

On the Shyr-Yu theorem Pal Domosi, Geza Horvath, Laurent Vuillon

▶ To cite this version:

Pal Domosi, Geza Horvath, Laurent Vuillon. On the Shyr-Yu theorem. Theoretical Computer Science, 2009, 410 (47-49), pp.4874-4877. 10.1016/j.tcs.2009.06.039 . hal-00939189

HAL Id: hal-00939189 https://hal.science/hal-00939189

Submitted on 30 Jan 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On Shyr-Yu Theorem¹

Pál DÖMÖSI

Faculty of Informatics, Debrecen University Debrecen, Egyetem tér 1., H-4032, Hungary e-mail: domosi@inf.unideb.hu

and

Géza HORVÁTH

Institute of Informatics, Debrecen University Debrecen, Egyetem tér 1., H-4032, Hungary e-mail: geza@inf.unideb.hu

and

Laurent VUILLON

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Savoie CNRS UMR 5127, 73376 Le Bourget du Lac, France Laurent.Vuillon@univ-savoie.fr

Abstract

An alternative proof of Shyr-Yu Theorem is given. Some generalizations are also considered using fractional root decompositions and fractional exponents of words.

Keywords: Combinatorics of words.

 1 This work was supported by research grants of French National Foundation for Research (ÉGIDE) and Hungarian National Office for Research and Technology (NKTH) (Balaton F-30/04), and the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA 49409).

1 Introduction

A word is primitive if it is not a power of another word. A well-known unsolved problem of theoretical computer science asks whether or not the language of all primitive words over a nontrivial alphabet is context-free or not [4, 5]. Among others, this (in)famous problem motivates the study of the combinatorial properties of primitive words. In addition, they have special importance in studies of automatic sequences [1, 8]. The Shyr-Yu Theorem [12] is a well-known classical result in this direction. The known proof of this result is rather involved [12]. The aim of this paper is to give a new simple proof of this well-known theorem, using some simple observations. In addition, we give the decomposition of words in the language p^+q^+ , in particular we find fractional root decompositions and fractional exponents of the words appearing in the language.

2 Preliminaries

A word over Σ is a finite sequence of elements over some finite non-empty set Σ . If there is no danger of confusion, sometimes we omit the expression "over Σ ". We call the set Σ an *alphabet*, the elements of Σ *letters*. Σ is called *trivial* if it is a singleton. Otherwise we also say that Σ is *nontrivial*. We also define the *empty word* λ consisting of zero letters. The *length* |w|of a word w is the number of letters in w, where each letter is counted as many times as it occurs. Thus $|\lambda| = 0$. If $u = x_1 \cdots x_k$ and $v = x_{k+1} \cdots x_\ell$ are words over an alphabet Σ (with $x_1, \ldots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_\ell \in \Sigma$) then their catenation (which is also called their product) $uv = x_1 \cdots x_k x_{k+1} \cdots x_\ell$ is also a word over Σ . In addition, for every word $u = x_1 \cdots x_k$ over Σ (with $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \Sigma$), $u\lambda = \lambda u = u$ (= $x_1 \cdots x_k$). Moreover, $\lambda \lambda = \lambda$. Obviously, for every $u, v \in \Sigma^*$, |uv| = |u| + |v|. Clearly, then, for every words u, v, w(over Σ) u(vw) = (uv)w. In other words, uu' = w'w whenever u' = vwand w' = uv. Therefore, catenation is an associative operation and the empty word λ is the identity with respect to catenation. Let u, v, w be words with u = vw. Then we say that v is a *prefix* of u and w is a *suffix* of u. Two words u, v are said to be *conjugates* if there exists a word w with uw = wv. In particular, a word z is called *overlapping* (or *bordered*) if there are $u, v, w \in \Sigma^+$ with z = uw = wv. Otherwise we say that z is non-overlapping (or unbordered).

Proposition 2.1 [9] u, v are conjugates if and only if there are words p, q with u = pq and v = qp.

Theorem 2.2 [9] Let $u, v \in \Sigma^+$ with uv = vu. There exists $w \in \Sigma^+$ with $u, v \in w^+$.

Lemma 2.3 [7] If uv = pq and $|u| \leq |p|$ for some $u, v, p, q \in \Sigma^+$, then p = ur and v = rq for some $r \in \Sigma^*$.

