Metrics without isometries are generic

Pierre Mounoud

Metrics without isometries are generic

Pierre Mounoud

Abstract

We prove that for any compact manifold of dimension greater than 1, the set of pseudo-Riemannian metrics having a trivial isometry group contains an open and dense subset of the space of metrics.

Let V be a compact manifold and $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}$ be the set of smooth pseudo-Riemannian metrics of signature (p,q) on V (we suppose that it is not empty). In [2] D'Ambra and Gromov wrote: "everybody knows that $\mathrm{Is}(V,g) = \mathrm{Id}$ for generic pseudo-Riemannian metrics g on V, for $\dim(V) \geq 2$." Nevertheless, as far as we know, no proof of this fact is available. The purpose of this short article is to give a proof of this result in the case where V is compact and to precise the meaning of the word generic. Let us recall that it is known since the work of Ebin [3] (see also [4]) that the set of Riemannian metrics without isometries on a compact manifold is open and dense. We prove:

Theorem 1 *If V is a compact manifold such that $\dim(V) \geq 2$ then the set $\mathcal{G} = \{g \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q} \,|\, \mathrm{Is}(g) = \mathrm{Id}\}$ contains a subset that is open and dense in $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}$ for the C^∞-topology.*

This result is optimal in the sense that $\mathcal{G}$ is not always open as we showed in [5]. The particularity of the Riemannian case lies in the fact that the natural action of the group of smooth diffeomorphisms of V, denoted by $\mathrm{Diff}(V)$, on $\mathcal{M}_{n,0}$ is proper. Furthermore, Theorem 4.2 of [5] says that when this action is proper then $\mathcal{G}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}$. The idea of proof is therefore to find a big enough subset of $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}$ invariant by $\mathrm{Diff}(V)$ on which the action is proper. We have decided to be short rather than self-contained, in particular we are going to use several results from our former work [5].

In the following $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}$ will be endowed with the C^∞-topology without further mention of it and by a perturbation we will always mean an arbitrary small perturbation.

For any $g \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}$ we denote by Scal_g its scalar curvature and by M_g the maximum of Scal_g on V. Let $\mathcal{F}_V$ be the set of pseudo-Riemannian metrics g such that $\mathrm{Scal}_g^{-1}(M_g)$ contains a (non trivial) geodesic. The big set we are looking for is actually the complement of $\mathcal{F}_V$.

Proposition 2 *The set $\mathcal{O}_V = \mathcal{M}_{p,q} \smallsetminus \mathcal{F}_V$ is an open dense subset of $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}$ invariant by the action of $\mathrm{Diff}(V)$ and the restriction of the action of $\mathrm{Diff}(V)$ to $\mathcal{O}_V$ is proper.*

Proof. The set $\mathcal{O}_V$ is clearly invariant. Let $g \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}$ and $x_0 \in V$ realizing the maximum of Scal_g. It is easy to find a perturbation with arbitrary small support increasing the value of $\mathrm{Scal}_g(x_0)$. Repeating these deformation on smaller and smaller neighborhood of x_0 we find a perturbation of g such that the maximum of the scalar curvature is realized by only one point (see [3] p. 35 for a similar construction). Hence $\mathcal{O}_V$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}$.

Let us see now that $\mathcal{F}_V$ is closed. Let g_n be a sequence of metrics of $\mathcal{F}_V$ converging to g_∞. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a g_n-geodesic γ_n such that Scal_{g_n} is constant and equal to $M_{g_n} = \max_{x \in V} \mathrm{Scal}_{g_n}(x)$ on it. As the sequence of exponential maps converges to the exponential map of g_∞ and as V is compact we see that (up to subsequences) the sequence of geodesics γ_n converges to a g_∞-geodesic γ_∞. As $\mathrm{Scal}_{g_n} \to \mathrm{Scal}_{g_\infty}$ we know that Scal_{g_∞} is constant along γ_∞ and its value is necessarily M_{g_∞}. Hence $g_\infty \in \mathcal{F}_V$ and $\mathcal{F}_V$ is closed.

Let us suppose that the action of $\mathrm{Diff}(V)$ on $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}$ is not proper (otherwise there is nothing to prove). It means (see [5]) that there exists a sequence of metrics $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging to g_∞ and a non equicontinuous sequence of diffeomorphisms $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the sequence of metrics $(\phi_n^* g_n)$ converges to g'_∞. The proposition will follow from the fact that g_∞ or g'_∞ have to belong to $\mathcal{F}_V$.

