

Deformations of reducible representations of knot groups into $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$

Michael Heusener, Ouardia Medjerab

▶ To cite this version:

Michael Heusener, Ouardia Medjerab. Deformations of reducible representations of knot groups into $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$. 2014. hal-00948334v1

HAL Id: hal-00948334 https://hal.science/hal-00948334v1

Preprint submitted on 18 Feb 2014 (v1), last revised 13 Feb 2015 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

DEFORMATIONS OF REDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF KNOT GROUPS INTO $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$

MICHAEL HEUSENER AND OUARDIA MEDJERAB

ABSTRACT. Let K be a knot in S^3 and X its complement. We study deformations of non-abelian, metabelian, reducible representations of the knot group $\pi_1(X)$ into $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbf{C})$ which are associated to a simple root of the Alexander polynomial. We prove that certain of these metabelian reducible representations are smooth points of the $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbf{C})$ -representation variety and that they have irreducible deformations.

February 18, 2014

1. Introduction

Let K be a knot in S^3 and $X = \overline{S^3 \setminus V(K)}$ its complement, where V(K) is a tubular neighborhood of K. Moreover, let $\Gamma_K = \pi_1(X)$ denote the fundamental group of X. The aim of this paper is to study deformations of reducible metabelian representations of Γ_K into $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbf{C})$. The metabelian representations in question where introduced by G. Burde [Bur67] and G. de Rham [dR67]. Let us recall this result: for each nonzero complex number $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^*$ there exists a diagonal representation $\rho_{\lambda} \colon \Gamma_K \to \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{C})$ given by

$$\rho_{\lambda}(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\varphi(\gamma)} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-\varphi(\gamma)} \end{pmatrix} \,.$$

Here $\varphi \colon \pi_1(X) \to \mathbf{Z}$ denotes the canonical surjection which maps the meridian μ of K to 1 i.e. $\varphi(\gamma) = \operatorname{lk}(\gamma, K)$. Burde and de Rham proved that there exists a metabelian, non-abelian representation

$$\rho^z_{\lambda} \colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{C}), \quad \rho^z_{\lambda}(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z(\gamma) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\varphi(\gamma)} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-\varphi(\gamma)} \end{pmatrix}$$

if and only if λ^2 is a root of the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$.

The question whether or not the representation ρ_{λ}^z is a limit of irreducible representations of Γ_K into $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{C})$ was studied in [HPSP01]. Theorem 1.1

 $^{1991\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 25M57.$

Key words and phrases. Representations of knot groups, $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbf{C})$ -representation variety, metabelian representations.

of [HPSP01] states that a metabelian non-abelian representation $\rho_{\lambda}^z \colon \Gamma_K \to \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{C})$ is the limit of irreducible representations if λ^2 is a simple root of $\Delta_K(t)$. Moreover, in this case the representation ρ_{λ}^z is a smooth point of the representation variety $R(\Gamma_K,\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{C}))$; it is contained in a unique 4-dimensional component $R_{\lambda} \subset R(\Gamma_K,\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{C}))$.

This article studies the behavior of the representations in question under the composition with the n-dimensional, irreducible, rational representation $r_n \colon \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{C}) \to \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbf{C})$ (for more details see Section 4.1). It is proved in Proposition 3.1 that generically for an irreducible representation $\rho \in R_\lambda$ the representation $\rho_n := r_n \circ \rho \in R(\Gamma_K, \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbf{C}))$ is also irreducible. The main result of this article is the following:

Theorem 1.1. If λ^2 is a simple root of $\Delta_K(t)$ and if $\Delta_K(\lambda^{2k}) \neq 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ then the reducible metabelian representation $\rho_{\lambda,n}^z := r_n \circ \rho_{\lambda}^z$ is a limit of irreducible representations. More precisely, $\rho_{\lambda,n}^z$ is a smooth point of $R_n(\Gamma_K)$; it is contained in a unique (n+2)(n-1)-dimensional component $R_{\lambda,n} \subset R_n(\Gamma_K)$.

This theorem is somehow complementary to a result of P. Menal-Ferrer and J. Porti [MFP12] where the authors showed that the conclusions of the above theorem hold for hyperbolic knots if ρ_{λ}^z is replaced by a lift of the holonomy, $\widehat{\text{hol}}: \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K) \to \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$, of the hyperbolic structure of the complement $S^3 \setminus K$. Note that Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.1 do apply to non-hyperbolic knots. Irreducible metabelian representations and their deformations are studied by Boden and Friedl in [BF08, BF11, BF12].

This article is organized as follows: in Section 2 we will introduce notations and recall some facts which are used. In Section 3 we will prove that the representation variety $R(\Gamma_K, \operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbf{C}))$ contains irreducible representation if $\Delta_K(t)$ has a simple root (see Proposition 3.1). Moreover we give a streamlined proof of a slightly generalized version of the deformation result used in [MFP12] and [BAHJ10] (see Proposition 3.3). In order to prove our main result Theorem 1.1 the necessary cohomological calculations and the basic facts about the representation theory of $\operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbf{C})$ are presented in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5 some examples are exhibited.

Acknowledgement. The authors like to thank Michaël Bulois and Simon Riche for pointing out the references [Ric79] and [Pop08].

The first author was supported by the ANR project SGT and ANR projet ModGroup. The second author acknowledges support from the Algerian *Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche Scientifique*.

2. Notations and Facts

To shorten notation we write SL(n), GL(n) and $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ instead of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Let $\varphi \colon \pi_1(X) \to \mathbf{Z}$ denote the canonical surjection which maps the meridian μ of K to 1 i.e. $\varphi(\gamma) = \operatorname{lk}(\gamma, K)$. We associate to a nonzero complex number $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}^*$ a homomorphism

$$\alpha^{\varphi} \colon \Gamma \to \mathbf{C}^*, \quad \alpha^{\varphi} \colon \gamma \mapsto \alpha^{\varphi(\gamma)}.$$

Note that α^{φ} maps the meridian μ of K to α . We define \mathbf{C}_{α} to be the Γ -module \mathbf{C} with the action induced by α^{φ} , i.e. $\gamma \cdot x = \alpha^{\varphi(\gamma)} x$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and all $x \in \mathbf{C}$. The trivial Γ -module \mathbf{C}_1 is simply denoted \mathbf{C} . With this notation it is easy to see that a map

$$\rho_{\lambda}^{z} \colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C}), \quad \rho_{\lambda}^{z}(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z(\gamma) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\varphi(\gamma)} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-\varphi(\gamma)} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a homomorphism if and only if the map $z \colon \Gamma \to \mathbf{C}_{\lambda^2}$ is a 1-cocycle i.e. $z(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = z(\gamma_1) + \lambda^{2\varphi(\gamma_1)} z(\gamma_2)$. Note also that ρ_{λ}^z is abelian if $\lambda = \pm 1$. If $\lambda^2 \neq 1$ then ρ_{λ}^z is abelian if and only if z is a coboundary i.e. there exists an element $x_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $z(\gamma) = (\lambda^{2\varphi(\gamma)} - 1) x_0$. The general reference for group cohomology is Brown's book [Bro82].