Lemma 2.4 [9] If uv = vq, $u \in \Sigma^+$, $v, q \in \Sigma^*$, then u = wz, $v = (wz)^k w$, q = zw for some $w \in \Sigma^*$, $z \in \Sigma^+$ and $k \ge 0$.

If u, v, w, z are words over Σ having z = uvw, then v is called a *sub-word* of z. The nonempty prefix, suffix, subword are also called a *proper* (or *nontrivial*) prefix, suffix, subword.

By the free monoid Σ^* generated by Σ we mean the set of all words (including the empty word λ) having catenation as multiplication. We set $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma^* \setminus {\lambda}$, where the subsemigroup Σ^+ of Σ^* is said to be the free semigroup generated by Σ . Σ^* and Σ^+ have left and right cancellation, i.e. for every elements u, v, w of Σ^* or Σ^+ , uv = uw implies v = w and uv = wvimplies u = w.

Subsets of Σ^* are referred to as *languages* over Σ . In particular, subsets of Σ^+ are referred to as λ -free languages over Σ .

Given a word u, we define $u^0 = \lambda$, $u^n = u^{n-1}u$, n > 0, $u^* = \{u^n : n \ge 0\}$ and $u^+ = u^* \setminus \{\lambda\}$. Thus u^n with $n \ge 0$ is the *n*-th power of u, while u^* is the Kleene closure, moreover, u^+ is the semigroup closure of u.

Given a list c_1, \ldots, c_n of integers, let $gcd(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ denote the greatest common divisor of c_1, \ldots, c_n .

Theorem 2.5 [6] Let $u, v \in \Sigma^*$. $u, v \in w^+$ for some $w \in \Sigma^+$ if and only if there are $i, j \ge 0$ so that u^i and v^j have a common prefix (suffix) of length |u| + |v| - gcd(|u|, |v|).

A primitive word (over Σ , or actually over an arbitrary alphabet) is a nonempty word not of the form w^m for any nonempty word w and integer $m \geq 2$. Thus λ is a nonprimitive word because of $\lambda \lambda = \lambda$. The set of all primitive words over Σ will be denoted by $Q(\Sigma)$, or simply by Q if Σ is understood. Let $u \neq \lambda$ and let f be a primitive word with an integer $k \geq 1$ having $u = f^k$. We let $\sqrt{u} = f$ and call f the primitive root of the word u.

Theorem 2.6 [11] Let $u, v \in \Sigma^*$. $w^i = uv$ for some $w \in \Sigma^*, i \ge 1$ if and only if there are $p, q \in \Sigma^*$ $w = pq, (qp)^i = vu$. Furthermore, $uv \in Q$ for some $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ if and only if $vu \in Q$. The next statement shows that, for every nonempty word, the primitive root is unambiguously determined.

Theorem 2.7 [9] If $u \neq \lambda$, then there exists a unique primitive word f and a unique integer $k \geq 1$ such that $u = f^k$.

Let $a^m b^n = c^k$ be an equation such that $a, b, c \in \Sigma^*$ and $m, n, k \ge 2$. We say that $a^m b^n = c^k$ has only trivial solution if $a^m b^n = c^k$ holds only if there exists a $w \in \Sigma^*$ with $a, b, c \in w^*$.

Theorem 2.8 (Lyndon-Schützenberger Theorem) [9] The equation $a^m b^n = c^k$ with $a, b, c \in \Sigma^*$ has only trivial solutions.

We have a direct consequence of Theorem 2.8 as below.

Theorem 2.9 Let $f, g \in Q, f \neq g$. Then $f^m g^n \in Q$ for all $m \ge 2, n \ge 2$. \Box

Lemma 2.10 [3] Let $u, v \in Q$, such that $u^m = v^k w$ for some $k, m \ge 2$, and $w \in \Sigma^*$ with $|w| \le |v|$.

Then exactly one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) u = v and $w \in \{u, \lambda\}$;
- (ii) m = k = 2 and there are $p, q \in \Sigma^+, s \ge 1$ with $\sqrt{p} \ne \sqrt{q}$, $u = (pq)^{s+1}p^2q, v = (pq)^{s+1}p, w = qp^2q.$

Theorem 2.11 [3] Let $u, v \in Q$, such that $u^m = v^k w$ for some prefix w of v and $k, m \geq 2$. Then u = v and $w \in \{u, \lambda\}$.

