



HAL
open science

Notes on Lieb-Thirring type inequality for a complex perturbation of fractional Schrödinger operator.

Clément Dubuisson

► **To cite this version:**

Clément Dubuisson. Notes on Lieb-Thirring type inequality for a complex perturbation of fractional Schrödinger operator.. 2014. hal-00959766v2

HAL Id: hal-00959766

<https://hal.science/hal-00959766v2>

Preprint submitted on 13 Feb 2015 (v2), last revised 14 Jan 2016 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON LIEB-THIRRING TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR A COMPLEX PERTURBATION OF FRACTIONAL LAPLACIAN

CLÉMENT DUBUISSON

ABSTRACT. For $s > 0$, let $H_0 = (-\Delta)^s$ be the fractional Laplacian. In this paper, we obtain Lieb-Thirring type inequalities for the fractional Schrödinger operator defined as

$$H = H_0 + V,$$

where $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $p \geq 1$, $d \geq 1$, is a complex-valued potential. Our methods are based on results of articles by Borichev-Golinskii-Kupin [BGK09] and Hansmann [Han11].

1. INTRODUCTION.

The article by Abramov-Aslanyan-Davies [AAD01] gave rise to many papers devoted to the study of eigenvalues for complex perturbations of various self-adjoint operators. Recently, the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$, $s > 0$ received an increasing interest due to its numerous applications in applied mathematics and physics (see [DPV12] for references).

For $s > 0$, the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ is defined with the help of the functional calculus applied to the nonnegative self-adjoint operator $-\Delta$. That is, $(-\Delta)^s$ is essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$, and the domain of its closure is the fractional Sobolev space

$$W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}) := \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |\zeta|^{2s}) |\widehat{f}(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta < +\infty \right\},$$

where \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f (see [DPV12, section 3.1]). By the spectral mapping theorem, the spectrum of $(-\Delta)^s$ is $[0; +\infty[$.

We consider the fractional Schrödinger operator

$$(1.1) \quad H = (-\Delta)^s + V,$$

where V is the operator of multiplication by the complex-valued function V . In particular, the perturbed operator H is not supposed to be self-adjoint. We assume that V is a relatively compact perturbation of H_0 , *i.e.* $\text{dom}(H_0) \subset \text{dom}(V)$ and $V(\lambda - H_0)^{-1}$ is compact for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_0)$. The spectrum, the essential spectrum, and the discrete spectrum of H will be denoted by $\sigma(H)$, $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H)$, and $\sigma_d(H)$, respectively. Here, the discrete spectrum is the set of all eigenvalues which are discrete points of the spectrum whose corresponding eigenspaces (or rootspaces) are finite dimensional. The essential spectrum of H is defined as $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H) := \sigma(H) \setminus \sigma_d(H)$. For more details on these definitions, see [EE89, chapter IX]. By

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 35P15, secondary 30C35, 47A75, 47B10.

Key words and phrases. Fractional Schrödinger operator, complex perturbation, discrete spectrum, Lieb-Thirring type inequality, conformal mappings.

Weyl's Theorem on essential spectrum (see [RS78, Theorem XIII.14]), we have

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_0) = \sigma(H_0) = [0, +\infty[,$$

and the only possible accumulation points of $\sigma_d(H)$ lie on $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H)$.

Our interest in the present topic was motivated by the article of Frank-Lieb-Seiringer [FLS08] on Hardy-Lieb-Thirring inequalities for fractional Schrödinger operator with real-valued potential V . As an application, the authors give a proof of the stability of relativistic matter. In particular, for $0 < s < \min\{1; \frac{d}{2}\}$, $\gamma > 0$, and $V_- \in L^{\gamma+d/2s}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$, formula (5.11) in [FLS08] says that

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} |\lambda|^\gamma \leq C_{d,s,\gamma} \cdot \|V_-\|_{L^{\gamma+d/2s}}^{\gamma+d/2s},$$

where $V_- = \max\{0; -V\}$ and $C_{d,s,\gamma}$ is defined at [FLS08, formula (5.11)].

In this paper, we obtain Lieb-Thirring type inequalities for the fractional Schrödinger operator H with complex-valued V . These inequalities give information on the rate of convergence of points from the discrete spectrum $\sigma_d(H)$ to the essential spectrum of H . The pertaining references on the subject are [FLLS06], [HS02], and [DHK13].

We will assume a little more than V being a relatively compact perturbation of H_0 . Actually, we will suppose that V is relatively Schatten-von Neumann perturbation of the fractional Laplacian. Namely, let $\mathbf{S}_p, p \geq 1$ be the Schatten-von Neumann class of compact operators, see section 2.3 for further references on the subject. Saying that the potential V defined on \mathbb{R}^d is a relatively Schatten-von Neumann perturbation of H_0 means that $\text{dom}(H_0) \subset \text{dom}(V)$ and

$$(1.3) \quad V(\lambda - H_0)^{-1} \in \mathbf{S}_p,$$

for one (and hence for all) $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_0)$. Hypothesis (1.3) is fulfilled provided $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$ and $p > \max\{\frac{d}{2s}; 1\}$ (see Proposition 2.3).

We denote by $d(z, \Omega) := \inf_{w \in \Omega} |z - w|$ the distance between $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$. As usual, $x_+ = \max\{0; x\}$. The main results of the present article are the following theorems. The constants ω and C_ω are defined in (4.1).

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be the fractional Schrödinger operator defined by (1.1) and $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$ with $0 < s \leq \frac{d}{2}$ and $p > \frac{d}{2s}$. Then, for $\tau > 0$ small enough, we have*

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda|^\alpha (1 + |\lambda|)^\beta} \leq K \cdot \frac{C_\omega^p \omega^{\beta-\tau}}{\tau} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where the powers are

- (1) $\alpha = \min\{\frac{p+\tau}{2}; \frac{d}{2s}\}$,
- (2) $\beta = 2\tau + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{d}{s} - p - \tau)_+$.

The constant K depends on d, p, s , and τ .

Theorem 1.2. *Let H be the fractional Schrödinger operator defined by (1.1) and $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$ with $s > \frac{d}{2}$ and $p > 1$. Then, for $\tau > 0$ small enough, we have*

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+1-\frac{d}{2s}+\tau}}{|\lambda|^\alpha (1 + |\lambda|)^\beta} \leq K' \cdot \frac{C_\omega^p \omega^{\beta-\tau}}{\tau} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where the powers are

- (1) $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \min\{p - \frac{d}{2s} + \tau; 1\}$,
(2) $\beta = 2\tau + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{d}{2s} - p + 1 - \tau)_+$.

The constant K' depends on d, p, s , and τ .

The above theorems essentially rely on complex analysis methods presented in [BGK09], while Theorem 1.3 is based on results of [Han11], obtained with the help of tools of functional analysis and operator theory.

Theorem 1.3. *Let H be the fractional Schrödinger operator defined in (1.1) and $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$, with $s > 0$ and $p > \max\{1; \frac{d}{2s}\}$. Then, for $\tau > 0$, the following inequality holds*

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^p}{(1 + |\lambda|)^{\frac{d}{2s} + \tau}} \leq K'' \cdot \frac{C_\omega^p \omega^{\frac{d}{2s}}}{\tau} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

with K'' depending on d, p, s , and τ .

