

Quantum-Reduced Loop-Gravity: Relation with the Full Theory

Emanuele Alesci, Francesco Cianfrani, Carlo Rovelli

▶ To cite this version:

Emanuele Alesci, Francesco Cianfrani, Carlo Rovelli. Quantum-Reduced Loop-Gravity: Relation with the Full Theory. Physical Review D, 2013, 88, pp.104001. 10.1103/PhysRevD.88.104001. hal-00957708

HAL Id: hal-00957708

https://hal.science/hal-00957708

Submitted on 12 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 88, 104001 (2013)

Quantum-reduced loop gravity: Relation with the full theory

Emanuele Alesci, ¹ Francesco Cianfrani, ² and Carlo Rovelli^{3,4}

¹Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej, Uniwersytet Warszawski, ul. Hoa 69, 00-681 Warszawa, Poland
²Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław, Pl. Maksa Borna 9, Pl–50-204 Wrocław, Poland
³Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, CPT, UMR 7332, 13288 Marseille, France
⁴Université de Toulon, CNRS, CPT, UMR 7332, 83957 La Garde, France
(Received 27 September 2013; published 5 November 2013)

The quantum-reduced loop-gravity technique has been introduced for dealing with cosmological models. We show that it can be applied rather generically: any time the spatial metric can be gauge fixed to a diagonal form. The technique selects states based on reduced graphs with Livine-Speziale coherent intertwiners and could simplify the analysis of the dynamics in the full theory.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.88.104001 PACS numbers: 04.60.Pp

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum reduced loop gravity (QRLG) is a framework introduced for the quantization of symmetry-reduced sectors of general relativity. It was introduced in [1,2] and applied to an inhomogeneous extension of the Bianchi I cosmological model. Here we show that its application is in fact quite wide, since it essentially amounts to a choice of gauge in the full theory. More precisely, we show that fixing the gauge where the triad is diagonal (in the quantum theory) leads to the state space of QRLG.

The loop quantization of homogeneous models [3,4] (loop quantum cosmology) and spherically symmetric systems [5] (black holes) has been mostly studied by first restricting to a reduced phase space and then quantizing the resulting system. The strategy of starting from the full quantum theory and restricting the set of states has developed more slowly, both in the canonical [6] and covariant [7–9] versions of the theory.

The metric of a Bianchi I model is diagonal and the internal SU(2) gauge can be fixed so that the densitized triads are diagonal as well. In QRLG, one fixes a three-dimensional cubic lattice oriented in the directions that diagonalize the metric. The connection on each link belongs then to a fixed U(1) subgroup of SU(2), one per each of the three possible orientations of the links. Group elements associated to links are in U(1), not in SU(2), and the SU(2) structure is only present at the nodes. The way U(1) states are embedded into SU(2) states is analogous to the way SU(2) states sit into SL(2,C) states in spin foam theory, one dimension up. Using these structures we can regularize the scalar constraint as in full loop quantum gravity (LOG) [10].

Here we point out that this scheme is more general than its application to Bianchi I and the inhomogeneous extensions previously considered. It works any time we can choose a reference frame where the spatial metric is diagonal. This is generically possible, since any 3-metric can generically be taken to diagonal form by a 3D diffeomorphism [11], as in three dimensions the number of non-diagonal components of the metric coincides with the

number of parameters of a diffeomorphisms. The price to pay is a nontrivial Shift function and a potentially more complicated dynamics.

Below, we review a few basis elements of LQG that we need for this construction, then we give the QRLG construction of the state space, and finally we recover this same state space by a gauge fixing in the general quantum theory.

II. LOOP QUANTUM GRAVITY

In LQG, the elements of the kinematical Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{\rm kin}$ are labeled by oriented graphs Γ in the spatial manifold and are given by functions on L copies of SU(2), L being the number of links in Γ . A basis of states is obtained from Peter-Weyl theorem, and is labeled by an irreducible representation $\mathbf{j_1}$ of SU(2) on each link l, and a SU(2) intertwiner x_n at each node n. The corresponding state reads

$$\langle h_l | \Gamma, \mathbf{j_l}, \mathbf{x_n} \rangle = \prod_{n \in \Gamma} x_n \cdot \prod_{l \in \Gamma} D^{j_l}(h_l),$$
 (1)