In what follows we are mainly interested in the following situation: let X be the complement of a knot $K \subset S^3$ and let A be a $\pi_1(X)$ -module. The spaces X and ∂X are aspherical and hence the natural homomorphisms $H^*(\pi_1(X);A) \to H^*(X;A)$ and $H^*(\pi_1(\partial X);A) \to H^*(\partial X;A)$ are isomorphisms. Moreover, the knot complement X has the homotopy type of a 2-dimensional CW-complex which implies that $H^k(\pi_1(X);A) = 0$ and $H_k(\pi_1(X);A) = 0$ for $k \geq 3$. See [Whi78] for more details.

The Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbf{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ turns into a Γ_K -module via the action $\gamma p(t) = t^{\varphi(\gamma)} p(t)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ and all $p(t) \in \mathbf{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$. Recall that there are isomorphism of $\mathbf{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -modules

$$H_*(\Gamma_K; \mathbf{C}[t^{\pm 1}]) \cong H_*(X; \mathbf{C}[t^{\pm 1}]) \cong H_*(X_\infty; \mathbf{C})$$

where X_{∞} denotes the infinite cyclic covering of the knot complement X (see [DK01, Chapter 5]). The module $H_1(\Gamma_k; \mathbf{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$ is a finitely generated torsion module it is called the *Alexander module* of K. A generator of its order ideal is called the *Alexander polynomial* $\Delta_K(t) \in \mathbf{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ of K. The Alexander polynomial is unique up to multiplication with a unit in $\mathbf{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$.

For completeness we will state the next lemma which shows that the cohomology groups $H^*(\Gamma_K; \mathbf{C}_{\alpha})$ are determined by the Alexander module $H_1(\Gamma_K; \mathbf{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $K \subset S^3$ be a knot and Γ_K its group. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}^*$ be a nonzero complex number and let \mathbf{C}_{α} denote the Γ_K -module given by the action $\gamma z = \alpha^{\varphi(\gamma)} z$.

If $\alpha = 1$ then $\mathbf{C}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{C}$ is a trivial Γ_K -module and $H^k(\Gamma_K, \mathbf{C}) \cong \mathbf{C}$ for k = 0, 1 and $H^k(\Gamma_K, \mathbf{C}) = 0$ for $k \geq 2$. If $\alpha \neq 1$ then $H^0(\Gamma_K, \mathbf{C}_{\alpha}) = 0$ and

 $\dim H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathbf{C}_{\alpha}) = \dim H^2(\Gamma_K, \mathbf{C}_{\alpha})$. Moreover, $H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathbf{C}_{\alpha}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\Delta_K(\alpha) = 0$.

Proof. We have $H_0(X_\infty; \mathbf{C}) \cong \mathbf{C} \cong \mathbf{C}[t^{\pm 1}]/(t-1)$ and $H_k(X_\infty; \mathbf{C}) = 0$ for $k \geq 2$ (see [BZH13, Prop. 8.16]).

First note that for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}^*$ we have an isomorphism $\mathbf{C}_{\alpha} \cong \mathbf{C}[t^{\pm 1}]/(t-\alpha)$. Recall that the Alexander module $H_1(\Gamma_K; \mathbf{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$ is finitely generated torsion module and hence a sum of non-free cyclic modules since $\mathbf{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ is a principal ideal domain. The Alexander polynomial is the order ideal of $H_1(\Gamma_K; \mathbf{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$. The lemma follows from the universal coefficient theorem and the fact that $\Delta_K(1) \neq 0$. See [BA00, Proposition 2.1] for more details.

2.1. Group cohomology and representation varieties. Let now Γ be a finitely generated group. The set $R_n(\Gamma) := R(\Gamma, \operatorname{SL}(n))$ of homomorphisms of Γ in $\operatorname{SL}(n)$ is called the $\operatorname{SL}(n)$ -representation variety of Γ and has the structure of a (not necessarily irreducible) algebraic set.

Let $\rho \colon \Gamma \to \operatorname{SL}(n)$ be a representation. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ turns into a Γ -module via Ad ρ . This module will be simply denoted by $\mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho}$. A cocycle $d \in Z^1(\Gamma; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$ is a map $d \colon \Gamma \to \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ satisfying

$$d(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = d(\gamma_1) + \rho(\gamma_1) d(\gamma_2) \rho(\gamma_1)^{-1} \quad , \ \forall \ \gamma_1, \ \gamma_2 \in \Gamma.$$

It was observed by André Weil [Wei64] that there is a natural inclusion of the Zariski tangent space $T_{\rho}^{Zar}(R_n(\Gamma)) \hookrightarrow Z^1(\Gamma; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$. Informally speaking, given a smooth curve ρ_{ϵ} of representations through $\rho_0 = \rho$ one gets a 1-cocycle $d \colon \Gamma \to \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ by defining

$$d(\gamma) := \frac{d \rho_{\epsilon}(\gamma)}{d \epsilon} \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} \rho(\gamma)^{-1}, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

It is easy to see that the tangent space to the orbit by conjugation corresponds to the space of 1-coboundaries $B^1(\Gamma; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$. Here, $b \colon \Gamma \to \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ is a coboundary if there exists $x \in \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ such that $b(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma) x \rho(\gamma)^{-1} - x$. A detailed account can be found in [LM85].

Let $\dim_{\rho} R_n(\Gamma)$ be the local dimension of $R_n(\Gamma)$ at ρ (i.e. the maximal dimension of the irreducible components of $R_n(\Gamma)$ containing ρ [Sha77, Ch. II]). So we obtain:

$$\dim_{\rho} R_n(\Gamma) \leq \dim T_{\rho}^{Zar}(R_n(\Gamma)) \leq \dim Z^1(\Gamma; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$$

and the following lemma follows (for more details see [HPSP01, Lemma 2.6]):

Lemma 2.2. Let $\rho \in R_n(\Gamma)$ be given. If $\dim_{\rho} R_n(\Gamma) = \dim Z^1(\Gamma; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$ then ρ is a smooth point of the representation variety $R_n(\Gamma)$ and ρ is contained in a unique component of $R_n(\Gamma)$ of dimension $\dim Z^1(\Gamma; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$.