Let us assume that V is real-valued and $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ for $p > \max\{1; \frac{d}{2s}\}$. Then $H = (-\Delta)^s + V$ is a self-adjoint operator and $\sigma_d(H)$ lies on the negative real half-axis by Weyl's Theorem.

In [FLS08], the values of the parameter s are restricted to the range $0 < s < \min\{1, \frac{d}{2}\}$ due to the presence of the magnetic potential (see [FLS08, section 2.1]). Setting $\gamma = p - \frac{d}{2s} > 0$, (1.2) becomes

$$(1.7) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} |\lambda|^{p - \frac{d}{2s}} \leq C_{p,d,s} \|V_-\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where, as always, $V_- = \min\{V, 0\}$.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 give Lieb-Thirring type inequalities for all positive values of s . In particular, for $0 < s \leq \frac{d}{2}$, (1.4) becomes

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} |\lambda|^{\max\{\frac{p+\tau}{2}; p - \frac{d}{2s} + \tau\}} \leq C_{d,p,s,\omega} \|V\|_{L^p}^p.$$

Rather expectedly, we see that (1.8) is slightly weaker than (1.7), but our results apply to a considerably larger class of potentials.

We continue with few words on the notation. The generic constants will be denoted by C , that is, they will be allowed to change from one relation to another. For two positive functions f, g defined on a domain Ω of the complex plane \mathbb{C} , we write $f(\lambda) \approx g(\lambda)$ if there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $C_1 f(\lambda) \leq g(\lambda) \leq C_2 f(\lambda)$, for all $\lambda \in \Omega$. We write $f(\lambda) \lesssim g(\lambda)$ ($f(\lambda) \gtrsim g(\lambda)$) if there is a positive constant C such that $f(\lambda) \leq C \cdot g(\lambda)$ ($f(\lambda) \geq C \cdot g(\lambda)$, respectively) for $\lambda \in \Omega$. The choice of the domain Ω will be clear from the context.

To compare Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3, it is convenient to consider a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{\mathbb{N}} \subset \sigma_d(H)$ which converges to $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H)$. Recall that $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H) = \sigma(H_0)$. We give details on the comparison between (1.4) and (1.6), the comparison between (1.5) and (1.6) being similar. Without the loss of generality, we assume $d(\lambda_n, \sigma(H_0)) \leq 1$.

In the case $\lambda \in]0; +\infty[$, (1.6) is better than (1.4).

In the case $\lambda = \infty$, the term in (1.4) becomes $\frac{d(\lambda_n, \sigma(H_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda_n|^{\frac{d}{2s} + 2\tau}}$, for n large enough, and (1.6) is again better than (1.4).

When $\lambda = 0$, the situation is slightly more complicated. Choose $\tau > 0$ small enough to guarantee $\alpha/\tau \geq 1$. Then, (1.4) is better than (1.6) provided $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_n) \leq 0$ or $|\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_n)|^\tau \gtrsim |\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_n)|^\alpha$ for $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_n) > 0$. Inequality (1.6) is better than (1.4) in the opposite case, *i.e.*, when $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_n) > 0$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_n)|^\tau \lesssim |\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_n)|^\alpha$.

To sum up, we see that neither Theorem 1.1 nor Theorem 1.3 take the advantage over each other.

As concluding remark, we would like to mention that it is possible to consider complex matrix-valued potential V as in [Dub14], devoted to the study of non-self-adjoint Dirac operators. Unlike the latter paper, the study of matrix-valued potentials is neither natural nor complicated in the present framework. The only difference with the scalar-valued case will be the presence of the constant $n^{p/2}$ in (3.1) and (3.2), n being the size of the square matrix giving the matrix-valued potential.

At last, we say few words on the structure of the paper. We recall some known results and give references in section 2. The key point of the proofs is the bound on the resolvent of H_0 , and it is proved in section 3. In sections 4 and 5 we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, respectively. In section 6 we deal with Theorem 1.3.

2. PRELIMINARIES.

2.1. Theorem of Borichev-Golinskii-Kupin. The following theorem, proved in [BGK09, Theorem 0.2], gives a bound on the distribution of zeros of a holomorphic function on the unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{|z| < 1\}$ in terms of its growth towards the boundary $\mathbb{T} := \{|z| = 1\}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let h be a holomorphic function on \mathbb{D} with $h(0) = 1$. Assume that h satisfies a bound of the form*

$$(2.1) \quad |h(z)| \leq \exp \left(\frac{K}{(1-|z|)^\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{|z - \zeta_j|^{\beta_j}} \right),$$

where $|\zeta_j| = 1$ and $\alpha, \beta_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, N$.

Then, for any $0 < \tau < 1$, the zeros of h satisfy the inequality

$$\sum_{h(z)=0} (1-|z|)^{\alpha+1+\tau} \prod_{j=1}^N |z - \zeta_j|^{(\beta_j-1+\tau)_+} \leq C \cdot K,$$

where C depends on α, β_j, ζ_j and τ .

Above, $x_+ = \max\{x, 0\}$.

2.2. Conformal maps. Let φ_a be a conformal map sending \mathbb{D} to the resolvent set of the operator H_0 , $\rho(H_0) = \bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$. For $a > 0$, it is given by the relation

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi_a : z \mapsto \lambda := -a \left(\frac{z+1}{z-1} \right)^2,$$

and the inverse map going from $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$ to \mathbb{D} is

$$\varphi_a^{-1} : \lambda \mapsto z := \frac{\sqrt{\lambda} - i\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{\lambda} + i\sqrt{a}}.$$

Later in the paper, we will have to compare the distance from $\lambda = \varphi_a(z)$ to the boundary of $\rho(H_0)$, $\partial\rho(H_0) = \mathbb{R}^+$, and the distance from z to $\partial\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{T}$. The results of this kind are called distortion theorems.

Proposition 2.2 (Distortion between $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$ and \mathbb{D}). *Let, as above, $\lambda = \varphi_a(z)$. We have:*

$$(2.3) \quad a \cdot d(z, \mathbb{T}) \cdot \frac{|z+1|}{|z-1|^3} \leq d(\lambda, \mathbb{R}^+) \leq 8a \cdot d(z, \mathbb{T}) \cdot \frac{|z+1|}{|z-1|^3},$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\sqrt{a}}{4} \cdot \frac{d(\lambda, \mathbb{R}^+)}{\sqrt{|\lambda|(a+|\lambda|)}} \leq d(z, \mathbb{T}) \leq 4\sqrt{a} \cdot \frac{d(\lambda, \mathbb{R}^+)}{\sqrt{|\lambda|(a+|\lambda|)}}.$$

Proof. The first inequality is a direct application of Koebe distortion theorem [Pom92, Corollary 1.4] to the map φ_a , so the proof is omitted.