where h_l denotes the holonomy along the link l, while $D^j(h)$ and x are Wigner matrices in the representation j and intertwiners, respectively; the products extend over all the links and the nodes in Γ ; the dot means the contraction between indices of intertwiners and Wigner matrices. The flux operator $E_i(S)$ associated to the oriented surface S acts as the left (right) invariant vector fields on the group element based at links l beginning (ending) on S. For instance, given a surface S having a single intersection with a link l at a point $x \in e$, such that $l = l' \bigcup l''$ and $l' \cap l'' = x$, the operator $\hat{E}_i(S)$ is given by

$$\hat{E}_{i}(S)D^{j_{l}}(h_{l}) = 8\pi\gamma l_{P}^{2} o(l, S)D^{j_{l}}(h_{l'})\tau_{i}D^{j_{l}}(h_{l''}), \quad (2)$$

 γ and l_P being the Immirzi parameter and the Planck length, respectively, while o(l,S) is equal to 0, 1, -1 according to the relative sign of l and the normal to S. τ^i denotes the SU(2) generators in the j_l representation.

The equivalence class s of graphs Γ under diffeomorphisms can be used to implement background independence in the dual of the SU(2)-invariant kinematical Hilbert space as follows:

$$\langle s, \mathbf{j_l}, \mathbf{x_n} | h \rangle = \sum_{\Gamma \in s} \langle \Gamma, \mathbf{j_l}, \mathbf{x_n} | h \rangle.$$
 (3)

The scalar constraint can be regularized in the space of SU(2)-invariant and diffeoinvariant states.

III. QUANTUM REDUCED LOOP GRAVITY

The Bianchi I model is endowed with a diagonal metric tensor,

$$dl^2 = a_1^2 (dx^1)^2 + a_2^2 (dx^2)^2 + a_3^2 (dx^3)^2,$$
 (4)

where a_i (i = 1, 2, 3) are three time-dependent scale factors. In the inhomogeneous extension of Bianchi I, the a_i are assumed to be a function of time and the spatial coordinates x^i , which are the Cartesian coordinates of a fiducial flat metric. The associated densitized triads can be chosen to be diagonal, i.e.

$$E_i^a = p^i \delta_i^a, \qquad |p^i| = \frac{a_1 a_2 a_3}{a_i}$$
 (5)

by the gauge-fixing condition [12,13]

$$\chi_i = \epsilon_{ij}^{\ k} E_k^a \delta_a^j = 0. \tag{6}$$

The connections are generically given by

$$A_a^i = c_i u_a^i + \cdots, \qquad c_i = \frac{\gamma}{N} \dot{a}_i, \tag{7}$$

where $u_a^i = \delta_a^i$ are the components of three unit vectors \vec{u}_a oriented along three fiducial orthogonal axes and the dots indicate terms due to the spin connections, which are generically nondiagonal. These terms were disregarded in [1,2] by considering two cases: the reparametrized Bianchi I model, in which each a_i is a function of the single corresponding coordinate x^i ; and the Kasner epoch inside a generic cosmological solution, for which spatial gradient of the scale factors are negligible with respect to time derivatives.

The kinematical symmetries in this reduced phase space are generated by two sets of constraints: the Gauss constraints associated with three U(1) gauges, each acting on a single spatial direction x^i and having $\{c_i, p^i\}$ as the couple of connections and momenta; the vector constraints associated with a subgroup of the diffeomorphisms group, made by those transformations (reduced diffeomorphisms) which can be seen as the product of a generic diffeomorphism along a given direction x^i and a rigid translation along the other ones.

The description of such a system in QRLG is obtained by truncating the LQG kinematical Hilbert space. First, the Hilbert space of the full theory is restricted to that based on a reduced set of cubic graphs, with links parallel to three fiducial vectors $\omega_i = \delta_i^a \partial_a$ (i = 1, 2, 3). We call i_l the direction of the link l in the cubic graph.

