+\left\langle S h+\left\langle h, T^{* 2} b\right\rangle_{b} S^{*} b, b\right\rangle_{b} S^{*} b \\
& =h+\left\langle h, T^{* 2} b\right\rangle_{b} S^{* 2} b+\left\langle h, T^{*} b+\left\langle b, S^{*} b\right\rangle_{b} T^{* 2} b\right\rangle_{b} S^{*} b \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

By (5) and (6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T^{* 2} T^{2}-2 T^{*} T+I\right)(h)=\left\langle h, T^{* 2} b\right\rangle_{b} S^{* 2} b+\left\langle h,\left\langle b, S^{*} b\right\rangle_{b} T^{* 2} b-T^{*} b\right\rangle_{b} S^{*} b \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} b=S^{*} b+\langle b, b\rangle_{b} S^{*} b=\left(1+\|b\|_{b}^{2}\right) S^{*} b \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{* 2} b=\left(1+\|b\|_{b}^{2}\right)\left(S^{* 2} b+\left\langle S^{*} b, b\right\rangle_{b} S^{*} b\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

So by $(7),(8)$ and $(9), T$ is concave if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\left(T^{* 2} T^{2}-2 T^{*} T+I\right) h, h\right\rangle_{b}=\left(1+\|b\|_{b}^{2}\right) \times \\
& {\left[\left|\left\langle h, S^{* 2} b\right\rangle_{b}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\left\langle h, S^{*} b\right\rangle_{b} \overline{\left\langle h, S^{* 2} b\right\rangle_{b}}\left\langle b, S^{*} b\right\rangle_{b}\right)-\left(1-\left|\left\langle b, S^{*} b\right\rangle_{b}\right|^{2}\right)\left|\left\langle h, S^{*} b\right\rangle_{b}\right|^{2}\right] \leq 0} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

If $h \in\left\{S^{*} b\right\}^{\perp}$, it follows from (10), $\left|\left\langle h, S^{* 2} b\right\rangle_{b}\right|^{2} \leq 0$ and then $h \in\left\{S^{* 2} b\right\}^{\perp}$, where $\{f\}^{\perp}$ stands for the set of all functions that are orthogonal to $f$. So $\left\{S^{*} b\right\}^{\perp} \subset\left\{S^{* 2} b\right\}^{\perp}$ and then $S^{* 2} b=\beta S^{*} b$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. So there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
S^{*} b(z)=\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Note that necessarily $|\beta|<1$ if $S^{*} b \neq 0$ and we take $\beta=0$ if $S^{*} b=0$. Then there exist $c, \gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\beta|<1$ and

$$
b(z)=\frac{c+\gamma z}{1-\beta z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

We recall that the complex numbers $c, \gamma$ and $\beta$ satisfy the conditions found in Lemma 6 corresponding to the facts that $\|b\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $b$ nonextreme.

By (9), we have $T^{* 2} b=\left(1+\|b\|_{b}^{2}\right)\left(\beta-\frac{a^{\prime}(0)}{a(0)}\right) S^{*} b$. Thus by (10) we get

$$
\left(T^{* 2} T^{2}-2 T^{*} T+I\right)(h)=\left(1+\|b\|_{b}^{2}\right)\left\langle h,\left[\left|\beta-\frac{a^{\prime}(0)}{a(0)}\right|^{2}-1\right] S^{*} b\right\rangle_{b} S^{*} b
$$