3. Deforming representations

The aim of the following sections is to prove that the representation $\rho_{\lambda,n}^z$ from the introduction is a smooth point of the representation variety. We present a more streamlined and slightly generalized version of the deformation result from [HPSP01, HP05, BAHJ10, MFP12] (see Proposition 3.3). For the convenience of the reader we recall the setup.

First we will prove that the representation $\rho_{\lambda,n}^z \in R_n(\Gamma_K)$ is the limit of irreducible representations if λ^2 is a simple root of the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$. In what follows a property of an irreducible algebraic variety Y is said to be true *generically* if it holds except on a proper Zariski-closed subset of Y, in other words, if it holds on a non-empty Zariski-open subset.

Let $K \subset S^3$ be a knot, $\lambda^2 \in \mathbf{C}$ a simple root of $\Delta_K(t)$ and $z \in Z^1(\Gamma_K, \mathbf{C}_{\lambda^2})$ a cocycle representing a generator of $H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathbf{C}_{\lambda^2})$. Following [HPSP01, Thm 1.1] the representation $\rho_{\lambda}^z \in R_2(\Gamma_K)$ is a smooth point of the representation variety. It is contained in an unique irreducible 4-dimensional component $R_{\lambda} \subset R_2(\Gamma_K)$. Note that generically a representation $\rho \in R_{\lambda}$ is irreducible.

Proposition 3.1. Let $K \subset S^3$ be a knot, $\lambda^2 \in \mathbb{C}$ a simple root of $\Delta_K(t)$ and let $z \in Z^1(\Gamma_K, \mathbb{C}_{\lambda^2})$ be a cocycle representing a generator of $H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathbb{C}_{\lambda^2})$.

Then the representation $\rho_{\lambda,n}^z = r_n \circ \rho_{\lambda}^z \colon \Gamma_K \to B_n$ is the limit of irreducible representation in $R_n(\Gamma_K)$. More precisely, generically a representation $\rho_n = r_n \circ \rho$, $\rho \in R_{\lambda}$ is irreducible.

Proof. It follows from [HPSP01, Theorem 1.1] that $\rho_{\lambda}^z \in R_2(\Gamma_K)$ is the limit of irreducible representations. Moreover, $\rho_{\lambda}^z \in R_2(\Gamma_K)$ is a smooth point which is contained in a unique 4-dimensional component $R_{\lambda} \subset R_2(\Gamma_K)$.

Let Γ be a discrete group and let $\rho \colon \Gamma \to \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ be an irreducible representation. If the image $\rho(\Gamma) \subset \operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbf{C})$ is Zariski-dense then the representation $\rho_n := r_n \circ \rho \in R_n(\Gamma)$ is irreducible. Hence in order to prove the proposition we show that there is a neighborhood $U = U(\rho_\lambda^z) \subset R_2(\Gamma_K)$ such that $\rho(\Gamma) \subset \operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbf{C})$ is Zariski-dense for each irreducible $\rho \in U$. Let now $\rho \colon \Gamma_K \to \operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbf{C})$ be any irreducible representation and let $G \subset \operatorname{SL}(2)$ denote the Zariski-closure of $\rho(\Gamma_K)$. Suppose that $G \neq \operatorname{SL}(2)$. Since ρ is irreducible it follows that G is, up to conjugation, not a subgroup of upper-triangular matrices of $\operatorname{SL}(2)$. Then by [Kov86, Sec. 1.4] and [Kap57, Theorem 4.12] there are, up to conjugation, only two cases left:

• G is a subgroup of the infinite dihedral group

$$D_{\infty} = \left\{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \, \middle| \, \alpha \in \mathbf{C}^* \right\} \cup \left\{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ -\alpha^{-1} & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \, \middle| \, \alpha \in \mathbf{C}^* \right\}.$$

• G is one of the groups $A_4^{\mathrm{SL}(2)}$ (the tetrahedral group), $S_4^{\mathrm{SL}(2)}$ (the octahedral group) or $A_5^{\mathrm{SL}(2)}$ (the icosahedral group). These groups are the preimages in $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ of the subgroups A_4 , A_4 , $A_5 \subset \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{C})$.

In the first case it follows directly from [Nag07] that if ρ is an irreducible metabelian representation then the trace of the image of a meridian $\operatorname{tr}(\rho(\mu))=0$ i.e. $\rho(\mu)$ is similar to $\pm \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$. Now, $\operatorname{tr}(\rho_{\lambda}^{z}(\mu)) \neq 0$ since $\Delta_{K}(-1) \neq 0$ and $\Delta_{K}(\lambda^{\pm 2})=0$. For the second case there are up to conjugation only finitely many irreducible representations of Γ_{K} onto the subgroups $A_{4}^{\operatorname{SL}(2)}$, $S_{4}^{\operatorname{SL}(2)}$ and $A_{5}^{\operatorname{SL}(2)}$. Note that these finitely many orbits are closed and 3-dimensional. Hence the irreducible $\rho \in R_{\lambda}$ such that $r_{n} \circ \rho$ is reducible is contained in a Zariski-closed subset of R_{λ} . Hence generically $r_{n} \circ \rho$ is irreducible for $\rho \in R_{\lambda}$.

In order to proof that a certain representation $\rho \in R_n(\Gamma)$ is a smooth point of the representation variety we will prove that every cocycle $u \in Z^1(\Gamma_K; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho)$ is integrable. In order to do this, we use the classical approach, i.e. we first solve the corresponding formal problem and apply then a theorem of Artin [Art68].

The formal deformations of a representation $\rho \colon \Gamma \to \operatorname{SL}(n)$ are in general determined by an infinite sequence of obstructions (see [Gol84, BA00, HPSP01]). In what follows we let $C^1(\Gamma; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho) := \{c \colon \Gamma \to \mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho\}$ denote the 1-cochains of Γ with coefficients in $\mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho$ (see [Bro82, p.59]).

