HAL
open science

Additive functionals and push forward measures under Veretennikov's flow

Shizan Fang, Andrey Pilipenko

To cite this version:

Shizan Fang, Andrey Pilipenko. Additive functionals and push forward measures under Veretennikov's flow. 2014. hal-00949736

HAL Id: hal-00949736

https://hal.science/hal-00949736v1

Preprint submitted on 20 Feb 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Chapter 1

Additive functionals and push forward measures under Veretennikov's flow

Shizan Fang and Andrey Pilipenko

S.F.: Institut de Mathematiques, Universite de Bourgogne, Dijon, France
A.P.: Institute of Mathematics NAS, Kiev, Ukraine

Dedicated to Professor Masatoshi Fukushima with admiration

In this work, we will be interested in the push forward measure $(\varphi_t)_*\gamma$, where φ_t is defined by the stochastic differential equation

$$d\varphi_t(x) = dW_t + \mathbf{a}(\varphi_t(x))dt, \quad \varphi_0(x) = x \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

and γ is the standard Gaussian measure. We will prove the existence of density under the hypothesis that the divergence $\mathrm{div}(\mathbf{a})$ is not a function, but a signed measure belonging to a Kato class; the density will be expressed with help of the additive functional associated to $\mathrm{div}(\mathbf{a})$.

1.1. Introduction

Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a Brownian flow on $\mathbb{R}^m$, that is, $W_t = X_t - X_0$ is a standard Brownian motion; then for a function $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$, Itô formula says that

$$u(X_t) - u(X_0) = \int_0^t \nabla u(X_s) \cdot dW_s + \int_0^t \frac{1}{2}\Delta u(X_s)\, ds. \tag{1.1}$$

In a celebrated paper[13] M. Fukushima extended a C^2 function u in (1.1) to a function u in the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$; in order to reach this end, he used an additive functional $N_t^{[u]}$ of $X_\cdot$ to express the last term in (1.1), moreover he showed that $N_t^{[u]}/t$ tends to $\frac{1}{2}\Delta u$ in distribution sense.

In this work, we will be concerned with the stochastic differential equation (SDE) on $\mathbb{R}^m$

$$d\varphi_t(x) = dW_t + \mathbf{a}(\varphi_t(x))dt, \quad \varphi_0(x) = x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathbf{a} : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a measurable map.

The SDE (1.2), due to the non-degenerated noise W_t, makes illuminating difference with ordinary differential equations (ODE). In the context of ODE, the existence of a flow of quasi-invariant measurable maps associated to a vector field $\mathbf{a}$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$ belonging to Sobolev space, having a bounded divergence $\mathrm{div}(\mathbf{a})$, was established in a seminal paper by Di Perna and Lions in Ref.[8] ; their result was extended later in Ref.[1] by L. Ambrosio to a vector field having only bounded variation regularity and bounded divergence (see also Ref.[7]).

There are various considerations to SDE (1.2). When $\mathbf{a}$ is bounded, it was proved by Veretennikov in Ref.[25] that there exists a unique strong solution $\varphi_t(x)$ to SDE (1.2). Moreover if $\mathbf{a}$ is Hölderian, it was proved in Ref.[12] as well as in Ref.[27] that $x \to \varphi_t(x)$ is a flow of diffeomorphisms. Recently, it was proved in Ref.[3] that if $\mathbf{a}$ is of bounded variation, and $\mu_{k,j} = \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial x_j}$ are signed measures satisfying (1.19) for all $k, j,$, then the solution φ_t to SDE (1.2) is in Sobolev space:

$$\varphi_t(\cdot) \in \cap_{p\geq 1} W^1_{p,loc}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m), t \geq 0.$$

Moreover, the Sobolev derivative $\nabla\varphi_t$ is a solution to the equation

$$\nabla\varphi_t = I + \int_0^t \bar{A}^{\varphi}(ds)\nabla\varphi_s(x), t \geq 0,$$

where $\bar{A}^{\varphi}$ is the additive functional associated to $\nabla\mathbf{a}$.

In Ref.[26] , X. Zhang allowed $\mathbf{a}$ to be time-dependent, and established the existence of strong solutions under integrability conditions on the drift $\mathbf{a}$, while in Ref,[19] Krylov and Röckner considered such a SDE on a domain of $\mathbb{R}^m$ and established the existence of strong solutions. In another direction, in Ref.[4] Bass and Chen took the point of view of additive functionals

$$A^i_t = \int_0^t \mathbf{a}^i(\varphi_s(x))\, ds,$$

where $\mathbf{a}^i$ denotes the ith-component of $\mathbf{a}$, to generalize the drift $\mathbf{a}$; $\mathbf{a}^i(x)dx$ seen as the Revuz measure associated to A^i_t, was extended to the Kato class K_α for some $\alpha > 0$, where K_α is the class of signed measures on $\mathbb{R}^m$ defined by

$$K_\alpha = \Big\{\pi(dx);\ \overline{\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{B(x,\varepsilon)} |x-y|^\alpha\ |\pi|(dy) = 0\Big\} \tag{1.3}$$

where $|\pi|$ denotes the total variation of π. More precisely, they proved that if the Revuz measures $\pi^1, \ldots, \pi^m$ are in K_{m-1} with $m \geq 3$, then with help of associated additive functionals $A_t = (A^1_t, \ldots, A^m_t)$, the SDE

$$X_t = x + W_t + A_t$$

admits a unique weak solution. The interest of considering $\pi^1, \ldots, \pi^m$ in Kato class is they are not necessarily absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue

measures. In the case where $\mathbf{a} = \nabla \log \rho$, by considering

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \ \rho(x) dx,$$

the theory of Dirichlet forms provides a powerful tool, which allows ρ to be only locally integrable (see Refs.[14,15]).

