

Bifurcation-based micro/nano-electromechanical mass detection

van Nghi Nguyen, Sébastien Baguet, Claude-Henri Lamarque, Régis Dufour

► To cite this version:

van Nghi Nguyen, Sébastien Baguet, Claude-Henri Lamarque, Régis Dufour. Bifurcation-based micro/nano-electromechanical mass detection. MEDYNA 2013: 1st Euro-Mediterranean Conference on Structural Dynamics and Vibroacoustics, Apr 2013, Marrakech, Morocco. pp.1-4. hal-00935666

HAL Id: hal-00935666 https://hal.science/hal-00935666

Submitted on 23 Jan 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



BIFURCATION-BASED MICRO/NANO-ELECTROMECHANICAL MASS DETECTION

V.-N. Nguyen¹, S. Baguet¹, C.-H. Lamarque^{2*}, and R. Dufour¹

¹Université de Lyon, CNRS, INSA-Lyon, LaMCoS UMR5259 F-69621, Villeurbanne cedex, France Email: Van-Nghi.Nguyen@insa-lyon.fr, Sebastien.Baguet@insa-lyon.fr, Regis.Dufour@insa-lyon.fr

²Université de Lyon, ENTPE, DGCB and LTDS, UMR CNRS 5513 Vaulx-en-Velin Cedex, 69518, France Email: Claude.Lamarque@entpe.fr

ABSTRACT

When operating M/NEMS mass resonant sensors in the nonlinear regime, most of detection is based on the shift in the resonant frequency due to mass adsorption, as implemented in linear regime. This paper investigates an alternative mass detection based on the hysteretic behavior of the nonlinear frequency responses that takes advantage of bi-stability and bifurcations. A finite-degree-of-freedom reduced-order model of an electrostatically-actuated clampedclamped microbeam is considered. Numerical results show that sudden jumps in amplitude make the detection of very small mass possible. Another interesting feature lies in the fact that the limit of detection can be set with the value of the operating frequency. However, it appears that this bifurcation-based mass detection does not exhibit the expected robustness. A possible improvement is proposed, based on the reinitialization of the system by a forced jump-down on the hysteretic response curve.

1 INTRODUCTION

Mass detection via resonant micro/nano electromechanical systems (M/NEMS) has gained a lot of interest during the last decade, and single-protein mass detection is now the state-of-the-art in terms of experimental results [1]. This higher sensitivity is achieved mostly by scaling down the devices. At micro and nano scales, devices often exhibit large amplitudes of vibration and thus operate in the nonlinear regime. The detection is traditionally based on the shift in the resonant frequency due to mass adsorption, as implemented in linear regime. Several improvements or alternatives exploiting the nonlinear behavior of the resonator have been proposed, such as the increase of the frequency shift through parametric instability [2] or pull-in phenomenon [3]. More recently, Kumar *et al.* [4] proposed a bifurcation-based detection that exploits the bistability of a piezoelectrically actuated microcantilever. This alternative detection principle will be computationally analysed in this paper in the case of an electrostatically-actuated clamped-clamped microbeam.

2 NONLINEAR ELECTROMECHANICAL MODEL



Figure 1. Geometry and data of the resonant microbeam with added mass.

The model for the nonlinear electrostatically-actuated clamped-clamped microbeam with added mass of Figure 1 is based on the model developed by Kacem *et al.* for inertial resonant sensors [5]. The nondimensional equation of motion is given by

$$\frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} dx + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} dx + \delta_{x_0}(x) m \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + c \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx - \left(N + \alpha_1 \int_0^1 \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right]^2 dx\right) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} dx$$
$$= \alpha_2 \frac{\left[V_{dc} + V_{ac} \cos(\Omega t)\right]^2}{(1 - w)^2} dx \quad (1)$$

where $\tilde{w}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$ is the nondimensional bending displacement of the beam, m is the ratio between the added mass and the microbeam mass, i.e. $m = m_{added}/m_{beam}$ and $\delta_{x_0}(x)$ is the Dirac function used to locate the added mass. The left-hand side of Equation (1) represents the inertial, damping, elastic and geometrically nonlinear mechanical forces, whereas the right-hand side stands for the nonlinear electrostatic forces with V_{dc} , V_{ac} , Ω being the DC polarization voltage, the amplitude of the AC voltage, and the excitation frequency respectively.

A reduced-order model is generated by modal decomposition with the undamped linear mode shapes of the straight microbeam as basis functions in the Galerkin procedure. The solution of the resulting finite-degree-of-freedom nonlinear differential system is then computed by the Harmonic Balance combined with the Asymptotic Numerical Method for continuation analysis by means of the ManLab software [6].

3 DYNAMICS OF THE RESONANT SENSOR AND BIFURCATION-BASED DETEC-TION

The nonlinear microbeam exhibits a Duffing-like frequency response behavior, either hardening or softening depending on the dominating nonlinearity in the model (mechanical or electrostatic respectively). As shown in Figure 2a, the small amount of added mass downshifts the resonant frequency of the electromechanical resonator. This shift in frequency is commonly used to detect and measure the added mass [1]. However, the shift tends to zero as the added mass becomes smaller, thus limiting the amount of added mass which can be experimentally detected. Even if scaling down the device permits to increase the frequency shift and therefore improve the sensibility, shift-based detection shows some limitation when dealing with very small added mass such as molecules at atomic resolution.

