



Asymptotic delta-Parametrization of Surface-Impedance Solutions

Laurent Krähenbühl, Patrick Dular, Victor Péron, Ronan Perrussel, Clair Poignard, Ruth Sabariego

► To cite this version:

Laurent Krähenbühl, Patrick Dular, Victor Péron, Ronan Perrussel, Clair Poignard, et al.. Asymptotic delta-Parametrization of Surface-Impedance Solutions. IEEE CEFC, May 2014, Annecy, France. hal-00959750

HAL Id: hal-00959750

<https://hal.science/hal-00959750>

Submitted on 7 Mar 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Asymptotic delta-Parametrization of Surface-Impedance Solutions

Laurent Krähenbühl¹, Patrick Dular², Victor Péron³, Ronan Perrussel⁴, Clair Poignard⁵, Ruth Sabariego⁶

¹Université de Lyon – Ampère (CNRS, ECL), Écully, France

²University of Liège, Belgium, ³Université de Pau, France, ⁴Université de Toulouse, France,

⁵Inria Bordeaux-Sud Ouest, EPC MC2, France, ⁶KU Leuven, Research Institute EnergyVille, Belgium.

laurent.krahenbuhl@ec-lyon.fr

Abstract—The surface impedance methods are among the most efficient for solving time-harmonic eddy-current problems with a small penetration depth. When the solution is required for a wide range of frequencies (or material conductivities) the standard approach leads to the solution of a complex-valued problem for each frequency (or conductivity). Hereafter we introduce a close method, parametrized by the skin depth (δ), based on a formal asymptotic expansion. It provides accurate results with a reduced computational cost for a wide range of δ values.

Index Terms—Surface impedance, asymptotics, parametric solutions.

I. SURFACE IMPEDANCES

The classical surface impedance method allows to solve approximately and quite accurately a time-harmonic eddy-current problem in a conductor (with a linear magnetic behavior), when the skin depth δ is small compared to the characteristic size D of the conducting parts of the device under study [1]. If the boundary Σ of the conductor is regular enough, one can compute the electromagnetic field in the outer domain Ω by imposing a surface impedance condition on Σ :

$$\text{curl } \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J}_s \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} = Z_s \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{H}) \text{ on } \Sigma, \quad (2)$$

$$Z_s = \frac{1+j}{\sigma\delta}, \quad (3)$$

with \mathbf{H} the magnetic field, \mathbf{J}_s the source current density, \mathbf{E} the electric field, \mathbf{n} the outward normal, j the imaginary unit and Z_s the so-called surface impedance that depends on the electric conductivity σ and δ . The finite element solution is straightforward, e.g. in a 2D plane case, the vector potential (A) formulation gives (A and J with only one component):

$$-\Delta A = \mu_0 J_s \text{ in } \Omega; \quad A = \alpha \delta \partial_n A \text{ on } \Sigma; \quad \alpha = \frac{j-1}{2}. \quad (4)$$

If the frequency (or conductivity) is modified, the solution has to be performed again.

II. ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION AND PARAMETRIZATION

The solution to Problem (4) can be expanded in a formal series in power of $\alpha\delta$ as in [2]:

$$A = \sum_{i \geq 0} (\alpha\delta)^i A_i, \quad (5)$$

where the coefficients are real-valued solutions to elementary problems (6)–(7) independent of δ :

$$-\Delta A_0 = \mu_0 J_s \text{ in } \Omega; \quad A_0 = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma. \quad (6)$$

$$\forall i \geq 1, \quad -\Delta A_i = 0 \text{ in } \Omega; \quad A_i = \partial_n A_{i-1} \text{ on } \Sigma. \quad (7)$$

In practice, the computation of only 2, or 3 terms (A_0, A_1, A_2) suffices to ensure high accuracy (see Section III). Furthermore, the solution (4) for any new small value δ can be simply reconstructed by combining linearly the pre-computed terms as in (5); what amounts to a considerable gain in computational time for sensitivity or parametric studies.

III. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE




Fig. 1. Domain Ω with A_0 on the left. Flux vs. δ/D on the right.

A simple test case is represented in Fig. 1. We enforce a flux at part of the boundary Σ of a conducting angle. The first term of (5) is depicted in Fig. 1, left. The flux through segment MN , $\Phi = A(M) - A(N)$, is shown as a function of δ/D and compared to the exact solution in Fig. 1, right. The approximate flux is observed to be accurate for $\delta/D < 15\%$.

At the conference, we will detail how we compute the 3 first orders based on 2 solutions with surface impedance for 2 distinct values of δ , δ_1 and δ_2 . The 3 first orders seem to provide an “accurate” behavior; see Fig. 1, right. We will also discuss error estimates, the case of a linear magnetic conductor and the 3D formulations.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Yuferev and N. Ida, “Selection of the surface impedance boundary conditions for a given problem,” *Magnetics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 1486–1489, 1999.
- [2] C. Poignard, P. Dular, R. Perrussel, L. Krahenbuhl, L. Nicolas, and M. Schatzman, “Approximate conditions replacing thin layers,” *Magnetics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 1154–1157, 2008.