Then, the gauge fixing leading to diagonal momenta and connections is implemented weakly, following the procedure to impose the simplicity constraints in spin foam [14]. The condition (6) is first rewritten in terms of fluxes across surfaces S^{j} normal to the j direction, as

$$\chi_i(S) = \epsilon_{ij}{}^k E_k(S^j) = 0 \tag{8}$$

and then implemented solving strongly the master constraint condition $\hat{\chi}^2(S) = \sum_i \hat{\chi}_i^2(S) = 0$. Since the holonomy along the link l is generated by τ_{i_l} only,

$$h_l = P e^{(\int_I c_i dx^i) \tau_{i_l}}, \tag{9}$$

and a solution of $\hat{\chi}^2(S)\tilde{\psi}_1=0$ can be obtained by working with projected U(1) states, obtained by stabilizing the SU(2) group element based at each link l around the internal directions \vec{u}_l , where $\vec{u}_l = \vec{u}_{i_l}$ and the components of \vec{u}_i are given by $u_a^i = \delta_a^i$ as above. In terms of Wigner matrices, the resulting projected state on a link with direction i = 1, 2, 3 reads

$$\tilde{\psi}_{i}(h) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi^{ni} D_{nn}^{j(n)}(h), \tag{10}$$

where ${}^{i}D_{mr}^{j(n_{i})}$ are the Wigner matrices in the spin basis $|j,m\rangle_i$ that diagonalizes the operators J^2 and J_i , and ψ^n are the coefficients of the expansion. The condition $\hat{\chi}^2 \tilde{\psi}_{e_i} = 0$ fixes the degree of the representation, i.e. the U(1) quantum number n in terms of SU(2) quantum number j. An approximate solution which becomes exact for $i \rightarrow \infty$ is given by

$$j(n) = |n|. (11)$$

This is good enough for assuring the classical limit. Here we restrict to positive values of n for simplicity. Let \mathcal{H}^R be the space spanned by the states (10), with j given by (11). The gauge-fixing condition $\langle \hat{\chi}_i \rangle = 0$ holds weakly on this

A reduced recoupling theory adapted to such states follows from SU(2) recouping theory. Consider the SU(2)coherent states

$$|j, \vec{u}\rangle = D^{j}(\vec{u})|j, j\rangle = \sum_{m} |j, m\rangle D^{j}_{mj}(u),$$
 (12)

where \vec{u} is a unit vector and u is a group element that rotates the z axis into \vec{u} . Using these, define the projectors

$$P_{I} = |j_{I}, \vec{u}_{I}\rangle\langle j_{I}, \vec{u}_{I}|, \tag{13}$$

for each link of the graph. The projector P_χ that maps $\mathcal{H}^{\rm kin}$ into $\mathcal{H}^{\it R}$ acts on each Wigner-matrix state as

$$P_{\chi} \colon D^{j_l}(h_l) \mapsto P_l D^{j_l}(h_l) P_l, \tag{14}$$

and its image has the form (10).

So far we have considered states on single links. Now let us consider states of the full theory, invariant under SU(2) gauge transformations. The projection of the invariant basis states can be written in the form

$$\langle h|\Gamma, \mathbf{j_l}, \mathbf{x_n}\rangle_R = \prod_{n \in \Gamma} \langle \mathbf{j_l}, x_n|\mathbf{j_l}, \mathbf{\vec{u}_l}\rangle \cdot \prod_{l \in \Gamma}^{i_l} D_{j_l j_l}^{j_l}(h_l). \quad (15)$$

The coefficients $\langle \mathbf{j_l}, x_n | \mathbf{j_l}, \mathbf{\tilde{u_l}} \rangle$ are the reduced intertwiners and they take the following expression in terms of the SU(2) intertwiner basis:

$$\langle \mathbf{j_l}, x | \mathbf{j_l}, \vec{\mathbf{u}_l} \rangle = x_{m_1 \dots m_O, m \dots m_I}^* \prod_{o=1}^O D_{j_o m_o}^{-1j_o}(u_o) \prod_{i=1}^I D_{m_i' j_i}^{j_i}(u_i),$$

where we have split the links $l = \{i, o\}$ i = 1, ..., I, o = 1, ..., O in n into I incoming and O outgoing links. A generic state can thus be expanded as follows:

$$_{R}\langle\Gamma,\,\mathbf{j_{l}},\,\mathbf{x_{n}}|\,\psi\rangle=\prod_{n\in\Gamma}\langle\mathbf{j_{l}},\,\mathbf{\vec{u}_{l}}|\,\mathbf{j_{l}},\,x_{n}\rangle\cdot\prod_{l\in\Gamma}\psi_{l}^{j_{l}}.$$
 (16)

The reduced intertwiners $\langle \mathbf{j}_1, x_n | \mathbf{j}_1, \mathbf{\tilde{u}}_1 \rangle$ provide a nontrivial node structure. It is the presence of such a node structure and of reduced diffeomorphisms invariance which provides a well-defined regularized expression for the scalar constraint by mimicking the techniques of quantum spin dynamics [10].