Another approach consists in utilizing the dynamic jumps that occur in the Duffing hysteretic response. For this purpose, the resonator without added mass is operated at a constant excitation frequency Ω_{op} slightly lower than the frequency Ω_{lim} of the fold bifurcation point or limit point A_{lim} . At Ω_{op} , the frequency response curve is multi-valued (red curve of Figure 2a) with two stable solutions A_1 and A_2 . In such a configuration, when a mass m is added, the response curve is shifted to the left (blue curve). At the operating frequency Ω_{op} , this curve is single-valued. As a consequence, the solution jumps from point A_1 to point B, resulting in a sudden increase in amplitude. Moreover, the smaller the added mass, the larger the increase of the response.



Figure 2: Softening behavior of the resonator. a) Shift in frequency of the due to added mass $m = 5.10^{-5}$ and jump phenomenon from A_1 to B. b) Basin of attraction without added mass at $\Omega_{op} = 22.3274$.

Another interesting feature is that the limit of detection can be set with the value of the operating frequency Ω_{op} . This can be useful if one wants to detect masses only above a given size. For instance, for the microbeam of Figure 1, operating at $\Omega_{op} = 22.3274$ permits the detection of masses larger than $m = 5.10^{-5}$, i.e. such that $m_{added} \ge 10^{-17} kg$, whereas operating at $\Omega_{op} = 22.325$ permits the detection of masses larger than $m \simeq 10^{-4}$, i.e. such that $m_{added} \ge 2.10^{-17} kg$. This limit of detection tends to zero as Ω_{op} approaches Ω_{lim} .

Although very promising, this bifurcation-based detection can be considered as robust only if the solution jumps back from point B to point A_1 when the added mass leaves the microbeam. On the opposite, if the solution jumps to the upper-point A_2 instead of A_1 , then the next added mass will cause a jump from A_2 to B, which can be tiny and difficult to detect if the mass is very small. The value of the added mass and the moment when the added mass leaves the microbeam lead to different initial conditions at point B, which in turn lead to a jump towards A_1 or A_2 . The study of the basins of attraction of the microbeam without added mass (see Figure 2b) permits to conclude whether A_1 or A_2 will be reached. From the computations at $\Omega_{op} = 22.3274$, it turns out that for mass ratios equal or smaller than $m = 5.10^{-4}$, i.e. for physical values $m_{added} \leq 10^{-16} kg$, the jump always occurs towards the upper solution A_2 and consequently the bifurcation-based detection does not work anymore. When operating at $\Omega_{op} = 22.325$, the basin of attraction of the bottom stable solution is larger and A_1 is reached when $m > 1.5.10^{-4}$, i.e. $m_{added} > 3.10^{-17} kg$. Since the masses of interest are much lower than this value, it can be concluded that the system never returns to its initial stable position, i.e. it is not reinitialized, and thus the bifurcation-based detection only works once.

A solution for forcing the reinitialization after the added mass has left the resonator consists in decreasing the operating frequency until the point A_3 is reached and a jump-down takes place and then increasing the frequency again up to the initial operating frequency (point A_1) as depicted in Figure 2a.

4 CONCLUSIONS

An alternative mass detection based on nonlinear resonant sensors has been numerically investigated. This detection takes advantage of bistability and bifurcations of the hysteretic nonlinear responses. Contrary to the classical detection based on the shift in frequency, sudden jumps in amplitude make the detection of very small mass possible. Another interesting feature lies in the fact that the limit of detection can be set with the value of the operating frequency. However, it appears that this bifurcation-based mass detection does not exhibit the expected robustness. A possible improvement has been proposed, based on the reinitialization of the system by a forced jump-down on the hysteretic response curve.

REFERENCES

- M.S. Hanay, S. Kelber, A.K. Naik, D. Chi, S. Hentz, Bullard E.C., E. Colinet, L. Duraffourg, and M.L. Roukes. Single-protein nanomechanical mass spectrometry in real time. *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 7(9):602–608, 2012.
- [2] W. Zhang and K. L. Turner. Application of parametric resonance amplification in a singlecrystal silicon micro-oscillator based mass sensor. *Sens. Actuators A: Phys.*, 122(1):23–30, 2005.
- [3] M.E. Khater, E.M. Abdel-Rahman, and A.H. Nayfeh. Nonlinear phenomena in mems and nems a micro sensor for measuring minute gas and biological masses. In *ENOC 2011*, Roma, Italy, July 24-29 2011.
- [4] V. Kumar, Y. Yang, J.W. Boley, G.T.-C. Chiu, and J.F. Rhoads. Modeling, analysis, and experimental validation of a bifurcation-based microsensor. *J. Microelectromech. Syst.*, 21(3):549–558, 2012.
- [5] N. Kacem. Nonlinear dynamics of M&NEMS resonant sensors: design strategies for performance enhancement. Phd. thesis, Ecole Doctorale MEGA de Lyon, 2010-INSAL-0023, 2010.
- [6] N. Kacem, S. Baguet, S. Hentz, and R. Dufour. Computational and quasi-analytical models for nonlinear vibrations of resonant mems and nems sensors. *Int. J. Nonlin. Mech.*, 46(3):532–542, 2011.