For the second one, we have

$$(2.5) \quad |z+1| = \frac{2\sqrt{|\lambda|}}{|\sqrt{\lambda} + i\sqrt{a}|}, \quad |z-1| = \frac{2\sqrt{a}}{|\sqrt{\lambda} + i\sqrt{a}|}.$$

On the other hand, $|\sqrt{\lambda} + i\sqrt{a}|^2 = |\lambda| + a + 2\sqrt{a} \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{\lambda})$, and, since $\operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{\lambda}) \geq 0$, we obtain

$$|\lambda| + a \leq |\sqrt{\lambda} + i\sqrt{a}|^2 \leq (|\sqrt{\lambda}| + |\sqrt{a}|)^2 \leq 2(a + |\lambda|).$$

Going back to inequalities (2.3), we get (2.4). \square

2.3. Schatten classes and determinants. One can find the definitions and properties of Schatten classes and regularized determinants related to these classes in [DHK09] or [Dub14]. For detailed discussion and proofs, see the monographs by Gohberg-Krein [GK69] and Simon [Sim77].

Let us consider the following operator

$$(2.6) \quad F(\lambda) := (\lambda + a)(a + H)^{-1}V(\lambda - H_0)^{-1},$$

where a is large enough to guarantee that $(a + H)$ is invertible. The coming proposition 2.3 implies that $V(\lambda - H_0)^{-1} \in \mathbf{S}_p$ for $\lambda \in \rho(H_0)$, provided $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C})$ and $p > \max\{1; \frac{d}{2s}\}$.

Therefore, for $\lambda \in \rho(H_0)$, we have that $F(\lambda) \in \mathbf{S}_p$ and the holomorphic function of interest is

$$(2.7) \quad f(\lambda) := \det_{[p]}(\operatorname{Id} - F(\lambda)),$$

where $[p] = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq p\}$. In particular, the zeros of f are the eigenvalues of H (counted with multiplicities), and, for $A \in \mathbf{S}_p$, we have

$$(2.8) \quad |\det_{[p]}(\operatorname{Id} - A)| \leq \exp\left(\Gamma_p \|A\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p\right).$$

We also use the well known inequality from [Sim05, Theorem 4.1], which we call Birman-Solomyak inequality; some authors prefer to call it Kato-Seiler-Simon inequality.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$, $p > \max\{1; \frac{d}{2s}\}$, and $\lambda \in \rho(H_0)$.*

Then $V(\lambda - H_0)^{-1} \in \mathbf{S}_p$, and

$$\|V(\lambda - H_0)^{-1}\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p \leq (2\pi)^{-d} \|V\|_{L^p}^p \cdot \|(\lambda - |x|^{2s})^{-1}\|_{L^p}^p.$$

3. BOUND ON THE RESOLVENT.

In this section, we bound the expression $\|(\lambda - |x|^{2s})^{-1}\|_{L^p}$ appearing in Proposition 2.3. The difficulty is to obtain the “right” bound when $s > \frac{d}{2}$. Indeed, when $0 < s \leq \frac{d}{2}$, we simply adapt the proof from [DHK09] to dimensions $d \geq 1$. The proof of the bound when $s > \frac{d}{2}$ requires more work.

We will repeatedly use the following elementary inequalities.

Lemma 3.1.

(1) Let $a, b \geq 0$, and $\alpha > 0$, then

$$\min\{1; 2^{\alpha-1}\}(a^\alpha + b^\alpha) \leq (a+b)^\alpha \leq \max\{1; 2^{\alpha-1}\}(a^\alpha + b^\alpha).$$

(2) In particular, with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, for $a, b \geq 0$, we have

$$\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \leq a + b \leq \sqrt{2}\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}.$$

We recall that $s_{d-1} = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}$ for $d \geq 2$ and it is convenient to put $s_0 = 2$ for $d = 1$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\lambda = \lambda_0 + i\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$. Set $\delta = \frac{d}{2s} - 1$.

For $0 < s \leq \frac{d}{2}$ and $p > \frac{d}{2s}$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \|(\lambda - |x|^{2s})^{-1}\|_{L^p}^p \leq \frac{s_{d-1}}{2s} \cdot M_1 \cdot \frac{|\lambda|^{\frac{d}{2s}-1}}{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p-1}},$$

where $M_1 = \max\left\{K_2; \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^\delta dt}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}}\right\}$, and K_2 is defined in (3.7) and depends on d, p , and s .

For $s > \frac{d}{2}$ and $p > 1$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \|(\lambda - |x|^{2s})^{-1}\|_{L^p}^p \leq \frac{s_{d-1}}{2s} \cdot \frac{N_1}{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p-\frac{d}{2s}}},$$

where $N_1 = \max\left\{\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^\delta}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}}; \int_0^1 t^\delta dt + 2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}}\right\}$.

Proof. We start with the polar change of variables

$$\|(\lambda - |x|^{2s})^{-1}\|_{L^p}^p = s_{d-1} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^{d-1}}{|r^{2s} - \lambda|^p} dr,$$

and we put

$$(3.3) \quad I = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^{d-1}}{|r^{2s} - \lambda|^p} dr = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^{d-1}}{|(r^{2s} - \lambda_0)^2 + \lambda_1^2|^{\frac{p}{2}}} dr.$$

First, we assume that $\lambda_0 < 0$, that is, $d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0)) = |\lambda|$. In (3.3), we use $(r^{2s} - \lambda_0)^2 \geq r^{4s} + \lambda_0^2$, and we make the change of variables $t = \frac{r^{2s}}{|\lambda|}$, so

$$(3.4) \quad I \leq \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^{d-1}}{(r^{4s} + |\lambda|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dr = \frac{1}{2s} \cdot \frac{|\lambda|^{\frac{d}{2s}}}{|\lambda|^p} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{\frac{d}{2s}-1}}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt.$$

The integrals in (3.4) converge since $p > \frac{d}{2s} > 0$. Hence, for $\lambda_0 < 0$,

$$(3.5) \quad I \leq \frac{1}{2s} \cdot \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{\frac{d}{2s}-1}}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt \cdot \frac{|\lambda|^{\frac{d}{2s}-1}}{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p-1}}.$$

Second, we assume $\lambda_0 \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1 > 0$ (since $\|(\lambda - |x|^{2s})^{-1}\| = \|(\bar{\lambda} - |x|^{2s})^{-1}\|$). In (3.3), we obtain with the help of the change of variables $t = \frac{r^{2s} - \lambda_0}{\lambda_1}$,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{2s\lambda_1^{p-1}} \int_{-\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}}^{+\infty} \frac{(\lambda_1 t + \lambda_0)^{\frac{d-1}{2s}} (\lambda_1 t + \lambda_0)^{\frac{1}{2s}-1}}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2s\lambda_1^{p-1}} \int_{-\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}}^{+\infty} \frac{(\lambda_1 t + \lambda_0)^{\frac{d}{2s}-1}}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

We distinguish two cases: $0 < s \leq \frac{d}{2}$ and $s > \frac{d}{2}$.

If $s = \frac{d}{2}$, for $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \geq 0$, bound (3.1) is obvious from (3.6).