We now reinterpret restriction to reduced graphs as a gauge fixing at the quantum level, to a gauge where the metric tensor takes the form (4). This turns out to be simpler than the Bianchi I case considered previously, because it does not require to chose *a priori* the projected form for the states; this form comes automatically from the gauge fixing.

IV. FIXING THE FRAME

Given a point x and three vectors $\omega_i = \delta_i^a \partial_a$ at the point, let S_x^i be three surfaces intersecting at x dual to these vectors. The vanishing of the off-diagonal components of the metric tensor can be written in terms of fluxes as follows:

$$\eta_x^{km} = \delta^{ij} E_i(S_x^k) E_j(S_x^m) = 0, \qquad k \neq m, \quad \forall \ x \in \Sigma.$$
(17)

Consider now the equation as a gauge-fixing constraint in the quantum theory. We want thus to solve $\hat{\eta}_x^{kl} = 0$, i.e. weakly. That is, we look for a subspace of the full Hilbert space where

$$\langle \psi | \eta_x^{km} | \phi \rangle = 0, \qquad k \neq m, \quad \forall \ x \in \Sigma.$$
 (18)

There are two cases for which the action of the operator $\hat{\eta}^{kl}$ on a state based in Γ is nontrivial, depending on the intersections between Γ and the surfaces S_x^i :

- (1) There is a link $l_x \in \Gamma$ containing x as an internal point.
- (2) x is a node for Γ .

In the first case, the action of $\hat{\eta}_x^{km}$ is nontrivial on $D^{j_{l(x)}}(h_{l(x)})$ and reads

$$\hat{\eta}_{x}^{km} D^{j_{l_{x}}}(g_{l(x)}) = (8\pi\gamma l_{P}^{2})^{2} o(S^{k}, l_{x}) o(S^{m}, l_{x})$$

$$\times j_{l_{x}}(j_{l_{x}} + 1) D^{j_{l_{x}}}(h_{l_{x}}),$$
(19)

where o(S, l) is the intersection number between the link and the surfaces. Hence, spin networks with the link l_x are eigenfunctions of the operator $\hat{\eta}_x^{kl}$. Therefore, the scalar product with other spin networks with a link l_x gives

$$\langle l_x, \tilde{j}_{l_x} | \hat{\eta}_x^{km} | l_x, j_{l_x} \rangle = (8\pi\gamma l_P^2)^2 o(S^k, l_x) o(S^m, l_x)$$

$$\times j_{l_x} (j_{l_x} + 1) \delta_{\tilde{j}_{i_t} l_t},$$
(20)

which in general does not vanish for $\tilde{j}_{l_x} = j_{l_x}$. However, a proper subspace exists where all these matrix elements vanish. It is formed by states based on the links of the cubic graph, i.e. at links parallel to the vectors ω_i . In fact, if l_x is in the direction i = 1, 2, 3 then $o(S^k, l_x) = \delta_i^k$ and

$$\langle l_x, \tilde{j}_{l_x} | \hat{\eta}_x^{km} | l_x, j_{l_x} \rangle = (8\pi\gamma l_P^2)^2 \delta_i^k \delta_i^m j_{l_x} (j_{l_x} + 1) \delta_{\tilde{j}_{l_x}, j_{l_x}}$$

which vanishes for $k \neq m$. Henceforth, the restriction to reduced graph satisfies (17) in case 1. We denote reduced graphs by Γ_P and the Hilbert space based at Γ_P by \mathcal{H}_P .