In this work, we are interested in push-forward measures under the map $x \to \varphi_t(x)$ defined by SDE (1.2). It is well-known that if $\mathbf{a}$ is smooth and bounded, then $x \to \varphi_t(x)$ is a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^m$ and the inverse flow φ_t^{-1} can be expressed by SDE with reversed Brownian motion. More precisely, for $t > 0$ given, let $W_s^t = W(t-s) - W(t)$, and ψ_s^t solve the SDE

$$d\psi_s^t(x) = -\mathbf{a}(\varphi_s^t(x))dt + dW_s^t, \ s \in [0,t], \ \psi_0^t(x) = x; \tag{1.4}$$

then $\varphi_t^{-1} = \psi_t^t$. Let γ be the standard Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^m$. By Kunita[21] the push forward measure $(\varphi_t^{-1})_*\gamma$ admits the density $\tilde{K}_t$ with respect to γ given by

$$\tilde{K}_t(x) = \exp\Big(-\int_0^t \langle \varphi_s(x), \circ dW_s\rangle - \int_0^t \delta(\mathbf{a})(\varphi_s(x))\, ds\Big) \tag{1.5}$$

where $\circ dW_s$ means the stochastic integral in Stratanovich's sense, and $\delta(\mathbf{a})$ is the divergence with respect to γ, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \langle \nabla f, \mathbf{a}\rangle \, d\gamma = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\delta(\mathbf{a})\, d\gamma \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^m).$$

We have $\delta(\mathbf{a})(x) = \langle \mathbf{a}, x\rangle - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{a})$ so that

$$\int_0^t \delta(\mathbf{a})(\varphi_s(x))\, ds = \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{a}(\varphi_s(x)), \varphi_s(x)\rangle\, ds - \int_0^t \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{a})(\varphi_s(x))\, ds. \tag{1.6}$$

Here is the main result of this paper

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathbf{a} : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a bounded measurable map. Assume that the divergence* $\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{a})$ *in generalized sense is a signed measure μ satisfying the condition*

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Big(\int_0^t s^{-m/2} e^{-|x-y|^2/(2s)}\, ds\Big) |\mu|(dy) = 0, \tag{1.7}$$

where $|\mu|$ denotes the total variation of μ. Let φ_t be given by SDE (1.2)*; then almost surely the push forward measure $(\varphi_t)_*\gamma$ is equivalent to γ, and the density $\tilde{K}_t$ of the push forward measure $(\varphi_t^{-1})_*\gamma$ with respect to γ has the expression*

$$\tilde{K}_t(x) = \exp\Big(-A_t + \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{a}(\varphi_s(x)), \varphi_s(x)\rangle\, ds - \int_0^t \langle \varphi_s(x), dW_s\rangle - \frac{mt}{2}\Big), \tag{1.8}$$

where A_t is the additive functional associated to $\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{a})$.

Notice that if f is a positive function in the Kato class K_{m-2}, then (see Ref.[4]),

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^m}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_0^t f(W_s+x)\,ds\Big)=0,$$

that is nothing but (1.7) for $\mu(dy)=f(y)dy$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 1.2, we will recall and collect some facts concerning continuous additive functionals. Section 1.3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. In section 1.4, we will consider some examples of vector fields $\mathbf{a}$ whose divergence $\mathrm{div}(\mathbf{a})$ is a signed measure, but is not absolutely to Lebesgue measure. In section 1.5, we will discuss briefly generalizations of Theorem 1.1.

1.2. Continuous additive functionals

In this section, we recall some definitions and facts about continuous additive functionals of Markov processes. There are a lot of publications in the litterature on this topic, see for example Refs.[9,14,16,17,23,24] . Here we will follow Chapters 6 - 8 in Ref.[9] Chapter II, section 6 in Ref.[17] . We don't need the theory on the whole generality; so some assumptions, statements or definitions are simplified in our exposition.

Let $\{X_t, t\geq 0\}$ be a continuous $\mathbb{R}^m$-valued homogeneous Markov processes adapted to a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t\geq 0\}$ with infinite life-time, P_x be the distribution of $X.$ given $X_0=x$. Denote $\mathcal{N}_t=\sigma(X_s, s\in[0,t])$.

Definition 1.1. A non negative additive functional of X is a $\mathbb{R}_+$-valued, $\mathcal{N}_t$-adapted process $A=\{A_t(X), t\geq 0\}$ such that

1) it is almost surely continuous in t and $A_0(X)=0$;
2) it is additive, i.e. $\forall t\geq 0\ \forall s\geq 0\ \forall x\in\mathbb{R}^m$:

$$A_{t+s}(X)=A_s(X)+A_t(\theta_s X),\quad P_x\text{-a.s.},$$

where θ_s is the shift operator.

Following the terminology of Dynkin[9] , we introduce the notion of W-functional.