Now assume that $0 < s < \frac{d}{2}$ and put $\delta = \frac{d}{2s} - 1$. Since $\lambda_1 > 0$, $-\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1} < 0$, we have

$$\int_{-\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}}^{+\infty} \frac{(\lambda_1 t + \lambda_0)^\delta}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt = \int_{-\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}}^0 \frac{(\lambda_1 t + \lambda_0)^\delta}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(\lambda_1 t + \lambda_0)^\delta}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt.$$

In the first integral on the right-hand side of this equality, we use that $\lambda_1 t + \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_0$. As for the second term, we observe that, by Lemma 3.1, $(\lambda_1 t + \lambda_0)^\delta \leq C_{d,s}((\lambda_1 t)^\delta + \lambda_0^\delta)$. Here, $C_{d,s} = \max\{1; 2^{\delta-1}\}$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \frac{1}{2s\lambda_1^{p-1}} \left[\lambda_0^\delta \int_{-\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}}^0 \frac{1}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_{d,s}\lambda_1^\delta \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^\delta}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt + C_{d,s}\lambda_0^\delta \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt \right] \\ &\leq \frac{K_1}{2s\lambda_1^{p-1}} \cdot [\lambda_0^\delta + \lambda_1^\delta], \end{aligned}$$

where $K_1 = \max\left\{(1 + C_{d,s}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{1}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt; C_{d,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{t^\delta}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt\right\}$. Then, putting $C'_{d,s} = \max\{1; 2^{1-\delta}\}$, we have by Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \frac{K_1}{2s\lambda_1^{p-1}} \cdot C'_{d,s}(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1)^\delta \\ &\leq \frac{K_1}{2s\lambda_1^{p-1}} \cdot C'_{d,s}(\sqrt{2})^\delta |\lambda|^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for $\lambda_0 \geq 0$,

$$(3.7) \quad I \leq \frac{K_2}{2s} \cdot \frac{|\lambda|^{\frac{d}{2s}-1}}{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p-1}},$$

where $K_2 = K_1 \cdot C'_{d,s} \cdot 2^{\delta/2}$. Recalling (3.5), we obtain inequality (3.1) in the case $s \leq \frac{d}{2}$.

Let us turn now to the case $s > \frac{d}{2}$. Suppose again that $\lambda_1 > 0$. We recall (3.6)

$$I = \frac{1}{2s\lambda_1^{p-1}} \int_{-\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}}^{+\infty} \frac{(\lambda_1 t + \lambda_0)^\delta}{(t^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt.$$

Since $-1 < \delta = \frac{d}{2s} - 1 < 0$, we cannot use the previous bound. Making the change of variables $u = t + \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}}^{+\infty} \frac{(\lambda_1 t + \lambda_0)^\delta}{(t^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt &= \lambda_1^\delta \int_{-\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}}^{+\infty} \frac{(t + \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1})^\delta}{(t^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} dt \\ &= \lambda_1^\delta \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{u^\delta}{\left((u - \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1})^2 + 1\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}} du. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is bounded independently of λ , *i.e.*,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{u^\delta}{\left((u - \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1})^2 + 1\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}} du &\leq \int_0^1 u^\delta du + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\left((u - \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1})^2 + 1\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}} du \\ &\leq \int_0^1 u^\delta du + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(u^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}} du. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, in the first inequality, we use $(u - \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1})^2 + 1 \geq 1$ when $0 \leq u \leq 1$, and $u^\delta \leq 1$ when $u \geq 1$ (since $\delta < 0$ and $p > 1$). Hence, for $\lambda_0 \geq 0$,

$$(3.8) \quad I \leq \frac{K_3}{2s} \cdot \frac{\lambda_1^\delta}{\lambda_1^{p-1}} = \frac{K_3}{2s} \cdot \frac{1}{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p - \frac{d}{2s}}},$$

with $K_3 = \int_0^1 u^\delta du + 2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{du}{(u^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}}}$. Recalling (3.5), the proof of (3.2) is finished. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.

Reminding (2.7), we have $f(\lambda) = \det_{[p]}(\text{Id} - F(\lambda))$, where

$$F(\lambda) := (\lambda + a)(a + H)^{-1}V(\lambda - H_0)^{-1} \in \mathbf{S}_p, \quad p \geq 1.$$

Inequality (2.8) implies that

$$\log(|f(\lambda)|) \leq \Gamma_p \|(\lambda + a)(a + H)^{-1}V(\lambda - H_0)^{-1}\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p.$$

From [Han10, Lemma 3.3.4], we know the following bound on $\|(-a - H)^{-1}\|$ for some $a > 0$. Then, there exists $\omega \geq 1$, depending on n, d, p, s , and V , such that for any $a \geq \omega$

$$(4.1) \quad \|(-a - H)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C_\omega}{|\omega - a|}.$$

By Proposition 2.3, we get the next inequality

$$(4.2) \quad \log |f(\lambda)| \leq \frac{\Gamma_p}{(2\pi)^d} \cdot \frac{C_\omega^p}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot |\lambda + a|^p \|V\|_{L^p}^p \|(\lambda - |x|^{2s})^{-1}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C})}^p,$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$.

From (3.1), we have

$$(4.3) \quad \log |f(\lambda)| \leq \frac{K_1 C_\omega^p}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p \cdot \frac{|\lambda + a|^p |\lambda|^{\frac{d}{2s}-1}}{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p-1}},$$

where

$$(4.4) \quad K_1 = \frac{\Gamma_p}{(2\pi)^d} \cdot \frac{s_{d-1}}{2s} \cdot M_1$$

and M_1 is defined in (3.1).

We now transfer the above inequality on \mathbb{D} in order to apply Theorem 2.1. That is, we consider the function $g(z) = f \circ \varphi_a(z)$, where φ_a is defined by (2.2). It is clearly holomorphic on \mathbb{D} . By definition (2.2), we see $|\lambda + a| = \frac{4a|z|}{|z-1|^2}$. So, Proposition 2.2 applied to inequality (4.3) gives

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \log |g(z)| &\leq \frac{K_1 C_\omega^p}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p \cdot \frac{(4a)^p |z|^p a^{\frac{d}{2s}-1} |z+1|^{\frac{d}{s}-2} |z-1|^{3(p-1)}}{|z-1|^{2p} |z-1|^{\frac{d}{s}-2} a^{p-1} d(z, \mathbb{T})^{p-1} |z+1|^{p-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{K_2 a^{\frac{d}{2s}}}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p \cdot \frac{|z|^p}{d(z, \mathbb{T})^{p-1} |z+1|^{p-\frac{d}{s}+1} |z-1|^{\frac{d}{s}-p+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

with $K_2 = 4^p K_1 C_\omega^p$.

Now, by Theorem 2.1, we have for all $\tau > 0$,

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{g(z)=0} (1-|z|)^{p+\tau} |z-1|^{(\frac{d}{s}-p+\tau)_+} |z+1|^{(p-\frac{d}{s}+\tau)_+} \leq C \cdot \frac{K_2 a^{\frac{d}{2s}}}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where K_2 is defined above and C depends on d, p, s , and τ . There are three cases to consider: Case 1: $\frac{d}{2s} < p < \frac{d}{s}$, Case 2: $p = \frac{d}{s}$, and Case 3: $p > \frac{d}{s}$.