Let $\rho \colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{SL}(n)$ be a representation. A formal deformation of ρ is a homomorphism $\rho_{\infty} \colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbf{C}[\![t]\!])$

$$\rho_{\infty}(\gamma) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t^{i} u_{i}(\gamma)\right) \rho(\gamma), \quad u_{i} \in C^{1}(\Gamma; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$$

such that $\operatorname{ev}_0 \circ \rho_\infty = \rho$. Here $\operatorname{ev}_0 \colon \operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbf{C}[\![t]\!]) \to \operatorname{SL}(n)$ is the evaluation homomorphism at t = 0 and $\mathbf{C}[\![t]\!]$ denotes the ring of formal power series.

We will say that ρ_{∞} is a formal deformation up to order k of ρ if ρ_{∞} is a homomorphism modulo t^{k+1} .

An easy calculation gives that ρ_{∞} is a homomorphism up to first order if and only if $u_1 \in Z^1(\Gamma; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$ is a cocycle. We call a cocycle $u_1 \in Z^1(\Gamma; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$ integrable if there is a formal deformation of ρ with leading term u_1 .

Lemma 3.2. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in C^1(\Gamma; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$ such that

$$\rho_k(\gamma) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k t^i u_i(\gamma)\right) \rho(\gamma)$$

is a homomorphism into $SL(n, \mathbf{C}[\![t]\!])$ modulo t^{k+1} . Then there exists an obstruction class $\zeta_{k+1} := \zeta_{k+1}^{(u_1, \dots, u_k)} \in H^2(\Gamma, \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$ with the following properties:

(i) There is a cochain $u_{k+1} : \Gamma \to \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho}$ such that

$$\rho_{k+1}(\gamma) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} t^i u_i(\gamma)\right) \rho(\gamma)$$

is a homomorphism modulo t^{k+2} if and only if $\zeta_{k+1} = 0$.

(ii) The obstruction ζ_{k+1} is natural, i.e. if $f: \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma$ is a homomorphism then $f^*\rho_k := \rho_k \circ f$ is also a homomorphism modulo t^{k+1} and $f^*(\zeta_{k+1}^{(u_1,\dots,u_k)}) = \zeta_{k+1}^{(f^*u_1,\dots,f^*u_k)} \in H^2(\Gamma_1;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_{f^*\rho}).$

Proof. The proof is completely analogous to the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [HPSP01]. We replace SL(2) and $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ by SL(n) and $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ respectively.

The following result streamlines the arguments given in [HP05] and [BAHJ10]:

Proposition 3.3. Let M be a connected, compact, orientable 3-manifold with torus boundary and let $\rho: \pi_1 M \to SL(n)$ be a representation.

If dim $H^1(\pi_1 M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho}) = n - 1$ then ρ is a smooth point of the $\mathrm{SL}(n)$ -representation variety $R_n(\pi_1 M)$. Moreover, ρ is contained in a unique component of dimension $n^2 + n - 2 - \dim H^0(\pi_1 M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$.

Proof. First we will show that the map $i^*: H^2(\pi_1 M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho) \to H^2(\pi_1 \partial M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho)$ induced by the inclusion $\partial M \hookrightarrow M$ is injective.

Recall that for any CW-complex X with $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(M)$ and for any $\pi_1 M$ -module A there are natural morphisms $H^i(\pi_1 M; A) \to H^i(X; A)$ which are isomorphisms for i = 0, 1 and an injection for i = 2 (see [HP05, Lemma 3.3]). Note also that $\partial M \cong S^1 \times S^1$ is aspherical and hence $H^*(\pi_1 \partial M; A) \to H^*(\partial M; A)$ is an isomorphism.

We will make use of a result of Richardson [Ric79, Thm. C]. Richardson proved that the representation variety $R_n(\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z})$ is an irreducible algebraic variety of dimension (n+2)(n-1). It follows from Poincaré duality and the Euler characteristic that for every $\varrho \in R_n(\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z})$

$$\dim H^1(\partial M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\varrho}) = 2\dim H^0(\partial M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\varrho}) \ge 2(n-1). \tag{3.1}$$

Hence $\varrho \in R_n(\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z})$ is a smooth point if and only if the dimension of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\varrho}^{\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}} = H^0(\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\varrho})$ is minimal i.e. dim $H^0(\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\varrho}) = n-1$ (see also [Pop08]). The exact cohomology sequence of the pair $(M, \partial M)$ gives

$$\begin{split} & \to H^1(M,\partial M;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho) \\ & \to H^1(M;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(\partial M;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^2(M,\partial M;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho) \\ & \to H^2(M;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^2(\partial M;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho) \to H^3(M,\partial M;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho) \to 0 \,. \end{split}$$

Poincaré–Lefschetz duality implies that α and β are dual to each other. Therefore we have

$$n-1 = \dim H^1(M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho}) \ge \operatorname{rk}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \dim H^1(\partial M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho}) \ge n-1$$

and Equation (3.1) together with Richardson's result imply that $i^*\rho = \rho \circ i_\# \in R_n(\partial M)$ is a smooth point of the representation variety (here $i: \partial M \to M$ is the inclusion). Note also that β is surjective and hence

$$i^* \colon H^2(M;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho}) \to H^2(\partial M;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$$

is injective. The following commutative diagram shows that $i^* : H^2(\pi_1 M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho}) \to H^2(\pi_1 \partial M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$ is injective:

$$H^{2}(M;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho}) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} H^{2}(\partial M;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \cong$$

$$H^{2}(\pi_{1}M;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho}) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} H^{2}(\pi_{1}\partial M;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho}).$$

In order to prove that ρ is a smooth point of $R_n(\pi_1 M)$, we show that all cocycles in $Z^1(\pi_1 M, \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$ are integrable. In what follows we will prove that all obstructions vanish, by using the fact that the obstructions vanish on the boundary. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_k \colon \pi_1 M \to \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ be given such that

$$\rho_k(\gamma) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k t^i u_i(\gamma)\right) \rho(\gamma)$$

is a homomorphism modulo t^{k+1} . Then the restriction $i^*\rho_k \colon \pi_1(\partial M) \to \operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbf{C}[\![t]\!])$ is also a formal deformation of order k. Since $i^*\rho$ is a smooth point of the representation variety $R_n(\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z})$, the formal implicit function theorem gives that $i^*\rho_k$ extends to a formal deformation of order k+1 (see [HPSP01, Lemma 3.7]). Therefore, we have that

$$0 = \zeta_{k+1}^{(i^*u_1, \dots, i^*u_k)} = i^* \zeta_{k+1}^{(u_1, \dots, u_k)}$$

Now, i^* is injective and the obstruction $\zeta_{k+1}^{(u_1,\dots,u_k)}$ vanishes.