Definition 1.2. A non negative continuous additive functional $A_t(X)$ is called W-functional if

$$\forall t\geq 0:\ \sup_x \mathbb{E}_x(A_t(X))<\infty. \tag{1.9}$$

The function $f_t(x)=\mathbb{E}_x(A_t(X))$ is called the characteristics of $A_t(X)$.

Here is an obvious example

Example 1.1. Let $b:\mathbb{R}^m\to[0,\infty)$ be a bounded measurable function. Then

$$A_t(X):=\int_0^t b(X_s)ds \tag{1.10}$$

is a W-functional of X.

Assume that for any $t > 0$, X_t has a transition density $p(t, x, y)$. Then the characteristics of $A_t(X)$ defined in (1.10) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} f_t(x) &= \mathbb{E}_x \int_0^t b(X_s)ds = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} b(y)p(s, x, y)dyds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Big(\int_0^t p(s, x, y)ds \Big) b(y)dy. \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

There are a close relations between convergence of W-functionals and their characteristics. The first one is the following

Proposition 1.1. (see Ref.[9] , Theorem 6.3) *A W-functional is defined by its characteristics uniquely up to the equivalence.*

The second one concerns the convergence, that is,

Theorem 1.2. (Ref.[9] , Theorem 6.4, Lemma 6.1′) *Let $\{A_t^{(n)}(X)\}$ be a sequence of W-functionals of X and $f_t^{(n)}(x) = \mathbb{E}_x\big(A_t^{(n)}(X)\big)$ be their characteristics. Assume that a function $f_t(x)$ is such that for each $t > 0$*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{0\le s\le t} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^m} |f_s^{(n)}(x) - f_s(x)| = 0. \tag{1.12}$$

Then $f_t(x)$ is the characteristics of a W-functional $A_t(X)$. Moreover, for each $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \mathbb{E}_x(|A_t(X) - A_t^{(n)}(X)|^2) = 0,$$

and in probability,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \sup_{s\in[0,t]} |A_s^{(n)}(X) - A_s(X)| = 0.$$

Example 1.2. Let $\{X_t = B_t,\ t \ge 0\}$ be a one-dimensional Brownian motion; set

$$A_t^{(n)} := \int_0^t 2n\mathbf{1}_{B(s)\in\left[-\frac{1}{n},\frac{1}{n}\right]} ds.$$

Then a function b_n in expression (1.10) is equal to $2n\mathbf{1}_{\{|x|\le 1/n\}}$ and converges to the Dirac mass δ_0 at 0. It is easy to verify that (1.12) holds with

$$f_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^t p(s, x, y)ds\delta_0(dy) = \int_0^t p(s, x, 0)ds,$$

where

$$p(s, x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} \exp\Big\{ \frac{|x - y|^2}{2s} \Big\}$$

is the transition density of a Brownian motion. The limiting additive functional is the local time of a Brownian motion at 0. Now let us write (1.11) as

$$f_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\int_0^t p(s, x, y)ds \Big) \mu(dy), \tag{1.13}$$

with $\mu(dy) = a(y)dy$. Note that representation (1.13) makes a sense even μ is not absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure.

Similarly to Example 1.2, sometimes it is possible to assign a W-functional to a measure. For example, assume that there exists a sequence of non-negative bounded, measurable functions $\{b_n, n \geq 1\}$ such that for $t > 0$

$$\lim_{n\to 0} \sup_x \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Big(\int_0^t p(s,x,y)ds \Big) (\mu_n(dy) - \mu(dy)) \Big| = 0,$$

where $\mu_n(dy) = b_n(y)dy$. Then a function $f_t(x)$ defined in (1.13) is the characteristic of a W-functional. We will formally denote it by

$$A_t := \int_0^t \frac{d\mu}{dy}(X_s)ds.$$

If there are some a priori estimates on the transition density of X_t, then using the described approach it is possible to characterize a class of measures corresponding to its W-functionals. See for example Ref.[9] , Ch.8 for W-functionals of a Brownian motion.

Let's come back to SDE (1.2). It is known in Ref.[2] that the transition density of $\varphi_t(x)$ exists and there are constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ depending only on $\sup_x |\mathbf{a}(x)|$ such that $\forall t \in (0, T]$,

$$c_1^{-1} t^{-m/2} \exp\Big\{ -\frac{|x-y|^2}{c_2 t} \Big\} \leq p(t,x,y) \leq c_1 t^{-m/2} \exp\Big\{ -\frac{c_2|x-y|^2}{t} \Big\}. \quad (1.14)$$

Observe that (Ref.[9] Ch.8)

$$\int_0^t p(s,x,y)ds \asymp \omega(|x-y|),$$

where

$$\omega(r) = \begin{cases} 1, m = 1, \\ \ln(r-1) \vee 1, m = 2, \\ r^{2-m}, m \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

More precisely, for each $t > 0$, there exists a positive constant C such that for all $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $m > 1$,

$$C^{-1}\omega(|x-y|) \leq \int_0^t p(s,x,y)ds \leq C\omega(|x-y|). \quad (1.15)$$

So, a function $f_t(x)$ defined in (1.13) is finite if and only if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \omega(x-y)\mu(dy) < \infty$. Hence, assumption (1.9) is equivalent to

$$\sup_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \omega(|x-y|)\mu(dy) < \infty. \quad (1.16)$$

Assume that (1.16) is satisfied. It follows from (Ref.[9] , Theorem 6.6) that condition

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\sup_x f_t(x) = 0 \tag{1.17}$$

ensures that $f_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\Big(\int_0^t p(s,x,y)ds\Big)\mu(dy)$ is a characteristic of W-functional. It follows from (1.14) that (1.17) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\sup_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\Big(\int_0^t s^{-m/2}\exp\Big\{-\frac{|x-y|}{2s}\Big\}ds\Big)\mu(dy) = 0. \tag{1.18}$$

Remark 1.1. If μ satisfies (1.18), then μ satisfies (1.16).