For each case we will transfer the relation (4.5) back to $\rho(H_0) = \bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$. Recalling Proposition 2.2, we see

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - |z| = d(z, \mathbb{T}) &\geq \frac{\sqrt{a}}{4} \cdot \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))}{|\lambda|^{1/2}(a + |\lambda|)}, \\ |z+1|^2 &\geq \frac{2|\lambda|}{a + |\lambda|}, \quad \text{and} \quad |z-1|^2 \geq \frac{2a}{a + |\lambda|}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we will integrate the resulting inequality with respect to $a \in [\omega; +\infty[$ to get to a sharper bound. This integration follows the idea of Demuth, Hansmann, and Katriel (see [DHK09] or [DHK13]).

Since the computations are similar for all above cases, we give the details of the proof in the first case and present only the main steps in the remaining cases.

4.1. Case 1: $\frac{d}{2s} < p < \frac{d}{s}$. For $0 < \tau < \frac{d}{s} - p$, relation (4.6) becomes

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{g(z)=0} (1-|z|)^{p+\tau} |z-1|^{\frac{d}{s}-p+\tau} \leq C \cdot \frac{K_2 a^{\frac{d}{2s}}}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

We transfer this inequality back to $\rho(H_0) = \bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$, i.e.,

$$(1-|z|)^{p+\tau} |z-1|^{\frac{d}{s}-p+\tau} \geq \frac{a^{\frac{d}{2s}+\tau}}{2^{\frac{5p}{2}+\frac{3\tau}{2}-\frac{d}{2s}}} \cdot \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{p+\tau}{2}} (a + |\lambda|)^{\frac{d}{2s}+\frac{p}{2}+\frac{3\tau}{2}}},$$

so

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{p+\tau}{2}} (a + |\lambda|)^{\frac{d}{2s} + \frac{p}{2} + \frac{3\tau}{2}}} \lesssim a^{-\tau} \cdot \frac{K_2}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot 2^{\frac{5p}{2} + \frac{3\tau}{2} - \frac{d}{2s}} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p.$$

We can now perform the integration with respect to $a \in [\omega; +\infty[$. All terms in this relation are positive, so we can permute the sum and the integral by the Fubini Theorem. Consequently, we obtain

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{p+\tau}{2}}} \int_{\omega}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega - a|^p a^{-1}}{(a + |\lambda|)^{\frac{d}{2s} + \frac{p}{2} + \frac{3\tau}{2}}} da \lesssim \int_{\omega}^{+\infty} \frac{da}{a^{1+\tau}} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p.$$

Obviously, $\int_{\omega}^{+\infty} \frac{da}{a^{1+\tau}} = \frac{1}{\tau \omega^{\tau}}$. In the left-hand side of this relation, we use the bound $a \leq a + |\lambda|$ and we make the change of variables $t = \frac{a - \omega}{|\lambda| + \omega}$. Hence, we come to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\omega}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega - a|^p a^{-1}}{(a + |\lambda|)^{\frac{d}{2s} + \frac{p}{2} + \frac{3\tau}{2}}} da &\geq \int_{\omega}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega - a|^p}{(a + |\lambda|)^{\frac{d}{2s} + \frac{p}{2} + 1 + \frac{3\tau}{2}}} da \\ &\geq \frac{(|\lambda| + \omega)^{p+1}}{(|\lambda| + \omega)^{\frac{d}{2s} + \frac{p}{2} + 1 + \frac{3\tau}{2}}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^p dt}{(t + 1)^{\frac{d}{2s} + \frac{p}{2} + 1 + \frac{3\tau}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, for $\frac{d}{2s} < p < \frac{d}{s}$,

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{p+\tau}{2}} (\omega + |\lambda|)^{\frac{d}{2s} - \frac{p}{2} + \frac{3\tau}{2}}} \leq C \cdot \frac{K_1 C_{\omega}^p}{I_1 \tau \omega^{\tau}} \cdot 2^{\delta_1} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where K_1 is defined in (4.4), C is in (4.6), $I_1 = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^p dt}{(t + 1)^{\frac{d}{2s} + \frac{p}{2} + 1 + \frac{3\tau}{2}}}$, and $\delta_1 = \frac{9p}{2} + \frac{3\tau}{2} - \frac{d}{2s}$.

4.2. Case 2: $p = \frac{d}{s}$. Reminding (4.6), we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{g(z)=0} (1 - |z|)^{p+\tau} |z - 1|^{\tau} |z + 1|^{\tau} \leq C \cdot \frac{K_2 a^{\frac{d}{2s}}}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$(1 - |z|)^{p+\tau} |z - 1|^{\tau} |z + 1|^{\tau} \geq \frac{a^{\frac{p}{2} + \tau}}{2^{2p+\tau}} \cdot \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma_d(H_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{p}{2}} (a + |\lambda|)^{p+2\tau}},$$

so, for all $0 < \tau < 1$,

$$(4.11) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{p}{2}} (a + |\lambda|)^{p+2\tau}} \lesssim a^{-\tau} \cdot \frac{K_2}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot 2^{2p+\tau} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p.$$

After integration, we find

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{p}{2}} (\omega + |\lambda|)^{2\tau}} \leq C \cdot \frac{K_1 C_{\omega}^p}{I_2 \tau \omega^{\tau}} \cdot 2^{\delta_2} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where K_1 is defined in (4.4), C is in (4.6), $I_2 = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^p}{(t+1)^{p+1+2\tau}} dt$, and $\delta_2 = 4p + \frac{3}{2}\tau$. In (4.12), we used $2 \leq 2^{\tau/2}$, to obtain a clear statement of δ_j in Remark 4.1.

4.3. Case 3: $p > \frac{d}{s}$. For $\frac{d}{s} < p$ and $0 < \tau < p - \frac{d}{s}$, relation (4.6) becomes

$$(4.13) \quad \sum_{g(z)=0} (1-|z|)^{p+\tau} |z+1|^{p-\frac{d}{s}+\tau} \leq C \cdot \frac{K_2 a^{\frac{d}{2s}}}{|\omega-a|^p} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p.$$

Then, we get

$$(1-|z|)^{p+\tau} |z+1|^{p-\frac{d}{s}+\tau} \geq \frac{a^{\frac{p+\tau}{2}}}{2^{\frac{3}{2}(p+\tau)+\frac{d}{2s}}} \cdot \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{d}{2s}} (a+|\lambda|)^{\frac{3p}{2}-\frac{d}{2s}+\frac{3\tau}{2}}},$$

and, for $0 < \tau < p - \frac{d}{s}$,

$$(4.14) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{d}{2s}} (a+|\lambda|)^{\frac{3}{2}(p+\tau)-\frac{d}{2s}}} \lesssim a^{\frac{d}{2s}-\frac{p+\tau}{2}} \cdot \frac{K_2}{|\omega-a|^p} \cdot 2^{\frac{3}{2}(p+\tau)+\frac{d}{2s}} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p.$$

We integrate the above inequality

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{d}{2s}}} \int_{\omega}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega-a|^p a^{\frac{p+\tau}{2}-\frac{d}{2s}-1-\tau}}{(a+|\lambda|)^{\frac{3}{2}(p+\tau)-\frac{d}{2s}}} da \lesssim \frac{\|V\|_{L^p}^p}{\tau \omega^{\tau}}.$$