Hence all cocycles in $Z^1(\Gamma, \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho})$ are integrable. By applying Artin's theorem [Art68] we obtain from a formal deformation of ρ a convergent deformation (see [HPSP01, Lemma 3.3] or [BA00, § 4.2]).

Thus ρ is a smooth point of the representation variety $R_n(\pi_1 M)$. Hence, $\dim H^1(\pi_1 M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho}) = n-1$ and the exactness of

$$0 \to H^0(\pi_1 M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho) \to \mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho \to B^1(\pi_1 M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_\rho) \to 0$$

implies

$$\dim Z^1(\pi_1 M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho}) = n^2 + n - 2 - \dim H^0(\pi_1 M; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho}).$$

Proposition 3.4. Let $K \subset S^3$ be a knot, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $n \geq 3$. Suppose that λ^2 is a simple root of the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$ and let $\rho^z_{\lambda} \colon \Gamma \to B_2$ be a non-abelian representation as in the introduction.

If $\Delta_K(\lambda^{2i}) \neq 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ then for $\rho_{\lambda,n}^z := r_n \circ \rho_{\lambda}^z \colon \Gamma \to B_n \subset \mathrm{SL}(n)$ we have

$$\dim H^1(\Gamma_K; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho_{\lambda_n}^z}) = (n-1) \text{ and } H^0(\Gamma_K; \mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho_{\lambda_n}^z}) = 0.$$

Proof. A proof of the cohomological calculation will be given in Section 4. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It follows directly form Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 that $\rho_{\lambda,n}^z$ is a smooth point of $R_n(\Gamma_K)$ which is contained in a unique component $R_{\lambda,n} \subset R_n(\Gamma_K)$, dim $R_{\lambda,n} = n^2 + n - 2$.

That $\rho_{\lambda,n}^z$ is the limit of irreducible representation which are contained in the component $R_{\lambda,n}$ follows from Proposition 3.1.

4. Cohomological calculations

For the convenience of the reader we recall some facts from the representation theory of SL(2). The general reference for this topic is Springer's LNM [Spr77].

4.1. Representation theory of SL(2). Let V be an n-dimensional complex vector space. In what follows we will call a homomorphism $r \colon SL(2) \to GL(V)$ an n-dimensional representation of SL(2). The vector space V turns into a SL(2)-module. Two n-dimensional representations $r \colon SL(2) \to GL(V)$ and $r' \colon SL(2) \to GL(V')$ are called equivalent if there is an isomorphism $\phi \colon V \to V'$ which commutes with the action of SL(2) i.e. $r'(A) \phi = \phi r(A)$ for all $A \in SL(2)$. It is clear that equivalent representations give rise to isomorphic SL(2)-modules.

We let SL(2) act as a group of automorphism on the polynomial algebra $R = \mathbf{C}[X,Y]$. If $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2)$ then there is a unique automorphism $r\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ of R given by

$$r \bigl(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix} \bigr) (X) = d \, X - b \, Y \quad \text{ and } \quad r \bigl(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix} \bigr) (Y) = -c \, X + a \, Y \, .$$

We let $R_{n-1} \subset R$ denote the *n*-dimensional subspace of homogeneous polynomials of degree n-1. The monomials $e_l^{(n-1)} = X^{l-1}Y^{n-l}$, $1 \leq l \leq n$, form a basis of R_{n-1} and $r\binom{a}{c}\binom{b}{d}$ leaves R_{n-1} invariant. In what follows we will identify R_{n-1} and \mathbf{C}^n by fixing the basis $(e_1^{(n-1)}, \ldots, e_n^{(n-1)})$ of R_{n-1} . We obtain an *n*-dimensional representation $r_n \colon \mathrm{SL}(2) \to \mathrm{GL}(R_{n-1}) \cong \mathrm{GL}(n)$.

The representation r_n is rational i.e. the coefficients of the matrix coordinates of $r_n(A)$ are polynomials in the matrix coordinates of A. We will make use of the following theorem.

- **Theorem 4.1.** (1) The representation r_n is irreducible i.e. there is no SL(2)stable invariant subspaces V, $\{0\} \subsetneq V \subsetneq R_{n-1}$ and any irreducible rational representation of SL(2) is equivalent to some r_n .
 - (2) For an arbitrary rational representation $r : SL(2) \to GL(V)$ the SL(2)module V is isomorphic to a direct sum of R_n ,

$$V \cong \bigoplus_{d \ge 0} R_d^{m(k)} .$$

Proof. For (1) see Lemma 3.1.3 and Proposition 3.2.1 of [Spr77]. \Box

It is easy to see, and it follows also from the general theory, that r_n maps an unipotent matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ onto an unipotent element of $SL(R_{n-1})$. Moreover, an explicit calculations shows that the image of a diagonal matrix is the diagonal matrix $r_n(\operatorname{diag}(a,a^{-1})) = \operatorname{diag}(a^{n-1},a^{n-3},\ldots,a^{-n+3},a^{-n+1})$. Hence the image of r_n is contained in $SL(R_{n-1}) \cong SL(n)$.

Example 1. The representation $r_1: SL(2) \to SL(1) = \{1\}$ is the trivial representation. The representation $r_2: SL(2) \to SL(2)$ is equivalent to the identity:

$$r_2 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that the adjoint representation Ad: $SL(2) \to Aut(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ is equivalent to r_3 .

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ of $\mathrm{SL}(n)$ turns into an $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ -module via $\mathrm{Ad} \circ r_n$ where $\mathrm{Ad} \colon \mathrm{SL}(n) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{sl}(n))$ denotes the adjoint representation. For this action we have the classical formula of Clebsch–Gordan:

$$Ad \circ r_n \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} r_{2i+1} \,. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $B_n \subset \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ denote the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices. The vector space R_{n-1} turns into a B_2 -module via restriction of r_n to B_2 . An explicit calculation gives

$$r_n\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & \lambda^{-1}b \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot e_l^{(n-1)} = \lambda^{n-2l+1} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (-b)^j \binom{l-1}{j} e_{l-j}^{(n-1)} . \tag{4.2}$$

Hence $r_n(B_2)$ is contained in $B_n \subset SL(n)$ and the one-dimensional vector space $\langle e_1^{(n-1)} \rangle$ is B_2 invariant: $r_n \binom{\lambda}{0} \frac{\lambda^{-1}b}{\lambda^{-1}} \cdot e_1^{(n-1)} = \lambda^{n-1} e_1^{(n-1)}$. For a given integer $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ we let $\chi_i \colon B_2 \to \mathbf{C}^* = \operatorname{GL}(1, \mathbf{C})$ denote the rational character given by

$$\chi_i\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & \lambda^{-1}b \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \lambda^i$$
.