Now we deal with signed additive functionals.

Definition 1.3. We say that $A_t(X)$ is a signed continuous additive functional if it has the decomposition $A_t(X) = A_t^+(X) - A_t^-(X)$, where $\{A_t^\pm(X), t \geq 0\}$ are continuous non negative additive functionals of X.

For a signed measure $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\sup_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\Big(\int_0^t s^{-m/2}\exp\Big\{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2s}\Big\}ds\Big)|\mu|(dy) = 0, \tag{1.19}$$

where $|\mu|$ is the total variation of μ, we can construct a signed W-functional $A_t = A_t^+ - A_t^-$, where functionals A_t^+, A_t^- correspond to μ^+, μ^- respectively (see Ref.[9]).

1.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\mathbf{a}$ be a bounded measurable vector field on $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Definition 1.4. We say that a signed measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^m$ is the divergence in a generalized sense of $\mathbf{a}$ if for any test function $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\langle \mathbf{a}(x), \nabla g(x)\rangle dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} g(x)\mu(dx),$$

where dx on the left hand side denotes the Lebesgue measure; we denote $\mu = \mathrm{div}(\mathbf{a})$.

In what follows, we will assume that $\mathrm{div}(\mathbf{a})$ exists and satisfies condition (1.7).

Let $\{g_n, n \geq 1\} \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be a sequence of non-negative smooth functions with compact support such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} g_n(x)dx = 1, \text{ and } g_n(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| > \frac{1}{n}.$$

Put

$$\mathbf{a}_n(x) := \mathbf{a} * g_n(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\mathbf{a}(x-y)g_n(y)dy. \tag{1.20}$$

Note that $\mathbf{a}_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)$,

$$\|\mathbf{a}_n\|_\infty = \sup_x |\mathbf{a}_n(x)| \le \sup_x |\mathbf{a}(x)| = \|\mathbf{a}\|_\infty, \tag{1.21}$$

and $\mathbf{a}_n$ converges to $\mathbf{a}$ in all $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that as $n \to +\infty$,

$$\mathbf{a}_n(x) \to \mathbf{a}(x) \text{ for almost everywhere } x \in \mathbb{R}^m. \tag{1.22}$$

Let $\varphi^n_t(x)$ be the stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms defined by

$$d\varphi^n_t(x) = dW_t + \mathbf{a}_n(\varphi^n_t(x))dt, \quad \varphi^n_0(x) = x \in \mathbb{R}^m. \tag{1.23}$$

Let γ be the standard Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^m$. We set

$$K^n_t(x) = \frac{d(\varphi^n_t)_*\gamma}{d\gamma}, \quad \tilde{K}^n_t(x) = \frac{d(\varphi^n_t)^{-1}_*\gamma}{d\gamma}.$$

It is well-known (see Ref.[21]) that

$$K^n_t(\varphi^n_t(x)) = \frac{1}{\tilde{K}^n_t(x)}, \tag{1.24}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}^n_t(x) &= \exp\Big\{ -\int_0^t (\delta\mathbf{a}_n)(\varphi^n_s(x))ds - \int_0^t \langle \varphi^n_s(x), \circ dW_s\rangle \Big\} \\ &= \exp\Big\{ -\int_0^t (\delta\mathbf{a}_n)(\varphi^n_s(x))ds - \int_0^t \langle \varphi^n_s(x), dW_s\rangle - \frac{mt}{2} \Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.25}$$

where $\delta\mathbf{a}_n(x) = (\operatorname{div}\mathbf{a}_n)(x) - \langle \mathbf{a}_n(x), x\rangle$. In,[10] the L^p estimates on densities were established and used to prove the absolute continuity for a limit of push-forward measures. Here we will use the following result of Gikhman and Skorokhod Ref.[18] .

Theorem 1.3. *(see* Ref.[18]*) Let $(X_1.\mathcal{F}, \mu_1)$ be a probability space, X_2 be a complete separable metric space, μ_2 be a probability measure on the Borel σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}(X_2)$. Assume that a sequence of measurable mappings $\{F_n : X_1 \to X_2, n \ge 0\}$ is such that*

1) as $n \to +\infty$, F_n converges to F_0 in measure μ_1;

2) for all $n \ge 1$, the push forward measure $(F_n)_*\mu_1$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_2;

3) the sequence of the densities $\Big\{\rho_n := \frac{d(F_n)_*\mu_1}{d\mu_2}, n \ge 1\Big\}$ is uniformly integrable with respect to μ_2.

Then the push forward measure $(F_0)_*\mu_1$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_2. Moreover, if ρ_n converges to ρ in measure μ_2, then $\rho = \frac{d(F_0)_*\mu_1}{d\mu_2}$.