As before, we do the change of variables $t = \frac{a-\omega}{|\lambda|+\omega}$, and we distinguish two cases: if $\frac{1}{2}(p-\frac{d}{s}-2-\tau) < 0$, we use the bound $[(|\lambda|+\omega)t+\omega] \leq (|\lambda|+\omega)(t+1)$, and if $\frac{1}{2}(p-\frac{d}{s}-2-\tau) \geq 0$, we apply the bound from below $[(|\lambda|+\omega)t+\omega] \geq (|\lambda|+\omega)t$. We present the case $\frac{1}{2}(p-\frac{d}{s}-2-\tau) \geq 0$ in details, the other cases are analogous and are omitted. We see

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\omega}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega-a|^p a^{\frac{p}{2}-\frac{d}{2s}-1-\frac{\tau}{2}}}{(a+|\lambda|)^{\frac{3}{2}(p+\tau)-\frac{d}{2s}}} da = \\ &= \frac{(|\lambda|+\omega)^{p+1}}{(|\lambda|+\omega)^{\frac{3}{2}(p+\tau)-\frac{d}{2s}}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^p [(|\lambda|+\omega)t+\omega]^{\frac{p}{2}-\frac{d}{2s}-1-\frac{\tau}{2}}}{(t+1)^{\frac{3}{2}(p+\tau)-\frac{d}{2s}}} dt \\ &\geq \frac{(|\lambda|+\omega)^{\frac{p}{2}-\frac{d}{2s}-1-\frac{\tau}{2}}}{(|\lambda|+\omega)^{\frac{p}{2}-\frac{d}{2s}-1+\frac{3\tau}{2}}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{\frac{3p}{2}-\frac{d}{2s}-1-\frac{\tau}{2}}}{(t+1)^{\frac{3}{2}(p+\tau)-\frac{d}{2s}}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

So, if $\frac{1}{2}(p-\frac{d}{s}-2-\tau) \geq 0$, we obtain

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{d}{2s}} (\omega+|\lambda|)^{2\tau}} \leq C \cdot \frac{K_1 C_{\omega}^p}{I_3 \tau \omega^{\tau}} \cdot 2^{\delta_3} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where K_1 is defined in (4.4), C is in (4.6), $I_3 = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{\frac{3p}{2}-\frac{d}{2s}-1-\frac{\tau}{2}}}{(t+1)^{\frac{3}{2}(p+\tau)-\frac{d}{2s}}} dt$, and

$$\delta_3 = \frac{7}{2}p + \frac{d}{2s} + \frac{3}{2}\tau.$$

When $\frac{1}{2}(p - \frac{d}{s} - 2 - \tau) < 0$, we obtain

$$(4.16) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{d}{2s}} (\omega + |\lambda|)^{2\tau}} \leq C \cdot \frac{K_1 C_\omega^p}{I_4 \tau \omega^\tau} \cdot 2^{\frac{7}{2}p + \frac{d}{2s} + \frac{3}{2}\tau} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where K_1 is defined in (4.4), C in (4.6), $I_4 = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^p}{(t+1)^{p+1+2\tau}} dt$, and $\delta_4 = \delta_3 = \frac{7}{2}p + \frac{d}{2s} + \frac{3}{2}\tau$.

In relations (4.9), (4.12), (4.15), and (4.16), we use the bound $\omega + |\lambda| \leq w(1 + |\lambda|)$, because $\omega \geq 1$, and we come to inequality (1.4). Thus, the proof in the case $0 < s \leq \frac{d}{2}$ is finished. \square

Remark 4.1. *In the above inequalities, one has*

$$I_j = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{p+\frac{1}{2}(p-\frac{d}{s}-2-\tau)_+}}{(t+1)^{p+1+2\tau+\frac{1}{2}\max\{\frac{d}{s}-p-2\tau; 0; p-\frac{d}{s}-2-\tau\}}} dt,$$

$$\delta_j = \frac{7p}{2} + \frac{3\tau}{2} + \min\{p; \frac{d}{s}\} - \frac{d}{2s},$$

where $j = 1, \dots, 4$.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.

Taking into account (3.2) and (4.1), inequality (4.2) becomes

$$\log |f(\lambda)| \leq \frac{K_4 C_\omega^p}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p \cdot \frac{|\lambda + a|^p}{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p-\frac{d}{2s}}},$$

where

$$(5.1) \quad K_4 = \frac{\Gamma_p}{(2\pi)^d} \cdot \frac{s_{d-1}}{2s} \cdot N_1,$$

and N_1 depends on d, p , and s only. As before, we have

$$\log |g(z)| \leq \frac{K_4 C_\omega^p}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot \frac{4^p a^{\frac{d}{2s}} |z|^p}{d(z, \mathbb{T})^{p-\frac{d}{2s}} |z-1|^{\frac{3d}{2s}-p} |z+1|^{p-\frac{d}{2s}}}.$$

We set $K_5 = 4^p K_4 C_\omega^p$. Applying Theorem 2.1, we have

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{g(z)=0} (1 - |z|)^{p-\frac{d}{2s}+1+\tau} |z-1|^{(\frac{3d}{2s}-p-1+\tau)_+} |z+1|^{(p-\frac{d}{2s}-1+\tau)_+} \leq \frac{C K_5 a^{\frac{d}{2s}}}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p.$$

The separation in different cases with respect to p and $\frac{d}{2s}$ is clear from the following picture (Figure 1). The x-axis represents p and the y-axis represents $\frac{d}{2s}$. There are four straight lines given by $y = 1$, $x - y - 1 = 0$, $-x + 3y - 1 = 0$, and $x - 3y - 1 = 0$.

So, we have three different cases to consider: Case 1: $p - \frac{d}{2s} - 1 \geq 0$ and $\frac{3d}{2s} - p - 1 < 0$, Case 2: $p - \frac{d}{2s} - 1 < 0$ and $\frac{3d}{2s} - p - 1 < 0$, and Case 3: $p - \frac{d}{2s} - 1 < 0$ and $\frac{3d}{2s} - p - 1 \geq 0$. Below, the computations are similar to the case $s \leq \frac{d}{2}$, so they are omitted.




FIGURE 1. The different cases

5.1. **Case 1:** $p - \frac{d}{2s} - 1 \geq 0$ and $\frac{3d}{2s} - p - 1 < 0$. We find

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p - \frac{d}{2s} + 1 + \tau}}{|\lambda|(a + |\lambda|)^{3\frac{p+\tau}{2} - \frac{3d}{4s} + \frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{a^{-\frac{1}{2}(p+1 - \frac{3d}{2s} + \tau)}}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p.$$

It remains to integrate with respect to a on $[\omega; +\infty[$. We do it in the same way as in the case $0 < s \leq \frac{d}{2}$.