Theorem 2.12 (Shyr-Yu Theorem) [12] Let $f, g \in Q, f \neq g$. Then $|f^+g^+ \cap \Sigma^+ \setminus Q| \leq 1$. Moreover, if f and g are also non-overlapping, then f^+g^+ contains only primitive words.

3 Results

The next statement is an extended version of the first part of Theorem 2.9.

Theorem 3.1 Let $f, g \in Q, f \neq g$ and $n \geq 1$. If $fg^n \notin Q$ then $fg^{n+k} \in Q$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof: Suppose the contrary and let $u^i = fg^n, v^j = fg^{n+k}$ for some $u, v \in \Sigma^+, i, j > 1, k \ge 1$. We may assume without any restriction $u, v \in Q$. By our conditions, $v^j = u^i g^k$.

First we assume $k \ge 2$. Hence, by Theorem 2.8, $\sqrt{v} = \sqrt{u} = \sqrt{g}$, i.e. u = v = g. By $u^i = fg^n$, this results $f = g^{i-n}$ leading to $\sqrt{f} = \sqrt{g}$. Then, by $f, g \in Q$, we have f = g, a contradiction.

Now we suppose k = 1. By Theorem 2.6, there are $w, z \in Q$ with $w^i = g^n f, z^j = g^n fg$. Then $z^j = w^i w_1$, where w_1 is a prefix of w. Applying Theorem 2.11, w = z, which, by Theorem 2.6, implies u = v. But then k = 0, a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 2.12 : Let $f, g \in Q$ be distinct primitive words.

First we prove that the language f^+g^+ contains at most one non-primitive word. Suppose $f^mg^n \notin Q$. By Theorem 2.9, $m, n \geq 2$ is impossible. Therefore, we may assume either $f^mg \notin Q$ for some $m \geq 1$ or $fg^n \notin Q$ for some $n \geq 1$.

By Theorem 3.1, there exists at most one pair $m, n \ge 1$ of positive integers with $f^m g, fg^n \notin Q$. In addition, if $fg \notin Q$, then $f^m g, fg^n \in Q$, $m, n \ge 2$. Therefore, it is enough to prove that for every pair $m, n \ge 2$, $f^m g \notin Q$ implies $fg^n \in Q$.

Suppose the contrary and let $f^m g = u^i, fg^n = v^j$ for some $m, n, i, j \ge 2, u, v \in Q$ and let, say, $|g| \le |f|$. Using Lemma 2.10, this is possible only if m = i = 2 and there are $p, q \in \Sigma^+, s \ge 1$ with $\sqrt{p} \ne \sqrt{q}$ and $u = (pq)^{s+1}p^2q$, $f = (pq)^{s+1}p, g = qp^2q$.

By Theorem 2.5 and $v^j = fg^n = (pq)^{s+1}p(qp^2q)^n$, this is impossible if either $|pq| + |v| \le |(pq)^{s+1}p| + |qp|$, or $|qp^2q| + |v| \le |(qp^2q)^n|$. On the other hand, if $|pq| + |v| > |qp(pq)^{s+1}p|$ and $|qp^2q| + |v| > |(qp^2q)^n|$ simultaneously hold, then using again $v^j = fg^n = (pq)^{s+1}p(qp^2q)^n$, we obtain $|v^2| + |pq| + |qp^2q| > |v^j| + |qp|$. Hence $|v^2| > |v^j| - |qp^2q|$ which leads since |qppq| < |v| to j = 2. By $v^2 = fg^n$, this implies $v^2 = (pq)^{s+1}p(qp^2q)^n$. By the assumptions $|pq| + |v| > |qp(pq)^{s+1}p|$ and $|qp^2q| + |v| > |(qp^2q)^n|$, we can reach $|(qp^2q)^{n-2}| < |(pq)^{s+1}p| < |(qp^2q)^n|$. Thus $2n - 5 \le s \le 2n - 2$ which means $v = (pq)^{2n-4}r_1 = r_2(qp^2q)^{n-2}, |r_1| = |r_2|$ such that $r_1r_2 = (pq)^t p(qp^2q)^2, t \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Note that, because of $v \in Q$, $\sqrt{p} = \sqrt{q}$ is impossible. We distinguish the following four cases.