Now **C** turns into a B_2 -module via χ_i i.e. $\binom{\lambda}{0} \frac{\lambda^{-1}b}{\lambda^{-1}} . x = \lambda^i x$ for $x \in \mathbf{C}$. We will denote this B_2 -module by \mathbf{C}_{χ_i} . It follows that the B_2 -module $\langle e_1^{(n-1)} \rangle \in R_{n-1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}_{\chi_{n-1}}$ and we obtain a short exact sequence of B_2 -modules

$$0 \to \mathbf{C}_{\chi_{n-1}} \to R_{n-1} \to \bar{R}_{n-1} \to 1 \tag{4.3}$$

where \bar{R}_{n-1} denotes the quotient $R_{n-1}/\langle e_1^{(n-1)}\rangle$. For a given element $x \in R_{n-1}$ we let $\bar{x} \in \bar{R}_{n-1}$ denote the class represented by x i.e. $\bar{x} = x + \langle e_1^{(n-1)}\rangle$.

For abbreviation, we will drop the representation r_n from the notation and write for $x \in R_{n-1}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda^{-1}b \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
. x instead of $r_n\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda^{-1}b \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. x.

Lemma 4.2. The linear map $\phi_{n-3}: R_{n-3} \to \bar{R}_{n-1}$ defined by

$$\phi_{n-3}(e_l^{(n-3)}) = \frac{1}{l} \bar{e}_{l+1}^{(n-1)}, \quad l = 1, \dots, n-2,$$

is an injective B_2 -module morphism i.e. for all $x \in R_{n-3}$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda^{-1}b \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \phi_{n-3}(x) = \phi_{n-3}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda^{-1}b \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot x\right).$$

Proof. The linear map ϕ_{n-3} is injective since the vectors $\bar{e}_l^{(n-1)}$, $2 \leq l \leq n$, form a basis of \bar{R}_{n-1} . Now

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & \lambda^{-1} b \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \cdot \phi_{n-3}(e_l^{(n-3)}) = \lambda^{n-2l-1} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=0}^l (-b)^j \binom{l}{j} \bar{e}_{l-j+1}^{(n-1)} \, .$$

Since $\binom{l}{j}(l-j) = l\binom{l-1}{j}$ and $\bar{e}_1^{(n-1)} = 0$ it follows

$${\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda^{-1}b \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \phi_{n-3}(e_l^{(n-3)}) = \lambda^{(n-2)-2l+1} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (-b)^j {l-1 \choose j} \frac{1}{l-j} \bar{e}_{l-j+1}^{(n-1)}$$

$$= \phi_{n-3} \left({\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda^{-1}b \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot e_l^{(n-3)} \right) .$$

Hence ϕ_{n-3} is a B_2 -module morphism.

Lemma 4.3. There is a short exact sequence of B_2 -modules

$$0 \to R_{n-3} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n-3}} \bar{R}_{n-1} \to \mathbf{C}_{\chi_{-n+1}} \to 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Again the lemma follows from Equation (4.2):

$$\binom{\lambda}{0} \binom{\lambda^{-1}b}{n} . e_n^{(n-1)} \equiv \lambda^{-n+1} e_n^{(n-1)} \mod \langle e_1^{(n-1)}, \dots, e_{n-1}^{(n-1)} \rangle.$$

Let us fix a representation $\rho_{\lambda}^z \colon \Gamma \to B_2$. Then R_n turns into a Γ -module and the exact sequences (4.3) and (4.4) are exact sequences of Γ -modules. Note that $\mathbf{C}_{\chi_k} \cong \mathbf{C}_{\lambda^k}$ since for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ the equation $\chi_k(\rho_{\lambda}^z(\gamma)) = \lambda^{k\varphi(\gamma)}$ holds.

Lemma 4.4. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\lambda \neq 1$, and n > 3 be given. If $\Delta_K(\lambda^{n-1}) \neq 0$ and if $\lambda^{n-1} \neq 1$ then

$$H^*(\Gamma_K; R_{n-1}) \cong H^*(\Gamma_K; R_{n-3})$$
.

Proof. The long exact cohomology sequences [Bro82, III.§6] associated to the short exact sequences (4.3) gives:

$$H^k(\Gamma_K; \mathbf{C}_{\lambda^{n-1}}) \to H^k(\Gamma_K; R_{n-1}) \to H^k(\Gamma_K; \bar{R}_{n-1}) \to H^{k+1}(\Gamma_K; \mathbf{C}_{\lambda^{n-1}})$$

is exact for k=0,1,2. Now $H^0(\Gamma_K; \mathbf{C}_{\lambda^{n-1}})=0$ since $\lambda^{n-1}\neq 1$ and for k=1,2 the group $H^k(\Gamma_K; \mathbf{C}_{\lambda^{n-1}})=0$ since $\Delta_K(\lambda^{n-1})\neq 0$ (see Lemma 2.1). Hence

$$H^k(\Gamma_K; R_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^k(\Gamma_K; \bar{R}_{n-1})$$
 for $k = 0, 1, 2$.

Finally, the short exact Sequence (4.4), Lemma 2.1 and the assumptions $\Delta_K(\lambda^{n-1}) \neq 0$ and if $\lambda^{n-1} \neq 1$ give that

$$H^k(\Gamma_K; R_{n-3}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^k(\Gamma_K; \bar{R}_{n-1})$$
 for $k = 0, 1, 2$.

are isomorphisms (note that $\Delta_K(t)$ is symmetric).

Proposition 4.5. Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^*$, $\Delta_K(\lambda^2) = 0$, $n \geq 3$ and $\rho_{\lambda}^z \colon \Gamma \to B_2$ be given as in the introduction. If $\Delta_K(\lambda^{2k}) \neq 0$ and $\lambda^{2k} \neq 1$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ then for $\rho_{\lambda,n}^z := r_n \circ \rho_{\lambda}^z \colon \Gamma \to B_n \subset \mathrm{SL}(n)$ we have

$$\dim H^*(\Gamma_K;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho_{\mathfrak{s}_n}^z}) = (n-1)\dim H^*(\Gamma_K;R_2).$$

Proof. It follows from (4.1) that we have an isomorphism of Γ -modules:

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho_n} \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n-1} R_{2k}$$
.