From (5.3), we obtain

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+1 - \frac{d}{2s} + \tau}}{|\lambda|} \int_{\omega}^{+\infty} \frac{|\omega - a|^p a^{\frac{1}{2}(p - \frac{3d}{2s} - 1 - \tau)}}{(a + |\lambda|)^{3\frac{p+\tau}{2} - \frac{3d}{4s} + \frac{1}{2}}} da \lesssim \frac{\|V\|_{L^p}^p}{\tau \omega^\tau}.$$

Hence, if $p - \frac{3d}{2s} - 1 > 0$, and $\tau > 0$ is small enough,

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+1 - \frac{d}{2s} + \tau}}{|\lambda|(\omega + |\lambda|)^{2\tau}} \leq C \cdot \frac{K_4 C_\omega^p}{I_5 \tau \omega^\tau} \cdot 2^{\delta_5} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where K_4 is defined in (5.1), C is in (5.2), $I_5 = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}(3p - \frac{3d}{2s} - 1 - \tau)}}{(t+1)^{3\frac{p+\tau}{2} - \frac{3d}{4s} + \frac{1}{2}}} dt$, and

$$\delta_6 = \frac{1}{2}(7p + 5 - \frac{3d}{2s} + 3\tau).$$

Otherwise, if $p - \frac{3d}{2s} - 1 \leq 0$, we have

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+1 - \frac{d}{2s} + \tau}}{|\lambda|(\omega + |\lambda|)^{2\tau}} \leq C \cdot \frac{K_4 C_\omega^p}{I_6 \tau \omega^\tau} \cdot 2^{\delta_6} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where K_4 is defined in (5.1), C is in (5.2), $I_6 = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^p}{(t+1)^{p+1+2\tau}} dt$, and

$$\delta_6 = \delta_5 = \frac{1}{2}(7p + 5 - \frac{3d}{2s} + 3\tau).$$

5.2. **Case 2:** $p - \frac{d}{2s} - 1 < 0$ and $\frac{3d}{2s} - p - 1 < 0$. We have

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p - \frac{d}{2s} + 1 + \tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1 - \frac{d}{2s} + \tau)}(a + |\lambda|)^{p+1 - \frac{d}{2s} + \tau}} \lesssim \frac{a^{-\frac{1}{2}(p+1 - \frac{3d}{2s} + \tau)}}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p.$$

Integrating this inequality gives

$$(5.7) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+1-\frac{d}{2s}+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1-\frac{d}{2s}+\tau)}(\omega + |\lambda|)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d}{2s}-p+1+3\tau)}} \leq C \cdot \frac{K_4 C_\omega^p}{I_7 \tau \omega^\tau} \cdot 2^{\delta_7} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where K_4 is defined in (5.1), C is in (5.2), $I_7 = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^p dt}{(t+1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+\frac{d}{2s}+3+3\tau)}}$, and $\delta_7 = 2(2p+1 - \frac{d}{2s} + \tau)$. We recall that $0 < p - \frac{d}{2s} < 1$, hence $\frac{d}{2s} - p + 1 > 0$.

5.3. Case 3: $p - \frac{d}{2s} - 1 < 0$ and $\frac{3d}{2s} - p - 1 \geq 0$. This time, we have

$$(5.8) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p-\frac{d}{2s}+1+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{p+1+\tau}{2}-\frac{d}{4s}}(a+|\lambda|)^{\frac{p+1}{2}+\frac{d}{4s}+\frac{3\tau}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{a^{-\tau}}{|\omega-a|^p} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p.$$

After integration, the previous inequality becomes

$$(5.9) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^{p+1-\frac{d}{2s}+\tau}}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1-\frac{d}{2s}+\tau)}(\omega + |\lambda|)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d}{2s}-p+1+3\tau)}} \leq C \cdot \frac{K_4 C_\omega^p}{I_8 \tau \omega^\tau} \cdot 2^{\delta_8} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where K_4 is defined in (5.1), C is in (5.2), $I_8 = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^p dt}{(t+1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+3+\frac{d}{2s}+3\tau)}}$, and $\delta_8 = \frac{9}{2}p + \frac{5}{2} - \frac{7d}{4s} + \frac{3}{2}\tau$. As before, $0 < p - \frac{d}{2s} < 1$, and so $\frac{d}{2s} - p + 1 > 0$.

To make the statement of the theorem more transparent in Case 2, we use $\frac{1}{(1+|\lambda|)^\tau} \geq \frac{1}{(1+|\lambda|)^{\frac{3\tau}{2}}}$. This gives the power β in relation (1.5). Finally, since $\omega \geq 1$, we bound $\omega + |\lambda| \leq \omega(1 + |\lambda|)$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is finished. \square

Remark 5.1. *In the above inequalities, one has*

$$I_j = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{p+\frac{1}{2}(p-\frac{3d}{2s}-1-\tau)_+}}{(t+1)^{p+1+2\tau+\frac{1}{2}\max\{p-\frac{3d}{2s}-1-\tau; 0; \frac{d}{2s}+1-p-\tau\}}} dt,$$

$$\delta_j = 2(2p+1 - \frac{d}{2s} + \tau) - \frac{1}{2}\max\{p - \frac{d}{2s} - 1 + \tau; 0; \frac{3d}{2s} - p - 1 + \tau\},$$

where $j = 5, \dots, 8$.

6. LIEB-THIRRING BOUND USING A THEOREM FROM [Han11].

6.1. Hansmann's Theorem and conformal mapping. The following theorem is the key ingredient for the proof of (1.6). It is proved in [Han11].

Theorem 6.1. *Let A be a normal bounded operator and B be an operator such that $B - A \in \mathcal{S}_p$ for some $p \geq 1$. Suppose also that $\sigma(A)$ is convex. Then the following inequality holds*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(B)} d(\lambda, \sigma(A))^p \leq \|B - A\|_{\mathcal{S}_p}^p.$$

Hansmann has another result in this direction (see [Han13, Cor. 1]). Since in our situation the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ is convex, it gives no improvement.

We will apply Theorem 6.1 to $(-a - H)^{-1}$ and $(-a - H_0)^{-1}$, and so we introduce the parameter $a > 0$. As in previous section, we need a distortion result. Introduce a conformal map $g : \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus [-\frac{1}{a}, 0[$ defined by

$$g(\lambda) = \frac{-1}{a + \lambda}.$$

Below, we denote by λ and μ the variables in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-\frac{1}{a}, 0[$, respectively.

Proposition 6.2. *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$, we have the following bound*

$$d\left(g(\lambda), \left[-\frac{1}{a}, 0\right]\right) \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}} \cdot \frac{d(\lambda, \mathbb{R}^+)}{(a + |\lambda|)^2}.$$

Proof. We obtain a bound for the function $\tilde{g} : \mathbb{C} \setminus [a; +\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus]0; \frac{1}{a}]$ defined by $\tilde{g}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ and then compose it by the translation $T : \lambda \mapsto \lambda + a$, that is $g = -\tilde{g} \circ T$. After some technical computations, we find

$$d\left(\tilde{g}(\lambda), \left]0; \frac{1}{a}\right[\right) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot \frac{d(\lambda, [a; +\infty[)}{|\lambda| \cdot (a + |\lambda|)}.$$

The claimed inequality follows. \square

As in proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we use an integration with respect to the parameter a , to improve the rate of convergence in the left-hand side of inequality (1.6). This trick is borrowed from Theorem 5.3.3 in [DHK13]. We recall that ω is defined in (4.1).