Case 1. $t = 0, r_1 = pqpz_1, r_2 = z_2p^2q, z_1z_2 = pq^2, |z_1| = |z_2|$. Then $v = (pq)^{2n-4}pqpz_1 = z_2p^2q(qp^2q)^{n-2}$. Thus z_1 is a suffix and z_2 is a prefix of pq. Hence, by $|z_1| = |z_2| = \frac{1}{2}|p| + |q|$, we get $z_1 = p_2q, z_2 = p_1q'$ with $p = p_1p_2, |q'| = |q|, |p_1| = |p_2|$ for appropriate $q', p_1, p_2 \in \Sigma^+$. Obviously,

then $z_1z_2 = p_2qp_1q' = pq^2$. This implies $p_2 = p_1$ and q' = q. This leads to $z_1 = z_2 = p_1q$. Thus $z_1z_2 = (p_1q)^2 = p_1^2q^2$ implying $qp_1 = p_1q$. Applying Theorem 2.2, $\sqrt{p_1} = \sqrt{q}$. By $p = p_1^2$, this implies $\sqrt{p} = \sqrt{q}$, a contradiction.

Theorem 2.2, $\sqrt{p_1} = \sqrt{q}$. By $p = p_1^2$, this implies $\sqrt{p} = \sqrt{q}$, a contradiction. *Case 2.* $t = 1, r_1 = (pq)^2 z_1, r_2 = z_2 q p^2 q, z_1 z_2 = p^2 q, |z_1| = |z_2|$. Then $v = (pq)^{2n-2} z_1 = z_2 (qp^2q)^{n-1}$. Thus z_1 is a suffix and z_2 is a prefix of of pq. Hence, by $|z_1| = |z_2| = |p| + \frac{1}{2}|q|$, we get $z_1 = p'q_2, z_2 = pq_1$ with $q = q_1q_2, |p'| = |p|, |q_1| = |q_2|$ for appropriate $p', q_1, q_2 \in \Sigma^+$.

Obviously, then $z_1 z_2 = p' q_2 p q_1 = p^2 q$. Hence we get p' = p and $q_1 = q_2$. In other words, $z_1 z_2 = (pq_1)^2 = p^2 q_1^2$ leading to $q_1 p = pq_1$. By Theorem 2.2, we get $\sqrt{q_1} = \sqrt{p}$ which is impossible because of $q = q_1^2$.

Case 3. $t = 2, r_1r_2 = (pq)^2 p(qp^2q)^2$. Then $r_1 = (pq)^3 p_1, r_2 = p_2 pq^2 p^2 q$ with $p = p_1 p_2, |p_1| = |p_2|$. But then, either n = 2 or $n > 2, p_2$ is simultaneously a prefix and a suffix of p. Therefore, $p_1 = p_2$ which implies $p = p_1^2$. Thus, we can write $v = (p_1^2q)^{2n-4}(p_1^2q)^3p_1 = p_1^3q^2p_1^4q(qp_1^4q)^{n-2}$ which implies $qp_1 = p_1q$ either n = 2 or n > 2. By Theorem 2.2, this means $\sqrt{q} = \sqrt{p_1}$ such that $p = p_1^2$. Therefore, $\sqrt{p} = \sqrt{q}$, a contradiction. Case 4. $t = 3, r_1r_2 = (pq)^3p(qp^2q)^2$. In this case, $r_1 = (pq)^3pq_1, r_2 = p_1^2$.

Case 4. $t = 3, r_1r_2 = (pq)^3 p(qp^2q)^2$. In this case, $r_1 = (pq)^3 pq_1, r_2 = q_2p^2q^2p^2q$ with $q = q_1q_2, |q_1| = |q_2|$. We observe that, either n = 2 or n > 2, q_1 is simultaneously a prefix and a suffix of q. Therefore, $q_1 = q_2$ with $q = q_1^2$. Thus, we can write $v = (pq_1^2)^{2n-4}(pq_1^2)^3pq_1 = q_1p^2q_1^4p^2q_1^2(q_1^2p^2q_1^2)^{n-2}$ which implies $pq_1 = q_1p$, if n = 2 and $pq_1^2pq_1 = q_1p^2q_1^2$, if n > 2. Both equalities lead to $pq_1 = q_1p$. By Theorem 2.2, this leads to $\sqrt{q_1} = \sqrt{p}$ such that $q = q_1^2$. Therefore, $\sqrt{p} = \sqrt{q}$, a contradiction.