Now Lemma 4.4 implies that dim $H^*(\Gamma, R_{2k}) = \dim H^*(\Gamma, R_2)$ since $\Delta_K(\lambda^{2k}) \neq 0$ and $\lambda^{2k} \neq 1$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. Hence the assertion of the proposition follows.

Proof of Proposition 3.4. Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^*$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $n \geq 3$. Suppose that λ^2 is a simple root of the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$ and let $\rho_{\lambda}^z \colon \Gamma \to B_2$ be a non-abelian representation as in the introduction.

In order to apply Proposition 4.5 we have to show that $\lambda^{2k} \neq 1$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. Suppose that there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, such that $\lambda^{2k} = 1$. Next note that $\lambda^{-2} = \lambda^{2k-2}$ is a root of the Alexander polynomial since $\Delta_K(t)$ is symmetric. Therefore the assumption of the proposition implies that k=2 i.e. $\lambda^4 = 1$ and hence $\lambda^2 = \pm 1$. On the other hand, ± 1 is not a root of $\Delta_K(t)$ since $\Delta_K(1) = \pm 1$ and $\Delta_K(-1)$ is an odd integer. This gives a contradiction and hence $\lambda^{2k} \neq 1$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. Therefore, Proposition 4.5 implies that

$$\dim H^*(\Gamma_K;\mathfrak{sl}(n)_{\rho_{\lambda_n}^z}) = (n-1)\dim H^*(\Gamma_K;R_2).$$

Finally, observe that $\mathfrak{sl}(2)_{\rho_{\lambda}^z} \cong R_2$ (see Example 1) and dim $H^1(\Gamma_K; R_2) = 1$ follows from [HP05, Corollary 5.4] or [HPSP01, 4.4]. It is easy to see that $H^0(\Gamma_K; R_2) = 0$ since ρ_{λ}^z is non-abelian.

5. Examples

Let $K \subset S^3$ be a knot and λ^2 a simple root of $\Delta_K(t)$. Theorem 1.1 implies that if $\Delta_K(\lambda^{2k}) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $k \neq \pm 1$, then the for all $n \geq 2$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, the representation space $R_n(\Gamma_K)$ contains a component $R_{\lambda,n}$ of dimension $n^2 + n - 2$. Moreover, $R_{\lambda,n}$ contains irreducible representations and generically a representation $\rho \in R_{\lambda,n}$ is irreducible (this follows for example from [New78, Prop. 3.8]).

Corollary 5.1. Let $K \subset S^3$ be a knot with the Alexander polynomial of the figure-eight knot.

Then the representation variety $R_n(\Gamma_K)$ contains an (n^2+n-2) -dimensional component and the irreducible representations form an Zariski-open subset of this component.

Proof. The Alexander polynomial of the figure-eight knot is $\Delta(t) = t^2 - 3t + 1$ and its roots are $\lambda^{\pm 2} = 3/2 \pm \sqrt{5}/2$ and no power $\lambda^{\pm 2k}$, $k \neq \pm 1$, is a root of $\Delta(t)$.

The situation for the trefoil knot 3_1 is more complicate since the roots of its Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{3_1}(t)=t^2-t+1$ are the 6-th roots of unity $\lambda^{\pm 2}=e^{\pm i\pi/3}$. Hence $R_n(\Gamma_{3_1})$ contains an (n^2+n-2) -dimensional component $R_{\lambda,n}$ for $n\in\{2,3,4,5\}$ since $e^{\pm i\pi/3}$ is a simple root of $\Delta_{3_1}(t)$ and since $\Delta_{3_1}(e^{\pm ik\pi/3})\neq 0$ for $k\in\{2,3,4\}$. Let us study the case n=6: the group Γ_{3_1} is free product with amalgamation

$$\Gamma_{3_1} = \langle S, T \mid STS = TST \rangle \cong \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^3 \rangle \cong \langle x \mid - \rangle *_{\langle c \mid - \rangle} \langle y \mid - \rangle$$

where x=STS, y=TS, and $c=x^2=y^3$ generates the center of Γ_{3_1} . Note that a meridian μ of 3_1 is represented by the Wirtinger generator $\mu=S=xy^{-1}$. Let $\rho\colon \Gamma_{3_1}\to \mathrm{SL}(n)$ be an irreducible representation. Since ρ is irreducible the generator of the center $x^2=c=y^3$ has to be mapped into the center

$$C_n := \{ \exp(2\pi \frac{k}{n}) I_n \mid 1 \le k \le n \} \subset \operatorname{SL}(n)$$

of $\mathrm{SL}(n)$. It follows that if $R \subset R_n(\Gamma_{3_1})$ is an irreducible component of the representation variety then the conjugacy classes represented by the elements $\rho(c)$, $\rho(x)$, $\rho(y)$ in $\mathrm{SL}(n)$ do not vary with $\rho \in R$. Now let $\lambda = e^{i\pi/6}$ be a primitive 12-th root of unity. A cohomological non-trivial cocycle $z \in Z^1(\Gamma_{3_1}; \mathbf{C}_{\lambda^2})$ is given by z(S) = 0 and z(T) = 1. Therefore the representation $\rho_{\lambda}^z \colon \Gamma_{3_1} \to \mathrm{SL}(2)$ is

given by

$$\rho^z_\lambda(S) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{ and } \quad \rho^z_\lambda(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda^{-1} \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \,.$$

Hence

$$\rho^z_\lambda(x) = \begin{pmatrix} i & \lambda^{-1} \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho^z_\lambda(y) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & \lambda^{-2} \\ 0 & \lambda^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{ and } \quad \rho^z_\lambda(c) = -I_2 \,.$$

Proposition 3.1 implies that $\rho_{\lambda,n}^z = r_n \circ \rho_{\lambda}^z$ is a limit of irreducible representations. Computer supported calculations show that $\dim H^1(\Gamma_K; R_{10}) = 3$ and Lemma 4.4 implies that $\dim H^1(\Gamma_K; R_{2k}) = \dim H^1(\Gamma_K; R_2) = 1$ for $k \in \{2,3,4\}$. Hence Formula 4.1 implies that

$$\dim H^1(\Gamma_K; \mathfrak{sl}(6)) = 7$$
 i.e. $Z^1(\Gamma_K; \mathfrak{sl}(6)) = 42$.

$$A \sim \begin{pmatrix} i & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & i & & & \\ & & i & & \\ & & -i & & \\ & & & -i & \\ & \mathbf{0} & & & -i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B \sim \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & -1 & & & \\ & & \lambda^2 & & \\ & & & \lambda^2 & & \\ & & & \lambda^2 & & \\ & & & \lambda^{-2} & \\ & \mathbf{0} & & & \lambda^{-2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further note that a choice of eigenspaces $E_A(i)$, $E_A(-i)$, $E_B(-1)$, $E_B(\lambda^2)$, $E_B(\lambda^{-2})$ such that $E_A(i) \oplus E_A(-i) \cong \mathbb{C}^6$ and $E_B(-1) \oplus E_B(\lambda^2) \oplus E_B(\lambda^{-2}) \cong \mathbb{C}^6$ determines a representation $\rho \colon \Gamma_{3_1} \to \mathrm{SL}(6)$ completely.