We first remark that the sequence of linear maps $(D\phi_n(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in $\mathrm{O}(p,q)$ up to conjugacy by a converging sequence. As the sequence $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non equicontinuous, we know by [5, Proposition 2.3] that there exists a subsequence such that $\|D\phi_{n_k}(x_{n_k})\| \to \infty$ when $k \to \infty$. We deduce from the KAK decomposition of $\mathrm{O}(p,q)$ the existence of what are called in [6], see subsection 4.1 therein for details, strongly approximately stable vectors, more explicitly we have:

Fact 3 *For any sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points of V, there exist a sequence $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that (up to subsequences):*

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ v_n \in T_{x_n} V,$

- $D\phi_n(x_n)v_n \to 0,$

- $v_n \to v_\infty \neq 0$

Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of points of V realizing the maximum of the function $\mathrm{Scal}_{\phi_n^* g_n}$. The manifold being compact, we can assume that this sequence is convergent to a point x_∞. We can also assume that the sequence $(\phi_n(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to y_∞. Of course x_∞ (resp. y_∞) realizes the maximum of $\mathrm{Scal}_{g'_\infty}$ (resp. Scal_{g_∞}).

Let $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence given by Fact 3. Reproducing the computation p. 471 of [5], we see that the scalar curvature of g'_∞ is constant along the g'_∞-geodesic starting from x_∞ with speed v_∞ (by symmetry the scalar curvature of g_∞ is constant along a geodesic containing y_∞):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Scal}_{g'_\infty}(\exp_{g'_\infty}(x_\infty, v_\infty)) - \mathrm{Scal}_{g'_\infty}(x_\infty) &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathrm{Scal}_{\phi_n^* g_n}(\exp_{\phi_n^* g_n}(x_n, v_n)) - \mathrm{Scal}_{\phi_n^* g_n}(x_n) \\
&= \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathrm{Scal}_{g_n}(\exp_{g_n}(D\phi_n(x_n, v_n)) - \mathrm{Scal}_{g_n}(\phi_n(x_n)) \\
&= \mathrm{Scal}_{g_\infty}(y_\infty) - \mathrm{Scal}_{g_\infty}(y_\infty) = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence g_∞ and g'_∞ do not belong to $\mathcal{O}_V$.$\square$

It follows from Theorem 4.2 of [5] and Proposition 2 that $\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{O}_V$ is open. As $\mathcal{O}_V$ is dense we just have to show that $\mathcal{G}$ is dense in $\mathcal{O}_V$ in order to prove Theorem 1. Let g be a metric in $\mathcal{O}_V$, as we saw earlier we can perturb it in such a way that the maximum of Scal_g is realized by only one point p. This point is now fixed by isometry. We choose now U an open subset of V that do not contain p in its closure but whose closure is contained in some normal neighborhood O of p. According to [1, Theorem 3.1] by Beig et al., we can perturb again g in such a way that there are no local Killing fields on U. We choose the perturbation in order that $\mathrm{Scal}^{-1}(M_g) = \{p\}$. The new metric has now a finite isometry group (it is 0-dimensional and compact by Proposition 2 as the metric still lies in $\mathcal{O}_V$). Actually, the proof of Proposition 2 implies also that the set of germs of local isometries fixing p is itself compact. It means that any isometry of a perturbation of g with support not containing O can send a geodesic γ_1 starting from p only on a finite number of

geodesics that do not depend on the perturbation. Therefore, it is easy to find a perturbation of the metric along γ_1 (with support away from O) in order to destroy these possibilities. Now, any isometry has to fix pointwise γ_1 (we chose a non symmetric perturbation). Repeating this operation for $n = \dim V$ geodesics $\gamma_1, \ldots \gamma_n$ such that the vectors $\gamma_i'(0)$ span T_pV, we obtain a perturbation of g such that any of its isometries has to be the identity i.e. the perturbed metric is in $\mathcal{G}$.

References

[1] R. Beig, P. Chruściel and R. Schoen, *KIDs are non-generic,* Ann. Henri Poincaré 6 (2005), no. 1, 155–194.

[2] G. D'Ambra and M. Gromov, *Lectures on transformation groups: geometry and dynamics,* Surveys in Differential Geometry (supplement to the Journal of Differential Geometry) 1 (1991), 19–111.

[3] D. Ebin, *The manifold of Riemannian metrics*, 1970 Global Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XV, Berkeley, Calif., 1968) 11–40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.

[4] Y.W. Kim, *Semicontinuity of compact group actions on compact differentiable manifolds*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 49 (1987), no. 5, 450–455.

[5] P. Mounoud, *Dynamical properties of the space of Lorentzian metrics,* Comment. Math. Helv. 78 (2003), no. 3, 463–485.

[6] A. Zeghib, *Isometry groups and geodesic foliations of Lorentz manifold. Part I: foundations of Lorentz dynamics,* Geom. Funct. Anal. 9 (1999), no. 4, 775–822.

Address: Univ. Bordeaux, IMB, UMR 5251, F-33400 Talence, France.
                  CNRS, IMB, UMR 5251, F-33400 Talence, France.
E-mail: `pierre.mounoud@math.u-bordeaux1.fr`