We can then follow [1,2] and define a projector P selecting the states based at reduced graphs and projecting to \mathcal{H}_P diffeomorphisms invariant states (3). This gives

$$\langle s, \mathbf{j_l}, \mathbf{x_v} | P | h \rangle = \sum_{\Gamma_P \in s} \langle \Gamma_P, \mathbf{j_l}, \mathbf{x_n} | h \rangle,$$
 (21)

where the sum is over all the reduced graphs contained in the *s* knot *s*. Reduced graphs within each *s* are mapped into each other by the action of reduced diffeomorphisms, times all possible exchanges between fiducial vectors $\{\omega_i, -\omega_i\}$. Hence, *s* knots are projected to sums of reduced *s* knots s_P^A :

$$\langle s, \mathbf{j_l}, \mathbf{x_n} | P | h \rangle = \sum_{A} \sum_{\Gamma_P \in s_p^A} \langle \Gamma_P, \mathbf{j_l}, \mathbf{x_n} | h \rangle,$$
 (22)

with the index A labeling all permutations of $\{\omega_i\}$ times inversions. The sum over A implies to us that no special meaning must be given to a fiducial direction.

This solution to the gauge fixing condition (17) defines the same Hilbert space as in QRLG with the only difference that we have to sum all permutations and inversions of the fiducial directions.

Let us now move to case 2. Here a solution in the large j limit is obtained restricting the admissible intertwiners states to the Livine-Speziale coherent intertwines [15] with normals \vec{u}_l . Livine-Speziale coherent states adapted to the reduced graphs are given by inserting a resolution of the identity

$$\langle h|\Gamma, \mathbf{j}_{l}, \mathbf{\vec{u}}_{l}\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{n}} \langle h|\Gamma, \mathbf{j}_{l}, \mathbf{x}_{n}\rangle \langle \mathbf{j}_{l}, \mathbf{x}_{n}|\mathbf{j}_{l}, \mathbf{\vec{u}}_{l}\rangle.$$
 (23)

The matrix elements of the product of two fluxes intersecting Γ at a node n for $j \to \infty$ are (see [16])

$$\langle \Gamma, \mathbf{j_l}, \mathbf{\vec{u}_l} | \vec{E}(S_n^k) \cdot \vec{E}(S_n^m) | \Gamma, \mathbf{j_l}, \mathbf{\vec{u}_l} \rangle$$

$$\approx (8\pi\gamma l_P^2)^2 \sum_{l_k} j_{l_k} \vec{u}_k \cdot \sum_{l_m} j_{l_m} \vec{u}_m, \tag{24}$$

where the sums extend over the links emanating from n in the direction \vec{u}_k and \vec{u}_m . Since the vectors \vec{u}_i are orthogonal, the expression above vanishes for $k \neq m$. We have assumed for simplicity that all the links are outgoing. Therefore, the condition $\langle \eta_n^{km} \rangle = 0$ can be solved in the large j limit and it provides the restriction to the states of the form

$$\langle \Gamma, \mathbf{j_l}, \mathbf{x_n} | \psi \rangle = \prod_{n \in \Gamma} \langle \mathbf{j_l}, \vec{\mathbf{u}_l} | \mathbf{j_l}, x_n \rangle \prod_l \psi_l^{j_l, \vec{u}_l},$$
 (25)

in which $\psi_l^{j_l,\bar{u}_l}$ denotes the coefficients of the expansion of the SU(2) group elements in the basis of coherent states. By the identification

$$\psi_{l}^{j_{l},\vec{u}_{l}} = \psi_{l}^{n_{l}}, \quad \text{for } n_{l} = j_{l},$$
 (26)

the expression (25) formally coincides with the one found in (16) giving the expansion of the states of QRLG in the basis elements of \mathcal{H}^R . However, now we have an actual expansion in the basis elements of \mathcal{H}_P , i.e. of the full theory just restricted to reduced graphs.

The SU(2) gauge-fixing condition can also be imposed without using projected U(1) networks. As pointed out in [1,2], it is convenient to write Wigner matrices based at links in the direction i in the basis $|j, m\rangle_i$ diagonalizing J^2 and J^i , so that the action of the master constraint condition $\hat{\chi}^2(S_x) = 0$ at the node reads

$$\hat{\chi}^2(S_x)^i D_{mn}^j(h_l) = (8\pi\gamma l_P^2)(j(j+1) - m^2)^i D_{mn}^j(h_l). \tag{27}$$

A solution for $j \to \infty$ is given by m = j and can be implemented by inserting the projector P_l at the node.