Let us apply Theorem 1.3 to the sequence $\{\varphi_t^n, n \geq 1\}$. First of all, we remark that although in Ref.[22] D. Luo assumed that the drift admits the divergence as a function satisfying some integrability condition, but in the proof of Theorem 3.4 in Ref.,[22] he only used Krylov estimate for non-degenerated diffusions, without involving the divergence. Since $\mathbf{a}_n$ converges to $\mathbf{a}$ in all L^p_{loc}, we can use directly Theorem 3.4 in Ref.[22] to get that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\varphi_t^n(x) - \varphi_t(x)|\Big) = 0. \tag{1.26}$$

Applying Fubini's theorem and choosing a subsequence if necessary we get

$$P\Big(\big\{\omega;\ \text{for } \gamma \text{ almost surely } \ x, \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\varphi_t^n(x) - \varphi_t(x)| = 0\big\}\Big) = 1. \tag{1.27}$$

It follows that for almost surely ω, for all $t \in [0,T]$, φ_t^n converges to φ_t in measure with respect to γ.

Next, we will establish the uniform integrability of $\{K_t^n;\ n \geq 1\}$.

Proposition 1.2. *We have*

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} \mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} K_t^n(x) |\ln K_t^n(x)| \gamma(dx)\Big) < +\infty. \tag{1.28}$$

Proof. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} K_t^n(x) |\ln(K_t^n(x))|\, d\gamma(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\ln(K_t^n(\varphi_t^n(x)))|\, d\gamma(x).$$

But by (1.24) and (1.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\ln(K_t^n(\varphi_t^n(x))) = &\int_0^t (\mathrm{div}(\mathbf{a}_n)(\varphi_s^n(x))\, ds - \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{a}_n(\varphi_s^n(x)), \varphi_s^n(x)\rangle\, ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle \varphi_s^n(x), dW_s\rangle + \frac{mt}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $T > 0$ be fixed; then

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} K_t^n(x) |\ln K_t^n(x)| \gamma(dx)\Big) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Big[\mathbb{E}\big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\int_0^t (\mathrm{div}\, \mathbf{a}_n)(\varphi_s^n(x)) ds|\big) + \mathbb{E}\big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\int_0^t \langle \varphi_s^n(x), dW_s\rangle|\big) \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\big(\int_0^T |\mathbf{a}_n(\varphi_s^n(x))|\, |\varphi_s^n(x)| ds\big) + mT\Big]\, d\gamma(x).\end{aligned} \tag{1.29}$$

By (1.21), it is well-known that there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ independent of n such that

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\varphi_t^n(x)|\Big) \le c_0(1+|x|), \tag{1.30}$$

Using Burkholder's inequality and (1.30), we also have

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\int_0^t \langle \varphi_s^n(x), dW_s\rangle|\Big) \le c_0(1+|x|). \tag{1.31}$$

Let us estimate $\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\int_0^t (\operatorname{div}\mathbf{a}_n)(\varphi_s^n(x))ds|\Big)$.
Denote

$$\mu(dy) = (\operatorname{div}\mathbf{a})(dy),\ \ \mu_n(dy) = \operatorname{div}\mathbf{a}_n(y)dy.$$

We have

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{a}_n) = \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{a} * g_n) = \operatorname{div}\mathbf{a} * g_n = \mu * g_n.$$

Let

$$A_n(t) = \int_0^t (\operatorname{div}\mathbf{a}_n)(\varphi_s^n(x))ds;$$

then A_n is a signed additive functional of φ^n. Let $p_n(t,x,y)$ be the transition density of $\omega \to \varphi_t^n(x,\omega)$. By (1.14), there are two constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ independent of n such that

$$\frac{e^{-|x-y|^2/c_2 2t}}{c_1 t^{m/2}} \le p_n(t,x,y) \le \frac{c_1 e^{-c_2|x-y|^2/2t}}{t^{m/2}}.$$

So there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ independent of n such that

$$\int_0^t p_n(s,x,y)\,ds \le \beta \int_0^{t/c_2} \frac{e^{-|x-y|^2/2s}}{s^{m/2}}\,ds.$$

Let

$$k_t(r) = \int_0^{t/c_2} \frac{e^{-r^2/2s}}{s^{m/2}}\,ds.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(|A_n(t)|) &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\int_0^t p_n(s,x,y)|\operatorname{div}\mathbf{a}_n(y)|\,dsdy \\ &\le \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} k_t(|x-y|)|\mu_n|(dy).\end{aligned} \tag{1.32}$$

We have

$$|\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{a}_n)(y)| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} g_n(y-z)\,|\mu|(dz),$$

so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} k_t(|x-y|)|\mu_n(y)|\,dy \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^m} k_t(|x-y|)g_n(y-z)\,|\mu|(dz)dy$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} k_t(|x+z-y|)g_n(y)\,|\mu|(dz)\Big)\,dy \le \sigma(t),$$

where

$$\sigma(t) = \sup_{x,y}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} k_t(|x+z-y|)\,|\mu|(dz).$$

Then by condition (1.7), $C_T := \sup_{t\in[0,T]}\sigma(t) < +\infty$. Now combining this with (1.30) and (1.31), and by (1.29), we finally obtained (1.28). □