So $T$ is concave if and only if $S^{*} b=0$, that is $b$ is constant function, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta-\frac{a^{\prime}(0)}{a(0)}\right|^{2}-1 \leq 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that

$$
a(z)=\frac{\rho-\sigma z}{1-\beta z}
$$

where $\rho$ and $\sigma$ are given by Lemma 6 . Note that $a(0)=\rho>0$ and $a^{\prime}(0)=-\sigma+\rho \beta$. So (11) holds if and only if

$$
\frac{|\sigma|}{\rho} \leq 1
$$

which is satisfied by Lemma 6.
On the other hand, $T$ is 2 -isometry if and only if $b$ is constant, or

$$
\left|\beta-\frac{a^{\prime}(0)}{a(0)}\right|^{2}-1=0
$$

It follows that $T$ is 2 -isometry if and only if $\frac{|\sigma|}{\rho}=1$, which is equivalent to

$$
1+|\beta|^{2}-|c|^{2}-|\gamma|^{2}=2|\beta+\bar{c} \gamma|
$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Corollary 2. We set $T=S \mid \mathcal{H}(b)$. Note that $T$ is concave if and only if, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{2} f\right\|^{2}-2\|T f\|+\|f\|^{2} \leq 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M$ be a $z$-closed invariant subspace of $\mathcal{H}(b)$. It follows from (12) that $T \mid M$ is concave. Now the corollary follows from [6, Theorem 1].

Proof of Corollary 3. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}(b)=\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ for some finite positive measure with equality of norms. Then $b$ is nonextreme since $\mathcal{H}(b)$ is invariant by $S$, see Proposition 4. Since $S \mid \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ is 2 -isometry, $T$ is also 2 -isometry and by Theorem 1,

$$
b(z)=\frac{c+\gamma z}{1-\beta z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

where $c, \gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\beta|<1$ and $1+|\beta|^{2}-|c|^{2}-|\gamma|^{2}=2|\beta+\bar{c} \gamma|>0$.
Let $k_{w}(z)=1 /(1-\bar{w} z)$. Assume now that $\mathcal{H}(b)=\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ with equality of norms. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|k_{w}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)}^{2}=\left\|k_{w}\right\|_{b}^{2}, \quad w \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 7,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|k_{w}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}}^{2}=\frac{1}{1-|w|^{2}}\left(1+\frac{|w|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}} P_{\mu}(w)\right), \quad w \in \mathbb{D} \\
\left\|k_{w}\right\|_{b}^{2}=\frac{1}{1-|w|^{2}}\left(1+\frac{|b(w)|^{2}}{|a(w)|^{2}}\right), \quad w \in \mathbb{D} .
\end{gathered}
$$

$\operatorname{Using}$ (13), we obtain $1=\left\|k_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)}^{2}=\left\|k_{0}\right\|_{b}^{2}=1+|b(0)|^{2} /|a(0)|^{2}$, so $b(0)=0$. Therefore $b(z)=\gamma z /(1-\beta z)$, with $\beta \neq 0$ and $|\beta|+|\gamma|=1$. It follows from Lemma 6 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(z)=\frac{1}{|\beta|^{1 / 2}} \frac{|\beta|-\beta z}{1-\beta z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by (13)

$$
P_{\mu}(w)=\frac{|\gamma|^{2}}{|\beta|} \frac{1-|w|^{2}}{|1-(\beta /|\beta|) w|^{2}}
$$

So $\mu=\left(|\gamma|^{2} /|\beta|\right) \delta_{\lambda}$ where $\lambda=\bar{\beta} /|\beta|$.
Conversely, assume that $b(z)=\gamma z /(1-\beta z)$ with $|\beta|+|\gamma|=1$ and let $\mu=\left(|\gamma|^{2} /|\beta|\right) \delta_{\lambda}$ where $\lambda=\bar{\beta} /|\beta|$. By (14) and Lemma 7,

$$
\left\langle k_{z}, k_{w}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)}=\left(1+\frac{|\gamma|^{2} \bar{z} w}{(|\beta|-\bar{\beta} \bar{z})(|\beta|-\beta w)}\right) k_{z}(w)=\left\langle k_{z}, k_{w}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}(b)} .
$$

Thus $\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)}=\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{H}(b)}$ for every $f, g \in \operatorname{Span}\left\{k_{w}, w \in \mathbb{D}\right\}$. By (iii) and (iv) of Lemma 7, we have $\mathcal{H}(b)=\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ with equality of norms.
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