The general reduced basis element is obtained from (1) replacing $D^{j_l}(h_l)$ with $P_lD^{j_l}(h_l)P_l$, and this gives

$$\langle h|\Gamma, \mathbf{j_l}, \mathbf{x_n}\rangle = \prod_{n \in \Gamma} \langle \mathbf{j_l}, x_n|\mathbf{j_l}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_l \rangle \prod_{l \in \Gamma} {}^l D_{n_l n_l}^{j_l}(h_l), \qquad (28)$$

which coincides with Eq. (10). Hence, the quantum states adapted to the gauge fixing condition (6) coincide with the ones defined in [1,2] even if the connection is not diagonal.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have discussed how to fix a gauge where the triad is diagonal, in the kinematical Hilbert space of LQG. We have shown that the gauge fixing condition is solved weakly by states based at reduced links connected by Livine-Speziale coherent intertwiners. This leads to the same state space as the one defined in quantum reduced loop gravity (QRLG) of [1,2].

Therefore, QRLG can be regarded as a framework useful beyond the cosmological context, possibly for full quantum gravity. The construction given here is based on the formulation of the theory in terms of graphs embedded in a manifold, which is standard in canonical LQG. It is important to understand also the same construction in the combinatorial framework based on abstract graphs. This will be discussed elsewhere.

The fact that the analytical expression for the Hamiltonian constraint simplifies substantially in the QRLG language [1] (essentially due to the fact that the volume is diagonal in the reduced basis elements) makes this result intriguing. The limits of the construction are in the approximated solution to the gauge fixing conditions, which holds only for $j \gg 1$, possibly in the limitations of the applicability of the gauge condition, and perhaps in the complication of the dynamics that one might expect in a gauge fixed context like this. We expect the semiclassical analysis to indicate whether any interesting quantum gravity effects can be captured in this regime. The framework can also in principle simplify other issues, such as the coupling between quantum geometry and matter [17–19] and the relation between the canonical and covariant approach [20,21].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

E. A. and F. C. wish to thank Norbert Bodendorfer for useful discussions. F. C. is supported by funds provided by the National Science Center under Agreement No. DEC-2011/02/A/ST2/00294. The work of E. A. was supported by the grant of Polish Narodowe Centrum Nauki No. DEC-2011/02/A/ST2/00300. This work has been partially realized in the framework of the CGW collaboration (www.cgwcollaboration.it).

E. Alesci and F. Cianfrani, Europhys. Lett. 104, 10001 (2013).

^[2] E. Alesci and F. Cianfrani, Phys. Rev. D 87, 083521 (2013).

^[3] M. Bojowald, Lect. Notes Phys. 835, 1 (2011).

^[4] A. Ashtekar and P. Singh, Classical Quantum Gravity 28, 213001 (2011).

^[5] R. Gambini and J. Pullin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 211301 (2013).

- [6] C. Rovelli and F. Vidotto, Classical Quantum Gravity 25, 225024 (2008).
- [7] E. Bianchi, C. Rovelli, and F. Vidotto, Phys. Rev. D 82, 084035 (2010).
- [8] F. Vidotto, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 314, 012049 (2011).
- [9] E. F. Borja, I. Garay, and F. Vidotto, SIGMA **8**, 015 (2012).
- [10] T. Thiemann, Classical Quantum Gravity 15, 839 (1998).
- [11] J. Grant and J. Vickers, Classical Quantum Gravity 26, 235014 (2009).
- [12] F. Cianfrani and G. Montani, Phys. Rev. D 85, 024027 (2012).
- [13] F. Cianfrani, A. Marchini, and G. Montani, Europhys. Lett. **99**, 10003 (2012).
- [14] J. Engle, E. Livine, R. Pereira, and C. Rovelli, Nucl. Phys. B799, 136 (2008).

- [15] E. R. Livine and S. Speziale, Phys. Rev. D 76, 084028 (2007).
- [16] E. Bianchi, E. Magliaro, and C. Perini, Nucl. Phys. B822, 245 (2009).
- [17] T. Thiemann, Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2007).
- [18] E. Bianchi, M. Han, E. Magliaro, C. Perini, and W. Wieland, arXiv:1012.4719.
- [19] C. Rovelli and F. Vidotto, Phys. Rev. D 81, 044038 (2010).
- [20] E. Alesci, T. Thiemann, and A. Zipfel, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 024017 (2012).
- [21] E. Alesci, K. Liegener, and A. Zipfel, Phys. Rev. D 88, 084043 (2013).