Now by Fatou's lemma,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\varliminf_{n\to\infty}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} K_t^n(x)|\ln K_t^n(x)|\nu(dx)\right)$$
$$\le \varliminf_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} K_t^n(x)|\ln K_t^n(x)|\nu(dx)\Big) < \infty.$$

So, for almost surely ω :

$$\varliminf_{n\to\infty}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} K_t^n(x)|\ln K_t^n(x)|\nu(dx) < \infty.$$

Hence for almost surely ω, there exists a random subsequence $\{n_k\}$ such that

$$\sup_k\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} K_t^{n_k}(x)|\ln K_t^{n_k}(x)|\nu(dx) < \infty. \tag{1.33}$$

Now we can apply Theorem 1.3 to conclude that for almost surely ω, and for all $t\in[0,T]$, the push-forward measure $(\varphi_t)_*\gamma$ is absolutely continuous with respect to γ. Actually it remains to prove that $(\varphi_t)_*\gamma$ is equivalent to γ.

Proposition 1.3. *The map $x\to\varphi_t(x)$ admits an inverse map $x\to\psi_t(x)$, which is given by the reserved SDE*

$$d\psi_s(x) = dW_s^t - \mathbf{a}(\psi_s(x))\,ds, \quad \psi_0(x) = x, \quad s\in[0,t]. \tag{1.34}$$

Proof. For each n, the inverse map of $x\to\varphi_t^n(x)$ is given by ψ_t^n where ψ_s^n solves

$$d\psi_s^n(x) = dW_s^t - \mathbf{a}(\psi_s^n(x))\,ds, \quad \psi_0^n(x) = x, \quad s\in[0,t].$$

In the same way, we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{s\in[0,t]}|\psi_s^n(x)-\psi_s(x)|\Big) = 0.$$

In order to prove that ψ_t is the inverse map of φ_t, we will use the following result

Lemma 1.1. *Let X, Y be complete, separable metric spaces, ν be a finite measure on X.*
Assume that a sequence of X-valued random elements $\{\xi_n, n \geq 0\}$ and a sequence of measurable functions $f_n : X \to Y$ are such that

1) $\xi_n \to \xi_0$ in probability, as $n \to \infty$;

2) $f_n \to f_0$ in measure with respect to ν, as $n \to \infty$;

3) the push forward measure $(\xi_n)_* P$ is absolutely continuous with respect to ν;

4) the sequence of densities $\left\{ \dfrac{d(\xi_n)_* P}{d\nu}, n \geq 1 \right\}$ is uniformly integrable with respect to ν.

Then as $n \to +\infty$

$$f_n(\xi_n) \to f_0(\xi_0) \quad \textit{in probability.}$$

We refer to Corollary 9.9.11 in Ref.,[5] as well as to Lemma 2 in Ref.[20] for a proof. □

Proof (continued) of Proposition 1.3. For almost surely ω, up to a subsequence, the family of densities $\{K_t^n;\ n \geq 1\}$ is uniformly integrable. In Lemma 1.1, we take $X = Y = \mathbb{R}^m$, $\xi_n = \varphi_t^n$, $f_n = \psi_t^n$. Then $\varphi_t^n(\psi_t^n)$ converges to $\varphi_t(\psi_t)$ in probability. So that $\varphi_t \circ \psi_t = Id$. In the same way, we prove that $\psi_t \circ \varphi_t = Id$. □

End of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let A_t be a signed additive functional of φ_t that corresponds to the measure $\mu = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}$. Then using Theorem 1.2, similarly to the proof of Lemma 3 in Ref.[3] , we get

$$\int_0^t \operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}_n(\varphi_s^n(x)) ds \to A_t \text{ in } L^2, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Using again Lemma 1.1, we have for s fixed, $\mathbf{a}_n \circ \varphi_s$ converges to $\mathbf{a} \circ \varphi_s$ in measure. Therefore by expression

$$\tilde{K}_t^n(\omega, x) = \exp\Big\{ -\int_0^t (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}_n)(\varphi_s^n(x))\, ds + \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{a}_n(\varphi_s(x)), \varphi_s^n(x) \rangle\, ds \\ - \int_0^t \langle \varphi_s^n(x), dW_s \rangle - \frac{mt}{2} \Big\},$$

when $n \to +\infty$, K_t^n converges in measure $P \otimes \gamma$ to

$$\exp\Big\{ -A_t + \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{a}(\varphi_s(x)), \varphi_s(x) \rangle ds - \int_0^t \langle \varphi_s(x), dW_s \rangle - \frac{mt}{2} \Big\}. \tag{1.35}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed. □

1.4. Examples

In this section, we will construct examples of vector fields $\mathbf{a}$ satisfying the condition in Theorem 1.1.