Let Gr(p, n) denote the Grassmannian which parametrizes all p-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n . Hence the choice of two elements in Gr(3,6) in generic position determines A and the choice of three elements in Gr(2,6) in generic position determines B. The representation will be irreducible if the eigenspaces of A and B are mutual in general position and reducible if not.

It is well known that dim Gr(p, n) = p(n - p) and hence

$$\dim (Gr(3,6) \times Gr(3,6)) = 18$$
 and $\dim (Gr(2,6) \times Gr(2,6) \times Gr(2,6)) = 24$.

Therefore, we constructed a 42-dimensional component of representations $C \subset R_6(\Gamma_{3_1})$ which contains $\rho_{\lambda,6}^z = r_6 \circ \rho_{\lambda}^z$ and which contains irreducible representations. Note that $6^+6 - 2 = 40 < 42$. In conclusion we have:

Corollary 5.2. The representation variety $R_6(\Gamma_{3_1})$ contains a 42-dimensional component C. The generic representation of C irreducible and $\rho^z_{\lambda,6} \in C \subset R_6(\Gamma_{3_1})$ is a smooth point.

Proof. Computer supported calculations give that dim $Z^1(\Gamma_K, \mathfrak{sl}(6)) = 42$. On the other hand, we constructed a 42-dimensional component C containing $\rho_{\lambda,6}^z$. Now, the assertion follows from Lemma 2.2.

References

- [Art68] M. Artin. On the solutions of analytic equations. Invent. Math., 5:277–291, 1968.
- [BA00] Leila Ben Abdelghani. Espace des représentations du groupe d'un nœud classique dans un groupe de Lie. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 50(4):1297–1321, 2000.
- [BAHJ10] Leila Ben Abdelghani, Michael Heusener, and Hajer Jebali. Deformations of metabelian representations of knot groups into SL(3, C). J. Knot Theory Ramifications, 19(3):385–404, 2010.
- [BF08] Hans U. Boden and Stefan Friedl. Metabelian $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ representations of knot groups. *Pacific J. Math.*, 238(1):7–25, 2008.
- [BF11] Hans U. Boden and Stefan Friedl. Metabelian $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ representations of knot groups, II: fixed points. *Pacific J. Math.*, 249(1):1–10, 2011.
- [BF12] H. U. Boden and S. Friedl. Metabelian SL(n,C) representations of knot groups, III: deformations. ArXiv e-prints, August 2012.
- [Bro82] Kenneth S. Brown. Cohomology of groups, volume 87 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [Bur67] Gerhard Burde. Darstellungen von Knotengruppen. Math. Ann., 173:24–33, 1967.
- [BZH13] Gerhard Burde, Heiner Zieschang, and Michael Heusener. Knots. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 3rd fully revised and extented edition, 2013.
- [DK01] James F. Davis and Paul Kirk. Lecture notes in algebraic topology, volume 35 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [dR67] Georges de Rham. Introduction aux polynômes d'un nœud. Enseignement Math. (2), 13:187–194 (1968), 1967.
- [Gol84] William M. Goldman. The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces. Adv. in Math., 54(2):200–225, 1984.
- [HP05] Michael Heusener and Joan Porti. Deformations of reducible representations of 3-manifold groups into PSL₂(C). Algebr. Geom. Topol., 5:965–997, 2005.
- [HPSP01] Michael Heusener, Joan Porti, and Eva Suárez Peiró. Deformations of reducible representations of 3-manifold groups into SL₂(C). J. Reine Angew. Math., 530:191– 227, 2001.
- [Kap57] Irving Kaplansky. An introduction to differential algebra. Actualités Sci. Ind., No. 1251 = Publ. Inst. Math. Univ. Nancago, No. 5. Hermann, Paris, 1957.
- [Kov86] Jerald J. Kovacic. An algorithm for solving second order linear homogeneous differential equations. J. Symbolic Comput., 2(1):3–43, 1986.
- [LM85] Alexander Lubotzky and Andy R. Magid. Varieties of representations of finitely generated groups. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 58(336):xi+117, 1985.
- [MFP12] Pere Menal-Ferrer and Joan Porti. Twisted cohomology for hyperbolic three manifolds. Osaka J. Math., 49(3):741–769, 2012.
- [Nag07] Fumikazu Nagasato. Finiteness of a section of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -character variety of the knot group. Kobe J. Math., 24(2):125–136, 2007.
- [New78] P. E. Newstead. Introduction to moduli problems and orbit spaces, volume 51 of Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1978.
- [Pop08] Vladimir L. Popov. Irregular and singular loci of commuting varieties. Transform. Groups, 13(3-4):819–837, 2008.

- [Ric79] R.W. Richardson. Commuting varieties of semisimple Lie algebras and algebraic groups. Compos. Math., 38:311–327, 1979.
- [Sha77] I. R. Shafarevich. Basic algebraic geometry. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, study edition, 1977. Translated from the Russian by K. A. Hirsch, Revised printing of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 213, 1974.
- [Spr77] T. A. Springer. Invariant theory. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 585. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [Wei64] André Weil. Remarks on the cohomology of groups. Ann. of Math. (2), 80:149–157, 1964.
- [Whi78] George W. Whitehead. Elements of homotopy theory, volume 61 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- *CLERMONT UNIVERSITÉ, UNIVERSITÉ BLAISE PASCAL, LABORATOIRE DE MATHMATIQUES, BP 10448, F-63000 CLERMONT-FERRAND. CNRS, UMR 6620, LM, F-63171 AUBIÈRE, FRANCE E-mail address: heusener@math.univ-bpclermont.fr
- ** Ecole Normale Supérieure de Kouba, Alger B.P. 92 Kouba 16050

ALGERIE

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: medjerab@ens-kouba.dz}$