It remains to show that, for every distinct pair $f, g \in Q$ of unbordered primitive words, $f^+g^+ \subseteq Q$. By Theorem 2.9, $m, n \geq 2$ implies $f^mg^n \in Q$. Thus it is enough to prove that for every $m, n \geq 2$, $f^mg, fg^n \in Q$.

Suppose that, contrary of our statement, there are $u \in Q, i > 1$ with $f^m g = u^i$. Consider $u_1, u_2 \in \Sigma^*, j \ge 0$ with $u = u_1 u_2$ such that $f^m = u^j u_1$ and $g = u_2 u^{i-j-1}$. By Theorem 2.11, $j \le 1$. If j = 0, then $g = u_2 u^{i-1}$ with i - 1 > 0. Then g is bordered, a contradiction. Thus we get j = 1. Therefore, $f^m = u_1 u_2 u_1, g = u_2 (u_1 u_2)^{i-2}$. If $u_1 \ne \lambda$, then f is bordered, a contradiction. Hence $f^m = u_2, g = u_2^{i-1}$. Clearly, then $f = \sqrt{f} = \sqrt{u_2} = \sqrt{g} = g$, a contradiction.

Now we assume that, contrary of our statement, $fg^n = v^k, v \in Q, k > 1$. By Theorem 2.6, there exists a word $z \in Q$ having $g^n f = z^k$. We have already proved that this is impossible. This completes the proof. \Box

Definition 3.2 Let w be a finite word over the alphabet Σ . We define the fractional root of w as the shortest word noted ${}^{f}\sqrt{w}$ with the property that

 $(f_{\sqrt{w}})^{(n+\alpha)} = w$ where n is a positive integer and α is a positive rational number.

Example for w = abaabaab, we find $(aba)^{(2+\frac{2}{3})} = abaabaab$, thus the fractional root of w = abaabaab is $\sqrt{w} = aba$.

Definition 3.3 If ${}^{f}\sqrt{w} = \sqrt{w} = w$ then we say that w is purely primitive (or aperiodic).

For example w = aababbb is purely primitive. The first part of Shyr-Yu Theorem could be refined in three cases according to the following statement:

Theorem 3.4 Consider the language of p^+q^+ . Then

(i1) If there exists k such that $p = (xq^k)^{i-1}x$ then the non-primitive factor is $W^i = pq^k = (xq^k)^i$ or $W^i = p^{k'}y(p^{k'}y)^{i-1}$. For this case, we could find finite classes of primitive words with fractional roots constructed as $pq = (xq^k)^{i-1}xq$, $pq^2 = (xq^k)^{i-1}xq^2, \dots, pq^{k-1}$ or $pq = py(p^{k'}y)^{i-1}$, $p^2q = p^2y(p^{k'}y)^{i-1}, \dots, p^{k'-1}q = p^{k'-1}y(p^{k'}y)^{i-1}$ which are primitive and not purely primitive. And infinite classes constructed by $p^m = p'y$ and $q^n = y'p'$ with |p'| maximal and $m, n \ge 1$. Furthermore, if |p'| = 0 then the infinite classes have only purely primitive words.

(i2) There is a non-primitive word in the language and no finite class, thus we have infinite classes constructed by $p^m = p'y$ and $q^n = y'p'$ with |p'| maximal and $m, n \ge 1$. Furthermore, if |p'| = 0 then the infinite classes have only purely primitive words.

(ii) There is no non-primitive word in the language, thus we have only infinite classes constructed by $p^m = p'y$ and $q^n = y'p'$ with |p'| maximal and $m, n \ge 1$. Furthermore, if |p'| = 0 then the infinite classes are purely primitive.

In this variation of the first part of the Shyr-Yu Theorem, we find in each case an infinite class with words written in the form $w = \left({}^{f}\sqrt{w} \right)^{\left(1 + \frac{|p'|}{||f|\sqrt{w}|}\right)}$. In fact, if |p'| = 0 the form remains the one used in the Shyr-Yu theorem and we can deduce that $w = {}^{f}\sqrt{w}$ thus each words are purely primitive words.

Examples :

1) p = a and q = b lead to only purely primitive words in the language p^+q^+ .

2) p = ab and q = abb lead also to purely primitive words.

3) p = aba and q = abaab give a longest prefix of p^m which is also suffix of q^n namely *abaab* for m = 2 and n = 1.