a) Examples of W-functionals.
Let $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a Markov process in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with transition density satisfying condition (1.14). Let $D_1, \ldots, D_k$ be bounded domains of $\mathbb{R}^m$ with C^1 boundary, and $\sigma_{\partial D_j}$ be the surface measure on ∂D_j.
Let μ be a signed measure defined by

$$\mu(dx) = b_0(x)dx + \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_k(x)\sigma_{\partial D_j}(dx), \tag{1.36}$$

where $b_0, \ldots, b_k$ are bounded measurable functions. Then conditions (1.16) and (1.18) are satisfied. So the additive functional

$$A(t) = \int_0^t \frac{d\mu(X(s))}{dx} ds$$

is well-defined.
Remark that for $m = 1$, any finite measure μ satisfies condition (1.18). Indeed,

$$\sup_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_0^t s^{-1/2} \exp\Big\{ -\frac{|x-y|^2}{s} \Big\} ds\mu(dy) \leq \mu(\mathbb{R}) \int_0^t s^{-1/2} ds \to 0, t \to 0+ .$$

b) Functions of bounded variation.
Assume that derivatives $\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}_i}{\partial x_k}$ considered in a generalized sense are measures. Such function $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_m)$ are called functions of bounded variation (BV). If this measures are of the form (1.36) with bounded b_j, then $\mathbf{a}$ satisfies condition (1.7). Let now $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m), D$ be a bounded domain with C^1 boundary. Then $\mathbf{a}(x) = g(x)\mathbf{1}_{\{x \in D\}}$ also satisfies condition (1.7) since the generalized divergence div $\mathbf{a}$ equals to

$$(\operatorname{div} g(x))\mathbf{1}_{\{x \in D\}} dx + \langle g(x), n(x) \rangle \sigma_{\partial D}(dx),$$

where $n(x)$ is the normal vector at $x \in \partial D$ (see Ref.[11]).
Linear combinations of the form

$$b_0(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} g_j(x)\mathbf{1}_{x \in D_j}$$

also satisfy condition (1.7), if $b_0 \in \text{Lip},\ g_j \in C^1, D_j$ are bounded with C^1 boundary.

It should be noted that if $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is a vector field of bounded variation and $\mu_{k,j} = \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial x_j}$ satisfies (1.19) for all k, j, it has been proved in Ref.[3]

$$P\big(\varphi_t(\cdot) \in \cap_{p \geq 1} W^1_{p,loc}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m), t \geq 0\big) = 1.$$

Moreover, the Sobolev derivative is a solution of the equation

$$\nabla \varphi_t = I + \int_0^t \bar{A}^{\varphi}(ds) \nabla \varphi_s(x), t \geq 0, \tag{1.37}$$

where

$$\bar{A}^{\varphi}(t) = \int_0^t \nabla \mathbf{a}(\varphi_s) ds, t \geq 0 \tag{1.38}$$

was defined in section 1.2. It follows from (1.37) that a.s.

$$\det \nabla \varphi_t(x) = \exp\{\mathrm{tr}(\bar{A}^{\varphi}(t))\} > 0.$$

Hence it follows from Ch. 9.2 in Ref.[6] the absolute continuity $(\varphi_t)_*\gamma$ with respect to γ.

c) Example of $\mathbf{a} \notin BV$ with $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a} = 0$.
Functions of bounded variation is not unique example satisfying condition (1.7). For $m = 2$, let

$$\mathbf{a}(x_1, x_2) = (g(x_1 - x_2), g(x_2 - x_1)),$$

where g is only measurable, bounded function. Then $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a} = 0$ in the generalized sense, but partial derivatives $\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial x_k}$ may not be measures.

1.5. Generalizations and localization.

In this section, we give briefly some generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Assume now that the vector field $\mathbf{a}$ is locally bounded and for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Assume that SDE (1.2) is conservative in the sense of Kunita[21] , that is, if $\tau(x)$ is the life-time of $\varphi_t(x)$, then

$$P(\{\omega; \tau(x) = +\infty\}) = 1.$$

For example, this is the case if $\mathbf{a}$ has a linear growth.

Let $\{f_n;\ n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of functions in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$\sup_{n,x}(|f_n(x)| + |\nabla f_n(x)|) < \infty;\ f_n(x) = 1,\ \text{for}\ \ |x| \leq n.$$

Denote

$$\mathbf{a}_n(x) = \mathbf{a}(x) f_n(x),$$

and

$$\tau_n(x) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |\varphi_t(x)| \geq n\}.$$

Let φ_t^n be the solution to SDE (1.2) with $\mathbf{a}_n$ instead of $\mathbf{a}$. Observe that $\mathbf{a}_n$ is a bounded vector field on $\mathbb{R}^m$. By uniqueness of solutions, almost surely, for $t \leq \tau_n(x)$, $\varphi_t(x) = \varphi_t^n(x)$. So for any bounded Borel function $h : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{\{\tau_n(x) \geq t\}} h(\varphi_t(x))\, d\gamma(x) = \int_{\{\tau_n(x) \geq t\}} h(\varphi_t^n(x))\, d\gamma(x). \tag{1.39}$$

Observe that $(\varphi_t^n)_*(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_n(x)\geq t\}}\gamma)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $(\varphi_t^n)_*\gamma$ and for almost surely ω, $(\varphi_t)_*(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_n(x)\geq t\}}\gamma)$ converges to $(\varphi_t)_*\gamma$ weakly as $n\to+\infty$ since $\tau_n(x)\to+\infty, n\to\infty$.