6.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. We put $A = (-a - H_0)^{-1}$ which is normal and $B = (-a - H)^{-1}$ which is bounded, for $a > \omega$, so that A and B exist. We know that $B - A = BV A \in \mathbf{S}_p$, hence we can apply Theorem 6.1. For $p \geq 1$, it gives

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{\mu \in \sigma_d(B)} d(\mu, \sigma(A))^p \leq \|B - A\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p.$$

For $p > \max\{1; \frac{d}{2s}\}$, we bound the right-hand side of inequality (6.1) with the help of (4.1) and Proposition 3.2

$$\begin{aligned} \|B - A\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p &\leq (2\pi)^{-d} \|(-a - H)^{-1}\|^p \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p \cdot \|(-a - |x|^{2s})^{-1}\|_{L^p}^p \\ &\leq K_1 C_\omega^p \cdot \frac{a^{\frac{d}{2s}-p}}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Above, for all $s > 0$,

$$(6.2) \quad K_1 = \frac{s_{d-1}}{2s(2\pi)^d} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{t^{\frac{d}{2s}-1}}{(t^2 + 1)^{p/2}} dt$$

(see inequality (3.5) with $\lambda = -a$). Then $\mu = (-a - \lambda)^{-1} = g(\lambda) \in \sigma_d(B)$ if and only if $\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu \in \sigma_d(B)} d(\mu, \sigma(A))^p &= \sum_{\{g(\lambda), \lambda \in \sigma_d(H)\}} d(g(\lambda), \sigma(A))^p \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(2\sqrt{5})^p} \cdot \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^p}{(a + |\lambda|)^{2p}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality results from Proposition 6.2. Thus, we obtain

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^p}{(a + |\lambda|)^{2p}} \leq (2\sqrt{5})^p K_1 \cdot C_\omega^p \cdot \frac{a^{\frac{d}{2s}-p}}{|\omega - a|^p} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where K_1 is defined above.

The next step of the proof is the integration with respect to parameter a . Since the computations are similar to the integration performed in section 4, the technical details are omitted. We obtain from (6.3)

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^p \int_\omega^{+\infty} \frac{a^{p-\frac{d}{2s}-1-\tau} |\omega - a|^p}{(a + |\lambda|)^{2p}} da \lesssim \frac{\|V\|_{L^p}^p}{\tau \omega^\tau}.$$

Hence, assuming first that $p - d/2s > 1$, we come to

$$\int_\omega^{+\infty} \frac{a^{p-\frac{d}{2s}-1-\tau} |a - \omega|^p da}{(a + |\lambda|)^{2p}} \geq \frac{1}{(|\lambda| + \omega)^{\frac{d}{2s}+\tau}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{2p-\frac{d}{2s}-1-\tau}}{(t+1)^{2p}} dt.$$

When $p - d/2s < 1$, we have

$$\int_\omega^{+\infty} \frac{a^{p-\frac{d}{2s}-1-\tau} |a - \omega|^p da}{(a + |\lambda|)^{2p}} \geq \frac{1}{(|\lambda| + \omega)^{\frac{d}{2s}+\tau}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^p dt}{(t+1)^{p+\frac{d}{2s}+1+\tau}}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_d(H)} \frac{d(\lambda, \sigma(H_0))^p}{(\omega + |\lambda|)^{\frac{d}{2s}+\tau}} \leq (2\sqrt{5})^p \cdot \frac{K_1 C_\omega^p}{I \tau \omega^\tau} \cdot \|V\|_{L^p}^p,$$

where K_1 is defined in (6.2), and

$$I = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{p+(p-\frac{d}{2s}-1-\tau)_+}}{(t+1)^{p+\frac{d}{2s}+1+\tau+(p-\frac{d}{2s}-1-\tau)_+}} dt.$$

Using $\omega + |\lambda| \leq \omega(1 + |\lambda|)$, the proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [AAD01] A. A. Abramov, A. Aslanyan, and E. B. Davies. Bounds on complex eigenvalues and resonances. *J. Phys. A, Math. Gen.*, 34(1):57–72, 2001. 1
- [BGK09] A. Borichev, L. Golinskii, and S. Kupin. A Blaschke-type condition and its application to complex Jacobi matrices. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 41(1):117–123, 2009. 1, 3, 4
- [DHK09] M. Demuth, M. Hansmann, and G. Katriel. On the discrete spectrum of non-selfadjoint operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 257(9):2742–2759, 2009. 5, 6, 9
- [DHK13] M. Demuth, M. Hansmann, and G. Katriel. Eigenvalues of non-selfadjoint operators: a comparison of two approaches. In *Mathematical physics, spectral theory and stochastic analysis*, pages 107–163. Basel: Birkhäuser/Springer, 2013. 2, 9, 15
- [DPV12] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci. Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 136(5):521–573, 2012. 1
- [Dub14] C. Dubuisson. On quantitative bounds on eigenvalues of a complex perturbation of a Dirac operator. *Integral Equations Oper. Theory*, 78(2):249–269, 2014. 4, 5
- [EE89] D.E. Edmunds and W.D. Evans. *Spectral theory and differential operators*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, paperback ed. edition, 1989. 1
- [FLLS06] R. L. Frank, A. Laptev, E. H. Lieb, and R. Seiringer. Lieb-Thirring inequalities for Schrödinger operators with complex-valued potentials. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 77(3):309–316, 2006. 2
- [FLS08] R. L. Frank, E. H. Lieb, and R. Seiringer. Hardy-Lieb-Thirring inequalities for fractional Schrödinger operators. *J. Am. Math. Soc.*, 21(4):925–950, 2008. 2, 3

- [GK69] I.C. Gohberg and M.G. Krein. *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators*. Translated from the Russian by A. Feinstein. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 18. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969. 5
- [Han10] M. Hansmann. *On the discrete spectrum of linear operators in Hilbert spaces*. PhD thesis, TU Clausthal, 2010. 8
- [Han11] M. Hansmann. An eigenvalue estimate and its application to non-selfadjoint Jacobi and Schrödinger operators. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 98(1):79–95, 2011. 1, 3, 14
- [Han13] M. Hansmann. Variation of discrete spectra for non-selfadjoint perturbations of selfadjoint operators. *Integral Equations Oper. Theory*, 76(2):163–178, 2013. 14
- [HS02] D. Hundertmark and B. Simon. Lieb-Thirring inequalities for Jacobi matrices. *J. Approx. Theory*, 118(1):106–130, 2002. 2
- [Pom92] C. Pommerenke. *Boundary behaviour of conformal maps*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1992. 5
- [RS78] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV: Analysis of operators*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978. 2
- [Sim77] B. Simon. Notes on infinite determinants of Hilbert space operators. *Adv. Math.*, 24:244–273, 1977. 5
- [Sim05] B. Simon. *Trace ideals and their applications*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2nd ed. edition, 2005. 5

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BORDEAUX UNIVERSITÉ DE BORDEAUX 351, COURS DE
LA LIBÉRATION F-33405 TALENCE CEDEX

E-mail address: clement.dubuisson@math.u-bordeaux.fr