That is for $m \ge 2$ and $n \ge 1$ the word $w = p^m q^n$ could be written as $w = p^m q^n = (\sqrt[f]{f\sqrt{w}})^{(1+\frac{|p'|}{|f\sqrt{w}|})} = (w[1..|w| - |p'|])^{(1+\frac{|p'|}{|f\sqrt{w}|})} = (w[1..|w| - 5])^{(1+\frac{5}{|f\sqrt{w}|})}$. And for m = n = 1 we find $pq = abaabaab = (aba)^{2+\frac{2}{3}}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.4 : In case of (i1), we know that there exists a unique non-primitive word, namely W^i , in p^+q^+ . We can write the general form of $W^i = (xq^k)^{i-1}xq^k$ or $W^i = p^{k'}y(p^{k'}y)^{i-1}$. Thus either $p = (xq^k)^{i-1}x$ or $q = y(p^ky)^{i-1}$. And then either $W^i = pq^k$ or $W^i = p^{k'}q$. In both cases we can find finite classes of words : $pq = (xq^k)^{i-1}xq$, $pq^2 = (xq^k)^{i-1}xq^2, \cdots, pq^{k-1} = (xq^k)^{i-1}xq^{i-1}$ or $pq = py(p^{k'}y)^{i-1}$, $p^2q = p^2y(p^{k'}y)^{i-1}, \cdots, p^{k'-1}q = p^{k'-1}y(p^{k'}y)^{i-1}$.

In each case, we can find an infinite class of words with the form $w = (f\sqrt{w})^{(1+\frac{|p'|}{|f\sqrt{w}|})}$ where $p^m = p'y$ and $q^n = y'p'$ with |p'| maximal. We prove this fact by contradiction. Suppose that there is no p' which is maximal. That is we have an infinite sequence of words indexed by distinct couples (m_i, n_i) with increasing length of $p'_{(m_i, n_i)}$ such that $p^{m_i} = p'_{(m_i, n_i)}y$ and $q^{n_i} = y'p'_{(m_i, n_i)}$. As the sequence is infinite, we find a starting point in q = ss' such that we could extend the rigth part of the word to an infinite by $p^{\omega} = (s's)^{\omega}$. By using Theorem 2.5, we have that $p = z^{\ell}$ and $s's = z^{\ell'}$. Thus p is not primitive. A contradiction.

References

- [1] ALLOUCHE, J.-P.; SHALLIT, J. : Automatic sequences. Theory, applications, generalizations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [2] CHU, D. D., HSIANG-SHENG, T., Another proof on a theorem of Lyndon and Schützenberger in a free monoid. In: Schoochow Journal of Mathematics, 4 (1978), 143-146.

- [3] DÖMÖSI, P., HORVÁTH, G. : Alternative proof of the Lyndon-Schützenberger Theorem. In : Theoret. Comput. Sci.,366 (2006), 194-198.
- [4] DÖMÖSI, P., HORVÁTH, S., ITO, M.: On the connection between formal languages and primitive words. In: Proc. First Session on Scientific Communication, Univ. of Oradea, Oradea, Romania, 6-8 June, 1991, Analele Univ. of Oradea, Fasc. Mat., 1991, 59–67.
- [5] DÖMÖSI, P., HORVÁTH, S., ITO, M., Formal languages and primitive words. Publ. Math., Debrecen, 20 (1993), 315 – 321.
- [6] FINE, N. J., WILF, H. S., Uniqueness theorems for periodic functions. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 16 (1965) 109-114.
- [7] LEVI, F. W. : On semigroups. Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society, 36 (1944), 141-146.
- [8] LOTHAIRE, M., Combinatorics on Words. Addison-Wesley, 1983.
- [9] LYNDON, R. C., SCHÜTZENBERGER, M. P., The equation $a^M = b^N c^P$ in a free group. *Michigan Math. J.* **9** (1962), 289–298.
- [10] SHYR, H. J., Free Monoids and Languages. Ho Min Book Company, Taiwan, 1991.
- [11] SHYR, H. J., THIERRIN, G., Disjunctive languages and codes, FCT'77, LNCS 56, Springer-Verlag (1977), 171–176.
- [12] SHYR, H. J., YU, S. S., Non-primitive words in the language p^+q^+ , Soochow J.Math. **20** (1994), 535–546.