Assume that for each $n\geq 1$

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\sup_{|x|\leq n}\int_{|y|\leq n}\int_0^t s^{-m/2}\exp\Big\{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2s}\Big\}ds\,|\mu|(dy)=0, \tag{1.40}$$

where $\mu=\operatorname{div}\mathbf{a}$. Then for any n, the vector field $\mathbf{a}_n$ satisfies condition (1.7) in Theorem 1.1; therefore the push forward measure $(\varphi_t^n)_*\gamma$ is absolutely continuous with respect to γ. Now let E be a Borel subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\gamma(E)=0$; then by (1.39), then

$$\int_{\{\tau_n(x)\geq t\}}\mathbf{1}_E(\varphi_t(x))\,d\gamma(x)=\int_{\{\tau_n(x)\geq t\}}\mathbf{1}_E(\varphi_t^n(x))\,d\gamma(x)\leq[(\varphi_t^n)_*\gamma](E)=0.$$

Letting $n\to+\infty$ yields $[(\varphi_t)_*\gamma](E)=0$. in other words, $(\varphi_t)_*\gamma$ is absolutely continuous with respect to γ.
Note also that in this case

$$A_n^{\varphi^n}(t)=A_m^{\varphi^m}(t),\ t\in[0,\tau_n(x)]\text{ a.s.}$$

for all $m\geq n$. Therefore we can define $A^\varphi(t)=\lim_{n\to\infty}A_n^{\varphi^n}(t)$ and expression (1.8) also holds true if the reverse SDE (1.34) is conservative. □

References

1. Ambrosio L., *Transport equation and Cauchy problem for BV vector fields.* Invent. Math. 158 (2004), 227-260.
2. Aronson D. G.*Bounds for the fundamental solution of a parabolic equation.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73:890-896, 1967.
3. Aryasova O.V., Pilipenko A.Yu. *On differentiability of stochastic flow for multidimensional SDE with discontinuous drift.* arXiv:1306.4816v1 [math.PR].
4. Bass R., Chen Z.Q. *Brownian motion with singular drift*, Ann. Proba. 31 (2003), 791-817.
5. Bogachev V. I. *Measure Theory*, volume 2. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
6. Bogachev V.I. *Differentiable measures and the Malliavin calculus.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2010.
7. Cipriano F. and Cruzeiro A.B., *Flows associated with irregular $\mathbb{R}^d$-vector fields.* J. Diff. Equations 210 (2005), 183-201.
8. Di Perna R.J. and Lions P.L., *Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces.* Invent. Math. 98 (1989), 511-547.
9. Dynkin E. B. *Markov Processes.* Fizmatlit, Moscow, 1963. [Translated from the Russian. Academic Press, New York; Springer, Berlin, 1965. vol. 1, xii + 365 pp.; vol. 2, viii + 274 pp.].
10. Fang S., Luo D., Thalmaier A. *Stochastic differential equations with coefficients in Sobolev spaces.* J. Funct. Analysis, 259 (2010), 1129-1168.

11. Federer H. *Geometric measure theory.* Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 153, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York 1969.
12. F. Flandoli, M. Gubinelli and E. Priola, *Well-posedness of the transport equation by stochastic perturbation.* Invent. Math. (2009).
13. Fukushima M. *A decomposition of additive functionals of finite energy.* Nagoya Math. J. 74(1979), 137-168.
14. Fukushima M. *Dirichlet forms and Markov processes*, vol. 23, North-Holland publishing compagny, 1980.
15. Fukushima M. *On a stochastice calculus related to Dirichlet forms and distorted Brownian motion*, Physic Reports, 77-3(1981), 255-262.
16. Gihman I. I., Skorohod A. V. *The theory of stochastic processes. I.* Translated from the Russian. Corrected reprint of the first English edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 210, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980.
17. Gikhman I. I., Skorokhod A. V. *The theory of stochastic processes. II.* Nauka, Moscow, 1973. [Translated from the Russian. Corrected printing of the first edition. Berlin: Springer, 2004. viii, 441 p.].
18. Gihman I. I., Skorohod A. V. *Densities of probability measures in function spaces.* (Russian) Uspehi Mat. Nauk 21, 1966, no. 6 (132), 83-152.
19. Krylov N.V., Röckner M., *Strong solutions of stochastic equations with singular time dependent drift*, Prob. Th. Related Fields, 131 (2005), 154-196.
20. Kulik A. M., Pilipenko A. Yu. *Nonlinear transformations of smooth measures on infinite-dimensional spaces.* (Russian) Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 52 (2000), no. 9, 1226-1250; translation in Ukrainian Math. J. 52 (2000), no. 9, 1403-1431.
21. Kunita H. *Stochastic flows and stochastic differential equations.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 24. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
22. Luo D. *Absolute continuity under flows generated by SDE with measurable drift coefficients.* Stochastic Process. Appl. 121 (2011), no. 10, 2393-2415.
23. Revuz D. and Yor M. *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 293, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
24. Uemura H. *Positive continuous additive functionals of mutidimensional Brownian motion and the Brownian local time.* J. Math. Kyoto, 47-2 (2007), 371-390.
25. Veretennikov A. Y. *On strong solutions and explicit formulas for solutions of stochastic integral equations.* Math. USSR Sborn, 39(3):387-403, 1981.
26. Xicheng Zhang, *Strong solutions of SDES with singular drift and Sobolev diffusion coefficients.* Stochastic Process. Appl. 115 (2005), 1805-1818.
27. Xicheng Zhang, *Stochastic flows of SDEs with irregular coefficients and stochastic transport equations.* Bull. Sci. Math. 134-4 (2009), 340-378.