

Asymptotic delta-Parametrization of Surface-Impedance Solutions

Laurent Krähenbühl¹, Patrick Dular², Victor Péron³, Ronan Perrussel⁴, Clair Poignard⁵, Ruth Sabariego⁶

¹Université de Lyon – Ampère (CNRS, ECL), Écully, France

²University of Liège, Belgium, ³Université de Pau, France, ⁴Université de Toulouse, France,

⁵Inria Bordeaux-Sud Ouest, EPC MC2, France, ⁶KU Leuven, Research Institute EnergyVille, Belgium.

laurent.krahenbuhl@ec-lyon.fr

Abstract—The surface impedance methods are among the most efficient for solving time-harmonic eddy-current problems with a small penetration depth. When the solution is required for a wide range of frequencies (or material conductivities) the standard approach leads to the solution of a complex-valued problem for each frequency (or conductivity). Hereafter we introduce a close method, parametrized by the skin depth (δ), based on a formal asymptotic expansion. It provides accurate results with a reduced computational cost for a wide range of δ values.

Index Terms—Surface impedance, asymptotics, parametric solutions.

I. SURFACE IMPEDANCES

The classical surface impedance method allows to solve approximately and quite accurately a time-harmonic eddy-current problem in a conductor (with a linear magnetic behavior), when the skin depth δ is small compared to the characteristic size D of the conducting parts of the device under study [1]. If the boundary Σ of the conductor is regular enough, one can compute the electromagnetic field in the outer domain Ω by imposing a surface impedance condition on Σ :

$$\text{curl } \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J}_s \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} = Z_s \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{H}) \text{ on } \Sigma, \quad (2)$$

$$Z_s = \frac{1+j}{\sigma\delta}, \quad (3)$$

with \mathbf{H} the magnetic field, \mathbf{J}_s the source current density, \mathbf{E} the electric field, \mathbf{n} the outward normal, j the imaginary unit and Z_s the so-called surface impedance that depends on the electric conductivity σ and δ . The finite element solution is straightforward, e.g. in a 2D plane case, the vector potential (A) formulation gives (A and J with only one component):

$$-\Delta A = \mu_0 J_s \text{ in } \Omega; \quad A = \alpha \delta \partial_n A \text{ on } \Sigma; \quad \alpha = \frac{j-1}{2}. \quad (4)$$

If the frequency (or conductivity) is modified, the solution has to be performed again.

II. ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION AND PARAMETRIZATION

The solution to Problem (4) can be expanded in a formal series in power of $\alpha\delta$ as in [2]:

$$A = \sum_{i \geq 0} (\alpha\delta)^i A_i, \quad (5)$$

where the coefficients are real-valued solutions to elementary problems (6)–(7) independent of δ :

$$-\Delta A_0 = \mu_0 J_s \text{ in } \Omega; \quad A_0 = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma. \quad (6)$$

$$\forall i \geq 1, \quad -\Delta A_i = 0 \text{ in } \Omega; \quad A_i = \partial_n A_{i-1} \text{ on } \Sigma. \quad (7)$$

In practice, the computation of only 2, or 3 terms (A_0, A_1, A_2) suffices to ensure high accuracy (see Section III). Furthermore, the solution (4) for any new small value δ can be simply reconstructed by combining linearly the pre-computed terms as in (5); what amounts to a considerable gain in computational time for sensitivity or parametric studies.

III. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE




Fig. 1. Domain Ω with A_0 on the left. Flux vs. δ/D on the right.

A simple test case is represented in Fig. 1. We enforce a flux at part of the boundary Σ of a conducting angle. The first term of (5) is depicted in Fig. 1, left. The flux through segment MN , $\Phi = A(M) - A(N)$, is shown as a function of δ/D and compared to the exact solution in Fig. 1, right. The approximate flux is observed to be accurate for $\delta/D < 15\%$.

At the conference, we will detail how we compute the 3 first orders based on 2 solutions with surface impedance for 2 distinct values of δ , δ_1 and δ_2 . The 3 first orders seem to provide an “accurate” behavior; see Fig. 1, right. We will also discuss error estimates, the case of a linear magnetic conductor and the 3D formulations.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Yuferev and N. Ida, “Selection of the surface impedance boundary conditions for a given problem,” *Magnetics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 1486–1489, 1999.
- [2] C. Poignard, P. Dular, R. Perrussel, L. Krahenbuhl, L. Nicolas, and M. Schatzman, “Approximate conditions replacing thin layers,” *Magnetics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 1154–1157, 2008.